UC Berkeley UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Genomic profiles and clinical presentation of chordoma.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6z14t47v>

Journal

Acta Neuropathologica Communications, 12(1)

Authors

Koka, Hela Zhou, Weiyin McMaster, Mary [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6z14t47v#author)

Publication Date

2024-08-12

DOI

10.1186/s40478-024-01833-9

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

Acta Neuropathologica Communications

RESEARCH Open Access

Genomic profles and clinical presentation of chordoma

Hela Koka¹, Weiyin Zhou^{1,2}, Mary L. McMaster¹, Jiwei Bai³, Wen Luo^{1,2}, Alyssa Klein¹, Tongwu Zhang¹, Xing Hua^{1,2}, Xin Li^{1,2}, Difei Wang^{1,2}, Yujia Xiong⁴, Kristine Jones^{1,2}, Aurelie Vogt^{1,2}, Belynda Hicks^{1,2}, Dilys Parry^{1^}, Alisa M. Goldstein^{1†} and Xiaohong R. Yang^{1*†}

Abstract

Chordoma is a rare bone cancer with variable clinical outcomes. Here, we recruited 184 sporadic chordoma patients from the US and Canada and collected their clinical and treatment data. The average age at diagnosis was 45.5 years (Range 5–78) and the chordoma site distribution was 49.2% clivus, 26.2% spinal, and 24.0% sacral. Most patients (97.5%) received surgery as the primary treatment, among whom 85.3% also received additional treatment. Except for the most prevalent cancers like prostate, lung, breast, and skin cancer, there was no discernible enrichment for any specific cancer type among patients or their family members. Among a subset of patients ($N=70$) with tumor materials, we conducted omics analyses and obtained targeted panel sequencing and SNP array genotyping data for 51 and 49 patients, respectively. The most recurrent somatic driver mutations included *PIK3CA* (12%), followed by chromatin remodeling genes *PBRM1* and *SETD2*. Amplifcation of the 6q27 region, containing the chordoma susceptibility gene *TBXT*, was detected in eight patients (16.3%). Clival patients appeared to be less likely to carry driver gene mutations, chromosome arm level deletion events (e.g., 5p, 5p, and 9p), or 6q27 amplifcation compared to sacral patients. After adjusting for age, sex, tumor site, and additional treatment, patients with somatic deletions of 14q (OR=13.73, 95% CI 1.96–96.02, *P*=0.008) and 18p (OR=13.68, 95% CI 1.77–105.89, *P*=0.012) were more likely to have persistent chordoma. The study highlights genomic heterogeneity in chordoma, potentially linked to location and clinical progression.

Keywords Chordoma, Chordoma sites, Treatment, Genomic landscape, Clinical outcome

† Alisa M. Goldstein and Xiaohong R. Yang are Co-senior author.

^Dilys Parry: Deceased.

*Correspondence:

Xiaohong R. Yang

royang@mail.nih.gov

¹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer

Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA

² Cancer Genomics Research Laboratory, Leidos Biomedical Research,

Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research, Frederick, MD, USA ³ Department of Neurosurgery, Beijing Tiantan Hospital, Capital Medical

University, Beijing, China

4 Department of Pathology, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Introduction

Chordoma is a rare, slow-growing bone tumor (<1 per 100,000) which is believed to originate from notochordal remnants that persist along the axial skeleton into adulthood. The usual sites of origin include the skull-base, mobile spine, and sacrum/coccyx. The incidence of chordoma increases with age and is very rare in young patients, particularly in the frst decade of life [\[1](#page-9-0)]. Although chordomas are considered slow-growing, local recurrence is common.

Surgery is the mainstay of treatment for chordoma with radiation therapy as an optional adjuvant treatment, often depending on the location and extent of the tumor. Proton radiation therapy is regularly considered the best

This is a U.S. Government work and not under copyright protection in the US; foreign copyright protection may apply 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [mmons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

radiation treatment option, but its availability continues to be restricted $[2]$ $[2]$. There is presently inadequate clinical guidance on patient stratifcation regarding post-surgical treatment and treatment options for chordoma patients, particularly those with advanced disease, remain limited.

A better understanding of the molecular processes involved in chordoma tumorigenesis could lead to better-tailored treatments as well as improvements in prognostic prediction tools. We previously conducted whole genome sequencing (WGS) and RNA sequencing (RNA-Seq) in patients with skull-based chordoma from China and identifed genomic alterations and molecular subtypes that were associated with patient clinical outcomes [[3,](#page-9-2) [4](#page-9-3)]. Tumors in one expression subtype were more likely to have somatic mutations and reduced expression in chromatin remodeling genes, such as *PBRM1* and *SETD2*, whereas the other subtype was characterized by the upregulation of genes in epithelial–mesenchymal transition and Sonic Hedgehog pathways. However, genomic investigations of chordoma are limited particularly in datasets with well-annotated clinical data.

To gain insight into the predisposing and medical factors that might be related to chordoma prognosis, we evaluated clinical and questionnaire data collected from 184 chordoma patients recruited from the United States (US) and Canada. We conducted targeted panel sequencing and genome-wide SNP genotyping on a subset of 70 patients for whom tumor tissue and/or saliva samples were available.

Methods

Study population

The current study included 184 patients ranging from 5 to 78 years of age from the US and Canada, unselected for chordoma sites (Additional fle [1](#page-9-4)). We posted the information about our study including the study background, goals, activities required to participate, and eligibility on the website of the NCI Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, The Chordoma Foundation, and The Chordoma Support Group. In addition, we also sent a letter describing our study and contact information to neurosurgeons and radiation therapists at medical centers in the US and Canada who specialize in the diagnosis and treatment of chordoma patients. Patients interested in participating contacted us and provided contact information for eligible subjects/parents. We then sent a letter to each eligible adult subject and the parents of each eligible minor subject that described the goals and methods of the study, including its voluntary nature, its major components (completing a self-administered personal and family medical history questionnaire, collecting a saliva sample using the Oragene DNA Self-Collection kit, and providing permission for NCI to obtain relevant medical records, pathology reports and pathology materials), and provided the toll-free telephone number that the recipients could call if they had questions about the study. Parents served as proxies for children who were 5–17 years old. All diagnoses of chordoma were confrmed by reviewing pathologic slides or reports, medical records, or death certificates. The study was approved by institutional review boards at the National Institutes of Health (all participants provided written informed consent).

Genomic analyses

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor blocks or unstained sections were retrieved for 125 patients (Additional file [1\)](#page-9-4). Thirty-one of them were removed due to insufficient tumor tissue in the block or few tissue sections available. Blocks/sections from 94 patients were processed to maximize tumor cells through macrodissecting the tumor region and extracted for genomic DNA and total RNA. Among them, 24 samples had low DNA/RNA yield and/or quality, which led to 70 samples proceeding with downstream genomic analyses. Targeted sequencing was conducted using an AmpliSeq panel targeting 39 genes on the Ion Torrent S5 with an average coverage of $1158 \times$ per sample. The list of potential chordoma driver genes was compiled based on the work by Tarpey et al. (Additional fle [2\)](#page-9-4) [\[5](#page-9-5)]. After excluding samples with low sequencing quality, targeted sequencing data was available for 46 paired tumor and germline DNA (extracted from saliva) and 5 tumor-only samples. Torrent Variant Caller (TVC) was used for variant calling. Tumor/germline paired calls were made using the TVC algorithm implemented in Ion Reporter (V5.0.9). Variants were fltered out using the following criteria: *P*-value>5.0E−6, fagged by the Confdent Somatic Variants flter, allele frequency>0.001 in the gnomAD database, variant allele fraction<10% in tumor samples, and<50 total reads. Tumor-only somatic variants were called using Torrent Variant Caller 5.0.2 and excluded if minimum allele fraction < 0.02 , minimum coverage<100, and minimum variant score<6. Variants were annotated using snpEf, SnpSift and Annovar. We restricted our analysis to non-synonymous variants. Variant calls for targeted genes were checked manually through visual assessment using the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). A genome-wide SNP array (GSAMD-24v1-0_20011747_A1, Illumina) was used to investigate somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs). After removing QC-failed tumor and germline samples, SCNA data was obtained from 49 tumors (42 tumor/germline pairs and 7 tumor-only samples).

The MoChA software was used to detect SCNAs in tumor/germline pairs and tumor-only samples [\[6](#page-9-6)]. MoChA uses hidden Markov models (HMM) to integrate

Log R Ratio (LRR) and B Allele Frequency (BAF), leveraging haplotype information to detect subtle imbalances between maternal and paternal allelic fractions in a cell population. The Eagle software was used for phasing to infer haplotypes. LRR was used to determine the status of events (gain, loss, copy number neutral loss of heterozygosity [CNLOH]). All potential events were plotted and visualized, and false positive calls were excluded from the analysis based on the manual review of each plot.

RNA was quantitated using a ThermoScientific NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (cat. # ND-2000) and Agilent 4200 TapeStation. After assessing for low concentration or low percentages of RNA molecules>300 nucleotides long (3%), the remaining samples were processed by the University of North Carolina Translational Genomics Laboratory using the Nanostring nCounter Platform. Samples were run on a custom code set that included gene sets selected from an independent RNA-Seq analysis [\[4](#page-9-3)]. Forty-eight samples passed the quality check and were included in the fnal analysis as previously described [[4](#page-9-3)].

Statistical analyses

The questionnaire asked about current health regarding chordoma with the following response choices: (1) having no chordoma anywhere in the body, (2) having chordoma at the original site but tumor was not growing, (3) having chordoma at the original site or elsewhere and tumor was growing, or (4) having chordoma metastasized at other sites. Follow-up data was available for only 53 patients, and therefore, to assess patient characteristics associated with clinical outcomes, we used the presence of chordoma, either due to incomplete dissection, recurrence, or metastasis, at the time of the questionnaire (i.e. combined groups 2, 3, and 4) as a surrogate prognostic measure or recurrence or death status when available. We examined this outcome in relation to clinical characteristics such as age at diagnosis, sex, and tumor site after adjustment for radiation therapy. When associating the chordoma outcome with genomic events, we were not able to adjust for additional treatment due to insufficient power. This proxy prognostic measure correlated well with the self-reported chordoma status from the follow-up data (Additional fle [3](#page-9-7)). Since the majority of clival patients might not have complete surgical resection and the presence of chordoma is based on patients' self-report, we also conducted a sensitivity analysis by combining groups 1 and 2 into one category (no growing chordoma) and groups 3 and 4 into another category (growing or metastatic chordoma). Fisher's exact test was used to investigate diferences of genomic and clinical characteristics between diferent groups. We utilized logistic regression models to assess the associations between clinical characteristics/outcome and genomic events with the adjustment for age at diagnosis, sex, and chordoma site. Among the 51 patients with targeted sequencing data, only three of them had received radiation treatment prior to surgery. Therefore, we did not adjust for pre-surgery radiation treatment in the regression model.

Unsupervised consensus clustering was conducted based on RNA Nanostring profling and SNP array data to achieve gene expression and SCNA classifcation, respectively. We dichotomized the percent genome afected by SCNAs using the median value. Oncoplot was produced using the ComplexHeatmap package in R [\[7](#page-9-8)]. We utilized the Cancer Genome Interpreter (CGI) framework to identify potentially oncogenic mutations [\(https://](https://www.cancergenomeinterpreter.org/home) www.cancergenomeinterpreter.org/home). All statistical tests in the present study were two-sided and performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) or R version 4.3.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Results

Patient characteristics

Table [1](#page-4-0) shows the clinical characteristics for 184 chordoma patients from the US and Canada included in this study. The average age at diagnosis was 45.5 years (range 5–78); the majority were females (56.3%) and non-Hispanic Whites (95.5%). The chordoma site distribution was 49.2% clivus, 26.2% spinal, and 24.0% sacral. Consistent with previous studies $[8]$ $[8]$, we found that clival patients were associated with younger age at diagnosis (Mean=41.8 years) than patients with sacral tumors (Mean=52.3 years, *P*≤0.0001). Twenty-one out of 155 patients (13.5%) reported having a history of other cancer either before $(N=12)$ or after chordoma diagnosis $(N=7)$. Only breast, prostate, and skin cancer were reported by more than one patient (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Eighty-eight patients (56.8%) reported having a family history of cancer among frst-degree relatives, with breast, cervical, and skin cancer the most common cancer types among female relatives, and prostate, skin, and lung cancer the most prevalent among male relatives (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Two patients were subsequently found to have family members diagnosed with chordoma. All patients were alive at the time when the initial questionnaire was completed.

Among 157 patients with treatment data available, the vast majority (97.5%) had surgery as their primary treatment after chordoma diagnosis, including 12 patients who received radiation prior to surgery (Table 1). The average time between chordoma diagnosis and the frst surgery was 1.9 months, with almost all surgeries (97.3%) performed within a year. Most patients (85.3%) received additional treatment, including additional surgery (31.5%), proton radiation (42.5%), conventional radiation

Table 1 Patient characteristics in 184 chordoma patients

Characteristic	Ν	%
Age at diagnosis (years)		
Mean, SD	45.5	15.4
< 18	9	4.95
$18 - 30$	22	12.1
$30 - 50$	68	37.4
≥ 50	83	45.6
Missing	$\overline{2}$	
Sex		
Female	103	56.3
Male	80	43.7
Missing	1	
Race/Ethnicity		
Hispanic/Latino	7	4.5
Non-Hispanic white	150	95.5
Missing	27	
Chordoma site		
Clivus	90	49.2
Sacrum	48	26.2
Spine	44	24.0
Multiple	1	0.5
Missing	1	
Personal history of additional cancer		
No	134	86.5
Yes	21	13.5
Missing	29	
Type of additional cancer ^a		
Blood	1	4.8
Breast	$\overline{2}$	9.5
Colon	1	4.8
Endometrial	1	4.8
Ovarian	1	4.8
Prostate	3	14.3
Skin	7	33.3
Solid pseudopapillary	1	4.8
Testicular	1	4.8
Thyroid	1	4.8
Unknown	2	9.5
Missing		
Having additional cancer before chordoma diagnosis		
No	7	36.8
Yes	12	63.2
Missing	2	
Family history of cancer ^b		
No	67	43.2
Yes	88	56.8
Missing	29	
Type of cancer among FDR ^c		
Bladder		3.1
Blood	5	3.8
Breast ^d	20	15.3

Table 1 (continued)

ⁱ Self-reported data among those with updated follow-up data

^j Death cases are included in this category

(24.4%), systemic (0.8%), and multi-modality (0.8%) treatment (Additional file 4). At the time of the administration of the initial questionnaire, which was on average 7.0 years after the frst chordoma diagnosis, 76 patients reported not having chordoma anywhere, 31 had chordoma at the original site but the tumor was not growing, 26 had chordoma at the original site or elsewhere and the tumor was growing, and 20 had chordoma spreading to other sites (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Among 53 patients with a returned follow-up questionnaire 7–12 years after completing the initial questionnaire (on average 13.1 years since the frst chordoma diagnosis), there were 12 reported deaths (Additional file 4), 9 patients (3 clivus, 4 spinal, and 2 sacral) reported a recurrence or relapse of chordoma (5 of them developed multiple recurrences/relapses), and 6

reported that the chordoma had metastasized to other organs (lung, abdomen, brain stem, and pancreas).

Genomic profles

After removing QC-failed samples at various steps, targeted sequencing, SNP array genotyping, and RNA profling data were available for 51, 49, and 48 patients, respectively. Non-synonymous mutations were detected in 23 (45.1%) tumor samples, involving 17 potential chor-doma driver genes (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)a). The most frequently mutated gene was *PIK3CA*, mutations in which were present in six (12%) patients, followed by *LYST* (10%), *ATM* (6%), and *USP9X* (6%). A notable observation was the seemingly mutually exclusive nature of mutations among *LYST*, *ATM* and *USP9X* as well as *PIK3CA*, *ATM*, and *USP9X*, although these are based on small numbers, within this patient cohort. Additionally, mutations within the chromatin remodeling genes *PBRM1*, *SETD2*, and *SMARCB1*, which have previously been recognized as frequently altered in chordoma [\[3](#page-9-2), [5](#page-9-5)], were each identifed in two patients. Using the CGI tool ([https://www.cancergeno](https://www.cancergenomeinterpreter.org/home) [meinterpreter.org/home\)](https://www.cancergenomeinterpreter.org/home), we found that 53% of mutations shown in Fig. [1a](#page-5-0) were predicted to be driver rather than passenger mutations. Notably, almost all mutations in *PIK3CA* (except one), *PBRM1*, *SETD2*, *SMARCB1*, *TP53* and *MAP3K4* were predicted as driver mutations. One patient, who was a Hispanic female diagnosed with skull-base chordoma at the age of 26 and later developed metastases post-surgery and radiation therapy, exhibited both a missense mutation in *PBRM1* and a frameshift mutation in *SMARCB1,* both predicted as driver mutations. Consistent with previous fndings that *TP53* mutations are rare in chordoma [[3,](#page-9-2) [5](#page-9-5)], only one patient carried a *TP53* mutation, which was predicted as a driver mutation based on the CGI framework (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)a). In contrast, none of the mutations observed in *LYST*, *ATM*, *ATR*, and *USP9X* were predicted as driver mutations (Fig. [1a](#page-5-0)).

Somatic SCNAs were identifed in the majority of tumors, with the percentage of genome afected by SCNAs ranging from 1 to 70% (mean=30% across all patients). Frequent chromosome-level or arm-level SCNAs (i.e., 90% of the p or q arm of the chromosome covered by SCNAs) included gains of chromosomes 1q, 2, and 7, and deletions of 1p, 3, 4, 9p, 9q, 10, 13q, 14q, 18, and 22 (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)b). Clustering analysis revealed two distinct groups of patients based on SCNA events. The first group $(C1, N=33)$ demonstrated extensive SCNAs, while the tumors in the second group $(C2, N=16)$ had either few SCNAs or scattered events such as copy neutral loss of heterozygosity (LOH) events and copy number gains (Fig. [1b](#page-5-0)). Amplifcation of the 6q27 region, which harbors the chordoma susceptibility gene *TBXT*, was detected in eight patients (16.3%), six of whom exhibited high copy number gains.

a)

 $$

Fig. 1 Genomic landscape of chordoma tumors: **A** Driver gene mutations; and **B** Somatic copy number alterations (Red: gain; Light blue: deletion; Dark blue: copy neutral LOH). Patients were clustered into two major groups. C1 (green): extensive SCNAs; C2 (gray): few or scattered SCNAs

We utilized a NanoString panel, comprising 21 of the most diferentially expressed genes identifed through an RNA-Seq analysis of skull-base chordoma samples [\[4](#page-9-3)], to perform molecular classifcation within our cohort. We observed two primary groups in 48 tumors, with tumors in one of the clusters (NCC2) showing upregulation of

most examined genes, as previously described in Fig. [1](#page-5-0) of our previously published work by Bai et al. [\[4](#page-9-3)].

Genomic profles in relation to clinical characteristics and outcomes

Among 63 distinct patients with any genomic data, the chordoma site distribution was 42.8% clivus, 28.6% spinal, and 28.6% sacral. The self-reported chordoma status distribution was: having no chordoma present anywhere $(N=24, 49.0\%)$, having chordoma at the original site but the tumor was not growing $(N=5, 10.2%)$, having chordoma at the original site or elsewhere and the tumor was growing $(N=10, 20.4\%)$, or having chordoma spreading to other sites ($N=10$, 20.4%). Older patients (≥ 50 years) were more likely to have a higher percent of the genome afected by SCNA events (PGA, *P*=0.005), particularly deletion events on chromosomes 1p, 3p, 4p, 4q, 10p, 10q, and 18q (Table [2\)](#page-7-0). Interestingly, deletions of chromosome 4p were more prevalent among females than males (*P*=0.004), while 7p gain was more common among males than females $(P=0.029,$ Table [2\)](#page-7-0). Among 49 patients with both genomic and clinical data, 21 had clival, 15 had sacral, and 13 had spinal chordoma. After adjustment for age and sex, sacral tumors $(OR = 5.76,$ *P*=0.020) were more likely to harbor driver gene mutations compared to clival tumors. Deletions of chromosomes 5p (*P*=0.033), 5q (*P*=0.026) and 9p (*P*=0.004) were more prevalent in sacral tumors compared to clival tumors, whereas chromosome 1q gain (*P*=0.013) was more likely to be present in clival tumors (Table [2](#page-7-0), Fig. [2](#page-8-0)). Among the tumors with *TBXT* amplifcation, two were clival, one was spinal, and the remaining fve were sacral and from female patients. RNA expression subtype did not appear to be associated with age, sex, or chordoma site (Table 2). The individual diagnosed with a poorly diferentiated chordoma had SNP array data exclusively accessible and was categorized into cluster C2 according to SCNA events observed in his tumor.

Compared to patients free of chordoma at the time of the questionnaire, patients with persistent chordoma or who died were more likely to have deletions of chromosome 4p (OR=13.28, 95% CI 1.11–158.60, *P*=0.041), 14q (OR=13.73, 95% CI 1.96–96.02, *P*=0.008), and 18p (OR=13.68, 95% CI 1.77–105.89, *P*=0.012, Table [2](#page-7-0), Additional fle [5\)](#page-9-11). On the other hand, RNA expression subtype, SCNA cluster, PGA, or driver gene mutations were not signifcantly associated with the presence of chordoma (Table [2\)](#page-7-0). We also conducted a sensitivity analysis comparing patients with chordoma that was growing or metastatic chordoma to those with either no chordoma present or no growing chordoma. The main fndings did not difer signifcantly (Additional fle [6\)](#page-9-12).

Discussion

The rarity of chordoma poses a significant challenge in comprehensively exploring its disease course, clinical behavior, and biology. In this study, we accrued 184 chordoma patients residing in the United States and Canada and collected information on personal and family history of cancer, treatment for chordoma, and chordoma status through questionnaires. The collection of tumor materials and saliva samples from a subset of these patients enabled us to perform molecular analyses, validating molecular markers previously identifed in recent omics studies [[3,](#page-9-2) [5](#page-9-5)]. Our genomic data confrmed previous fndings that *PIK3CA* and chromatin remodeling genes were among the most frequently mutated genes in chordoma, while the potential driver role of *LYST* and *USP9X* were less certain. Results from our study also underscore the heterogeneous nature of chordoma, revealing variations based on tumor location and genomic features, which appear to be interrelated. This emphasizes the importance of integrating molecular markers into clinical management practices.

Most patients in this study underwent additional treatment following the initial tumor resection, with over half of patients having multiple additional treatments. At the time of questionnaire administration, conducted on average approximately 7 years post-initial chordoma diagnosis, nearly half of the patients exhibited chordoma persistence either at the original site or in other locations. This underscores the formidable challenge of achieving complete tumor resection, particularly evident in clival chordoma patients, who were more prone to needing additional treatment and having residual tumor at the original site. It is worth noting that advancements in surgical techniques may have contributed to improvements in recent years. Although clival patients are more likely to have chordoma persistence, metastases are known to occur more commonly among non-clival than clival patients [\[9](#page-9-13)]. Data from the current analysis also revealed a higher incidence of metastasis among sacral patients, although the diference did not reach statistical signifcance, possibly due to the small sample size. Further, we previously have shown that sacral patients in chordoma high-risk families were more likely to have larger tumors than clival patients $[1]$ $[1]$. While the larger tumor size and higher propensity for metastasis might be attributed to delayed diagnosis due to a lack of symptoms in the early stages, our genomic fndings suggest that sacral tumors may exhibit a more aggressive biological behavior compared to clival tumors. We found that sacral patients were more inclined to harbor driver gene mutations, deletion events, such as the deletion of chromosome 9p containing the tumor suppressor gene *CDKN2A*, and

TBXT amplifications. These insights shed light on the complexities of chordoma progression and underscore the importance of tailoring treatment strategies based on the specifc characteristics of the tumor's location.

Researchers from the University of Pittsburgh previously reported an independent association between 1p36 and 9p21 deletions and shorter survival among clival patients $[10]$ $[10]$. They classified patients into three risk groups based on the combinations of these two markers. Similarly, our previous analysis of clival chordoma tumors using whole-genome sequencing revealed that deletions of 9p and 9q were linked to worse recurrencefree survival. However, we demonstrated that the combined alterations of *PBRM1* and 22q deletion were more signifcant in predicting prognosis [[3\]](#page-9-2). In the current study, encompassing all tumor locations, we identifed potential prognostic value in deletions of two additional chromosomal regions, 14q and 18p. The variability in these fndings underscores the importance of validating these markers in studies with many patients using standardized assays. The panel of 1p36 and 9p21 markers has already been incorporated into some pathology laboratories. In our patient cohort, spanning nearly fve decades of chordoma diagnoses, only the four most recently diagnosed patients were tested for this panel. Future studies are warranted to evaluate the predictive value of these genetic markers with more comprehensive data available. Additionally, there is a need to

develop a more comprehensive scheme that incorporates both genetic and epigenetic markers, along with targets related to the tumor microenvironment, to refne prognostic classifcation.

Chordoma has been noted for its morphological resem-blance to clear cell renal cell carcinoma (ccRCC) [\[11](#page-9-15)]. Our previous whole-genome sequencing (WGS) analysis revealed similar mutational signature profles for chordoma and ccRCC [[3](#page-9-2)]. However, none of the chordoma patients in our study exhibited ccRCC, and kidney cancer was not prevalent among their frst-degree relatives. Moreover, apart from the most prevalent cancers like prostate, lung, breast, and skin cancer, there was no discernible enrichment for any specifc cancer type among patients or their family members.

Our study is relatively small due to the challenges of researching rare cancers, which have limited our statistical power, especially in identifying associations with molecular data. While we invited all chordoma patients in the US and Canada to participate, our study population may not fully represent the general patient demographic. For instance, patients with more advanced disease might not have been included in the study since they may have been too ill or have died before they were enrolled into the study. The molecular analyses conducted are susceptible to selection bias, as they rely on high-quality tumor materials. Additionally, the variability in surgical and pathology reports is signifcant due to patients being

diagnosed and treated at diferent times and in diferent hospitals. Information on immunohistochemical markers and histology types is also limited. Our study is primarily cross-sectional and questionnaire-based, with limited longitudinal follow-up data, which hinders our ability to assess survival associations. Lastly, our exploration of relationships between genomic and clinical features was largely exploratory, limited by the small number of patients with genomic data.

In conclusion, our study provides a comprehensive clinical and molecular landscape of chordoma across multiple sites. The identified heterogeneity in chordoma underscores the complexity of the disease. The insights gleaned from our research provide a deeper understanding of chordoma, laying the groundwork for the development of personalized management and treatment options.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-024-01833-9) [org/10.1186/s40478-024-01833-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-024-01833-9).

Additional file 1. Additional file 2 Additional fle 3. Additional fle 4. Additional fle 5. Additional fle 6.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to the participating patients, whose generosity and cooperation have made this study possible. We acknowledge the contributions to this work that were made by Deborah Zametkin, Stephanie Steinbart, Lisa Leathwood, Sallie Koutsos, Neve Brennan, and Deborah Flamish at Westat, as well as Soundarya Avantsa, Jossy Koshy, Bia Sajjad, Krish Wahi and Renee Bremer from the Clinical Genetics Branch, DCEG, NCI including nursing and research assistant support and helping to create the dataset used for the analyses and the follow-up data. We thank the Chordoma Foundation for the help in referring patients to our study.

Author contributions

AMG, DP, and XRY conceived the study design, obtained the funding, and contributed to patient recruitment and data collection. HK conducted all statistical analyses. WZ, WL, AK, TZ, XL, DW, KJ, AV, and BH provided help with experimental assays and bioinformatic analyses. XH provided statistical consultation. MLM and JB provided clinical support and consultation. AMG, HK, and XRY drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Funding

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health.

Availability of data and materials

The targeted sequencing and NanoString data for the European ancestry replication dataset have been deposited in dbGaP under accession # phs001280. v1.p1.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by institutional review boards at the National Institutes of Health (all participants provided written informed consent).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 24 April 2024 Accepted: 30 June 2024 Published online: 12 August 2024

References

- 1. Parry DM, McMaster ML, Liebsch NJ, Patronas NJ, Quezado MM, Zametkin D et al (2020) Clinical fndings in families with chordoma with and without T gene duplications and in patients with sporadic chordoma reported to the surveillance, epidemiology, and end results program. J Neurosurg. 134(12):1399–1408
- 2. Mercado CE, Holtzman AL, Rotondo R, Rutenberg MS, Mendenhall WM (2019) Proton therapy for skull base tumors: a review of clinical outcomes for chordomas and chondrosarcomas. Head Neck 41(2):536–541
- 3. Bai J, Shi J, Li C, Wang S, Zhang T, Hua X et al (2021) Whole genome sequencing of skull-base chordoma reveals genomic alterations associated with recurrence and chordoma-specifc survival. Nat Commun 12(1):757
- 4. Bai J, Shi J, Zhang Y, Li C, Xiong Y, Koka H et al (2023) Gene expression profling identifes two chordoma subtypes associated with distinct molecular mechanisms and clinical outcomes. Clin Cancer Res 29(1):261–270
- 5. Tarpey PS, Behjati S, Young MD, Martincorena I, Alexandrov LB, Farndon SJ et al (2017) The driver landscape of sporadic chordoma. Nat Commun 8(1):890
- 6. Loh PR, Genovese G, Handsaker RE, Finucane HK, Reshef YA, Palamara PF et al (2018) Insights into clonal haematopoiesis from 8342 mosaic chromosomal alterations. Nature 559(7714):350–355
- 7. Gu Z, Eils R, Schlesner M (2016) Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in multidimensional genomic data. Bioinformatics 32(18):2847–2849
- 8. Zuckerman SL, Bilsky MH, Laufer I (2018) Chordomas of the skull base, mobile spine, and sacrum: an epidemiologic investigation of presentation, treatment, and survival. World Neurosurg 113:e618–e627
- 9. Vergara G, Belinchon B, Valcarcel F, Veiras M, Zapata I, de la Torre A (2008) Metastatic disease from chordoma. Clin Transl Oncol 10(8):517–521
- 10. Zenonos GA, Fernandez-Miranda JC, Mukherjee D, Chang YF, Panayidou K, Snyderman CH et al (2018) Prospective validation of a molecular prognostication panel for clival chordoma. J Neurosurg 130(5):1528–1537
- 11. Clayton EF, Ziober A, Yao Y, Bing Z (2013) Malignant tumors with clear cell morphology: a comparative immunohistochemical study with renal cell carcinoma antibody, Pax8, steroidogenic factor 1, and brachyury. Ann Diagn Pathol 17(2):192–197

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.