UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Opers versus nonabelian Hodge

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6qq0s9pn>

Authors

Dumitrescu, Olivia Fredrickson, Laura Kydonakis, Georgios [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6qq0s9pn#author)

Publication Date

2016-07-07

Peer reviewed

OPERS VERSUS NONABELIAN HODGE

OLIVIA DUMITRESCU, LAURA FREDRICKSON, GEORGIOS KYDONAKIS, RAFE MAZZEO, MOTOHICO MULASE, AND ANDREW NEITZKE

ABSTRACT. For a complex simple simply connected Lie group *G*, and a compact Riemann surface *C*, we consider two sorts of families of flat *G*-connections over *C*. Each family is determined by a point **u** of the base of Hitchin's integrable system for (G, C) . One family $\nabla_{h, \mathbf{u}}$ consists of *G*-opers, and depends on $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. The other family $\nabla_{R,\zeta,\mathbf{u}}$ is built from solutions of Hitchin's equations, and depends on $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, R \in \mathbb{R}^+$. We show that in the scaling limit $R \to 0$, $\zeta = \hbar R$, we have $\nabla_{R,\zeta,\mathbf{u}} \to \nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$. This establishes and generalizes a conjecture formulated by Gaiotto.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Summary.** The main result of this paper is the proof of an extension of a conjecture formulated by Gaiotto in [\[1\]](#page-22-0), Conjecture [3.1](#page-12-0) below. This result concerns certain families of flat *G*connections, for a simple, simply connected complex Lie group *G*. The case $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ is Theorem [3.2](#page-12-1) below. The general case is Theorem [4.11.](#page-21-0)

1.2. **The case of** $G = SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. Fix a compact Riemann surface C of genus $g \geq 2$ and a holomorphic quadratic differential *φ*² on *C*. This data determines two natural families of *SL*(2, **C**) connections over *C*, as follows.

• First, we consider the family of *opers* determined by *φ*2. These are global versions of the locally-defined second-order differential operators (Schrödinger operators)

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\phi_2} : \psi(z) \mapsto \left[-\hbar^2 \partial_z^2 + P_2(z) \right] \psi(z), \tag{1.1}
$$

where $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, and

$$
\phi_2 = P_2(z) \, \mathrm{d}z^2 \tag{1.2}
$$

locally. The operator $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\phi}$ makes sense globally with the following two stipulations:

- $-$ We consider $ψ(z)$ as a section of $K_C^{-1/2}$ $\bar{C}^{1/2}$.
- **–** We use only coordinate charts in the atlas on *C* coming from Fuchsian uniformization, so that the transition maps are Möbius transformations.

By a standard maneuver, replacing ψ by its 1-jet, we can convert $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\phi_2}$ to a flat connection ∇_{\hbar,ϕ_2} in a rank 2 vector bundle E_{\hbar} over *C*. Holomorphically, E_{\hbar} is an extension

$$
0 \to K_C^{1/2} \to E_\hbar \to K_C^{-1/2} \to 0. \tag{1.3}
$$

 E_h has distinguished local trivializations defined canonically in terms of coordinate charts on *C*, and in such a trivialization,

$$
\nabla_{\hbar,\phi_2} = \mathbf{d} + \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d} z, \qquad \hbar \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{1.4}
$$

• Second, we consider a *Higgs bundle* determined by *φ*2: this is the bundle

$$
E = K_C^{1/2} \oplus K_C^{-1/2}
$$
 (1.5)

equipped with its standard holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_E$, and a "Higgs field" $\varphi \in \Omega^{1,0}(\text{End }E)$ represented in local trivializations by

$$
\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz.
$$
 (1.6)

According to the nonabelian Hodge theorem (Theorem [2.5\)](#page-4-0), associated to (*E*, $\bar{\delta}_E$, φ) there is a canonical family of flat connections in *E*, of the form

$$
\nabla_{\zeta,\phi_2} = \zeta^{-1}\varphi + D_h + \zeta \varphi^{\dagger_h}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times,\tag{1.7}
$$

where the Hermitian metric *h* is determined by solving a certain elliptic PDE on *C* (Hitchin's equation, (2.1) below), and D_h is the associated Chern connection.

The families [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) are evidently similar; in particular, their leading terms in the $\hbar \to 0$ or $\zeta \rightarrow 0$ limit match, if we set $\hbar = \zeta$. However, these two families are *not* exactly the same.

Gaiotto in [\[1\]](#page-22-0) proposed a relation between them, as follows. Introduce an additional parameter *R* ∈ **R**⁺ and rescale the Higgs field by φ → *R* φ ; this leads to a 2-parameter analogue of [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0),

$$
\nabla_{\zeta,R,\phi_2} = R\zeta^{-1}\varphi + D_{h(R)} + R\zeta\varphi^{\dagger_{h(R)}}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times, R \in \mathbb{R}^+.
$$
 (1.8)

Now fix $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and consider a scaling limit where

$$
\zeta = R\hbar, \qquad R \searrow 0. \tag{1.9}
$$

(In other words, we take both $R \to 0$ and $\zeta \to 0$, while holding their ratio $\zeta/R = \hbar$ fixed.) Gaiotto conjectured that in this limit the connections ∇*ζ*,*R*,*φ*² converge, and that the limiting connection is an oper. In §[3.2](#page-13-0) below we prove that this is indeed the case, and that the limiting oper is equivalent to ∇_{\hbar,ϕ_2} of [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0).

1.3. **The case of** $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. The story just described has an extension where we make the following replacements:

quadratic differentials
$$
\phi_2
$$

\norder-2 differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\phi_2}$
\n $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -connections\n $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -connections\n $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$

Both the families [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) and [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) admit generalizations to this setting. As in the case $G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we show that these two families are related by the scaling limit [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2). This extension is Theorem [3.2,](#page-12-1) proven in §[3.3.](#page-15-0) For the reader's convenience we also review the construction of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}}$ generalizing [\(1.1\)](#page-1-1), in §[2.11.](#page-11-0)

1.4. **The case of general** *G***.** Finally we treat the case of a general simple, simply connected complex Lie group *G*. Once again, both families [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) and [\(1.8\)](#page-2-1) admit generalizations to this setting, and we show in Theorem [4.11](#page-21-0) that these two families are again related by the scaling limit [\(1.9\)](#page-2-2).

1.5. **Punctures.** Strictly speaking, the connections we consider are not quite the same as those studied in [\[1\]](#page-22-0): that paper mainly concerned *meromorphic* quadratic differentials ϕ_2 on \mathbb{CP}^1 , rather than holomorphic ones on a Riemann surface *C*. We expect that the methods of this paper can be generalized to the meromorphic case, but we do not treat that extension here.

1.6. **Motivations.** One motivation for this work (as well as for [\[1\]](#page-22-0)) is the desire to understand the relation between asymptotics of flat sections of the two families of connections [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0). The analysis of the $\hbar \to 0$ asymptotic behavior of Schrödinger equations, i.e. of [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0), has a long history; it goes under the name of the "WKB approximation," more recently sharpened to the "exact WKB method." See e.g. [\[2,](#page-22-1) [3\]](#page-22-2) for highly readable accounts. On the other hand, recently the $\zeta \to 0$ asymptotics of the families [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) has been studied in [\[4,](#page-22-3) [5\]](#page-22-4). The two analyses address *a priori* different problems, and involve different methods. In [\[4,](#page-22-3) [5\]](#page-22-4) the main analytic tool is a certain integral equation related to *tt*[∗] geometry, while in the exact WKB method this role is played by the Borel resummability of solutions of Schrödinger equations. Nevertheless, the formal structures (Stokes graphs and connection formulae) which appear in the two cases are the same. Optimistically, the link between [\(1.4\)](#page-1-0) and [\(1.7\)](#page-2-0) provided by the results of [\[1\]](#page-22-0) and this paper might help in finding a direct passage between these two asymptotic analyses.

A related motivation comes from physics: the result of this paper should be helpful in understanding the relation between opers and the Nekrasov-Shatashvili limit of the Nekrasov partition function, as formulated most sharply in [\[6\]](#page-23-0) (in the case $G = SL(2, \mathbb{C})$.)

1.7. **Acknowledgements.** We thank the American Institute of Mathematics for its hospitality during the workshop "New perspectives on spectral data for Higgs bundles," where this work was initiated. We also thank the organizers and all the participants of the workshop, and particularly Philip Boalch for posing the question which led to this work. We thank David Ben-Zvi and Lotte Hollands for many useful conversations about opers.

This work is supported by National Science Foundation awards DMS-1105050 (RM), DMS-1309298 (MM), DMS-1151693 (LF,AN). OD is a member of the Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy.

2. BACKGROUND, FOR $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$

In this section we give some background on the main players in our story: Hitchin's equations, the Hitchin section, and opers. We specialize to the case $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ and thus work with vector bundles rather than principal bundles.

2.1. **Hitchin's equations.** Fix a compact Riemann surface *C* of genus $g \ge 2$ and an integer $N \ge 2$. We consider tuples (E, h, D, φ) comprised of:

- A rank *N* complex vector bundle *E* over *C*, equipped with a trivialization of det *E*,
- A Hermitian metric *h* in *E* which induces the trivial metric on det *E*,
- An *h*-unitary connection *D* in *E*,
- A traceless section *ϕ* of End(*E*) ⊗ *KC*.

Hitchin's equations [\[7\]](#page-23-1) are a system of nonlinear PDE for these data:

$$
F_D + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger_h}] = 0, \tag{2.1a}
$$

$$
\bar{\partial}_D \varphi = 0. \tag{2.1b}
$$

Here F_D denotes the curvature of *D*, \mathbf{t}_h means the adjoint with respect to the metric *h*, and $\bar{\partial}_D$ is the (0, 1) part of the connection *D*.

We shall actually be considering a rescaled version of (2.1) ,

$$
F_D + R^2[\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger_h}] = 0,
$$
\n(2.2a)

$$
\bar{\partial}_D \varphi = 0,\tag{2.2b}
$$

obtained by replacing $\varphi \to R\varphi$, where $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

2.2. **Higgs bundles.** Now suppose given a solution (E, h, D, φ) of [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1). The operator ∂_D gives a holomorphic structure on *E*. Equation [\(2.2b\)](#page-3-2) then says that φ is a holomorphic section of End(*E*) ⊗ K_C . Thus the tuple $(E, \bar{\partial}_D, \varphi)$ is an $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle:

Definition 2.1. An $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle over C is a tuple $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \varphi)$:

- A rank *N* complex vector bundle *E* over *C*, equipped with a trivialization of det *E*,
- A holomorphic structure ¯*∂^E* on *E*,
- A traceless holomorphic section *ϕ* of End(*E*) ⊗ *KC*.

2.3. **Harmonic metrics.** Conversely, suppose given an $SL(N,\mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}, \varphi)$ and a Hermitian metric *h* on *E* inducing the trivial metric on det *E*. Then there is a unique *h*-unitary connection D_h in *E* whose $(0,1)$ part is $\bar{\partial}_{D_h} = \bar{\partial}_E$ (Chern connection). We write its $(1,0)$ part as ∂_E^h , and the full D_h as

$$
D_h = \bar{\partial}_E + \partial_E^h. \tag{2.3}
$$

The equation [\(2.2b\)](#page-3-2) automatically holds when $D = D_h$. The equation [\(2.2a\)](#page-3-3) with $D = D_h$ becomes a nonlinear PDE for the metric *h*:

Definition 2.2. Given an *SL*(*N*, **C**)-Higgs bundle (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ*), and *R* ∈ **R**+, a *harmonic metric with parameter R* is a Hermitian metric *h* on *E*, inducing the trivial metric on det *E*, such that

$$
F_{D_h} + R^2[\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger_h}] = 0. \tag{2.4}
$$

Thus, we have

 R emark 2.3. *Given an SL*(N, ℂ)-Higgs bundle (E, $\bar{\partial}_E$, φ), $R ∈ \mathbb{R}^+$, and a harmonic metric h with pa*rameter R, the tuple* (E, h, D_h, φ) gives a solution of Hitchin's equations [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1).

Next we consider the existence of harmonic metrics.

Definition 2.4. An *SL*(*N*, **C**)-Higgs bundle (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ*) is called *stable* if there is no holomorphic $\mathsf{subbundle}\; E' \subset E \;\mathsf{such\;that}\; \varphi(E') \subset E' \otimes K_C \;\mathsf{and}\; \deg(E') > 0.$

The following key result ("nonabelian Hodge theorem") is proven in [\[8\]](#page-23-2):^{[1](#page-4-1)}

 $\bf{Theorem 2.5.}$ *Given a stable SL*(N, \bf{C})-Higgs bundle (E, $\bar{\bf{\delta}}_E$, $\bf{\phi}$), and any $R\in{\mathbb{R}}^+$, there exists a unique *harmonic metric h with parameter R.*

Combining this with Remark [2.3,](#page-4-2) we see that given a stable Higgs bundle and a parameter *R*, we obtain a solution of Hitchin's equations [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1) with parameter *R*.

2.4. **Real twistor lines.** Given a solution (*E*, *h*, *D*, *ϕ*) of Hitchin's equations [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1) with parameter *R*, there is a corresponding family of flat non-unitary connections in *E*, given by the formula

$$
\nabla_{\zeta} = \zeta^{-1} R \varphi + D + \zeta R \varphi^{\dagger_h}, \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}.
$$
 (2.5)

Indeed, the statement that ∇_{ζ} is flat for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is equivalent to [\(2.2\)](#page-3-1). The family [\(2.5\)](#page-4-3) is sometimes called a "real twistor line," because of the role it plays in the twistorial description of the hyperkähler metric on the moduli space of solutions of (2.2) .

2.5. **The principal** $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ -triple. Define

$$
H = \begin{pmatrix} N-1 & & & & \\ & N-3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -N+3 & \\ & & & & -N+1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.6}
$$

$$
X_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{r_{1}} & & & \\ & 0 & \sqrt{r_{2}} & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 & \sqrt{r_{N-1}} \\ & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.7}
$$

¹More precisely, the theorem in [\[8\]](#page-23-2) concerns $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles rather than $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles, but it is straightforward to deduce the version for *SL*(*N*, **C**)-bundles.

$$
X_{-} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ \sqrt{r_1} & 0 & & \\ & \sqrt{r_2} & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & \sqrt{r_{N-1}} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(2.8)

where

$$
r_i = i(N - i). \tag{2.9}
$$

These make up an $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ -triple:

$$
[H, X_{\pm}] = \pm 2X_{\pm}, \qquad [X_{+}, X_{-}] = H. \tag{2.10}
$$

In addition, for each $n \geq 1$, choose (once and for all) a nonzero matrix X_n , such that only the *ij* entries with *j* − *i* = *n* are nonzero (the *n* th superdiagonal), or equivalently

$$
[H, X_n] = 2nX_n,\tag{2.11}
$$

and also

$$
[X_+, X_n] = 0. \t(2.12)
$$

For example, when $N = 4$ we could choose

$$
X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.13)

For later use we record a few facts, obtained by direct computation:

Proposition 2.6. *We have the following:*

- *The equations* [\(2.11\)](#page-5-0), [\(2.12\)](#page-5-1) *determine* X_n *up to a scalar multiple for* $n > 0$ *, and the solution* X_n *has the antidiagonal symmetry* $(X_n)_{ij} = (X_n)_{N+1-j,N+1-i}.$
- *The equations* [\(2.11\)](#page-5-0), [\(2.12\)](#page-5-1) *determine* X_0 *to be a multiple of the identity.*
- *The equations* [\(2.11\)](#page-5-0), [\(2.12\)](#page-5-1) *have only the solution* $X_n = 0$ *for* $n < 0$ *.*

2.6. **The Hitchin component.**

Definition 2.7. The *Hitchin base* is the vector space

$$
\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{n=2}^{N} H^{0}(C, K_{C}^{n}).
$$
\n(2.14)

We denote points of β by

$$
\mathbf{u} = (\phi_2, \dots, \phi_N). \tag{2.15}
$$

Now fix a spin structure on C , i.e. a holomorphic line bundle $\mathcal L$ over C equipped with an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}^2 \simeq K_\mathcal{C}$. Over each local coordinate chart (U,z) on \mathcal{C} , \mathcal{L} has two distinguished trivimorphism $\mathcal{L}^{-} \cong \kappa_C$. Over each local coordinate chart (u,z) on C, $\mathcal L$ has two distinguished trivializations corresponding to the two square roots \sqrt{dz} ; we choose one of these arbitrarily for each chart. Then the transition map for $\mathcal L$ between charts (U, z) and (U', z') is √

$$
(z,s) \sim (z', s' = \alpha_{z,z'}s), \text{ where } \alpha_{z,z'} = \frac{\sqrt{dz}}{\sqrt{dz'}}.
$$
 (2.16)

Definition 2.8. The *Hitchin component* is a set of stable *SL*(*N*, **C**)-Higgs bundles (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ***u**), parameterized by $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$, as follows:

• *E* is the smooth vector bundle

$$
E = \mathcal{L}^{N-1} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{N-3} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-N+3} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-N+1}.
$$
 (2.17)

Our distinguished local trivializations of $\mathcal L$ induce distinguished local trivializations of E . Note that the exponents appearing in [\(2.17\)](#page-6-0) are the diagonal entries of the matrix *H* from [\(2.6\)](#page-4-4). Thus the transition maps between distinguished trivializations of *E* are

$$
\alpha_{z,z'}^H = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{z,z'}^{N-1} & & & \\ & \alpha_{z,z'}^{N-3} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \alpha_{z,z'}^{-N+3} \\ & & & & \alpha_{z,z'}^{-N+1} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (2.18)

- $\bar{\partial}_E$ is the holomorphic structure on *E* induced from the one on \mathcal{L} .
- Fix a chart (U, z) and write $\phi_n = P_{n,z} dz^n$. The Higgs field $\phi_u \in End E \otimes K_C$ is, relative to the distinguished local trivialization of *E*,

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z} = \left(X_- + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} P_{n+1,z} X_n\right) dz.
$$
 (2.19)

(Note that this indeed makes global sense, i.e. $\alpha_{z,z'}^H \varphi_{\mathbf{u},z} \alpha_{z',z}^H = \varphi_{\mathbf{u},z'}$.)

Example 2.9. For $N = 5$, (for one choice of normalizations of the X_n),

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2P_2 & 2P_3 & P_4 & P_5 \\ 2 & 0 & \sqrt{6}P_2 & \sqrt{6}P_3 & P_4 \\ 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & \sqrt{6}P_2 & 2P_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{6} & 0 & 2P_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} dz.
$$
 (2.20)

Here and below, when working in a single coordinate chart (*U*, *z*), we sometimes drop the explicit subscripts *z* to reduce clutter. Note that the characteristic polynomial of this matrix is

$$
t^5 - 20P_2t^3 - 14\sqrt{6}P_3t^2 - (24P_4 - 64P_2^2)t - (24P_5 - 32\sqrt{6}P_2P_3),
$$
\n(2.21)

so with our conventions, the P_n are *not* the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial, but they both determine and can be recovered from these coefficients.

When *N* is even, the Hitchin component depends on the choice of spin structure. When *N* is odd, only even powers of $\mathcal L$ appear, so in fact the Hitchin component does not depend on the spin structure.

2.7. **The bilinear pairing.** The bundle *E* given by [\(2.17\)](#page-6-0) has a nondegenerate complex bilinear pairing *S*, i.e. an isomorphism $S : E \to E^*$, coming from the fact that $\mathcal{L}^{-n} = (\mathcal{L}^n)^*$. In our distinguished trivializations this is simply

$$
S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.22)

The antidiagonal symmetry of X_{\pm} and the X_n can be restated as saying that they are self-adjoint with respect to *S*, i.e.

$$
S^{-1}X_n^T S = X_n \tag{2.23}
$$

and similarly for X_{\pm} . Thus, for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$, the Higgs field $\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}$ of [\(2.19\)](#page-6-1) is also *S*-self-adjoint,

$$
S^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}^T S = \varphi_{\mathbf{u}}.\tag{2.24}
$$

We define End_S *E* to be the subalgebra of traceless *S*-skew-adjoint endomorphisms,

$$
End_{S} E = \{ S : S^{-1} \chi^{T} S = -\chi \text{ and Tr} S = 0 \} \subset \text{End} E. \tag{2.25}
$$

We then have

Lemma 2.10. *If* $\chi \in$ End_{*S*} *E*, *then* $[X_+, \chi] = 0$ *if and only if* $\chi = 0$ *.*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition [2.6,](#page-5-2) which says that if $[X_+, \chi] = 0$, then χ is a combination of X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_N , and thus is *S*-self-adjoint.

2.8. **The natural metric.** The Higgs bundle corresponding to the origin of B is particularly important:

Definition 2.11. The *uniformizing Higgs bundle* is the element (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ***0**) of the Hitchin component, where $0 = (0, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Here is the reason for the name. By the uniformization theorem, the conformal class determined by the complex structure on C contains a unique Riemannian metric g ^b with constant curvature −4. More generally, g_\natural/R^2 is the unique metric with constant curvature −4 R^2 . This in turn induces a metric on *E*, as follows:

Definition 2.12. The *natural metric* $h_{\text{b}}(R)$ on the bundle *E* of [\(2.17\)](#page-6-0) is orthogonal with respect to the decomposition [\(2.17\)](#page-6-0), and on $\mathcal{L}^n \subset E$, is induced by g_\natural/R^2 , i.e.,

$$
h_{\natural}(R) = R^n g_{\natural}^{-n/2} \qquad \text{on } \mathcal{L}^n \subset E. \tag{2.26}
$$

We write h_{\natural} for $h_{\natural}(R = 1)$.

Thus, viewing Hermitian metrics as maps $E\to \overline{E}^*$, we have

$$
h_{\natural}(R) = h_{\natural} \circ R^{H}.
$$
\n
$$
(2.27)
$$

For future use we also describe $h_1(R)$ relative to the distinguished local trivializations of *E*. In a local coordinate chart (*U*, *z*), we can write

$$
g_{\natural} = \lambda_{\natural,z}^2 dz d\bar{z}, \quad \text{where} \quad \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \log \lambda_{\natural,z} - \lambda_{\natural,z}^2 = 0. \tag{2.28}
$$

Then

$$
h_{\natural,z}(R) = R^H \lambda_{\natural,z}^{-H} = \begin{pmatrix} R^{N-1} \lambda_{\natural,z}^{1-N} & & & \\ & R^{N-3} \lambda_{\natural,z}^{3-N} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & R^{3-N} \lambda_{\natural,z}^{N-3} \\ & & & & R^{1-N} \lambda_{\natural,z}^{N-1} \end{pmatrix} .
$$
 (2.29)

Note that $h_\natural(R)$ is compatible with *S* in the sense that, using *S* to identify $E \simeq E^*$, the dual metric induced by $h_\natural(R)$ is equal to $h_\natural(R)$ itself. This is expressed concretely by the equation

$$
S^{-1}h_{\natural}(R)^{T} = h_{\natural}(R)^{-1}\bar{S}
$$
\n(2.30)

where both sides are maps $\bar{E} \to E$. [\(2.30\)](#page-7-0) is straightforward to check directly using [\(2.22\)](#page-6-2), [\(2.29\)](#page-7-1). It follows in particular that *S* intertwines the Chern connections on *E* and *E* ∗ :

$$
S^{-1} \circ (\bar{\partial}_E)^T \circ S = \bar{\partial}_E, \qquad S^{-1} \circ (\partial_E^{h_{\bar{\imath}}})^T \circ S = \partial_E^{h_{\bar{\imath}}}.
$$
 (2.31)

The next proposition, from [\[9\]](#page-23-3), explains the importance of $h_{\mu}(R)$ for our purposes:

Proposition 2.13. *The harmonic metric on the uniformizing Higgs bundle* (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ***0**) *with parameter R is* $h_{\natural}(R)$.

Proof. We just compute directly in the distinguished trivializations:

$$
F_{D_{h_{\natural}(R)}} + R^2 \left[\varphi_0, \varphi_0^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}(R)}} \right] = \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \log(\lambda_{\natural}) H + \left[X_{-}, \lambda_{\natural}^2 X_{+} \right] \right) dz \wedge d\bar{z}
$$
 (2.32)

$$
= \left(\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \log(\lambda_{\natural}) - \lambda_{\natural}^2\right) H \, dz \wedge d\bar{z}
$$
 (2.33)

$$
=0.\t(2.34)
$$

 \Box

2.9. *SL*(*N*, **C**)**-opers.** We now recall the notion of *SL*(*N*, **C**)*-oper*:

Definition 2.14. An $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -oper on *C* is a tuple (E, ∇, F_{\bullet}) :

- A rank *N* complex vector bundle *E* over *C*, equipped with a trivialization of the determinant bundle det *E*,
- A flat connection ∇ on *E*,
- A filtration $0 = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset \cdots \subset F_N = E$ of subbundles of *E*,

such that

- Each F_n is holomorphic (with respect to the holomorphic structure ∂_{∇}),
- If ψ is a section of F_n then $\nabla \psi$ lies in the subbundle $F_{n+1} \otimes K_C \subset E \otimes K_C$,
- The induced linear map

$$
\bar{\nabla}: F_n/F_{n-1} \to F_{n+1}/F_n \otimes K_C \tag{2.35}
$$

is an isomorphism of line bundles, for $1 \le n \le N - 1$.

A flat holomorphic bundle (E, ∇) can admit at most one filtration F_{\bullet} satisfying the properties above. Thus an *SL*(*N*, **C**)-oper is a special sort of flat *SL*(*N*, **C**)-bundle; in fact, *SL*(*N*, **C**)-opers form a holomorphic Lagrangian subspace in the moduli space of flat *SL*(*N*, **C**)-bundles. However, we will not use this picture explicitly; our constructions will produce the required filtrations directly in a local way. For more background on opers see e.g. [\[10,](#page-23-4) [11,](#page-23-5) [12\]](#page-23-6).

2.10. **A construction of** $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -opers. We now recall a construction of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -opers which is particularly convenient for our purposes. This construction has its roots in the work of Drinfeld-Sokolov; see e.g. [\[13\]](#page-23-7) for a point of view close to ours.

We first describe a 1-parameter family of bundles E_h ($\hbar \in \mathbb{C}$), equipped with holomorphic structures $\bar{\partial}_{E_h}$ and holomorphic filtrations $F_{h,\bullet}$. Then for any $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$ we will construct a corresponding 1-parameter family of connections $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ ($\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^\times$), compatible with the holomorphic structures and filtrations, so that $(E_h, \nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{h,\bullet})$ is a 1-parameter family of opers:

Proposition 2.15. *We have the following:*

• For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, the $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -valued transition functions

$$
T_{\hbar, z, z'} = \alpha_{z, z'}^{H} \exp(\hbar \alpha_{z, z'}^{-1} \partial_z \alpha_{z, z'} X_+) \tag{2.36}
$$

define a holomorphic rank N vector bundle (E_ħ, $\bar{\partial}_{E_h}$) *over C, carrying a filtration F_{ħ,●}, and equipped with a distinguished trivialization for each local coordinate patch* (*U*, *z*) *on C.*

• For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a canonical $SL(N,\mathbb{C})$ -oper $(E_{\hbar}, \nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}}, F_{\hbar, \bullet})$, compatible with t he holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_{E_h}$. Relative to the distinguished trivializations of E_h on patches (U,z) in *the atlas given by Fuchsian uniformization,* ∇*h*¯,**^u** *is given by*

$$
\nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}, z} = \mathbf{d} + \hbar^{-1} \varphi_{\mathbf{u}, z}, \tag{2.37}
$$

where (as noted earlier)

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z} = \left(X_- + \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} P_{n+1,z} X_n\right) dz.
$$
 (2.38)

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition [2.15.](#page-8-0)

When $\hbar = 0$, E_0 is just the bundle *E* described by [\(2.17\)](#page-6-0), with transition functions $\alpha_{z,z'}^H$ as given in [\(2.18\)](#page-6-3). The transition functions $T_{\hbar,z,z'}$ for E_{\hbar} are a deformation of this. However, there is still something to check:

Lemma 2.16. *The transition functions* [\(2.36\)](#page-8-1) *obey the cocycle condition*

$$
T_{\hbar,z,z''} = T_{\hbar,z',z''} T_{\hbar,z,z'}.
$$
\n(2.39)

Proof. We will exhibit an alternative representation

$$
T_{\hbar,z,z'} = M_{\hbar,z'} \alpha_{z,z'}^H M_{\hbar,z}^{-1}, \tag{2.40}
$$

from which the cocycle condition [\(2.39\)](#page-9-0) is immediate.

Fix some metric *g* on *C*, represented locally as

$$
g = \lambda_z^2 \, dz \, dz,\tag{2.41}
$$

and let

$$
f_z = \partial_z \log \lambda_z. \tag{2.42}
$$

Then $\lambda_{z'} = \lambda_z |\alpha_{z,z'}|^2$, whence

$$
f_{z'} = (\partial_z \alpha_{z,z'}) \alpha_{z,z'} + \alpha_{z,z'}^2 f_z.
$$
 (2.43)

Now define

$$
M_{\hbar,z} = \exp(\hbar f_z X_+). \tag{2.44}
$$

Then we compute directly

$$
M_{\hbar,z'}\alpha_{z,z'}^H M_{\hbar,z}^{-1} = \exp(\hbar f_{z'} X_+) \alpha_{z,z'}^H \exp(-\hbar f_z X_+) \tag{2.45}
$$

$$
= \alpha_{z,z'}^H \exp(\hbar f_{z'} \alpha_{z,z'}^{-2} X_+) \exp(-\hbar f_z X_+) \tag{2.46}
$$

$$
= \alpha_{z,z'}^H \exp(\hbar \alpha_{z,z'}^{-1} \partial_z \alpha_{z,z'} X_+) \tag{2.47}
$$

$$
=T_{\hbar,z,z'}\tag{2.48}
$$

where the second equality uses the relation

$$
\alpha^{-H} X_+ \alpha^H = \alpha^{-2} X_+ \tag{2.49}
$$

obtained by exponentiating (2.10) , and the third uses (2.43) .

We have now shown that the transition functions $T_{\hbar, z, z'}$ determine a vector bundle E_{\hbar} over C . Moreover, the $T_{\hbar,z,z'}$ are holomorphic, so E_\hbar has a holomorphic structure $\bar{\partial}_{E_\hbar}$, represented by $\bar{\partial}$ in the distinguished local trivializations. (In other words, the distinguished local trivializations are holomorphic.) Note also that $T_{\hbar,z,z'}$ is an upper-triangular matrix, so it preserves the filtration $F_{\hbar,\bullet}$, where $F_{\hbar,n}$ is spanned by the first *n* basis vectors, and this filtration is defined globally.

Although $E_0 \not\simeq E_h$ when $\hbar \neq 0$, all the other E_h are isomorphic:

Proposition 2.17. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}$, there is an isomorphism $E_\hbar \stackrel{\sim}{\to} E_{\lambda^2 \hbar}$ given by λ^H in the *distinguished local trivializations.*

Proof. We simply note that by (2.49) and (2.36),
$$
\lambda^H T_{z,z',\hbar} = T_{z,z',\lambda^2 \hbar} \lambda^H
$$
.

We now finally describe the connection $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ on E_{\hbar} . For this purpose it is convenient to restrict the choice of coordinate systems. We fix a complex projective structure on *C*, i.e. an atlas of coordinate charts (U, z) with coordinates differing by Möbius transformations,

$$
z' = \frac{az+b}{cz+d'}, \qquad ad-bc = 1.
$$
 (2.50)

The particular complex projective structure we choose is the one coming from Fuchsian uniformization, i.e. the realization of *C* as a quotient of the upper half-plane by a subgroup Γ ⊂ *SL*(2, **R**). Now we can check:

Lemma 2.18. *The formula* [\(2.37\)](#page-8-2) *defines a global connection in* E_h *.*

Proof. We must check that

$$
T_{\hbar, z, z'} \circ \nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}, z} \circ T_{\hbar, z, z'}^{-1} = \nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}, z'} \tag{2.51}
$$

when *z* and *z'* are related by [\(2.50\)](#page-10-0). We compute the LHS directly, writing $\alpha = \alpha_{z,z'}$ for simplicity. It is a sum of three terms. The first is

$$
\alpha^H \exp(\hbar \alpha^{-1} \partial_z \alpha X_+) \circ \mathrm{d} \circ \exp(-\hbar \alpha^{-1} \partial_z \alpha X_+) \alpha^{-H} \tag{2.52}
$$

$$
= d + \left[\alpha^H \left((-\hbar \partial_z^2 \log \alpha) X_+ \right) \alpha^{-H} + (-\partial_z \log \alpha) H \right] dz \tag{2.53}
$$

$$
= d + \left[(-\hbar \alpha^2 \partial_z^2 \log \alpha) X_+ + (-\partial_z \log \alpha) H \right] dz.
$$
 (2.54)

Next is

$$
\hbar^{-1}\alpha^H \exp(\hbar \alpha^{-1} \partial_z \alpha X_+) X_- \exp(-\hbar \alpha^{-1} \partial_z \alpha X_+) \alpha^{-H}
$$
\n(2.55)

$$
= \hbar^{-1} \alpha^{H} (X_{-} + (\hbar \partial_{z} \log \alpha) [X_{+}, X_{-}] + \frac{1}{2} (\hbar \partial_{z} \log \alpha)^{2} [X_{+}, [X_{+}, X_{-}]]) \alpha^{-H}
$$
(2.56)

$$
= \hbar^{-1} \alpha^H (X_- + (\hbar \partial_z \log \alpha) H - (\hbar \partial_z \log \alpha)^2 X_+) \alpha^{-H}
$$
\n(2.57)

$$
= \hbar^{-1} \alpha^{-2} X_{-} + (\partial_z \log \alpha) H - \hbar \alpha^2 (\partial_z \log \alpha)^2 X_{+}.
$$
\n
$$
(2.58)
$$

The transformation for the other terms in $\hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z}$ is simpler since they commute with X_+ , and we obtain

$$
\hbar^{-1}\alpha^{H}\exp(\hbar\alpha^{-1}\partial_{z}\alpha X_{+})\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}P_{n+1,z}X_{n}\right)\exp(-\hbar\alpha^{-1}\partial_{z}\alpha X_{+})\alpha^{-H}
$$
\n(2.59)

$$
= \hbar^{-1} \alpha^H \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} P_{n+1,z} X_n \right) \alpha^{-H}
$$
\n(2.60)

$$
= \hbar^{-1} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} P_{n+1,z} \alpha^{2n} X_n.
$$
 (2.61)

Combining all these terms, the terms proportional to *H* cancel nicely and we get the desired result $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u},z'}$, *except* for an extra term εX_+ , where

$$
\varepsilon = -\hbar ((\partial_z \alpha)^2 + \alpha^2 \partial_z^2 \log \alpha). \tag{2.62}
$$

It is precisely at this point where we have to use the restriction of the coordinate atlas. Indeed, for the transformations [\(2.50\)](#page-10-0),

$$
\alpha = \pm (cz + d),\tag{2.63}
$$

and (2.62) vanishes in this case.

Finally note that the explicit formulas [\(2.37\)](#page-8-2) and [\(2.8\)](#page-5-4) say $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u},z}$ has nowhere-vanishing entries on the first subdiagonal, and all entries below this subdiagonal vanish. This is equivalent to saying that $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ obeys the last condition in Definition [2.14,](#page-8-3) and completes the proof that $(E_\hbar,\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}},F_{\hbar,\bullet})$ is an *SL*(*N*, **C**)-oper, thus completing the proof of Proposition [2.15.](#page-8-0)

2.11. *SL*(*N*, **C**)**-opers and differential operators.** This section is not used directly in the rest of the paper. Its purpose is to recall the sense in which *SL*(*N*, **C**)-opers are equivalent to certain *N*-th order linear scalar differential operators. This construction is well known; we include it here just to spell out its relation with the description of opers in Proposition [2.15](#page-8-0) as connections on E_\hbar .

When ψ is a holomorphic section of \mathcal{L}^{1-N} , let $\psi^{[N-1]}$ denote the $(N-1)$ -jet of ψ , which is a holomorphic section of the jet bundle $J^{N-1}(\mathcal{L}^{1-N}).$

Proposition 2.19. *Fix* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$ *. There exists a unique holomorphic isomorphism*

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}: J^{N-1}(\mathcal{L}^{1-N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{\hbar}
$$
 (2.64)

such that:

- For any ψ , $\nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}}(\Phi_\mathbf{u}(\psi^{[N-1]}))$ is valued in the holomorphic line subbundle $\mathcal{L}^{N-1}\otimes K_C\simeq \mathcal{L}^{N+1}$ of $E_h \otimes K_C$ *,*
- *The diagram*

is commutative, where the left vertical arrow is the projection to the 0*-jet, and the right arrow is the quotient by FN*−1*, given in distinguished local trivializations by taking the last component.*

The map

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}} : \psi \mapsto \nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}} (\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}(\psi^{[N-1]}))
$$
\n
$$
\text{er } N, \text{ mapping } \mathcal{L}^{1-N} \to \mathcal{L}^{N+1}.
$$
\n(2.65)

is a linear differential operator of order N, mapping L ¹−*^N* → L*N*+¹

This becomes much more concrete when we write Φ**^u** relative to the distinguished local trivializations in the Fuchsian atlas. For instance, when $N = 2$, we have

$$
\left[\partial_z + \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar^2 \psi_z' \\ \hbar \psi_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar^2 \psi_z'' + P_2 \psi_z \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (2.66)

This equation implies that

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}(\psi^{[1]}) = \begin{pmatrix} -\hbar^2 \psi_z' \\ \hbar \psi_z \end{pmatrix} . \tag{2.67}
$$

The 0 in the bottom component of the RHS of [\(2.66\)](#page-11-1) says $\nabla_{\hbar, {\bf u}}(\Phi_{\bf u}(\psi^{[1]}))$ is valued in the subbundle L ⊗ *KC*; this condition determines Φ**^u** up to a constant multiple which is fixed by requiring that the bottom component of $\Phi_{\bf u}(\psi^{[1]})$ is exactly $\hbar\psi_z.$ Thus we can read off from the top component of the RHS of [\(2.66\)](#page-11-1) that $\mathcal{D}_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}}$ is represented locally by

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\mathbf{u},z} = -\hbar^2 \partial_z^2 + P_2. \tag{2.68}
$$

Similarly, for $N = 3$, the analogue of (2.66) is

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\partial_z + \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}\n0 & \sqrt{2}P_2 & P_3 \\
\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2}P_2 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} & 0\n\end{pmatrix}\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{\hbar^3}{2}\psi_2'' - \hbar P_2\psi_2 \\
-\frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{2}}\psi_2' \\
\hbar\psi_z\n\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{\hbar^3}{2}\psi_2''' - 2\hbar P_2\psi_2' - \hbar P_2'\psi_2 + P_3\psi_2 \\
0 \\
0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n(2.69)

which says that we have

$$
\Phi_{\mathbf{u}}(\psi^{[2]}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\hbar^3}{2} \psi_{z}^{\prime\prime} - \hbar P_{2} \psi_{z} \\ -\frac{\hbar^2}{\sqrt{2}} \psi_{z}^{\prime} \\ \hbar \psi_{z} \end{pmatrix}
$$
(2.70)

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\hbar,\mathbf{u},z} = \frac{\hbar^3}{2}\partial_z^3 - 2\hbar P_2 \partial_z - \hbar P_2' + P_3.
$$
 (2.71)

Note that Φ_u depends on **u**, through the P_2 in [\(2.70\)](#page-11-2). The **u** dependence of $\mathcal{D}_{h,\mathbf{u}}$ is thus more complicated than one might naively guess: we already see that \hat{P}_2 ['] appears in [\(2.71\)](#page-12-2), despite the fact that only the P_n and not their derivatives appear in the formula [\(2.37\)](#page-8-2) defining $\nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}$.

3. THE SCALING LIMIT, FOR $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$

3.1. **The main theorem for** $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. Fix an $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundle $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \varphi)$ on C . We then have the 2-parameter family [\(2.5\)](#page-4-3) of flat connections in *E*, depending on $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$, where we take $h(R)$ to be the harmonic metric guaranteed by Theorem [2.5,](#page-4-0) and $D = D_{h(R)}$:

$$
\nabla_{R,\zeta} = \zeta^{-1} R \varphi + D_{h(R)} + \zeta R \varphi^{\dagger_{h(R)}}.
$$
\n(3.1)

We are going to consider the limits of certain 1-parameter subfamilies of [\(3.1\)](#page-12-3), obtained by taking $R \to 0$ and $\zeta \to 0$ simultaneously while holding their ratio fixed. In other words, fix some $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and set $\zeta = R\hbar$: then [\(3.1\)](#page-12-3) becomes

$$
\nabla_{R,\hbar} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi + D_{h(R)} + \hbar R^2 \varphi^{\dagger_{h(R)}}.
$$
\n(3.2)

In [\[1\]](#page-22-0), Gaiotto proposed (and gave considerable evidence for):

Conjecture 3.1. *Suppose the SL*(*N*, **C**)*-Higgs bundle* (*E*, ¯*∂E*, *ϕ*) *is in the Hitchin component, and fix* s ome $\hbar\in\mathbb{C}^\times$. Then as $R\to 0$ the connections $\nabla_{R,\hbar}$ converge to a connection $\nabla_{0,\hbar}$ in E, and there exists a *filtration* F_{\bullet} *in* E *such that* $(E, \nabla_{0,h}, F_{\bullet})$ *is an* $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ -oper.

We will prove the following explicit version of Conjecture [3.1:](#page-12-0)

Theorem 3.2. *Fix any* \bf{u} ∈ β . Let $(E, \bar{\partial}_E, \varphi_{\bf{u}})$ be the corresponding Higgs bundle in the Hitchin compo*nent, and let h*(*R*, **u**) *be the family of harmonic metrics on E solving the rescaled Hitchin equation* [\(2.4\)](#page-4-5)*. Let F*• *be the filtration*

$$
F_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}^{N+1-2i} \subset E. \tag{3.3}
$$

Fix $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ *and let*

$$
\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + D_{h(R,\mathbf{u})} + \hbar R^2 \varphi_{\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_{h(R,\mathbf{u})}}.
$$
\n(3.4)

Then, as $R \to 0$ *the flat connections* $\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ *converge to a flat connection*

$$
\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + D_{h_{\natural}} + \hbar\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}},\tag{3.5}
$$

and $(E,\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}},F_\bullet)$ is an SL(N,C)-oper, equivalent to the SL(N,C)-oper $(E_\hbar,\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}},F_{\hbar,\bullet})$ of Proposition *[2.15.](#page-8-0)*

We emphasize that the harmonic metric $h(R, \mathbf{u})$ depends on R, and indeed (as we will see) $h(R, \mathbf{u})$ diverges as $R \to 0$. In particular, we cannot simply drop the last term of [\(3.4\)](#page-12-4) in the $R \to 0$ limit, despite the explicit prefactor R^2 ; it survives to become the last term of [\(3.5\)](#page-12-5), and is ultimately responsible for the deformation of the holomorphic structure as a function of \hbar .

3.2. **Proof of the main theorem for** $G = SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. The case $N = 2$ of Theorem [3.2](#page-12-1) is notationally simpler, and contains the main ideas, so we do it separately.

Fix a coordinate patch (*U*, *z*) on *C*, and the corresponding distinguished trivialization of *E*. Our first aim is to write an explicit local formula, [\(3.13\)](#page-13-1) below, for the family of connections [\(3.4\)](#page-12-4) in *E*. First we recall from [\[9\]](#page-23-3) that the decomposition

$$
E = \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{-1} \tag{3.6}
$$

is orthogonal for $h(R, \mathbf{u})$. Since $\mathcal{L}^2 \simeq K_C$, $h(R, \mathbf{u})$ is induced from a Hermitian metric $g(R, \mathbf{u})$ on *C*. In the local patch (*U*, *z*),

$$
g(R, \mathbf{u}) = \lambda(R, \mathbf{u}; z)^2 dz d\bar{z}
$$
 (3.7)

for some positive real-valued function $\lambda(R, \mathbf{u}; z)$ (which we sometimes write λ for short.) Then

$$
h(R, \mathbf{u}) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (3.8)

We now write the Chern connection D_h explicitly. Since the distinguished trivializations are holomorphic, the (0, 1) part ¯*∂D^h* is simply represented by ¯*∂*. The (1, 0) part *[∂]D^h* is then determined by unitarity with respect to *h*, which gives

$$
\partial_{D_h} = \partial - \begin{pmatrix} \partial \log \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial \log \lambda \end{pmatrix},\tag{3.9}
$$

so altogether

$$
D_h = \mathrm{d} - \begin{pmatrix} \partial \log \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial \log \lambda \end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.10}
$$

Next, the choice of $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$ just means fixing a holomorphic quadratic differential $\phi_2 \in H^0(C, K_C^2)$. Locally,

$$
\phi_2 = P_2 \, \mathrm{d} z^2 \tag{3.11}
$$

where P_2 is a holomorphic function on U , and

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}z, \qquad \varphi_{\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_h} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^{-2} P_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{d}\bar{z}. \tag{3.12}
$$

Combining [\(3.10\)](#page-13-2), [\(3.12\)](#page-13-3), [\(3.4\)](#page-12-4) gives the desired explicit representation,

$$
\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{d} + \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}z - \begin{pmatrix} \partial \log \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial \log \lambda \end{pmatrix} + R^2 \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda^2 \\ \lambda^{-2} \overline{P_2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}\bar{z}.\tag{3.13}
$$

Next we want to use [\(3.13\)](#page-13-1) to understand $\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ in the limit $R\to 0$. For this we need to understand the behavior of $\lambda(R, \mathbf{u})$ as $R \to 0$.

Flatness of $\nabla_{R,h,\mathbf{u}}$ is equivalent to the fact that *h* is the harmonic metric. Thus computing the curvature of $\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ from [\(3.13\)](#page-13-1) gives the harmonicity condition, as an equation for λ :

$$
\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \log \lambda - R^2(\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2} |P_2|^2) = 0. \tag{3.14}
$$

To get some intuition, first consider the special case $P_2 = 0$. Then [\(3.14\)](#page-13-4) specializes to

$$
\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \log \lambda - R^2 \lambda^2 = 0,\tag{3.15}
$$

which says the metric $g(R, \mathbf{0})$ of [\(3.7\)](#page-13-5) has constant curvature $-4R^2$. Thus $g(R, \mathbf{0}) = g_\natural/R^2$ where g_\natural is the unique metric with constant curvature -4 (see §[2.8\)](#page-7-2), and $\lambda(R, \mathbf{0}) = \lambda_\natural/R$.

More generally when $P_2\neq 0$, we use g_\natural/R^2 as background metric and write

$$
g(R, \mathbf{u}) = (g_{\natural}/R^2)e^{2f}, \quad \lambda(R, \mathbf{u}) = (\lambda_{\natural}/R)e^{f}, \tag{3.16}
$$

where *f* is a real-valued function on *C*. We claim that as $R \to 0$

$$
\partial_z^a \partial_{\bar{z}}^b f = O(R^4) \text{ for all } a, b \ge 0,
$$
\n(3.17)

(so in particular, $f = O(R⁴)$).

To prove [\(3.17\)](#page-13-6), first rewrite [\(3.14\)](#page-13-4) in terms of the Laplacian for g_\natural , $\Delta_{g_\natural} = \frac{4}{\lambda^2} \partial_z \partial_z = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$ $\left(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2\right)$:

$$
N(f, R) = \Delta_{g_{\natural}} f + 4 \left(1 - e^{2f} + R^4 |P_2|^2 e^{-2f} \right) = 0.
$$
 (3.18)

The maximum principle shows that for any $R \geq 0$, there exists at most one function f such that $N(f, R) = 0$. In fact, the method of upper and lower solutions shows that there is exactly one solution, but of course we already know this when $R > 0$ from the existence and uniqueness of harmonic metrics, and $f = 0$ is a solution when $R = 0$.

The linearization at $R = 0$ is

$$
DN|_{(0,0)} (\dot{f}, 0) = (\Delta_{g_{\natural}} - 8) \dot{f}, \tag{3.19}
$$

and this is an isomorphism as a map $C^{k+2,\alpha}(C) \to C^{k,\alpha}(C)$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Note also that N is a \mathcal{C}^{∞} mapping from a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathcal{C}^{k+2,\alpha}(C)\times\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(C).$ The Banach space implicit function theorem now gives the existence of a C^{∞} map $\Psi : [0, R_0) \to C^{k+2,\alpha}(C)$ such that $N(\Psi(R), R) = 0$ for $0 \le R < R_0$, and $\Psi(0) = 0$. From the uniqueness it follows that Ψ is independent of *k* and *α*, so that $\Psi(R)$ is a C^{∞} function on *C* for each $\bar{R} \geq 0$, and in fact, $(z, R) \mapsto \Psi(R)(z)$ lies in $C^{\infty}(C \times [0, R_0))$. We can say even more: since all data in N is real analytic, the real analytic version of the implicit function theorem [\[14\]](#page-23-8) shows that Ψ is real analytic in *R*. Finally, by the uniqueness of harmonic metrics, $\Psi(R)$ must agree with the desired *f* when $R > 0$.

The upshot of the last paragraph is that we may expand f in a Taylor series around $R = 0$,

$$
f = Rf_1 + R^2f_2 + \cdots \tag{3.20}
$$

Substituting this series into [\(3.18\)](#page-14-0), we see that $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = 0$, and hence we get [\(3.17\)](#page-13-6) as desired. It follows that as $R \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$
\lambda = \frac{\lambda_{\natural}}{R} + O(R^3). \tag{3.21}
$$

Substituting this in [\(3.13\)](#page-13-1), we see that as $R \to 0$, $\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ converges to

$$
\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{d} + \hbar^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & P_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}z - \begin{pmatrix} \partial \log \lambda_{\natural} & 0 \\ 0 & -\partial \log \lambda_{\natural} \end{pmatrix} + \hbar \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{\natural}^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{d}\bar{z}.\tag{3.22}
$$

This is the desired [\(3.5\)](#page-12-5).

It is instructive to see directly that $(E, \nabla_{0,h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{\bullet})$ is an $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -oper, where F_{\bullet} is the filtration

$$
0 \subset \mathcal{L} \subset E. \tag{3.23}
$$

For this the key is the lower left entry $\hbar^{-1}{\rm d}z$ in [\(3.22\)](#page-14-1), mapping $\mathcal{L}\to\mathcal{L}^{-1}\otimes K_C\simeq\mathcal{L}.$ The two salient facts about this are:

- Its $(0, 1)$ part is trivial, so $\mathcal L$ is a holomorphic subbundle of $(E, \bar{\partial}_{\nabla_{0,h,\mathbf u}})$;
- Its $(1,0)$ part is nowhere vanishing, i.e., $\bar{\nabla}_{0,h,\mathbf{u}} : \mathcal{L} \to (E/\mathcal{L}) \otimes K_C$ is an isomorphism of line bundles.

These conditions say precisely that $(E, \nabla_{0,h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{\bullet})$ is an $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -oper.

Finally we show that $(E, \nabla_{0,h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{\bullet})$ is equivalent to the $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ -oper $(E_h, \nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{h,\bullet})$ of Proposition [2.15.](#page-8-0) Comparing [\(3.22\)](#page-14-1) to the desired form [\(2.37\)](#page-8-2), we see that we need to change our local trivializations by a gauge transformation which eliminates the last two terms in [\(3.22\)](#page-14-1), i.e. by a matrix of the form

$$
M_{\hbar,z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hbar \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.24}
$$

where $\partial_{\bar{z}}\beta=\lambda_{\sharp}^2$. Because of the equation [\(2.28\)](#page-7-3) for λ_{\sharp} , there is a natural candidate, $\beta=\partial_z\log\lambda_{\sharp}$, leading to

$$
M_{\hbar,z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hbar \partial_z \log \lambda_{\natural} \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (3.25)

Relative to the new local trivializations, the transition maps from patches (U, z) to (U', z') become the ones we wrote in [\(2.40\)](#page-9-3); these are indeed the transition maps of E_{\hbar} . What remains is to compute $\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ in the new trivializations. Computing directly $M_{\hbar,z} \circ \nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} \circ M_{\hbar,z}^{-1}$ from [\(3.22\)](#page-14-1), [\(3.25\)](#page-15-1) we obtain

$$
d+\hbar^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}0&P_2\\1&0\end{pmatrix}dz+\hbar\begin{pmatrix}0&\frac{2(\partial_z\lambda_{\natural})^2-\lambda_{\natural}\partial_z^2\lambda_{\natural}}{\lambda_{\natural}^2}\\0&0\end{pmatrix}dz.\tag{3.26}
$$

If our coordinate patch (U, z) is in the atlas given by Fuchsian uniformization, then the explicit form of the hyperbolic metric in the upper half-plane gives $\lambda_{\parallel} = \frac{i}{z - \bar{z}}$, and then the last term in [\(3.26\)](#page-15-2) vanishes. Thus [\(3.26\)](#page-15-2) reduces to the desired [\(2.37\)](#page-8-2). This finishes the proof of Theorem [3.2](#page-12-1) in case $N = 2$.

3.3. **Proof of the main theorem for** $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. Now we prove Theorem [3.2](#page-12-1) in full generality. The proof is essentially the same as for $N = 2$, with three differences:

- The notation is less transparent, because we cannot write everything in terms of explicit 2×2 matrices.
- The harmonic metrics $h(R, \mathbf{u})$ are no longer determined by a single function on C , so we have to study a coupled system instead of a single scalar equation.
- The harmonic metrics *h*(*R*, **u**) may not be diagonal in the distinguished trivializations.

As in the case $N = 2$, the main technical issue is to control the harmonic metric $h(R, \mathbf{u})$ in the limit *R* \rightarrow 0. We will show that in this limit *h*(*R*, **u**) approaches the natural metric *h*₁(*R*) of §[2.8.](#page-7-2) To say this precisely: define End\,*^R E* to be the set of endomorphisms which are self-adjoint with respect to $h_1(R)$. Then $h(R, \mathbf{u}) : E \to \overline{E}^*$ can be written uniquely as

$$
h(R, \mathbf{u}) = h_{\natural}(R) e^{\chi(R, \mathbf{u})} = e^{\frac{1}{2}\overline{\chi(R, \mathbf{u})}^T} h_{\natural}(R) e^{\frac{1}{2}\chi(R, \mathbf{u})}
$$
(3.27)

where $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \in \text{End}_{\text{L},R}$ *E*. We will show that $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \to 0$ as $R \to 0$:

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$
\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) = O(R^4). \tag{3.28}
$$

Proof. First some notation: for $\mathbf{u} = (\phi_2, \dots, \phi_N) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we let

$$
\alpha \mathbf{u} = (\alpha^2 \phi_2, \dots, \alpha^N \phi_N) \in \mathcal{B}.\tag{3.29}
$$

Now define

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi, R) = \left[\bar{\partial}_E, e^{-\chi} \circ \partial_E^{h_{\natural}} \circ e^{\chi} \right] + \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}, e^{-\chi} \circ \varphi_{R^2 \mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}} \circ e^{\chi} \right]. \tag{3.30}
$$

We proceed in steps:

(1) For any fixed \overline{R} , $N_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, R)$ is a nonlinear operator

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, R) : \Omega^{0}(\text{End}_{S} E) \to \Omega^{2}(\text{End}_{S} E). \tag{3.31}
$$

- (2) For any $R > 0$ and $\chi \in \text{End}_{\natural,R} E$, we have $N_u(\chi, R) = 0$ iff $h_\natural(R)e^{\chi}$ is the harmonic metric for *ϕ***^u** with parameter *R*.
- (3) $N_{\mathbf{u}}(0,0) = 0.$
- (4) The linearization $D_{\chi}N_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(0,0)}$ is bijective.
- (5) There exists a real analytic $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \in \text{End}_{\natural,R} E \cap \text{End}_S E$ for $R \in [0, R_0)$ such that

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi(R, \mathbf{u}), R) = 0. \tag{3.32}
$$

(6) The first nonzero term in the Taylor expansion of $\chi(R, \mathbf{u})$ around $R = 0$ appears at order R^4 .

For [\(1\)](#page-15-3), what needs to be checked is that $N_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, R)$ preserves End_S E. This is a straightforward calculation using the compatibility between *S* and the rest of the data, as expressed in [\(2.31\)](#page-7-4), [\(2.30\)](#page-7-0), $(2.24).$ $(2.24).$

For [\(2\)](#page-15-4) we also compute directly. The curvature of the Chern connection *D^h* for the metric $h = h_{\natural}(R) e^{\chi} = h_{\natural} R^{H} e^{\chi}$ is

$$
F_{D_h} = \left[\bar{\partial}_E, \partial_E^h \right] = \left[\bar{\partial}_E, e^{-\chi} R^{-H} \circ \partial_E^{h_h} \circ R^H e^{\chi} \right] = \left[\bar{\partial}_E, e^{-\chi} \circ \partial_E^{h_h} \circ e^{\chi} \right], \tag{3.33}
$$

while

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_h} = e^{-\chi} R^{-H} \circ \varphi_{\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}} \circ R^{H} e^{\chi} = R^{-2} e^{-\chi} \circ \varphi_{R^2 \mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}} \circ e^{\chi}.
$$
 (3.34)

Combining these gives

$$
F_{D_h} + R^2[\varphi_\mathbf{u}, \varphi_\mathbf{u}^{\dagger_h}] = N_\mathbf{u}(\chi, R) \tag{3.35}
$$

which is the desired result.

For [\(3\)](#page-15-5), observe first that

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(0,0) = \left[\bar{\partial}_E, \partial_E^{h_{\natural}}\right] + \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}, \varphi_0^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}}\right].
$$
\n(3.36)

This would vanish if φ_u were replaced by φ_0 since h_\natural is the harmonic metric for the Higgs field φ_0 , by Proposition [2.13](#page-8-4) (with *R* = 1). However, the difference φ **u** − φ ₀ is a sum of terms X_n , all of which commute with $\varphi_0^{\dagger_{h_\natural}}$ since $\varphi_0^{\dagger_{h_\natural}}$ is proportional to X_+ . We conclude by recalling that $[X_n, X_+] = 0$ by [\(2.12\)](#page-5-1).

For [\(4\)](#page-15-6) we compute that

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\chi}) := D_{\chi} N_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(0,0)} (\dot{\chi}) = \bar{\partial}_{E} \partial_{E}^{h_{\natural}} \dot{\chi} + \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}} \dot{\chi} \right] \right]. \tag{3.37}
$$

We wish to show this operator has trivial kernel. First consider the case $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$. Using the L^2 pairing induced by *h*\ , we have

$$
\langle \dot{\chi}, \mathcal{L}_0 \dot{\chi} \rangle = \|\partial_E^{h_{\natural}} \dot{\chi}\|^2 + \left\| \left[\varphi_0^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}}, \dot{\chi} \right] \right\|^2.
$$
 (3.38)

By Lemma [2.10,](#page-7-6) the second term on the right is strictly positive if $\chi \neq 0$, so \mathcal{L}_0 has trivial kernel. It can be deformed among elliptic operators to the self-adjoint operator $\bar{\partial}_E \partial_E^{h_\natural}$ E^{eq} , and hence has index zero, which means that \mathcal{L}_0 is also surjective, and hence an isomorphism $\mathcal{C}^{k+2,\alpha}\to\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}$ for any $k \geq 0$.

We now extend this to a statement about $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}$. To this end, we use the grading on End_S *E* where a matrix has grade *k* if, in the distinguished local trivializations, its nonzero entries are *k* steps above the diagonal. Notice that \mathcal{L}_0 preserves this grading. Moreover, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot) - \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{0}}(\cdot) = \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} - \varphi_{\mathbf{0}} \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}}, \cdot \right] \right]
$$
(3.39)

and this strictly increases the grading since both $\varphi_{\bf u}-\varphi_{\bf 0}$ and $\varphi_{\bf 0}^{\dagger_{h_\natural}}$ are strictly upper triangular. It follows that L**^u** also has trivial kernel. (Indeed, given operators *A*, *B* where *A* preserves a grading and *B* increases it, $(A + B)v = 0$ implies that *A* annihilates the lowest-grade component of *v*.) Then, by the same remarks as above, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}$ is also surjective.

To obtain [\(5\)](#page-15-7) we can apply the implicit function theorem, exactly as in the case $N = 2$ above, to deduce the existence of a smooth function $\chi(R, \mathbf{u})$ such that $N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi(R, \mathbf{u}), R) \equiv 0$ for $0 \le R \le R_0$. As before, this solution is real analytic in *R* and *z* jointly. Moreover, writing \dagger for $\dagger_{h_h(R)}$ we compute directly from [\(3.30\)](#page-15-8)

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi, R)^{\dagger} = e^{\chi^{\dagger}} N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi^{\dagger}, R) e^{-\chi^{\dagger}}, \tag{3.40}
$$

so if χ is a solution then χ^{\dagger} is as well. Thus the uniqueness in the implicit function theorem forces $\chi = \chi^{\dagger}$, i.e. $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \in \text{End}_{\natural, R} E$.

Finally, for [\(6\)](#page-16-0) we simply plug the Taylor series

$$
\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} R^n \chi_n(\mathbf{u})
$$
\n(3.41)

into [\(3.32\)](#page-15-9), and expand in powers of *R*. From [\(3.30\)](#page-15-8) we have

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi, R) = N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi, 0) + O(R^4). \tag{3.42}
$$

Thus at order R^1 we have to solve

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi_1) = 0,\tag{3.43}
$$

which we have already seen implies $\chi_1 = 0$. Similarly we get $\chi_2 = \chi_3 = 0$. This finishes the proof. \Box

Now we are ready to prove Theorem [3.2.](#page-12-1) We just substitute $h(R, \mathbf{u}) = h_\natural(R) e^{\chi(R, \mathbf{u})}$ in [\(3.4\)](#page-12-4), obtaining (using [\(3.34\)](#page-16-1))

$$
\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + \mathbf{e}^{-\chi(R,\mathbf{u})} \circ D_{h_{\natural}} \circ \mathbf{e}^{\chi(R,\mathbf{u})} + \hbar \mathbf{e}^{-\chi(R,\mathbf{u})} \circ \varphi_{R^2\mathbf{u}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}} \circ \mathbf{e}^{\chi(R,\mathbf{u})}.
$$
 (3.44)

In the limit $R \to 0$ we have $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \to 0$, so this reduces to

$$
\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + D_{h_{\natural}} + \hbar\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}^{\dagger_{h_{\natural}}},\tag{3.45}
$$

as desired.

To verify that $(E, \nabla_{0,h,\mathbf{u}}, F_{\bullet})$ is equivalent to the oper of Proposition [2.15,](#page-8-0) we proceed just as we did for $N = 2$: introduce the matrix

$$
M_{\hbar,z} = \exp\left(\hbar(\partial_z \log \lambda_\natural) X_+\right) \tag{3.46}
$$

and compute directly $M_{\hbar,z} \circ \nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} \circ M_{\hbar,z}^{-1}$, giving

$$
d + \hbar^{-1} \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + \hbar \varepsilon X_+, \tag{3.47}
$$

where the "error term" $\varepsilon = \frac{2(\partial_z\lambda_\natural)^2 - \lambda_\natural\partial_z^2\lambda_\natural}{\lambda^2}$ $\frac{1-\lambda_{\natural}\sigma_z\Lambda_{\natural}}{\lambda_{\natural}^2}$ vanishes when the local coordinate (U,z) is in the atlas given by Fuchsian uniformization. This completes the proof.

4. THE SCALING LIMIT, FOR SIMPLE *G*

In §[3](#page-12-6) we have stated and proved our main theorem for the group $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$. In this final section we generalize to arbitrary simple, simply connected complex Lie groups *G*. This goes along the same lines as for $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ — indeed all the essential computations really have to do with a principal $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ subgroup, which already appeared in the $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ case. Thus we will be fairly brief.

We follow the conventions in [\[15\]](#page-23-9).

4.1. **Simple complex Lie algebras, Kostant subalgebra, and involutions.** Fix a simple, simply connected complex Lie group *G* and a Cartan subgroup *H* ⊂ *G*. Let ∆ ⊂ h [∗] be the set of roots of (G, H) . Choose a positive subset $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$, and let $\Pi \subset \tilde{\Delta}^+$ be the set of simple roots. Then there is a Chevalley basis for g:

$$
\mathfrak{g} = \langle \{h_{\alpha_i}\}_{\alpha_i \in \Pi}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta} \rangle.
$$
\n(4.1)

The associated Borel subalgebra is

$$
\mathfrak{b} = \langle \{h_{\alpha_i}\}_{\alpha_i \in \Pi}, \{x_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \rangle \subset \mathfrak{g}.
$$
 (4.2)

Let $B \subset G$ be the corresponding Borel subgroup.

Kostant's principal $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is spanned by (H, X_+, X_-) , defined in terms of the Chevalley basis as:

$$
H = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} h_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha_{i} \in \Pi} r_{\alpha_{i}} h_{\alpha_{i}}, \quad X_{\pm} = \sum_{\alpha_{i} \in \Pi} \sqrt{r_{\alpha_{i}}} x_{\pm \alpha_{i}}, \tag{4.3}
$$

and obeying

$$
[H, X_{\pm}] = \pm 2X_{\pm}, \qquad [X_{+}, X_{-}] = H. \tag{4.4}
$$

The first equation in [\(4.3\)](#page-18-0) defines the coefficients $r_{\alpha_i} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. g decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations v_1, \ldots, v_r of the principal $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$, where *r* is the rank of *G*. Each v_n has odd complex dimension; we arrange them in increasing order and write

$$
\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{v}_n = 2m_n + 1. \tag{4.5}
$$

Then (m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r) are the *exponents* of *G*.

Let X_n be a highest-weight vector in v_n , i.e. one obeying

$$
[X_+, X_n] = 0, \t [H, X_n] = 2m_nH. \t (4.6)
$$

 X_n is determined up to a scalar multiple, which we do not fix.

Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the conjugate-linear Cartan involution associated to the Chevalley system. Its defining properties are that it preserves ϕ (but is not the identity there) and satisfies $\rho(x_\alpha) = -x_{-\alpha}$. ρ determines a Hermitian form on \mathfrak{g} :

$$
\langle Y_1, Y_2 \rangle = -B \left(Y_1, \rho(Y_2) \right) \tag{4.7}
$$

where *B* is the Killing form on g.

Another important involution is given by:

Proposition 4.1 ([\[9\]](#page-23-3) Proposition 6.1). *There is a complex-linear involution* $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ *characterized by*

$$
\sigma|_{\ker(\text{ad}(X_+))} = -1, \qquad \sigma(X_-) = -X_-.
$$
\n(4.8)

Moreover, σ obeys

$$
\sigma \circ \rho = \rho \circ \sigma. \tag{4.9}
$$

The fixed locus of *ρ* is a real Lie subalgebra of g, corresponding to a compact real form *K* of *G*. Similarly the fixed locus of $\lambda = \sigma \circ \rho$ is a real Lie subalgebra of g, corresponding to a split real form G^r .

Both ρ and σ preserve the principal $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{C})$ (though they act non-trivially on it). It follows that t he principal embedding $\iota : SL(2,\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow G$ restricts to $\iota : SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow G^r$, and to $\iota : SU(2) \hookrightarrow K$.

When $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$, if we choose the standard Cartan subgroup and simple roots, we have $\rho(X) = -X^{\dagger}$ and $\sigma(X) = -S X^T S^{-1}$. Thus in this case $K = SU(N)$.

4.2. **Higgs bundles.** Fix a compact Riemann surface *C* of genus $g \ge 2$.

Definition 4.2. A *G-Higgs bundle over C* is a tuple $(P, \bar{\partial}_P, \varphi)$:

- A principal *G*-bundle *P* over *C*,
- A holomorphic structure ¯*∂^P* on ad *P*,
- \bullet A holomorphic section *ϕ* of ad *P* ⊗ *K*_{*C*}.

4.3. **Harmonic reductions.** In the principal bundle setting, the analogue of a Hermitian metric *h* is a reduction of structure group from *G* to *K*, i.e. a principal *K*-bundle $Q \subset P$. So, suppose given a *G*-Higgs bundle (*P*, ¯*∂P*, *ϕ*) with a reduction *Q*. Since the involution *ρ* of g is *K*-invariant, using the reduction $Q \subset P$ it induces an involution ρ_Q of ad *P* (which acts trivially on ad *Q*.)

When $G = SL(N, \mathbb{C})$, $K = SU(N)$, and P is the bundle of frames in E, a reduction $Q \subset P$ is equivalent to a Hermitian metric *h* in *E* inducing the trivial metric on det *E*; namely *Q* consists of all frames which are unitary for *h*. Then $\rho_Q(\varphi) = -\varphi^{\dagger_h}$.

There is a unique connection D_Q in ad Q whose (1,0) part is $\bar{\partial}_P$ (Chern connection),

$$
D_Q = \bar{\partial}_P + \partial_P^Q,\tag{4.10}
$$

where

$$
\partial_P^Q = \rho_Q \circ \bar{\partial}_P \circ \rho_Q. \tag{4.11}
$$

We denote its curvature $F_{D_Q} \in \Omega^2(\text{ad }Q)$. Now we can formulate the analogue of the harmonic metrics from §[2.3:](#page-4-6)

Definition 4.3. Given a *G*-Higgs bundle (*P*, ¯*∂P*, *ϕ*), and *R* ∈ **R**+, a *harmonic reduction with parameter R* is a reduction of structure group to *K*, $Q \subset P$, such that

$$
F_{D_Q} - R^2[\varphi, \rho_Q(\varphi)] = 0.
$$
\n(4.12)

4.4. **Real twistor lines.** Given a *G*-Higgs bundle (*P*, ¯*∂P*, *ϕ*) with harmonic reduction *Q* there is a corresponding family of flat connections in *P*, given by the formula

$$
\nabla = \zeta^{-1} R \varphi + D_Q - \zeta R \rho_Q(\varphi), \qquad \zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{4.13}
$$

Indeed, the statement that ∇ is flat for all $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ is equivalent to [\(4.12\)](#page-19-0).

4.5. **The Hitchin component.**

Definition 4.4. The *Hitchin base* is the vector space

$$
\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{r} H^{0}(C, K_{C}^{m_{n}+1}).
$$
\n(4.14)

We will denote points of B by $\mathbf{u} = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r)$, where $\phi_n \in H^0(C, K_C^{m_n+1})$. (Warning: this is *inconsistent* with our notation in §[2:](#page-3-4) what we call ϕ_n here would have been called ϕ_{n+1} there.)

As in §[2.6](#page-5-5) we fix a spin structure $\mathcal L$ on C . Let P_0 denote the principal $\mathbb C^{\times}$ -bundle of frames in \mathcal{L} ; it carries a canonical holomorphic structure induced from the one in \mathcal{L} . The distinguished trivializations of $\mathcal L$ associated to patches (U, z) induce distinguished elements of P_0 . Then:

Definition 4.5. The *Hitchin component* is a set of *G*-Higgs bundles (*P*, ¯*∂P*, *ϕ***u**), parameterized by $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$, as follows:

• The bundle *P* is

$$
P = P_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}^\times} G,\tag{4.15}
$$

where we embed $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \hookrightarrow G$ by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{H}$.

- $\bar{\partial}_P$ is the holomorphic structure on *P* induced from the one on *P*₀.
- The Higgs field $\varphi_u \in ad P \otimes K_C$ is, in the distinguished trivializations,

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z} = \left(X_- + \sum_{n=1}^r P_{n,z} X_n \right) dz.
$$
 (4.16)

The following crucial fact is proven in [\[9\]](#page-23-3):

Theorem 4.6. *Given a G-Higgs bundle in the Hitchin component, and any* $R \in \mathbb{R}^+$ *, there exists a unique harmonic reduction Q with parameter R.*

4.6. **The linear involution.** The involution $\sigma : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ of §[4.1](#page-17-0) induces an automorphism σ of ad P, where *P* is the bundle [\(4.15\)](#page-19-1). (To see this involution is well defined we use the fact that $\sigma(H) = H$.) Note that

$$
\sigma(\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}) = -\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}.\tag{4.17}
$$

We define $ad_{\sigma} P$ to be the σ -invariant part of ad *P*.

4.7. **The natural reduction.** Recall from §[2.8](#page-7-2) the natural metric on \mathcal{L} , induced by uniformization with parameter *R*. Let $Q_{\,\natural,0}(R) \subset P_0$ be the circle bundle of unit-norm vectors in \mathcal{L} . In the distinguished local trivializations of P_0 , $Q_{\natural,0}(R)$ is the $U(1)$ -orbit of $(\lambda_\natural/R)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Definition 4.7. The *natural reduction* $Q_{h}(R)$ of the bundle *P* of [\(4.15\)](#page-19-1) is

$$
Q_{\natural}(R) = Q_{\natural,0}(R) \times_{U(1)} K \subset P_0 \times_{\mathbb{C}^{\times}} G = P,
$$
\n(4.18)

where we use the fact that for $|\alpha| = 1$ we have $\alpha^H \in K$. We abbreviate $Q_\natural(R = 1)$ as Q_\natural . In particular, (cf. [\(2.27\)](#page-7-7))

$$
Q_{\natural}(R) = R^{-H/2} Q_{\natural}.
$$
\n(4.19)

Proposition 4.8. *The harmonic reduction on the Higgs bundle* $(P, \bar{\partial}_P, \varphi_0)$ *with parameter R is* $Q_\natural(R)$ *.*

Proof. This is essentially the same computation as in Proposition [2.13.](#page-8-4) The curvature $F_{D_{Q_\natural(R)}}$ is induced from $F_{D_{Q_{\natural,0}(R)}} = \partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda_\natural$ through the embedding $U(1) \hookrightarrow K$, so

$$
F_{D_{Q_{\natural}(R)}} = (\partial \bar{\partial} \log \lambda_{\natural}) H. \tag{4.20}
$$

In the distinguished local trivializations of *P*, $Q_\natural(R)$ is the *K*-orbit $(\lambda_\natural/R)^{H/2}K$. Thus, in the distinguished local trivializations $\rho_{Q_\natural(R)}$ acts by $(\lambda_\natural/R)^{H/2} \circ \rho \circ (\lambda_\natural/R)^{-H/2}.$ So we have

$$
R^2 \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{0}} \rho_{Q_{\natural}(R)}(\varphi_{\mathbf{0}}) \right] = R^2 \left[X_- \mathrm{d}z, (\lambda_{\natural}/R)^{H/2} \rho((\lambda_{\natural}/R)^{-H/2} X_-) \mathrm{d}\bar{z} \right]
$$
(4.21)

$$
= -\lambda_{\natural}^{2} [X_{-}, X_{+}] \, dz \wedge d\bar{z}
$$
\n
$$
\tag{4.22}
$$

$$
= \lambda_{\sharp}^2 H \, dz \wedge d\bar{z}.\tag{4.23}
$$

Combining these and using [\(2.28\)](#page-7-3) gives the result.

4.8. *G***-opers.** Now we recall the notion of *G*-oper. The principal bundle version of the filtration from §[2.9](#page-8-5) is a reduction to the Borel subgroup $B \subset G$.

Definition 4.9. A *G-oper* on *C* is a tuple (P, ∇, F) where:

- *P* is a principal *G*-bundle,
- ∇ is a flat connection in *P*,
- $F \subset P$ is a reduction to $B \subset G$,

such that

- *F* is holomorphic, with respect to the holomorphic structure on *P* induced by ∇,
- ∇ is in "good position" with respect to *F*, in the following sense. Choose locally a connection ∇_B on *P* induced from a flat holomorphic connection on *F*, and consider $\nabla - \nabla_B \in$ $\Omega^{1,0}$ (ad *P*). Changing the choice of ∇_B leaves invariant the class $[\nabla - \nabla_B] \in \Omega^{1,0}$ (ad *P*/ad *F*). Now (ad *P*/ad *F*) = *F* \times _{*B*} ($\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$), from which it follows that the set of *B*-orbits of (ad *P*/ad *F*) is just the set of *B*-orbits of g/b . Thus, at each point of *C*, ∇ determines a *B*-orbit \mathcal{O}_{∇} of $g/b \otimes \Omega^{1,0}$. The "good position" condition is that \mathcal{O}_{∇} is the orbit containing $[X_-]dz$ (note this condition is independent of the choice of local coordinate, since the *B*-orbit of [*X*−] contains all scalar multiples of [*X*−].)

4.9. **A construction of** *G***-opers.** Next we recall a construction of *G*-opers parallel to §[2.10.](#page-8-6)

Proposition 4.10. *We have the following:*

• For any $h \in \mathbb{C}$, the transition functions

$$
T_{\hbar, z, z'} = \alpha_{z, z'}^{H} \exp\left(\hbar \alpha_{z, z'}^{-1} \partial_z \alpha_{z, z'} X_+\right)
$$
 (4.24)

 d efine a holomorphic G-bundle $(P_\hbar,\bar{\partial}_\hbar)$ over C, with a reduction to a B-bundle F $_\hbar$, and equipped with *a distinguished trivialization for each local coordinate patch* (*U*, *z*) *on C.*

• For any $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$, there exists a canonical G-oper $(P_{\hbar}, \nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}}, F_{\hbar})$, compatible with the *holomorphic structure* ¯*∂h*¯ *. Relative to the distinguished trivializations of Ph*¯ *on patches* (*U*, *z*) *in the atlas given by Fuchsian uniformization,* $\nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}$ *is given by*

$$
\nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}, z} = \mathbf{d} + \hbar^{-1} \varphi_{\mathbf{u}, z}, \tag{4.25}
$$

where (as we have stated before)

$$
\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z} = \left(X_- + \sum_{n=1}^r P_{n,z} X_n \right) dz.
$$
 (4.26)

Proof. The checks that P_h and its connection $\nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}$ are globally well defined are just as in the proof of Proposition [2.15:](#page-8-0) indeed the computations there only involved the principal sl(2, **C**) generators *H*, *X*₊, *X*− and their commutation relations with the *X_n*, so they go through unchanged.

The *B*-reduction F_{\hbar} is represented by $B\subset G$ in the distinguished trivializations; this is indeed globally defined, since X_+ , $H \in \mathfrak{b}$ and thus the transition functions $T_{\hbar,z,z'}$ of [\(4.24\)](#page-21-1) are valued in *B*. To see that $\nabla_{\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ is an oper, we can compute in any distinguished trivialization, and locally take ∇_B to be the trivial connection; then by [\(4.25\)](#page-21-2), the class $[\nabla_{\hbar, \mathbf{u}} - \nabla_B]$ is represented by the projection of $\hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u},z}$ to $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{b}$. Using [\(4.26\)](#page-21-3) and the fact that each $X_n \in \mathfrak{b}$, this projection is simply $\hbar^{-1}[X_-]\mathrm{d}z$. This is in the *B*-orbit of $[X_{-}]$ dz, as desired.

4.10. **The main theorem for general** *G***.** Now we state and prove our main theorem for general complex simple simply connected *G*:

Theorem 4.11. *Fix any* $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{B}$. Let $(P, \bar{\partial}_P, \varphi_{\mathbf{u}})$ be the corresponding Higgs bundle in the Hitchin com*ponent, and let* $Q(R, \mathbf{u}) \subset P$ be the family of harmonic reductions solving the rescaled Hitchin equation [\(4.12\)](#page-19-0). Let F be the reduction of P to B which, in each distinguished local trivialization, is given by $B \subset G$. *Fix* $\hbar \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ *and let*

$$
\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + D_{Q(R,\mathbf{u})} - \hbar R^2 \rho_{Q(R,\mathbf{u})}(\varphi_{\mathbf{u}}).
$$
 (4.27)

Then, as $R \to 0$ *the flat connections* $\nabla_{R,\hbar,\mathbf{u}}$ *converge to a flat connection*

$$
\nabla_{0,\hbar,\mathbf{u}} = \hbar^{-1}\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} + D_{Q_{\natural}} - \hbar \rho_{Q_{\natural}}(\varphi_{0}), \qquad (4.28)
$$

and $(P, \nabla_{0,h,\mathbf{u}}, F)$ *is a G-oper, equivalent to the G-oper* $(P_h, \nabla_{h,\mathbf{u}}, F_h)$ *of Proposition [4.10.](#page-21-4)*

In parallel to the proof of Theorem [3.2,](#page-12-1) the main technical issue is to show that the harmonic reduction $Q(R, \mathbf{u})$ approaches $Q_{\text{b}}(R)$ as $R \to 0$. We can formulate this as follows. Let Ad_{b,R} *P* be the group of automorphisms of *P* preserving $Q_{\sharp}(R)$, and ad $_{\sharp,R}$ *P* its Lie algebra. We have

$$
Q(R, \mathbf{u}) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi(R, \mathbf{u})} Q_{\natural}(R)
$$
\n(4.29)

for a unique $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \in \text{ad}_{\natural, R}$ *P*. Then, parallel to Lemma [3.3:](#page-15-10)

Lemma 4.12. *We have*

$$
\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) = O(R^4). \tag{4.30}
$$

Proof. First some notation: for $\mathbf{u} = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^r \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we let

$$
\alpha \mathbf{u} = (\alpha^{m_i+1} \phi_i)_{i=1}^r \in \mathcal{B}.\tag{4.31}
$$

Now define

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi, R) = \left[\bar{\partial}_P, e^{-\chi} \circ \partial_P^{Q_\natural} \circ e^{\chi} \right] - \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} , \left(e^{-\chi} \circ \rho_{Q_\natural} \right) \left(\varphi_{R^2 \mathbf{u}} \right) \right]. \tag{4.32}
$$

Then, just as in the proof of Lemma [3.3,](#page-15-10) we proceed in steps:

(1) For any fixed *R*, $N_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, R)$ is a nonlinear operator

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\cdot, R) : \Omega^0(\mathrm{ad}_{\sigma} P) \to \Omega^2(\mathrm{ad}_{\sigma} P). \tag{4.33}
$$

- (2) For any $R>0$ and $\chi\in {\rm ad}_{\natural,R}$ *P*, we have $N_{\bf u}(\chi,R)=0$ iff ${\rm e}^{-\frac12\chi}Q_\natural(R)$ is the harmonic reduction for φ **u** with parameter *R*.
- (3) $N_{\mathbf{u}}(0,0) = 0$.
- (4) The linearization $D_{\chi}N_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(0,0)}$ is bijective.
- (5) There exists a real analytic $\chi(R, \mathbf{u}) \in \text{ad}_{\mathbf{h},R} P \cap \text{ad}_{\sigma} P$ for $R \in [0, R_0)$ such that

$$
N_{\mathbf{u}}(\chi(R, \mathbf{u}), R) = 0. \tag{4.34}
$$

(6) The first nonzero term in the Taylor expansion of $\chi(R, \mathbf{u})$ around $R = 0$ appears at order R^4 .

Each step is strictly parallel to the analogous step in the proof of Lemma [3.3;](#page-15-10) we just mention the necessary substitutions. In step [\(1\)](#page-22-5) the necessary compatibility with σ is [\(4.9\)](#page-18-1) and [\(4.17\)](#page-20-0). For step [\(2\)](#page-22-6) we first note that if $\chi \in ad_{\mathfrak{h},R} P$ then

$$
\rho_Q = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\chi} R^{-H/2} \circ \rho_{Q_{\natural}} \circ R^{H/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}\chi} = e^{-\chi} R^{-H} \circ \rho_{Q_{\natural}}.
$$
\n(4.35)

Thus the curvature of D_Q is

$$
F_{D_Q} = \left[\bar{\partial}_P \partial_P^Q \right] = \left[\bar{\partial}_P \mathbf{e}^{-\chi} R^{-H} \circ \partial_P^{Q_1} \circ R^H \mathbf{e}^{\chi} \right] = \left[\bar{\partial}_P \mathbf{e}^{-\chi} \circ \partial_P^{Q_1} \circ \mathbf{e}^{\chi} \right], \tag{4.36}
$$

and

$$
\rho_Q(\varphi_\mathbf{u}) = (\mathbf{e}^{-\chi} \circ \rho_{Q_\natural} \circ R^H)(\varphi_\mathbf{u}) = R^{-2} (\mathbf{e}^{-\chi} \circ \rho_{Q_\natural})(\varphi_{R^2 \mathbf{u}})
$$
(4.37)

where we used

$$
R^H \varphi_{\mathbf{u}} = R^{-2} \varphi_{R^2 \mathbf{u}}.\tag{4.38}
$$

In step [\(3\)](#page-22-7) we use Proposition [4.8.](#page-20-1) In step [\(4\)](#page-22-8) we compute

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{u}}(\dot{\chi}) := D_{\chi} N_{\mathbf{u}}|_{(0,0)} (\dot{\chi}) = \bar{\partial}_{P} \partial_{P}^{Q_{\natural}} \dot{\chi} - \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{u}} \left[\rho_{Q_{\natural}}(\varphi_{0}), \dot{\chi} \right] \right], \tag{4.39}
$$

and then proceed as in Lemma [3.3,](#page-15-10) using the L^2 norm on ad P induced by Q_\natural and the Hermitian pairing [\(4.7\)](#page-18-2), and the grading on g induced by *H*. The remaining steps [\(5\)](#page-22-9), [\(6\)](#page-22-10) are just as in the proof of Lemma [3.3.](#page-15-10) \Box

The remainder of the proof of Theorem [4.11](#page-21-0) is also strictly parallel to that of Theorem [3.2,](#page-12-1) so we omit it.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Gaiotto, "Opers and TBA," [1403.6137](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1403.6137).
- [2] T. Kawai and Y. Takei, *Algebraic analysis of singular perturbation theory*, vol. 227 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. Translated from the 1998 Japanese original by Goro Kato, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics.
- [3] K. Iwaki and T. Nakanishi, "Exact WKB analysis and cluster algebras," *J. Phys. A* **47** (2014), no. 47, 474009, 98.
- [4] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, "Wall-crossing, Hitchin systems, and the WKB approximation," *Adv. Math.* **234** (2013) 239–403, [0907.3987](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/0907.3987).
- [5] D. Gaiotto, G. W. Moore, and A. Neitzke, "Spectral networks," Annales Henri Poincaré 14 (November, 2013) 1643–1731, [1204.4824](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1204.4824).
- [6] N. Nekrasov, A. Rosly, and S. Shatashvili, "Darboux coordinates, Yang-Yang functional, and gauge theory," [1103.3919](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1103.3919).
- [7] N. J. Hitchin, "The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface," *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **55** (1987), no. 1, 59–126.
- [8] C. T. Simpson, "Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization," *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1** (1988), no. 4, 867–918.
- [9] N. J. Hitchin, "Lie groups and Teichmuller space," ¨ *Topology* **31** (1992), no. 3, 449–473.
- [10] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, vol. 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second ed., 2004.
- [11] R. A. Wentworth, "Higgs bundles and local systems on Riemann surfaces," [1402.4203](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1402.4203).
- [12] P. Dalakov, *Higgs Bundles and Opers*. PhD thesis, University of Pennsylvania, 2008. ProQuest AAI 3309421.
- [13] R. Zucchini, "The Drinfeld-Sokolov holomorphic bundle and classical W algebras on Riemann surfaces," *J. Geom. Phys.* **16** (1995) 237–274, [hep-th/9403036](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/hep-th/9403036).
- [14] S. G. Krantz and H. R. Parks, *The implicit function theorem*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2013. History, theory, and applications, Reprint of the 2003 edition.
- [15] F. Labourie, "Cyclic surfaces and Hitchin components in rank 2," [1406.4637](http://www.arXiv.org/abs/1406.4637).