Title
Bringing Wellness to Schools: Opportunities for and Challenges to Mental Health Integration in School-Based Health Centers

Permalink
https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6q2760s3

Journal
Psychiatric Services, 67(12)

ISSN
1075-2730

Authors
Lai, Karen
Guo, Sisi
Ijadi-Maghsoodi, Roya
et al.

Publication Date
2016-12-01

DOI
10.1176/appi.ps.201500401

Peer reviewed

Bringing Wellness to Schools: Opportunities and Challenges to Mental Health Integration in School Health Centers

Karen Lai,
UCLA Semel Institute - Department of Psychiatry, Los Angeles, California

Sisi Guo,
UCLA - School of Psychology, Los Angeles, California

Roya Ijadi-Maghsoodi,
VA Greater Los Angeles/UCLA - Health Services Research & Development Center, 11301 Wilshire Blvd , Los Angeles , California 90073

Maryjane Puffer, and
L.A. Trust for Children's Health, Los Angeles, California

Sheryl H. Kataoka
UCLA - Department of Psychiatry and Biobehavioral Sciences, 10920 Wilshire Blvd, #300 , Los Angeles, California 90024

Abstract

Objective—School-based health centers (SBHCs) reduce mental health access-to-care barriers and improve educational outcomes for youth. This qualitative study evaluates the innovations and challenges of a unique network of SBHCs in a large, urban school district, as they attempt to integrate health, mental health, and educational services.

Methods—The 43 participants sampled included mental health providers, primary care providers, and care coordinators at 14 SBHCs. Semi-structured interviews with each participant were audio-recorded and transcribed. Themes were identified and coded using Atlas.ti 5.1, and collapsed into three domains: Operations, Partnership, and Engagement.

Results—Interviews revealed provider models ranging from single agencies offering both health and mental health services to co-located services. Sites with the Health Agency providing at least some mental health services reported more mental health screenings. Many sites utilized SBHC coordinators and coordination team meetings to facilitate relationships between schools and Health Agency and Community Mental Health Clinic providers. Partnership challenges included confidentiality policies and staff turnover. Participants also highlighted student and parent engagement, through culturally sensitive services, peer health advocates, and "drop-in" lunches.

Conclusions—Staffing and operational models are critical in the success of health-mental health-education integration. Among the provider models observed, the combined health and mental health provider model offered the most integrated services. Despite barriers, providers and

schools have begun to implement novel solutions for operational problems and family engagement in mental health services. Implications for future SBHCs as an integrated model are described.

Introduction

Policymakers, clinicians, and advocates identify schools as a key institution for health and mental health intervention (1). Schools are the most common entry point into mental health services in the U.S. (2) and are recognized in recent reforms as an ideal setting for integrating health and mental health services (3). The 2003 President's New Freedom Commission on Mental Health declared, "Schools are in a key position to identify mental health problems early and provide appropriate services or links to services" (4, 5). The 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA) authorized $200 million to expand integrated health care systems by increasing the capacity of school-based health centers (SBHCs) nationally (6).

SBHCs reduce access barriers (e.g., transportation, cost, and stigma) and improve health and educational outcomes for vulnerable youth (7). Use of SBHC services is linked to improved grades, school attendance (8, 9), and health and mental health outcomes (10-12). SBHCs vary by available resources, but typically consist of clinics providing a spectrum of health and mental health services on or near school campuses. According to the School-Based Health Alliance (13), of the >2,000 SBHCs nationally, 71% have mental health clinicians.

We describe one network of 14 SBHCs at the beginning stages of operation that provide health, mental health, and other services to predominantly underserved ethnic minority students and community members. The District named these SBHCs Wellness Centers because of their unique focus on population health, providing preventative services, health promotion, education, and health care to students, families, and the community. This study describes the first year of this school-based Wellness Center network, with sites varying by operational stage and model of integrated care. We evaluate the challenges and innovations of these Wellness Centers to help inform SBHCs nationally.

Methods

Wellness Centers

The 14 Wellness Centers belong to a large urban school district serving primarily minority students (74% Latino, 11% African American, 9% Caucasian) living in poverty (73% eligible for free or reduced lunch). Each Wellness Center was designed through a community stakeholder process and built upon the strengths and resources of its local community. Each Wellness Center has a Student Advisory Board, student-led health promotion, parent and community engagement activities, and health and mental health services, and some with dental and vision care, all provided by local community agencies and District staff.

Participants

Forty-three participants were recruited via referral from key District contacts working with the Wellness Centers. Participants included District-employed mental health providers

(n=11), community mental health agency providers (n=8), District-employed Wellness Coordinators who help connect care between agencies and school (n=13), a District-employed health provider (n=1), and Federally Qualified Health Center-employed primary care and mental health providers (n=10).

Procedures

Researchers and District staff identified topics meaningful to the District's Wellness Center quality improvement efforts. The following topics were incorporated into a semi-structured questionnaire: types of services available, process for identifying mental health needs, patient engagement strategies, and coordination of health, mental health, and educational services (interview guide available upon request). Trained public health and psychology students on the research team read a consent script to participants, verbally consented them to participate, and conducted the in-person or telephonic 45-minute interview. Participation in this study was voluntary without financial incentive. Interviews were tape-recorded and transcribed. The University's IRB and District's Research Review Committee approved all study procedures.

Data Analysis

All interview transcripts were reviewed for main themes. Ten themes were identified by frequency and significance, and coded using qualitative data analysis software, ATLAS.ti 5.1 (14). Content analysis was conducted using inductive and deductive techniques (15). The research team discussed the contents of each domain and agreed upon a refined list of codes after expanding, collapsing, or eliminating codes. Grounded theory was used to look for similarities and differences within and between interviews (16). Approximately 20% of the transcripts, coded by two team members, were further discussed by the entire team to ensure coding reliability.

Three major codes (Operations, Partnerships, and Engagement) were selected for further review and analysis for this study. Operations included organizational structures of sites and coordination of services. Partnerships included collaborative strategies between different agencies within sites. Engagement included efforts to engage parents and students in services.

Results

Operations

We found that types and level of integration between health and mental health services varied (see Table 1). For primary care services, the District contracted with local Federally Qualified Health Centers (FQHCs), which are federally designated comprehensive health clinics serving an underserved population. One exception was Site L (Table 1), where the District directly operated the primary care services (All primary care organizations are referred to as "Health Agency"). Participants reported varying agencies delivering mental health care at these sites: 7 sites had community mental health agencies (CMHAs), 7 had the District's mental health unit, and 7 had the Health Agency offering partial to full mental health services. Additionally, the District employed Wellness Coordinators to facilitate care

coordination between school employees (administrators, teachers, nurses, counselors) and Wellness Center providers.

Interviews from the five Wellness Centers where the Health Agency did not provide mental health services (sites C, D, G, J, L) revealed a desire for "*warmer hand-offs*" or greater coordination between Health Agency and mental health providers, particularly for students with multiple needs. As one Wellness Coordinator explained, *"[if] one of our students had a [health] problem, and …while treated for that problem [was] identified to …have another need, they can be cross-referred…they can just be serviced in-house."*

Participants at two Wellness Centers (sites M, N) reported that their Health Agencies provided all primary care and mental health services for the site. These Health Agency mental health providers described novel strategies linking health and mental health services. One participant described *"shared group appointments…We bring a group of 10-15 patients with the same problem, and give them an hour and a half…. The physician will see them, will do …a brief physical exam, get the vitals…and then meet as a group, the physician and a behaviorist together."* Another theme was the ease of coordinating health and mental health services: *"…the way her depression was expressed was through risky sexual behavior…she was coming in repeatedly for STD testing or Plan B [emergency contraception]…One of the counselors just took her under her wing, and…she's moving forward."*

At the remaining seven sites, the Health Agency employed part-time mental health providers who referred clients with more intensive mental health needs to the Wellness Center's community mental health or District providers (sites A, B, E, F, H, I, K). Sites with a Health Agency providing partial or full mental health services were more likely to report using a standardized mental health screening (7 of 9 sites), compared to sites with no Health Agency mental health provider (1 of 5 sites).

Generally, health and mental health providers employed by different agencies operating within one Wellness Center continued independent operations. These agencies reported separate electronic medical record systems, resulting in challenges sharing patient information. Participants recommended more streamlined referral processes between different agency providers through universal consents (one consent for all providers within a Wellness Center) and regular meetings with all Wellness Center providers to facilitate care coordination.

Partnerships

Participants highlighted the importance of community partnerships within Wellness Centers. Per one provider: "*multiple partners from different areas, whether it's health, behavioral health, people that are in mentoring programs, or sports programs, or tutors, or other kind of activities, are all part of the Wellness Center.*"

Wellness Coordinators were integral figures in developing and maintaining relationships between school staff (e.g., teachers and administrators) and Wellness Center providers. Participants universally acknowledged the utility of "*having that key person who*

understands both [school and community agency] systems." Budget cuts and staff turnover, however, prevented some sites from maintaining Coordinators.

Participants also reported coordination meetings as crucial in developing partnerships between school staff and Wellness Center providers, such as the Coordination of Services Team (COST) meetings, collaborative efforts between various disciplines (e.g., child welfare, juvenile justice, health, mental health, and special education) to coordinate services for high-need students. COST attendees included school employees (e.g., administrators, teachers, counselors), who were critical in referring students and coordinating educational efforts with the Wellness Coordinator, who facilitated Wellness Center services. Ten of 14 sites had ongoing COST meetings, though with variable meeting schedules and participation. Inconsistent COST meetings were related to recent staff cutbacks, resource limitations, and lack of coordinated leadership as obstacles.

Both school staff and agency providers highlighted confidentiality laws as a potential challenge to partnerships. Participants noted that laws such as the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) affect when and how student health information can be shared. Generally, HIPAA limits release of health information by health care providers, and FERPA limits release of information in educational records by schools (17). Consequently, communication, case management, referrals, and follow-up between District and non-District employees occasionally became fragmented. One community-based provider noted, "*because of…HIPAA/FERPA, it's very difficult…. If [the school] refers to [a community agency], then that's it. 'Thank you for the referral.' But then they as a school may not know where [the students] are.*" Participants described encountering a further layer of complexity when these laws interacted with state mandates allowing minors to access confidential services without parental consent. One health provider explained, "*A lot of the services students seek …are confidential services: family planning, mental health. …When it becomes part of the school record, parents have access to that. So it's very tricky.*" To strengthen communication between school staff and community agency providers, participants discussed investigating novel information-sharing strategies, such as with universal consents. One community-based provider remarked, "*We would like to start collecting data on achievement and attendance so we can track it and link it to the therapy progress. …But…electronically there is a firewall between … the medical provider's information…and the school information.*"

Engagement

Parent Engagement—Participants emphasized the importance of partnering with and providing education to parents about potentially serious mental health issues. They reported including parent representatives in Wellness Center planning meetings, holding workshops on parent-chosen topics, and providing information about Wellness Centers at Back-to-School nights. They described goals of having parents view Wellness Centers as multipurpose facilities supporting community needs and minimizing mental health stigma. Participants also discussed structural barriers, including the need for extended hours, transportation, and childcare.

Participants acknowledged sociocultural issues such as: *"[Parents] say well no, we're fine, because as you know mental health has a bad connotation…a taboo."* Participants also reported concerns about immigration status as a hurdle for accessing care. One mental health provider explained, "*Even if the child was born here, the parents [may be] undocumented. They see us maybe as a government agency. …They're worried about whether we're going to report to immigration.*" Participants further described some parents' negative educational histories as affecting service engagement: "*a lot of things stem from parents' own experiences with schools…if they didn't have a good experience while going to school, as an adult, that's going to carry over…. They have a feeling of intimidation.*"

To overcome these barriers, several sites had Parent Centers that encouraged parent involvement, provided health education and programs on topics such as parenting and substance use, and offered culturally sensitive services (e.g., bilingual case managers and community health navigators). One Wellness Coordinator summarized: *"that's the whole point of the Wellness Center, to get families involved in their kids' education and…take down the barriers that prevent children from succeeding."*

Student Engagement—Participants described student engagement as a major component of the Wellness Centers' initiative to improve health: "*ultimately the Wellness Centers are about breaking down the barriers to health care that teenagers face.*" Challenges to engagement included concerns about trust, confidentiality, and mental health stigma. Participants explained how lack of trust might inhibit use of services: "*…a lot of our students…don't think that people care, or [that] they're here to criticize.*"

Participants reported addressing these concerns in several ways, including partnering with students to raise awareness about mental health. Wellness Center providers have hired students as greeters, developed student advisory committees, and enlisted students as peer advocates. One participant explained this vision of student involvement as, "*It's not just a clinic that dispenses pills, but a place where students access services and get vocation by… providing classes, or by getting involved."* Participants also offered "drop-in" lunches to enhance trust.

Additionally, participants felt that offering mental health services in a school-based primary care setting would reduce stigma: "*Mental health services will be… part of the milieu, it won't just be the place where people with mental health problems go."* Several providers integrated mental health services into the primary care visit, including mental health screening during every physical and screening patients with diabetes for depression. Participants believed these mechanisms would increase the detection and engagement of students needing mental health services: "*By providing a variety of avenues for people to get into mental health services, it helps them access these services.*"

Discussion

This qualitative study describes a SBHC network designed to provide integrated prevention and treatment services for ethnically diverse, low-income urban communities. Interviews revealed differences in provider models, ranging from single agencies offering both medical

and mental health services on-site to separate agencies operating within one Wellness Center. This range of integration reflects the heterogeneous landscape of SBHCs (18). As one of the first studies to our knowledge to compare provider models in SBHCs within one SBHC network, our results suggest that staffing and operations, partnerships, and engagement may determine the depth of health-mental health integration in caring for highly underserved populations. From these interviews, we highlight several lessons learned for quality improvement and future research for health-mental health integration in SBHCs.

In this study, operational integration of services within a SBHC appears related to greater screening and detection of mental health problems. General models of primary care-behavioral health integration can be applied to SBHCs to describe types of integration (19) (20). One framework of integrated care suggests a progression of three models: 1) Coordinated care, in which health and mental health providers are in separate facilities and collaborate at a distance; 2) Co-located care, in which primary care and mental health services are on-site together but have separate systems; and 3) Integrated care, which involves a shared treatment plan between health and mental health (21). In our study, five sites most resemble co-located care and seven demonstrate varying levels of integrated care. Some sites have part-time mental health providers who share records and treatment plans within the Health Agency's system, with complex cases co-located to a CMHA or District mental health provider at the Wellness Center. Finally, two sites incorporate greater integration, with all health and mental health services provided within the Health Agency system and shared team approaches. Weist et al (2003) provide practical strategies for improving operational integration, such as training in mental health screening for health providers and school personnel and information exchange through key individuals (22). Further research is needed to measure patient outcomes of these integration models in the context of SBHCs.

Partnership integration through Wellness Coordinators and coordination meetings highlights a key component of integrated care: the health care team. Unique to SBHCs, this health care team includes school staff, who are crucial members and support students' socio-emotional and cognitive development. Wellness Coordinators provide a reciprocal link between school staff and Wellness Center providers. Creative solutions, such as shared agency and District support, are needed for sustainability of this critical role.

Linking de-identified educational data to health records could optimize the value of integrated SBHCs, such as by providing data to school administrators to assess who benefits from Wellness Center services. Sharing of records, however, was a major issue heard throughout the interviews. Laws that protect privacy and regulate the sharing of personal information are critical for school staff and agency providers to understand. In SBHCs where school and community health and mental health staff interface, negotiating exchange of information about students may be a particular challenge and can affect service operations in diverse ways (23, 24).

Finally, engagement of parents and students in a school-based integrated care setting can help ensure that services are patient-centered and relevant to the community. Studies demonstrate that when delivering integrated care, community engagement improves

wellness and reduces social risk factors (25). We found sociocultural factors and mental health stigma to be especially relevant to the communities served by these Wellness Centers. As most youth served by SBHCs in the U.S. are ethnic minority and low-income students (20), engagement strategies such as those employed by the Wellness Centers (e.g., student and parent participation on advisory boards and committees) may prove especially useful in reducing access barriers. This District should continue to empower community members to be involved in Wellness Center quality improvement efforts, to help identify solutions that matter most to students and community members.

There are several limitations to this qualitative study. First, interviews took place within the first 1-2 years of Wellness Center establishment. Second, although a broad set of service providers were interviewed, it was beyond the scope of this study to include parents and students. Further research should examine the diverse SBHC models and integration of care from the consumer perspective. Third, this study was conducted in a school district with a longstanding history of SBHCs. Lessons gleaned may vary for other school districts.

Conclusion

As SBHCs expand and move toward interconnected systems of care, further research is needed to study these systems' effects on children's health, mental health, and academic achievement. Furthermore, for novel SBHCs providing services to community members as well as students, future research should study downstream effects on community and population health, including how these SBHCs address health and mental health disparities. We hope this research informs future plans to build effective and integrated SBHCs that align with the ACA's intent to truly integrate comprehensive health services that improve care, reduce costs, and satisfy community needs.

Acknowledgments

References

1. Flaherty LT, Weist MD, Warner BS. School-based mental health services in the United States: History, current models and needs. Community Mental Health Journal. 1996; 32:341–52. [PubMed: 8840077]

2. Farmer EM, Burns BJ, Phillips SD, et al. Pathways into and through mental health services for children and adolescents. Psychiatr Serv. 2003; 54:60–6. [PubMed: 12509668]

3. Keeton V, Soleimanpour S, Brindis CD. School-based health centers in an era of health care reform: Building on history. Current problems in pediatric and adolescent health care. 2012; 42:132–56. [PubMed: 22677513]

4. Stephan SH, Weist M, Katoka S, et al. Transformation of children's mental health services: The role of school mental health. Psychiatric Services. 2007; 58:1330–8. [PubMed: 17914011]

5. New Freedom Commission on Mental Health. Achieving the Promise: Transforming Mental Health Care in America. Rockville, MD: DHHS; 2003. Final ReportPub No SMA-03-3832

6. PL 111-148 [HR 3590] Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act,.

7. Stephan SH, Weist M, Kataoka SH, et al. Transformation of children's mental health services: the role of school mental health. Psychiatr Serv. 2007; 58:1330–8. [PubMed: 17914011]

8. Strolin-Goltzman J, Sisselman A, Melekis K, et al. Understanding the relationship between school-based health center use, school connection, and academic performance. Health & social work. 2014; 39:83–91. [PubMed: 24946424]

9. Walker SC, Kerns SEU, Lyon AR, et al. Impact of school-based health center use on academic outcomes. J Adolesc Health. 2010; 46:251–7. [PubMed: 20159502]

10. Juszczak L, Melinkovich P, Kaplan D. Use of health and mental health services by adolescents across multiple delivery sites. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2003; 32:108–18. [PubMed: 12782449]

11. Robinson WL, Harper GW, Schoeny ME. Reducing Substance Use Among African American Adolescents: Effectiveness of School-Based Health Centers. Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice. 2003; 10:491–504.

12. Wade TJ, Mansour ME, Line K, et al. Improvements in health-related quality of life among school-based health center users in elementary and middle school. Ambul Pediatr. 2008; 8:241–9. [PubMed: 18644546]

13. School-Based Health Alliance. School-Based Health Center Capital Program: Uses of Federal Funds and Estimated Reach of Programs. School- Based Health Alliance. 2011

14. Development FRoGSS. Atlas.ti.4.1: Federal Republic of Germany Schientific Software Development. 1998

15. Bernard, HR. Research methods in anthropology: Qualitative and quantitative approaches. Rowman Altamira; 2011.

16. Glaser, BG., Strauss, A. The Discovery of Grounded Theory: Strategies for Qualitative Research. Chicago: Aldine; 1967.

17. California School-Based Health Alliance. HIPAA or FERPA? A Primer on School Health Information Sharing in California. Oakland, CA: California School-Based Health Alliance; 2010.

18. Strozer J, Juszczak L, Ammerman A. School-Based Health Centers: National Census School Year 2007-08. National Assembly on School-Based Health Care. 2010

19. Clayton S, Chin T, Blackburn S, et al. Different setting, different care: integrating prevention and clinical care in school-based health centers. American journal of public health. 2010; 100:1592. [PubMed: 20634447]

20. Weist MD, Goldstein A, Morris L, et al. Integrating expanded school mental health programs and school-based health centers. Psychology in the Schools. 2003; 40:297–308.

21. Collins, C., Hewson, D., Munger, R., et al. Evolving Models of Behavioral Health Integration in Primary Care. New York: Milbank Memorial Fund; 2010.

22. Weist MD, Mellin EA, Chambers KL, et al. Challenges to collaboration in school mental health and strategies for overcoming them. Journal of School Health. 2012; 82:97–105. [PubMed: 22239135]

23. Weist MD, Paternite CE. Building an interconnected policy-training-practice-research agenda to advance school mental health. Education and Treatment of Children. 2006:173–96.

24. Acosta, OM., Tashman, NA., Prodente, C., et al. Implementing Successful school mental health programs: Guidelines and recommendations. In: Ghuman, HS.Weist, MD., Sarles, R., editors. Providing mental health services to youth where they are: School-and other community-based approaches. New York: Brunner-Routledge; 2002.

25. Wells KB, Jones L, Chung B, et al. Community-partnered cluster-randomized comparative effectiveness trial of community engagement and planning or resources for services to address depression disparities. Journal of general internal medicine. 2013; 28:1268–78. [PubMed: 23649787]

Table 1

Types of Agencies Providing Mental Health Services at Each Wellness Center

Wellness Center	Screening[b]	Mental Health Providers[a]			
		Health Agency only	Health Agency, partial	CMHA	District
A	YES		X		X
B	YES		X		X
C	NO			X	
D	NO				X
E	NO		X	X	X
F	NO		X	X	
G	YES			X	
H	YES		X		X
I	YES		X		X
J	NO			X	
K	YES		X		X
L	NO			X	
M	YES	X			
N	YES	X			

[a] Health Agency only: health organization is the only source of mental health services at site; Health Agency, partial: health organization provides some mental health service at site; CMHA: Community Mental Health Agency; District: District Mental Health Unit;

[b] Screening: health provider reports using routine mental health screening