

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

Science & engineering goals: Learning about the control-of-variable strategy from

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6pg2v67f>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

#### **Authors**

Venkadasalam, Vaunam

Nguyen, Lynn G.

Larsen, Nicole

et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2020

#### **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# **Science & engineering goals: Learning about the control-of-variable strategy from picture books**

**Vaunam Venkadasalam**

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

**Lynn G. Nguyen**

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

**Nicole Larsen**

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

**Angela Nyhout**

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

**Patricia Ganea**

University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

## **Abstract**

Children struggle to conduct controlled tests, even with explicit instruction (Chen & Klahr, 1999). How learners approach multivariable tasks can be affected by task goals; a scientist uncovers causal regularities whereas an engineer produces effects (Klahr, et al., 2011). This study investigated whether science vs. engineering goals presented in a narrative picture book influenced childrens ability to conduct a controlled test.

Six-to-8-year-olds (N=72) were first pre-tested on their ability to design a controlled test of a variable predicting how far a ball travels down to a ramp. Children were then read a picture book that contained a science (conduct controlled test) or engineering (create faster ramp) goal. Next, they completed an identical post-test and transfer-test with two new variables. Childrens ability to design a controlled test improved significantly from pre- to post-test ( $p=.008$ ) and marginally from pre-test to transfer ( $p=.067$ ) in both conditions, suggesting that children learned from both goals.