

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Associations of Prenatal First Trimester Essential and Nonessential Metal Mixtures with Body Size and Adiposity in Childhood.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6nn8s957>

Journal

Epidemiology, 34(1)

Authors

Smith, Anna

Lin, Pi-I

Rifas-Shiman, Sheryl

et al.

Publication Date

2023

DOI

10.1097/EDE.0000000000001560

Peer reviewed

Associations of Prenatal First Trimester Essential and Nonessential Metal Mixtures with Body Size and Adiposity in Childhood.

Anna R. Smith,^a Pi-I D. Lin,^b Sheryl L. Rifas-Shiman,^b Robert O. Wright,^c Brent Coull,^d Marie-France Hivert,^{b,e} Alan Hubbard,^f Emily Oken,^b and Andres Cardenas^g

Background: Prenatal nonessential metals may contribute to postnatal adiposity, whereas essential metals may have metabolic benefits. We evaluated joint and individual associations between prenatal metals and childhood adiposity.

Methods: We measured concentrations of six nonessential (arsenic, barium, cadmium, cesium, lead, and mercury) and four essential (magnesium, manganese, selenium, and zinc) metals in first trimester maternal blood from a prebirth cohort. We collected anthropometric measures in early childhood, mid-childhood, and early adolescence including subscapular+triceps skinfold thickness (mm) (N = 715–859),

waist circumference (cm) (N = 717–882), and body mass index (BMI) (z-score) (N = 716–875). We measured adiposity in mid-childhood and early adolescence using bone densitometry total- and trunk- fat mass index (kg/m²) (N = 511–599). We estimated associations using adjusted quantile g-computation and linear regression.

Results: The nonessential metal mixture was associated with higher total ($\beta = 0.07$, 95% CI = 0.01, 0.12) and trunk fat mass index ($\beta = 0.12$, CI = 0.02, 0.22), waist circumference ($\beta = 0.01$, CI = 0.00, 0.01), and BMI ($\beta = 0.24$, CI = 0.07, 0.41) in mid-childhood, and total fat mass index ($\beta = 0.07$, CI = 0.01, 0.14), and BMI ($\beta = 0.19$, CI = 0.02, 0.37) in early adolescence. The essential metal mixture was associated with lower early adolescence total- ($\beta = -0.11$, CI = -0.17 , -0.04) and trunk- fat mass index ($\beta = -0.13$, CI = -0.21 , -0.05), subscapular+triceps skinfold thickness ($\beta = -0.02$, CI = -0.03 , -0.00), waist circumference ($\beta = -0.003$, CI = -0.01 , -0.00), and BMI ($\beta = -0.16$, CI = -0.28 , -0.04). Cadmium and cesium were individually associated with childhood adiposity at different timepoints.

Conclusions: Prenatal first-trimester essential metals were associated with lower childhood adiposity, whereas nonessential metals were associated with higher adiposity into adolescence.

Keywords: adiposity; embryonic and fetal development; environmental health; metals; nutrients

(*Epidemiology* 2023;34: 80–89)

Submitted April 26, 2022; accepted September 23, 2022

From the ^aDivision of Environmental Health Sciences, School of Public Health and Center for Computational Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California; ^bDivision of Chronic Disease Research Across the Lifecourse, Department of Population Medicine, Harvard Medical School and Harvard Pilgrim Health Care Institute, Boston, Massachusetts; ^cDepartment of Environmental Medicine and Institute for Exposomic Research, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York City, New York; ^dDepartment of Biostatistics, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Harvard University, Boston, Massachusetts; ^eDiabetes Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; ^fDivision of Biostatistics, School of Public Health and Center for Computational Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California; and ^gDepartment of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.

The results reported herein correspond to specific aims of grant F31ES032316 to investigator Anna R. Smith from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences. This work was also supported by grants R01ES031259 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, UH3OD023286 from the Office of the Director, NIH, and R01HD034568 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Because of the sensitive nature of the data collected for this study, requests to access the data set from qualified researchers trained in human subject confidentiality protocols may be sent to Project Viva at project_viva@hphc.org and to the corresponding author. Data can be accessed with the appropriate permission from the Project Viva study team following the study policies (<https://www.hms.harvard.edu/viva/>).

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

SDC Supplemental digital content is available through direct URL citations in the HTML and PDF versions of this article (www.epidem.com).

Correspondence: Andres Cardenas, Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA. E-mail: andres.cardenas@stanford.edu.

Copyright © 2022 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

ISSN: 1044-3983/22/341-80-89

DOI: 10.1097/EDE.0000000000001560

Childhood adiposity is increasing in prevalence¹ and associated with adult comorbidities,^{2,3} including a higher risk of mortality.⁴ The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) hypothesis postulates that exposures encountered in utero influence later disease susceptibility and outcomes including adiposity and obesity risk.^{5,6} In support of this hypothesis, a number of prenatal factors have been associated with childhood adiposity including higher pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI),⁷ gestational weight gain,⁷ in utero nutrient availability,⁸ and environmental exposures.⁹ Endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), including certain metals, may act as obesogens, disrupting metabolic processes at various developmental stages including critical windows of fetal development.¹⁰

Exposure to metals during pregnancy is ubiquitous,¹¹ and some metals cross the placental barrier and accumulate

in fetal tissue.^{12,13} For example, mercury is found in higher concentrations in cord blood, compared to maternal blood,¹⁴ whereas cadmium accumulates in the placenta altering biological pathways and function.¹⁵ Conversely, essential metals at therapeutic levels facilitate biochemical and physiologic processes¹⁶ and may mitigate the adverse effect of toxic metals by acting as antioxidants.¹⁷

Prior experimental and observational studies have tested single exposures and found associations between individual prenatal nonessential and essential metals and postnatal metabolic outcomes.^{18–29} Two recent observational studies evaluated associations between metal mixtures and obesity in adults.^{30,31} One cross-sectional study found that a circulating concentration of a heavy metal mixture was associated with obesity and its related chronic conditions,³¹ whereas the other found that a nonessential metal mixture was associated with higher BMI, prospectively.³⁰ We aimed to expand on prior literature in adults and examine the relationship between maternal first-trimester metal concentration and postnatal metabolic disease, hypothesizing that prenatal mixtures of essential metals would be associated with lower body size and adiposity, whereas nonessential metals would be associated with higher body size and adiposity in childhood and adolescence.

METHODS

Study Population and Design

During 1999–2002, we recruited pregnant participants into Project Viva during their first prenatal visit at Atrium Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates, a multispecialty group practice in eastern Massachusetts.³² Eligibility criteria included English fluency, gestational age <22 weeks, singleton pregnancy, and plans to remain in the study area during gestation. Children were seen at in-person visits from birth to adolescence.

Of 2128 mother-infant pairs, 28 participants were enrolled more than once owing to multiple pregnancies. We used data from the first enrollment for this analysis ($N = 2100$). We measured first-trimester metals in maternal red blood cells among 1407 participants with available samples. Mercury was not measured in 17 of these participants. Of the 1390 mother-infant pairs with all prenatal metal concentrations, 999 mother-infant pairs had at least one of the body size and adiposity measures of interest and were included in analyses (eAppendix, eFigure 1 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>).

Mothers provided written informed consent at recruitment and all postnatal follow-up visits. Children provided verbal assent beginning in mid-childhood. The Institutional Review Board of Harvard Pilgrim Health Care reviewed and approved all study protocols.

Maternal First-Trimester Metal Concentrations in Red Blood Cells

We have described metal measurements previously.³³ Briefly, upon recruitment (median = 10 weeks gestation), a blood

sample was obtained from participants, centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes at 4°C, and the red blood cell pellet was stored at –70°C before analysis. Erythrocyte concentrations of all metals, except mercury, were measured using triple quadrupole inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) on a single run (Agilent 8800 ICP-MS-QQQ, Agilent Technologies, Inc., Delaware, USA) in MS/MS mode with appropriate cell gases and internal standards. Mercury concentrations were analyzed using a Direct Mercury Analyzer 80 (Milestone Inc.).

Quality control (QC) measures were previously described,³³ and they included calibration verification, procedural blanks, and repeated analysis of 2% of samples. Intra-day coefficients of variation (CVs) were calculated using in-house QC pools at three different concentrations before and after every 10 samples ($N = 7$). Intra-day CVs were <5% for analytes included in the analysis, except for selenium (<10%). Inter-day CV was <15%, except for concentrations near the limit of detection (LOD).

We report metal concentrations in ng/g. We selected metals with an intra-class correlation >0.70 for duplicates and >90% detection frequency, including one nonessential metalloid (arsenic), five nonessential metals (barium, cadmium, cesium, lead, and mercury), and four essential metals (magnesium, manganese, selenium, and zinc) (eAppendix, eTable 1 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). We refer to all elements, including metal(loid)s, as metals. Metal concentrations below the LOD were given the value (LOD/[square root of 2]). Metal concentrations were \log_2 -transformed in the analyses.

Child Body Size Measures

Trained research assistants obtained body size measurements during the early childhood, mid-childhood, and early adolescence research visits. We measured height to the nearest 0.1 cm using a calibrated stadiometer (Shorr Productions, Olney, Maryland) and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg using a calibrated scale (early childhood: Seca model 881, Seca Corp., Hanover, MD; mid-childhood and early adolescence: Tanita model TBF-300A, Tanita Corporation of America, Inc., Arlington Heights, IL). We computed each child's BMI as (weight in kg/[height in meters])² and calculated age- and sex-adjusted BMI z-score using World Health Organization reference data. We measured the sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses to the nearest 0.1 mm using Holtain calipers (Holtain Ltd, Crosswell, Wales) and summed the two thicknesses. We measured waist circumference to the nearest 0.1 cm using a Hoehchstmass measuring tape (Hoehchstmass Balzer GmbH, Sulzbach, Germany).

Child Adiposity Measures

Trained research assistants administered dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scans (Hologic model Discovery A) during the mid-childhood and early adolescence visits. A single trained research assistant checked scans for positioning, movement, and artifacts, and defined body regions for analysis. We calculated DXA total fat mass index and DXA trunk fat mass index as ((total fat mass or trunk fat mass in kg)/[height in meters])².

Covariate Assessment

Mothers self-reported demographic characteristics, including race–ethnicity, at study enrollment, through a brief interview and questionnaire. We abstracted the child's assigned sex at birth from the interview at the time of delivery. We used directed acyclic graphs to select model confounders based on prior literature about covariates. These included maternal pre-pregnancy age,³⁴ pre-pregnancy BMI, calculated from self-reported weight and height,^{7,34} education (<college or college graduate), annual household income (\$70,000 per year or less, >\$70,000 per year),^{35,36} self-identified race–ethnicity (Asian, Black, Hispanic, white, or more than one race–ethnicity),^{34,35,37} smoking status (never, former, or during pregnancy),³⁴ parity (nulliparous or >1),³⁸ and child assigned sex at birth (female or male). We included maternal race–ethnicity as a covariate because differences between categories are observed in both metal exposure³⁷ and childhood adiposity,^{34,35} which we think could be from shared differences in unmeasured variables, such as environmental racism and marginalization.

Statistical Analysis: Metal Mixtures

We used quantile g-computation³⁹ to estimate the association between each body size and adiposity measurement and a one-quantile simultaneous increase in all metals in a specified mixture.³⁰ We examined associations between the entire metal mixture, the nonessential metal mixture (arsenic, barium, cadmium, cesium, lead, and mercury), as well as the essential metal mixture (magnesium, manganese, selenium, and zinc) and each of the outcomes, adjusting for covariates and log₂-transformed metals not included in the mixture. In sensitivity analyses, we examined associations between the metal mixture and adiposity outcomes, stratified by child sex.

Statistical Analysis: Multivariable Analyses for Individual Metals

We used multivariable linear regression models to test associations between individual first-trimester metal concentrations and body size and adiposity measures at each of the time points during childhood, adjusting for covariates. As an exploratory analysis, we checked for statistical interactions between each metal and child sex, using multivariable linear regression models with a multiplicative term. When an interaction term was statistically significant at $\alpha < 0.05$, we examined associations between the individual metals and outcomes, stratified by child sex.

In addition, we considered several sensitivity analyses in obtaining the finalized models. We conducted analyses controlling for the timing of outcome measurement as a covariate (child age in months), but this did not improve the precision of estimates, and so we did not include this in the main models, as it could be on the causal pathway. We considered models in which the metal exposure was not log₂ transformed. Model diagnostics were similar, and we

chose the models in which metals were log₂ transformed to minimize the influence of extreme influential points. This approach also allows for comparing doubling of metal concentrations across the outcomes, independent of metal range.

In addition, we considered accounting for pubertal onset. However, we did not adjust for this because (1) prenatal metal exposure would not be impacted by pubertal onset, and (2) pubertal onset may be on the causal pathway. Future research will consider if metals alter pubertal timing. We also considered generalized estimating equations for longitudinal models, but we chose linear regression for individual metals because a longitudinal mean model would have assumed the same effect across time, and there is evidence that associations differed by time-point of collection. Finally, we performed complete case analyses among participants with exposure/outcome data at all timepoints (N = 385) for quantile g-computation models, because the number of participants who contributed data at each visit was different. Although some observations differed, we believe this from the lower sample size and not differences in the study population of those who were complete case and those who were not, as the distributions of exposures, outcomes, and covariates were not different (eAppendix, eTables 2–4 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>).

We report estimated associations and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for all analyses. All analyses were conducted in R (version 4.1.0; R Development Core Team).

RESULTS

Population Characteristics and Metal Distributions

Table 1 describes the participant characteristics (N = 999) included in any of the analyses. Most participants were white (74%), had at least a college education (72%), never smoked (69%), and had normal prepregnancy BMI (60%). Median concentrations of all essential metals were within reference ranges, and median concentrations of cadmium and lead were similar to those in whole blood from 1999 to 2000 subset of female National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) participants (eAppendix, eTable 1 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>).⁴⁰ Blood metal concentrations in our study population were mildly to moderately correlated and reported previously.³³

Body Size and Adiposity Distributions

The mean (standard deviation [SD]) of child age at each visit was 3.3 years (0.33 years) at the early childhood visit, 8.0 years (0.84 years) at the mid-childhood visit, and 13 years (0.95 years) at the early adolescence visit (Table 1). The mean (SD) of each body size and adiposity measure increased across visit time points for all measures, except for BMI z-score, which decreased (Table 2). Correlations

TABLE 1. Sample Characteristics of Mother-Child Pairs from the Project Viva Cohort with Metal Measurements Who Were Included in at Least One of the Body Size and Adiposity Analyses (N = 999^a).

Demographic Characteristics	N (%) or Mean (Standard Deviation)
Maternal age (years), mean (SD)	33 (4.6)
Maternal pre-pregnancy body mass index (kg/m ²), n (%)	
Underweight (<18.5)	27 (3)
Normal (18.5–<25.0)	595 (60)
Overweight (25.0–<30.0)	228 (23)
Obese (≥30.0)	149 (15)
Maternal race/ethnicity, n (%)	
Asian	42 (4)
Black	114 (11)
Hispanic	65 (7)
More than one race/ethnicity	42 (4)
White	736 (74)
Maternal education, n (%)	
Less than college	275 (28)
College graduate	724 (72)
Household income, n (%)	
≤\$70,000 per year	371 (37)
>\$70,000 per year	628 (63)
Maternal smoking, n (%)	
Never	689 (69)
Former	200 (20)
During pregnancy	110 (11)
Parity, n (%)	
Nulliparous	479 (48)
One or more	520 (52)
Child sex, n (%)	
Male	526 (53)
Female	473 (47)
Child age (years), mean (SD) ^b	
Early childhood visit	3.3 (0.33)
Mid-childhood visit	8.0 (0.84)
Early adolescence visit	13 (0.95)

^aData was complete for all participants.^bEarly-childhood visit based on 883 observations; mid-childhood visit based on 750 observations; early adolescence visit based on 717 observations.**TABLE 2.** Body Size and Adiposity Measurement Distributions, Mean and Standard Deviations (SDs), Among Children from the Project Viva Cohort With Prenatal First Trimester Metal Concentrations who were Included in the Respective Body Size and Adiposity Analyses (N = 999 overall)

Outcome	Early Childhood		Mid-Childhood		Early Adolescence	
	Sample Size	Mean (SD)	Sample Size	Mean (SD)	Sample Size	Mean (SD)
SS + TR(mm)	859	17 (4.4)	747	20 (10)	715	28 (14)
Waist circumference (cm)	882	52 (3.7)	748	60 (8.5)	717	73 (12)
Body mass index (z-score)	875	0.79 (1.0)	745	0.56 (1.2)	716	0.53 (1.2)
DXA total fat mass index (kg/m ²)	—	—	599	4.4 (2.0)	511	6.4 (3.2)
DXA trunk fat mass index (kg/m ²)	—	—	599	1.5 (0.89)	511	2.4 (1.5)

DXA, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; SD, standard deviation; SS + TR, sum of skinfold and tricep thicknesses.

among outcome measurements at the different time points are reported in eAppendix, eFigure 2 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>. Mid-childhood and early adolescent outcomes

were moderately to highly correlated ($r > 0.5$), whereas early childhood measures were modestly correlated with later outcome measures.




FIGURE 1. Differences and 95% bootstrap confidence intervals, estimated with quantile g-computation, for body mass index (BMI; z-score), sum of skinfold and tricep thicknesses (SS + TR; mm), waist circumference (WC; cm), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) total fat mass index (total fat; kg/m²), and DXA trunk fat mass index (trunk fat; kg/m²) for a one quartile increase in (A) nonessential metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, cesium, lead, and mercury), conditional on covariates (maternal age, pre-pregnancy BMI, race/ethnicity, education, household income, smoking, parity, and child sex) and essential metals, and (B) essential metals (magnesium, manganese, selenium, and zinc), conditional on covariates and nonessential metals. Figure corresponds to Table 3, essential and nonessential metal rows. Figure is available in color online.

Table 3. Quantile g-computation Estimates for Difference in Each Outcome for a One Quartile Increase in Metal Mixtures

Metal ^a	Difference in DXA Total Fat Mass Index (kg/m ²) (β 195% Bootstrap CI)		Difference in DXA Trunk Fat Mass Index (kg/m ²) (β 195% Bootstrap CI)		Difference in Sum of Subscapular and Tricep Skinfold Thicknesses (mm) (β 195% bootstrap CI)		Difference in Waist Circumference (cm) (β 195% bootstrap CI)		Difference in Body Mass Index (z-score) (β 195% Bootstrap CI)			
	Mid-childhood (N = 599)	Early adolescence (N = 511)	Early childhood (N = 511)	Early adolescence (N = 511)	Early childhood (N = 859)	Mid-childhood (N = 747)	Early adolescence (N = 715)	Early childhood (N = 882)	Mid-childhood (N = 717)	Early childhood (N = 875)	Mid-childhood (N = 745)	Early adolescence (N = 716)
All metals ^b	0.10 (0.01, 0.18)	0.00 (-0.06, 0.06)	0.12 (0.01, 0.23)	0.00 (-0.11, 0.01)	0.02 (-0.02, 0.07)	0.05 (-0.03, 0.13)	-0.04 (-0.14, 0.06)	0.29 (-0.22, 0.79)	0.02 (-0.00, 0.05)	0.05 (-0.08, 0.19)	0.20 (0.03, 0.37)	0.04 (-0.15, 0.23)
Essential metals ^c	0.07 (-0.05, 0.06)	0.07 (-0.17, -0.04)	0.12 (-0.06, 0.08)	0.01 (-0.06, 0.08)	-0.13 (-0.21, -0.05)	-0.00 (-0.02, 0.01)	-0.02 (-0.03, -0.00)	-0.00 (-0.00, 0.00)	-0.00 (-0.01, -0.00)	-0.02 (-0.11, 0.07)	-0.04 (-0.15, 0.08)	-0.16 (-0.28, -0.04)
Nonessential metals ^d	0.07 (0.01, 0.12)	0.07 (0.01, 0.14)	0.12 (0.02, 0.22)	0.12 (-0.01, 0.23)	0.01 (-0.01, 0.03)	0.02 (-0.00, 0.05)	0.01 (-0.02, 0.03)	0.01 (-0.00, 0.02)	0.01 (0.00, 0.01)	0.08 (-0.05, 0.20)	0.24 (0.07, 0.41)	0.19 (0.02, 0.37)

^aMetals were log_e-transformed in the analyses.

^bQuantile g-computation model assessed difference in each outcome for one quartile increase in all metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, cesium, lead, magnesium, manganese, mercury, selenium and zinc), conditional on the covariates maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index, race/ethnicity, education, household income, smoking status, parity, and child sex.

^cQuantile g-computation model assessed difference in each outcome for one quartile increase in essential metals (magnesium, manganese, selenium and zinc), conditional on the covariates maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index, race/ethnicity, education, household income, smoking status, parity, child sex, and the nonessential metals.

^dQuantile g-computation model assessed difference in each outcome for one quartile increase in nonessential metals (arsenic, barium, cadmium, cesium, lead and mercury), conditional on the covariates maternal age, pre-pregnancy body mass index, race/ethnicity, education, household income, smoking status, parity, child sex, and the essential metals. CI indicates confidence interval; DXA, dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry.

Associations Between Metal Mixtures and Body Size and Adiposity Measures

Higher levels of the prenatal nonessential metal mixture were associated with higher total fat mass index ($\beta = 0.07 \text{ kg/m}^2$ per quartile, 95% CI = 0.01, 0.12), trunk fat mass index ($\beta = 0.12 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = 0.02, 0.22), waist circumference ($\beta = 0.01$, CI = 0.00, 0.01), and BMI z-score ($\beta = 0.24$, CI = 0.07, 0.41) in mid-childhood, and higher total fat mass index ($\beta = 0.07 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = 0.01, 0.14) and BMI z-score ($\beta = 0.19$, CI = 0.02, 0.37) in early adolescence (Figure 1 and Table 3). Complete case analyses (N = 348) were similar but with lower precision and reported in eAppendix, eFigure 3 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>. In stratified analyses by child sex, higher levels of prenatal nonessential metals were associated with a higher sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses, waist circumference, and BMI z-score in mid-childhood and higher total fat mass index, trunk fat mass index, sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses, and BMI z-score in early adolescence in males, but not females (eAppendix, eTable 5 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>).

Higher prenatal essential metals, as a mixture, were associated with lower total fat mass index ($\beta = -0.11 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = -0.17 , -0.04), trunk fat mass index ($\beta = -0.13 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = -0.21 , -0.05), sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses ($\beta = -0.02 \text{ mm}$, CI = -0.03 , -0.00), waist circumference ($\beta = -0.003 \text{ cm}$, CI = -0.01 , -0.00), and BMI z-score ($\beta = -0.16$, CI = -0.28 , -0.04) in early adolescence (Figure 1 and Table 3). Complete case analyses are reported in eAppendix, eFigure 3 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>. In stratified analyses by child sex, higher prenatal essential metals were associated with lower total fat mass index, trunk fat mass index, sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses, waist circumference, and BMI in males, but not females (eAppendix, eTable 5 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). When an association was observed between either the essential or nonessential metal mixture and an outcome in the pooled analyses, weights representing the proportion of the positive and negative associations for each metal are reported in eAppendix, eFigure 4 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978> and eAppendix, eFigure 5 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>, respectively.

The overall mixture, combining essential and nonessential metals, was associated with higher total fat mass index ($\beta = 0.10 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = 0.01, 0.18), trunk fat mass index ($\beta = 0.12 \text{ kg/m}^2$, CI = 0.01, 0.23), and BMI z-score ($\beta = 0.20$, CI = 0.03, 0.37) in mid-childhood (Table 3). However, we observed the association between the overall mixture and total fat mass index and BMI z-score in mid-childhood in males, but not females (eAppendix, eTable 5 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>), and no association between the overall mixture and trunk fat mass index in mid-childhood in stratified analyses.




FIGURE 2. Multivariable linear regression estimates and 95% confidence intervals for associations of first trimester red blood cell concentrations of (A) cadmium and (B) cesium individually with body mass index (BMI; z-score), sum of skinfold and triceps thicknesses (SS+TR; mm), waist circumference (WC; cm), dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) total fat mass index (total fat; kg/m^2), and DXA trunk fat mass index (trunk fat; kg/m^2), conditional on covariates (maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, race/ethnicity, education, household income, smoking, parity, and child sex). Figure corresponds to Table S6, cadmium and cesium rows. Figure is available in color online.

Associations Between Individual Metals and Body Size and Adiposity Measures

Individually, a doubling in prenatal cadmium was associated with greater sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses in early childhood ($\beta = 0.30$ mm, 95% CI = 0.02, 0.58), and higher total- ($\beta = 0.25$ kg/m², CI = 0.02, 0.48) and trunk- fat mass index index ($\beta = 0.12$ kg/m², CI = 0.01, 0.23) in early adolescence. A doubling in prenatal cesium was associated with greater BMI z-score in mid-childhood ($\beta = 0.18$, CI = 0.02, 0.35) and trunk fat mass index in early adolescence ($\beta = 0.23$ kg/m², CI = 0.00, 0.47) (Figure 2 and eAppendix, eTable 6 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). The linear regression estimates generally agreed with the multipollutant models, as cadmium largely drove the association between nonessential metals and total fat mass index in early adolescence, and cesium largely drove the association between nonessential metals and BMI z-score in mid-childhood (eAppendix, eFigure 5 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). We observed no further associations for other individual metals (eAppendix, eTable 6 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). However, we observed interactions between prenatal metals and sex for several metal–outcome relationships (eAppendix, eTable 7 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). Associations between higher cadmium and higher total fat mass index, trunk fat mass index, and sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses, and BMI z-score were present in early adolescence in males, but associations in females were in the opposite direction and imprecise (eAppendix, eTable 7 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>). There was an association between greater magnesium and higher early childhood BMI in males, but not females, as well as an association between higher zinc and lower total fat mass index in mid-childhood in females, but not males (eAppendix, eTable 7 <http://links.lww.com/EDE/B978>).

DISCUSSION

In this prebirth cohort study examining associations between prenatal metal mixtures and childhood adiposity, we observed associations between a mixture of first-trimester nonessential metals and higher adiposity and a mixture of essential metals with lower adiposity. Most consistently, the prenatal nonessential metal mixture was associated with greater measures of adiposity and body size in mid-childhood, and the essential metal mixture was associated with lower adiposity and body size in early adolescence. We hypothesize that differences observed at each timepoint could reflect prenatal programming that becomes evident at different developmental periods. Sex-stratified analyses showed magnitude and direction of associations between the metal mixtures and body size and adiposity measures similar to the observed overall associations mostly among males, but not females.

We analyzed associations between mixtures of nonessential and essential metals, based on prior mechanistic evidence. The primary mechanism of action for individual

metals includes the generation of reactive oxygen species, depletion of the antioxidant glutathione, and bonding to sulfhydryl groups,⁴¹ leading to inflammation, oxidative stress, and genotoxicity.⁴² Oxidative stress may mediate health effects related to EDCs.⁴³ In experimental studies, prenatal arsenic alters gene pathways related to hormone signaling, prenatal cadmium influences hormone synthesis, and lead alters the physiologic activity of hormones.⁴⁴ In vivo evidence suggests that perturbation of the hypothalamic dopaminergic system, endoplasmic reticulum stress, impaired adipogenesis, and adipocytokines secretion might serve as potential mechanisms underlying the association of nonessential metals such as arsenic, cadmium, lead, and mercury with adiposity.^{42,45–47} In contrast, essential metals at therapeutic levels play an important role in biochemical and physiologic processes¹⁶ and act as antioxidants,¹⁷ and thus we think they could potentially mitigate the mechanistic processes that drive metabolic disruption.

Few studies have examined associations between metal mixtures and adiposity. A recent cross-sectional study examined blood and urinary markers of 18 heavy metals among U.S. adults from NHANES. An increase in an environmental risk score, a summary of main effects and pairwise interactions between metals, was associated with higher BMI, skinfold thickness, and total body fat.³¹ Another observational study measured concentrations of 16 nonessential and essential metals in the toenails of participants from the Sister Study (ages 35–74 years) and assessed their associations with BMI measured on average 5.2 years after baseline.³⁰ Apart from cobalt, no single metal was strongly related to BMI in individual metal models. The nonessential mixture was associated with higher BMI, whereas the essential metal mixture was suggestively associated with lower BMI.³⁰ Another prospective cohort study in Mexico City, Mexico, measured 11 metals in maternal second-trimester whole blood, as well as BMI, percent body fat, and other cardiometabolic biomarkers in their children (4–6 years).⁴⁸ Prenatal levels of selected metals were associated with lower cardiometabolic risk in the children, and associations with essential metals were greater than nonessential metals.⁴⁸ No other study to our knowledge has examined associations between prenatal metal mixtures and childhood adiposity.

Prior studies have examined the associations between prenatal individual metals and child adiposity. In a prospective cohort study of pregnant participants and their children in North Carolina (N = 324), first-trimester red blood cell cadmium was associated with higher childhood obesity at 5 years and growth trajectories, independent of arsenic, lead, and smoking status.²² Similarly in our study, first-trimester red blood cell cadmium was associated with higher total- and trunk-fat mass index in early adolescence, as well as a higher sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses in early childhood. Another prospective cohort study in Greece assessed associations between second-trimester urinary cadmium and repeated weight and height measurements from

birth to childhood, including waist circumference, skinfold thickness, and other cardiometabolic and immune biomarkers (4 years) (N = 515).²⁵ The study observed no associations between urinary cadmium and body size measurements during early childhood. However, in this study, higher cadmium was associated with slower weight trajectory from age 3 months to 4 years, as well as slower height trajectory in females only.²⁵

We hypothesized that prenatal metals may have sex-specific impacts on the development of adiposity in childhood. Consistently, we observed sex-specific relationships between cadmium and adiposity in early adolescence. A prior mouse study found that gestational cadmium exposure from drinking water was associated with higher body weight and perigonadal fat weight in female offspring, compared to unexposed controls at gestational day 18. On postnatal day 1, both males and females were heavier than controls, whereas the mean weight and perigonadal fat weight of females, but not males, in the exposed group was higher after postnatal day 90 and on postnatal day 120, respectively.⁴⁹ A birth cohort study in Mexico City, Mexico (N = 185) found that higher third-trimester urinary cadmium was associated with lower BMI z-score, waist circumference, and the sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses in female, but not male children (mean age = 10 years).²⁷ In our study, first-trimester red blood cell cadmium was associated with a higher total fat mass index, trunk fat mass index, sum of subscapular and triceps skinfold thicknesses, and BMI in early adolescence in males, but not females. Cadmium was associated with lower body size and adiposity measures in females in early adolescence, but these associations were imprecise. Differences across the findings in these studies could be owing to different species, experimental vs. observational study designs, windows of exposure, biologic matrices, or false positives from multiple testing.

Another prospective cohort study examined whether first-trimester cadmium was associated with birthweight and cord blood DNA methylation at regulatory sequences of imprinted genes (N = 319) in Durham, North Carolina.⁵⁰ Higher cadmium was associated with lower birthweight, as well as higher offspring methylation at the *PEG3* differentially methylated region in females only, a paternally expressed imprinted gene that encodes a zinc finger protein that facilitates p53/c-myc-mediated apoptosis. The association between cadmium and *PEG3* methylation was limited to participants with first-trimester zinc levels in the top tertile.⁵⁰ Fetal development is a susceptible period of rapid epigenetic reprogramming, and both essential and nonessential metal exposure was shown to influence DNA methylation at birth and in childhood.⁵¹ Programming of metabolic-related genes could influence metabolic trajectories, particularly in periods of accelerated growth.⁵² We observed the strongest associations in mid-childhood and adolescence, supporting this hypothesis.

Strengths of this study include large sample sizes, longitudinal repeated outcome measures during childhood, and

blood metals measured in participants during the first trimester of pregnancy. Metals measured in red blood cells are good indicators of overall metal burden for most metals. For example, blood lead binds to red blood cells⁵³ and is the primary biomarker for recent lead exposure.⁵⁴ Red blood cell magnesium is the preferred measure for magnesium deficiency, compared with serum or plasma.⁵⁵ Blood cadmium is the most valid marker of recent exposure,⁵⁶ and a useful measure of long-term exposure among nonsmokers.⁵³

A limitation of this study is that participants were all recruited from the greater Boston, Massachusetts area, were majority white with high education and incomes, and all had health care at recruitment, limiting generalizability to the population of all pregnant persons in the United States. For example, median blood metal distribution may be shifted higher in predominantly ethnic minority communities owing to environmental racism.^{57–59} We also did not control for multiple comparisons, as we focused on estimation and consistency of associations. Residual confounding is possible from co-exposure to other chemicals or dietary factors not included in our study, or the granularity for socioeconomic status, income, and race–ethnicity. Our race–ethnicity classifications are limited owing to the inherent limitations of race as a social construct and limited ethnic group self-reported categories, and the variable is only used in this study as a proxy for unmeasured experiences of racism and marginalization.

Our research warrants further investigation related to the mechanisms by which prenatal metal mixture concentrations in the first trimester are associated with body size and adiposity during childhood and whether these associations persist into adulthood conferring cardiometabolic risks. The results could inform future clinical care for the reduction of nonessential metal exposure during pregnancy and monitoring essential metal intake prenatally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the staff and participants of Project Viva.

REFERENCES

1. Camacho WJM, Diaz JMM, Ortiz SP, et al. Childhood obesity: aetiology, comorbidities, and treatment. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2019;35:e3203.
2. Kelishadi R, Roufarshabaf M, Soheili S, et al. Association of childhood obesity and the immune system: a systematic review of reviews. *Child Obes.* 2017;13:332–346.
3. Kumar S, Kelly AS. Review of childhood obesity: from epidemiology, etiology, and comorbidities to clinical assessment and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2017;92:251–265.
4. Flegal KM, Kit BK, Orpana H, et al. Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories. *JAMA.* 2013;309:71–82.
5. Mandy M, Nyirenda M. Developmental origins of health and disease: the relevance to developing nations. *Int Health.* 2018;10:66–70.
6. Wadhwa PD, Buss C, Entringer S, et al. Developmental origins of health and disease: brief history of the approach and current focus on epigenetic mechanisms. *Semin Reprod Med.* 2009;27:358–368.
7. Voerman E, Santos S, Patro Golab B, et al. Maternal body mass index, gestational weight gain, and the risk of overweight and obesity across childhood: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* 2019;16:e1002744.

8. Katzmarzyk P, Barlow S, Bouchard C, et al. An evolving scientific basis for the prevention and treatment of pediatric obesity. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2014;38:887–905.
9. Huang JS, Lee TA, Lu MC. Prenatal programming of childhood overweight and obesity. *Matern Child Health J*. 2007;11:461–473.
10. Darbre PD. Endocrine disruptors and obesity. *Curr Obes Rep*. 2017;6:18–27.
11. Callan AC, Hinwood AL, Ramalingam M, et al. Maternal exposure to metals—concentrations and predictors of exposure. *Environ Res*. 2013;126:111–117.
12. Bommarito PA, Martin E, Fry RC. Effects of prenatal exposure to endocrine disruptors and toxic metals on the fetal epigenome. *Epigenomics*. 2017;9:333–350.
13. Needham LL, Grandjean P, Heinzow B, et al. Partition of environmental chemicals between maternal and fetal blood and tissues. *Environ Sci Technol*. 2011;45:1121–1126.
14. Butler Walker J, Houseman J, Seddon L, et al. Maternal and umbilical cord blood levels of mercury, lead, cadmium, and essential trace elements in Arctic Canada. *Environ Res*. 2006;100:295–318.
15. Barr DB, Bishop A, Needham LL. Concentrations of xenobiotic chemicals in the maternal-fetal unit. *Reprod Toxicol*. 2007;23:260–266.
16. Tchounwou PB, Yedjou CG, Patlolla AK, et al. Heavy metals toxicity and the environment. *EXS*. 2012;101:133–164.
17. Jan AT, Azam M, Siddiqui K, et al. Heavy metals and human health: mechanistic insight into toxicity and counter defense system of antioxidants. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2015;16:29592–29630.
18. Mullin AM, Amarasiwardena C, Cantoral-Preciado A, et al. Maternal blood arsenic levels and associations with birth weight-for-gestational age. *Environ Res*. 2019;177:108603.
19. Rodriguez Karina F, Ungewitter Erica K, Crespo-Mejias Y, et al. Effects of in utero exposure to arsenic during the second half of gestation on reproductive end points and metabolic parameters in female CD-1 mice. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2016;124:336–343.
20. Wang G, DiBari J, Bind E, et al. Association between maternal exposure to lead, maternal folate status, and intergenerational risk of childhood overweight and obesity. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2:e1912343e1912343.
21. Faulk C, Barks A, Sánchez BN, et al. Perinatal lead (Pb) exposure results in sex-specific effects on food intake, fat, weight, and insulin response across the murine life-course. *PLoS One*. 2014;9:e104273.
22. Green AJ, Hoyo C, Mattingly CJ, et al. Cadmium exposure increases the risk of juvenile obesity: a human and zebrafish comparative study. *Int J Obes (Lond)*. 2018;42:1285–1295.
23. Ashley-Martin J, Dodds L, Arbuckle TE, et al. Maternal blood metal levels and fetal markers of metabolic function. *Environ Res*. 2015;136:27–34.
24. Venu L, Padmavathi IJN, Kishore YD, et al. Long-term effects of maternal magnesium restriction on adiposity and insulin resistance in rat pups. *Obesity*. 2008;16:1270–1276.
25. Chatzi L, Ierodiakonou D, Margetaki K, et al. Associations of prenatal exposure to cadmium with child growth, obesity, and cardiometabolic traits. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2019;188:141–150.
26. Liu Y, Peterson KE, Montgomery K, et al. Early lead exposure and childhood adiposity in Mexico city. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*. 2019;222:965–970.
27. Moynihan M, Telléz-Rojo MM, Colacino J, et al. Prenatal cadmium exposure is negatively associated with adiposity in girls not boys during adolescence. *Front Public Health*. 2019;7.
28. Padmavathi IJN, Kishore YD, Venu L, et al. Prenatal and perinatal zinc restriction: effects on body composition, glucose tolerance and insulin response in rat offspring. *Exp Physiol*. 2009;94:761–769.
29. Dai Y, Zhang J, Qi X, et al. Cord blood manganese concentrations in relation to birth outcomes and childhood physical growth: a prospective birth cohort study. *Nutrients*. 2021;13:4304.
30. Niehoff NM, Keil AP, O'Brien KM, et al. Metals and trace elements in relation to body mass index in a prospective study of US women. *Environ Res*. 2020;184:109396.
31. Wang X, Mukherjee B, Park SK. Associations of cumulative exposure to heavy metal mixtures with obesity and its comorbidities among U.S. adults in NHANES 2003–2014. *Environ Int*. 2018;121:683–694.
32. Oken E, Baccarelli AA, Gold DR, et al. Cohort profile: project viva. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2015;44:37–48.
33. Smith AR, Lin PID, Rifas-Shiman SL, et al. Prospective associations of early pregnancy metal mixtures with mitochondria DNA copy number and telomere length in maternal and cord blood. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2021;129:117007.
34. Ziauddeen N, Wilding S, Roderick PJ, et al. Predicting the risk of childhood overweight and obesity at 4–5 years using population-level pregnancy and early-life healthcare data. *BMC Med*. 2020;18:105.
35. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Childhood Obesity Facts | Overweight & Obesity | CDC. Overweight and Obesity. Published April 5, 2021. Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/obesity/data/childhood.html>. Accessed November 2, 2021.
36. Eagle TF, Sheetz A, Gurm R, et al. Understanding childhood obesity in America: Linkages between household income, community resources, and children's behaviors. *Am Heart J*. 2012;163:836–843.
37. Bulka CM, Bommarito PA, Fry RC. Predictors of toxic metal exposures among US women of reproductive age. *J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol*. 2019;29:597–612.
38. Gaillard R, Rurangirwa AA, Williams MA, et al. Maternal parity, fetal and childhood growth, and cardiometabolic risk factors. *Hypertension*. 2014;64:266–274.
39. Keil AP, Buckley JP, O'Brien KM, et al. A quantile-based g-computation approach to addressing the effects of exposure mixtures. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2020;128:047004.
40. *Fourth National Report on Human Exposure to Environmental Chemicals*. National Center for Environmental Health; 2021.
41. Wu X, Cobbina SJ, Mao G, et al. A review of toxicity and mechanisms of individual and mixtures of heavy metals in the environment. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*. 2016;23:8244–8259.
42. Tinkov AA, Filippini T, Ajsuvakova OP, et al. The role of cadmium in obesity and diabetes. *Sci Total Environ*. 2017;601–602:741–755.
43. Neier K, Marchlewicz EH, Dolinoy DC, et al. Assessing human health risk to endocrine disrupting chemicals: a focus on prenatal exposures and oxidative stress. *Endocr Disruptors (Austin)*. 2015;3.
44. Iavicoli I, Fontana L, Bergamaschi A. The effects of metals as endocrine disruptors. *J Toxicol Environ Health Part B*. 2009;12:206–223.
45. Leasure JL, Giddabasappa A, Chaney S, et al. Low-level human equivalent gestational lead exposure produces sex-specific motor and coordination abnormalities and late-onset obesity in year-old mice. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:355–361.
46. Hou Y, Xue P, Woods Courtney G, et al. Association between arsenic suppression of adipogenesis and induction of CHOP10 via the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:237–243.
47. Tinkov AA, Ajsuvakova OP, Skalnaya MG, et al. Mercury and metabolic syndrome: a review of experimental and clinical observations. *Biometals*. 2015;28:231–254.
48. Kupsco A, Kioumourtzoglou MA, Just A, et al. Prenatal metal concentrations and childhood cardiometabolic risk using bayesian kernel machine regression to assess mixture and interaction effects. *Epidemiology*. 2019;30:263–273.
49. Jackson TW, Ryherd GL, Scheibly CM, et al. Gestational Cd exposure in the CD-1 mouse induces sex-specific hepatic insulin insensitivity, obesity, and metabolic syndrome in adult female offspring. *Toxicol Sci*. 2020;178:264–280.
50. Vidal AC, Semenova V, Darrah T, et al. Maternal cadmium, iron and zinc levels, DNA methylation and birth weight. *BMC Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2015;16:20.
51. Bozack AK, Rifas-Shiman SL, Coull BA, et al. Prenatal metal exposure, cord blood DNA methylation and persistence in childhood: an epigenome-wide association study of 12 metals. *Clin Epigenetics*. 2021;13:208.
52. Symonds ME, Sebert SP, Hyatt MA, et al. Nutritional programming of the metabolic syndrome. *Nat Rev Endocrinol*. 2009;5:604–610.
53. Lin P i D, Cardenas A, Rifas-Shiman SL, et al. Diet and erythrocyte metal concentrations in early pregnancy—cross-sectional analysis in Project Viva. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2021:nqab088.
54. Barbosa F, Tanus-Santos JE, Gerlach RF, et al. A critical review of biomarkers used for monitoring human exposure to lead: advantages, limitations, and future needs. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2005;113:1669–1674.
55. Workinger JL, Doyle RP, Bortz J. Challenges in the diagnosis of magnesium status. *Nutrients*. 2018;10:1202.
56. Järup L, Åkesson A. Current status of cadmium as an environmental health problem. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2009;238:201–208.
57. Jones DH, Yu X, Guo Q, et al. Racial disparities in the heavy metal contamination of urban soil in the Southeastern United States. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022;19:1105.

58. Aelion CM, Davis HT, Lawson AB, et al. Associations between soil lead concentrations and populations by race/ethnicity and income-to-poverty ratio in urban and rural areas. *Environ Geochem Health*. 2013;35:1–12.
59. Davis HT, Aelion CM, Liu J, et al. Potential sources and racial disparities in the residential distribution of soil arsenic and lead among pregnant women. *Sci Total Environ*. 2016;551-552:622–630.