Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Recent Work

Title

DETERMINATION OF WORK-FUNCTION DISTRIBUTION ON METAL SURFACES FROM SURFACE IONIZATION

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6jq169hj

Author

Kbenig, Daniel R.

Publication Date

1967-03-01

University of California

Ernest O. Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

DETERMINATION OF WORK-FUNCTION DISTRIBUTION ON METAL SURFACES FROM SURFACE IONIZATION

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

Berkeley, California

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California

AEC Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

DETERMINATION OF WORK-FUNCTION DISTRIBUTION ON METAL SURFACES FROM SURFACE IONIZATION

Daniel R. Koenig 14

March 1967

FROM SURFACE IONIZATION

Daniel R. Koenig*

Department of Nuclear Engineering and Lawrence Radiation Laboratory

> University of California Berkeley, California

Abstract

The fraction of an emitter surface occupied by patches having a given work function can be determined from the ion current-voltage characteristics of vapor diodes. The analysis assumes that emitted ions and atoms are in thermal equilibrium with the emitter surface, transport or space charge effects are negligible, the surface coverage of vapor particles on the emitter is always too small to alter its work function, and the ion emission from each patch is uninfluenced by the neighboring patches. A general expression for the emitter work-function distribution is derived in terms of the first and second derivatives (with respect to the applied voltage) of the observed ion current-voltage curve.

Discussion

The work-function distribution on a patchy emitter surface can be determined from the electron current-voltage characteristic of a diode. (1)(2) This method can be extended as follows to include the ion current-voltage characteristics of vapor diodes.

The analysis assumes that transport or space charge effects are negligible, the surface coverage of vapor particles on the emitter is always too small to alter its work function, and the emitted ions and atoms have a Maxwell-Boltzmann energy distribution characterized by the emitter temperature.

The saturation ion-current density $J_{is}(\emptyset)$ emitted by an ionizing surface with work function \emptyset is

$$J_{is}(\emptyset) = \operatorname{en}_{o} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha(\emptyset)} \right]^{-1}, \tag{1}$$

where n_0 is the vapor flux incident on the surface, e is the electron charge, and $\alpha(\emptyset)$ is the degree of ionization (ratio of ions to atoms emitted from the surface) given by the Saha-Langmuir equation,

$$\alpha(\emptyset) = \frac{g_i}{g_a} \exp\left(\frac{\emptyset_a - \emptyset_i}{kT}\right) = \frac{g_i}{g_a} \exp\left(\frac{\emptyset - V_i}{kT}\right), \tag{2}$$

where g_i/g_a is the ratio of the statistical weights of the ionic and atomic states of the vapor, \emptyset_a and \emptyset_i are the atom and ion desorption energies respectively, V_i is the ionization potential of the vapor, T is the surface temperature and k is the Boltzmann constant.

In analogy to the thermionic emission of electron, the application of a retarding potential for ions $V_{\rm D}(>0)$ is equivalent to increasing the ion desorption-energy $\emptyset_{\rm j}$ by

^{*} Present address: U.S. Army, DASA, Sandia Base, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

the amount eV_D . Assuming that the electric field at the emitter surface is too small to affect \emptyset_a , the effective degree of ionization is then equal to

$$\alpha(\emptyset - eV_D) = \frac{g_i}{g_a} \exp\left(\frac{\emptyset - eV_D - V_i}{kT}\right), \tag{3}$$

and the collected ion-current density is

$$J_{1}(\emptyset, V_{D}) = \operatorname{en}_{0} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\alpha(\emptyset - eV_{D})} \right]^{-1}.$$
 (4)

Consider now the ion emission from a patchy emitter of a vapor diode. The interelectrode potential $V_{\rm D}$ is related to the applied, external emitter-potential $V_{\rm E}$ (relative to the collector) by the relation

$$eV_D = \emptyset - \emptyset_c - eV_E$$
 (5)

where \emptyset_c is the work function of the collector (assumed uniform). At an operating emitter-potential V_E , the ion-current density $j_i(\emptyset)$ collected from an emitter patch with work function \emptyset is, from Eqs. (1) through (5),

$$j_{i}(\emptyset) = en_{o} \left[1 + \frac{g_{a}}{g_{i}} exp\left(\frac{V_{i} - \emptyset}{kT}\right) \right]^{-1} = j_{is}(\emptyset), \quad \text{for } eV_{E} > \emptyset - \emptyset_{c}, \quad (6)$$

$$j_{i}(\emptyset) = en_{o} \left[1 + \frac{g_{a}}{g_{i}} \exp\left(\frac{V_{i} - \emptyset_{c} - eV_{E}}{kT}\right)\right]^{-1} = j_{is}(\emptyset_{c} + eV_{E}), \text{ for } eV_{E} < \emptyset - \emptyset_{c}. **$$
 (7)

If it can be assumed that the observed total ion current $J_i(V_E)$ collected from an emitter of unit area is the sum of the contributions from each patch, then

$$J_{i}(V_{E}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} j_{i}(\emptyset) f(\emptyset) d\emptyset , \qquad (8)$$

where $f(\beta)$ d β is the fraction of the emitter surface having a work function between β and $(\beta + d\beta)$. The desired function $f(\beta)$ can be determined from the observed ion current-voltage characteristics $J_1(V_E)$ as follows. Equation (8) can be written in terms of Eqs. (6) and (7),

$$J_{i} = J_{i}(V_{E}) = \begin{cases} \phi_{c} + eV_{E} & \infty \\ j_{is}(\emptyset) f(\emptyset) d\emptyset + \int j_{is}(\phi_{c} + eV_{E}) f(\emptyset) d\emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$\phi_{c} + eV_{E} \qquad (9)$$

Equation (7) is in contrast to Eq. VII.2 of reference 3 by the author which is unfortunately in error and led to erroneous conclusions.

Differentiating Eq. (9) with respect to eVE

$$\frac{dJ_{i}}{d(eV_{E})} = \frac{dj_{is}(\emptyset_{c} + eV_{E})}{d(eV_{E})} \int_{c}^{\infty} f(\emptyset) d\emptyset , \qquad (10)$$

$$\emptyset_{c} + eV_{E}$$

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2 J_{i}}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}})^2} = - f(\emptyset_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}}) \frac{\mathrm{d} j_{is}(\emptyset_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}})} + \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 j_{is}(\emptyset_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}})^2} \int_{\emptyset_{\mathrm{c}} + \mathrm{eV}_{\mathrm{E}}}^{\infty} f(\emptyset) d\emptyset. \tag{11}$$

The derivatives of $j_{is}(p_c + eV_E)$ are obtained by differentiating Eq. (7),

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}j_{is}(\emptyset_{c}+\mathrm{eV}_{E})}{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{eV}_{E})} = \frac{j_{is}^{2}(\emptyset_{c}+\mathrm{eV}_{E})}{\mathrm{en}_{o}kT\alpha(\emptyset_{c}+\mathrm{eV}_{E})},$$
(12)

and

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}j_{is}(\emptyset_{c}+eV_{E})}{\mathrm{d}(eV_{E})^{2}} = \frac{1}{kT} \left[\frac{2j_{is}(\emptyset_{c}+eV_{E})}{\mathrm{en}_{o}\alpha(\emptyset_{c}+eV_{E})} - 1 \right] \frac{\mathrm{d}j_{is}(\emptyset_{c}+eV_{E})}{\mathrm{d}(eV_{E})}, \qquad (13)$$

where $\alpha(\emptyset_c + eV_E)$ is the degree of ionization evaluated at $\emptyset = \emptyset_c + eV_E$. Combining Eqs. (10) through (13) yields the desired expression

$$f(\emptyset) = f(\emptyset_{c} + eV_{E}) = \frac{(1+\alpha)^{2}}{en_{c}\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{dJ_{i}}{d(eV_{E})} - kT \frac{d^{2}J_{i}}{d(eV_{E})^{2}} \right], \quad (14)$$

where for convenience we have written $\alpha(\emptyset_C + eV_E) = \alpha$.

If the ionization potential V_i of the vapor is greater than the maximum emitter work function then the degree of ionization is always much smaller than 1 and Eq. (14) reduces to

$$f(\emptyset) = f(\emptyset_c + eV_E) = \frac{1}{J_{is}(\emptyset_c + eV_E)} \left[\frac{dJ_i}{d(eV_E)} - kT \frac{d^2J_i}{d(eV_E)^2} \right]. \tag{15}$$

Equation (15) is similar to the expression for $f(\emptyset)$ obtained from electron emission, (2)

$$f(\emptyset) = f(\emptyset_c + eV_E) = \frac{1}{J_{es}(\emptyset_c + eV_E)} \left[-\frac{dJ_e}{d(eV_E)} - kT \frac{d^2J_e}{d(eV_E)^2} \right],$$
 (16)

UCRL-17437

where J_e is the observed electron-current density at V_E , and $J_{es}(\emptyset_c + eV)$ is the saturation electron-current density evaluated at $\emptyset = \emptyset_c + eV_E$, ie

$$J_{es}(\phi_c + eV_E) = AT^2 \exp\left(-\frac{\phi_c + eV_E}{kT}\right), \qquad (17)$$

Where A is the Richardson constant.

Limitations

The applicability of the ion method described here is essentially limited to vapors whose ionization potential is greater than the average emitter work function. Further, the emitter temperature and the vapor flux are restricted by the requirements that the saturated ion-current density be several orders of magnitude greater than the saturated electron-current density, and also that the fractional surface coverage of vapor particles on the emitter be small (less than 1%). These restrictions may be expressed quantitatively using the following practical considerations:

$$v_{i} \gtrsim \emptyset$$
, (18)

$$\frac{J_{is}}{J_{es}} \approx \frac{en_o}{AT^2} \exp\left(\frac{2\emptyset - V_i}{kT}\right) > 10^{14}, \tag{19}$$

surface coverage
$$\approx \text{en}_0 10^{-12} \exp\left(\frac{\emptyset_a}{kT}\right) < 10^{12} \text{ particles/cm}^2$$
. (20)

The last two inequalities can be combined to yield the range of vapor flux for which this ion method is applicable,

$$10^{5} \exp\left(-\frac{\emptyset_{a}}{kT}\right) > en_{o} > 10^{6} T^{2} \exp\left(\frac{V_{i}-2\emptyset}{kT}\right)$$
 (21)

where eno is in units of ampere/cm².

References

- 1. D. G. Bulyginsky and L. N. Dobretsov, Investigation of the Surface Work-Function Distribution for Oxide Cathodes, Soviet Phys., Tech. Phys., 1, 1115 (1956).
- 2. N. S. Rasor, G. N. Hatsopoulos, and S. Kitrilakis, The Cesium Vapor Thermionic Converter: II. Patch and Transport Effects, Advan. Energy Conversion, 2, 569 (1961).
- 3. D. R. Koenig, "Surface Ionization of Cesium and Thermionic Emission from Planar Single Crystals of Tungsten", Section VII.B, Ph.D. Thesis, UCRL-11857, June 1966.

This report was prepared as an account of Government sponsored work. Neither the United States, nor the Commission, nor any person acting on behalf of the Commission:

- A. Makes any warranty or representation, expressed or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report, or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- B. Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

As used in the above, "person acting on behalf of the Commission" includes any employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor, to the extent that such employee or contractor of the Commission, or employee of such contractor prepares, disseminates, or provides access to, any information pursuant to his employment or contract with the Commission, or his employment with such contractor.

