

UCLA

UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

A swallow preservation protocol improves function for veterans receiving chemoradiation for head and neck cancer.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6b08r9zj>

Journal

Otolaryngology--head and neck surgery : official journal of American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, 152(5)

ISSN

0194-5998

Authors

Peng, Kevin A
Kuan, Edward C
Unger, Lindsey
et al.

Publication Date

2015-05-01

DOI

10.1177/0194599815575508

Peer reviewed

Page Proof Instructions and Queries

Journal Title : OTO
Article Number : 575508

Greetings, and thank you for publishing with SAGE Publications. We have prepared this page proof for your review.

Please respond to each of the below queries by digitally marking this PDF and sending the marked PDF back. Please use only the icons shown below for your mark-up, and do **not** use “sticky notes” or other “pop-up” comments.

Please use:  Please do NOT use: 

Help with Digital Mark-up

1. Do you have Adobe Reader? Quick, safe, free download is available at <http://get.adobe.com/reader/>
2. To access the recommended tools, please click “Comment” in upper right of Adobe Reader, then “Drawing Markups.”
3. Using tools other than those recommended may cause data loss.
4. Some mark-up examples are shown below.

are These proofs ~~is~~ an opportunity to correct any errors that may have been introduced during the [delete] the production process, not an opportunity for rewriting. Your article has been peer reviewed and accepted, so any substantial rewriting will need to be approved by the journal editor, delaying [italics] publication significantly. In addition to requiring journal editor approval, a fee may be charged for extensive rewriting not due to SAGE error or request.

[]

Please note that sending corrections in any form other than the marked-up PDF can significantly delay the processing of your corrections.


Author Query Form

Please assist us by clarifying the following queries:

| Sl. No. | Query |
|---------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | Please confirm that all author information, including names, affiliations, sequence, and contact details, is correct. |
| | Please review the entire document for typographical errors, mathematical errors, and any other necessary corrections; check headings, tables, and figures. |
| | Please confirm you have reviewed this proof to your satisfaction and understand this is your final opportunity for review prior to publication. |
| 1 | Please provide the number, if possible. |
| 2 | Please define "NA." |

A Swallow Preservation Protocol Improves Function for Veterans Receiving Chemoradiation for Head and Neck Cancer

Kevin A. Peng, MD¹, Edward C. Kuan, MD, MBA¹,
 Lindsey Unger, MS², ~~William Lorentz, MD³~~,
 Marilene B. Wang, MD^{1,4}, and Jennifer Long, MD, PhD^{1,4,5}

Otolaryngology—
 Head and Neck Surgery
 1–5
 © American Academy of
 Otolaryngology—Head and Neck
 Surgery Foundation 2015
 Reprints and permission:
sagepub.com/journalsPermissions.nav
 DOI: 10.1177/0194599815575508
<http://otojournal.org>


No sponsorships or competing interests have been disclosed for this article.

Received October 24, 2014; revised January 2, 2015; accepted February 10, 2015.

Abstract

Objective. Determine the efficacy of a swallow preservation protocol (SPP) on maintaining swallow function in patients undergoing chemoradiation (CRT) or radiation therapy alone (RT) for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

Study design. Retrospective case series.

Setting. Veterans Affairs medical center.

Subjects and Methods. Patients treated with CRT or RT for HNSCC between February 2006 and November 2013 were studied. Those enrolled in the SPP participated in swallowing, jaw, and tongue exercises during cancer therapy. The comparator group received no swallowing intervention during CRT. A previously described functional outcome swallowing scale (FOSS; 0 = no symptoms and 5 = nonoral feeding for all nutrition) was used to quantify dysphagia prior to and at the completion of cancer therapy, and an analysis was performed to compare swallowing function.

Results. Forty-one (all male; mean age, 66 years) and 66 patients (all male; mean age, 61 years) were included in the SPP and comparator groups, respectively. In the SPP group, mean pre- and posttreatment FOSS scores were 2.2 and 2.2, respectively, while the corresponding scores in the comparator group were 1.8 and 2.7, respectively, with post-treatment FOSS scores being significantly worse than pre-treatment FOSS scores in the comparator group only.

Conclusion. Patients enrolled in the SPP demonstrated preserved swallowing function over the course of cancer treatment compared with a comparator group. This confirms the importance of early evaluation and intervention for dysphagia prior to and during CRT.

Keywords

dysphagia, head and neck cancer, chemoradiation, swallow preservation

Dysphagia is a debilitating side effect of organ-sparing treatment for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).^{1–3} Risk factors for development of dysphagia after combined chemotherapy and radiation therapy (CRT) for HNSCC include an oropharyngeal primary site, cessation of per os (PO) intake during treatment, and conventional or 3-dimensional radiation therapy.^{4–7} Manifestations of dysphagia include prolongation of mealtime, aspiration, weight loss, dietary limitations, and the need for nonoral nutrition.^{8,9} Acute dysphagia during the course of cancer treatment may pose life-threatening challenges, particularly with regard to inadequate hydration and nutrition.¹⁰ Late dysphagia may manifest as a pharyngoesophageal stricture or aspiration, and intensive therapy may be required to reverse gastrostomy tube dependence.^{11–13}

Intensity-modulated radiation therapy with optimization of radiation dose to avoid constrictor musculature has emerged as an important technique to avoid both early and late dysphagia, in part by ameliorating inflammation, fibrosis, and eventual diminished mobility of pharyngeal structures.^{7,14–17}

¹Department of Head and Neck Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, California, USA

²Department of Audiology and Speech Pathology, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, USA

³Department of Radiation Oncology, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, USA

⁴Department of Surgery, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, USA

⁵Research Service, Veterans Affairs Greater Los Angeles Healthcare System, Los Angeles, California, USA

This article was presented at the 2014 AAO-HNSF Annual Meeting & OTO EXPO; September 21–24, 2014; Orlando, Florida.

Corresponding Author:

Kevin A. Peng, MD, University of California, Los Angeles, Head and Neck Surgery, 10833 Le Conte Ave, CHS 62-132, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.
 Email: kap@ucla.edu

Table 1. Functional Outcome Swallowing Scale (FOSS).^a

| Stage | Description |
|-------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 0 | Normal function; asymptomatic |
| 1 | Normal function; episodic or daily symptoms of dysphagia |
| 2 | Compensated abnormal function manifested by significant dietary modifications or prolonged mealtime, without weight loss or aspiration |
| 3 | Decompensated abnormal function, with weight loss of 10% or less of body weight over 6 months due to dysphagia, or daily cough, gagging, or aspiration during meals |
| 4 | Severely decompensated abnormal function with weight loss of more than 10% of body weight over 6 months due to dysphagia, or severe aspiration with bronchopulmonary complications; nonoral feeding recommended for most of nutrition |
| 5 | Nonoral feeding for all nutrition |

^aAdapted from Salassa, 1999. (© 2000 Karger Publishers, Basel, Switzerland.)

Notwithstanding this, dysphagia remains a common complaint following cancer therapy.

Subjective measures of dysphagia include the Performance Status Scale for Head and Neck Cancer patients (PSS-H&N) and the M.D. Anderson Dysphagia Inventory (MDADI).^{6,18} However, disadvantages of these subjective scales include patient bias and inconsistency among subjects. Objective measures, including the modified barium swallow study (MBSS) and the videofluoroscopic swallow study (VFSS), offer detailed information about swallowing anatomy and physiology.^{5,19} However, time and resource constraints may preclude the clinician from performing these studies at each consecutive visit, and the complexity of findings may make numerical grading and subsequent statistical analyses difficult. Therefore, to stage dysphagia, we elected to use an objective, clinician-determined scale of oropharyngeal dysphagia, the functional outcome swallowing scale (FOSS), first proposed by Salassa (**Table 1**).²⁰

Strategies for rehabilitation of swallowing during and following CRT include postural adjustments, diet modification, range-of-motion exercises, and the strengthening of pharyngeal and suprahyoid musculature.^{21,22} At our institution, we have implemented a swallow preservation protocol (SPP) comprising swallowing, jaw, and tongue exercises presented to patients prior to or within 2 weeks of beginning CRT. Exercises are performed for 10 repetitions 3 times daily for a total of 30 repetitions per exercise per day. A jaw motion rehabilitation system is used as necessary in patients who demonstrate trismus prior to or at any point during CRT, and patients are asked to self-report compliance with the SPP using a diary. Patients are seen by speech pathology practitioners every 1 to 2 weeks during CRT; following completion of CRT, patients are seen on a variable basis ranging from once every few weeks to once every several months.

In this study, we sought to investigate the effect of our SPP on dysphagia following CRT or radiation therapy (RT) alone for HNSCC and hypothesized that veterans participating in a SPP during CRT or RT would demonstrate better posttreatment swallowing outcomes compared with a comparator population.

Methods

Subjects

The Institutional Review Board of the Greater Los Angeles Veterans Affairs Health System approved this study. A retrospective chart review was conducted of all patients treated with CRT for HNSCC at a Veterans Affairs Medical Center between February 2006 and November 2013, including both patients who did and did not participate in the SPP. Demographic and clinical information was gathered. Using clinical documentation by speech pathology and head and neck surgery, swallowing function was assessed using the FOSS within 1 to 2 weeks prior to the beginning of CRT/RT (“pretreatment”) and within 2 to 4 weeks after the termination of CRT/RT (“posttreatment”). Compliance to the SPP was also noted.

Swallow Preservation Protocol

Patients were enrolled in the SPP beginning in September 2010; by July 2013, nearly all veterans undergoing CRT or RT for HNSCC were enrolled in the SPP. No specific clinical factors influenced the decision to enroll a patient in the SPP.

The SPP consists of 2 jaw exercises, 2 tongue exercises, and 4 swallowing exercises. Jaw exercises include the jaw stretch and the lateral jaw stretch, comprising jaw opening and lateral jaw displacement in both directions 10 times in a row, 3 times daily. Tongue exercises include the tongue press (forced contraction of the tongue against the anterior hard palate) and anterior and lateral tongue stretch (forced contraction of the tongue anteriorly and to the left and right), also 10 times in a row, 3 times daily.

The 4 swallowing exercises, which compose the majority of the SPP, are the Shaker exercise, the Mendelsohn maneuver, the Masako tongue-hold, and the effortful swallow. The Shaker exercise, designed to strengthen the suprahyoid musculature and enhance opening of the upper esophageal sphincter (UES), consists of prolonged, forced flexion of the neck in a supine position followed by 3 fast repetitions of the same.²³ The Mendelsohn maneuver, designed to prolong

hyolaryngeal elevation at the peak of the swallow, also facilitates UES opening. Patients are instructed to palpate the cartilaginous laryngeal framework as they swallow without food (“dry swallow”) and develop voluntary motor control of hyolaryngeal elevation.²⁴

In the Masako tongue-hold, the patient bites firmly but comfortably on the anterior oral tongue using the upper and lower incisors, thus rendering it immobile, and then performs dry swallows.²⁵ This procedure augments the anterior excursion of the posterior pharyngeal wall. Finally, in the effortful swallow, the patient imagines swallowing a large object (“swallow a large vitamin,” “swallow a ping-pong ball”), theoretically strengthening all muscle groups involved in swallowing.

With the exception of the Shaker exercise, which is performed 3 times each in prolonged and repetitive fashion, the swallowing exercises are performed 10 times in a row, 3 times daily, for a total of 30 repetitions daily. Patients were asked to log performance of jaw, tongue, and swallowing exercises in a provided diary.

Patients displaying trismus prior to, during, or following cancer therapy were also provided with and instructed in the use of a TheraBite Jaw Motion Rehabilitation System (Atos Medical AB, Hörby, Sweden) to maximize jaw opening.

Objective Assessment of Swallow Function

As described above, the FOSS, yielding ordinal scores, was used to quantify swallowing function prior to and following CRT or RT for HNSCC. The MBSS was variably performed on patients in the SPP and the comparator group, and these data were therefore excluded from analysis.

Statistical Analysis

Initially, subjects were analyzed in an intention-to-treat manner, and all patients enrolled in the SPP were included in the treatment cohort regardless of compliance. Student *t* tests and the *z* test were used to compare differences between the SPP and comparator groups. The FOSS scores were compared using Mann-Whitney *U* and Wilcoxon signed-rank tests. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS 20 (SPSS, Inc, an IBM Company, Chicago, Illinois). Thereafter, patients who were compliant and noncompliant with the SPP were analyzed separately.

Results

The SPP and comparator groups comprised 41 and 66 patients, respectively. All subjects were male; there were no significant differences between the 2 groups with respect to mean age, mean TNM stage group at time of cancer diagnosis, and distribution of treatment modality (CRT vs RT). Similarly, no significant difference was seen when comparing pretreatment FOSS scores between the SPP and comparator group (2.15 and 1.78, respectively; *P* = .068, Mann-Whitney *U*; **Table 2**). In the SPP group, compliance with treatment was 71%.

Pretreatment and posttreatment FOSS scores were compared pairwise for each subject within the SPP and

Table 2. Patient Characteristics.^a

| | SPP (n = 41) | Comparator (n = 66) |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Age, y | | |
| Mean (range) | 66 (48-88) | 61 (27-80) |
| ≤55 | 3 (7) | 10 (15) |
| >55 | 38 (93) | 56 (85) |
| Cancer treatment received | | |
| CRT | 32 (78) | 57 (86) |
| RT | 9 (22) | 9 (14) |
| Compliance with SPP | 71% [AQ: 1] | NA |

Abbreviations: SPP, swallow preservation protocol; NA, not applicable; [AQ: 2], SPP, swallow preservation protocol; [AQ: 3], Mann-Whitney *U* test; [AQ: 4], Wilcoxon signed-rank test. ^aValues are n (%), unless otherwise indicated.

Please un-indent "Compliant with SPP"

Table 3. Functional Outcome Swallowing Scale (FOSS) Scores Prior to (“Pretreatment”) and following (“Posttreatment”) Therapy for Head and Neck Cancer.

| | SPP ^a | Comparator ^b |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Pretreatment, ^c mean (SD) | 2.15 (1.24) | 1.78 (1.55) |
| Posttreatment, mean (SD) | 2.23 (1.37) | 2.73 (1.59) |

Abbreviation: SPP, swallow preservation protocol. ^aNo statistically significant difference between pretreatment and posttreatment FOSS in the SPP group (*P* = .343, Wilcoxon signed-rank). ^bPosttreatment FOSS was statistically significantly worse than pretreatment FOSS in the comparator group (*P* = .000, Wilcoxon signed-rank). ^cNo statistically significant difference between pretreatment FOSS in the SPP and comparator groups (*P* = .068, Mann-Whitney *U*).

comparator groups. In the SPP group, there was no significant difference between pre- and posttreatment FOSS (2.15 and 2.23, respectively; Wilcoxon signed-rank, *P* = .343). In the comparator group, a significant difference was observed between pre- and posttreatment FOSS (1.78 and 2.73, respectively; *P* = .000), consistent with worse swallow function posttreatment (**Table 3**).

Compliant and noncompliant patients in the SPP group were then analyzed separately. The compliant cohort had no statistically significant difference in swallowing function when comparing pretreatment with posttreatment FOSS score (*P* = .887, Wilcoxon signed-rank), while the noncompliant cohort demonstrated a trend toward worse swallowing function that did not reach significance (*P* = .102, Wilcoxon signed-rank).

As increasing age has previously been implicated in worse swallowing function after CRT, we stratified patients by age, considering patients 55 years and younger separately from those older than 55 years. In the SPP group, both age groups revealed no significant difference when comparing pre- and posttreatment FOSS (*P* = .435 and .655 for the younger and older age groups, respectively). In the comparator group, both age groups revealed statistically significantly worse swallowing function after treatment (*P* = .000

and .017 for the younger and older age groups, respectively). Thus, no notable difference was seen when stratifying patients by age.

Discussion

Dysphagia following chemoradiation or radiation therapy alone for head and neck cancer is a significant detriment to quality of life following curative therapy.² Rehabilitation of swallowing after prolonged disuse is difficult, and recent strategies focus on early intervention to ameliorate acute symptoms as well as prevent the late sequelae of fibrosis and atrophy of involved musculature.²¹

At our institution, we have implemented an SPP for veterans undergoing CRT or RT for HNSCC. This protocol includes swallowing exercises, jaw exercises, and tongue exercises that are performed 3 times daily. The 4 swallowing exercises—the Shaker maneuver, the Mendelsohn maneuver, the Masako tongue-hold, and the effortful swallow—are the core of the protocol. Together, the swallowing exercises augment and prolong UES opening, enhance posterior pharyngeal wall excursion, and globally strengthen the pharyngeal musculature. When necessary, a jaw motion rehabilitation device is provided to treat trismus. Patients were prospectively enrolled in this SPP beginning in September 2010; by July 2013, nearly all veterans undergoing CRT or RT for HNSCC were enrolled in this protocol and underwent weekly to biweekly follow-up with speech pathology providers during the course of cancer therapy.

On intention-to-treat analysis, veterans enrolled without randomization in the SPP demonstrated no significant difference compared with a comparator group with respect to demographic parameters, cancer treatment, cancer stage, and pretreatment swallowing function as quantified by FOSS score. In contrast, following CRT or RT, the comparator group demonstrated statistically worse swallowing function compared with the beginning of cancer treatment; in the SPP group, there was no significant difference between pretreatment and posttreatment swallowing function. Overall, compliance in the SPP was 71%. When analyzing patients compliant with and not compliant with the SPP separately, compliant patients demonstrated no significant difference between pre- and posttreatment swallowing function. Noncompliant patients, however, demonstrated a trend toward worse swallowing function, approaching statistical significance. Taken together, these data suggest that participation in the SPP maintained swallowing function during CRT or RT.

Limitations of the current work include lack of randomization to the SPP. The comparator group did receive cancer therapy chronologically earlier, on average, than did the SPP group, and advances in CRT or even changes in oncologic protocols may have had an unidentified influence in producing the observed differences between the SPP and comparator groups. Furthermore, patients were not stratified by primary site, and future research must probe the efficacy of the SPP, and specifically the swallowing exercises, in patients with primary tumors involving sites other than the oropharynx and hypopharynx. Finally, posttreatment follow-up

in our study was 2 to 4 weeks following completion of cancer therapy; long-term swallowing function must be assessed and compared.

Conclusion

Compared with a comparator group, participants in a swallow preservation protocol during chemoradiation or radiation therapy alone for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma demonstrated preservation of swallow function during and shortly following cancer treatment.

Author Contributions

Kevin A. Peng, data acquisition, drafting manuscript, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity; **Edward C. Kuan**, data acquisition, drafting manuscript, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity; **Lindsey Unger**, data acquisition, manuscript revision, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity; **William Lorentz**, data acquisition, manuscript revision, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity; **Marilene B. Wang**, conception and design of work, manuscript revision, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity; **Jennifer Long**, conception and design of work, manuscript revision, approval of manuscript, accountability to accuracy and integrity.

Disclosures

Competing interests: None.

Sponsorships: None.

Funding source: None.

References

1. Nguyen NP, Frank C, Moltz CC, et al. Impact of dysphagia on quality of life after treatment of head-and-neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2005;61:772-778.
2. Nguyen NP, Moltz CC, Frank C, et al. Dysphagia following chemoradiation for locally advanced head and neck cancer. *Ann Oncol*. 2004;15:383-388.
3. Gillespie MB, Brodsky MB, Day TA, et al. Swallowing-related quality of life after head and neck cancer treatment. *Laryngoscope*. 2004;114:1362-1367.
4. Caudell JJ, Schaner PE, Meredith RF, et al. Factors associated with long-term dysphagia after definitive radiotherapy for locally advanced head-and-neck cancer. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2009;73:410-415.
5. Eisbruch A, Schwartz M, Rasch C, et al. Dysphagia and aspiration after chemoradiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer: which anatomic structures are affected and can they be spared by IMRT? *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2004;60:1425-1439.
6. Chen AY, Frankowski R, Bishop-Leone J, et al. The development and validation of a dysphagia-specific quality-of-life questionnaire for patients with head and neck cancer: the M. D. Anderson dysphagia inventory. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2001;127:870-876.
7. Eisbruch A, Levendag PC, Feng FY, et al. Can IMRT or brachytherapy reduce dysphagia associated with chemoradiotherapy of head and neck cancer? The Michigan and Rotterdam experiences. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2007;69:S40-S42.

8. Garcia-Peris P, Paron L, Velasco C, et al. Long-term prevalence of oropharyngeal dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients: impact on quality of life. *Clin Nutr*. 2007;26:710-717.
9. Pauloski BR, Rademaker AW, Logemann JA, et al. Swallow function and perception of dysphagia in patients with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck*. 2002;24:555-565.
10. Murphy BA, Gilbert J. Dysphagia in head and neck cancer patients treated with radiation: assessment, sequelae, and rehabilitation. *Semin Radiat Oncol*. 2009;19:35-42.
11. Goguen LA, Posner MR, Norris CM, et al. Dysphagia after sequential chemoradiation therapy for advanced head and neck cancer. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2006;134:916-922.
12. Nguyen NP, Frank C, Moltz CC, et al. Aspiration rate following chemoradiation for head and neck cancer: an underreported occurrence. *Radiother Oncol*. 2006;80:302-306.
13. Nguyen NP, Moltz CC, Frank C, et al. Evolution of chronic dysphagia following treatment for head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol*. 2006;42:374-380.
14. Caudell JJ, Schaner PE, Desmond RA, et al. Dosimetric factors associated with long-term dysphagia after definitive radiotherapy for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2010;76:403-409.
15. Dirix P, Abbeel S, Vanstraelen B, et al. Dysphagia after chemoradiotherapy for head-and-neck squamous cell carcinoma: dose-effect relationships for the swallowing structures. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2009;75:385-392.
16. Kendall KA, McKenzie SW, Leonard RJ, et al. Structural mobility in deglutition after single modality treatment of head and neck carcinomas with radiotherapy. *Head Neck*. 1998;20:720-725.
17. Li B, Li D, Lau DH, et al. Clinical-dosimetric analysis of measures of dysphagia including gastrostomy-tube dependence among head and neck cancer patients treated definitively by intensity-modulated radiotherapy with concurrent chemotherapy. *Radiat Oncol*. 2009;4:52.
18. List MA, D'Antonio LL, Cella DF, et al. The Performance Status Scale for Head and Neck Cancer Patients and the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-Head and Neck Scale: A study of utility and validity. *Cancer*. 1996;77:2294-2301.
19. Humphreys B, Mathog R, Rosen R, et al. Videofluoroscopic and scintigraphic analysis of dysphagia in the head and neck cancer patient. *Laryngoscope*. 1987;97:25-32.
20. Salassa JR. A functional outcome swallowing scale for staging oropharyngeal dysphagia. *Dig Dis*. 1999;17:230-234.
21. Rosenthal DI, Lewin JS, Eisbruch A. Prevention and treatment of dysphagia and aspiration after chemoradiation for head and neck cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2006;24:2636-2643.
22. Logemann JA, Rademaker AW, Pauloski BR, et al. Effects of postural change on aspiration in head and neck surgical patients. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 1994;110:222-227.
23. Shaker R, Easterling C, Kern M, et al. Rehabilitation of swallowing by exercise in tube-fed patients with pharyngeal dysphagia secondary to abnormal UES opening. *Gastroenterology*. 2002;122:1314-1321.
24. Mendelsohn MS, McConnel FM. Function in the pharyngoesophageal segment. *Laryngoscope*. 1987;97:483-489.
25. Fujii M, Logemann JA. Effect of a tongue-holding maneuver on posterior pharyngeal wall movement during deglutition. *Am J Speech Lang Pathol*. 1996;5:23-30.