

# UC Davis

## UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Mutation of the rice XA21 predicted nuclear localization sequence does not affect resistance to *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6812q1z7>

### Journal

PeerJ, 4(10)

### ISSN

2167-8359

### Authors

Wei, Tong  
Chen, Tsung-Chi  
Ho, Yuen Ting  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2016

### DOI

10.7717/peerj.2507

Peer reviewed



# Mutation of the rice XA21 predicted nuclear localization sequence does not affect resistance to *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*

Tong Wei<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>, Tsung-Chi Chen<sup>1,\*</sup>, Yuen Ting Ho<sup>1</sup> and Pamela C. Ronald<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Plant Pathology and the Genome Center, University of California, Davis, CA, United States

<sup>2</sup> Feedstocks Division, Joint BioEnergy Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States

<sup>3</sup> Biological Systems and Engineering Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States

\* These authors contributed equally to this work.

## ABSTRACT

**Background.** The rice receptor kinase XA21 confers robust resistance to the bacterial pathogen *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*). We previously reported that XA21 is cleaved in transgenic plants overexpressing XA21 with a GFP tag (*Ubi*-XA21-GFP) and that the released C-terminal domain is localized to the nucleus. XA21 carries a predicted nuclear localization sequence (NLS) that directs the C-terminal domain to the nucleus in transient assays, whereas alanine substitutions in the NLS disrupt the nuclear localization.

**Methods.** To determine if the predicted NLS is required for XA21-mediated immunity *in planta*, we generated transgenic plants overexpressing an XA21 variant carrying the NLS with the same alanine substitutions (*Ubi*-XA21nls-GFP).

**Results.** *Ubi*-XA21nls-GFP plants displayed slightly longer lesion lengths, higher *Xoo* bacterial populations after inoculation and lower levels of reactive oxygen species production compared with the *Ubi*-XA21-GFP control plants. However, the *Ubi*-XA21nls-GFP plants express lower levels of protein than that observed in *Ubi*-XA21-GFP.

**Discussion.** These results demonstrate that the predicted NLS is not required for XA21-mediated immunity.

**Subjects** Plant Science

**Keywords** Nuclear localization, Bacterial blight disease, *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*, XA21-mediated immunity

## INTRODUCTION

Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) recognize conserved microbial signatures, activating immune responses (Ronald & Beutler, 2010; Schwessinger & Ronald, 2012). The rice PRR XA21 confers robust resistance to diverse strains of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*), the causal agent of blight disease in rice (Khush, Bacalangco & Ogawa, 1990; Song et al., 1995). XA21 recognizes a tyrosine-sulfated protein called RaxX (required for activation of XA21-mediated immunity X) derived from *Xoo*. Tyrosine sulfation is required for RaxX's

Submitted 3 August 2016

Accepted 30 August 2016

Published 5 October 2016

Corresponding author

Pamela C. Ronald,  
pcronald@ucdavis.edu

Academic editor

Sheila McCormick

Additional Information and  
Declarations can be found on  
page 8

DOI 10.7717/peerj.2507

© Copyright  
2016 Wei et al.

Distributed under  
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

activity. In rice plants expressing XA21, sulfated RaxX activates XA21-mediated immune responses, including production of reactive oxygen species (ROS), ethylene, and induction of defense gene expression ([Pruitt et al., 2015](#)).

We previously reported that transgenic plants overexpressing XA21 produce XA21 cleavage products ([Chen et al., 2010a](#); [Chen et al., 2010b](#); [Park et al., 2010](#); [Park et al., 2008](#); [Park & Ronald, 2012](#); [Wang et al., 2006](#)). For example, a 110-kDa amino-terminal XA21 cleavage product was detected in *Ubi-Myc-XA21* transgenic plants and a 70-kDa carboxy-terminal cleavage product was observed in *Ubi-XA21-CFP* and *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants ([Park & Ronald, 2012](#)). A predicted nuclear localization sequence (NLS) located between the XA21 transmembrane and juxtamembrane domain was identified. In rice protoplasts, the predicted NLS is able to direct transiently expressed GFP-tagged XA21 C-terminal domain to the nucleus. In contrast, a construct carrying alanine substitutions in the predicted NLS fails to direct the nuclear localization of XA21 C-terminal domain ([Park & Ronald, 2012](#)).

To assess the biological relevance of the predicted XA21 nuclear localization sequence *in planta*, we generated transgenic plants expressing an XA21 variant with alanine substitutions in the predicted NLS (XA21nls). The *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic lines displayed partial resistance to *Xoo* infection, as reflected in slightly longer lesion lengths and higher *Xoo* bacterial populations compared with *Ubi-Xa21-GFP* control plants. A tyrosine-sulfated 21-amino acid derivative of RaxX (RaxX21-sY) triggered ROS production in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants. The expressed protein levels of XA21nls-GFP in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines were lower than those observed in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants. These results suggest that lower levels of expressed protein account for the slightly longer lesions observed in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic plants and indicate that the predicted NLS is not critical for XA21-mediated immunity.

## MATERIALS & METHODS

### Rice growth condition and leaf treatment with elicitors

*Oryza sativa* ssp. *japonica* cultivar Kitaake and a transgenic line expressing XA21 ([Park & Ronald, 2012](#)), *Ubi-XA21-GFP* (5B-5-4-3-2-1; the transgene driven by the maize *ubiquitin* promoter), were used in this study. The Kitaake genetic background lacks XA21 and is fully susceptible to the *Xoo* strain used in this study. Rice seeds were surfaced-sterilized with 15% bleach, rinsed with water, and soaked in water for one week. Well-germinated seedlings were transplanted into a soil mixture (80% sand, 20% peat from Redi-Gro, Sacramento, CA, USA) in an environmentally-controlled greenhouse.

### Vector construction and rice transformation

The *XA21nls-GFP* (KRTKK678-682AATAA) construct was generated using site-directed mutagenesis. The DNA sequence containing alanine substitutions were amplified from an *XA21-GFP/pENTR* vector ([Park & Ronald, 2012](#)) using two overlapping primers (XA21nls-F and XA21nls-R, [Table S1](#)). The PCR product was digested with *DpnI* at 37 °C overnight and transformed into *Escherichia coli* competent cells, followed by kanamycin selection. Plasmids carrying the mutations were confirmed by standard Sanger

sequencing. *XA21nls-GFP* was subcloned into *Ubi-pCAMBIA-1300*, which carries the maize ubiquitin promoter to drive expression of the transgene ([Chern et al., 2005](#)) using Gateway LR Clonase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The resulting *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* construct was used to transform rice Kitaake calli by *Agrobacterium*-mediated method in Plant Transformation Facility at UC Davis ([Hiei et al., 1994](#)). The presence of the transgene was confirmed by PCR using gene-specific primers (Ubi-pro and XA21-seq2 in [Table S1](#)).

### Bacterial infection assays

*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*) Philippines race 6 PXO99<sup>A</sup> (referred to as PXO99) was used in this study. *Xoo* was cultured on peptone sucrose agar plates supplemented with 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> cephalixin for two days, then washed off and re-suspended in sterilized water. The concentration of bacterial suspension was adjusted to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.5 (approximately 1 × 10<sup>8</sup> cfu/mL) for inoculation.


Before inoculation, 5-week-old greenhouse-grown rice plants were transferred to a walk-in growth chamber (14-h-light/10-h-dark photo-period, 28 °C/24 °C, 80%/85% humidity) to acclimate the chamber conditions for one week. Plants were inoculated using the scissor clipping method ([Song et al., 1995](#)). For each line, 8-12 plants were inoculated and in each plant two fully-expanded leaves from 3-6 tillers were clipped using scissors with the *Xoo* inoculum. The lesion lengths were measured 14 days post-inoculation. *In planta* bacterial growth was assessed as described previously ([Bahar et al., 2014](#)). Briefly, inoculated leaves were collected at indicated time points, cut into 5-mm pieces and incubated in 10 mL sterile water with shaking at 28 °C for 1 h. The suspension was diluted accordingly and spread out on PSA plates with 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> cephalixin. The bacterial colonies were counted after a two-day culture at 28 °C.

### Protein extraction and western blot assays

Protein extraction from rice leaves and western blot assays were performed as previously described ([Park & Ronald, 2012](#)). Briefly, total protein was extracted from 100 mg of rice leaf tissue in 200 µL of pre-chilled extraction buffer (0.15 M NaCl, 0.01 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.2, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 10 mM DTT, 20 mM NaF, 1 mM PMSF, 1% Sigma protease cocktail) and separated in an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. A mouse anti-GFP antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) was used as the primary antibody for detection of GFP-tagged XA21 and XA21nls.

### ROS assays

ROS assays were performed as previously described ([Pruitt et al., 2015](#)). Fully-expanded leaves were harvested from 4-week-old hydroponically grown rice plants, cut into 2-mm<sup>2</sup> pieces and floated on water overnight. Leaf pieces were treated with water, 1 µM nonsulfated 21-amino acid synthetic RaxX peptides (RaxX21-Y) or tyrosine sulfated RaxX21 peptides (RaxX21-sY). For each treatment, four biological replicates were included and in each replicate two leaf pieces were used. Chemiluminescence was recorded every 30 s for 3 h in a high-sensitivity TriStar plate reader (Berthold, Germany).



**Figure 1** *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic plants have reduced transcript and protein levels. (A) Domain organization of XA21 receptor (SP, signal peptide; LRR, leucine rich repeat; TM, transmembrane domain; JM, juxtamembrane domain; KD, kinase domain). The predicted nuclear localization sequence (NLS: KRTKK, amino acids 678-682) highlighted in blue, is mutated to AATAA in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP*. (B) Relative expression levels of XA21 in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* and T2 progeny derived from three independent *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* T1 lines (XA21nls #1-8, #2-3 and #7-3) determined by qRT-PCR and normalized to *ubiquitin* reference gene. The XA21 expression level in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* was set to 1. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD of three technical replicates. Different letters indicate significant differences between the groups (Tukey's honestly significant difference test,  $\alpha < 0.05$ ). The experiment was repeated three times with similar results. (C) Western blot analysis of 70  $\mu$ g total protein extracts from 5-wk *Ubi-XA21-GFP*, Kitaake and two individual T2 plants derived from three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* T1 lines. The full-length XA21-GFP was detected with an anti-GFP antibody. Equal loading of total proteins was confirmed by Coomassie blue staining (CBB).

## RESULTS

### Generation of *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic plants

The predicted NLS in XA21 is a basic amino acid-rich sequence localized between the transmembrane and juxtamembrane domains (Park & Ronald, 2012). An XA21 variant with alanine substitutions in the predicted NLS (XA21nls; Fig. 1A) was generated using site-directed mutagenesis and introduced into the rice Kitaake cultivar. Five independent *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines were obtained, and three lines that expressed detectable full-length XA21nls-GFP protein were selected for further analysis. We observed reduced gene and protein expression levels of XA21-GFP in these transgenic lines (#1-8, #2-3 and #7-3) as compared with those in the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control plants (Figs. 1B and 1C).

### The T1 progeny of *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants display resistance to *Xoo*

To determine whether *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* confers resistance to *Xoo*, the T1 progeny of three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* T0 lines (#1, #2 and #7) were assessed for resistance to *Xoo*. Genotyping revealed that all three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines segregated for the transgene in

the T1 generation (Fig. S1). The ratio of the transgene to null in T1 segregants in line # 1, #2 and #7 were 9:6, 2:2 and 8:10 respectively, suggesting the presence of single T-DNA insertions.

The positive segregants in line #1, #2 and #7 (closed columns in Fig. S1) displayed short lesion lengths, while the null segregants (open columns in Fig. S1) displayed lesions as long as the Kitaake control plants. These results demonstrate that the T1 progeny derived from the three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines displayed similar or slightly longer lesions than the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control.


### ***Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants display partial resistance to *Xoo***

To validate the observation that the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants maintain resistance to *Xoo*, the bacterial infection assays were repeated on the T2 progeny derived from three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic T1 lines (#1–8, #2–3 and #7–3). Five-week-old Kitaake, *Ubi-XA21-GFP* and *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants were inoculated with PXO99. Fourteen days after inoculation, Kitaake plants developed long water-soaked lesions typical of the disease ( $15.6 \pm 2.5$  cm), whereas *Ubi-XA21-GFP* developed very short lesions ( $3.4 \pm 1.2$  cm) (Figs. 2A and 2C). The *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants segregated for *Xoo* resistance. The null segregants in three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines displayed long lesions, similar to the susceptible Kitaake plants. In contrast, the positive segregants from these lines displayed relatively short lesions,  $6.3 \pm 2.1$  cm in #1–8,  $5.3 \pm 1.8$  cm in #2–3 and  $5.6 \pm 1.9$  cm in #7–3 (Fig. 2C and Fig. S2). The lesion lengths in the *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines were significantly longer than *Ubi-XA21-GFP* but shorter than Kitaake (Fig. 2C). A second inoculation experiment #2 was performed with additional T2 progeny from the *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* T1 lines. The slightly longer lesion phenotype also co-segregated with the *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgene (Fig. S2), which is consistent with the results in the first experiment (Fig. 2).

To assess *Xoo* growth *in planta*, the same plants from the first inoculation experiment were used for a bacterial growth assay. Inoculated leaves were collected 0, 4, 8 and 12 days post-inoculation (dpi). As shown in Fig. 2B, the bacterial population in Kitaake reached to  $10^8$  cfu/leaf at 4 dpi, whereas the populations in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* and *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants reached over  $10^7$  cfu/leaf. At 8 dpi, the *Xoo* population grew in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants but remained unchanged in the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control plants. At 12 dpi, the bacterial populations in three *Ubi-XA21-GFP* transgenic lines were significantly higher than the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants but lower than that in the Kitaake plants (Fig. 2B). The result of bacterial populations is consistent with the lesion lengths in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants, indicating that *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants display partial resistance to *Xoo* as compared with the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control.

### **RaxX21-sY triggers ROS production in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants**


The production of ROS is a hallmark of XA21-mediated immune responses (Pruitt *et al.*, 2015). We therefore carried out a ROS assay to test whether RaxX21-sY treatment leads to ROS production in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants. Detached leaves from four-week-old hydroponically-grown *Ubi-XA21-GFP*, Kitaake and *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants were treated with water, 1  $\mu$ M RaxX21-Y or RaxX21-sY. As shown in Fig. 3, RaxX21-sY triggered



**Figure 2** T2 generation of *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants display partial resistance to *Xoo*. (A) Leaves of Kitaake, *Ubi-XA21-GFP* (XA21), and T2 progeny derived from three independent *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* T1 lines (#1-8, #2-3 and #7-3) 14 days post-inoculation (dpi). (B) Bacterial population in Kitaake, *Ubi-XA21-GFP*, and *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants at 0, 4, 8 and 12 dpi determined by the number of colony-forming units (CFU) per inoculated leaf. Error bars represent standard deviation from at least four leaves. (C) Lesion lengths of Kitaake, *Ubi-XA21-GFP*, and *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants at 14 dpi. The segregants (closed columns) and null segregants (open columns) in each *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* line were separated into two groups for statistical analysis. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SD from about six leaves. The red horizontal line indicates the average lesion length of each genotype. Different letters in (B) and (C) indicate significant differences between the groups (Tukey's honestly significant difference test,  $\alpha < 0.05$ ). This experiment was repeated a second time (Fig. S2) with similar results.

ROS production in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants but not in Kitaake. The ROS production peaked around 70 min and last for 150 min. The three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines displayed ROS production upon RaxX21-sY treatment, in a similar manner as the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control plants. RaxX21-sY induced ROS production reached a lower level in three





**Figure 3** Sulfated RaxX triggers ROS production in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants. ROS production was measured in leaves from 4-wk hydroponically-grown Kitaake, *Ubi-XA21-GFP*, and T2 transgenic plants derived from three independent *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic T1 lines (#1–10, #2–4 and #7–11) treated with water, 1  $\mu\text{M}$  nonsulfated or sulfated 21-amino acid RaxX peptide (Mock, RaxX21-Y and RaxX21-sY, respectively). Bars represent mean  $\pm$  SE of four technical replicates. RLU: relative light units.

*Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* lines compared with that in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* plants. These results demonstrate that *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants maintain the ROS response to RaxX21-sY.

## DISCUSSION

We previously reported that XA21 is cleaved in transgenic plants overexpressing XA21 with a GFP tag (*Ubi-XA21-GFP*), that the released GFP tagged C-terminal domain is localized to the nucleus and that a predicted NLS directs this domain to the nucleus in transient assays (Park & Ronald, 2012). To investigate the biological relevance of these observations, here we used a genetic approach to assess the resistance of transgenic plants expressing XA21 with mutations in the predicted NLS (*Ubi-XA21nls-GFP*) to *Xoo*.

We observed that the three *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* transgenic lines displayed slightly reduced resistance to *Xoo*, as illustrated by slightly longer lesion lengths and higher bacterial populations compared with the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control plants (Fig. 2). Sulfated, but not nonsulfated, RaxX21 is able to trigger ROS production in the *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants, to slightly lower levels than that observed in the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control (Fig. 3). Considering the relatively lower XA21 transcript and protein levels of XA21 in *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants as compared with the *Ubi-XA21-GFP* control plants (Figs. 1B and 1C), we hypothesize that the reduced level of XA21 protein accumulation is responsible for the slightly reduced resistance and reduced ROS levels observed in the *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* plants. The differences in XA21 protein levels in *Ubi-XA21-GFP* vs. *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* may be due to position effects of the transgene, as we and others have observed in previous studies.



Together, these results indicate that *Ubi-XA21nls-GFP* is able to respond to RaxX21-sY and confers resistance to *Xoo*, which suggest that the predicted NLS is not required for XA21-mediated immunity. This result conflicts with our previous report that disruption of XA21 nuclear localization in *Ubi-XA21-GFP-NES* caused enhanced susceptibility (*Park & Ronald, 2012*). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that the addition of the NES to XA21 disrupts activity of XA21.

The biological role of the XA21 cleavage product remains unknown. The XA21 intracellular domain interacts with several proteins predicted to be nuclear localized, including the XB10/WRKY62 transcription factor (*Seo et al., 2011*). The nuclear localization of the XA21-GFP cleavage products in transient assays suggests a role in transcriptional regulation in the nucleus (*Park & Ronald, 2012*). However, here we demonstrate that nuclear localization of the XA21 intracellular domain is not critical for XA21-mediated immunity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Rory N. Pruitt, Brittany N. Anderton and Anna Joe for discussion and critical reading of the manuscript.

## ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

### Funding

The work was supported by NIH GM59962 to Pamela C. Ronald. The work conducted by the Joint BioEnergy Institute was supported by the Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, of the US Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

### Grant Disclosures

The following grant information was disclosed by the authors:

NIH: GM59962.

Office of Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research, of the US Department of Energy: DE-AC02-05CH11231.

### Competing Interests

Pamela C. Ronald is an Academic Editor for PeerJ.

### Author Contributions

- Tong Wei conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.
- Tsung-Chi Chen conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, wrote the paper, reviewed drafts of the paper.

- Yuen Ting Ho performed the experiments.
- Pamela C. Ronald conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, wrote the paper, prepared figures and/or tables, reviewed drafts of the paper.

### Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The research in this article did not generate, collect or analyse any raw data or code.

### Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.2507#supplemental-information>.

## REFERENCES

- Bahar O, Pruitt R, Luu DD, Schwessinger B, Daudi A, Liu F, Ruan R, Fontaine-Bodin L, Koebnik R, Ronald P. 2014.** The *Xanthomonas* Ax21 protein is processed by the general secretory system and is secreted in association with outer membrane vesicles. *PeerJ* 2:e242 DOI 10.7717/peerj.242.
- Chen X, Chern M, Canlas PE, Jiang C, Ruan D, Cao P, Ronald PC. 2010a.** A conserved threonine residue in the juxtamembrane domain of the XA21 pattern recognition receptor is critical for kinase autophosphorylation and XA21-mediated immunity. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285:10454–10463 DOI 10.1074/jbc.M109.093427.
- Chen X, Chern M, Canlas PE, Ruan D, Jiang C, Ronald PC. 2010b.** An ATPase promotes autophosphorylation of the pattern recognition receptor XA21 and inhibits XA21-mediated immunity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107:8029–8034 DOI 10.1073/pnas.0912311107.
- Chern M, Canlas PE, Fitzgerald HA, Ronald PC. 2005.** Rice NRR, a negative regulator of disease resistance, interacts with Arabidopsis NPR1 and rice NH1. *Plant Journal* 43:623–635 DOI 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02485.x.
- Hiei Y, Ohta S, Komari T, Kumashiro T. 1994.** Efficient transformation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L) mediated by *Agrobacterium* and sequence-analysis of the boundaries of the T-DNA. *Plant Journal* 6:271–282 DOI 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1994.6020271.x.
- Khush GS, Bacalangco E, Ogawa T. 1990.** A new gene for resistance to bacterial blight from *O. longistaminata*. *Rice Genetics Newsletter* 7:121–122.
- Park C-J, Bart R, Chern M, Canlas PE, Bai W, Ronald PC. 2010.** Overexpression of the endoplasmic reticulum chaperone BiP3 regulates XA21-mediated innate immunity in rice. *PLoS ONE* 5:e9262 DOI 10.1371/journal.pone.0009262.
- Park C-J, Peng Y, Chen X, Dardick C, Ruan D, Bart R, Canlas PE, Ronald PC. 2008.** Rice XB15, a protein phosphatase 2C, negatively regulates cell death and XA21-mediated innate immunity. *PLoS Biology* 6:1910–1926 DOI 10.1371/journal.pbio.0060231.
- Park CJ, Ronald PC. 2012.** Cleavage and nuclear localization of the rice XA21 immune receptor. *Nature Communications* 3:920 DOI 10.1038/ncomms1932.

- Pruitt RN, Schwessinger B, Joe A, Thomas N, Liu F, Albert M, Robinson MR, Chan LJG, Luu DD, Chen H, Bahar O, Daudi A, De Vleeschauwer D, Caddell D, Zhang W, Zhao X, Li X, Heazlewood JL, Ruan D, Majumder D, Chern M, Kalbacher H, Midha S, Patil PB, Sonti RV, Petzold CJ, Liu CC, Brodbelt JS, Felix G, Ronald PC. 2015. The rice immune receptor XA21 recognizes a tyrosine-sulfated protein from a Gram-negative bacterium. *Science Advances* 1:e1500245 DOI [10.1126/sciadv.1500245](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500245).
- Ronald PC, Beutler B. 2010. Plant and animal sensors of conserved microbial signatures. *Science* 330:1061–1064 DOI [10.1126/science.1189468](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1189468).
- Schwessinger B, Ronald PC. 2012. Plant innate immunity: perception of conserved microbial signatures. In: Merchant SS, ed. *Annual review of plant biology*. Vol. 63, 451–482.
- Seo YS, Chern M, Bartley LE, Han MH, Jung KH, Lee I, Walia H, Richter T, Xu X, Cao PJ, Bai W, Ramanan R, Amonpant F, Arul L, Canlas PE, Ruan R, Park CJ, Chen XW, Hwang S, Jeon JS, Ronald PC. 2011. Towards establishment of a rice stress response interactome. *PLoS Genetics* 7:e1002020 DOI [10.1371/journal.pgen.1002020](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1002020).
- Song WY, Wang GL, Chen LL, Kim HS, Pi LY, Holsten T, Gardner J, Wang B, Zhai WX, Zhu LH, Fauquet C, Ronald P. 1995. A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, *Xa21*. *Science* 270:1804–1806 DOI [10.1126/science.270.5243.1804](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5243.1804).
- Wang Y-S, Pi L-Y, Chen X, Chakrabarty PK, Jiang J, De Leon AL, Liu G-Z, Li L, Benny U, Oard J, Ronald PC, Song W-Y. 2006. Rice XA21 binding protein 3 is a ubiquitin ligase required for full Xa21-mediated disease resistance. *The Plant Cell* 18:3635–3646 DOI [10.1105/tpc.106.046730](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.106.046730).