

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Mathematical Proficiency: Number Acuity vs. Numeracy

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/67v6v2vc>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 36(36)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Kim, Dan
Opfer, John

Publication Date

2014

Peer reviewed

Mathematical Proficiency: Number Acuity vs. Numeracy

Dan Kim

The Ohio State University

John Opfer

The Ohio State University

Abstract: Mathematical proficiency is central to success in everyday life, yet its cognitive foundations remain unclear. In previous study, two cognitive abilities have emerged as likely prerequisites – proficiency with symbolic and non-symbolic numbers. In this study, we examined arithmetic proficiency as a function of individual differences in six tasks: symbolic and non-symbolic addition, numerical estimation, and number comparison. As in previous studies, true was robust ratio effect evident in our tasks, while the weber fraction implied from two tasks did not correlate with arithmetic proficiency, nor between tasks, nor even between blocks of the one task. In contrast, accuracy of numerical estimation was correlated with arithmetic proficiency, with other accuracy of estimation, and was reliable within subjects. These findings indicate that numeracy is a better predictor for mathematical proficiency than number acuity.