

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Improving the View in Vitreoretinal Surgery

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/67h9j8v9>

Journal

International Ophthalmology Clinics, 60(3)

ISSN

0020-8167

Authors

Hadi, Tamer M

Knight, Darren K

Aggarwal, Sahil

et al.

Publication Date

2020

DOI

10.1097/iio.0000000000000312

Peer reviewed

Improving the View in Vitreoretinal Surgery

Tamer M. Hadi, MD, PhD^{1a}
Darren Knight, MD^{1a}
Sahil Aggarwal, BS^{2b}
Mitul Mehta, MD, MS^{1a}

^{1a}Gavin Herbert Eye Institute, Department of Ophthalmology, University of California, Irvine

^{2b}University of California, Irvine, School of Medicine

Abstract

Introduction

Great strides have been made since the advent of retinal surgery, including early scleral buckling dating as far back as the 1930s (1) to the beginning of pars plana vitrectomy in the 1970s (2-5). These include enhanced instrumentation, viewing systems, and peri-operative tools and techniques to augment surgical efficacy and efficiency. Despite this, vitreoretinal surgery remains particularly dependent upon and sensitive to fluctuations in the intraoperative view, which can ~~remain~~ confound ~~ing to~~ novice and experienced surgeons alike. This article will review recent advances in the viewing systems, illumination sources, and tools and techniques that have arisen to optimize the view in vitreoretinal surgery.

Viewing System

Rapid improvements in pars plana vitrectomy techniques that began in the 1970s were soon followed by the introduction and development of wide-angle viewing systems in the 1980s (6-10) based on the principles of indirect ophthalmoscopy. A lens provides an inverted image that is then re-inverted by an optical system typically mounted on the surgical microscope, providing for an erect, stereoscopic image (1, 6-9, 12). Such systems became readily adopted because they have permitted ~~wid~~~~ersimultaneous~~ views of ~~wide areas of~~ the fundus, allowing for better recognition of pathology and facilitating safer and more efficient surgery. The era of small gauge vitrectomy ~~iesy~~, in which rotation of the eye and scleral indentation with smaller, more flexible instruments is more challenging, has ~~only~~ accelerated the use of such systems (10). In practice, there are two types of wide-angle viewing systems: ~~;~~ non-contact lens ~~-~~based and contact lens ~~-~~based (6, 11-14).

The former consists of a smaller objective lens closer to the cornea, a larger condensing lens that is fixed higher in the microscope and, typically, an inverter. (Table 1 compares the current, commercially-available surgical microscopes). Advantages of this system include adjustable fields of view and ease of manipulation by the surgeon without the need for an assistant, while disadvantages include the need for continuous hydration to maintain corneal clarity and a propensity for fogging of the objective lens.

Table 1. Commercially available non-contact wide angle viewing systems

<u>System</u>	<u>Manufacturer</u>
<u>Resight¹ 500 and 700</u>	<u>Carl Zeiss</u>

OCULUS BIOM² 5	Oculus Surgical
OMS-800 OFFISS³	Topcon
MERLIN Surgical System	Volk Optical
P-W-L Upright Vitrectomy lens^{4,5}	Ocular Instruments
EIBOS⁵ 2	Haag-Streit
RUV800⁵	Leica

[1 Equipped with 60D and 120 D lens](#)

[2 Binocular Indirect Ophthalmomicroscope](#)

[3 Optical Fiber Free Intravitreal Surgery System. Must be used with Topcon microscope. Illumination incorporated in the microscope permitting bimanual surgery.](#)

[4 Peyman-Wessels-Landers 132 Diopter Upright Vitrectomy Lens in Landers Wide Field Surgical Viewing System](#)

[5 Integrated inverter, shorter instrument](#)

Contact lens-based systems, in contrast, make use of plano lenses that are in direct approximation with the cornea, thereby shortening [the working distance](#), and eliminating corneal aberration, asphericity, and reflection, [thereby providing](#) greater resolution, and improved stereopsis. [\(Table 2 compares currently available contact lens systems\)](#). The main disadvantages of such systems are the requirements [for](#) sewing on a lens or for an experienced assistant [to maintaining](#) lens centration, and the propensity for air bubbles or blood to intervene and intermittently obscure the view (6-13). Variants of such contact lenses have also been developed to maximize magnification and resolution for macular work, with more focused fields of view [\(detailed in Table 3\)](#). Novel combinations of contact and non-contact based wide angle viewing systems have also been described. [\(15-18\)](#). Kita et al. recently published an update of a single use, plano-powered contact lens with a 0.26mm thick central meniscus made of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) used in conjunction with a wide angle viewing system. In a series of 82 vitrectomies and 6 scleral buckles, this lens maintained superior optical clarity compared to viscoelastic alone, better preserving corneal integrity. [\(18\)](#).

Table 1. Commercially available non-contact wide angle viewing systems

System	Manufacturer
Resight¹ 500 and 700	Carl Zeiss

OCULUS BIOM ² -5	Oculus Surgical
OMS-800 OFFISS ³	Topcon
MERLIN Surgical System	Volk Optical
P-W-L Upright Vitrectomy lens ^{4,5}	Ocular Instruments
EIBOS ⁵ -2	Haag-Streit
RUV800 ⁵	Leica

1 Equipped with 60D and 120 D lens

2 Binocular Indirect Ophthalmomicroscope

3 Optical Fiber Free Intravitreal Surgery System. Must be used with Topcon microscope. Illumination incorporated in the microscope permitting bimanual surgery.

4 Peyman-Wessels-Landers 132 Diopter Upright Vitrectomy Lens in Landers Wide-Field Surgical Viewing System

5 Integrated inverter, shorter instrument

-

-

Table 2. Selection of commercially available wide field contact lenses

System	Manufacturer	Magnification	Field of view (°)	
			Static	Dynamic
HRX	Volk Optical	0.43x	130	150
MiniQuad XL	Volk Optical	0.39x	112	134
MiniQuad	Volk Optical	0.48x	106	127

DynaView	Volk Optical	0.39x	95	127
Landers Wide Field	Ocular Instruments	0.38x	130	146
A.V.I lens	Advanced Visual Instruments	0.48x	130	N/A

N/A data not available

Table 3. Selection of commercially available high magnification contact lenses

System	Manufacturer	Magnification/Power	Field of view (°)	
			Static	Dynamic
Central Retinal	Volk Optical	0.71x	73	88
Super Macula	Volk Optical	1.03x	64	77
GRIESHABER® DSP Aspheric Macular Lens	Alcon	59D	30	N/A
ODVM Ocular Disposable Vitrectomy Magnifying Lens	Ocular Instruments	1.49x	30	N/A

N/A data not available

-Endoillumination: Improving the view once inside the eye

Innovations in external surgical viewing systems and vitrectomy instrumentation have driven the evolution of tools used to enhance the surgeon's view once inside the eye (12-14), resulting in a wide variety of products that are optimal in different circumstances (Table 4). Widefield illumination facilitates visualization of the retinal periphery, for example, providing for more efficient and safer surgery, but it is susceptible to excessive glare during air-fluid exchanges. Shielded endoilluminators protect the surgeon from excess light exposure, but diminish the view of the vitreous (12-14).

Table 4. Selection of commercially available endoilluminators

Bausch and Lomb Stellaris PC	Alcon Constellation
-------------------------------------	----------------------------

<u>Type</u>	<u>Gauge</u>	<u>Type</u>	<u>Gauge</u>
Focal Illumination	20, 23, 25, 27	Straight Endoilluminator	20, 23, 25, 27
Vivid	20, 23, 25	-	
Midfield	20, 23, 25	-	
Widefield	20, 23, 25	Sapphire wide angle	20, 23, 25
Corona Shielded Widefield	20, 23, 25	Shielded The Bullet RFID	20

Smaller gauge surgery has necessitated the development of more intense light sources, including xenon and mercury vapor, and more recently, light emitting diode (LED) and laser light sources, allowing for improved illumination.-(12, 19). Such light sources have opened the door to the use of chandelier illumination, ~~permitting true bimanual surgery for complex pathology or improved visualization of breaks during scleral buckling procedures,~~ and illuminated instrumentation, including infusion chandeliers, bipolar cautery, laser endoprobes, membrane picks, and irrigating and aspirating endoilluminators.-(12, 13, 19). The newer lighting sources permit true bimanual surgery for complex pathologies and improved visualization of retinal breaks during scleral buckling procedures.

Light Filters

Given the increased intensity of these light sources, safeguards to protect the eye against retinal from excess light exposure damage are essential. Specifically, retinal photodamage may occur by one of three mechanisms ~~(20)~~: mechanical (e.g. pulsed laser causing fragmentation of tissue), thermal (e.g. when tissue temperature elevations result in denaturation of proteins and loss of macromolecular structure), and chemical (e.g. when high photon energy breaks molecular bonds, creating free radicals and increasing oxidative stress). (20)

In this respect, increasing the distance between the light source and the retina, such as for example with chandelier illumination, serves to decrease phototoxicity.-(19, 20).

Several commercially available endoillumination devices have incorporated built-in filters to decrease retinal exposure to ultraviolet and blue light from the light source. I ~~including platforms from~~ the Stellaris PC (Bausch and Lomb) with has green, yellow and amber filters, ~~to~~ the Brightstar (D.O.R.C. Dutch Ophthalmic Research Center) with has cutoffs at 435, 475, 515 nanometers; and, ~~to~~ the Photon (Synergetics) with has a cutoff at 485 nm.-(19-21).

Importantly, adjunctive technologies have facilitated visualization, improving efficiency and illumination, even in challenging circumstances. Endoscopy has been used to illuminate anterior structures such as the ciliary body for cyclitic membranes and is particularly useful when corneal opacity obfuscates the view (22-24). Additionally, the use of 3D heads-up vitrectomy systems has been shown to be effective ~~an effective~~ means to decrease light exposure with similar outcomes to standard surgical techniques (25-27).

Chromo-vitrectomy

In parallel with these advances in equipment and instrumentation, the use of vital dyes and stains has greatly improved visualization during vitreoretinal surgery (13).

Peeling the internal limiting membrane (ILM) for macular hole surgery, for example, demonstrated improved anatomic and visual outcomes when it was first introduced in the 1990s, but ~~did not gain widespread acceptance because of technical difficulty with~~ ~~did not occur visualization until the application of~~ indocyanine green (ICG) ~~was used to help visualize the ILM to this problem~~ (10, 28-35).

ICG is an inert, amphiphilic dye, with little systemic toxicity; ~~it that~~ was initially introduced to ophthalmology in the 1970s for ~~the~~ study of the choroidal circulation (11, 36). Its ability to bind basement membranes was first made use of by surgeons staining the anterior capsule in dense cataracts, but ~~it~~ is now used to primarily stain the translucent ILM in vitreoretinal surgery (28-35). While reports of ~~toxicity that are both~~ time and dose dependent ~~toxicities~~ have been described, surgical outcomes have typically been superior for guided chromo-vitrectomy (36).

Infracyanine green (IfCG) ~~is~~, an iodine-free vital dye diluted in glucose that also avidly stains ILM. ~~It~~ has a superior safety profile to ICG, reducing osmotically induced retinal toxicity (13, 36). ~~however, it has is~~ not yet ~~in~~ widely used, in part ~~usage, partially~~ because it is more cumbersome to reconstitute (11, 13, 36).

Trypan (or membrane) blue is an anionic, hydrophilic vital stain that, like ICG, was shown to have significant affinity for the lenticular anterior capsule, facilitating capsulorrhexis during cataract surgery. ~~Trypan blue, was and~~ soon thereafter ~~was~~ introduced for staining preretinal structures, such as the epiretinal membrane (ERM) and ILM (13, 36). Of note, the safety profile of Trypan blue is superior to that of ICG; ~~when combined with Xenon light in an RPE-glia cell culture model, Trypan blue does not demonstrate the combined phototoxic effect to cultured Mueller cells that ICG does.~~ (37) However, ~~Trypan blue binds less avidly than ICG, G~~ ~~it exhibits less avid binding than ICG,~~ has a ~~higher~~ relatively affinity for the ~~ERM rather than ILM,~~ ~~and is more easily rinsed out,~~ ~~and when combined with Xenon light in an RPE-glia cell culture model, does not demonstrate the combined phototoxic effect to cultured Mueller cells that ICG does~~ (37).

Brilliant blue is another vital dye used primarily for staining the ILM. Its ~~reported~~ advantages include lower cytotoxicity and faster staining than ~~Trypan blue or ICG,~~ as well as higher affinity for ILM and lower affinity for ERM than ~~Trypan blue~~ (38).

However, it is not currently approved by the Federal Drug Administration (FDA) for use in the United States.

Patent blue is another hydrophilic vital dye that is in preclinical studies. It demonstrates excellent staining of the ERM with only minimal toxicity; however, — its advantage over other stains is not yet clear. (13, 36).

The corticosteroid triamcinolone acetonide has significant avidity for acellular vitreous and has been applied intraoperatively to facilitate complete excision of the posterior and anterior vitreous and help identify precipitate on the the ILM. ~~assisting in its identification~~ (13, 36). However, ~~t~~There are some reports of RPE toxicity, so extra caution should be taken especially in macular hole repairs to remove excess triamcinolone. (13).

Vital dyes and stains, most of which have excellent safety profiles, improve visualization during vitreoretinal surgery and will likely remain useful surgical tools for the foreseeable future. The utility, however, of such adjuncts are necessarily limited by inherent biological constraints of surgery through the clear cornea.

Corneal clarity

Clarity of the cornea is an essential component of vitreoretinal surgery that is difficult to preserve, particularly in long cases. Intraoperative corneal edema is an important source of reduced corneal clarity and can be caused by several factors, including fluctuations in intraocular pressure, production of inflammatory mediators, and mechanical trauma. (39). Corneal wetting agents are frequently employed in vitreoretinal surgery because they reduce edema, and preservative-free options are preferred in prolonged surgeries to avoid epithelial toxicity. (40-41).

Balanced salt solution (BSS) is the most commonly used corneal wetting-hydration agent due to the ease of availability and cost efficiency. (42). While frequently employed in anterior segment surgery, BSS presents several challenges for vitreoretinal surgery. Due to the short duration of action, frequent BSS administration is disruptive to the surgical procedure any may cause discomfort to patients who are not under general anesthesia. (43). There is also the additional risk of corneal epithelial damage from BSS that can lengthen the postoperative course. (42).

Viscous lubricants are the preferred corneal wetting agents in vitreoretinal surgery. Compared to non-viscous solutions, such as artificial tears or BSS, viscous lubricants consistently provide better optical clarity. (38, 42, 43-46). Importantly, the use of viscous agents allows for both a reduced frequency of application and longer duration of ~~of~~ action, preventing frequent disruptions during surgery. (46). However, ~~as a result of higher molecular weight,~~ these viscous lubricants are slow to dissipate on the cornea due to the higher molecular weight and can delay the start of surgery. (43).

There are several different viscous formulations available for use. The most common solutions used include the elastoviscous hylan surgical shield (HsS) or gel formulations of hyaluronic acid (HA) and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC).

Each of these three of these formulations are superior to BSS in achieving optical clarity (41, 44, 46, 47). If these fail, ~~for example,~~ with excessive corneal edema, careful scraping of the corneal epithelium may be undertaken to preserve or recover viewing clarity intraoperatively. When this is ineffective, endoscopic techniques (48), temporary keratoprotheses or combined penetrating keratoplasty with vitrectomy (49-53), and open-sky vitrectomy (54) should remain in the toolkit of the modern vitreoretinal surgeon.

Iris

Control of pupillary size is a critical component to ensuring an adequate posterior segment view during vitreoretinal surgery. Pharmacologic and, ~~mechanical, and device~~ strategies exist for assistance in manipulating the iris and facilitating a posterior surgical approach.

Pharmacologic Dilation

Appropriate mydriasis is critical to the success of surgery and has been investigated extensively in regards to phacoemulsification. This is usually obtained by preoperative use of mydriatic drops, which is sufficient in most cases. A number of different anticholinergic or sympathomimetic medications are used alone or in combination to achieve adequate dilation within the time constraint of the preoperative period. If surgery is prolonged, a gradual miosis has been observed due to the release of prostaglandins (55). Interventions with topical and intracameral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory medications have been examined in regards to preventing miosis (56, 57). Many cases warrant supplementation with intracameral epinephrine at a concentration of 1:1,000,000. This dose has been shown to be a safe and effective technique to improve mydriasis during surgery (58). This epinephrine can be added to the irrigation fluid used during surgery to avoid the washout anticipated with the use of bolus dosing. An alternative technique that avoids direct intervention in the anterior chamber includes subconjunctival injection of epinephrine 0.2 cc of epinephrine (1:1000). In limited study, no systemic side effects were identified in association with absorption of epinephrine in to surrounding vasculature (59, 60). As larger studies have yet to be undertaken, caution is advised in regards to changes that may occur in the patient's blood pressure.

Iris Expansion Devices

In cases where pharmacologic medications are insufficient or likely to be ineffective, mechanical devices may help in achieving adequate mydriasis to complete the vitrectomy. The use of traditional flexible iris retractors has been studied most extensively in assistance with management of ~~IFIS or~~ intraoperative floppy iris syndrome or IFIS. (61, 62). Use of iris hooks allows the surgeon to expand the pupil in either a four or five-sided figure. Their use requires additional corneal manipulation but their flexibility in the choice of location makes them an excellent tool for improving surgical exposure for the retina surgeon (63). Additional variability in the amount of tension forces applied makes s them excellent for ensuring adequate pupil size. Critical technical components to effective insertion include maintaining the appropriate mid iris trajectory when corneal channels are created, appropriate planning of retractor location, and additional management of remaining posterior synechiae if these are present (64).

Pupillary expansion rings can be used to assist in pupillary dilation, but their size and shape can be prohibitive during vitrectomy surgery targeted at the posterior segment_{,-}(65)_{,-}. Direct mechanical pupillary dilation is rarely utilized given the additional risk of iris tears, bleeding, and residual pupillary abnormalities. However, this can be an effective strategy in the appropriate clinical context_{,-}(62)_{,-}. If mechanical dilation is to be undertaken_{,-} one strategy is to use two Coogan hooks to mechanically stretch and dilate the pupil 180 degrees apart. Care must be taken to avoid applying excess force and causing tears in the iris.

Pupillary Membranes and Posterior Synechiae

In cases where patients have had episodes of uveitis (66) or prior vitrectomy (67)_{,-} posterior synechiae may limit pupillary dilation. In cases where a pupillary membrane has caused a near total occlusion of the pupil_{,-} a peeling of the membrane at the pupil edge can be undertaken. Micro₋forceps can be used to grasp the edge of the offending membrane and strip the fibrotic tissue band ~~apart~~ from the pupillary margin and allow for pupillary dilation_{,-}(68)_{,-}.

Lens

In cases where the crystalline lens requires removal in order to allow for a clear posterior view_{,-} multiple approaches may be undertaken. This may include pars plana lensectomy or removal with phacoemulsification_{,-}(69)_{,-}.

Conclusion

Successful vitreoretinal surgery is uniquely dependent on intraoperative visualization. Spurred by tremendous technical advances in optical viewing systems, instrumentation for endoillumination, tools for differentiation of preretinal anatomical structures, and preservation of biological transparency, the view has never been so clear. Accordingly, vitreoretinal surgery has become increasingly safe, efficient, and effective, a trend that is likely to continue for years to come.

References

1. RG Michels, CP Wilkinson, TA Rice. "History of retinal detachment surgery." in Retinal detachment, edited by Michels, Wilkinson, Rice 243-324. St Louis: CV Mosby, 1990
2. Machemer R, Buettner H, Parel J-M: A new concept for vitreous surgery: 1. Instrumentation . Am J Ophthalmol 73:1-7, 1972.
3. Machemer R: A new concept for vitreous surgery: 2. Surgical technique and complications . Am J Ophthalmol 74:1022-1033, 1972.
4. Parel J-M, Machemer R, Aumayr W: A new concept for vitreous surgery: 4. Improvements in instrumentation and illumination. Am J Ophthalmol 77:6-12, 1974.
5. Machemer R, Norton EWD: Vitrectomy—A pars plana approach: II. Clinical experience . Bibl Ophthalmol 10:178-185, 1972.
6. Oshima Y. Choices of wide-angle viewing systems for modern vitreoretinal surgery. Retina Today. 2012;37-42.
7. Inoue M. Wide-angle viewing system. in Oh H, Oshima Y (eds): Microincision Vitrectomy Surgery. Emerging Techniques and Technology. Dev Ophthalmol. Basel, Karger, 2014, vol 54, pp 87-91
8. Spitznas M. A binocular indirect ophthalmomicroscope (BIOM) for non-contact wide-angle vitreous surgery. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 1987;225(1):13-5.
9. Chalam KV, Shah VA. Optics of wide-angle panoramic viewing system-assisted vitreous surgery. Surv Ophthalmol. 2004 Jul-Aug;49(4):437-45.
10. Spitznas M, Reiner J. A stereoscopic diagonal inverter (SDI) for wide-angle vitreous surgery. Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol. 1987;225(1):9-12.
11. Ohji M, Tano Y. "Instrumentation and Surgical Adjunctive Techniques for Macular Surgery." In Macular Surgery, 2nd ed. by Quiroz-Mercado H, Kerrison JB, Alfaro III DV, Mieler WF, Liggett PE. 132-141. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; 2011.
12. de Oliveira PR, Berger AR, Chow DR. Vitreoretinal instruments: vitrectomy cutters, endoillumination and wide-angle viewing systems. Int J Retina Vitreous. 2016 Dec 5;2:28.
13. Merchant K. Visualization in Vitrectomy: An Update. Retinal Physician, Volume: 11, Issue: Nov / Dec 2014, page(s): 38-42, 44
14. Charles S, Calzada J, Wood B. "Visualization and Illumination." in Vitreous Microsurgery, 5th ed. by Charles S, Calzada J, Wood B. 37-39. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2011.
15. Chihara T, Kita M. New type of antidrying lens for vitreous surgery with a noncontact wide-angle viewing system. Clin Ophthalmol. 2013;7:353-355.
16. Ohtsuki M, Inoue M, Uda S, Tada E, Hirakata A. Combining magnifying prismatic lens with wide-angle viewing system to enhance view of peripheral retina during vitreous surgery. Retina. 2012 Oct;32(9):1983-7.
17. Ohno H, Inoue K. An antidrying corneal contact lens for a noncontact wide-angle viewing system. Retina. 2011 Jul-Aug;31(7):1435-6.
18. Kita M, Fujii Y, Hama S. A new lens for observing fundus with a noncontact wide-angle viewing system. Clinical Ophthalmology, 2017;11:1239-1244.
19. Chow DR. The evolution of endoillumination. Dev Ophthalmol. 2014;54:77-86.
20. Coppola M, Cicinelli MV, Rabiolo A, Querques G, Bandello F. Importance of Light Filters in Modern Vitreoretinal Surgery: An Update of the Literature. Ophthalmic Res. 2017;58(4):189-193.
21. Meyers SM, Bonner RF: Yellow filter to decrease the risk of light damage to the retina during vitrectomy. Am J Ophthalmol 1982; 94:677.

22. Boscher C, Lebuissou DA, Lean JS, Nguyen-Khoa JL. Vitrectomy with endoscopy for management of retained lens fragments and/or posteriorly dislocated intraocular lens. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol*. 1998 Feb;236(2):115-21.
23. Lee GD, Goldberg RA, Heier JS. Endoscopy-assisted vitrectomy and membrane dissection of anterior proliferative vitreoretinopathy for chronic hypotony after previous retinal detachment repair. *Retina*. 2016 Jun;36(6):1058-63.
24. Marra KV, Yonekawa Y, Papakostas TD, Arroyo JG. Indications and techniques of endoscope assisted vitrectomy. *J Ophthalmic Vis Res*. 2013 Jul;8(3):282-90.
25. Freeman WR, Chen KC, Ho J, Chao DL, Ferreyra HA, Tripathi AB, Nudleman E, Bartsch DU. *Retina*. Resolution, depth of field, and physician satisfaction during digitally assisted vitreoretinal surgery. 2018 Jun 27. [Epub ahead of print]
26. Adam MK, Thornton S, Regillo CD, Park C, Ho AC, Hsu J: Minimal endoillumination levels and display luminous emittance during three-dimensional heads-up vitreoretinal surgery. *Retina*. 2017 Sep;37(9):1746-1749.
27. Eckardt C, Paulo EB: Heads-up surgery for vitreoretinal procedures: an experimental and clinical study. *Retina* 2016;36:137-147.
28. Tornambe PE. The Evolution of Macular Hole Surgery Twenty Years After Its Original Description. *American Journal of Ophthalmology*, 147(6), 954-956. 2009.
29. Grizzard WS, Tornambe PE. Indocyanine green used as a vital dye to assist in the identification and removal of surface retinal membranes (Abstract);133 *Proceedings of the 22nd Meeting of the Club Jules Gonin*. 2000
30. Kadonosono K, Itoh N, Uchio E, Nakamura S, Ono S. Staining of internal limiting membrane in macular hole surgery. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2000;118:1116-1118.
31. Burk SE, Da Mata AP, Snyder ME, Rosa RH, Jr, Foster RE. Indocyanine green-assisted peeling of the retinal internal limiting membrane. *Ophthalmology*. 2000;107:2010-2004.
32. Brooks HL Jr. Macular hole surgery with and without internal limiting membrane peeling. *Ophthalmology*. 2000 Oct;107(10):1939-48.
33. Da Mata AP, Burk SE, Riemann CD, et al. Indocyanine green-assisted peeling of the retinal internal limiting membrane during vitrectomy surgery for macular hole repair. *Ophthalmology*. 2001;108:1187-1192.
34. Stalmans P, Parys-Vanginderdeuren R, De Vos R, Feron EJ. ICG staining of the inner limiting membrane facilitates its removal during surgery for macular holes and puckers. *Bull Soc Belge Ophtalmol*. 2001;281:21-26.
35. Gandorfer A, Messmer EM, Ulbig MW, Kampik A. Indocyanine green selectively stains the internal limiting membrane. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 2001;131:387-388.
36. Farah ME, Rodrigues EB, MAia M, Wu L, Fernando Areval J. "Intraocular Dyes for Vitreoretinal Surgery." In *Macular Surgery*, 2nd ed. by Quiroz-Mercado H, Kerrison JB, Alfaro III DV, Mieler WF, Liggett PE. 255-258. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; 2011.
37. Jackson TL, Hillenkamp J, Knight BC, et al. Safety testing of indocyanine green and trypan blue using retinal pigment epithelium and glial cell cultures. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2004;45(8):2778-2785.
38. Awad D, Schrader I, Bartok M, Gabel D. Comparative toxicology of trypan blue, brilliant blue G, and their combination together with polyethylene glycol on human pigment epithelial cells. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci*. 2011;52(7):4085-4090.
39. Garcia-Valenzuela E, Abdelsalam A, Elliott D, et al. Reduced need for corneal epithelial debridement during vitreo-retinal surgery using two different viscous surface lubricants. *Am J Ophthalmol* 2003;136:1062-6.

40. Tonjum AM. Effects of benzalkonium chloride upon the corneal epithelium studied with scanning electron microscopy. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)* 1975;53:358-66.
41. Sullivan LJ, McCurrach F, Lee S, et al. Efficacy and safety of 0.3% carbomer gel compared to placebo in patients with moderate-to-severe dry eye syndrome. *Ophthalmology* 1997;104:1402-8.
42. Chen Y-A, Hirsenschall N, Findl O. Comparison of corneal wetting properties of viscous eye lubricant and balanced salt solution to maintain optical clarity during cataract surgery. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2011;37:1806-8. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2011.07.001
43. Giardini P, Hauranah N, Gatto C, et al. Tripolymeric Corneal Coating Gel Versus Balanced Salt Solution Irrigation During Cataract Surgery: A Retrospective Analysis. *Cornea* 2018;37:431-5. doi:10.1097/ICO.0000000000001480
44. Prinz A, Fennes C, Buehl W, et al. Efficacy of ophthalmic viscosurgical devices in maintaining corneal epithelial hydration and clarity: in vitro assessment. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 2012;38:2154-9. doi:10.1016/j.jcrs.2012.06.054
45. Arshinoff SA, Khoury E. HsS versus a balanced salt solution as a corneal wetting agent during routine cataract extraction and lens implantation. *J Cataract Refract Surg* 1997;23:1221-5.
46. Wessels IF, DeBarge R, Wessels DA. Salvaged Viscoelastic Reduces Irrigation Frequency During Cataract Surgery. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina* 1998;29:688-91. doi:10.3928/1542-8877-19980801-15.
47. Kwon SH, Shin JP, Kim IT, et al. Comparative study of corneal wetting agents during 25-gauge microincision vitrectomy surgery under a noncontact wide-angle viewing system. *Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging Retina* 2013;44:360-5. doi:10.3928/23258160-20130715-07.
48. Wong SC, Lee TC, Heier JS, Ho AC. Endoscopic vitrectomy. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol*. 2014 May;25(3):195-206.
49. Dong X, Wang W, Xie L, Chiu AM. Long-term outcome of combined penetrating keratoplasty and vitreoretinal surgery using temporary keratoprosthesis. *Eye (Lond)*. 2006 Jan;20(1):59-63
50. Landers MB 3rd, Foulks GN, Landers DM, Hickingbotham D, Hamilton RC. Temporary keratoprosthesis for use during pars plana vitrectomy. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1981 May;91(5):615-9.
51. Gelender H, Vaiser A, Snyder WB, Fuller DG, Hutton WL. Temporary keratoprosthesis for combined penetrating keratoplasty, pars plana vitrectomy, and repair of retinal detachment. *Ophthalmology*. 1988 Jul;95(7):897-901.
52. Gross JG, Feldman S, Freeman WR. Combined penetrating keratoplasty and vitreoretinal surgery with the Eckardt temporary keratoprosthesis. *Ophthalmic Surg*. 1990 Jan;21(1):67-71.
53. Garcia-Valenzuela E, Blair NP, Shapiro MJ, Gieser JP, Resnick KI, Solomon MJ, Sugar J. Outcome of vitreoretinal surgery and penetrating keratoplasty using temporary keratoprosthesis. *Retina*. 1999;19(5):424-9.
54. Schepens CL. Clinical and research aspects of subtotal open-sky vitrectomy. XXXVII Edward Jackson Memorial Lecture. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1981 Feb;91(2):143-71.
55. Vander JF, Greven CM, Maguire JI, Moreno RJ, Shakin EP, Lucier AC. Flurbiprofen sodium to prevent intraoperative miosis during vitreoretinal surgery. *Am J Ophthalmol*. 1989 Sep 15; 108(3):288-91
56. Mirshahi A, Djalilian A, Rafiee F, Namavari A. Topical administration of diclofenac (1%) in the prevention of miosis during vitrectomy. *Retina*. 2008; 28:1215-1220.

57. Jha R, Sur V, Bhattacharjee A, Ghosh T, Kumar V, Konar A, et al. Intracameral use of nepafenac: safety and efficacy study. *Curr Eye Res.* 2017;43:630-638.
58. Liou SW, Yang CY. The effect of intracameral adrenaline infusion on pupil size, pulse rate, and blood pressure during phacoemulsification. *J Ocul Pharmacol Ther.* 1998 Aug;14(4):357-61
59. de Araújo RB, Azevedo BMS, Andrade TS, Abalem MF, Monteiro MLR, Carricondo PC. Subconjunctival 0.1% epinephrine versus placebo in maintenance of mydriasis during vitrectomy: a randomized controlled trial. *Int J Retina Vitreous.* 2018;4:38
60. Kulshrestha MK, Rauz S, Goble RR, Stavarakas IA, Kirkby GR. The role of preoperative subconjunctival mydracaine and topical diclofenac sodium 0.1% in maintaining mydriasis during vitrectomy. *Retina (Philadelphia, Pa)* 2000;20:46-51
61. Chang D. F., Campbell J. R. Intraoperative floppy iris syndrome associated with tamsulosin. *Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery.* 2005;31(4):664-673.
62. Akman A, Yilmaz G, Oto S, Akova YA. Comparison of various pupil dilation methods for phacoemulsification in eyes with a small pupil secondary to pseudoexfoliation. *Ophthalmology*, 111 (2004), pp. 1693-1698
63. de Juan E Jr, Hickingbotham D. Flexible iris retractor. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1991; 111:776-777.
64. Kuhn F. (2016) Maintaining Good Visualization. In: *Vitreoretinal Surgery: Strategies and Tactics.* Springer, Cham
65. Malyugin BE. Recent advances in small pupil cataract surgery. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 2018 Jan;29(1):40-47
66. Rojas B, Zafirakis P, Foster CS. Review Cataract surgery in patients with uveitis. *Curr Opin Ophthalmol.* 1997 Feb; 8(1):6-12
67. Oh JH, Na J, Kim SW, Oh J, Huh K. Risk factors for posterior synechiae of the iris after 23-gauge phacovitrectomy. *Int J Ophthalmol.* 2014;7(5):843-9. Published 2014 Oct 18. doi:10.3980/j.issn.2222-3959.2014.05.19
68. Chan NS, Ti SE, Chee SP. Decision-making and management of uveitic cataract. *Indian J Ophthalmol.* 2017;65(12):1329-1339.
69. Singh MS, Casswell EJ, Boukouvala S, Petrou P, Charteris DG. Pars Plana Vitrectomy and Lensectomy for Ectopia Lentis With and Without the Induction of A Posterior Vitreous Detachment. *Retina.* 2018 Feb;38(2):325-330

