

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Comparing Two Types of Spatial Alignment During Elementary Engineering Instruction

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6740263s>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 36(36)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Applebaum, Lauren
Kalal, Gabriel
Spaepen, Elizabet
et al.

Publication Date

2014

Peer reviewed

Comparing Two Types of Spatial Alignment During Elementary Engineering Instruction

Lauren Applebaum
University of Chicago

Gabriel Kalal
University of Chicago

Elizabet Spaepen
The Center for Early Mathematics and Science Education

Dedre Gentner
Northwestern University

Susan Goldin-Meadow
University of Chicago

Susan Levine
University of Chicago

Abstract: We explore two types of spatial alignment, overlay and gesture, during an engineering lesson on bridge building. Spatial alignment via juxtaposition (Gentner et al., under review) or overlay (Applebaum et al., in prep) has been found to promote understanding triangular bracing in stable structures. Gestures tracing a triangle may also support learning this concept. We used a 2(Gesture, No Gesture) x 2(Overlay, No Overlay) design to teach children ages 6-9 about triangles in bridges. In Study 1, children learned regardless of condition, but they learned significantly less in the gesture conditions, which used a fast tracing gesture ($F(1, 16) = 1.62, p = .01; M(\text{improve_gesture}) = .30, SD = .38, M(\text{improve_no_gesture}) = .48, SD = .33$; alignment conditions: $F(1, 16) = .05, p > .1; M(\text{improve_alignment}) = .42, SD = .40, M(\text{improve_no_alignment}) = .38, SD = .34$). In Study 2, we presented videos with a slower, deliberate tracing gesture. Preliminary results suggest that gesture can facilitate learning by highlighting the relationship between the individual components shared by the triangle and the larger structure.