

# UC Davis

## UC Davis Previously Published Works

### Title

Genetic Activation, Inactivation, and Deletion Reveal a Limited And Nuanced Role for Somatostatin-Containing Basal Forebrain Neurons in Behavioral State Control.

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/66f761xs>

### Journal

The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience, 38(22)

### ISSN

0270-6474

### Authors

Anaclet, Christelle  
De Luca, Roberto  
Venner, Anne  
[et al.](#)

### Publication Date

2018-05-01

### DOI

10.1523/jneurosci.2955-17.2018

Peer reviewed

# Genetic Activation, Inactivation, and Deletion Reveal a Limited And Nuanced Role for Somatostatin-Containing Basal Forebrain Neurons in Behavioral State Control

Christelle Anaclet,<sup>1,2</sup>  Roberto De Luca,<sup>1</sup>  Anne Venner,<sup>1</sup>  Olga Malyshevskaya,<sup>3</sup>  Michael Lazarus,<sup>3</sup>  Elda Arrigoni,<sup>1\*</sup> and  Patrick M. Fuller<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Division of Sleep Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts 02115,

<sup>2</sup>Department of Neurobiology, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts 01605, and <sup>3</sup>International Institute for Integrative Sleep Medicine (WPI-IIIIS), University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8575, Japan

Recent studies have identified an especially important role for basal forebrain GABAergic (BF<sup>VGAT</sup>) neurons in the regulation of behavioral waking and fast cortical rhythms associated with cognition. However, BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons comprise several neurochemically and anatomically distinct subpopulations, including parvalbumin-containing BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons and somatostatin-containing BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons (BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons), and it was recently reported that optogenetic activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons increases the probability of a wakefulness to non-rapid-eye movement (NREM) sleep transition when stimulated during the rest period of the animal. This finding was unexpected given that most BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are not NREM sleep active and that central administration of the synthetic somatostatin analog, octreotide, suppresses NREM sleep or increases REM sleep. Here we used a combination of genetically driven chemogenetic and optogenetic activation, chemogenetic inhibition, and ablation approaches to further explore the *in vivo* role of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons in arousal control. Our findings indicate that acute activation or inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons is neither wakefulness nor NREM sleep promoting and is without significant effect on the EEG, and that chronic loss of these neurons is without effect on total 24 h sleep amounts, although a small but significant increase in waking was observed in the lesioned mice during the early active period. Our *in vitro* cell recordings further reveal electrophysiological heterogeneity in BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, specifically suggesting at least two distinct subpopulations. Together, our data support the more nuanced view that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are electrically heterogeneous and are not NREM sleep or wake promoting per se, but may exert, in particular during the early active period, a modest inhibitory influence on arousal circuitry.

**Key words:** AAV; arousal; diphtheria; DREADD; EEG; optogenetic

## Significance Statement

The cellular basal forebrain (BF) is a highly complex area of the brain that is implicated in a wide range of higher-level neurobiological processes, including regulating and maintaining normal levels of electrocortical and behavioral arousal. The respective *in vivo* roles of BF cell populations and their neurotransmitter systems in the regulation of electrocortical and behavioral arousal remains incompletely understood. Here we seek to define the neurobiological contribution of GABAergic somatostatin-containing BF neurons to arousal control. Understanding the respective contribution of BF cell populations to arousal control may provide critical insight into the pathogenesis of a host of neuropsychiatric and neurodegenerative disorders, including Alzheimer's disease, Parkinson's disease, schizophrenia, and the cognitive impairments of normal aging.

## Introduction

An important, if indispensable, role for the cellular basal forebrain (BF) in behavioral and electrocortical arousal has been pre-

viously established, in particular for BF GABAergic (BF<sup>VGAT</sup>) neurons (Buzsaki et al., 1988; Fuller et al., 2011; Anaclet et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). For example, acute che-

Received Oct. 13, 2017; revised March 26, 2018; accepted April 24, 2018.

Author contributions: C.A., R.D.L., A.V., E.A., and P.M.F. designed research; C.A., R.D.L., and A.V. performed research; O.M., M.L., E.A., and P.M.F. contributed unpublished reagents/analytic tools; C.A., R.D.L., A.V., E.A., and P.M.F. analyzed data; C.A., R.D.L., A.V., E.A., and P.M.F. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants K99-MH-103399 and R00-MH-103399 (C.A.); NS-073613, NS-092652, and NS-103161 (P.M.F.); and NS-091126 (E.A.). We thank Tilar Martin, Rebecca Broadhurst, Lauren Sohn, Minh Ha, and Quan Hue Ha for technical assistance.

\*E.A. and P.M.F. are co-senior authors.

Correspondence should be addressed to either of the following: Elda Arrigoni, Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, 330 Brookline Avenue, E/CLS 705, Boston, MA 02215, E-mail: earrigoni@bidmc.harvard.edu; or Patrick M. Fuller, Department of Neurology, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, 330 Brookline Avenue, E/CLS 707, Boston, MA 02215, E-mail: pfuller@bidmc.harvard.edu.

DOI:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2955-17.2018

Copyright © 2018 the authors 0270-6474/18/385168-14\$15.00/0

mogenetic and optogenetic activation of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons, including those containing the calcium binding protein parvalbumin (Parv<sup>+</sup>), rapidly induces behavioral waking and fast cortical rhythms associated with cognition (Anacleit et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015). By contrast, acute chemogenetic inhibition of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons during the early subjective night (active period) increases non-rapid-eye movement (NREM) sleep and cortical slow-wave activity (Anacleit et al., 2015). Hence, BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons appear to be both sufficient and necessary for normal behavioral and EEG wakefulness (W). However, the BF contains several neurochemically and anatomically distinct subpopulations of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons beyond Parv<sup>+</sup>, including a population of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons that contain the neuropeptide somatostatin (BF<sup>SOM</sup>; Yang et al., 2017). Interestingly, BF<sup>SOM</sup> and BF<sup>Parv</sup> neurons derive from the same embryonic progenitors, raising the possibility that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons may contribute to the wake-promoting and EEG-activating responses seen following the activation of BF<sup>Parv</sup> neurons (Hu et al., 2013). This possibility, however, seems unlikely given findings from a recent study that showed that optogenetic stimulation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons increased the probability of a wakefulness to NREM sleep transition, and not a NREM to wakefulness transition, during the normal rest period of the animal (Xu et al., 2015). Yet it remains a challenge to reconcile a NREM sleep-promoting role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons given that the majority of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are not NREM sleep active (Xu et al., 2015) and that the administration of orexin, a synthetic SOM analog, was found to either suppress NREM sleep or increase rapid-eye movement (REM) sleep in rats (Hajdu et al., 2003; Ziegenbein et al., 2004) and impairs deep sleep in humans (Ziegenbein et al., 2004). Moreover, a role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons in feeding and anxiety, both of which are waking-related behaviors, has been described recently (Zhu et al., 2017). Perhaps most importantly, key evidence for a sleep- or wake-promoting role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, taking the form of a loss of function (acute inhibition or chronic loss) experiments, is lacking.

Hence, and building upon on prior experimental work that established a key regulatory role for BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons in neurobehavioral and electrocortical arousal (Anacleit et al., 2015), we used a combination of genetically driven chemogenetic and optogenetic activation, chemogenetic inhibition, and ablation approaches to more definitively assess the *in vivo* role of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, as a genetically defined subgroup of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons (Yang et al., 2017), in sleep–wake regulation.

## Materials and Methods

**Animals.** Adult male and female *SOM-ires-Cre* mice (age, 8–12 weeks; weight, 20–25 g;  $n = 42$  *in vivo*;  $n = 11$  *in vitro*), obtained from The Jackson Laboratory, were used in this study. The *SOM-ires-Cre* (or *Sst-ires-Cre* knock-in allele) has an internal ribosome entry site and *Cre* recombinase in the 3' UTR of the somatostatin locus (*Sst*). These mice have been used and histologically validated in a previous study (Xu et al., 2015). All mice were bred at our animal facility and underwent genotyping both before and after experiments, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center.

**Surgery.** Mice were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (100 and 10 mg/kg, *i.p.*, respectively) and then placed in a stereotaxic apparatus. To selectively express the hM3Dq receptors in GABAergic somatostatin-expressing (SOM<sup>+</sup>) neurons of the BF (diagonal band, magnocellular preoptic nucleus, and ventral regions of the substantia innominata and ventral pallidum), we placed bilateral injections of an adeno-associated viral (AAV; serotype 10) vector expressing the hM3Dq receptor in a cre-dependent configuration [hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-AAV (hM3Dq-AAV)] into the BF [coordinates: anteroposterior (AP) = +0.02 mm; lateral

(L) = ±1.45 mm; dorsoventral (DV) = −4.80 mm (as per the mouse atlas of Paxinos and Franklin, 2001)] of homozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* (BF<sup>SOM-hM3Dq</sup>,  $n = 8$ ). To selectively express the hM4Di receptors in SOM<sup>+</sup> neurons of the magnocellular BF, we placed bilateral injections of an AAV (serotype 10) vector expressing the hM4Di receptor in a cre-dependent configuration [hSyn-DIO-hM4Di-mCherry-AAV (hM4Di-AAV)] into the BF of heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre, lox-L10GFP* (BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di</sup>,  $n = 5$ ) mice. To selectively kill SOM<sup>+</sup> neurons of the magnocellular BF, we placed bilateral injections of an AAV (serotype 10) vector expressing the diphtheria toxin subunit A (DTA) in a cre-dependent configuration [hSyn-DIO-DTA-mCherry-AAV (DTA-AAV)] into the BF of heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre, lox-L10GFP* (BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup>,  $n = 11$ ) mice. A vector injection control [hSyn-DIO-mCherry-AAV (mCherry-AAV)] was placed into the BF of littermate heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre, lox-L10GFP* mice (BF<sup>SOM-mCherry</sup>,  $n = 5$ ). Injections of hM3Dq-AAV, hM4Di-AAV, or DTA-AAV (60 nl) into the BF of these mice were performed using a compressed air delivery system as described previously (Fuller et al., 2011). The scalp wound was closed with surgical sutures, and the mouse was kept in a warm environment until resuming normal activity, as described previously. In a separate surgery taking place at least 2 weeks after brain injection, mice were implanted with four EEG screw electrodes (two frontal and two parietal electrodes; catalog #8403, Pinnacle Technology) and two flexible electromyogram (EMG) wire electrodes (catalog #E363/76/SPC, Plastics One) previously soldered to a six-pin connector (catalog #853-43-006-10-001000, Heiland Electronics), and the assembly was secured with dental cement (Anacleit et al., 2014). The frontal electrodes were positioned 1 mm frontal and 1 mm lateral of bregma, whereas the parietal electrodes were positioned 1 mm lateral from bregma and midway between bregma and lambda. For the *in vivo* optogenetic experiments, *SOM-ires-Cre* (BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup>,  $n = 11$ ) and *VGAT-ires-Cre* (BF<sup>VGAT-ChR2</sup>,  $n = 2$ ) mice were stereotaxically injected with 60 nl of AAV8-FLEX-EF1 $\alpha$ -channelrhodopsin-2 (ChR2)-mCherry [bilateral: (AP) = +0.02 mm; lateral (L) = ±1.45 mm; dorsoventral (DV) = −4.80 mm (as per the mouse atlas of Paxinos and Franklin, 2001)], and optical fibers [200  $\mu$ m, 0.39 numerical aperture (NA)] were implanted so that the fiber tip targeted a region 0.2 mm dorsal to the injection site (bilateral: (AP) = +0.02 mm; lateral (L) = ±1.45 mm; dorsoventral (DV) = −4.40 mm). Of the 11 BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup> mice, we excluded 4 mice, based upon histology, that showed caudal placement of fiber/injection. Our final analysis, therefore, includes 7 BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup> mice that had good bilateral placement of the optical fibers and ChR2 expression within the BF. All mice were equipped with a headset for recording EEG/EMG, as previously described for chemogenetic experiments. Optical fibers and headset were secured in place first with a mixture of dental cement and cyanoacrylate glue to affix the hardware to the skull and second with dental cement alone to build a stable headcap and provide electrical insulation for the EEG/EMG headset.

**Sleep–wake monitoring—chemogenetics.** Two weeks after EEG/EMG implantation, the mice were housed individually in transparent barrels in an insulated sound-proofed recording chamber maintained at an ambient temperature of 22 ± 1°C and on a 12 h light/dark cycle [lights on at 7:00 A.M.; or zeitgeber time 0 (ZT0)] with food and water available *ad libitum*. Mice were habituated to the recording cable for 5–7 d before starting a polygraphic recording. Cortical EEG (ipsilateral frontoparietal leads) and EMG signals were amplified and digitalized with a resolution of 500 Hz using VitalRecorder software (Kissei). BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> and BF<sup>SOM-mCherry</sup> control mice were subjected to 48 h of baseline recording. (BF<sup>SOM-hM3Dq</sup> and BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di</sup> mice were recorded for a 24 h baseline period followed by injections of clozapine-*N*-oxide (CNO; 0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg in saline, *i.p.*; Sigma-Aldrich) injections at 10:00 A.M. (or ZT3; light period, time of high sleeping drive) and 7:00 P.M. (or ZT12; lights-off, time of high activity). As control injections, mice were injected with saline at 10:00 A.M. and 7:00 P.M. CNO and saline injections were performed in a random sequence and separated by a 3–5 d washout period. The injections were performed using a crossover design. Data were scored off-line using SleepSign for Animals software (Kissei).

**Sleep–wake monitoring—optogenetics.** At least 2 weeks following optical fiber/EEG implant surgeries, mice were habituated to the recording chamber and recording equipment (electrical cables plus bilateral optical patch cables; 200  $\mu$ m, 0.39 NA) for 5 d before commencing the experi-

ment. A 473 nm blue light (LASERGLOW Technologies) was used to activate ChR2 in BF neurons with an estimated power output at the tip of the optical fiber of 12–15 mW. The stimulation paradigm consisted of 10 ms light pulses, delivered at a frequency of 2.5 or 10 Hz continuously, for 1 min every 10 min for a 3 h window during the light period (between 9:00 A.M. and 3:00 P.M.). Stimulations were controlled using the digital output of a Micro 1401–3 data acquisition unit and Spike version 2.08 software (Cambridge Electronic Design). Mice were given 1 d of recovery between each stimulation frequency. The electrical signal from the EEG/EMG headset was amplified by 20,000 using an AM Systems Model 3500 amplifier and digitized at 500 Hz using the Micro 1401–3 unit. Data were scored off-line using Spike version 2.08 software.

**hM3Dq/hM4Di-AAV and clozapine-N-oxide.** For the *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies, we used evolved G-protein-coupled muscarinic receptors (hM3Dq and hM4Di) that are selectively activated by the pharmacologically inert drug CNO. This system was first developed and described by the Roth laboratory (Alexander et al., 2009). In our studies, cre-dependent versions of the hM3Dq and hM4Di receptors were packaged into an AAV to facilitate the stereotaxic-based delivery and regionally restricted expression of hM3Dq and hM4Di. As demonstrated previously by our laboratory (Anacleit et al., 2014, 2015, 2018; Venner et al., 2016; Pedersen et al., 2017) and others, both the hM3Dq/hM4Di receptors and ligand are biologically inert in the absence of ligand. Moreover, at the administered dose of 0.3 mg/kg, CNO injection (1) does not affect sleep–wake quantity or quality in wild-type control mice and (2) induces a maximum effect during the 1–3 h postinjection period (Anacleit et al., 2014, 2018). We are therefore confident that the sleep–wake effects described in our studies result from specific activation or inhibition of the targeted neuronal population and not from a nonspecific effect of CNO or its metabolite clozapine (Gomez et al., 2017).

**Sleep scoring and analysis.** Using SleepSign for Animal (Kissei) and with the assistance of spectral analysis using fast Fourier transform (FFT), polygraphic records were visually scored by 10 s epochs for W, NREM sleep, and REM sleep. The percentage of time spent in W, NREM sleep, and REM sleep, as well as the number and the average durations of the episode were summarized for each group and each condition. The latency to NREM sleep and REM sleep are defined as the time between the end of the injection and the onset of the first NREM sleep episode lasting >20 s and the first REM sleep episode lasting >10 s.

Recordings were scored again in 5 s epochs to allow for the performance of an EEG power spectrum analysis, during the 3 h postinjection, when the effect of CNO is at its maximum (Anacleit et al., 2014, 2015). On the basis of visual and spectral analysis, epochs containing artifacts occurring during active wakefulness (with large movements) or containing two vigilance states were visually identified and omitted from the spectral analysis. Recordings containing wake artifact during >20% of the time were removed from the spectral analysis. EEG power spectra were computed for consecutive 5 s epochs within the frequency range of 0.5–120 Hz using an FFT routine. The data were collapsed into 0.5 Hz bins. The data were standardized by either expressing each frequency bin as a percentage relative to the total power (e.g., bin power/total power: 0.5/120 Hz) of the same epochs; or expressing each frequency bin as a percentage relative to the same bin in baseline condition from the same mouse and from the same time of the day (same zeitgeber time). To analyze the EEG frequency bands, relative power bins were summed in delta ( $\delta$ , 0.5–5 Hz), theta ( $\theta$ , 5–9 Hz), sigma ( $\sigma$ , 9–15 Hz), beta ( $\beta$ , 15–30 Hz), low gamma ( $\gamma$ , 30–60 Hz), and high gamma ( $\gamma$ , 60–120 Hz).

The individuals performing the saline/CNO injections and sleep–wake analysis were blinded to the initial immunohistochemical assessment of the injection sites.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software). Following confirmation that the data met the assumptions of the ANOVA model, a two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test were used to compare the effects of the genotype or the drug injection on sleep–wake parameters. One-way ANOVA was used to analyze the latency to NREM sleep or REM sleep. Sample size and power calculations were performed *post hoc* at <http://www.biostat.math.info>, using means and SDs derived from our analysis. The present study was sufficiently powered to detect effect sizes.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Animals received CNO (0.3 mg/kg, i.p., at 10:00 A.M.) and were killed 2 h later by deep anesthesia with 200 mg/kg chloral hydrate, followed by transcardial perfusion with 20 ml of saline, followed by 100 ml of neutral phosphate-buffered formalin (4%; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Brains were removed, incubated in 20% sucrose at 4°C until they sank, and then sectioned at 40  $\mu$ m on a freezing microtome in three series. For all c-Fos and DsRed immunohistochemical staining that involved visualization using a diaminobenzidine reaction, the sections were incubated overnight with primary antiserum (c-Fos, 1:20,000; DsRed, 1:10,000) and then incubated in the respective secondary antibodies for 2 h, followed by incubation in ABC reagents (1:1000; Vector Laboratories) for 90 min, then washed again and incubated in a 0.06% solution of 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (Sigma-Aldrich) or 0.06% solution of 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride and 0.05% CoCl<sub>2</sub> and 0.01% NiSO<sub>4</sub> (NH<sub>4</sub>) in PBS plus 0.02% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> for 5 min. Finally, the sections were mounted on slides, dehydrated, cleared, and coverslipped. Sections for immunofluorescence staining were incubated in fluorescently-labeled secondary antibody (Ab) for 2 h and coverslipped with DAPI-infused secondary mounting media.


**Antibody characterization.** The rabbit polyclonal Fos antibody was raised against a synthetic peptide including residues 4–17 from human c-Fos (rabbit polyclonal Ab; catalog #Ab5, Oncogene Sciences; note that this Ab is no longer commercially available). This antibody stained a single band of 55 kDa on Western blots from rat brain (technical information from manufacturer). c-Fos staining with the Ab5 antiserum is found in many CNS structures only when neurons within these structures have recently been physiologically stimulated.

The rabbit polyclonal antibody against mCherry was raised against DsRed (catalog #632496, Clontech), and the specificity of immunostaining for DsRed was indicated by the lack of detectable immunostaining in uninjected mice.

For all secondary antibody immunohistochemical controls, the primary antibodies were omitted and the tissue showed no immunoreactivity above background. Secondary antibodies included the following: donkey anti-rabbit Alexa Fluor 546 (1:500; Invitrogen) and donkey anti-rabbit biotinylated (1:500; Invitrogen).

**Packaging of DIO-hM3Dq and DIO-hM4D AAVs.** We used the pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM3D-mCherry and pAAV-hSyn-DIO-hM4D-mCherry plasmids (gift from B. Roth, UNC Chapel Hill, North Carolina) to provide the Cre-dependent hM3D transgene for packaging into AAV2/10. Packaging was performed using a standard triple-transfection protocol to generate helper virus-free pseudotyped AAV2/10 virus (Anacleit et al., 2015). An AAV 2/10 rep/cap plasmid provided AAV2 replicase and AAV10 capsid functions (Gao et al., 2002; De et al., 2006), while adenoviral helper functions were supplied by the pHelper plasmid (Stratagene). Briefly, AAV-293 cells were transfected via calcium phosphate precipitation with 1.33 pmol pHelper, and 1.15 pmol each of AAV2/10 and an AAV vector plasmid (double floxed). The cells were harvested 72 h later, and the pellets were resuspended in DMEM, freeze-thawed three times and centrifuged to produce a clarified viral lysate. The vector stocks were titered by real-time PCR using an Eppendorf Realplex machine as previously described (Gao et al., 2002). The titer of the preparations ranged from  $\sim 1 \times 10^{12}$  to  $1 \times 10^{13}$  vector genomes copies/ml. Before initiating the *in vivo* experiments, an absolute requirement for Cre-enabled expression of hM3Dq and hM4Di was confirmed *in vitro* using 293 cre cells.

**Packaging of DTA-AAV.** Drs. P. Fuller (BIDMC), O. Malyshevskaya and M. Lazarus (Tsukuba University) developed a new and enhanced version of our previously published DTA (Kaur et al., 2013), which was used in the current study. For the pAAV-FLEX-DTA plasmid, a FLEX-DTA cassette that consists of (5' to 3') a lox2272 site, an mCherry coding sequence, a human growth hormone polyadenylation signal sequence, a loxP site, an inverted DTA sequence, and inverted lox2272 and loxP sites was synthesized by Eurofins Genomics K.K. and inserted between the ClaI and BamHI restriction sites in a pAAV-MCS vector (Stratagene). In this design, mCherry was expressed in all non-cre-recombinase cells within the injection field, thereby allowing us to ascertain (1) the anatomic extent of the injection and (2) “survival” of the non-cre-recombinase cells intermingled with the cre-recombinase cells targeted



**Figure 1.** Evidence that BF<sup>SOM</sup> hM3Dq<sup>+</sup> and hM4Di<sup>+</sup> neurons are excited and inhibited, respectively, by CNO in *in vitro* brain slices, experimental design, and BF<sup>SOM</sup> cell distribution. Injections of DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-AAV, DIO-hM4Di-mCherry-AAV, or DIO-mCherry-AAV were placed into the BF of *SOM-ires-Cre* mice, resulting respectively in the expression of hM3Dq-mCherry, hM4Di-mCherry, or mCherry in BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. Whole-cell recordings in brain slices were conducted 5–6 weeks after the AAV injections. **A**, Microphotographs showing the distribution of the recorded neurons labeled with biocytin. After incubation in fluorescent streptavidin, 13 of 29 recorded neurons were recovered, mapped, and represented (red dots, indicating BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons with LTS,  $n = 7$ ; green dots representing non-LTS BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons,  $n = 6$ ) over the images of two recorded slices (rostral level, top image; caudal level, lower image). Scale bar, 1 mm. Ac, Anterior commissure; 3V, third ventricle; Ox, optical chiasm; VP, ventral pallidum; SI, substantia innominata; MCPO, magnocellular preoptic nucleus; HDB, horizontal diagonal band. **B**, **C**, Firing properties of two distinct types of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons: one group of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons responds to depolarizing (left) and hyperpolarizing (right) current pulse protocols with LTS (**B**), and the other group has no LTS (**C**). The vast majority of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons have an  $I_h$ . **D**, **E**, hM3Dq-mCherry-expressing BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (top) visualized under IR-DIC during whole-cell recordings (bottom); (*Figure legend continues.*)

by DTA. See Figure 5B for construct (CMV- $\beta$ -globin-DIO-mCherry-DTA-hGH pA) details.

AAV of serotype rh10 for AAV-FLEX-DTA were generated by tripartite transfection (AAV-*rep2/caph10* expression plasmid, adenovirus helper plasmid, and pAAV plasmid) into 293A cells. After 3 d, the 293A cells were resuspended in artificial CSF (ACSF), freeze thawed four times, and treated with Benzonase nuclease (Millipore) to degrade all forms of nonviral DNA and RNA. Subsequently, the cell debris were removed by centrifugation, and the virus titer in the supernatant was determined with an AAVpro Titration Kit for Real Time PCR (Takara).

**Whole-cell *in vitro* experiments.** Bilateral injections (120 nl) of DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-AAV, DIO-hM4Di-mCherry-AAV or DIO-mCherry-AAV were placed into the BF of SOM-Cre mice ( $n = 11$  mice). Five to 6 weeks after AAV injections, the mice were used for *in vitro* electrophysiological recordings. Mice were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane (inhalation; 5% in oxygen) and transcardially perfused with ice-cold cutting ACSF [N-methyl-D-glucamine (NMDG)-based solution] containing the following (in mM): 100 NMDG, 2.5 KCl, 1.24 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 30 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 25 glucose, 20 HEPES, 2 thiourea, 5 Na-L-ascorbate, 3 Na-pyruvate, 0.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, and 10 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.3, with HCl when carbogenated with 95% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> (310–320 mOsm). Coronal slices (250  $\mu$ m thickness) were cut in ice-cold cutting ACSF using a vibrating microtome (VT1200S, Leica). Slices were first kept in cutting ACSF at 37°C for 5 min and then transferred in normal ACSF at 37°C. Slices were then allowed to gradually return to room temperature for an hour. Normal ACSF contained the following (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 glucose, 26 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 1.24 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 4 CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2 thiourea, 1 Na-L-ascorbate, and 3 Na-pyruvate, pH 7.4 when carbogenated with 95% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> (310–320 mOsm).

Slices containing the BF were recorded in oxygenated (95% O<sub>2</sub>, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>) normal ACSF at room temperature. Recordings were conducted in whole-cell configuration and in current-clamp mode using a K-gluconate-based pipette solution containing the following (in mM): 120 K-Gluconate, 10 KCl, 3 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 10 HEPES, 2.5 K-ATP, and 0.5 Na-GTP, pH 7.2 adjusted with KOH (280 mOsm). Biocytin (0.5%) was added to the pipette solution to fill and mark the recorded neurons. Recordings were conducted using a MultiClamp 700B Amplifier, a Digidata 1440A Digitizer Interface, and pClamp version 10 software (Molecular Devices). Neurons showing changes in input resistance >10% over time were excluded from the analysis. BF mCherry-labeled somatostatin neurons were visualized through a combination of fluorescence and infrared (IR)-differential interference contrast (DIC) video microscopy using a fixed-stage upright microscope (BX51WI, Olympus America) equipped with a Nomarski water-immersion lens (40 $\times$ /0.8 NAW, Olympus) and IR-sensitive CCD camera (ORCA-ER, Hamamatsu). Images were acquired in real time using a Matlab (MathWorks) script.

Recording data were analyzed using Clampfit version 10 (Molecular Devices). Firing frequencies and membrane potentials were analyzed using MiniAnalysis (Synaptosoft) and Matlab (MathWorks). Data were represented as the mean  $\pm$  SEM;  $n$  indicates number of cells per group.

←

(Figure legend continued.) scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m) showed an increase in firing frequency in response to the bath application of CNO (1  $\mu$ M; dotted lines, 0 mV). **F**, Averaged response on the firing frequency of hM3Dq-expressing BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons ( $n = 6$ ) to 10 min application of CNO (0.5–1  $\mu$ M). **G**, Confocal images of a recorded BF<sup>SOM</sup> neuron (filled with biocytin and labeled in blue; top) that expresses hM3Dq-mCherry (native fluorescence; bottom; scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m). **H**, **I**, hM4Di-mCherry expressing BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (top) visualized under IR-DIC (bottom; scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m) reduced their firing frequency in response to CNO (1  $\mu$ M; dotted lines, 0 mV). **J**, Averaged response of hM4Di-expressing BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons to CNO (0.5–1  $\mu$ M;  $n = 6$ ). **K**, Confocal image of a recorded BF<sup>SOM</sup> neuron (top, biocytin labeled in blue; bottom, mCherry native fluorescence; scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m). **L–N**, Non-hM3Dq or non-hM4Di expressing BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, recorded from SOM-Cre mice injected with DIO-mCherry-AAV, control injections (top: mCherry; bottom: IR-DIC; scale bars: 20  $\mu$ m) did not respond to CNO (1  $\mu$ M; dotted lines, 0 mV;  $n = 6$ ). **O**, Confocal images of a recorded BF<sup>SOM</sup> neuron (top, biocytin labeled in blue; bottom, mCherry native fluorescence; scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m). **P**, Experimental design for the chemogenetic-based *in vivo* experiments. **Q**, Coronal section from a *Som-ires-cre*, *lox-GFP10* reporter cross (box shows targeted region of BF; scale bar, 1 mm).

Group means were compared using paired *t* tests. The effects of CNO on firing frequency and membrane potential were analyzed by comparing 5 min of recordings just before CNO applications (control period) and during the last 5 min of a 10 min CNO application. Figures were generated using Photoshop (Adobe), Igor Pro version 6 (WaveMetrics) and Prism 7 (GraphPad).

Immediately following the *in vitro* recordings, recorded slices were fixed in 10% buffered formalin (overnight), then washed and incubated in streptavidin-conjugated Alexa Fluor 405 (1:500, 24 h; Invitrogen). Images were acquired using a confocal microscope (LSM 5 Pascal, Zeiss) and a slide scanner (VS120, Olympus).


## Results

### *In vitro* BF<sup>SOM</sup> activation and inhibition

Whole-cell current-clamp recordings in the BF revealed two populations of somatostatin-expressing (BF<sup>SOM</sup>) neurons with distinct firing properties (Fig. 1A–C). One population had large low-threshold spikes (LTSs) that supported burst firing when neurons were depolarized from hyperpolarized potentials (17 of 29 recorded neurons; Fig. 1B), while the second population showed tonic firing and no LTSs (12 of 29 recorded neurons; Fig. 1C). The hyperpolarization-activated cation current ( $I_h$ ) was present in the majority of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (25 of 29 neurons). Both subtypes of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons were capable of expressing hM3Dq (BF<sup>SOM-hM3Dq-mCherry</sup>) or hM4Di (BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di-mCherry</sup>) receptors following injections of DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry-AAV and DIO-hM4Di-mCherry-AAV in *SOM-ires-Cre* mice and responded to bath application of CNO (0.5–1  $\mu$ M). We tested the response to CNO in 18 BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. CNO increased the firing frequency of BF<sup>SOM-hM3Dq-mCherry</sup> neurons (control, 0.47  $\pm$  0.25 Hz; CNO, 1.81  $\pm$  0.66 Hz;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.0284$ , paired *t* test; Fig. 1D–G), and this effect was accompanied by a membrane depolarization (control,  $-44.31 \pm 2.02$  mV; CNO,  $-39.26 \pm 1.10$  mV;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.0018$ , paired *t* test). Both firing frequency and membrane potential returned to control levels after 15 min of CNO washout. CNO decreased the firing frequency of BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di-mCherry</sup> neurons (control: 0.31  $\pm$  0.12 Hz; CNO: 0.04  $\pm$  0.02 Hz;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.0199$ , paired *t* test; Fig. 1H–K) and hyperpolarized their membrane potential (control,  $-45.52 \pm 1.00$  mV; CNO,  $-49.61 \pm 0.90$  mV;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.0001$ , paired *t* test). Both effects were reversed after 15 min washout. CNO had no effect on BF<sup>SOM-mCherry</sup> neurons that lacked hM3Dq or hM4Di receptors and only expressed mCherry following injections of DIO-mCherry-AAV (control injections; Fig. 1L–O). No changes of firing frequency (control, 0.19  $\pm$  0.08 Hz; CNO, 0.21  $\pm$  0.10 Hz;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.1873$ , paired *t* test) or in membrane potential (control,  $-40.94 \pm 1.97$  mV; CNO,  $-40.90 \pm 2.14$  mV;  $n = 6$ ;  $p = 0.4645$ , paired *t* test) in response to CNO (1  $\mu$ M) were observed in BF<sup>SOM-mCherry</sup> neurons, confirming that CNO-mediated activation or inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons occurs only in neurons expressing hM3Dq and hM4Di receptors.

### *In vivo* BF<sup>SOM</sup> chemogenetic activation

Following bilateral injections of hM3Dq-AAV, transduced somata (hM3Dq<sup>+</sup>) were consistently observed in the horizontal limb of the diagonal band, magnocellular preoptic area, and substantia innominata and spanned, rostrally caudally, from bregma +0.26 to  $-0.82$  (Figs. 1P, Q, 2A). As we have shown previously (Anacleit et al., 2014), the administration of CNO (the hM3Dq ligand; 0.3 mg/kg, i.p.) consistently produced robust c-Fos expression in hM3Dq<sup>+</sup> neurons (Fig. 2B). To test the putative sleep-promoting action of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons *in vivo*, BF<sup>SOM-hM3Dq</sup> mice ( $n = 8$ ) received injection of CNO (0.3 or 0.9 mg/kg) at the beginning of the dark period, a time of high waking drive in mouse



**Figure 2.** Absence of sleep–wake changes following chemogenetic activation of BF<sup>50M</sup> neurons. **A, B**, Photomicrographs showing transfection of BF<sup>50M</sup> neurons (**A**) and their robust activation (**B**) following CNO *in vivo* (green arrows indicate hM3Dq+ cells expressing c-Fos). **C1–F3**, Sleep–wake phenotypes following injection of vehicle and CNO (0.3 mg/kg and 0.9 mg/kg) in BF<sup>50M</sup>-hM3Dq mice. **C**, Hourly amount ( $\pm$  SEM) of the vigilance stages and sleep latencies in BF<sup>50M</sup>-hM3Dq mouse group ( $n = 8$  mice). **D**, Power spectrum changes ( $\pm$  SEM) over baseline during the 3 h postinjection period for vehicle injection compared with the 3 h postinjection period for CNO (0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg) administration and the quantitative changes ( $\pm$  SEM) in power for the delta ( $\delta$ , 0.5–5 Hz), theta ( $\theta$ , 5–9 Hz), sigma ( $\sigma$ , 9–15 Hz), beta ( $\beta$ , 15–30 Hz), low-gamma ( $\gamma$ , 30–60 Hz), and high-gamma ( $\gamma$ , 60–120 Hz) frequency bands ( $n = 8$  mice). **E, F**, Number of episodes ( $\pm$  SEM) of wakefulness (W), NREM sleep, or REM sleep in each bout length (**E**) and time-weighted frequency histograms (**F**) showing the proportion ( $\pm$  SEM) of wakefulness, (Figure legend continues.)

(Fig. 2C). CNO dosage had no effect on NREM sleep (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1.89,13.22)} = 0.32$ ,  $p = 0.72$ ) or REM sleep (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1.26,8.84)} = 0.45$ ,  $p = 0.56$ ) latency, suggesting that the activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons does not affect sleep onset. CNO dosage had no effect on the hourly amount of NREM sleep (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,14)} = 0.83$ ,  $p = 0.45$ ) or REM sleep (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,14)} = 1.43$ ,  $p = 0.27$ ) amount, suggesting that the activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons does not affect sleep behavior. Cortical EEG power spectral analysis during the 3 h postinjection confirmed that the CNO dosage did not affect frequency distribution during the three vigilance stages (Fig. 2D), indicating no major influence of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons on cortical activity. Power was, however, reduced during NREM sleep following CNO administration (low CNO dose,  $88.5 \pm 1.8\%$ ; high CNO dose,  $89.2 \pm 2.2\%$  vs  $97.0 \pm 2.3\%$  of baseline sigma power during NREM sleep;  $p = 0.0008$  and  $0.002$ , respectively, Bonferroni's multiple-comparisons test), suggesting a possible decrease in spindle activity during NREM sleep. Sleep architecture during the 3 h postinjection bout number and duration was not affected by CNO dosage (Fig 2E,F), and CNO administration (0.3 mg/kg, i.p.) during the light period did not affect NREM sleep ( $F_{(23,161)} = 1.36$ ,  $p = 0.14$ ) or REM sleep ( $F_{(23,161)} = 1.10$ ,  $p = 0.35$ ) hourly distribution, indicating a very modest influence of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons on sleep–wake regulation. Our findings therefore fail to confirm a wake- or sleep-promoting role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons *in vivo* and, moreover, are inconsistent with those from a prior optogenetic study suggesting that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are acutely sleep promoting *in vivo* (Xu et al., 2015).

### *In vivo* BF<sup>SOM</sup> chemogenetic inhibition

Similar to the activation experiments, acute inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons had limited effects on sleep–wake quantity or quality in BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di</sup> mice ( $n = 5$ ; Fig. 3). Specifically, the administration of CNO (0.3 or 0.9 mg/kg, i.p.) was without effect on NREM sleep (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1.32,5.28)} = 0.27$ ,  $p = 0.69$ ) or REM sleep (one-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1.06,4.23)} = 0.39$ ,  $p = 0.58$ ) latency (Fig. 3A2–A3), suggesting that inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons does not affect sleep onset. CNO dosage was also without effect on the hourly amount of wakefulness (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(2,8)} = 3.76$ ,  $p = 0.07$ ), suggesting that inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons did not affect waking behavior (Fig. 3A1). Cortical EEG power spectral analysis during the 3 h postinjection period confirmed that CNO dosage did not affect frequency bands during wakefulness (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(10,30)} = 1.60$ ,  $p = 0.15$ ; Fig. 3B1), indicating that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are not necessary for cortical activation. The higher dose of CNO (0.9 mg/kg) did, however, decrease sigma, beta, and low gamma power band compared with both control and low CNO (0.3 mg/kg) injections during NREM sleep (Fig. 3B2), indicative of a decrease of spindle and fast activity during NREM sleep. Sleep architecture during the 3 h postinjection, bout number, and duration, was not affected by CNO dosage (Fig. 3C,D) confirming no major influence of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons on sleep–wake phenotype. These results suggest that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are not necessary for sleep onset and maintenance, although the spectral results sug-

gest that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons may facilitate the “dampening down” of cortical activity during sleep.

### *In vivo* BF<sup>SOM</sup> optogenetic activation

As chemogenetic activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons was ineffective at inducing changes in wakefulness or sleep, we next sought to determine whether activation of this population using an optogenetic strategy could induce a behavioral state transition. BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup> mice ( $n = 7$ ; Fig. 4A–B2) received blue light pulses delivered through the optical fiber at frequencies close to the native firing frequency of these neurons *in vivo* (based upon the study by Xu et al., 2015; 10 ms pulses delivered at 2.5 Hz for 1 min; Figure 4C1) and at a previously investigated stimulus frequency (of 10 ms pulses delivered at 10 Hz for 1 min; Figure 4C2; Xu et al., 2015) once every 10 min for 3 h during the light period (between 9:00 A.M. and 3:00 P.M.). Consistent with our chemogenetic findings, optogenetic activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons did not increase the probability of a transition to NREM (or REM) sleep at either stimulation frequency tested. As a positive control for this stimulation, we similarly bilaterally stimulated BF<sup>VGAT-ChR2</sup> neurons ( $n = 2$  mice; Fig. 4D), which induced a robust increase in wakefulness at both 2.5 and 10 Hz. We therefore conclude that the activation of SOM-containing neurons within the BF (confirmed using two complementary yet distinct approaches) does not acutely drive sleep or wakefulness in mice.


### BF<sup>SOM</sup> genetically targeted chronic ablation

To test the sleep–wake effect of the chronic loss of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, we placed bilateral injections of AAV-DTA into the BF of *SOM-ires-cre,lox-L10GFP* mice (BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup>,  $n = 11$ ) to selectively and chronically ablate BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (Fig. 5A,B). Histological analysis of the tissue revealed a >90% bilateral reduction in BF<sup>SOM</sup> cells (GFP<sup>+</sup>) across the anatomic BF (Fig. 5C–F). With respect to the physiology of these mice, the chronic loss of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup>) resulted in cycles of sleep and wakefulness that were comparable to control mice (BF<sup>SOM-mCherry</sup>,  $n = 5$ ; same genotype as BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice; bilateral injection of AAV-mCherry for viral vector injection control) in the baseline condition, with minor exceptions. The 24 h wakefulness distribution was not affected by the loss of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1,14)} = 1.16$ ,  $p = 0.69$ ; Figure 5G1), and, similar to control mice, BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice were more awake during the dark period compared with the light period (light–dark interaction: two-way ANOVA,  $F_{(1,14)} = 153.3$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 5H1). NREM sleep and REM sleep displayed opposite variations (Fig. 5G2,G3,H2,H3). However, wakefulness was significantly increased during the first 4 h of the dark period, a time of high waking drive in the mouse ( $87.3 \pm 2.6\%$  vs  $73.1 \pm 5.2\%$  of wakefulness in control mice;  $p = 0.015$ , unpaired  $t$  test,  $t = 2.76$ ,  $df = 14$ ; Figure 5H1). The wakefulness amount increases during the first 4 h of the dark period were at the expense of both NREM sleep (Fig. 5H2) and REM sleep (Fig. 5H3). The increased wakefulness during the early active period in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice resulted from a significant decrease of the short wakefulness epoch (<30 s:  $3.3 \pm 0.9$  vs  $7.8 \pm 1.5$  episodes in control mice;  $p < 0.0001$ , Bonferroni's adjustment for multiple comparisons; Fig. 6A1) and a significant increase of the percentage of wakefulness from long wakefulness epochs (>40 min:  $88.0 \pm 3.2\%$  vs  $45.3 \pm 14.7\%$  of wakefulness in control mice;  $p < 0.0001$ , Bonferroni's adjustment for multiple comparisons; Fig. 6B1). At the same time, the number of medium-length NREM sleep episodes (1 min < NREM sleep epoch < 10 min; Fig. 6A2) was significantly decreased in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice. The REM sleep epoch number was also affected (two-way


←

(Figure legend continued.) NREM sleep, or REM sleep amounts in each bout length to the total amount of wakefulness, NREM sleep, or REM sleep during the 3 h postinjection period for vehicle injection compared with the 3 h postinjection period for CNO (0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg) administration ( $n = 8$ ). Light green star  $p < 0.05$  between CNO 0.3 mg/kg and control injection; dark green star  $p < 0.05$  between CNO 0.9 mg/kg and control injection, two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test. Scale bars: A, 400  $\mu$ m; A inset, 100  $\mu$ m; B, 70  $\mu$ m.





**Figure 3.** Absence of sleep–wake changes following chemogenetic inhibition of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. Sleep–wake phenotypes following the injection of vehicle and CNO (0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg) in BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di</sup> mice. **A**, Hourly amount of wakefulness (W; A1), NREM sleep (NREMS; A2) and REM sleep (REMS; A3) and sleep latencies in BF<sup>SOM-hM4Di</sup> mouse group ( $n = 5$  mice). **B**, Power spectrum changes ( $\pm$ SEM) over baseline during the 3 h postinjection period for vehicle injection compared with the 3 h postinjection period for CNO (0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg) administration and the quantitative changes ( $\pm$ SEM) in power for the delta ( $\delta$ , 0.5–5 Hz), theta ( $\theta$ , 5–9 Hz), sigma ( $\sigma$ , 9–15 Hz), beta ( $\beta$ , 15–30 Hz), low-gamma ( $\gamma$ , 30–60 Hz), and high-gamma ( $h\gamma$ , 60–120 Hz) frequency bands ( $n = 4$  mice). **C1–C3, D1–D3**, Number of episodes ( $\pm$ SEM) of W, NREMS, or REMS in each bout length (**C**) and in time-weighted frequency histograms (**D**) showing the proportion ( $\pm$ SEM) of W, NREMS, or REMS amounts in each bout length to the total amount of wakefulness, NREM sleep, or REM sleep during the 3 h postinjection period for vehicle injection compared with the 3 h postinjection period for CNO (0.3 and 0.9 mg/kg) administration ( $n = 5$ ). \* $p < 0.05$  between CNO 0.9 mg/kg and control injection, two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test.



**Figure 4.** Absence of sleep–wake changes following optogenetic activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. **A**, Schematic showing experimental setup. **B1**, **B2**, Histological verification of ChR2–mCherry-expressing neurons within the BF (red), together with optical fiber placement (\*) in both a BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup> (**B1**) and BF<sup>VGAT-ChR2</sup> (**B2**) mouse. Scale bar, 200  $\mu$ m. **C1**, **C2**, Arousal state probability plots from BF<sup>SOM-ChR2</sup> mice showing wakefulness (blue), NREM sleep (purple), and REM sleep (red) over time as a percentage of the total number of trials over all mice ( $n = 7$ ) before, during, and after blue light stimulation (light blue box) at either 2.5 Hz (**C1**) or 10 Hz (**C2**). **D1**, **D2**, Arousal state probability plots from BF<sup>VGAT-ChR2</sup> mice showing wakefulness (blue), NREM sleep (purple), and REM sleep (red) over time as a percentage of the total number of trials over all mice ( $n = 2$ ) before, during, and after blue light stimulation (light blue box) at either 2.5 Hz (**D1**) or 10 Hz (**D2**).

ANOVA,  $F_{(1,112)} = 9.25$ ,  $p = 0.0029$ ), and the numbers of REM sleep episode lasting 1–2.5 min were significantly decreased ( $0.9 \pm 0.3$  vs  $2.0 \pm 0.5$  episodes in control mice;  $p < 0.05$ , Bonferroni's adjustment for multiple comparisons; Fig. 6A3).

Power spectral analysis revealed a similar power distribution in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice compared with control mice, during the beginning of the dark period, indicating that the increase in wakefulness amount was not associated with the EEG power change. During the light period, delta band power was decreased during the waking state ( $26.7 \pm 0.8\%$  vs  $28.5 \pm 1.0\%$  of total power in control mice;  $p < 0.05$ , Bonferroni's adjustment for multiple comparisons). At the same time, REM sleep theta power was significantly increased ( $44.5 \pm 1.3\%$  vs  $42.5 \pm 1.6\%$  of total power in control mice;  $p < 0.05$ , Bonferroni's adjustment for multiple comparisons; Fig. 6C–D).

Thus, beyond a decrease in cortical delta power during wakefulness and an increase in theta power during REM sleep, cortical EEG delta power was not significantly changed during NREM sleep in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> mice, indicating that these neurons are not involved in NREM sleep homeostatic control.


### Genotype comparisons

As previous studies using this same *SOM-ires-Cre* mouse line have not, to our knowledge, evaluated potential sleep–wake phenotypic differences between heterozygous and homozygous *SOM-ires-cre* mice, we decided to compare baseline sleep–wake and EEG between homozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* and heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* (i.e., *SOM-ires-Cre,lox-L10GFP*) mice. To enable a more direct comparison with our chemogenetic and optogenetic work, we used homozygous and heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* mice with bilateral injections of AAVs targeting BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (hM3Dq-AAV and hM4Di-AAV or mCherry-AAV, respectively). Baseline recordings (i.e., in the absence of CNO) revealed that


homozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* mice exhibited significantly more NREM sleep, at the expense of wakefulness (Fig. 7B1), during the light period than heterozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* mice ( $62.2 \pm 1.6\%$  vs  $54.5 \pm 1.2\%$  of the light period;  $p < 0.01$ ) and was associated with an increase in NREM sleep delta power ( $42.9 \pm 0.7\%$  vs  $40.7 \pm 1.3\%$  of total power;  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 7E2), suggesting elevated sleep pressure in the homozygous condition. Interestingly, REM sleep amount was significantly decreased during the dark period ( $3.0 \pm 0.3\%$  vs  $4.5 \pm 0.3\%$  of the dark period,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 7D1) in homozygous *SOM-ires-Cre* mice. Given that *SOM-ires-Cre* mice were obtained as homozygous breeders, the introduction of another strain (*lox-L10GFP*) was necessary to generate the heterozygous condition, and this could account in part or fully for the noted differences. Regardless, the possibility of a hypomorphic allele cannot be definitively ruled out in the homozygous condition and hence interpretative caution using this mouse line in the homozygous state is warranted.

### Discussion

Results from our targeted activation (chemogenetic and optogenetic) and inhibition (chemogenetic) studies reveal that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons, presumably comprising both electrophysiologically identified subpopulations (Fig. 1), are neither sufficient nor necessary to appreciably and acutely alter the levels of behavioral or EEG wake or sleep. By contrast, our genetically driven deletion studies suggest that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons may influence the magnitude of waking as well as total REM sleep time during the early active period. Our data also suggest, but do not confirm, that the *SOM-ires-Cre* allele may be hypomorphic, biasing increased sleep in the homozygous condition. Together with previous work showing that optogenetic activation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons during the inactive period (ZT4 to ZT8) increased the probability of a wake–NREM sleep transition, and that only a minority of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons ( $\sim 22\%$  of




**Figure 5.** Sleep–wake quantitative changes following selective ablation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. **A**, Schematic showing experimental design. **B**, Cartoon of construct expressing the cellular toxin DTA in a cre-dependent configuration (NB mCherry is expressed in transfected cre-negative cells). **C**, SOM<sup>+</sup> neurons of the BF of the SOM-ires-cre, lox-L10GFP mouse; red neurons show extent of cellular transfection and label surviving cells. **D**, Injection of DTA-AAV into BF of SOM-ires-cre, lox-L10GFP mouse; red neurons show extent of cellular transfection and label surviving cells. **E**, A corresponding section from the same mouse shown in **D** showing a nearly complete loss of SOM (green) cells following DTA-driven ablation. **F**, the dorsally situated lateral septum, which contains a large number of SOM<sup>+</sup> cells, was unaffected by the DTA-AAV targeting the BF (same mouse as **E**; scale bar **C–E**, 200 μm; **F**, 400 μm). **G**, Hourly amount (± SEM) of wakefulness (W; **G1**), NREM sleep (NREMS; **G2**) and REM sleep (REMS; **G3**) in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> and control mouse groups (n = 11 and 5 mice, respectively). **H**, Amount (± SEM) of the vigilance stages during the first 4 h of the dark period (19–23 stages), during the light, dark, and 24 h periods in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> and control mouse groups (n = 11 and 5 mice, respectively). \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.01, two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test (hourly amounts and light/dark analysis) or paired *t* test (19–23 and 24 h).



**Figure 6.** Sleep–wake qualitative changes following selective ablation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons. **A, B**, Number of episodes ( $\pm$  SEM) of wakefulness (W; **A1, B1**), NREM sleep (NREMS; **A2, B2**), or REM sleep (REMS; **A3, B3**) in each bout length (**A**) and time-weighted frequency histograms (**B**) showing the proportion ( $\pm$  SEM) of W, NREMS, or REMS amounts in each bout length to the total amount of W, NREMS, or REMS during the first 4 h of the dark period (19–23), during the light, dark, and 24 h periods in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> and control mouse groups ( $n = 11$  and 5 mice, respectively). \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ , two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test. **C, D**, Power spectrum ( $\pm$  SEM) over total power during the dark period (19–01; **C**) and the light period (10–13; **D**) and the power band ( $\pm$  SEM) for the delta ( $\delta$ , 0.5–5 Hz), theta ( $\theta$ , 5–9 Hz), sigma ( $\sigma$ , 9–15 Hz), beta ( $\beta$ , 15–30 Hz), low-gamma ( $l\gamma$ , 30–60 Hz), and high-gamma ( $h\gamma$ , 60–120 Hz) frequency bands in BF<sup>SOM-DTA</sup> and control mouse groups ( $n = 8$  and 5 mice, respectively). \*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ , two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test.

those recorded) were NREM active (Xu et al., 2015), we would propose a more nuanced and time-of-day-dependent role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons in behavioral state control. Specifically, our data suggest that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons are not NREM sleep-, REM sleep-, or

wake-promoting per se, but may exert, in particular during the early active period, a modest inhibitory influence on arousal circuitry, including possibly on local wake-promoting cells within the BF. While it is unclear what the functional significance of this



**Figure 7.** SOM-IRES-cre: a hypomorphic allele? **A**, Comparison of hourly amounts ( $\pm$  SEM) of baseline wakefulness (W; **A1**), NREM sleep (NREMS; **A2**) and REM sleep (REMS; **A3**) in BF <sup>SOMcre/cre</sup> (homozygous for the Cre allele,  $n = 11$  mice) and BF <sup>SOMcre/wt</sup> (heterozygous for the Cre allele,  $n = 10$  mice) mouse groups. **B1, C1, D1**, Amount ( $\pm$  SEM) of the vigilance stages during the light, dark, and 24 h baseline periods in BF <sup>SOMcre/cre</sup> compared with BF <sup>SOMcre/wt</sup> mouse groups ( $n = 11$  and 10 mice, respectively). \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\* $p < 0.01$ , two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test (hourly amounts and light/dark analysis) or paired *t* test (24 h). **B2, C2, D2**, Number of episode ( $\pm$  SEM) of wakefulness (W), NREM sleep (NREMS), or REM sleep (REMS) in each bout length during the light and 24 h periods in BF <sup>SOMcre/cre</sup> compared with BF <sup>SOMcre/wt</sup> mouse groups ( $n = 11$  and 10 mice, respectively). \*\*\* $p < 0.01$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ , two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test. **E1, E2 and E3**, Power spectrum ( $\pm$  SEM) over total power during the dark period (19–01) and power band ( $\pm$  SEM) for the delta ( $\delta$ , 0.5–5 Hz), theta ( $\theta$ , 5–9 Hz), sigma ( $\sigma$ , 9–15 Hz), beta ( $\beta$ , 15–30 Hz), low-gamma ( $\gamma$ , 30–60 Hz), and high-gamma ( $\gamma$ , 60–120 Hz) frequency bands, in BF <sup>SOMcre/cre</sup> compared with BF <sup>SOMcre/wt</sup> mouse groups ( $n = 8$  and 9 mice, respectively). \* $p < 0.05$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$ , two-way ANOVA followed by a *post hoc* Bonferroni test.

“dampening” effect of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons on active period waking levels might be, one possibility is that they may help fine tune the level of behavioral arousal, likely via modulation of neighboring BF cholinergic and GABAergic neurons, in accordance with situational-specific cognitive demands. To this end, BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons directly inhibit, via the release of GABA, BF wake-promoting cells, including cholinergic cells (Xu et al., 2015), the activity of which is strongly linked to many cognitive processes (Gielow and Zaborszky, 2017). The time of day of this influence on wakefulness levels observed in our study would also suggest that the operative population of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons is wake active, and not sleep active.

**The cellular BF and wakefulness**

Pharmacologic, lesion, stimulation, chemogenetic, and optogenetic studies have established a key role for the BF in electrocor-

tical and behavioral arousal. For example, direct stimulation of the BF has pronounced activating effects on the cortical EEG (Berridge and Foote, 1996; Cape and Jones, 2000), whereas lesions of the BF increase EEG delta activity, reduce wakefulness, or result in low-amplitude EEG and behavioral unresponsiveness (Buzsaki et al., 1988; Fuller et al., 2011; Kaur et al., 2013). Recent studies, including from our laboratory, have attempted to genetically parse the contribution of individual BF cell populations, including cholinergic, glutamatergic, and GABAergic cell groups, in these processes (Anacle et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2017). For example, optogenetic studies of the cholinergic BF cell group have indicated that these cell groups (1) promotes both wakefulness and REM sleep or (2) just wakefulness. In some contrast, two chemogenetic-based studies showed that acute activation of BF cholinergic neurons led to fragmentation

of the sleep state and suppressed lower-frequency EEG components during NREM sleep, but was not wake-promoting per se (Anacleit et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2016). With respect to the glutamatergic BF cell group, it was recently reported that optogenetic stimulation of glutamatergic BF neurons potently drove wakefulness from NREM sleep (Xu et al., 2015). Once again in some contrast, chemogenetic activation of this same cell group had no effect on sleep latency or consolidation and produced no changes in the EMG or EEG fast frequencies, but did result in a small decrease in EEG delta power during NREM sleep, indicating a contribution to cortical desynchronization (Anacleit et al., 2015). Finally, investigations of the GABAergic BF cell population have revealed, consistently, strong high-frequency EEG- and wake-promoting responses (Anacleit et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015). In the first of the optogenetic-based studies, the authors found that stimulation of parvalbumin-containing GABAergic BF (BF<sup>Parv</sup>) neurons elicited cortical gamma band oscillations (GBOs; ~40 Hz activity), linking their activation to higher cognitive function (Kim et al., 2015). In a second published optogenetic study, the authors found that optogenetic stimulation of BF<sup>Parv</sup> neurons elicits waking, but not GBO (Xu et al., 2015). Finally, in a contemporaneous chemogenetic study we found that acute activation of GABAergic BF neurons (BF<sup>VGAT</sup>) neurons potently drove wakefulness as well as high-gamma (60–120 Hz) EEG activity (Anacleit et al., 2015). We further showed that acute inhibition of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons during the early dark period, a typical time of maximal wakefulness in the mouse, resulted in a significant decrease in behavioral wakefulness, establishing the necessity of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons for wakefulness maintenance (Anacleit et al., 2015). These rather monolithic waking/EEG activating responses seen following the activation of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> neurons belie the functional and anatomic complexity of the GABAergic BF cell population, namely the existence of wake-, REM-, and NREM sleep-active subgroups as well as subgroups that contain different calcium-binding proteins, such as parvalbumin, calbindin-D28k, and calretinin, or other markers, including the SOM cell group investigated in the present study (Yang et al., 2017).

### The cellular BF and sleep

Older literature has suggested that the BF may contain, in addition to wake-promoting cell populations, NREM sleep-promoting circuitry. For example, the BF contains sleep-active cells (Hassani et al., 2009; Sakai, 2011; Xu et al., 2015), and lesions placed into the BF of the cat have been reported to increase EEG and behavioral waking, presumably secondary to the loss of NREM sleep-promoting neurons (McGinty and Serman, 1968; Szymusiak and McGinty, 1986). As indicated, a recent optogenetic study revealed a NREM sleep-promoting role for BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons (Xu et al., 2015), suggesting the cellular basis for these wake-promoting lesions. This finding is, however, difficult to reconcile with the outcomes from our activation, inhibition, and lesion experiments, which were inconsistent with BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons promoting NREM sleep per se. In fact, the results of our chemogenetic studies, which used the same vector system and construct as used in our previous studies to activate BF<sup>VGAT</sup> (Anacleit et al., 2015), lateral hypothalamic VGAT<sup>+</sup> (Venner et al., 2016), and supramammillary (Pedersen et al., 2017) or parafacial VGAT<sup>+</sup> (Anacleit et al., 2014, 2018) neurons (potentially driving wakefulness or sleep), were acutely underwhelming in this regard. While these disparate outcomes could link to some unrecognized technical difference, we feel that a critical reassessment of the articles using lesions cited in support of the concept that the BF contains NREM sleep-promoting circuitry may be particularly revealing. Specifically, a review of the lesion maps shown in these articles suggest that the lesions themselves may have included, in part or

entirety, the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus (VLPO). The VLPO comprises a major NREM sleep-promoting cell population within the preoptic forebrain (Sherin et al., 1996; Lu et al., 2000), and in the cat the VLPO is located laterally adjacent to the BF (Gaus et al., 2002), and hence, far more lateral from the midline than in the rodent. At the time that these lesion studies were published the VLPO had not yet been identified, and as such the authors of the articles had no a priori reason to assume that the lesions might have encroached upon this NREM sleep-promoting cell group. Hence, and until shown otherwise, we feel that a more parsimonious explanation for the increased wakefulness observed in the BF-lesioned cats is unintended ablation of the NREM sleep-promoting VLPO, and not disruption/ablation of a resident NREM sleep-promoting cell group (but see limitations below).

### Limitations

Two technical points must be considered when interpreting our data. First, in light of the revealed electrophysiological heterogeneity in the present study and the variable state-dependent activity of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons demonstrated by another group (Xu et al., 2015), it is possible, if not likely, that different subpopulations of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons subserve different aspects of behavioral state control. As these putative subsets of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons have not, to our knowledge, been molecularly defined, the ability to selectively target BF<sup>SOM</sup> subpopulations is constrained. Hence, the changes observed in our measured response variables reflect presumptive concurrent manipulation of all BF<sup>SOM</sup> subpopulations, and we therefore cannot rule out the possibility that, for example, the manipulation of one subpopulation may antagonize the activity of the other subpopulations. What is clear from our experimental work is that the influence of BF<sup>SOM</sup> on wakefulness, NREM sleep, and REM sleep, both in the acute and chronic conditions, are modest across interrogations and likely dependent on time of day.

The second consideration is that while the *SOM-ires-Cre* was designed as a 3' knockin, our comparison of the heterozygous versus homozygous condition suggests that it may be a hypomorphic allele. While we could not definitely conclude this on the basis of our comparison, which included the introduction of a different strain of mouse, we did find that homozygous *SOM-cre* mice exhibited slightly more NREM sleep during the light period and across the 24 h day than heterozygous *SOM-cre* mice. The increase in NREM sleep in the homozygous condition was largely attributable to an increase in the number of 2.5–5 min NREM sleep episodes. Thus, on the one hand, the increases in total NREM sleep and 2.5–5 min NREM sleep episodes would actually suggest, in the context of a hypomorphic allele, that *SOM-cre* neurons are modestly wakefulness promoting, and not sleep promoting. On the other hand, our acute activation and inhibition studies would suggest that the modulation of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons is not sufficient to appreciably or acutely alter sleep or wakefulness, whereas our ablation studies suggest that BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons may exert a modest inhibitory influence on waking during the early active period, an effect that is likely mediated by GABA release since this effect on waking was not observed in the nonablated, homozygous mice in which GABA production and release is unlikely affected. Additionally, our *in vitro* data, together with previous optrode data (Xu et al., 2015), suggest considerable heterogeneity in the BF<sup>SOM</sup> population, which would be consistent with the diversity of modest influences that these neurons appear to exert on behavioral states.

## Conclusions

Our experimental outcomes suggest a modest and time-of-day-dependent influence of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons on behavioral state and are generally inconsistent with the idea that this cell population is NREM sleep promoting, as was previously proposed. Together with previous work on the cellular BF, the findings from the present study not only emphasize strong functional heterogeneity across BF<sup>VGAT</sup> subgroups, but also point to BF<sup>Parv</sup> neurons as being uniquely wake promoting among the subgroups of BF<sup>VGAT</sup> cell populations thus far tested. Also, our lesion findings in particular suggest the interesting possibility that impairment of BF<sup>SOM</sup> neurons may contribute, at least in part, to hyperarousal phenotypes (e.g., hyperarousal of post-traumatic stress disorder). Future studies interrogating other BF<sup>VGAT</sup> subgroups as well as defining the circuit basis by which they regulate behavioral states are eagerly awaited.

## References

- Alexander GM, Rogan SC, Abbas AI, Armbruster BN, Pei Y, Allen JA, Nonneman RJ, Hartmann J, Moy SS, Nicoletti MA, McNamara JO, Roth BL (2009) Remote control of neuronal activity in transgenic mice expressing evolved G protein-coupled receptors. *Neuron* 63:27–39. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Anacleit C, Ferrari L, Arrigoni E, Bass CE, Saper CB, Lu J, Fuller PM (2014) The GABAergic parafacial zone is a medullary slow wave sleep-promoting center. *Nat Neurosci* 17:1217–1224. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Anacleit C, Pedersen NP, Ferrari LL, Venner A, Bass CE, Arrigoni E, Fuller PM (2015) Basal forebrain control of wakefulness and cortical rhythms. *Nat Commun* 6:8744. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Anacleit C, Griffith K, Fuller PM (2018) Activation of the GABAergic parafacial zone maintains sleep and counteracts the wake-promoting action of the psychostimulants armodafinil and caffeine. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 43:415–425. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Berridge CW, Foote SL (1996) Enhancement of behavioral and electroencephalographic indices of waking following stimulation of noradrenergic beta-receptors within the medial septal region of the basal forebrain. *J Neurosci* 16:6999–7009. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Buzsaki G, Bickford RG, Ponomareff G, Thal LJ, Mandel R, Gage FH (1988) Nucleus basalis and thalamic control of neocortical activity in the freely moving rat. *J Neurosci* 8:4007–4026. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Cape EG, Jones BE (2000) Effects of glutamate agonist versus procaine microinjections into the basal forebrain cholinergic cell area upon gamma and theta EEG activity and sleep-wake state. *Eur J Neurosci* 12:2166–2184. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Chen L, Yin D, Wang TX, Guo W, Dong H, Xu Q, Luo YJ, Cherasse Y, Lazarus M, Qiu ZL, Lu J, Qu WM, Huang ZL (2016) Basal forebrain cholinergic neurons primarily contribute to inhibition of electroencephalogram delta activity, rather than inducing behavioral wakefulness in mice. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 41:2133–2146. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- De BP, Heguy A, Hackett NR, Ferris B, Leopold PL, Lee J, Pierre L, Gao G, Wilson JM, Crystal RG (2006) High levels of persistent expression of alpha1-antitrypsin mediated by the nonhuman primate serotype rh.10 adeno-associated virus despite preexisting immunity to common human adeno-associated viruses. *Mol Ther* 13:67–76. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Fuller PM, Sherman D, Pedersen NP, Saper CB, Lu J (2011) Reassessment of the structural basis of the ascending arousal system. *J Comp Neurol* 519:933–956. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Gao GP, Alvira MR, Wang L, Calcedo R, Johnston J, Wilson JM (2002) Novel adeno-associated viruses from rhesus monkeys as vectors for human gene therapy. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99:11854–11859. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Gaus SE, Strecker RE, Tate BA, Parker RA, Saper CB (2002) Ventrolateral preoptic nucleus contains sleep-active, galaninergic neurons in multiple mammalian species. *Neuroscience* 115:285–294. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Gielow MR, Zaborszky L (2017) The input-output relationship of the cholinergic basal forebrain. *Cell Rep* 18:1817–1830. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Gomez JL, Bonaventura J, Lesniak W, Mathews WB, Syya-Shah P, Rodriguez LA, Ellis RJ, Richie CT, Harvey BK, Dannals RF, Pomper MG, Bonci A, Michaelides M (2017) Chemogenetics revealed: DREADD occupancy and activation via converted clozapine. *Science* 357:503–507. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hajdu I, Szentirmai E, Obal F Jr, Krueger JM (2003) Different brain structures mediate drinking and sleep suppression elicited by the somatostatin analog, octreotide, in rats. *Brain Res* 994:115–123. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hassani OK, Lee MG, Henny P, Jones BE (2009) Discharge profiles of identified GABAergic in comparison to cholinergic and putative glutamatergic basal forebrain neurons across the sleep-wake cycle. *J Neurosci* 29:11828–11840. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Hu H, Cavendish JZ, Agmon A (2013) Not all that glitters is gold: off-target recombination in the somatostatin-IRES-cre mouse line labels a subset of fast-spiking interneurons. *Front Neural Circuits* 7:195. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kaur S, Pedersen NP, Yokota S, Hur EE, Fuller PM, Lazarus M, Chamberlin NL, Saper CB (2013) Glutamatergic signaling from the parabrachial nucleus plays a critical role in hypercapnic arousal. *J Neurosci* 33:7627–7640. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kim T, Thankachan S, McKenna JT, McNally JM, Yang C, Choi JH, Chen L, Kocsis B, Deisseroth K, Strecker RE, Basheer R, Brown RE, McCarley RW (2015) Cortically projecting basal forebrain parvalbumin neurons regulate cortical gamma band oscillations. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 112:3535–3540. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Lu J, Greco MA, Shiromani P, Saper CB (2000) Effect of lesions of the ventrolateral preoptic nucleus on NREM and REM sleep. *J Neurosci* 20:3830–3842. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- McGinty DJ, Serman MB (1968) Sleep suppression after basal forebrain lesions in the cat. *Science* 160:1253–1255. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Paxinos GT, Franklin K (2001) *The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates*, Ed 2. San Diego, CA: Academic.
- Pedersen NP, Ferrari L, Venner A, Wang JL, Abbott SGB, Vujovic N, Arrigoni E, Saper CB, Fuller PM (2017) Supramammillary glutamate neurons are a key node of the arousal system. *Nat Commun* 8:1405. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Sakai K (2011) Sleep-waking discharge profiles of median preoptic and surrounding neurons in mice. *Neuroscience* 182:144–161. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Sherin JE, Shiromani PJ, McCarley RW, Saper CB (1996) Activation of ventrolateral preoptic neurons during sleep. *Science* 271:216–219. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Szymusiak R, McGinty D (1986) Sleep suppression following kainic acid-induced lesions of the basal forebrain. *Exp Neurol* 94:598–614. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Venner A, Anacleit C, Broadhurst RY, Saper CB, Fuller PM (2016) A novel population of wake-promoting GABAergic neurons in the ventral lateral hypothalamus. *Curr Biol* 26:2137–2143. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Xu M, Chung S, Zhang S, Zhong P, Ma C, Chang WC, Weissbourd B, Sakai N, Luo L, Nishino S, Dan Y (2015) Basal forebrain circuit for sleep-wake control. *Nat Neurosci* 18:1641–1647. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Yang C, Thankachan S, McCarley RW, Brown RE (2017) The menagerie of the basal forebrain: how many (neural) species are there, what do they look like, how do they behave and who talks to whom? *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 44:159–166. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Zhu C, Yao Y, Xiong Y, Cheng M, Chen J, Zhao R, Liao F, Shi R, Song S (2017) Somatostatin neurons in the basal forebrain promote high-calorie food intake. *Cell Rep* 20:112–123. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Ziegenbein M, Held K, Kuenzel HE, Murck H, Antonijevic IA, Steiger A (2004) The somatostatin analogue octreotide impairs sleep and decreases EEG sigma power in young male subjects. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 29:146–151. [CrossRef Medline](#)