UCLA

Proceedings of UCLA Health

Title

Importance of Complete Testing of Multicentric Breast Cancers

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/65q1f78h

Journal

Proceedings of UCLA Health, 21(1)

Authors

Masterson, Michael D. Kaul, Anita Cohen, Melissa J.

Publication Date

2017-11-30

CLINICAL VIGNETTE

Importance of Complete Testing of Multicentric Breast Cancers

Michael D. Masterson, MD, Anita Kaul, MD and Melissa J. Cohen, MD

Case Report

A 73-year-old woman discovered a mass in her right breast. Mammogram confirmed the presence of a 1.7 centimeter (cm) mass in the 6 o'clock position as well an additional 2.5 cm mass at 2 o'clock. Core biopsies of both lesions were consistent with a moderately differentiated infiltrating ductal carcinoma; ER was >90% and PR>90% and HER2neu negative by FISH on the 2 o'clock lesion; these tests were not performed on the 6 o'clock cancer. Physical examination revealed palpable axillary lymphadenopathy and PET scan for staging purposes revealed an SUV of 11.2 and 12.2 in the breast masses as well as multiple PET-avid axillary, supraclavicular, and mediastinal enlarged nodes.

Because of the presence of metastatic disease additional ER, PR and HER2 status were requested on the additional breast lesion. Immunohistochemistry revealed ER and PR were similarly >90% but HER2 was 2+ by IHC and amplified with a ratio of 4.7 by FISH.

She was treated with docetaxel, trastuzumab and pertuzumab (THP) for 6 cycles and had resolution of her palpable lymphadenopathy in addition to her mediastinal nodes. Since chemotherapy, she has been continued on dual targeted therapy with trastuzumab and pertuzumab and anastrazole was added. Three and one half years later, she remains in complete remission on maintenance trastuzumab, pertuzumab and anastrazole.

Discussion

The detection of multiple simultaneous foci of breast cancer has been described for decades.¹ Simultaneous ipsilateral invasive breast cancers can be described as both multicentric and multifocal. Multifocal (MF) breast cancers are defined when there is more than one distinct tumor within the same quadrant of the breast while multicentric cancers develop in *different* quadrants of the breast.^{2,3} The real incidence of multiple simultaneous cancers is unknown and seems to vary widely from 13-75% depending upon many factors.^{4,5} Due to the increased utilization and advances in preoperative imaging, the incidence of MF/MC breast carcinoma is rising.⁶ The prognostic significance of MC/MF disease is not clear at this time. Although multiple foci of cancer correlates with a higher disease burden, current evidence recommends staging according to the largest single invasive focus. The current American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) guidelines for synchronous multiple ipsilateral

breast cancer recommend that the 'T' stage to be based upon the diameter of the largest tumor focus with multiplicity ('m') in parentheses.⁷ The College of American Pathologist (CAP) guidelines suggest testing and reporting biological parameters (ER,PR,ki-67,HER2) on the largest tumor focus when multiple simultaneous carcinomas are identified whereas additional tumor foci are only reported and assessed when they differ morphologically from the larger tumor.⁸

Whether the development of multiple synchronous cancers in the same breast can be explained by the spreading of a single primary tumor or, alternatively due to multiple carcinomas arising simultaneously is a matter of debate. Because the management of breast cancer relies on not only the stage of breast cancer at diagnosis but also on the molecular phenotype; knowing hormone receptor and her-2 status of individual tumor foci in multiple synchronous cancers can have clinical implications. In a study from a single institution, Chou et al. found discordant HER2 results in 8/172 (5%) ipsilateral synchronous breast cancer. Similarly, Choi and colleagues found HER2 discordance in 6% of their 110 cases when evaluating simultaneous invasive cancers. Discordant HER2 status was significantly associated with ER discordance but not correlated with difference in grade, histology, or PR status.^{9,10} Buggi et al. analyzed 113 cases of multifocal carcinomas with histological features. Mismatches among tumor foci were present up to 18% of cases. Such discrepancies led to the alteration of adjuvant therapy in 12% of the cases. 11 Boros et al. also examined 155 multiple breast carcinomas and 463 foci were examined. In their subset, they found mismatches in up to 29% of cases. Nineteen patients (12%) may have been deprived of adequate oncological therapy if only the largest tumor were assessed. 12

Conclusion

Our case demonstrates the importance of recognizing the potential heterogeneity in HER2 status among foci of multicentric/multifocal breast carcinomas. In this scenario, following the CAP guidelines and not testing the second focus due to identical grade and subtype of breast cancer would have led us to omit HER2 directed therapy and potentially contributed to a worse outcome.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Qualheim RE, Gall EA**. Breast carcinoma with multiple sites of origin. *Cancer*. 1957 May-Jun;10(3):460-8. Pub-Med PMID: 13460939.
- Lagios MD, Westdahl PR, Rose MR. The concept and implications of multicentricity in breast carcinoma. *Pathol Annu*. 1981;16(Pt 2):83-102. Review. PubMed PMID: 6276850.
- 3. Bendifallah S, Werkoff G, Borie-Moutafoff C, Antoine M, Chopier J, Gligorov J, Uzan S, Coutant C, Rouzier R. Multiple synchronous (multifocal and multicentric) breast cancer: clinical implications. Surg Oncol. 2010 Dec; 19(4):e115-23. doi: 10.1016/j.suronc.2010.06.001. Epub 2010 Jul 8. Review. PubMed PMID: 20615686.
- 4. **Gallager HS, Martin JE**. The study of mammary carcinoma by mammography and whole organ sectioning. Early observations. *Cancer*. 1969 Apr;23(4):855-73. Pub Med PMID: 5775976.
- Coombs NJ, Boyages J. Multifocal and multicentric breast cancer: does each focus matter? *J Clin Oncol*. 2005 Oct 20;23(30):7497-502. Erratum in: *J Clin Oncol*. 2006 Apr 1;24(10):1648. PubMed PMID: 16234516.
- 6. Houssami N, Ciatto S, Macaskill P, Lord SJ, Warren RM, Dixon JM, Irwig L. Accuracy and surgical impact of magnetic resonance imaging in breast cancer staging: systematic review and meta-analysis in detection of multifocal and multicentric cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2008 Jul 1;26(19):3248-58. doi:10.1200/JCO.2007.15.2108. Epub 2008 May 12. Review. PubMed PMID: 18474876.
- 7. **American Joint Committee on Cancer**. Breast. In: *AJCC Cancer Staging Manual*, 7th ed. New York: Springer; 2010: 347–369.
- 8. **cap.org College of American Pathologists 2016**. Invasive Breast Cancer 3.3.0.0 p 18,22,23. Accessed on November 28, 2017.
- 9: Chou S, Khan T, Mahajan H, Pathmanathan N. Predicting discordant HER2 results in ipsilateral synchronous invasive breast carcinomas: experience from a single institution. *Pathology*. 2015 Dec;47(7):637-40. doi: 10.1097/PAT.0000000000000326. PubMed PMID: 26517643.
- 10. Choi Y, Kim EJ, Seol H, Lee HE, Jang MJ, Kim SM, Kim JH, Kim SW, Choe G, Park SY. The hormone receptor, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2, and molecular subtype status of individual tumor foci in multifocal/multicentric invasive ductal carcinoma of breast. *Hum Pathol*. 2012 Jan;43(1):48-55. doi:10.1016/j.humpath.2010.08.026. Epub 2011 Jul 5. PubMed PMID: 21733550.
- 11. **Buggi F, Folli S, Curcio A, Casadei-Giunchi D, Rocca A, Pietri E, Medri L, Serra L**. Multicentric/multifocal breast cancer with a single histotype: is the biological characterization of all individual foci justified? *Ann Oncol*. 2012 Aug;23(8):2042-6. doi: 10.1093/annonc/mdr570. Epub 2012 Jan 4. PubMed PMID:22219015.

12. **Boros M, Ilyes A, Nechifor Boila A, Moldovan C, Eniu A, Stolnicu S**. Morphologic and molecular subtype status of individual tumor foci in multiple breast carcinoma. A study of 155 cases with analysis of 463 tumor foci. *Hum Pathol*. 2014 Feb;45(2):409-16. doi: 10.1016/j.humpath. 2013.10.006. Epub 2013 Oct 18. PubMed PMID: 24439228.

Submitted November 30, 2017