

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Is covariance ignorance responsible for the success of heuristics?

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/65h4487r>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 40(0)

Authors

Parpart, Paula

Schulz, Eric

Publication Date

2018

Is covariance ignorance responsible for the success of heuristics?

Paula Parpart

New York University, New York City, New York, United States

Eric Schulz

Harvard, Boston, Massachusetts, United States

Abstract

Previous work proposes that heuristics, such as Take-The-Best, may succeed because of deliberate ignorance of covariance in their cue weight estimates as opposed to full-information models (logistic regression). Other studies find that Take-The-Best performs particularly well compared to full-information models in high covariance as opposed to low covariance environments. This poses the question of whether heuristics perform well when there is a mismatch between their covariance prior and the covariance in the environment? We test this by gradually manipulating solely the level of covariance among cues. Indeed, Take-The-Best performs better as average covariance increases, while tallying, naive Bayes and logistic regression worsen. Since both naive Bayes and tallying also disregard covariance but integrate across cues, this indicates the competitive advantage of Take-The-Best stems from relying on a single cue when redundancy is high. We extend previous work by Rieskamp and Dieckmann (2012) and imply a reinterpretation of past Take-The-Bests successes.