# **UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Pressure emergence of magnetic ordering in UBe13

### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/61m3k5s2>

## **Journal**

Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials, 76(C)

**ISSN** 0304-8853

# **Authors**

Mao, SY Jaccard, D Sierro, J [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/61m3k5s2#author)

**Publication Date** 1988-12-01

## **DOI**

10.1016/0304-8853(88)90380-0

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, availalbe at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

#### **PRESSURE EMERGENCE OF MAGNETIC ORDERING IN UBe<sub>13</sub>**

S.Y. MAO  $^a$ , D. JACCARD  $^a$ , J. SIERRO  $^a$ , Z. FISK  $^b$  and J.L. SMITH  $^b$ 

*" DPMC, Section de Physique, CH-1211 Genkve 4, Switzerland* 

*t, Los Alamos Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA* 

The electrical resistivity and the thermopower of UBe<sub>13</sub> have been measured between 1.2 and 300 K at pressures up to 67 kbar and in magnetic fields up to 6 T. While the resistivity reflects the partial delocalization of the f resonance, the marked oscillation of the low temperature thermopower strongly suggests that pressure drives a magnetic order well above  $T_c$ .

#### **1. Introduction**

Usually the transport properties of heavy fermion compounds (HFC) are described by independent Kondo like scattering at high temperature  $(T \gg T_K)$  and in terms of a coherence effect at low temperature ( $T \le T_K$ ). In UBe<sub>13</sub>, unlike other heavy electron superconductors, coherence scattering is still not developed when superconductivity arises at  $T_c \sim 0.9$  K.

Indeed the resistivity  $\rho$  is still very large just above  $T_c$ , and the thermopower Q has not even reached its negative peaks [1]. To observe the low  $T$  excitations of the normal phase, high pressure transport experiments under magnetic field were performed. Pressure was generated by a pair of opposed Bridgman anvils made of non-magnetic tungsten carbide.

#### **2. Resistivity**

Low temperature results of resistivity  $\rho$  are presented in fig. 1. The pressure delocalization of the f resonance induces the strong depression of  $\rho$ and the increase of the temperature  $T_{\text{max}}^{\rho}$  of its maximum value, in good agreement with refs. [2] and [3]. A resistivity behavior rather similar to  $UPt_3$ , i.e. without resistivity maximum, is expected at about 200 kbar.

At low pressure, the large and negative magnetoresistance  $\Delta \rho / \rho$  is characteristic for the presence of local magnetic moments. At intermediate pressure ( $P = 45$  kbar)  $\Delta \rho / \rho$  is still negative but weaker and at high pressure ( $P = 67$  kbar)  $\Delta \rho / \rho$ is slightly positive below 4 K. Such a positive magnetoresistance has been observed in moderate HFC like UPt<sub>3</sub>, CeRu<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub> or CeAl<sub>3</sub> under pressure [4].





Fig. 1. Resistivity of  $UBe_{13}$  at different pressures in magnetic fields of 0 and 6 T.

At 67 kbar, preliminary data do not show any superconducting transition down to 0.1 K and above 1.2 K, the  $T^2$  law of  $\rho$  is still not recovered.

At  $P = 0$ , for a sample from the same batch, a residual resistivity  $\rho_0 \sim 12 ~\mu \Omega \text{cm}$  has been measured at  $B = 12$  T [5]. Our results then suggest a maximum of  $\rho_0$  near 45 kbar.

### **3. Thermopower**

As often observed in HFC, the thermopower  $Q$ of  $UBe_{13}$  is positive at high temperature and takes large negative values at low temperature. Fig. 2 shows that the negative peak of  $Q$ , centered at  $T_{\text{min}}^Q$ , emerges above  $T_c$  under pressure. The clear correlation of  $T_{\text{min}}^Q$  with  $T_{\text{max}}^P$  asserts the same physical origin. The pressure independence of the magnitude of  $Q(T_{\text{min}}^Q)$  agrees with a P increase of the width of the f resonance.



Fig. 2. Absolute thermopower of  $UBe_{\psi3}$  at different pressures in magnetic fields of 0 and 6 T.

The most interesting feature in fig. 2 is the low  $T$  positive contribution of  $Q$  which is clearly resolved at 67 kbar. We claim that such a peak is the signature of an antiferromagnetic order. If a magnetic gap opens only in parts of the Fermi surface, it may be possible that no corresponding anomaly occurs in the resistivity. Large positive peaks of Q have been observed for well characterized antiferromagnets like UCu,  $[6]$ , TmSe or TmS [7]. In these cases the change of sign of  $Q$ corresponds to the ordering temperature  $T_N$ . The recently discovered antiferromagnet UPt<sub>3</sub> ( $T<sub>N</sub>$  ~ 5 K) with a very low ordered moment also shows a positive peak of Q at  $\sim$  8 K and a change of sign at  $\sim$  24 K [8]. This thermoelectric behavior is

expected for  $UBe_{13}$  at roughly 200 kbar, i.e. the same pressure estimated from the resistivity.

From our previous study at  $P = 0$  [1], a positive peak of Q can be extrapolated at  $T \sim 150$  mK. A specific heat anomaly was recently discovered at the same temperature [5]. So it appears that the magnetic ordering sets in above  $T_c$  under pressure.

The highly magnetic field dependence of the low T thermopower is another evidence of its magnetic origin (fig. 2). As  $P$  increases, increasing fields are needed to destroy the positive contribution.

### 4. **Conclusion**

As pressure certainly induces the decrease of the low temperature electronic specific heat coefficient per unit volume  $\gamma_{v}$ , our results illustrate the correlation which has been found at zero pressure between  $\gamma$ , and the ground state configuration of uranium based HFC [9]. It is not so surprising that at high pressure  $\text{UBe}_{13}$  behaves rather like the antiferromagnetically ordered HFC  $UCu<sub>5</sub>$  or  $UPt_3$ .

#### **References**

- [1] D. Jaccard, J. Flouquet, Z. Fisk, J.L. Smith and H.R. Ott, J. Phys. Left. 46 (1985) L811.
- [2] J.D. Thompson, M.W. McElfresh, J.O. Willis, Z. Fisk, J.L. Smith and M.B. Maple, Phys. Rev. B 35 (1987) 48.
- [3] Z. Fisk et al., Intern. Conf. HTSC, Interlaken (1988).
- [4] Ch. Fierz, D. Jaccard, J. Sierro and J. Flouquet, J. Appl. Phys. 63 (1988) 3899.
- [5] J.P. Brison, to be published.
- [6] H.J. van Daal, K.H.J. Buschow, P.P. van Aken and M.H. van Maaren, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 1457.
- [7] D. Jaccard and J. Sierro, Solid State Commun. 31 (1979) 713.
- [81 J.J.M. Franse et al., Z. Phys. B 59 (1985) 15.
- [9] Z. Fisk et al., Science 239 (1988) 33.