

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Highly conserved base A55 of 16S ribosomal RNA is important for the elongation cycle of protein synthesis.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6106b2h6>

Journal

Biochemistry, 52(38)

Authors

Sahu, Bhubanananda

Khade, Prashant

Joseph, Simpson

Publication Date

2013-09-24

DOI

10.1021/bi4008879

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Biochemistry. 2013 September 24; 52(38): 6695–6701. doi:10.1021/bi4008879.

Highly Conserved Base A55 of 16S Ribosomal RNA is Important for the Elongation Cycle of Protein Synthesis

Bhubanananda Sahu,

Prashant K. Khade,

Simpson Joseph*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California at San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0314

Abstract

Accurate decoding of mRNA requires the precise interaction of protein factors and tRNAs with the ribosome. X-ray crystallography and cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) have provided detailed structural information of the 70S ribosome with protein factors and tRNAs trapped during translation. Crystal structures showed that one of the universally conserved 16S rRNA base A55 in the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit interacts with elongation factors Tu and G (EF-Tu and EF-G). The exact functional role of A55 in protein synthesis is not clear. We changed A55 to U and analyzed the effect of the mutation on the elongation cycle of protein synthesis using functional assays. Expression of 16S rRNA with the A55U mutation in cells confer a dominant lethal phenotype. Additionally, ribosomes with A55U mutation in 16S rRNA show substantially reduced *in vitro* protein synthesis activity. Equilibrium binding studies showed that the A55U mutation considerably inhibited the binding of EF-Tu•GTP•tRNA ternary complex to the ribosome. Furthermore, the A55U mutation slightly inhibited the peptidyl transferase reaction, the binding of EF-G•GTP to the ribosome and mRNA-tRNA translocation. These results indicate that A55 is important for fine-tuning the activity of the ribosome during the elongation cycle of protein synthesis.

The ribosome is a macromolecular enzyme composed of three rRNAs (16S, 23S, and 5S rRNAs in bacteria) and 54 ribosomal proteins. The ribosome binds a mRNA and interacts with aminoacyl tRNAs and several translation factors to synthesize the protein encoded by the mRNA. During the elongation cycle of protein synthesis, the ribosome sequentially interacts with EF-Tu•GTP•aminoacyl tRNA ternary complex (EF-Tu ternary complex) and EF-G-GTP. EF-Tu ternary complex delivers the aminoacyl tRNAs to the ribosomal A site for incorporation into the growing peptide chain. During this process termed decoding, the EF-Tu ternary complex binds initially to the ribosome in the A/T state, in which the anticodon of the tRNA forms base pairs with the mRNA codon in the A site while the acceptor end of the tRNA remains bound to EF-Tu⁽¹⁾. Proper codon-anticodon interaction by the cognate EF-Tu ternary complex triggers GTP hydrolysis on EF-Tu and EF-Tu•GDP dissociates from the ribosome. This allows the aminoacyl tRNA to bind to the A site in

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: 4102 Urey Hall, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California at San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0314. sjoseph@ucsd.edu.

the 50S subunit and participate in peptide bond formation. In contrast, the anticodon of non-cognate tRNAs does not form base pairs with the A site codon and rapidly dissociate from the ribosome without triggering GTP hydrolysis on EF-Tu.

Recent X-ray crystal structures of EF-Tu ternary complex bound to the ribosome in the A/T state shows how the EF-Tu ternary complex is stabilized on the ribosome^(2, 3). One of the important interactions identified in these crystal structures is between the 3' end of the A/T tRNA and the shoulder domain of the 16S rRNA. Residues 72 through 75 at the 3' end of the A/T tRNA is distorted, which allows base C75 of the tRNA to pack between EF-Tu residue Thr219 and the flipped 16S rRNA base A55 in the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit (Figure 1A). Base A55 in helix 5 of 16S rRNA is highly conserved (>99%)⁽⁴⁾. In addition, two loops in domain II of EF-Tu undergo a conformational change to contact the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit. One of these loops of EF-Tu (residues 219–226) interacts with bases A55, U56, G357, and U368 in the 16S rRNA. Specifically, A55 and U56 form hydrophobic interactions with the highly conserved residue Thr221 in domain II of EF-Tu. These interactions of EF-Tu ternary complex with the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit has been proposed to play a crucial role during decoding⁽²⁾.

Following decoding and peptide bond formation, the mRNA-tRNA complex is translocated by the ribosome. Translocation is catalyzed by EF-G•GTP, which hydrolyzes GTP to accelerate the reaction⁽⁵⁾. Translocation moves the deacylated tRNA and the peptidyl tRNA from the P and A sites to the E and P sites, respectively. This leaves an empty A site and completes one round of the elongation cycle of protein synthesis. Cryo-EM^(6–9), X-ray crystallography^(10, 11), and smFRET^(12–18) studies have indicated that the interaction of EF-G with the ribosome induces structural changes in the ribosome. Binding of EF-G to the ribosome induces a ratchet-like counter-clockwise rotation of the 30S subunit relative to the 50S subunit^(6, 18). Additionally, the head domain of the 30S subunit undergoes a swiveling motion^(10, 11), and the L1 stalk of the 50S subunit moves toward the E-site^(12, 15, 17, 19). Recently, many new intermediate steps in translocation have been identified by cryo-EM analysis suggesting that this process consists of a complex metastable, energy landscape^(7, 20, 21). However, it is still not clear how conformational changes in the ribosome trigger GTP hydrolysis on EF-G and directs the movement of the mRNA-tRNA complex.

Currently, the crystal structure of the ribosome-EF-G•GDP•fusidic acid complex solved by Ramakrishnan and co-workers provides a high-resolution view of the post-translocation state⁽²²⁾. The antibiotic fusidic acid traps EF-G in a conformation that is intermediate between the GTP and the GDP forms. In this structure, the ribosome is in a non-ratcheted state and EF-G is stabilized by contacts with the 50S and 30S subunits. In particular, the flipped out base A55 in helix 5 of 16S rRNA contacts domain II of EF-G (residues 319–323) (Figure 1B). Additionally, domain III of EF-G bridges the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit (h5/h15 and ribosomal protein S12) and the sarcin-ricin loop (SRL) in the 50S subunit. Since deletion of domain III reduces the GTPase activity of EF-G⁽²³⁾, it was proposed that the interaction of domain III with both the ribosomal subunits allows EF-G to distinguish between the ratcheted and non-ratcheted states and these contacts may play a role in GTP hydrolysis⁽²²⁾. Because Domain II of EF-Tu ternary complex also interacts with the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit (discussed above), a common mechanism may be

used to activate GTP hydrolysis or couple GTP hydrolysis to conformational changes in the ribosome (2, 22).

Structural data suggest that the interaction of EF-Tu ternary complex and EF-G with the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit (and particularly with A55 of 16S rRNA) is important for protein synthesis. Consistent with the structural data, the A55G mutation was isolated in a genetic screen for mutations that cause defect in translation (24). Another study also showed that changing A55 to G, C or U dramatically inhibited the synthesis of a reporter protein *in vivo* (25). However, it is not clear why mutation of A55 results in the inhibition of protein synthesis. Here, we analyzed the defects of the A55U mutation using several functional assays. Our studies show that A55U mutation substantially inhibited the binding of EF-Tu ternary complex to the ribosome. Additionally, the A55U mutation caused modest defects in peptide bond formation, in EF-G•GTP binding to the ribosome and in mRNA-tRNA translocation. These results show that A55 is important for improving the overall performance of the ribosome during the elongation cycle of protein synthesis.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Site-directed mutagenesis

Mutation A55U in 16S rRNA was carried out by site-directed mutagenesis with QuickChange PCR mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). Plasmid pLK35-16S-MS2 was used as the template for introducing the mutation (26, 27). Clones with the A55U mutation were identified by sequencing and the sequence of the entire 16S rRNA operon of the correct construct was also verified by DNA sequencing.

Purification of MS2-tagged 30S subunits

Since the mutations A55U in 16S rRNA is lethal, the mutant 30S subunits were purified from POP2136 cells using the MS2 affinity tag as described previously (26, 27). The purity of the MS2-tagged 30S subunit was assayed by primer extension analysis using the primer 5'-CCCGTCCGCCACTCGTCAGC-3', as described previously (26, 27).

In vitro translation of reporter protein

In vitro translation of the reporter gene, *Renilla luciferase* was performed to test the activity of the purified ribosome as described previously (28). The *in vitro* translation reaction is based on *E. coli* S-100 extract to which activated wild type or A55U mutant ribosomes were added to initiate protein synthesis. The synthesis of luciferase reporter enzyme was monitored by measuring the luminescence every 2 minutes with a 96-well plate reader (Genios; Tecan). All the reactions were performed in duplicates and the experiments were repeated more than three times.

To validate our results, we performed the *in vitro* translation assay with [³⁵S]-methionine. The reaction was carried out as described above but with 1 μl of L-[³⁵S]-methionine (1175 Ci/mmol, Perkin Elmer EasyTide, Catalogue # NEG709A) added instead of non-radioactive L-methionine. The reaction was incubated at 30 °C for 90 minutes. Samples were denatured by adding equal volume of SDS-PAGE sample buffer and heating at 90 °C for 5 minutes.

2 μ l of sample was separated on 15% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. To determine the molecular weight of the product, a molecular weight ladder was also loaded on the gel. The gel was stained with coomassie blue, washed with water and dried. The dried gel was exposed to a phosphorimager screen for 4–5 days and quantitated using a PhosphorImager (BioRad).

Determination of K_D for EF-Tu ternary complex binding to the ribosome

For the filter binding experiments, a modified 96-well dot blot apparatus (Shleicher and Schuell) with an upper nitrocellulose membrane (BA-85; Whatman) and a lower nylon membrane (Hybond-N+; Amersham) was used, as described previously⁽²⁹⁾. *E. coli* tRNA^{Phe} was labeled with [α -³²P]ATP as described previously^(30, 31). EF-Tu ternary complex was prepared with a 10-fold excess of EF-Tu (H84A) over Phe-^[32P]tRNA^{Phe} in buffer B [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 15 mM MgCl₂, 70 mM NH₄Cl, 30 mM KCl, 8 mM putrescine, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM GTP and 0.5 mM spermidine]. Ternary complex (0.2 nM) was incubated for 1 min at room temperature with P site blocked ribosomes (0–150 nM) prepared in the same buffer without GTP (final concentration of GTP is 0.3 mM after mixing). Using a multichannel pipette 25 μ l of the reaction mixture was applied to the 96-well dot blot apparatus and washed three times with 100 μ l buffer B. The membranes were removed from the 96-well dot blot apparatus, dried and then exposed to a phosphorimager screen (Bio-Rad) to determine the amount of EF-Tu ternary complex bound to the ribosome. The K_D was calculated by fitting the data to a one site binding hyperbolic equation $Y = B_{max} * X / (K_d + X)$ using GraphPad Prism.

Peptidyl transferase assay

Peptidyl transferase experiments were performed at 20 °C in ribosome buffer [50 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 70 mM NH₄Cl, 30 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, and 0.5 mM GTP] with a quench-flow instrument (μ QFM-400; BioLogic) as described previously^(31, 32). Briefly, tRNA^{Phe} was labelled with [α -³²P] ATP by exchanging the 3' terminal A76 of tRNA^{Phe} with [α -³²P] AMP using the enzyme tRNA nucleotidyl transferase. The ³²P-labelled tRNA^{Phe} was aminoacylated with phenylalanine and used for the formation of EF-Tu ternary complex. Ribosomes were programmed with a mRNA having the codons for methionine and phenylalanine in the P and A sites, respectively. fMet-tRNA^{fMet} was bound to the ribosomal P site to form the initiation complex. The initiation complex and EF-Tu ternary complex were rapidly mixed with the quench-flow instrument. The concentrations of the initiation complex and EF-Tu ternary complex after mixing were 0.5 μ M and 0.05 μ M, respectively. 2 μ l of quenched product was digested with 1 μ l of S1 nuclease. S1 nucleases degrade the tRNA^{Phe} leaving behind an adenine monophosphate linked to fMet-Phe dipeptide. The product was separated by TLC using PEI Cellulose plate with isopropanol/HCl/water (70:15:15) for 4 hour. The solvent can resolve the reaction into an upper band of fMet-Phe-^[32P]-AMP (the expected dipeptide product) and middle band of ^[32P]-AMP and ^[32P]-aminoacyl-AMP. The time course of peptide bond formation was fitted to a single-exponential equation to determine the extent of dipeptide formation for mutant ribosome.

Determination of K_D for EF-G binding to the ribosome

EF-G containing a single cysteine at position 591 was labeled with 5-iodoacetamidofluorescein as described previously⁽³³⁾. The K_D for EF-G binding to the ribosome was determined as described previously⁽³²⁾.

Toeprinting assay

Translocation was monitored by the toeprinting assay as described previously⁽³⁴⁾.

Translocation kinetics

The rapid-kinetic translocation experiments were performed as described previously^(35, 36). The experiments were conducted at 25 °C in translocation buffer [20 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.6), 150 mM NH_4Cl , 6 mM MgCl_2 , 4 mM β -mercaptoethanol, 2 mM spermidine, 0.05 mM spermine] Briefly, 80 μl of pretranslocation complex (0.25 μM , after mixing) was rapidly mixed with 80 μl of EF-G-GTP (1.25 μM EF-G and 0.5 mM GTP, after mixing) using a stopped-flow instrument ($\mu\text{SFM-20}$; BioLogic). The stopped-flow instrument was set-up to excite the sample at 343 nm and to monitor the fluorescence intensity above 360 nm using a long-pass filter (361 AELP; Omega Optical). From each experiment about five traces were averaged and the experiments were independently repeated at least three times. The rate of translocation was determined by fitting the data to the double-exponential equation $Y = ax + b + A1 \exp(-k1x) + A2 \exp(-k2x)$ using Bio-Kine (BioLogic).

RESULTS

A55U mutation in 16S rRNA is dominant lethal

To investigate the role of A55 in the elongation cycle of protein synthesis, we made the A55U transversion mutation by site-directed mutagenesis. A55 is in a loop region and the A55U mutation does not change the structure of the loop. The mutation was made in the plasmid pLK35–16S-MS2 to facilitate purification of the mutant ribosomes using the MS2 affinity tag method⁽²⁸⁾. The mutation was confirmed by sequencing the entire 16S rRNA operon.

To check the growth phenotype, the mutant plasmid was transformed into the *E.coli* strain POP2136 that carries the temperature-sensitive λ repressor gene (*cI857*). In this strain, transcription of the plasmid-borne rRNA operon is under the control of the λ P_L promoter. The growth rate of POP2136 containing either the WT or A55U mutant plasmid was normal at 30 °C because the λ repressor is active at 30 °C and represses the expression of the plasmid encoded rRNA operon. In contrast, at 42 °C the λ repressor is inactive and expression of the plasmid encoded 16S rRNA with the A55U mutation conferred a dominant lethal phenotype (Figure 2A).

Mutation A55U inhibits protein synthesis

To evaluate whether the mutant ribosome is active in protein synthesis, we performed an *in vitro* translation assay. In this *in vitro* translation assay, we monitored the time course of *Renilla* luciferase synthesis by the WT and mutant ribosomes by bioluminescence⁽²⁸⁾ (Figure 2B). *Renilla* luciferase catalyses the oxidation of coelenterazine to produce light,

which was monitored in real-time with a plate reader. Our results show that the A55U mutant ribosome is inactive in synthesizing the *Renilla* luciferase protein. We confirmed these results using L-[³⁵S]-methionine to label the *Renilla* luciferase protein produced by the *in vitro* translation reaction and separating the products by SDS-PAGE (Figure 2C). The amount of *Renilla* luciferase produced by the A55U mutant ribosomes was reduced by ≈73% compared to WT ribosomes.

Mutation A55U inhibits the binding of EF-Tu ternary complex to the ribosome

The crystal structure of EF-Tu ternary complex bound to the ribosome in the A/T state showed that base C75 of tRNA is stacked between residue Thr219 of EF-Tu and base A55 of 16S rRNA⁽²⁾ (Figure 1A). Base A55 flips out of helix 5 of 16S rRNA to make this stacking interaction. In addition, base A55 also makes a hydrophobic interaction with Thr221 of EF-Tu. To investigate whether these interactions that A55 makes is important for the EF-Tu ternary complex to bind to the ribosome, we determined the binding affinity using a nitrocellulose filter-binding assay. We used EF-Tu (His84Ala) mutant that is defective in GTP hydrolysis in the filter-binding assay because the EF-Tu ternary complex binds reversibly to the ribosome in the A/T state⁽³⁷⁾. Filter binding experiments showed that the equilibrium binding constant (K_D) for WT and A55U ribosomes were 3.5 ± 0.4 nM and 21 ± 4 nM, respectively (Figure 3A, B). Thus, A55U ribosome is about 6-fold defective in binding the EF-Tu ternary complex.

Mutation A55U affects peptidyl transferase reaction

In the fully accommodated state, base C75 of A site tRNA forms a base pair with G2553 of 23S rRNA, which is important for the peptidyl transferase reaction^(38, 39). However, as described above, in the A/T state base C75 of tRNA interacts with A55 of 16S rRNA. We analyzed the effect of A55U mutation on peptidyl transferase reaction using a pre-steady state kinetic assay. EF-Tu•GTP•Phe-tRNA^{Phe} was rapidly mixed with a saturating concentration of ribosome with fMet-tRNA^{fMet} in P site in a quench-flow machine. The Phe-tRNA^{phe} was labelled with [α -³²P] at the 3' end (described in Experimental Procedures)⁽³⁰⁾. The time course of fMet-Phe-[α -³²P]-AMP dipeptide formed was fitted to a single-exponential equation to determine the rate of dipeptide formation (Figure 3C). The rate of peptide bond formation was 5.5 ± 0.9 s⁻¹ for WT and 2.2 ± 0.6 s⁻¹ for A55U ribosomes. Thus, the A55U mutation decreased the rate of peptide bond formation by 2.5-fold showing that base A55 is moderately important for peptide bond formation.

Mutation A55U inhibits EF-G binding to the ribosome and translocation

The crystal structure of ribosome•EF-G complex showed that A55 of 16S rRNA flips out of helix 5 and interacts with domain II of EF-G⁽²²⁾. To determine whether the A55U mutation affects the binding of EF-G•GTP to the ribosome, we used a fluorescence-based equilibrium binding assay^(32, 40). Equilibrium binding experiments were performed with a fixed concentration of EF-G and varying concentrations of the ribosome (Figure 4A). The K_D of EF-G binding to the WT and A55U mutant ribosomes were 2.4 ± 0.8 nM and 7.9 ± 1 nM, respectively. These results show that the A55U mutation has only a ≈3-fold defect in binding EF-G.

To investigate the role of A55 in translocation, we initially used a toeprinting assay to monitor EF-G dependent translocation of the mRNA-tRNA complex⁽³⁴⁾ (Figure 4B). Pre-translocation complex were programmed with a fragment of the T4 gene 32 mRNA containing tRNA^{fMet} in the P site and tRNA^{Phe} in the A site. The extent of translocation was found to be similar for WT and A55U mutant ribosomes (>95% translocation). The toeprinting result shows that the mutant ribosome forms an authentic pre-translocation complex and is able to translocate the mRNA-tRNA complex upon addition of EF-G.

Since there was no difference in the extent of translocation between WT and A55U mutant ribosome, we performed a pre-steady-state kinetic experiment to determine if the rate of translocation was affected. The rate of translocation was investigated by using a fluorescence-based, stopped-flow method⁽³⁵⁾. Pre-translocation complex was made by programming the ribosome with a short mRNA having a pyrene dye attached at the 3' end, tRNA^{fMet} in the P site, and fMet-Phe-tRNA^{Phe} in the A site. The pre-translocation complex was rapidly mixed with 10-fold excess of EF-G in a stopped-flow instrument and the decrease in fluorescence intensity of the pyrene dye was monitored. The change in fluorescence intensity showed two phases with apparent rate constant k_{obs1} and k_{obs2} for the fast and slow phase, respectively. The rate of the rapid phase is consistent with the rate of translocation measured using fluorescently labelled tRNAs⁽⁴¹⁾. The data was analysed by fitting the decrease in fluorescence intensity to a double-exponential equation to calculate k_{obs1} and k_{obs2} for the WT and mutant ribosome. WT ribosome translocated with a rate of $19.4 \pm 1.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Figure 4C). In contrast, the A55U mutant ribosome translocated at a rate that is 2-fold less than the WT ($8.0 \pm 0.6 \text{ s}^{-1}$). This suggests that A55 is moderately important for EF-G dependent translocation.

DISCUSSION

Protein synthesis is a complex process catalyzed by the ribosome with the help of several translational factors. EF-Tu ternary complex and EF-G are the two translational factors that iteratively interact with the ribosome during the elongation cycle of protein synthesis. Both, EF-Tu ternary complex and EF-G interact with the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit. Helix 5 of 16S rRNA in the shoulder domain of the 30S subunit contacts domain II of EF-Tu ternary complex and EF-G^(2, 22). Interestingly, the highly conserved 16S rRNA base A55 in helix 5 is flipped out to interact with C75 of the tRNA in A/T state and with Thr221 of EF-Tu. These interactions have been proposed to be important for decoding by the ribosome⁽²⁾. Furthermore, A55 of 16S rRNA interacts with a conserved loop in domain II of EF-G (residues 319 – 323) suggesting that A55 may be important for mRNA-tRNA translocation⁽²²⁾.

In agreement with the structural data, previous studies showed that mutations of A55 are deleterious to cells^(24, 25). To further understand the role of A55 in protein synthesis, we made the A55U mutation and analyzed the effect of the mutation on distinct steps in the elongation cycle. Consistent with previous studies, our results show that the A55U mutation confers a dominant lethal phenotype. Previous studies also showed that mutation of A55 drastically reduce the translation of a reporter protein *in vivo*^(24, 25). In agreement with these results, we show that purified A55U mutant ribosomes poorly synthesize *Renilla* luciferase

in vitro. Together, these results show that A55 is highly conserved because it is functionally important for translation.

Equilibrium binding studies showed that A55U mutation increased the K_D for EF-Tu ternary complex binding to the ribosome by 6-fold. This suggests that the stacking interaction of A55 with C75 of A/T tRNA and the hydrophobic contact of A55 with Thr221 of EF-Tu is critical for stabilizing the EF-Tu ternary complex on the ribosome. The binding of EF-Tu ternary complex in the A/T state to the ribosome is a key intermediate in decoding. In the A/T state, EF-Tu ternary complex is largely stabilized by the interaction of the anticodon arm of the tRNA with the 30S subunit A site, and of acceptor arm of the tRNA bound to EF-Tu interacting with the 30S shoulder domain and the SRL region of the 50S subunit. Eventhough the binding affinity of the EF-Tu ternary complex for the A55U mutant ribosome is reduced by 6-fold, the rate of peptide bond formation was reduced by 2.5-fold only. This is because tRNA selection by the ribosome is kinetically driven and the thermodynamic differences in the stability of EF-Tu ternary complex on the ribosome is not fully exploited⁽⁴²⁾. Finally, our studies show that the A55U mutation inhibits the binding of EF-G to the ribosome by 3-fold and reduces the rate of translocation by 2-fold, suggesting that A55 is not critical for this process. Thus, the A55U mutation has only modest effects on peptide bond formation and translocation.

Then, why are mutations at A55 deleterious to cells and greatly inhibit *in vivo* and *in vitro* protein synthesis? One possibility is that the A55U mutation inhibits the initiation step of protein synthesis by interfering with the function of initiation factor 2. This may explain the observed $\approx 73\%$ reduction in the amount of the full-length *Renilla* luciferase produced by the A55U mutant ribosomes compared to WT ribosomes (Figure 2C). However, we focused on the elongation cycle of protein synthesis and did not analyze the effect of the A55U mutation on translation initiation. Another possibility is that the A55U mutation inhibits the processivity of the ribosome in the elongation cycle. The *in vivo* and *in vitro* assays to measure protein synthesis requires the synthesis of a full-length enzyme (β -galactosidase or luciferase), which is much more demanding than catalyzing a single step of protein synthesis. The probability of synthesizing a complete protein may be reduced by the A55U mutation because the modest defects in decoding and translocation may be additive. Previous studies have observed a similar effect with mutations in the 23S rRNA that are lethal when expressed in cells but have only modest effects in functional assays^(43–45). Taken together, these results suggest that even subtle defects in individual steps of protein synthesis may become amplified because multiple rounds of peptide bond formation and translocation are required for the synthesis of a protein. Thus, A55 plays a small but important role in improving the performance of the ribosome during the elongation cycle of protein synthesis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Defne Egecioglu for making the A55U mutation in 16S rRNA.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grant GM065265 to S.J.

Abbreviations:

| | |
|-------------|-------------------|
| rRNA | ribosomal RNA |
| mRNA | messenger RNA |
| tRNA | transfer RNA |
| EF | elongation factor |

References

1. Moazed D, and Noller HF (1989) Intermediate states in the movement of transfer RNA in the ribosome, *Nature* 342, 142–148. [PubMed: 2682263]
2. Schmeing TM, Voorhees RM, Kelley AC, Gao YG, Murphy F. V. t., Weir JR, and Ramakrishnan V (2009) The crystal structure of the ribosome bound to EF-Tu and aminoacyl-tRNA, *Science* 326, 688–694. [PubMed: 19833920]
3. Voorhees RM, Schmeing TM, Kelley AC, and Ramakrishnan V (2010) The mechanism for activation of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome, *Science* 330, 835–838. [PubMed: 21051640]
4. Cannone JJ, Subramanian S, Schnare MN, Collett JR, D'Souza LM, Du Y, Feng B, Lin N, Madabusi LV, uuml, ller KM, Pande N, Shang Z, Yu N, and Gutell RR (2002) The Comparative RNA Web (CRW) Site: an online database of comparative sequence and structure information for ribosomal, intron, and other RNAs, *BMC Bioinformatics* 3, 2. [PubMed: 11869452]
5. Rodnina MV, Savelsbergh A, Katunin VI, and Wintermeyer W (1997) Hydrolysis of GTP by elongation factor G drives tRNA movement on the ribosome, *Nature* 385, 37–41. [PubMed: 8985244]
6. Frank J, and Agrawal RK (2000) A ratchet-like inter-subunit reorganization of the ribosome during translocation, *Nature* 406, 318–322. [PubMed: 10917535]
7. Ratje AH, Loerke J, Mikolajka A, Brunner M, Hildebrand PW, Starosta AL, Donhofer A, Connell SR, Fucini P, Mielke T, Whitford PC, Onuchic JN, Yu Y, Sanbonmatsu KY, Hartmann RK, Penczek PA, Wilson DN, and Spahn CM (2010) Head swivel on the ribosome facilitates translocation by means of intra-subunit tRNA hybrid sites, *Nature* 468, 713–716. [PubMed: 21124459]
8. Julian P, Konevega AL, Scheres SH, Lazaro M, Gil D, Wintermeyer W, Rodnina MV, and Valle M (2008) Structure of ratcheted ribosomes with tRNAs in hybrid states, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105, 16924–16927. [PubMed: 18971332]
9. Stark H, Rodnina MV, Wieden HJ, van Heel M, and Wintermeyer W (2000) Large-scale movement of elongation factor G and extensive conformational change of the ribosome during translocation, *Cell* 100, 301–309. [PubMed: 10676812]
10. Schuwirth BS, Borovinskaya MA, Hau CW, Zhang W, Vila-Sanjurjo A, Holton JM, and Cate JH (2005) Structures of the bacterial ribosome at 3.5 Å resolution, *Science* 310, 827–834. [PubMed: 16272117]
11. Zhang W, Dunkle JA, and Cate JH (2009) Structures of the ribosome in intermediate states of ratcheting, *Science* 325, 1014–1017. [PubMed: 19696352]
12. Munro JB, Altman RB, Tung CS, Cate JH, Sanbonmatsu KY, and Blanchard SC (2010) Spontaneous formation of the unlocked state of the ribosome is a multistep process, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 709–714. [PubMed: 20018653]
13. Blanchard SC, Kim HD, Gonzalez RL Jr., Puglisi JD, and Chu S (2004) tRNA dynamics on the ribosome during translation, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101, 12893–12898. [PubMed: 15317937]
14. Munro JB, Wasserman MR, Altman RB, Wang L, and Blanchard SC (2010) Correlated conformational events in EF-G and the ribosome regulate translocation, *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 17, 1470–1477. [PubMed: 21057527]
15. Fei J, Bronson JE, Hofman JM, Srinivas RL, Wiggins CH, and Gonzalez RL Jr. (2009) Allosteric collaboration between elongation factor G and the ribosomal L1 stalk directs tRNA movements during translation, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*.

16. Fei J, Kosuri P, MacDougall DD, and Gonzalez RL Jr. (2008) Coupling of ribosomal L1 stalk and tRNA dynamics during translation elongation. *Mol Cell* 30, 348–359. [PubMed: 18471980]
17. Cornish PV, Ermolenko DN, Staple DW, Hoang L, Hickerson RP, Noller HF, and Ha T (2009) Following movement of the L1 stalk between three functional states in single ribosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106, 2571–2576. [PubMed: 19190181]
18. Cornish PV, Ermolenko DN, Noller HF, and Ha T (2008) Spontaneous intersubunit rotation in single ribosomes. *Mol Cell* 30, 578–588. [PubMed: 18538656]
19. Sternberg SH, Fei J, Prywes N, McGrath KA, and Gonzalez RL Jr. (2009) Translation factors direct intrinsic ribosome dynamics during translation termination and ribosome recycling. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16, 861–868. [PubMed: 19597483]
20. Agirrezabala X, Liao HY, Schreiner E, Fu J, Ortiz-Meoz RF, Schulten K, Green R, and Frank J (2012) Structural characterization of mRNA-tRNA translocation intermediates. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 6094–6099. [PubMed: 22467828]
21. Frank J Jr., and Gonzalez RL (2010) Structure and dynamics of a processive Brownian motor: the translating ribosome. *Annu Rev Biochem* 79, 381–412. [PubMed: 20235828]
22. Gao YG, Selmer M, Dunham CM, Weixlbaumer A, Kelley AC, and Ramakrishnan V (2009) The structure of the ribosome with elongation factor G trapped in the posttranslocational state. *Science* 326, 694–699. [PubMed: 19833919]
23. Martemyanov KA, and Gudkov AT (2000) Domain III of elongation factor G from *Thermus thermophilus* is essential for induction of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome. *J Biol Chem* 275, 35820–35824. [PubMed: 10940297]
24. Yassin A, Fredrick K, and Mankin AS (2005) Deleterious mutations in small subunit ribosomal RNA identify functional sites and potential targets for antibiotics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 102, 16620–16625. [PubMed: 16269538]
25. McClory SP, Leisring JM, Qin D, and Fredrick K (2010) Missense suppressor mutations in 16S rRNA reveal the importance of helices h8 and h14 in aminoacyl-tRNA selection. *RNA* 16, 1925–1934. [PubMed: 20699303]
26. Ali IK, Lancaster L, Feinberg J, Joseph S, and Noller HF (2006) Deletion of a conserved, central ribosomal intersubunit RNA bridge. *Mol Cell* 23, 865–874. [PubMed: 16973438]
27. Youngman EM, and Green R (2005) Affinity purification of in vivo-assembled ribosomes for in vitro biochemical analysis. *Methods* 36, 305–312. [PubMed: 16076457]
28. Garcia-Ortega L, Stephen J, and Joseph S (2008) Precise alignment of peptidyl tRNA by the decoding center is essential for EF-G-dependent translocation. *Mol Cell* 32, 292–299. [PubMed: 18951096]
29. Fahlman RP, Dale T, and Uhlenbeck OC (2004) Uniform binding of aminoacylated transfer RNAs to the ribosomal A and P sites. *Mol Cell* 16, 799–805. [PubMed: 15574334]
30. Ledoux S, and Uhlenbeck OC (2008) [3'–32P]-labeling tRNA with nucleotidyltransferase for assaying aminoacylation and peptide bond formation. *Methods* 44, 74–80. [PubMed: 18241789]
31. Ledoux S, and Uhlenbeck OC (2008) Different aa-tRNAs are selected uniformly on the ribosome. *Mol Cell* 31, 114–123. [PubMed: 18614050]
32. Shi X, Khade PK, Sanbonmatsu KY, and Joseph S (2012) Functional role of the sarcin-ricin loop of the 23S rRNA in the elongation cycle of protein synthesis. *J Mol Biol* 419, 125–138. [PubMed: 22459262]
33. Ticu C, Nechifor R, Nguyen B, Desrosiers M, and Wilson KS (2009) Conformational changes in switch I of EF-G drive its directional cycling on and off the ribosome. *Embo J* 28, 2053–2065. [PubMed: 19536129]
34. Joseph S, and Noller HF (1998) EF-G-catalyzed translocation of anticodon stem-loop analogs of transfer RNA in the ribosome. *EMBO J* 17, 3478–3483. [PubMed: 9628883]
35. Studer SM, Feinberg JS, and Joseph S (2003) Rapid Kinetic Analysis of EF-G-dependent mRNA Translocation in the Ribosome. *J Mol Biol* 327, 369–381. [PubMed: 12628244]
36. Shi X, Chiu K, Ghosh S, and Joseph S (2009) Bases in 16S rRNA important for subunit association, tRNA binding, and translocation. *Biochemistry* 48, 6772–6782. [PubMed: 19545171]

37. Daviter T, Wieden HJ, and Rodnina MV (2003) Essential role of histidine 84 in elongation factor Tu for the chemical step of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome, *J Mol Biol* 332, 689–699. [PubMed: 12963376]
38. Kim DF, and Green R (1999) Base-pairing between 23S rRNA and tRNA in the ribosomal A site, *Mol Cell* 4, 859–864. [PubMed: 10619032]
39. Ban N, Nissen P, Hansen J, Moore PB, and Steitz TA (2000) The complete atomic structure of the large ribosomal subunit at 2.4 Å resolution, *Science* 289, 905–920. [PubMed: 10937989]
40. Lancaster L, Lambert NJ, Maklan EJ, Horan LH, and Noller HF (2008) The sarcin-ricin loop of 23S rRNA is essential for assembly of the functional core of the 50S ribosomal subunit, *RNA* 14, 1999–2012. [PubMed: 18755834]
41. Savelsbergh A, Katunin VI, Mohr D, Peske F, Rodnina MV, and Wintermeyer W (2003) An elongation factor G-induced ribosome rearrangement precedes tRNA-mRNA translocation, *Mol Cell* 11, 1517–1523. [PubMed: 12820965]
42. Pape T, Wintermeyer W, and Rodnina MV (1998) Complete kinetic mechanism of elongation factor Tu-dependent binding of aminoacyl-tRNA to the A site of the E. coli ribosome, *EMBO J* 17, 7490–7497. [PubMed: 9857203]
43. Polacek N, Gaynor M, Yassin A, and Mankin AS (2001) Ribosomal peptidyl transferase can withstand mutations at the putative catalytic nucleotide, *Nature* 411, 498–501. [PubMed: 11373685]
44. Youngman EM, Brunelle JL, Kochaniak AB, and Green R (2004) The active site of the ribosome is composed of two layers of conserved nucleotides with distinct roles in peptide bond formation and peptide release, *Cell* 117, 589–599. [PubMed: 15163407]
45. Burakovsky DE, Sergiev PV, Steblyanko MA, Kubarenko AV, Konevega AL, Bogdanov AA, Rodnina MV, and Dontsova OA (2010) Mutations at the accommodation gate of the ribosome impair RF2-dependent translation termination, *Rna* 16, 1848–1853. [PubMed: 20668033]




Figure 1. Interaction of 16S rRNA base A55 with tRNA, EF-Tu, and EF-G.
 (A) Structure of the 30S subunit showing the location of A55 of 16S RNA with respect to EF-Tu (PDB ID: 2WRN). The enlarged figure below shows the details of the interaction of A55 with EF-Tu (residues Thr 219 and Thr 221) and tRNA (base C75). (B) Structure of the 30S subunit showing the location A55 of 16S RNA with respect to EF-G (PDB ID: 2WRI). The enlarged figure below shows the details of the interaction of A55 with domain II of EF-G (residues 319– 323). Shown are the 30S subunit (grey), A site tRNA (cyan), P site tRNA (pale blue), E site tRNA (brown), base A55 (red), EF-Tu (yellow), and EF-G (yellow).




Figure 2. Effect of A55U mutation on cell growth and *in vitro* translation.

(A) Growth curve of *E. coli* POP2136 strain containing WT and A55U mutant plasmid at 42°C. (B) *In vitro* translation by WT and A55U mutant ribosomes. Time course showing the synthesis of *Renilla* luciferase by the WT and mutant ribosomes. The y-axis shows the relative luminescence in arbitrary units (RLU). WT and A55U mutant are colored green and red, respectively. (C) SDS-PAGE showing the *in vitro* synthesis of $[^{35}\text{S}]$ -labeled *Renilla* luciferase. Lane 1, control reaction without ribosomes; lane 2, WT ribosomes; and lane 3,

A55U mutant ribosomes. The bottom band is free [³⁵S]-methionine and the top band is *Renilla* luciferase, which has a molecular weight of 36 KD.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Figure 3. Effect of A55U mutation on the binding of EF-Tu ternary complex to the ribosome and peptide bond formation.

(A) A representative dot blot showing the upper nitrocellulose membrane with EF-Tu ternary complex bound to the ribosome and below HN⁺ membrane with the free tRNAs bound. The dots for the WT and A55U mutant are indicated. (B) Graph showing the equilibrium binding of EF-Tu-GTP-Phe-tRNA^{Phe} ternary complex to WT and mutant ribosomes. The standard deviations from four experiments are shown. (C) Time course of peptide bond formation by the WT and mutant ribosomes. The lines were best fitted to a single exponential equation. WT and A55U mutant are colored green and red, respectively. The standard deviations from two experiments are shown.




Figure 4. Effect of A55 mutation on EF-G binding to the ribosome and mRNA-tRNA translocation.

(A) Equilibrium binding of EF-G to the WT and A55U mutant ribosomes determined using a fluorescence-based assay. The binding reactions were performed with GTP and fusidic acid. The starting fluorescence intensity was normalized to 1, and the change in fluorescence intensity is shown in arbitrary units (a.u.). The standard deviations are from three independent experiments. WT and A55U mutant are shown in green and red, respectively. (B) Toeprint assay showing the extent of translocation by WT and A55U mutant ribosomes. Bands corresponding to the pre-translocation (Pre) and the post-translocation (Post) complex

are indicated by arrows. – and + indicate the absence and presence of EF-G, respectively. (C) Representative time course of EF-G-dependent translocation. The pre-steady-state rate of translocation was monitored by the decrease in fluorescence intensity. WT and A55U mutant are shown in green and red, respectively.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript