UC San Diego UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Phase I Study Assessing the Pharmacokinetic Profile, Safety, and Tolerability of a Single Dose of Ceftazidime-Avibactam in Hospitalized Pediatric Patients

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/60t8j17w

Journal Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 60(10)

ISSN 0066-4804

Authors

Bradley, John S Armstrong, Jon Arrieta, Antonio <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2016-10-01

DOI

10.1128/aac.00862-16

Peer reviewed



Phase I Study Assessing the Pharmacokinetic Profile, Safety, and Tolerability of a Single Dose of Ceftazidime-Avibactam in Hospitalized Pediatric Patients

John S. Bradley,^a Jon Armstrong,^b Antonio Arrieta,^c Raafat Bishai,^d Shampa Das,^b Shirley Delair,^e Timi Edeki,^f William C. Holmes,^d Jianguo Li,^g Kathryn S. Moffett,^h Deepa Mukundan,ⁱ Norma Perez,^j José R. Romero,^k David Speicher,^I Janice E. Sullivan,^m Diansong Zhou^g

University of California, San Diego, California, USA^a; AstraZeneca, Macclesfield, United Kingdom^b; Children's Hospital of Orange County, Orange, California, USA^c; AstraZeneca, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA^d; Children's Hospital & Medical Center, Omaha, Nebraska, USA^e; AstraZeneca, Wilmington, Delaware, USA^f; AstraZeneca, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA^g; West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA^h; University of Toledo, Toledo, Ohio, USA^I; University of Texas Health Science Center, Houston, Texas, USA^J; University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences and Arkansas Children's Hospital, Little Rock, Arkansas, USA^k; University Hospitals Rainbow Babies and Children's Hospital, Cleveland, Ohio, USA^I; University of Louisville and Kosair Children's Hospital, Louisville, Kentucky, USA^m

This study aimed to investigate the pharmacokinetics (PK), safety, and tolerability of a single dose of ceftazidime-avibactam in pediatric patients. A phase I, multicenter, open-label PK study was conducted in pediatric patients hospitalized with an infection and receiving systemic antibiotic therapy. Patients were enrolled into four age cohorts (cohort 1, \geq 12 to <18 years; cohort 2, \geq 6 to <12 years; cohort 3, \geq 2 to <6 years; cohort 4, \geq 3 months to <2 years). Patients received a single 2-h intravenous infusion of ceftazidime-avibactam (cohort 1, 2,000 to 500 mg; cohort 2, 2,000 to 500 mg [\geq 40 kg] or 50 to 12.5 mg/kg [<40 kg]; cohorts 3 and 4, 50 to 12.5 mg/kg). Blood samples were collected to describe individual PK characteristics for ceftazidime and avibactam. Population PK modeling was used to describe characteristics of ceftazidime and avibactam PK across all age groups. Safety and tolerability were assessed. Thirty-two patients received study drug. Mean plasma concentration-time curves, geometric mean maximum concentration (C_{max}), and area under the concentration-time curve from time zero to infinity (AUC_{0-∞}) were similar across all cohorts for both drugs. Six patients (18.8%) reported an adverse event, all mild or moderate in intensity. No deaths or serious adverse events occurred. The single-dose PK of ceftazidime and avibactam were comparable between each of the 4 age cohorts investigated and were broadly similar to those previously observed in adults. No new safety concerns were identified. (This study has been registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT01893346.)

n recent years there has been a global increase in the prevalence of multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens in adults and children, including extended-spectrum B-lactamase and carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. Longstanding carbapenem resistance has been documented in Pseudomonas aeruginosa since the approval and use of the first carbapenem, imipenemcilastatin (1-5). There have also been reports of an increasing trend toward antibiotic resistance among Enterobacteriaceae isolated from children (6, 7). As a consequence of increasing resistance, the utility of many antibiotic classes (including the cephalosporins and carbapenems) to treat serious Gram-negative infections has become compromised (8-12), creating an urgent need for new antibiotic therapies that utilize novel mechanisms of action. In particular, very few options have been investigated for the treatment of complicated Gram-negative infections in pediatric patients, and although several novel agents are in clinical development, pharmacokinetic (PK) data in children and adolescents is limited at present (13).

The PK profile of drugs differs between pediatric and adult patients (14). Body size and physiology develop rapidly in the first few years of life, resulting in significant differences in the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of drugs between pediatric and adult patients (15). Studies are required to assess the PK, safety, and tolerability of new drugs in all pediatric age groups.

Ceftazidime is an extended-spectrum antipseudomonal cephalosporin that was first approved in 1985 for the treatment of complicated infections in adults, with approval for children shortly thereafter (16). Widespread β -lactamase-mediated resistance has greatly reduced cephalosporin effectiveness (17); therefore, the combination of ceftazidime with avibactam, a novel non- β -lactam β -lactamase inhibitor, represents an important new option for the treatment of serious Gram-negative infections (18– 21). Avibactam has been shown *in vitro* to inactivate Ambler class A and C (including *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases) and some class D β -lactamases, which restores the bactericidal activity of ceftazidime against otherwise ceftazidime-resistant pathogens carrying these β -lactamases. However, avibactam does not inhibit metallo- β -lactamases (22).

Ceftazidime-avibactam (2,000 mg and 500 mg, respectively) is approved for adults in the U.S. for the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections (cIAI), when used in combination

Received 22 April 2016 Returned for modification 24 May 2016 Accepted 31 July 2016

Accepted manuscript posted online 8 August 2016

Citation Bradley JS, Armstrong J, Arrieta A, Bishai R, Das S, Delair S, Edeki T, Holmes WC, Li J, Moffett KS, Mukundan D, Perez N, Romero JR, Speicher D, Sullivan JE, Zhou D. 2016. Phase I study assessing the pharmacokinetic profile, safety, and tolerability of a single dose of ceftazidime-avibactam in hospitalized pediatric patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:6252–6259. doi:10.1128/AAC.00862-16.

Address correspondence to John S. Bradley, jbradley@rchsd.org.

Copyright © 2016 Bradley et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

	Dose (all administered as a 2-h i.v. infusion) for cohort:						
	1	$2 (\geq 6 \text{ yr to } < 12 \text{ yr})$		3	4		
Drug	$(\geq 12 \text{ yr to } < 18 \text{ yr})$	\geq 40 kg	<40 kg	$(\geq 2 \text{ yr to } < 6 \text{ yr})$	$(\geq 3 \text{ mo to } < 2 \text{ yr})$		
Ceftazidime Avibactam	2,000 mg 500 mg	2,000 mg 500 mg	50 mg/kg 12.5 mg/kg	50 mg/kg 12.5 mg/kg	50 mg/kg 12.5 mg/kg		

TABLE 1 Summary of dose regimen used in the study

with metronidazole, and complicated urinary tract infections (cUTI) with limited or no alternative treatment options (23). The efficacy and tolerability of ceftazidime-avibactam in the treatment of adults with cIAI and cUTI has been demonstrated in three recently reported phase III studies (NCT01499290, NCT01500239, and NCT01644643), none of which raised any new safety concerns (24, 25).

The primary objective of the phase I study reported here was to characterize the PK profile of a single dose of ceftazidime-avibactam in hospitalized infants and children with the aim of providing data to support future clinical studies of ceftazidime-avibactam in pediatric patients. Safety and tolerability were evaluated as secondary objectives.

(These data were presented in part at the 55th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, 17 to 21 September 2015, San Diego, California [26].)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design. This was a phase I, multicenter, open-label, single-dose study (sponsor protocol number D4280C00014; ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01893346) conducted in pediatric patients who were hospitalized with infections in the U.S. between July 2013 and October 2014. This was not a therapeutic study, and ceftazidime-avibactam was not used to treat the infection for which the patient had been hospitalized. The study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board of each of the investigators and was performed in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki. The study is consistent with International Conference on Harmonization (ICH)/Good Clinical Practice (GCP) and applicable regulatory requirements and the AstraZeneca policy on bioethics.

Patients. Patients eligible for inclusion were hospitalized male or female children aged \geq 3 months to <18 years who were receiving systemic antibiotic therapy for the treatment of a suspected or confirmed infection and who were expected to require hospitalization for at least 24 to 48 h. Hospitalization was mandatory for the first 24 h after infusion of ceftazidime-avibactam, with early discharge possible if the patient was able to return to the hospital/clinic for assessments on day 3. Patients were required to have sufficient intravenous (i.v.) access (peripheral or central) to receive the study drug and adequate access for PK sampling and to be likely to survive the current illness for which they were hospitalized. Prescribed antibiotics used to treat the patient's infection were to be continued without alteration.

Female subjects who had reached reproductive maturity were required to have a negative serum β -human chorionic gonadotropin test just prior to study entry and agree not to attempt pregnancy from the time of screening until 7 days after receipt of study drug. Key exclusion criteria were a history of hypersensitivity reactions to carbapenems, cephalosporins, penicillin, other β -lactam antibiotics, or metronidazole; a past or current history of epilepsy or seizure disorder (excluding childhood febrile seizures); severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance [CrCL] of \leq 30 ml/min/1.73 m²); pregnancy or breastfeeding; acute hepatitis in the prior 6 months; a history of cirrhosis, acute hepatic failure, or acute decompensation of chronic hepatic failure; and any condition, such as septic

shock, burns, or cystic fibrosis, that would make patients unsuitable for analysis of PK as the basis for dosing in proposed phase II treatment trials for cIAI and cUTI in children. Those with body mass index (BMI) below the 5th and above the 95th percentile based on age, height, and weight (excluding children <2 years old) were also excluded. Any patient who received ceftazidime within 12 h of study drug administration (24 h if CrCL was \leq 50 ml/min) was also excluded. Patients (if age appropriate) provided assent to participate, and the parent or legal guardian for each patient provided written informed consent prior to any study-specific procedures.

Treatment. The study included 4 cohorts grouped according to regulatory guidance (FDA pediatric guidance 2014), and each comprised at least 8 evaluable patients: cohort 1, \geq 12 to <18 years; cohort 2, \geq 6 to <12 years; cohort 3, \geq 2 to <6 years; and cohort 4, \geq 3 months to <2 years. Cohort 4 excluded young infants born prior to 37 weeks of gestation.

Dose regimens used in the present study are summarized in Table 1. All doses were administered as a 2-h continuous i.v. infusion to maximize the pharmacodynamic (PD) exposure for β -lactam antibiotics (27), i.e., the time during which non-protein-bound (or "free") antibiotic is present at the site of infection at concentrations that are above the MIC of the pathogen (fT>MIC) (28). Dosing in cohorts 1 and 2 was based on the probability of target attainment (PTA) of at least 90% by achieving the appropriate *f*T>MIC exposure in children in each age group, using population PK models of ceftazidime and avibactam that were developed from phase I and phase II studies in adults (29) and incorporating pediatricspecific changes in ceftazidime and avibactam PK according to allometric scaling for body weight (29). Dosing in cohorts 3 and 4 was based on updated population PK models of ceftazidime and avibactam using data from the older pediatric age groups in cohorts 1 and 2 and taking into account literature-reported maturation of renal function in children <2 years (30). The PK/PD targets used to determine doses for this study were based on those established in adults: ceftazidime free plasma concentrations above the ceftazidime-avibactam MIC (fT>MIC) of 8 mg/liter and avibactam free plasma concentrations above the critical threshold concentration $(fT > C_T)$ of 1 mg/liter, both for approximately 50% of the dosing interval (27-29). The PK/PD target of 50% fT>MIC is a wellcharacterized target for cephalosporins (31, 32), and a MIC of 8 mg/liter was selected for the ceftazidime target as it encompasses the MIC₉₀s for Enterobacteriaceae (33, 34) and P. aeruginosa (21, 33, 35-37). The avibactam target was based on $fT > C_T$ as this has previously been shown to be the PD index that most accurately predicts avibactam efficacy in vitro and *in vivo* (38, 39). A conservative C_T of 1 mg/ml was selected for the PK/PD target to ensure adequate levels of β-lactamase inhibition to restore ceftazidime activity against representative ceftazidime-resistant strains of *Enterobacteriaceae* and *P. aeruginosa* (38, 39). The time above C_T of 50% for avibactam was derived from preclinical studies (38) and also matches the ceftazidime target of 50% fT>MIC, thus protecting ceftazidime activity during the period it is most active. Doses were selected to achieve a PTA of at least 90%, with ceftazidime and avibactam exposures comparable with those in adults receiving ceftazidime-avibactam at 2,000 mg/500 mg every 8 h (q8h; the FDA-approved dose for adults based on phase III clinical trials), although not exceeding 20% higher than the simulated exposure (area under the concentration-time curve [AUC] and maximum plasma concentration $[C_{max}]$) observed in adults (40, 41). Doses for

TABLE 2 Baseline patient characteristics (safety analysis population)

	Value for cohort:				
Parameter	$\frac{1}{(\geq 12 \text{ to } <18 \text{ yr})}$	$2 (\ge 6 \text{ to } < 12 \text{ yr}) (n = 8)$	$3 (\ge 2 \text{ to } < 6 \text{ yr}) (n = 8)$	$4 (\geq 3 \text{ mo to } <2 \text{ yr}) $ $(n = 8)$	
	(11 0)	(11 0)	(11 0)	(# 0)	
Age, yr	14.0(1.6)	80(14)	25(10)	0.0 (0.5)	
Media (SD)	14.9(1.0) 14.0(12.0, 17.2)	7.0(1.4)	3.3(1.0)	0.9(0.3)	
Median (range)	14.9 (15.0–17.5)	/./ (6.5–10.8)	5.8 (2.1-4.9)	0.9 (0.3–1.8)	
Female, <i>n</i> (%)	5 (62.5)	3 (37.5)	6 (75.0)	3 (37.5)	
Race, <i>n</i> (%)					
White	6 (75.0)	6 (75.0)	7 (87.5)	5 (62.5)	
Black or African American	1 (12.5)	2 (25.0)	0	3 (37.5)	
Other ^a	1 (12.5)	0	1 (12.5)	0	
Weight, kg; mean (SD)	51.90 (7.15)	24.95 (3.03)	15.73 (2.39)	9.20 (2.51)	
BMI, kg/m ² ; mean (SD)	20.80 (3.21)	15.41 (0.78)	15.47 (0.95)	NA^d	
CrCL, ml/min/1.73 m ² ; mean (SD)	115.29 (18.14)	156.97 ^b (42.92)	181.57 (100.99)	104.35 (26.20)	
Normal renal function, <i>n</i> (%)	8 (100)	8 (100)	8 (100)	8 (100)	
Any concomitant antibiotic, $^{c} n (\%)$	8 (100)	8 (100)	8 (100)	8 (100)	
Lincosamides	3 (37.5)	2 (25.0)	4 (50.0)	6 (75.0)	
3rd-generation cephalosporins other than ceftazidime	1 (12.5)	3 (37.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	
Penicillins plus β-lactamase inhibitors	1 (12.5)	0	2 (25.0)	2 (25.0)	
Vancomycin	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	2 (25.0)	
Metronidazole	2 (25.0)	2 (25.0)	0	0	
Aminoglycosides	2 (25.0)	1 (12.5)	0	1 (12.5)	
1st-generation cephalosporins	1 (12.5)	0	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	
Azithromycin	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	
Extended-spectrum penicillin	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	1 (12.5)	0	
Meropenem	0	2 (25.0)	0	0	
Levofloxacin	0	0	2 (25.0)	0	

^{*a*} Asian (n = 1 in cohort 3 [\geq 2 to <6 years]) and American Indian or Alaskan native (n = 1 in cohort 1 [\geq 12 to <18 years]).

^b One patient in cohort 2 (\geq 6 to <12 years) had a value greater than the upper limit of normal and is not included in the mean (SD) calculation.

^c Taken any time between the initiation of study therapy and the day 3 follow-up assessment. Most commonly used antibiotics/groups are shown.

^d NA, not applicable.

cohorts 1 and 2 were determined from adult data; doses were subsequently determined for cohorts 3 and 4 based on data from cohorts 1 and 2. The final analysis, based on data from all available cohorts, supported the appropriateness of dose selection for each cohort during study implementation, with predicted PTA for the PK/PD target of 98% in cohort 1 and 94% to 98% for cohorts 2 to 4. Central clearance estimates for ceftazidime and avibactam in pediatric patients were estimated to be 26% and 30% greater, respectively, than for healthy adult volunteers. The PTAs for pediatric patients were predicted to be higher than the projected PTA in adult patients with normal renal function (90%), and the predicted exposure in $C_{\rm max}$ and AUC in pediatric subjects overall were within 30% of adult cIAI patients with mild renal impairment (42). Recruitment to cohorts 1 and 2 was conducted in parallel. All available data from cohorts 1 and 2 were reviewed by the safety review committee prior to proceeding with cohort 3. All available safety, tolerability, and predicted exposure data from cohort 3 were reviewed when making dosing decisions for cohort 4. Predicted exposures in cohort 3 and cohort 4 were also considered in all dosing decisions. Patients were monitored for 48 h following the end of study drug infusion.

Assessments. (i) Pharmacokinetics. Blood samples (1 ml in cohorts 1 and 2, 0.5 ml in cohorts 3 and 4) were obtained for PK analysis at defined sampling times (end of infusion [EOI] \pm 5 min and 30 min, 1.5 h, 3 h, 6 h, 10 h, and 22 h after EOI) for cohort 1 and specific sampling time windows for cohorts 2 to 4 (cohort 2, EOI \pm 5 min and 15 to 45 min, 1 to 2 h, 2 to 3 h, 4 to 6 h, and 11 to 13 h after EOI; cohorts 3 and 4, EOI \pm 5 min and 15 to 45 min, 2 to 3 h, and 4 to 6 h after EOI). A sparse sampling approach

was used in cohorts 3 and 4 to minimize blood sampling requirements in these smaller patients.

Ceftazidime and avibactam plasma concentrations were determined by Covance Laboratories Limited (Harrogate, United Kingdom) using liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) according to a previously reported validated methodology following solidphase extraction (avibactam) or protein precipitation (ceftazidime) (43). All samples were analyzed within the known stability periods for ceftazidime and avibactam. The lower limit of quantification was 50 ng/ml for ceftazidime and 10 ng/ml for avibactam.

Ceftazidime and avibactam concentration-time courses were used to derive individual noncompartmental PK parameters for cohorts 1 and 2, including C_{max} , AUC from time zero to last observed concentration (AUC_{0-t}), AUC from time zero to infinity (AUC_{0-∞}), elimination half-life $(t_{1/2})$, volume of distribution at steady state (V_{ss}) , and clearance (CL). Due to sparse sampling in cohorts 3 and 4, ceftazidime and avibactam non-compartmental PK parameters could not be derived without population PK analysis. In these cohorts, plasma concentrations at the EOI were used as a comparable measurement for C_{max} in cohorts 1 and 2. Plasma concentrations were plotted based on the midpoint of the sampling time window for cohorts 2 to 4.

(ii) Population pharmacokinetic modeling. Using data from appropriate previous clinical studies in adults, a population PK model has been developed to describe ceftazidime and avibactam exposure (29). Ceftazidime and avibactam plasma concentrations, pediatric patient demographics, and disease status data from cohorts 1 to 4 of the present study were used to update this model (29, 42). From this updated model, individual PK profiles for pediatric patients with available ceftazidime and avibactam plasma concentration data were estimated using the empirical Bayesian *post hoc* estimates. Ceftazidime and avibactam $AUC_{0-\infty}$ values for cohorts 3 and 4 were derived from these population PK modeled profiles.

(iii) Safety. Safety and tolerability data were collected for each patient from the time of informed consent from the parent(s) or other legally acceptable representative(s) and informed assent from the patient, as appropriate, from day -1 or day 1 through to the day 3 follow-up visit. Safety and tolerability data were analyzed from time of first dose onward and were based on adverse event (AE) and serious AE reports, vital sign measurements (at baseline, at 2, 4, 6, and 12 h, and at 2 and 3 days after the EOI), electrocardiograms (at baseline and 15 min after EOI), physical examinations (at baseline and day 3), and clinical laboratory tests (at baseline and day 3). The clinical significance of laboratory results was determined by the investigator according to a predefined set of criteria. AEs were listed and tabulated by system organ class (SOC) and preferred term (PT) according to the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA), version 16.1.

Statistical analysis. The planned sample size was based on typical PK characterization studies. No statistical calculations were performed to determine sample size. A minimum target of 32 patients was set for all cohorts collectively with at least 8 patients in each cohort. The PK analysis population included all patients who had received an i.v. study dose of ceftazidime-avibactam and had at least 1 postdose blood sample. An evaluable patient was defined as a patient who provided PK blood samples at \geq 50% of the sampling time points. PK parameters were summarized by analyte and measurement time using descriptive statistics. All analyses were performed using Statistical Analysis Software (SAS, release 9.1 or higher) (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) or Phoenix WinNonlin version 6.2 or higher (Pharsight Corporation, MO). Population PK modeling was performed using the NONMEM software (version 7.2; ICON Development Solutions, Hanover, MD) running under PsN (Perl-speaks-NONMEM) 3.7.6 on a grid of CentOS 5.6 Linux servers, using the Intel Fortran compiler version 12.0.4. The safety analysis population included all patients who had received any amount of an i.v. study dose of ceftazidime-avibactam. No statistical tests were performed for any safety analyses.

RESULTS

Patients. A total of 35 patients were enrolled across the 4 cohorts at 11 centers in the U.S. Three patients who were enrolled into cohort 1 did not receive any study medication (2 withdrew consent and 1 was withdrawn due to failing eligibility criteria). No patients were withdrawn due to AEs. Thirty-two patients (8 in each cohort) received the full ceftazidime-avibactam dose and 31 patients completed the study. Study completion was generally balanced across the 4 cohorts. One patient in cohort 2 did not attend the day 3 visit, and no follow-up data are available for this patient. Both the PK population and safety population comprised a total of 32 patients. Patient baseline demographic and clinical characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Excluding age, BMI, and CrCL, all patient baseline characteristics were similar across the 4 cohorts. Patients were predominantly white (n = 24), and all had normal renal function at baseline. There was a higher proportion of female patients in cohorts 1 and 3 and a higher proportion of male patients in cohorts 2 and 4.

The most frequent current infections were cellulitis (15.6%), limb abscess (9.4%), and appendicitis (9.4%). All patients had received at least 1 prior antibiotic medication (data not shown) and received at least 1 concomitant antibiotic medication (Table 2). Overall, the most common antibiotic medications received were clindamycin (lincosamide) and third-



FIG 1 Arithmetic mean (\pm SD) plasma concentration-time curves for ceftazidime for cohorts 1 to 4 following single-dose (day 1) administration of ceftazidime-avibactam (pharmacokinetic analysis population).

generation cephalosporins (prior, 34.4% for both; concomitant, 46.9% and 18.8%, respectively). Of the 32 children enrolled in the study, 4 received concurrent vancomycin and 4 received an aminoglycoside.

Pharmacokinetics. Mean (SD) plasma concentration-time curves from the available data for ceftazidime and avibactam following single-dose administrations on day 1 were similar across cohorts 1 to 4 for both drugs (Fig. 1 and 2); due to sparse sampling of cohorts 3 and 4, the midpoints were used to plot the data to enable comparison between all cohorts. Geometric mean (percent coefficient of variation) C_{max} was similar across all four age cohorts for ceftazidime and avibactam (Table 3). Due to the sparse sampling, C_{max} was the only parameter measured for cohorts 3 $(\geq 2 \text{ to } < 6 \text{ years})$ and $4 (\geq 3 \text{ months to } < 2 \text{ years})$. Median (range) time to maximum plasma concentration (t_{max}) for cohorts 1 and 2 was similar and occurred immediately after EOI. The geometric mean AUC_{0-t} and AUC_{0- ∞} were similar between cohorts 1 and 2 for both ceftazidime and avibactam. For both cohorts, the geometric mean value of AUC_{0-t} was approximately 99% of the AUC_{0- ∞}. Median (range) terminal $t_{1/2}$ values for ceftazidime were similar between cohorts 1 and 2, 1.7 h (0.9 to 2.8) and 1.6 h (0.9 to 1.8), respectively. The geometric mean weight-normalized CL was slightly higher in cohort 2 than in cohort 1 (CL, 0.226 versus 0.169 liter/kg/h), while V_{ss} was lower in cohort 2 than cohort 1 (V_{ss} , 13.0 versus 22.2 liters), although there was large within-cohort variability and CL was considered broadly comparable between cohorts (Table 3). Similar findings were also observed in cohort 1 and cohort 2 for median avibactam $t_{1/2}$ (range) values of 1.6 h (0.9



FIG 2 Arithmetic mean (\pm SD) plasma concentration-time curves for avibactam for cohorts 1 to 4 following single-dose (day 1) administration of ceftazidime-avibactam (pharmacokinetic analysis population).

to 2.8) and 1.7 h (0.9 to 2.0), respectively, and geometric mean CL and $V_{\rm ss}$ values ($t_{1/2}$, 1.6 versus 1.7 h; CL, 13.7 versus 8.9 liters/h; $V_{\rm ss}$, 31.0 versus 19.3 liters). Like ceftazidime, geometric mean weight-normalized CL for avibactam differed between cohorts 1

and 2, with large within-cohort variability, but was considered broadly similar between cohorts (Table 3).

Population pharmacokinetic modeling. The final population PK models for ceftazidime and avibactam were two-compartment disposition models with first-order elimination from the central compartment, including the covariate effect of the allometric model for body weight and the age effect on renal maturation. Goodness-of-fit plots and visual predictive checks indicated that the population PK models accommodated the pediatric data well, with no obvious bias, and were considered sufficiently robust to support extrapolation to younger children for use in PTA simulations (42). AUC_{0-∞} values were estimated from population PK modeling; they were comparable across all 4 cohorts and in line with adult exposures (Table 4).

Safety. A summary of AEs is shown in Table 5. Overall, 6 patients (18.8%) reported a total of 9 AEs with onset after the start of the infusion of ceftazidime-avibactam. No AEs were reported in cohort 1 or cohort 2. Four patients (50%) reported at least 1 AE in cohort 3; 2 patients (25%) reported at least 1 AE in cohort 4. All AEs were of mild or moderate intensity. The most common AEs reported were gastrointestinal, occurring in 3 (9.4%) patients. Only 1 AE was reported that was considered related to the study drug: sinus tachycardia in a 14-month-old male patient in cohort 4. The patient entered the study with medical conditions including cervical lymphadenitis, wound infection (staphylococcal), and fever but no history of cardiovascular conditions. The event was of mild intensity, began approximately 1 h after the start of the ceftazidime-avibactam infusion, and lasted approximately 0.5 days. After a full review of this case, no new safety concerns were identified. No deaths or serious AEs were reported in the study.

No potentially clinically significant hematology, clinical chemistry, or liver function tests were reported, and there were no clin-

TABLE 3 Summary of ceftazidime and avibactam pharmacokinetic parameters measured in pediatric patients (pharmacokinetic population)

	Value for cohort:					
Drug and parameter ^a	1 (n = 8) (\ge 12 to <18 yr)	2 (n = 8) (\ge 6 to <12 yr)	3 (n = 8) (\ge 2 to <6 yr)	4 (n = 8) (\ge 3 mo to <2 yr)		
Ceftazidime						
C_{\max} (mg/liter)	79.8 (41.8)	81.3 (17.8)	$80.1^{b}(14.7)$	91.7 ^b (19.6)		
$t_{\text{max}}^{c}(\mathbf{h})$	2.0 (1.9–2.6)	2.1 (1.9–2.4)				
AUC_{0-t} (h · mg/liter)	229.2 (30.9)	217.8 (18.4)				
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (h · mg/liter)	230.6 (30.7)	221.2 (17.4)				
$t_{1/2}^{c}(h)$	1.7 (0.9–2.8)	1.6 (0.9–1.8)				
$V_{\rm ss}$ (liters)	22.2 (42.0)	13.0 (17.8)				
CL (liter/h)	8.7 (45.5)	5.6 (16.0)				
CL/W (liter/kg/h)	0.169 (37.9)	0.226 (20.0)				
Avibactam						
$C_{\rm max}$ (mg/liter)	15.1 (52.4)	14.1 (23.0)	$13.7^{b}(22.4)$	$16.3^{b}(22.6)$		
$t_{\rm max}({\rm h})$	2.0 (1.9–2.6)	2.1 (1.9–2.4)				
AUC_{0-t} (h · mg/liter)	36.3 (33.7)	34.4 (23.4)				
$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (h · mg/liter)	36.4 (33.6)	34.8 (22.6)				
$t_{1/2}$ (h)	1.6 (0.9–2.8)	1.7 (0.9–2.0)				
$V_{\rm ss}$ (liters)	31.0 (53.3)	19.3 (27.0)				
CL (liter/h)	13.7 (52.6)	8.9 (30.2)				
CL/W (liter/kg/h)	0.267 (44.2)	0.359 (35.8)				

^{*a*} Values are geometric mean (coefficient of variation [%]) unless stated otherwise. CL/W, weighted clearance or clearance by body weight.

^b Plasma concentration as measured at end of infusion.

^c Median (range).

TABLE 4 Summary of ceftazidime and avibactam observed and population pharmacokinetic model-predicted exposures in pediatric patients (pharmacokinetic population)

$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (h · mg/liter)	$AUC_{0-\infty}$ (h · mg/liter)						
Observed value for cohort ^{<i>a</i>} :		Predicted value for cohort ^b :		Value for adult reference			
$1 (\geq 12 \text{ to } < 18 \text{ yr})$	2 (≥6 to <12 yr)	$3 (\geq 2 \text{ to } < 6 \text{ yr})$	$4 (\geq 3 \text{ mo to } < 2 \text{ yr})$	population ^{c} ($n = 16$)			
230.6 (30.7)	221.2 (17.4)	255.32 (43.95)	286.27 (37.13)	289.0^d (15.4)			
36.4 (33.6)	34.8 (22.6)	43.25 (12.14)	48.99 (10.64)	$42.1^{e}(16.0)$			
	$\frac{AUC_{0.\infty} (h \cdot mg/liter)}{Observed value for coh}$ $\frac{1 (\geq 12 \text{ to } <18 \text{ yr})}{230.6 (30.7)}$ $36.4 (33.6)$	$\begin{array}{c} AUC_{0-\infty} (h \cdot mg/liter) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 1 (\geq 12 \text{ to } < 18 \text{ yr}) & 2 (\geq 6 \text{ to } < 12 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ 230.6 (30.7) & 221.2 (17.4) \\ 36.4 (33.6) & 34.8 (22.6) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} AUC_{0-\infty} (h \cdot mg/liter) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Observed value for cohort^{a}: \\ \hline \\ 1 (\geq 12 \text{ to } <18 \text{ yr}) \\ 2 (\geq 6 \text{ to } <12 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ 230.6 (30.7) \\ 36.4 (33.6) \\ \hline \\ \\ 34.8 (22.6) \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} Predicted value for constraints of the second $	$\begin{array}{c c} AUC_{0\infty} (h \cdot mg/liter) \\ \hline \\ 1 (\geq 12 \text{ to } <18 \text{ yr}) & 2 (\geq 6 \text{ to } <12 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ 2 (\geq 6 \text{ to } <12 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ 3 (\geq 2 \text{ to } <6 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ 3 (\geq 2 \text{ to } <6 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ 4 (\geq 3 \text{ mot } o <2 \text{ yr}) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline$			

^{*a*} Data for cohorts 1 and 2 are geometric means (percent coefficients of variation).

^b Data for cohorts 3 and 4 are means (SD) based on population pharmacokinetic model predictions (42).

^c Values are geometric means (percent coefficients of variation) for observed exposures from a phase I study in healthy adult volunteers on day 1 after receiving a single dose of ceftazidime-avibactam (2,000 to 500 mg) (44).

 $^{d} n = 15.$

e n = 13.

ically significant changes in electrocardiograms as determined by the study investigators.

DISCUSSION

This phase I trial was the first study to evaluate the PK profile, safety, and tolerability of a single dose of ceftazidime-avibactam in hospitalized pediatric patients. The study allowed successful characterization of the single-dose PK profile of ceftazidime and avibactam in a pediatric population aged from 3 months to <18 years who were already receiving systemic antibiotic therapy for suspected or confirmed infection.

Ceftazidime and avibactam plasma PK parameters of C_{max} , AUC_{0-t}, AUC_{0- ∞}, t_{max} , and $t_{1/2}$ were similar between cohort 1 (\geq 12 to <18 years) and cohort 2 (\geq 6 to <12 years) for both drugs. Ceftazidime and avibactam plasma concentration profiles were similar in cohorts 1 and 2 across the sampling time points. Based on the sparse blood sampling and overlay of available plasma concentration data against time for the 4 cohorts, observed mean plasma concentrations appeared similar in cohorts 3 (\geq 2 to <6 years) and 4 (\geq 3 months to <2 years) to those observed in cohorts 1 and 2.

The PK profiles of single-dose ceftazidime and avibactam in this hospitalized pediatric population were similar to those previously observed in an adult population. In a phase I study in healthy adults (44), geometric mean $C_{\rm max}$ and total exposures (AUC_{0-∞}) following a single 2-h i.v. infusion of ceftazidime-avibactam at 2,000 mg/500 mg were 88.1 mg/liter and 289 mg \cdot h/liter, respectively, for ceftazidime and 15.2 mg/liter and 42.1 mg \cdot h/liter, respectively, for avibactam. In the current study, peak exposure ($C_{\rm max}$) of both ceftazidime and avibactam in cohorts 1 and 2 was comparable to that previously described for the adult population; however, total exposures were somewhat lower than those for the adult population (approximately 40 mg \cdot h/liter lower for ceftazidime and approximately 4.5 mg \cdot h/liter lower for avibactam when comparing cohorts 1 and 2 to adults).

Due to the sparse sampling in cohorts 3 and 4, population PK modeling was used to estimate $AUC_{0-\infty}$ to enable comparison

TABLE 5 Summar	v of AEs in	cohorts 3 and 4	(safety ana	lysis po	pulation)
----------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------	----------	-----------

	No. (%) of patients with AE for cohort ^{b} :			
System organ class/preferred term	$3 (\ge 2 \text{ to } <6 \text{ yr})$ $(n = 8)$	$4 (\geq 3 \text{ mo to } <2 \text{ yr})$ $(n = 8)$	Total ^{<i>a</i>} $(n = 32)$	
Any	4 (50.0)	2 (25.0)	6 (18.8)	
Cardiac disorders	0	1 (12.5)	1 (3.1)	
Sinus tachycardia	0	1 (12.5)	1 (3.1)	
Gastrointestinal disorders	3 (37.5)	0	3 (9.4)	
Constipation	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Diarrhea	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Vomiting	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Investigations	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Increased alanine aminotransferase	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Increased aspartate aminotransferase	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Increased blood triglycerides	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Increased gamma-glutamyltransferase	1 (12.5)	0	1 (3.1)	
Injury, poisoning, and procedural complications	0	1 (12.5)	1 (3.1)	
Procedural site reaction	0	1 (12.5)	1 (3.1)	

^a Total patient number includes cohorts 1 to 4.

^b Onset on or after the date and time of the first dose of ceftazidime-avibactam and up to and including the day 3 follow-up visit.

^c No AEs were reported in cohorts 1 and 2.

across all cohorts. The population modeling provided a good estimate of study drug exposure, with simulated study drug exposures (AUC) similar across all four age cohorts for avibactam and ceftazidime and broadly comparable with previously observed data in adults.

This study did not identify any new safety concerns, and the data supported the decision to initiate multiple-dose phase II treatment studies investigating the safety of ceftazidime-avibactam in hospitalized pediatric patients with cIAI (NCT02475733) or cUTI (NCT02497781). Further PK/PD modeling has been completed using the PK data generated in the present study and will be described separately. This compares modeled exposures in pediatric patients to those in adults and predicts PTA against the preclinical targets associated with efficacy in adults. Together, these data have been used to inform dose selection for the planned phase II studies of ceftazidime-avibactam in pediatric patients.

Conclusions. The data from this study were sufficient to characterize the PK profile of ceftazidime and avibactam in pediatric patients hospitalized with infection who received a single-dose i.v. infusion of ceftazidime-avibactam. PK profiles of both study drugs were comparable across all 4 age cohorts and were broadly similar to those previously observed in adults. No new safety concerns were identified. In addition, these data support the progression to phase II trials of ceftazidime-avibactam in pediatric patients and have been instrumental in the development of population PK modeling and subsequent PTA simulation that has supported dose decisions in these phase II studies.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the safety review committee, study investigators, and the patients and their families for their participation in this study. Medical writing support was provided by Leena Joshi of Prime Medica Ltd., Knutsford, Cheshire, United Kingdom, funded by AstraZeneca. The design and conduct of the study, analysis of the study data, and opinions, conclusions, and interpretation of the data are the responsibility of the authors.

J.B. was the principal investigator on this study. All other authors contributed equally and are listed alphabetically.

This study was supported by AstraZeneca. Ceftazidime-avibactam is being developed by AstraZeneca and Allergan plc. The sponsor collected, managed, and analyzed the data. The corresponding author had full access to the data and vouches for the accuracy and completeness of the data and all analyses.

J.B.'s employer, UCSD, received funds from AstraZeneca to conduct and consult on this study. The employers of A.A., S. Delair, K.S.M., D.M., N.P., J.R., D.S., and J.E.S. received funds from AstraZeneca to conduct the study. J.A., R.B., S. Das, W.C.H., J.L., and D.Z. are employees of Astra-Zeneca. T.E. was an employee of AstraZeneca during the time of the study.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work, including the efforts of John S. Bradley, was funded by AstraZeneca.

This study was supported by AstraZeneca. Ceftazidime-avibactam is being developed by AstraZeneca and Allergan plc. The sponsor collected, managed, and analyzed the data. The corresponding author had full access to the data and vouches for the accuracy and completeness of the data and all analyses.

REFERENCES

- 1. Poole K. 2011. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: resistance to the max. Front Microbiol 2:65.
- 2. Carlet J, Jarlier V, Harbarth S, Voss A, Goossens H, Pittet D. 2012. Ready for a world without antibiotics? The Pensieres antibiotic resistance

call to action. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control 1:11. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1186/2047-2994-1-11.

- Blair JM, Webber MA, Baylay AJ, Ogbolu DO, Piddock LJ. 2015. Molecular mechanisms of antibiotic resistance. Nat Rev Microbiol 13:42–51.
- Tangden T, Giske CG. 2015. Global dissemination of extensively drugresistant carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*: clinical perspectives on detection, treatment and infection control. J Intern Med 277:501– 512. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/joim.12342.
- Stillwell T, Green M, Barbadora K, Ferrelli JG, Roberts TL, Weissman SJ, Nowalk A. 2015. Outbreak of KPC-3 producing carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae in a US pediatric hospital. J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc 4:330–338. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piu080.
- Logan LK, Braykov NP, Weinstein RA, Laxminarayan R, CDC Epicenters Prevention Program. 2014. Extended-spectrum ß-lactamaseproducing and third-generation cephalosporin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in children: trends in the United States, 1999-2011. J Pediatr Infect Dis 3:320–328. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jpids/piu010.
- Pannaraj PS, Bard JD, Cerini C, Weissman SJ. 2015. Pediatric carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in Los Angeles, California, a highprevalence region in the United States. Pediatr Infect Dis J 34:11–16. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1097/INF.00000000000471.
- Hawser SP, Bouchillon SK, Hoban DJ, Badal RE, Canton R, Baquero F. 2010. Incidence and antimicrobial susceptibility of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in community- and hospital-associated intra-abdominal infections in Europe: results of the 2008 Study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends (SMART). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 54:3043–3046. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AAC.00265-10.
- Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. 2011. Global spread of carbapenemaseproducing *Enterobacteriaceae*. Emerg Infect Dis 17:1791–1798. http://dx .doi.org/10.3201/eid1710.110655.
- Lynch JP, III, Clark NM, Zhanel GG. 2013. Evolution of antimicrobial resistance among *Enterobacteriaceae* (focus on extended spectrum β-lactamases and carbapenemases). Expert Opin Pharmacother 14:199–210. http://dx.doi.org/10.1517/14656566.2013.763030.
- Temkin E, Adler A, Lerner A, Carmeli Y. 2014. Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: biology, epidemiology, and management. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1323:22–42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12537.
- Drekonja DM, Beekmann SE, Elliott S, Mukundan D, Polenakovik H, Rosenthal ME, Tamma PD, Polgreen PM, Weissman SJ. 2014. Challenges in the management of infections due to carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 35:437–439. http://dx .doi.org/10.1086/675604.
- Kearns GL, Abdel-Rahman SM, Alander SW, Blowey DL, Leeder JS, Kauffman RE. 2003. Developmental pharmacology–drug disposition, action, and therapy in infants and children. N Engl J Med 349:1157–1167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra035092.
- Ferro A. 2015. Paediatric prescribing: why children are not small adults. Br J Clin Pharmacol 79:351–353. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/bcp.12540.
- Ginsberg G, Hattis D, Miller R, Sonawane B. 2004. Pediatric pharmacokinetic data: implications for environmental risk assessment for children. Pediatrics 113:973–983.
- Covis Pharmaceuticals Inc. 2014. Fortaz (ceftazidine for injection) US prescribing information. Covis Pharmaceuticals Inc., Cary, NC. http: //www.drugs.com/pro/fortaz.html.
- Bonnet R. 2004. Growing group of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: the CTX-M enzymes. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 48:1–14. http://dx .doi.org/10.1128/AAC.48.1.1-14.2004.
- Vazquez JA, Gonzalez Patzan LD, Stricklin D, Duttaroy DD, Kreidly Z, Lipka J, Sable C. 2012. Efficacy and safety of ceftazidime-avibactam versus imipenem-cilastatin in the treatment of complicated urinary tract infections, including acute pyelonephritis, in hospitalized adults: results of a prospective, investigator-blinded, randomized study. Curr Med Res Opin 28:1921–1931. http://dx.doi.org/10.1185/03007995.2012.748653.
- Lucasti C, Popescu I, Ramesh MK, Lipka J, Sable C. 2013. Comparative study of the efficacy and safety of ceftazidime/avibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infections in hospitalized adults: results of a randomized, double-blind, phase II trial. J Antimicrob Chemother 68:1183–1192. http://dx.doi.org /10.1093/jac/dks523.
- 20. Castanheira M, Mills JC, Costello SE, Jones RN, Sader HS. 2015. Ceftazidime-avibactam activity tested against *Enterobacteriaceae* isolates from U.S. hospitals (2011 to 2013) and characterization of beta-

lactamase-producing strains. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 59:3509–3517. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00163-15.

- 21. Sader HS, Castanheira M, Flamm RK, Mendes RE, Farrell DJ, Jones RN. 2015. Ceftazidime/avibactam tested against Gram-negative bacteria from intensive care unit (ICU) and non-ICU patients, including those with ventilator-associated pneumonia. Int J Antimicrob Agents 46:53–59. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.02.022.
- Lagace-Wiens P, Walkty A, Karlowsky JA. 2014. Ceftazidime-avibactam: an evidence-based review of its pharmacology and potential use in the treatment of Gram-negative bacterial infections. Core Evid 9:13–25.
- Allergan. 2016. AVYCAZ prescribing information, updated June 2016. http://www.allergan.com/assets/pdf/avycaz_pi.
- 24. Carmeli Y, Armstrong J, Laud PJ, Newell P, Stone G, Wardman A, Gasink LB. 2016. Ceftazidime-avibactam or best available therapy in patients with ceftazidime-resistant Enterobacteriaceae and Pseudomonas aeruginosa complicated urinary tract infections or complicated intraabdominal infections (REPRISE): a randomised, pathogen-directed, phase 3 study. Lancet Infect Dis 16:661–673. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /S1473-3099(16)30004-4.
- 25. Mazuski JE, Gasink LB, Armstrong J, Broadhurst H, Stone GG, Rank D, Llorens L, Newell P, Pachl J. 2016. Efficacy and safety of ceftazidimeavibactam plus metronidazole versus meropenem in the treatment of complicated intra-abdominal infection: results from a randomized, controlled, double-blind, phase 3 program. Clin Infect Dis 62:1380–1389. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciw133.
- 26. Bradley J, Armstrong J, Bishai R, Das S, Holmes WC, Li J, Zhou D, Edeki T. 2015. Single-dose pharmacokinetics (PK) of ceftazidimeavibactam (CAZ-AVI) in hospitalized pediatric patients, abstr 2489. Abstr 55th Intersci Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, DC.
- Craig WA, Ebert SC. 1992. Continuous infusion of beta-lactam antibiotics. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 36:2577–2583. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.36.12.2577.
- Turnidge JD. 1998. The pharmacodynamics of beta-lactams. Clin Infect Dis 27:10–22. http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/514622.
- 29. Li J, Knebel W, Riggs M, Zhou D, Nichols W, Das S. 2012. Population pharmacokinetic modeling of ceftazidime (CAZ) and avibactam (AVI) in healthy volunteers and patients with complicated intra-abdominal infection (cIAI), abstr A-634. Abstr 52nd Intersci Conf Antimicrob Agents Chemother.
- Rhodin MM, Anderson BJ, Peters AM, Coulthard MG, Wilkins B, Cole M, Chatelut E, Grubb A, Veal GJ, Keir MJ, Holford NH. 2009. Human renal function maturation: a quantitative description using weight and postmenstrual age. Pediatr Nephrol 24:67–76. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007 /s00467-008-0997-5.
- Andes D, Craig WA. 2005. Treatment of infections with ESBL-producing organisms: pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic considerations. Clin Microbiol Infect 11(Suppl 6):S10–S17.
- DeRyke CA, Nicolau DP. 2007. Is all free time above the minimum inhibitory concentration the same: implications for beta-lactam in vivo modelling. Int J Antimicrob Agents 29:341–343. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1016/j.ijantimicag.2006.10.006.
- 33. Flamm RK, Stone GG, Sader HS, Jones RN, Nichols WW. 2014. Avibactam reverts the ceftazidime MIC90 of European Gram-negative bacterial clinical isolates to the epidemiological cut-off value. J Chemother 26:333–338. http://dx.doi.org/10.1179/1973947813Y.0000000145.

- 34. Karlowsky JA, Biedenbach DJ, Kazmierczak KM, Stone GG, Sahm DF. 2016. Activity of ceftazidime-avibactam against extended-spectrum- and AmpC beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae collected in the INFORM global surveillance study from 2012 to 2014. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:2849–2857. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02286-15.
- 35. Huband MD, Castanheira M, Flamm RK, Farrell DJ, Jones RN, Sader HS. 2016. In vitro activity of ceftazidime-avibactam against contemporary Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates from U.S. medical centers by census region, 2014. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:2537–2541.
- 36. Levasseur P, Girard AM, Claudon M, Goossens H, Black MT, Coleman K, Miossec C. 2012. In vitro antibacterial activity of the ceftazidime-avibactam (NXL104) combination against Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 56:1606–1608. http://dx.doi .org/10.1128/AAC.06064-11.
- Walkty A, DeCorby M, Lagace-Wiens PR, Karlowsky JA, Hoban DJ, Zhanel GG. 2011. In vitro activity of ceftazidime combined with NXL104 versus Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates obtained from patients in Canadian hospitals (CANWARD 2009 study). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 55:2992–2994. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01696-10.
- Berkhout J, Melchers MJ, van Mil AC, Seyedmousavi S, Lagarde CM, Schuck VJ, Nichols WW, Mouton JW. 2016. Pharmacodynamics of ceftazidime and avibactam in neutropenic mice with thigh or lung infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 60:368–375. http://dx.doi.org/10 .1128/AAC.01269-15.
- 39. Coleman K, Levasseur P, Girard AM, Borgonovi M, Miossec C, Merdjan H, Drusano G, Shlaes D, Nichols WW. 2014. Activities of ceftazidime and avibactam against beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in a hollow-fiber pharmacodynamic model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 58:3366–3372. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00080-14.
- 40. Li J, Zhou D, Al Huniti N, Bouchillon S, Bradford P, Nichols WW, Learoyd M. 2014. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic target attainment (PTA) and cumulative fractions of response (CFR) for ceftazidime, ceftazidime-avibactam, and meropenem against bacteria isolated from patients in Europe in 2012, abstr P1747A. Abstr 24th Eur Cong Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.
- 41. Li J, Nichols WW, Zhou D, Das S. 2015. Population pharmacokinetic modeling of ceftazidime and avibactam and probability of target attainment to support the dosing regimen in patients with nosocomial pneumonia including ventilator-associated pneumonia, abstr P1289. Abstr 25th Eur Cong Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.
- 42. Li J, Zhou D, Das S, Lovern MR, Wada R, Bellanti F, Riccobene TA, Carrothers T, Al Huniti N. 2015. Population PK modeling and dosing evaluations for ceftazidime-avibactam (CAZ-AVI) in children aged ≥3 months to <18 years receiving systemic antibiotic therapy for suspected or confirmed infection, abstr 671. Abstr Am Assoc Pharm Sci Annu Meet Exposition.
- Sillen H, Mitchell R, Sleigh R, Mainwaring G, Catton K, Houghton R, Glendining K. 2015. Determination of avibactam and ceftazidime in human plasma samples by LC-MS. Bioanalysis 7:1423–1434. http://dx.doi .org/10.4155/bio.15.76.
- 44. Das S, Li J, Armstrong J, Learoyd M, Edeki T. 2015. Randomized pharmacokinetic and drug-drug interaction studies of ceftazidime, avibactam, and metronidazole in healthy subjects. Pharmacol Res Perspect 3:e00172. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/prp2.172.