## UC San Diego

**UC San Diego Previously Published Works** 

## Title

Substantial increase in perfluorocarbons CF4 (PFC-14) and C2F6 (PFC-116) emissions in China.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5xv639xb

### Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 121(30)

## **Authors**

An, Minde Prinn, Ronald Western, Luke <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2024-07-23

## DOI

10.1073/pnas.2400168121

Peer reviewed





# Substantial increase in perfluorocarbons $CF_4$ (PFC-14) and $C_2F_6$ (PFC-116) emissions in China

Minde An<sup>a,b,c,1</sup>, Ronald G. Prinn<sup>a</sup>, Luke M. Western<sup>c</sup>, Bo Yao<sup>d,e,f,1</sup>, Kingchen Zhao<sup>b</sup>, Jooil Kim<sup>g</sup>, Jens Mühle<sup>g</sup>, Wenxue Chi<sup>e</sup>, Christina M. Harth<sup>g</sup>, Jianxin Hu<sup>b</sup>, Anita L. Ganesan<sup>a,h</sup>, and Matthew Rigby<sup>a,c</sup>

Affiliations are included on p. 7.

Edited by Steven Wofsy, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA; received January 4, 2024; accepted June 4, 2024

The perfluorocarbons tetrafluoromethane ( $CF_4$ , PFC-14) and hexafluoroethane ( $C_2F_6$ , PFC-116) are potent greenhouse gases with near-permanent atmospheric lifetimes relative to human timescales and global warming potentials thousands of times that of  $CO_{2}$ . Using long-term atmospheric observations from a Chinese network and an inverse modeling approach (top-down method), we determined that CF4 emissions in China increased from 4.7 (4.2-5.0, 68% uncertainty interval) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2012 to 8.3 (7.7-8.9) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2021, and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in China increased from 0.74 (0.66-0.80) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 to 1.32 (1.24-1.40) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2021, both increasing by approximately 78%. Combined emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in China reached 78 Mt  $CO_2$ -eq in 2021. The absolute increase in emissions of each substance in China between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020 was similar to (for  $CF_4$ ), or greater than (for  $C_2F_6$ ), the respective absolute increase in global emissions over the same period. Substantial  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions were identified in the less-populated western regions of China, probably due to emissions from the expanding aluminum industry in these resource-intensive regions. It is likely that the aluminum industry dominates  $CF_4$  emissions in China, while the aluminum and semiconductor industries both contribute to C2F6 emissions. Based on atmospheric observations, this study validates the emission magnitudes reported in national bottomup inventories and provides insights into detailed spatial distributions and emission sources beyond what is reported in national bottom-up inventories.

perfluorocarbons | greenhouse gas | inverse modeling | emissions | climate change

The perfluorocarbons (PFCs) are potent greenhouse gases which previously had their emissions regulated under the Kyoto Protocol and are currently included in the nonbinding Paris Agreement (1–3). Tetrafluoromethane (CF<sub>4</sub>, PFC-14) and hexafluoroethane (C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>, PFC-116), with atmospheric lifetimes of 50,000 and 10,000 y, respectively, are the two most abundant PFCs in the atmosphere (4–6). They have large global warming potentials over a 100-y time horizon (GWP<sub>100</sub>), of 7,380 for CF<sub>4</sub> and 12,400 for C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> (6). Due to their exceptionally long atmospheric lifetimes, emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> alter the global radiative budget essentially permanently compared to human time scales. Substantial by-product emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> are created during metal smelting (mainly aluminum) due to anode effects (see refs. 7–10 and references therein), causing the majority of their historic anthropogenic emissions. CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> have also been used extensively as plasma etching gases in the semiconductor and flat-panel display industries, where release to the atmosphere can occur if the unused gas is not recovered or properly destroyed (7–10).

Measurements of CF4 and C2F6 (from air trapped in ice cores, firn air, archived air, and in situ) have shown preindustrial  $CF_4$  abundances of ~34.1 ppt (19th century) due to a very small natural source, but less than 0.002 ppt of C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> (11). Global background mole fractions of both CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> have been increasing due to anthropogenic activities since the early 1900s, with a rapid increase around 1940 due to the aluminum demand during World War II (11, 12). The global average mole fraction reached ~86 ppt for  $CF_4$  and ~4.9 ppt for  $C_2F_6$ in 2020 according to observations from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE) (4). Global emissions of CF4 and C2F6, derived using global background measurements and an inverse modeling method, have increased steadily since the 2010s (4, 12), after a period of decreasing emissions of both substances since their emission peaks in ~1980 for CF<sub>4</sub> and ~2000 for C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> (11–13). These recent increases in emissions are occurring despite efforts from the semiconductor and aluminum industries to reduce the emissions in recent decades (7, 9, 14–16). Combined global CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions reached 138 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq (15 Gg y<sup>-1</sup> of CF<sub>4</sub> and 2.2 Gg y<sup>-1</sup> of  $C_2F_6$ ) in 2020 (4, 12). A previous study suggested that the effectiveness of emission reduction measures implemented by the semiconductor and flat-panel display industries may be overestimated and attributed the global increase of  $CF_4$ 

### Significance

We investigate the emissions of two potent greenhouse gases, perfluorocarbons tetrafluoromethane (CF<sub>4</sub>, PFC-14) and hexafluoroethane ( $C_2F_6$ , PFC-116), in China. Based on atmospheric observations within China, we report substantial increases in CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in China over the last decade. These increases in national emissions are sufficient to explain the entire increases in global emissions over the same period. We suggest that substantial CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions could be due to by-product emissions from the aluminum industry in the less populated and less economically developed western regions in China. The findings highlight the importance of mitigating CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in China and provide guidance for directing mitigation strategies toward specific regions and/or industries.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission

Copyright © 2024 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

This article contains supporting information online at https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas. 2400168121/-/DCSupplemental.

Published July 15, 2024.

Author contributions: M.A. and B.Y. designed the research; B.Y. provided measurement data of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China; J.M. and C.M.H. contributed to the measurement calibration; M.A. calculated the regional CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions by inverse modeling with the support of L.M.W., A.L.G., and M.R; X.Z. contributed to the analysis of industry data; M.A. wrote the paper, with contributions from R.G.P., L.M.W., B.Y., X.Z., J.K., J.M., W.C., J.H., A.L.G., and M.R.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: mindean@mit.edu or yaobo@fudan.edu.cn.

and  $C_2F_6$  in recent years to the emissions from expanding aluminum and semiconductor industries in East Asia, including China (9).

Emissions of PFCs in China from the expanding aluminum industry [especially due to low voltage anode effects (9, 16-18) and continuous emissions (16)] and perhaps from the rare earth metal industry (which uses similar processes) (9, 19), as well as from the semiconductor and flat-panel display industries, are important to understand the global  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  budget. Several previous studies have focused on the emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China. Large discrepancies exist between different bottom-up (industry data-based) emission inventories (8, 9, 20-26) and top-down (atmospheric observation-based) emission estimates (7, 9, 27-30) in China. Topdown emission estimates based on atmospheric observations can provide useful information to evaluate and improve national bottom-up inventories (17). However, existing long-term top-down estimates of CF4 and C2F6 emissions in China are based on measurements made outside of China (specifically in South Korea) (7, 9), which lack sensitivity to the western regions of China.

In this study, the emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in China (not including emissions from Hong Kong, Macao, Taiwan, and the ocean areas, throughout this study) over 2011 to 2021 were derived using long-term atmospheric observations from nine sites within China and a top–down inverse modeling technique. The measurement sites are distributed throughout China and provide good sensitivity to emissions across China. The derived spatial distributions of emissions in China are used to investigate the potential source sectors for the emissions. Finally,  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emission increases in China are discussed in the context of the increases in global emissions.

### Results

**Emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China.** Emissions of both CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China derived in this study show substantial increases over 2011 to 2021 (Fig. 1). Emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> increased from 4.7 (4.2-5.0, 68% uncertainty interval, the same hereafter) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2012 to 8.3 (7.7-8.9) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2021, a relative increase of

~78%. Emissions of  $C_2F_6$  increased from 0.74 (0.66-0.80) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2011 to 1.32 (1.24-1.40) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> in 2021, a relative increase of ~78%. These derived (posterior) emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and  $C_2F_6$  are relatively insensitive to the a priori emissions magnitudes and prior probability distributions (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1) and also to the choices of measurement datasets (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2) that were used in the inversion, both in terms of the a posteriori emission magnitudes in each specific year and the general trend.

Several previous studies (7-9, 20-30) have quantified emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China, and substantial increases in CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions have been identified in some of these studies [e.g., Guo et al. (8) and Kim et al. (9)] (Fig. 1). However, large discrepancies exist between previous bottom-up and top-down emissions. The top-down CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions derived in this study agree reasonably with previously published top-down emissions, given some of the large uncertainties (7, 9). The relative differences between previous top-down studies (7, 9) and the mean estimates of this study (~24% for  $CF_4$  emissions and ~11% for  $C_2F_6$  emissions, on average over the overlapping years) may result from the different atmospheric measurements used and perhaps also from the different inverse modeling approaches taken. These top-down emission time series [from this study and previous studies (7, 9)] commonly exhibit significant interannual variations, which may be genuine or an artifact due to the model-measurement errors. The top-down CF4 and C2F6 emissions derived in this study are relatively close to a recently published bottom-up inventory of Guo et al. (8), especially in recent years when they agree within uncertainties, although the best estimates of Guo et al. (8) are still lower (e.g., ~6% relatively lower for  $CF_4$  emissions and ~18% relatively lower for  $C_2F_6$  emissions in 2019) than the mean values of our top-down emissions. Our top-down emissions also agree relatively well with (but are still, on average, ~30% larger than) a bottom-up inventory for CF4 emissions from the aluminum industry (9). The top-down CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions derived here are substantially larger than the bottom-up Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR) v8.0 inventory (20) (with the EDGAR inventory accounting for a range of only ~24-36% of



**Fig. 1.** Emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in China. Emissions of (*A*)  $CF_4$  and (*B*)  $C_2F_6$  derived in this study using nine sites in China (black line with its shading representing the 68% uncertainty interval) are compared to previous top-down (7, 9, 27–30) and bottom-up (8, 9, 20–26) emission estimates. Note that emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  derived in this study are not available for some years during 2011 to 2021 for different experimental reasons (*Methods*). The years in the brackets after the legends of the previous studies are the time coverages of these studies. All available results during 2005 to 2021 were included in the plots for a complete comparison, although the previous emissions in early years do not overlap with the time coverage of this study and are not discussed. Some of the previous top-down studies were conducted using an interspecies correlation (ISC) method. The  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions derived in this study are tabulated in *SI Appendix*, Table S1 and Dataset S1.

the top–down CF<sub>4</sub> emissions derived in this study and ~14-20% of the top–down C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in this study during 2011 to 2021) and the officially reported bottom–up emissions from China's national communications or biennial update to the UNFCCC (23–26) (with officially reported emissions accounting for a range of only ~34-41% of top–down CF<sub>4</sub> emissions in this study and ~23-28% of top–down C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in this study over the overlapping years). The substantially lower bottom–up inventories compared to the top–down emissions indicate that these current bottom–up inventories are likely underestimated, in terms of the magnitudes of production of the relevant industries and/or the emission factors.

**Spatial Distributions of Emissions of CF**<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China. We divide the emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China into seven subregions, as shown in Fig. 2. The northwest of China contributes

the most to the national total CF<sub>4</sub> emissions in most years, a region for which previous top–down studies (7, 9) had low sensitivities. For emissions of  $C_2F_6$ , the northwest and east of China contribute most to the national total emissions among all the regions over the study period. We note large interannual variations in some subregional emissions, possibly due to the weaker constraint from the limited number of observations in these subregions. Thus, we used multiyear averaging over different periods to investigate the long-term trend of emissions in each subregion. Emissions from the northwest of China show substantial increases of 1.8 (1.4-2.1) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> for CF<sub>4</sub> and 0.26 (0.22-0.30) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> for C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> between 2011-2012 and 2019-2021. The northwest of China contributed most to the national total emissions increase during this period (~49.1% of the national emissions rise for CF<sub>4</sub> and ~43.5% for C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>) (Fig. 2 *D* and *E*). The northwest of China



**Fig. 2.** Emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  and aluminum production in different subregions of China. The definitions of each subregion can be found in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S3. Panel (*A*) shows  $CF_4$  emissions, (*B*)  $C_2F_6$  emissions, and (*C*) aluminum production in each subregion; panel (*D*) shows the increase in emissions of  $CF_4$ between 2012 and the 2019-2021 average, (*J*) the increase in emissions of  $C_2F_6$  between the 2011-2012 and 2019-2021 averages, and (*F*) the aluminum production increase between the 2011-2012 average and 2019, from each subregion; panel (*G*) shows the spatial distribution of  $CF_4$  emissions, (*H*)  $C_2F_6$  emissions and (*I*) aluminum production in each province (not including data for Hong Kong, Macao, Taiwan, and ocean regions). The uncertainties for  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in plots (*A* and *B*) are the 68% uncertainty intervals. The values of subregional  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions can be found in Dataset S1. The sum of the percentages in plots (*D*-*F*) may be larger than 100%, because emissions/production in each year tabulated in *SI Appendix*, Table S2. Note that aluminum production data are only available during 2011 to 2019. The values shown in plots (*G*-*I*) represent the total annual quantities in each province averaged over 2016 to 2019, a period when emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  and aluminum production data are all available. The gray triangles in plots (*G* and *H*) are the locations of semiconductor factories, obtained from Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List\_of\_semiconductor\_fabrication\_plants, last access: 16 April 2023). The spatial distributions for all years can be found in *SI Appendix*, Figs. S4–S8.

is generally less populated and less economically developed than other regions of China. However, this resource-intensive region has the largest aluminum production and contributes most to the aluminum production increase in China (Fig. 2 *C* and *F*). Both  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  are formed as a by-product during anode effects in the aluminum industry and during continuous operations (16– 18), likely explaining the substantial emissions in the northwest of China. The east of China also contributes significantly to national total  $C_2F_6$  emissions and their increases in China (Fig. 2 *B* and *E*). The east of China is a highly populated and economically developed region with substantial aluminum production (Fig. 2 *C* and *I*), significant presence of semiconductor industry (gray triangles in Fig. 2 *G* and *H* and *SI Appendix*, Fig. S9*A*), and perhaps substantial flat-panel display industry (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S9*B*).

From the plots of emission spatial distributions (Fig. 2 G-I), emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> are located largely in the northwest regions of China, especially in recent years (SI Appendix, Figs. S4 and S5), and the distributions of CF<sub>4</sub> emissions are highly consistent with distributions of aluminum production. The spatial distributions of C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions (Fig. 2H) are similar to those of aluminum production (Fig. 2I), while some of the largest emissions of C2F6 are colocated with semiconductor (Fig. 2 G and H and SI Appendix, Fig. S9A) and flat-panel display (SI Appendix, Fig. S9B) industries (e.g., around the Yangtze River Delta region in the east of China). The spatial distribution features of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions imply that aluminum production is likely the dominant source of CF<sub>4</sub> emissions in China, including its significant contribution to emissions in the western regions of China, while aluminum production and the semiconductor (and flat-panel display) industry are both major sources of C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions, probably from different regions. This can also be validated to some extent by the comparison between emissions in this study with a previous bottomup inventory from the aluminum industry [bottom-up emissions from Kim et al. (9) in Fig. 1]. The top–down emissions of  $CF_4$  in this study are relatively close to the bottom-up CF<sub>4</sub> emissions from the aluminum industry (9), while the top-down emissions of  $C_2F_6$  in this study are much larger than the estimated bottom–up  $C_2F_6$  emissions from aluminum industry (9) (Fig. 1), which is expected given the relative industry contributions to CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in China identified above. In this study, we do not explicitly discuss emissions from the rare earth metal industries due to the lack of industry data. However, the source processes of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> from the rare earth metal industry are similar to those of the aluminum industry, and the contribution from the rare earth industries to CF<sub>4</sub> and  $C_2F_6$  emissions may be relatively small (9, 19), though more studies are needed.

The emission ratio of  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  can provide insights into the origin of emissions in a specific region. This emission ratio is generally < 0.1 from the aluminum industry (and the rare earth metal industry) and > 0.4 from the semiconductor industry (including the flat-panel display industry) (9, 10, 17). The emission ratio in each subregion in each year is shown in Fig. 3. Emission ratios of  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  in the northwest and southwest regions are among the lowest values and close to 0.1, suggesting that the  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emitted in these western regions originate mostly from the aluminum industry. The average emission ratio of  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  in the east of China over the study period is 0.28, the highest among all the regions, and is between the reported emission ratios from the aluminum industry and semiconductor (and flat-panel display) industry, suggesting comparable contributions of the two industries to  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in the east of China.

The substantial emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in the western regions likely result from the expanding aluminum industry in this resource-intensive area. Monitoring  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in the western regions is necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of



**Fig. 3.** Emission ratios of  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  in each subregion of China. Due to the lack of  $CF_4$  emissions in 2011 and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in 2013 to 2015, only ratios in 2012 and over 2016 to 2021 are calculated.

controls to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and the achievement of carbon neutrality goals. Two previous long-term top-down emissions estimates of CF4 and C2F6 were derived by measurements made outside of China (7, 9), specifically from the Gosan AGAGE site located in South Korea, which has lower sensitivity to emissions in regions beyond eastern China, e.g., the northwestern regions of China (SI Appendix, Fig. S10). Although the derived emissions from these previous top-down studies are relatively close to our top-down emissions (Fig. 1, ~24% relative difference on average over the overlapping years compared to this study for CF<sub>4</sub> emissions and ~11% relative difference for  $C_2F_6$  emissions), the two sites across the northwest of China used in this study (WLG and AKD, see Methods) likely provided additional information to constrain the spatial distributions of emissions. When comparing previous top-down emissions to those in this study, lower emissions were more commonly observed for  $CF_4$  than  $C_2F_6$  (Fig. 1), which could be due to the substantial CF<sub>4</sub> emissions in the western regions emitted from the aluminum industries that were not well constrained by the measurements used previously. Without using the two sites in the northwest of China in this study (SI Appendix, Fig. S11), the derived emissions in China change by an average of ~12% over the period for  $CF_4$ , and ~9% for  $C_2F_6$ , compared to emissions derived using all sites. Although excluding the sites in the northwest of China does not make a large difference to our conclusions drawn on the overall emission magnitudes and general trends in China, some of the spatial distribution information in the western regions would be missing if no sites in the northwest were used (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S11 C and D).

**Emissions in China and Globally.** Emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$ in China derived in this study are compared to global  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions (4) (Fig. 4 and *SI Appendix*, Table S1). The  $CF_4$ and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in China and globally increased substantially between 2011/12 and 2020. Emissions in China represented a substantial fraction of global emissions during 2011 to 2020 and explained a major fraction of the global trend. Emissions of  $CF_4$ from China were responsible for 42 (36-46)% of the global total  $CF_4$  emissions in 2012, reaching 66 (59-71)% in 2020. Emissions of  $C_2F_6$  in China were responsible for 38 (33-42)% of the global total  $C_2F_6$  emissions in 2011, reaching 64 (58-70)% in 2020. The increase in  $CF_4$  emissions in China is nearly equal to the global  $CF_4$  emission increase between 2012 and 2017-2020 [Fig. 4*C*,



**Fig. 4.** Emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in China compared to global emissions. Panel (*A*) shows a comparison between the emissions of  $CF_4$  and (*B*)  $C_2F_6$  in China derived in this study and the global emissions obtained from Laube and Tegtmeier (4) from AGAGE data. Multiyear averages were used to calculate the increase in emissions in China and globally. Panel (*C*) shows the changes in emissions between 2012 and the average of 2017-2020 for  $CF_4$  and (*D*) between the average of 2011-2012 and the average of 2017-2020 for  $C_2F_6$ .

an increase of 3.2 (2.7-3.7) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> was derived for CF<sub>4</sub> emissions in China between the two periods, compared to 3.1 (2.0-4.2) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> globally]. The increase in C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in China is larger than the global C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emission increase between 2011-2012 and 2017-2020 [Fig. 4*D*, an increase of 0.53 (0.46-0.61) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> from China between these periods, compared to 0.29 (0.11-0.46) Gg y<sup>-1</sup> globally], suggesting that C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions may have decreased elsewhere in the world over the same period. It is worth noting that the increases in C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions from China and globally are both highly uncertain.

The global  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  emission ratio ranges between about 0.15 to 0.18, depending on the year, which closely mirrors the  $C_2F_6/CF_4$ emission ratio of around 0.15 to 0.19 observed in China (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). This suggests that the relative size of emissions from different industrial sources of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> is similar in China and globally, with both the aluminum and the semiconductor/flat-panel display industries being the major contributors. The global  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  emission ratios exhibit a decreasing trend over 2011 to 2020, indicating a global shift toward a greater dominance of aluminum industry in CF<sub>4</sub> and  $C_2F_6$  emissions compared to the semiconductor (and flat-panel display) industries and/or the gradual replacement of  $C_2F_6$  by alternatives such as NF<sub>3</sub> in certain cleaning processes in the semiconductor industries (9, 32). The ratios of  $C_2F_6/CF_4$  emissions in China show a large scatter with no discernable trend, perhaps in part due to the lack of data for several years (SI Appendix, Fig. S12). Primary aluminum production in China exhibits nearly identical growth patterns to the global

total aluminum production over the last decade and contributes more than 90% to the global total increase in aluminum production (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S13). The dominance of China in the increase in global aluminum production is likely, in part, driving the global emissions growth of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>. The slowdown of the C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emission increase since 2018 in China and globally could be attributed to the emission reductions from the semiconductor/flat-panel display industries in recent years, where NF<sub>3</sub> is replacing C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in cleaning applications (9, 32), while the lack of abatement equipment for destroying unused gases in these industries during the early years in China could be a potential explanation for the greater C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emission increase in China (best estimate) compared to the global total increase in C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions. Unfortunately, no further information from the semiconductor and flat-panel display industries is available to validate this hypothesis.

#### Discussion

Top–down emission estimates based on atmospheric observations can help to evaluate and improve national bottom–up inventory reporting and provide insights into spatial distributions and emissions source sectors. In this study, using long-term atmospheric observations of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  from a Chinese measurement network and an inverse modeling technique, we inferred rapid increases in  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions from China over the last decade. The emissions from China contributed to a range of ~42-66% of global total  $CF_4$  emissions and ~38-64% of global  $C_2F_6$  emissions, depending on the year during the study period. The absolute increases in  $CF_4$ and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in China are of similar magnitude (for  $CF_4$ ) or larger than (for  $C_2F_6$ ) the absolute increases in global emissions over the same period, suggesting that changes in emissions in China may be the dominant driver behind changes in global  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$ emissions. We are also able to explore spatial distributions of the emissions sources. Substantial emissions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  were determined to come from the less populated and less economically developed western regions of China. Emissions from these western regions of China are likely dominated by emissions from the aluminum industry, while the semiconductor and flat-panel display industries are likely to be considerable sources of emissions in the more economically developed regions, especially for  $C_2F_6$ .

The combined emissions of  $\overline{CF}_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  in China reached 78 Mt CO<sub>2</sub>-eq in 2021, which is equivalent to ~0.6-0.7% of the national total  $CO_2$  emissions (20, 33), ~4% of the national total  $CH_4$  emissions (20) (using  $GWP_{100}$  for  $CH_4$  of 27.9), and around one-fifth of the national total  $N_2O$  emissions (20) (using GWP<sub>100</sub> for N<sub>2</sub>O of 273) in 2021. The ongoing  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in China, especially under the rapid expansion of China's aluminum and semiconductor industries, could offset progress toward China's carbon neutrality goal and global climate mitigation. There is a significant potential for reductions of CF4 and C2F6 emissions in China through technological innovation in the aluminum industry under different scenarios (34). The possibility of incorporating the aluminum industry into the carbon market in China (e.g., ref. 35) could stimulate the emission reductions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$ . It is important to continue to monitor emissions of  $CF_4$  and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in China, including in the resource-intensive western regions, to validate national inventory reporting and evaluate the effectiveness of emission mitigation controls.

### Methods

To estimate CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions in China, we utilized the Numerical Atmospheric-dispersion Modelling Environment (NAME)-hierarchical Bayesian inference with Markov chain Monte-Carlo method (HBMCMC) regional inverse modeling framework that is described in detail in several previous papers (36–40). The framework has been used to estimate regional emissions from both in situ and flask measurements at multiple sites in a Chinese network (36, 39, 40). The approach consists of four parts: atmospheric observations, sensitivities of the observations to regional emissions, prior estimates of the emissions, and a Bayesian inference algorithm to solve for the emissions.

Atmospheric Observations. Atmospheric mole fractions of CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> were measured at nine sites in China, as part of the China Meteorological Administration (CMA) network. The sites are located in different regions of China, namely Akedala (AKD, 47.10° N 87.97° E) and Mt. Waliguan (WLG, 36.29° N 100.90° E) in the northwest of China, Lin'an (LAN, 30.30° N 119.73° E) in the east of China, Longfengshan (LFS, 44.73° N 127.60° E) in the northeast of China, Jiangjin (JGJ, 29.15° N 106.15° E) and Shangri-La (XGL, 28.01° N 99.44° E) in the southwest of China, Jinsha (JSA, 29.64° N 114.21° E) in central China, Shangdianzi (SDZ, 40.65° N 117.12° E) in the north of China, and Xinfeng (XFG, 24.08° N 114.17° E) in the south of China. Two modes of sampling were conducted at the sites: namely flask samplings and high-frequency in situ samplings (SI Appendix, Table S3). Weekly flask sampling was conducted at SDZ, WLG, LFS, XGL, AKD, XFG, and JSA, and daily flask sampling was conducted at JGJ. A mix of weekly and daily flask samples were collected at LAN (weekly before 2018 and daily after 2019). All the flask samples were analyzed at the CMA Beijing Laboratory. In addition to the above flask sampling, ~two-hourly in situ measurements were conducted at the SDZ station during 2011 to 2012 and 2016 to 2021. These measurements made at the above sites can in total provide good sensitivity to CF<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> emissions across China (SI Appendix, Figs. S14 and S15).

AGAGE Medusa gas chromatographic system with mass spectrometric detector (41, 42) at the CMA Beijing Laboratory was used to analyze the mole fractions of  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  from the flask samples and a second Medusa system was used for the in situ measurements at SDZ. The mole fractions are reported on the AGAGE SIO-14 calibration scale (43). The analyses of the samples were bracketed by analyses of the working standard gases to calibrate the measurements. The precision of the measurements is estimated to be 0.5% and 1% for in situ measurements and flasks for  $CF_4$ , respectively, and 0.9% and 1.5% for  $C_2F_6$ . Further details regarding the sampling and measurements are available in previous studies (30, 44, 45). The high-frequency in situ measurements were averaged every 24 h in the inversion framework to reduce the influence of correlated model uncertainties between the successive samples. The observations for  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  can be found in Datasets S2 and S3. The mole fractions that were input to the inversion framework after resampling are shown in *SI Appendix*, Figs. S16 and S17.

Sensitivities of the Observations to Emissions. To derive emissions based on the atmospheric observations, the quantitative relationships (sensitivities) between the observations and emissions are needed. The sensitivities of the atmospheric observations to emissions in each grid were estimated using a Lagrangian particle dispersion model, the UK Met Office NAME (46), which was run backward in time for 30 d to calculate the interaction of the air with the surface, and thus the sensitivity of the observations to surface emissions. Particles located within the lowest 40 m of the atmosphere above ground level were regarded as interacting with the surface emissions. The detailed configuration for the NAME runs can be found in previous studies (36-40). The output grid has a spatial resolution of ~0.234° in latitude and ~0.352° in longitude, within a computational domain between 5° S, 74° N, and 55° E, 192° E. These grids are aggregated into 150 regions by applying a quadtree algorithm (47) to the a priori contribution from each grid to the mole fractions. The 150 regions were used as the basic calculation units in the inverse modeling (basis functions).

The regional emissions are estimated based on the enhancements of the mole fractions over the background values (i.e., pollution events), so an estimate of background values is also needed. In the NAME-HBMCMC framework, the background is defined as the influence of all emissions outside of the computational domain as well as the well-mixed global background mole fractions. In the NAME backward run, the locations of particles leaving the computational domain were integrated to calculate the sensitivities of the observations to the background values.

**Bayesian Inference Algorithm and Prior Emissions.** A priori information is needed to estimate the emission values in the Bayesian inference algorithm (48, 49). The a priori magnitudes for emissions were 5 Gg y<sup>-1</sup> over China for CF<sub>4</sub> and 0.8 Gg y<sup>-1</sup> for C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> for all years, which are similar to the magnitudes of emissions in previous studies (7–9). The emissions were distributed in space using the intensity of lights at night, taken from the NOAA Defense Meteorological Satellite Program-Operational Line-Scan System (https://ngdc. noaa.gov/eog/data/web\_data/v4composites/, last access: 1 March 2021), used here as a proxy for anthropogenic activity. The a priori emissions in each basis function were scaled during the inversion to optimally fit the observations, where the scaling factor was assumed to follow a lognormal distribution, with shape parameters mu of 0.2 and sigma of 0.8. This prior probability distribution ensures that the derived emissions are only positive.

The a priori magnitudes for background values were estimated by multiplying the NAME sensitivities to background values calculated above, by a background concentration field at the domain edges, which were taken from global AGAGE 12box model inversions (50, 51). These estimates of background concentration field are based on the assimilation of monthly mean measurements at long-running background AGAGE sites. The calculated a priori background values were scaled during the inversion and are referred to as the boundary conditions in the framework. The prior probability distribution for the scaling factors of the boundary conditions was assumed to follow a lognormal distribution, with shape parameters mu of 1 and sigma of 1. A Markov chain Monte-Carlo method was used to solve the Bayesian inference equation, as explained in previous studies (36, 37).

The number of available observations in each year of 2013 to 2015 for  $C_2F_6$  (as shown in *SI Appendix*, Fig. S17), is smaller than the number of basis functions (150), and there is only in situ data from SDZ available for CF<sub>4</sub> in 2011 (as shown in *SI Appendix*, Table S3). Due to the scarcity of data in these specific years, the emissions cannot be effectively constrained. Thus, only the emissions of CF<sub>4</sub> over 2012 to 2021, and the emissions of C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> in 2011 to 2012 and 2016 to 2021 are discussed in this study, as shown in Fig. 1.

**Data, Materials, and Software Availability.** The observations from nine Chinese sites used to derived  $CF_4$  and  $C_2F_6$  emissions in China can be found in Datasets S2 and S3. Prior discussions with B.Y. about interest of using these data in future publications or presentations are required. The code for the regional inverse modeling framework "NAME-HBMCMC" is available from An (2024) (52) https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10929382.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work was supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (Grant No. 2019YFC0214500), Shanghai B&R Joint Laboratory Project (No. 22230750300), and CMA "The Major Technology R&D and Application of Greenhouse Gas Observation" Youth Innovation Team (Team No. CMA2023QN13). Funding also comes from NASA Grant 80NSSC21K1369 to MIT (for M.A., R.G.P., A.L.G., and M.R.), the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 101030750 (for L.M.W.), and the

- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Kyoto protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1997). https://unfccc.int/kyoto\_protocol. Accessed 1 November 2023.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Kyoto protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change–Doha amendment to the Kyoto protocol (2012). https:// unfccc.int/process/the-kyoto-protocol/the-doha-amendment. Accessed 1 November 2023.
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Paris agreement to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2015). https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/theparis-agreement. Accessed 1 November 2023.
- J. C. Laŭbe, S. Tegtmeier, "Chapter 1: Update on ozone-depleting substances (ODSs) and other gases of interest to the Montreal Protocol" in *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022* (World Meteorological Organization, 2022), pp. 51–114.
- J. B. Burkholder, H. Øivind, "Annex: Summary of abundances, lifetimes, ODPs, REs, GWPs, and GTPs" in *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2022* (World Meteorological Organization, 2022), pp. 435–492.
- C. Smith et al., "The Earth's energy budget, climate feedbacks, and climate sensitivity supplementary material" in *Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, V. Masson-Delmotte et al., Eds. (Cambridge University Press, 2021), pp. 1–35.
- T. Arnold *et al.*, Inverse modelling of CF<sub>4</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub> emissions in East Asia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 18, 13305–13320 (2018).
- L. Guo et al., Projected increases in emissions of high global warming potential fluorinated gases in China. Commun. Earth. Environ. 4, 205 (2023).
- J. Kim et al., Emissions of tetrafluoromethane (CF<sub>4</sub>) and hexafluoroethane (C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>) from East Asia: 2008 to 2019. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. **126**, e2021JD034888 (2021).
- J. Kim et al., Quantifying aluminum and semiconductor industry perfluorocarbon emissions from atmospheric measurements. Geophys. Res. Lett. 41, 4787–4794 (2014).
- C. M. Trudinger et al., Atmospheric abundance and global emissions of perfluorocarbons CF<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>8</sub> since 1800 inferred from ice core, firn, air archive and in situ measurements. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 16, 11733–11754 (2016).
- 12. D. Say *et al.*, Global trends and European emissions of tetrafluoromethane (CF<sub>4</sub>), hexafluoroethane (C<sub>2</sub>F<sub>6</sub>) and octafluoropropane (C<sub>3</sub>F<sub>8</sub>). *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **21**, 2149–2164 (2021).
- J. Mühle et al., Perfluorocarbons in the global atmosphere: Tetrafluoromethane, hexafluoroethane, and octafluoropropane. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 5145–5164 (2010).
- World Semiconductor Council, Joint statement of the 27th meeting of the world semiconductor council (WSC). (2023). Available at: http://www.semiconductorcouncil.org/wp-content/ uploads/2023/06/WSC-2023-Joint-Statement-FINAL-with-Annex-1.pdf. Accessed 18 August 2023.
- International Aluminium Institute, 2019 anode effect survey report. (2019). Available at: https:// international-aluminium.org/resource/2019-anode-effect-survey-report/. Accessed 18 August 2023.
- D. S. Wong, P. Fraser, P. Lavoie, J. Kim, PFC emissions from detected versus nondetected anode effects in the aluminum industry. JOM 67, 342–353 (2015).
- 17. IPCC 2019, 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, E. CalvoBuendia *et al.*, Eds. (IPCC, Switzerland, 2019).
- J. Marks, P. Nunez, "Updated Factors for Calculating PFC Emissions from Primary Aluminum Production" in *Light Metals 2018*, O. Martin, Eds. (The Minerals, Metals & Materials Series. Springer, Cham, 2018), pp. 1519–1525.
- B. Cai et al., Estimating perfluorocarbon emission factors for industrial rare earth metal electrolysis. Resour. Conserv. Recycle 136, 315–323 (2018).
- EDGAR (Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research), Community GHG Database, a collaboration between the European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC), the International Energy Agency (IEA), and comprising IEA-EDGAR CO<sub>2</sub>, EDGAR CH<sub>4</sub>, EDGAR N<sub>2</sub>O, EDGAR F-gases version 8.0 (2023). https://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/dataset\_ghg80. Accessed 19 April 2024.
- The People's Republic of China, Second national communication on climate change (2012). https:// unfccc.int/documents/71515. Accessed 17 July 2023.
- The People's Republic of China, Third national communication on climate change (2018). https:// unfccc.int/documents/197660. Accessed 17 July 2023.
  The Very Mark Control of China and China a
- The People's Republic of China, First biennial update report on climate change (2016). https:// unfccc.int/documents/180618. Accessed 17 July 2023.
- The People's Republic of China, Second biennial update report on climate change (2018). https:// unfccc.int/documents/197666. Accessed 17 July 2023.

Investigating HALocarbon impacts on the Global Environment NERC Highlight Topic (NE/X00452X/1) (for A.L.G. and M.R.). We thank the AGAGE network and NASA for their supports on calibrations and data analysis and the dedicated Atmospheric Chemistry Research Group at the University of Bristol for their supports on inverse modeling.

Author affiliations: <sup>a</sup>Center for Global Change Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139; <sup>b</sup>College of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China; 'School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TS, United Kingdom; <sup>d</sup>Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences & Institute of Atmospheric Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China; <sup>e</sup>Meteorological Observation Centre of China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China; <sup>f</sup>Shanghai Key Laboratory of Ocean-land-atmosphere Boundary Dynamics and Climate Change, Shanghai 200438, China; <sup>g</sup>Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of Galifornia San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093; and <sup>h</sup>School of Geographical Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1SS, United Kingdom

- The People's Republic of China, Fourth national communication on climate change (2023). https:// unfccc.int/documents/636695. Accessed 2 January 2024.
- The People's Republic of China, Third biennial update report on climate change (2023). https:// unfccc.int/documents/636696. Accessed 2 January 2024.
- T. Saito, Y. Yokouchi, A. Stohl, S. Taguchi, H. Mukai, Large emissions of perfluorocarbons in East Asia deduced from continuous atmospheric measurements. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 4089-4095 (2010).
- S. Li et al., Emissions of halogenated compounds in East Asia determined from measurements at Jeju Island, Korea. Environ. Sci. Technol. 45, 5668–5675 (2011).
- J. Kim et al., Regional atmospheric emissions determined from measurements at Jeju Island, Korea: Halogenated compounds from China. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **37**, L12801 (2010).
- B. Yao et al., In-situ measurements of atmospheric hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) and perfluorocarbons (PFCs) at the Shangdianzi regional background station, China. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 10181– 10193 (2012).
- China Nonferrous Metals Industry Association, The Yearbook of Nonferrous Metals Industry of China (in Chinese) (China Nonferrous Metals Industry Yearbook Press, Beijing, 2020).
- 32. T. Arnold *et al.*, Nitrogen trifluoride global emissions estimated from updated atmospheric measurements. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **110**, 2029-2034 (2013).
- 33. P. Friedlingstein et al., Global carbon budget 2022. Earth Syst. Sci. Data 14, 4811–4900 (2022).
- L. Guo, X. Fang, Mitigation of fully fluorinated greenhouse gas emissions in China and implications for dimate change mitigation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 57, 19487–19496 (2023), 10.1021/acs.est.3c02734.
- Economic Information Daily, "Positive News: Accelerated upgrading and expansion of national carbon market (in Chinese)" (2024).
- M. An et al., Rapid increase in dichloromethane emissions from China inferred through atmospheric observations. Nat. Commun. 12, 7279 (2021).
- L. M. Western *et al.*, A renewed rise in global HCFC-141b emissions between 2017-2021. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 22, 9601-9616 (2022).
- D. Say et al., Emissions and marine boundary layer concentrations of unregulated chlorocarbons measured at Cape Point, South Africa. Environ. Sci. Technol. 54, 10514–10523 (2020).
- M. An et al., Anthropogenic chloroform emissions from China drive changes in global emissions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 57, 13925–13936 (2023).
- M. An et al., Sustained growth of sulfur hexafluoride emissions in China inferred from atmospheric observations. Nat. Commun. 15, 1997 (2024).
- T. Arnold *et al.*, Automated measurement of nitrogen trifluoride in ambient air. *Anal. Chem.* 84, 4798–4804 (2012).
- B. R. Miller et al., Medusa: A sample preconcentration and GC/MS detector system for in situ measurements of atmospheric trace halocarbons, hydrocarbons, and sulfur compounds. Anal. Chem. 80, 1536–1545 (2008).
- R. G. Prinn et al., History of chemically and radiatively important atmospheric gases from the Advanced Global Atmospheric Gases Experiment (AGAGE). Earth Syst. Sci. Data 10, 985–1018 (2018).
- D. Yu et al., Atmospheric CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub> observations in China: Historical trends and implications. Atmos. Res. 231, 104658 (2020).
- G. Zhang *et al.*, Ambient mixing ratios of atmospheric halogenated compounds at five background stations in China. *Atmos. Environ.* 160, 55–69 (2017).
- A. Jones, D. Thomson, M. Hort, B. Devenish, "The U.K. Met Office's Next-Generation Atmospheric Dispersion Model, NAME III" in *Air Pollution Modeling and Its Application XVII*, C. Borrego, A.-L. Norman, Eds. (Springer US, Boston, MA, 2007), pp. 580–589.
- R. A. Finkel, J. L. Bentley, Quad trees a data structure for retrieval on composite keys. Acta Inform. 4, 1–9 (1974).
- M. F. Lunt, M. Rigby, A. L. Ganesan, A. J. Manning, Estimation of trace gas fluxes with objectively determined basis functions using reversible-jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 9, 3213–3229 (2016).
- A. L. Ganesan *et al.*, Characterization of uncertainties in atmospheric trace gas inversions using hierarchical Bayesian methods. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 14, 3855–3864 (2014).
- D. M. Cunnold *et al.*, The atmospheric lifetime experiment: 3. lifetime methodology and application to three years of CFCl<sub>3</sub> data. *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 88, 8379–8400 (1983).
- M. Rigby et al., Re-evaluation of the lifetimes of the major CFCs and CH<sub>3</sub>CCl<sub>3</sub> using atmospheric trends. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 13, 2691–2702 (2013).
- M. An, Code for NAME-HBMCMC inversion to estimate regional emissions of halogenated substances (0.1.1). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10929382. Deposited 4 April 2024.