## UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

## Title

Apraxia of speech with phonological alexia and agraphia following resection of the left middle precentral gyrus: illustrative case.

## Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5vt5h060

## Authors

Liu, Jessie Harper, Sarah Zhao, Lingyun <u>et al.</u>

## **Publication Date**

2023-03-27

## DOI

10.3171/CASE22504

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

J Neurosurg Case Lessons 5(13): CASE22504, 2023 DOI: 10.3171/CASE22504

# Apraxia of speech with phonological alexia and agraphia following resection of the left middle precentral gyrus: illustrative case

Deborah F. Levy, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> Alexander B. Silva, BS,<sup>1–4</sup> Terri L. Scott, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> Jessie R. Liu, BS,<sup>1–3</sup> Sarah Harper, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> Lingyun Zhao, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> Patrick W. Hullett, MD, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> Garret Kurteff, MS,<sup>5</sup> Stephen M. Wilson, PhD,<sup>6</sup> Matthew K. Leonard, PhD,<sup>1,2</sup> and Edward F. Chang, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California; <sup>2</sup>Weill Institute for Neurosciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, California; <sup>3</sup>University of California Berkeley - University of California San Francisco Graduate Program in Bioengineering, Berkeley, California; <sup>4</sup>Medical Scientist Training Program, University of California, San Francisco, California; <sup>5</sup>Department of Speech, Language, and Hearing Sciences, University of Texas Austin, Austin, Texas; and <sup>6</sup>Department of Hearing and Speech Sciences, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee

**BACKGROUND** Apraxia of speech is a disorder of speech-motor planning in which articulation is effortful and error-prone despite normal strength of the articulators. Phonological alexia and agraphia are disorders of reading and writing disproportionately affecting unfamiliar words. These disorders are almost always accompanied by aphasia.

**OBSERVATIONS** A 36-year-old woman underwent resection of a grade IV astrocytoma based in the left middle precentral gyrus, including a cortical site associated with speech arrest during electrocortical stimulation mapping. Following surgery, she exhibited moderate apraxia of speech and difficulty with reading and spelling, both of which improved but persisted 6 months after surgery. A battery of speech and language assessments was administered, revealing preserved comprehension, naming, cognition, and orofacial praxis, with largely isolated deficits in speech-motor planning and the spelling and reading of nonwords.

**LESSONS** This case describes a specific constellation of speech-motor and written language symptoms—apraxia of speech, phonological agraphia, and phonological alexia in the absence of aphasia—which the authors theorize may be attributable to disruption of a single process of "motor-phonological sequencing." The middle precentral gyrus may play an important role in the planning of motorically complex phonological sequences for production, independent of output modality.

https://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/CASE22504

KEYWORDS apraxia of speech; phonological alexia; phonological agraphia; precentral gyrus; area 55b; motor speech

Apraxia of speech (AOS) is a disorder of speech-motor planning characterized by difficulty initiating speech, articulatory groping, and trouble making transitions between syllables, despite normal strength in the articulators and preserved ability to perform nonspeech orofacial movements.<sup>1–4</sup> AOS is regularly observed following left hemisphere stroke, and although early investigations of AOS often attributed this disorder to lesions in Broca's area (the left inferior frontal gyrus<sup>5,6</sup>), more recent work has implicated more posterior frontal regions in ventral and middle portions of the precentral gyrus.<sup>2,7–9</sup> Another set of acquired language disorders, phonological alexia and agraphia, are also rare in their pure forms.<sup>10–12</sup> In these disorders, patients struggle to read or spell unfamiliar words and pseudo-words, even when they follow clear grapheme-phoneme rules (e.g., "andon", "manver"<sup>13</sup>), while performing relatively well with familiar words with irregular spellings (e.g., "subtle", "dough"). Many prior studies have attributed such phonological processes to supramarginal and/or ventral precentral substrates,<sup>14–18</sup> although others have found the neural underpinnings of phonological processing during reading and spelling more difficult to localize.<sup>12</sup>

SUBMITTED December 7, 2022. ACCEPTED January 23, 2023.

**ABBREVIATIONS** AOS = apraxia of speech; mPrCG = middle precentral gyrus; UCSF = University of California, San Francisco; WHO = World Health Organization. **INCLUDE WHEN CITING** Published March 27, 2023; DOI: 10.3171/CASE22504.

<sup>© 2023</sup> The authors, CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Here, we present a case of lasting AOS in the absence of aphasia with concurrent phonological alexia and agraphia following resection of the left middle precentral gyrus (mPrCG). For clinical reasons, this resected region included an area that elicited speech arrest during electrocortical stimulation mapping. We provide a detailed characterization of the patient's language, speech-motor, reading, and writing performance, revealing phonological and sequencing deficits that were analogous across spontaneous speech production, reading, and spelling. These findings may serve as evidence for a modality-independent "motor-phonological sequencing" stage of language production with bases in the left mPrCG, in line with recent work implicating this region as a hub for more abstract levels of speech production beyond direct motor control.<sup>9,19–22</sup>

### **Illustrative Case**

#### **Patient Description**

The patient is a high-functioning, left-handed female with a masters'-level education and no prior history of cognitive or neurological impairment. At the time of surgery, she was 36 years old and 27 weeks pregnant with her second child. The patient initially presented 6 weeks prior to surgery at an outside hospital with progressive right-sided facial weakness and difficulty with articulation before experiencing a seizure at 25 weeks of pregnancy. A 3.9  $\times$  3.7  $\times$  3.4–cm mass was identified in the left precentral gyrus (Fig. 1A and B); at this point, she was referred to the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), for surgery.

#### Operation

The patient underwent awake left frontal craniotomy with speech and motor mapping. Electrocortical stimulation mapping was performed, identifying sites for right-hand flexion/forearm extension (sites 1–3); sensation in the mouth (site 4), tongue (sites 9–10), fingers (sites 5, 7–8), and thumb (site 6); and a single site for speech arrest (site A) near the center of the tumor in the mid-ventral precentral gyrus (Fig. 2A). Due to the size and rapid growth of the tumor, the circumstances of the pregnancy, and prior discussion with the patient regarding the relative risks of leaving residual tumor in place, it was determined that resection of the tumor should be attempted despite the presence of a speech-arrest site. While monitoring her speech function, excision of the tumor began from the anterior margins of the tumor, and then proceeded posteriorly toward the speech-arrest site. No change to her speech was detected as the speech-arrest site was approached and slowly resected, and therefore resection continued as far as the posterior boundary of the tumor at the central sulcus. Speech difficulties were encountered toward the end of resection at the deep subcortical margin adjacent to the corticospinal tract and superior longitudinal fasciculus, and resection was stopped then. The patient went on to give birth to a healthy infant 2 months after the surgery.

#### Resection

The extent of resection was near total, with approximately 95% of tumor removed (Figs. 1A and 2B). Pathology showed a World Health Organization (WHO) grade IV isocitrate dehydrogenasemutant astrocytoma. Per intersection of Montreal Neurological Institute-normalized postoperative images with a multimodal atlas,<sup>22</sup> gray matter regions impacted by the resection primarily included the precentral gyrus and area 55b in the posterior middle frontal gyrus (Figs. 1A, 2B, and 3A). White matter tracts impacted primarily belonged to the superior longitudinal fasciculus (Fig. 3B–D).

#### Postoperative Motor Speech and Language Presentation

At 2 days postsurgery the patient exhibited marked expressive aphasia, AOS, and dysarthria. She also presented with numbness in the right hand and right-sided facial weakness. By 4 days postsurgery, all symptoms aside from the AOS had begun to resolve and by 3 months postsurgery were essentially absent; however, she continued to exhibit apraxic speech symptoms. The patient received speech and language therapy, where she received a formal diagnosis of moderate AOS at 1-month postsurgery that remained consistent throughout her 3 months of therapy. The patient's speech was characterized primarily by syllable segmentation, distorted substitutions, and slow rate (a strategy to improve articulation adopted and further honed in speech therapy). The patient also reported difficulty with spelling as one of her most bothersome postoperative symptoms, stating that, although she was



FIG. 1. Pre- and postoperative imaging of the patient's tumor and resection. A: Preoperative fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging showing the patient's tumor prior to surgery (hyperintense/bright). *Red lines* indicate central sulcus. B: Eleven-month postoperative FLAIR imaging showing the patient's resection (hypointense/dark). *Red lines* indicate central sulcus. C: Diffusion tensor imaging of the patient's brain prior to surgery. Note the impact of the tumor on the fibers of the superior longitudinal fasciculus, *circled in white*.



**FIG. 2.** Intraoperative photograph (**A**) showing eloquent sites identified during electrocortical stimulation. Sites correspond to functions as follows: right-hand flexion/forearm extension (sites 1–3), sensation in the mouth (site 4), sensation in the right-side fingers (sites 5, 7–8), sensation in the right thumb (site 6), and speech arrest (site A, *circled*). Sites 9–10 (not visible in image; ventral to site 4) correspond to sensation in the tongue. Intraoperative photograph (**B**) showing the resection cavity. Site A has been removed completely by the resection. *Black lines* indicate the central sulcus. A = anterior; D = dorsal; P = posterior; V = ventral.

often able to "identify that [her] writing [was] incorrect ...," she was not always able to "identify what the specific error may be."

To summarize, the only persisting deficits following resection of a relatively circumscribed region in the left mPrCG were in the domains of speech-motor planning and phoneme-grapheme conversion.



**FIG. 3.** White matter tract intersections with resection cavity registered to the Human Connectome Project (HCP) 1065 template. **A:** Overlay of area 55b<sup>22</sup> (*red*) with the resection mask (*gray*). Primarily gray matter regions affected by the resection were in the left precentral gyrus, post-central gyrus, and area 55b. **B:** White matter tracts that originate in the posterior temporoparietal regions (inferior temporal gyrus, middle temporal gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, and angular gyrus) and terminate in the resection zone, consisting primarily of branches of the superior longitudinal fasciculus with terminals in the precentral gyrus and the inferior parietal lobe. **C:** Fiber tracts that intersect with area 55b. **D:** Fiber tracts that pass through the resection zone.

#### **Diagnostic Assessments 6 Months Postsurgery**

Speech and language testing was administered over Zoom by UCSF approximately 6 months after the patient's surgery. Assessments consisted of a mix of formalized evaluations and nonstandard tasks (see references 1, 13, 23–33 and Supplementary Materials for further detail).

The patient's performance on tasks assessing language, cognition, nonverbal praxis, nonspeech orofacial movement, and fine motor movements of the hands was overall excellent, suggesting no lingering aphasia, cognitive, or primary motor deficits. However, her performance on motor-speech tasks remained impaired in a manner consistent with AOS.<sup>34,35</sup> Note that when the patient consciously slowed her speech, speech errors were ameliorated; for example, an attempt to say "prescription" (as transcribed in the International Phonetic Alphabet, /p\_iəsk\_ip\_jən/) changed from a highly distorted and paraphasic pronunciation (/fj\_ipiv/) to a clearer and more appropriate pronunciation (/pəs (.) k.iip (.) jn largely correct) with conscious slowing of her speech (Fig. 4A).

The patient's reading and spelling of real words were also overall excellent; however, due to her strategy of spelling words orally simultaneously while writing, we were able to observe several instances in which the correct letter was said aloud while an anticipatory error (that is, the premature writing of a letter that occurred later in the word) was made in writing. These errors were always self-corrected (Fig. 4C). Additionally, the patient exhibited mild to moderate impairment in both the spelling and reading of nonwords of various lengths. Production was particularly impaired in the reading of 4-syllable nonwords, which were characterized by markedly more effortful production relative to 4-syllable real words. Note that the patient was later able to accurately verbally repeat the same 4-syllable nonwords that she struggled to read aloud, suggesting that pure motor-speech complexity of the nonwords was not the basis for these production errors. See Supplementary Materials for more detailed information on the patient's task performance and the items administered.

#### Analysis of Reading and Spelling Errors

Each instance throughout the evaluation in which the patient was required to either spell or read a word or nonword, including in



the context of sentences, was coded for (1) whether or not it was a nonword (labeled "nonword"), (2) whether it was monosyllabic or multisyllabic (labeled "multi"), and (3) whether the relevant task was spelling or reading (labeled "task"). A multinomial logistic regression

corrected (dashed red rectangle).

was then used to predict from these variables, plus the interaction term of word status with syllable number, whether each word was produced accurately. This analysis revealed that word status and syllable number were significant predictors of errors in production, such that both nonwords status and multisyllabic stimuli increased the likelihood of making an error ( $\beta_{nonword} = 3.59$ , p < 0.001;  $\beta_{multi} = 1.89$ , p = 0.03). No interaction of word status and syllable number ( $\beta_{nonword} \times multi = -1.06$ , p = 0.29) or effect of task (i.e., difference in accuracy for spelling versus reading) was observed ( $\beta_{task} = 0.21$ , p = 0.61). See Fig. 4B for performance summary.

#### Summary of Results

To summarize, following resection of the mPrCG and surrounding regions impacting white matter tracts, the patient exhibited a pattern of deficits-moderate AOS and mild phonological alexia/ agraphia-consistent with simultaneous damage to systems involved in motor-speech and phonological processing relevant for reading and writing. The pattern of deficits observed may be explained in 1 of 2 ways: first, as an injury to 2 distinct but neighboring systems, 1 in the mid-superior precentral gyrus supporting motor-speech function<sup>2,7-9</sup> and 1 in the ventral precentral gyrus supporting phonological function<sup>14,15</sup> (here referred to as the "adjacent deficits hypothesis"); or second, as an injury to a single system underlying the ability to take intended phonological sequences and convert them efficiently and fluently into ordered, abstract motor plans, regardless of the articulators required for motor execution, with its basis in the mPrCG (here referred to as the "motorphonological sequencing hypothesis").

#### Discussion

#### Observations

We report a case of resection in the left mPrCG that resulted in pure AOS concurrent with phonological alexia and agraphia in the absence of aphasia. Errors were more pronounced on nonwords than real words, as well as multisyllabic more than monosyllabic words, in both the spoken and written modality. These results support our view that this mPrCG region may independently play a key role in the preparation of complex motor-phonological sequences for execution, regardless of the output modality through which these sequences are executed (the motor-phonological sequencing hypothesis). These results add important new information and specificity to our understanding of the mPrCG region.

A recent case study<sup>9</sup> described a case of severe AOS following resection of area 55b,<sup>22</sup> a posterior middle frontal gyrus region that partially overlaps with the mPrCG. Although the 2 sets of findings are similar in principle, the AOS presentation was much more severe in the earlier case, and reading and spelling were not assessed in detail. However, many errors observed in the earlier case are consistent with an underlying phonological and/or sequencing deficit—for example, the presence of clear phonemic substitutions, the preserved ability to read irregular words such as "dough," and increased difficulty on longer items (see text and supplementary materials in reference 9).

The extent to which AOS is a pure motor-planning disorder versus a linguistic disorder has long been a subject of debate.<sup>3,4,36–39</sup> Similarly, prior work using functional magnetic resonance imaging and lesion-symptom mapping has debated the extent to which phonological processes are shared versus distinct across reading, writing, and speaking.<sup>12,36,40</sup> Relatively little work has focused on the neural bases of spelling, particularly through a neurosurgical lens (but see reference 41 for an exception). While we do not claim that the mPrCG region is the sole seat of phonological processing, it does appear to play a crucial enough role that removing it impacts the ability to convert from phonological information to properly sequenced motor plans across various modalities (i.e., speaking, reading, and writing) and in various directions (e.g., orthography  $\rightarrow$  phonology  $\rightarrow$  speech, as in reading aloud, and phonology  $\rightarrow$  orthography  $\rightarrow$  writing, as in spelling). Our diffusion tensor imaging findings, showing that the resection impacted white matter tracts densely connected to posterior temporal and parietal regions relevant for phonology,<sup>14,36</sup> also suggest that these deficits may result from disconnection of a distributed phonological system.

While speech-arrest sites are commonly believed to be critical for language function, they have been observed bilaterally in areas where resections are tolerated well (e.g., the pars opercularis, precentral gyrus, and supplementary motor area<sup>42–44</sup>). To maximize the extent of resection, and as discussed with the patient prior to surgery, the clinical team made the decision to slowly remove the speech-arrest area during continuous speech monitoring and to stop only when abnormal speech was encountered. This did not occur until the deep subcortical margin of the tumor was reached.

Due to the presence of a tumor in the resected region, it is possible that some reorganization of the language system may have taken place prior to surgery, limiting generalizability of our findings. However, the late age of symptom onset (36 years old) and the tumor's rapid rate of growth (WHO grade IV) speak against the notion that this patient's brain was atypically organized from birth; recent work has also demonstrated that reorganization of the language system appears to be relatively infrequent even within clinical populations.<sup>45</sup>

#### Lessons

In conclusion, we report a unique case in which resection of the left mPrCG resulted in a deficit specific to phonological sequencing for production but not specific to output modality, manifesting as pure apraxia of speech with mild phonological alexia and agraphia. The observed effect of word length and presence of anticipatory errors in both oral speech and written spelling suggest that this region may perform sequencing of phonologically informed motor plans for production, independently of the articulators in question. This work provides a clearer understanding of complex, speech-relevant functions in what is often considered to be a purely motor region, the middle precentral gyrus.

#### **Acknowledgments**

We gratefully acknowledge the patient and her husband for their generosity with their time and their enthusiasm for participating in this research. We also thank the patient's speech therapy team; Dr. John P. Clark, who assisted in the careful electrocortical stimulation mapping described within; Ben Speidel, MS, who assisted in creation of the diffusion tensor imaging images; and the clinical team at UCSF, who made this surgery possible.

This work was supported by the Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences grant T32 GM007618 (A.B.S.), National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke grant U01 NS117765 (E.F.C.), and National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders grants F32 DC020096 (D.F.L.), F32 DC019531 (T.L.S.), K99 DC020235 (L.Z.), R01 DC013270 (S.M.W.), and R01 DC012379 (E.F.C.).

#### References

- Duffy JR. Motor Speech Disorders—E-Book: Substrates, Differential Diagnosis, and Management. Elsevier Health Sciences; 2013 Accessed August 18, 2022.
- Basilakos A, Rorden C, Bonilha L, Moser D, Fridriksson J. Patterns of poststroke brain damage that predict speech production errors in apraxia of speech and aphasia dissociate. *Stroke.* 2015;46(6): 1561–1566.
- Code C. Contemporary issues in apraxia of speech. *Aphasiology*. 2021;35(4):391–396.
- Ziegler W, Aichert I, Staiger A. Apraxia of speech: concepts and controversies. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2012;55(5):S1485–S1501.
- Broca P. Remarques sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé, suivies d'une observation d'aphémie (perte de la parole). Bull Société D'anatomie Paris 2e Ser. 1861;6:330–357.
- Broca P. Sur le siège de la faculté du langage articulé. Bull Société Anthropol Paris. 1865;6:377–393.
- Graff-Radford J, Jones DT, Strand EA, Rabinstein AA, Duffy JR, Josephs KA. The neuroanatomy of pure apraxia of speech in stroke. *Brain Lang.* 2014;129:43–46.
- Itabashi R, Nishio Y, Kataoka Y, et al. Damage to the left precentral gyrus is associated with apraxia of speech in acute stroke. *Stroke*. 2016;47(1):31–36.
- Chang EF, Kurteff G, Andrews JP, et al. Pure apraxia of speech after resection based in the posterior middle frontal gyrus. *Neurosurgery.* 2020;87(3):E383–E389.
- 10. Armbruster AP, Wijdicks EFM. Pure alexia: past and present. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2006;81(3):397.
- Henry ML, Beeson PM, Stark AJ, Rapcsak SZ. The role of left perisylvian cortical regions in spelling. *Brain Lang.* 2007;100(1): 44–52.
- Rapcsak SZ, Beeson PM, Henry ML, et al. Phonological dyslexia and dysgraphia: cognitive mechanisms and neural substrates. *Cortex.* 2009;45(5):575–591.
- Beeson PM, Rising K, Kim ES, Rapcsak SZ. A treatment sequence for phonological alexia/agraphia. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2010;53(2):450–468.
- Wilson SM, Brambati SM, Henry RG, et al. The neural basis of surface dyslexia in semantic dementia. *Brain.* 2009;132(Pt 1): 71–86.
- Yen M, DeMarco AT, Wilson SM. Adaptive paradigms for mapping phonological regions in individual participants. *Neuroimage*. 2019; 189:368–379.
- Roux FE, Durand JB, Jucla M, Réhault E, Reddy M, Démonet JF. Segregation of lexical and sub-lexical reading processes in the left perisylvian cortex. *PLoS One.* 2012;7(11):e50665.
- Dickens JV, Fama ME, DeMarco AT, Lacey EH, Friedman RB, Turkeltaub PE. Localization of phonological and semantic contributions to reading. *J Neurosci.* 2019;39(27):5361–5368.
- DeMarco AT, Wilson SM, Rising K, Rapcsak SZ, Beeson PM. Neural substrates of sublexical processing for spelling. *Brain Lang.* 2017;164:118–128.
- Bouchard KE, Mesgarani N, Johnson K, Chang EF. Functional organization of human sensorimotor cortex for speech articulation. *Nature*. 2013;495(7441):327–332.
- Dichter BK, Breshears JD, Leonard MK, Chang EF. The control of vocal pitch in human laryngeal motor cortex. *Cell.* 2018;174(1): 21–31.e9.
- Silva AB, Liu JR, Zhao L, Levy DF, Scott TL, Chang EF. A neurosurgical functional dissection of the middle precentral gyrus during speech production. *J Neurosci.* 2022;42(45):8416–8426.
- Glasser MF, Coalson TS, Robinson EC, et al. A multi-modal parcellation of human cerebral cortex. *Nature*. 2016;536(7615): 171–178.

- Wilson SM, Eriksson DK, Schneck SM, Lucanie JM. A quick aphasia battery for efficient, reliable, and multidimensional assessment of language function. *PLoS One.* 2018;13(2): e0192773.
- 24. Fudala J, Stegall S. (Arizona-4) Arizona Articulation and Phonology Scale, Fourth Revision. Published 2017. Accessed August 24, 2022.
- Goodglass H, Kaplan E, Weintraub S, Barresi B. Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Examination. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001.
- 26. Kertesz A. The Western Aphasia Battery Revised. Pearson; 2007.
- Perrachione TK, Ghosh SS, Ostrovskaya I, Gabrieli JDE, Kovelman I. Phonological working memory for words and nonwords in cerebral cortex. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2017;60(7):1959–1979.
- Scott TL, Perrachione TK. Common cortical architectures for phonological working memory identified in individual brains. *Neuroimage*. 2019;202:116096.
- Chapey R. Language Intervention Strategies in Aphasia and Related Neurogenic Communication Disorders, 5e. Published online January 1, 2008. Accessed June 29, 2022.
- Foote R. Homophones as ambiguous stimuli in research: a comparison of the associative meaning of homophones and nonhomophones. *Psychon Sci.* 1970;21(4):249–251.
- Rapcsak SZ, Arthur SA, Rubens AB. Lexical agraphia from focal lesion of the left precentral gyrus. *Neurology*. 1988;38(7):1119–1123.
- 32. MacWhinney B. TalkBank and SLA. In: Tracy-Ventura N, Paquot M, eds. *The Handbook of SLA and Corpora.* Routledge; 2019.
- Grégoire J, Van der Linden M. Effect of age on forward and backward digit spans. *Neuropsychol Dev Cogn B Aging Neuropsychol Cogn.* 1997;4(2):140–149.
- Strand EA, Duffy JR, Clark HM, Josephs K. The Apraxia of Speech Rating Scale: a tool for diagnosis and description of apraxia of speech. J Commun Disord. 2014;51:43–50.
- Kent RD, Kim Y, Chen LM. Oral and laryngeal diadochokinesis across the life span: A scoping review of methods, reference data, and clinical applications. J Speech Lang Hear Res. 2022;65(2):574–623.
- Beeson PM, Rising K, Sachs A, Rapcsak SZ. Common predictors of spoken and written language performance in aphasia, alexia, and agraphia. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2022;16:1025468.
- 37. Johns DF, Darley FL. Phonemic variability in apraxia of speech. *J Speech Hear Res.* 1970;13(3):556–583.
- Rosenbek JC. Darley and apraxia of speech in adults. *Aphasiology*. 2001;15(3):261–273.
- Dickens JV, DeMarco AT, van der Stelt CM, et al. Two types of phonological reading impairment in stroke aphasia. *Brain Commun.* 2021;3(3):b194.
- Lindenberg R, Scheef L. Supramodal language comprehension: role of the left temporal lobe 8 for listening and reading. *Neuropsychologia* 2007;45(10):2407–2415. 9 doi:10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2007.02.008.
- Roux FE, Dufor O, Giussani C, et al. The graphemic/motor frontal area Exner's area revisited. Ann Neurol. 2009;66(4):537–545.
- 42. Penfield W, Roberts L. Speech and Brain Mechanisms. Princeton University Press; 1959.
- Chang EF, Wang DD, Perry DW, Barbaro NM, Berger MS. Homotopic organization of essential language sites in right and bilateral cerebral hemispheric dominance. *J Neurosurg.* 2011;114(4): 893–902.
- Tate MC, Herbet G, Moritz-Gasser S, Tate JE, Duffau H. Probabilistic map of critical functional regions of the human cerebral cortex: Broca's area revisited. *Brain.* 2014;137(Pt 10):2773–2782.
- Diachek E, Morgan VL, Wilson SM. Adaptive language mapping paradigms for presurgical language mapping. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2022;43(10):1453–1459.

#### Disclosures

The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in this study or the findings specified in this paper.

#### **Author Contributions**

Conception and design: Chang, Levy, Scott. Acquisition of data: Chang, Levy. Analysis and interpretation of data: Chang, Levy, Silva, Scott, Liu, Harper, Zhao, Hullett, Kurteff, Wilson. Drafting of the article: Chang, Levy, Silva. Critically revising the article: Chang, Levy, Silva, Liu, Harper, Zhao, Kurteff, Wilson, Leonard. Reviewed submitted version of the manuscript: Chang, Silva, Scott, Liu, Harper, Zhao, Hullett, Kurteff, Wilson, Leonard. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Chang. Statistical analysis: Levy, Silva, Harper. Administrative/technical/ material support: Silva. Study supervision: Chang.

#### Supplemental Information

#### Online-Only Content

Supplemental material is available with the online version of the article. Supplementary Materials. https://thejns.org/doi/suppl/10.3171/CASE22504.

#### Correspondence

Edward F. Chang: University of California, San Francisco, CA. edward.chang@ucsf.edu.