Title

The complexity of global change and its effects on insects.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5vq2w8vn

Authors

Yang, Louie H
Postema, Elizabeth G
Hayes, Tracie E
et al.

Publication Date

2021-10-01

DOI

10.1016/j.cois.2021.05.001

Peer reviewed

1 **The complexity of global change and its effects on insects**

2

3 Louie H. Yang[1,2], Elizabeth G. Postema[1,3], Tracie E. Hayes[1,4], Mia K. Lippey[1,5], and Dylan J. MacArthur-
4 Waltz[1,6]

5 [1]Department of Entomology and Nematology, University of California, Davis, CA 95616 USA

6 [2]corresponding author: *lhyang@ucdavis.edu*

7 [3]Animal Behavior Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA, *egpostema@ucdavis.edu*

8 [4]Population Biology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA, *thayes@ucdavis.edu*

9 [5]Entomology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA, *mklippey@ucdavis.edu*

10 [6]Population Biology Graduate Group, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA, *djmacarthur@ucdavis.edu*

11

12 **Abstract**

13 Global change includes multiple overlapping and interacting drivers: 1) climate change, 2) land use
14 change, 3) novel chemicals, and 4) the increased global transport of organisms. Recent studies have
15 documented the complex and counterintuitive effects of these drivers on the behavior, life histories,
16 distributions, and abundances of insects. This complexity arises from the indeterminacy of indirect, non-
17 additive and combined effects. While there is wide consensus that global change is reorganizing
18 communities, the available data are limited. As the pace of anthropogenic changes outstrips our ability
19 to document its impacts, ongoing change may lead to increasingly unpredictable outcomes. This
20 complexity and uncertainty argue for renewed efforts to address the fundamental drivers of global
21 change.

22

The complexity of global change drivers

Global change in the 21st century involves multiple co-occurring and correlated anthropogenic effects on insect communities. These include 1) changes in climatic conditions, 2) changes in land use, 3) the introduction and increased use of novel compounds and chemicals, and 4) the increased transport of organisms on a continental scale (Fig. 1). Considered separately, each driver of change has multiple manifestations. Their combined effects and interactions reflect the complexity of global change.

Increases in anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions have changed the Earth's climate. The resulting shifts in temperature and precipitation create perturbations on multiple spatial and temporal scales, altering both long-term mean trends on a global scale and the variability of short-term events at local scales. While mean global temperatures are increasing by 0.2° C per decade [1], this long-term warming trend is also associated with increases in the frequency and intensity of extreme climatic events, including heatwaves, droughts, floods, wildfires and tropical storms [2,3]. The complexity of global climate change emerges from the many ways climatic conditions are changing in different regions and habitats [4], the temporal variability of these changes [2,5], and the interactions between different kinds of changes [6,7].

Changes in land use include patterns of increasing urbanization, agricultural intensification, and habitat fragmentation. In many regions, urban warming, air pollution and illumination have created new conditions for insect ecology and evolution [8–11], while changes in the scale and practice of agriculture have effects on agroecosystems and connected non-agricultural ecosystems [12–15]. Deforestation, road-building, and other changes in natural ecosystems reduce and isolate available habitat [16–18]. These changes in urban, agricultural, and natural ecosystems are connected in heterogeneous habitats, shaping the distribution and movement of insects in complex ways.

The effects of novel compounds have become increasingly complex as well. Whereas the first-generation synthetic insecticide DDT showed a rapid mode of action, clear bioaccumulation and environmental persistence, the ecological effects of modern insecticides are more complex. Many of these compounds are now known to have sublethal and lagged negative effects on insects [19–26], synergistic effects with other novel compounds [27] and unexpected persistence in belowground and aquatic habitats [28,29] - characteristics that were detected only after their global deployment and long-term, widespread use [30]. The proliferation of novel synthetics now in wide use creates the potential for more complex combined effects on insect behavior, populations, and communities.

Global change in the 21st century also includes the dramatically increased transport of organisms outside their native ranges. This movement of species has profoundly changed the composition of ecological communities worldwide, with a range of complex outcomes. Introduced species can facilitate or hinder the establishment of subsequent introductions [31,32], increase or decrease metrics of biodiversity [33], and can have positive or negative effects on members of the native community [34]. Despite efforts to identify general patterns in the traits favored by human-mediated dispersal [35] or the kinds of ecosystems most likely to experience the negative effects of biotic reorganization [36], the increased global transport of organisms creates a particularly complex driver of global change, reflecting the unique biological context of each introduction.

In this review, we draw a distinction between the complex anthropogenic drivers (i.e., causes) of global change and the complexity of their effects on ecosystems. The multi-dimensional, multi-scale and

64 interactive nature of global change drivers makes establishing simple causation difficult because most
65 observed patterns of impact will likely emerge from the combined effects of multiple drivers [37,38].
66 With multiple global perturbations acting simultaneously and synergistically, simple causation is not only
67 difficult to establish but also seems unlikely to represent the real complexity of global change effects.

68 **The complexity of global change effects**

69 Global change drivers have direct and indirect effects on insect communities. While it may be possible to
70 predict some of the direct abiotic effects of warming temperatures on insects based on species- and
71 stage-specific thermal performance curves [39,40], the indirect effects of warming includes a wide range
72 of more complex biotic pathways, including changes to host plant quality [6], natural enemy risk [6,41],
73 and the potential for other novel or altered interactions [42–46]. Despite long-standing interest [47,48],
74 few studies have attempted to experimentally separate direct and indirect effect pathways and these
75 studies suggest that the balance of these two effect pathways may sometimes be counterintuitive. For
76 example, Chen et al. [49] showed that the generally unacknowledged direct effects of rainfall events on
77 a lepidopteran herbivore increased its development time and reduced its survival (possibly due to
78 microclimatic cooling), while the more widely studied indirect, plant-mediated effects of rainfall were
79 unexpectedly small.

80 Even relatively singular global change drivers can yield complex and counterintuitive outcomes due to
81 the ramifications of their indirect effects [6]. For example, under ambient temperatures, wolf spiders
82 suppressed collembola and indirectly increased the fungal decomposition of litter in the Arctic [50]. This
83 scenario reversed under experimental warming, where higher densities of wolf spiders slowed litter
84 decomposition, possibly driven by unanticipated effects on the composition of collembolan species or
85 increases in intraguild predation. Similarly, while the direct effects of warming temperatures were
86 expected to decrease development time and increase the abundance of aphids in a high elevation
87 community, warming also advanced and increased predator populations while simultaneously
88 decreasing the quality of the aphid's host plant, leading to an indirect decline in aphid densities under
89 the warming [46].

90 When their effects are combined, multiple global change drivers can interact in more complex ways
91 [37,38,51] and the statistical and experimental approaches necessary to disentangle these additively or
92 non-additively combined effects are still emerging [37,38,52]. Structured observational studies have
93 grappled with the collinearity of potential explanatory factors using large datasets, multi-model
94 inference and partial least-squares regression [38,41,53–55], suggesting potential interactions among
95 factors. Experimental studies aimed at understanding the ecological and physiological mechanisms of
96 effects may be necessary to improve opportunities for generalization and prediction [52]. For example,
97 both high [56] and low [57] temperatures can increase pesticide toxicity in insects through different
98 physiological mechanisms, suggesting that a clearer understanding of these mechanisms [58] may be
99 necessary to predict the interactive effects of thermal stress and pesticide exposure.

100 The unreplicated, historical nature of global change also contributes to the complexity of understanding
101 its effects [59]. Relatively few datasets allow historical comparisons on a relevant scale [60–65], and
102 their retrospective analysis is always challenging [66,67] and sometimes contentious [68–70]. These
103 issues are likely to be especially difficult among insects, due to their taxonomic diversity, dynamic
104 populations and limited long-term monitoring [59,71]. The paucity of historical data presents a
105 formidable challenge for all studies of global change [59]. The problems of shifting baselines [72] and

106 social-ecological mismatches [73] reflect fundamental limitations of direct human experience. The
107 spatial and temporal scales of global change are difficult to fathom and are easy to underestimate in the
108 absence of reliable data.

109 Insects may also be particularly sensitive to the effects of global change. The diversity of insects includes
110 many relatively specialized species that may be especially prone to co-extinction [71]. The vast majority
111 of insect biodiversity is located in tropical to mid-latitudes, where the effects of global warming are
112 expected to erode thermal buffers and increase heat stress on ectotherms [40]. Warming conditions
113 increase the metabolic costs of ectotherms while potentially limiting their thermal activity windows and
114 reducing the availability of resources overall, creating the potential for "metabolic meltdown" [7]. In
115 addition, because of their shared physiology, non-target insects are especially vulnerable to the effects
116 of new insecticides, and these novel compounds are readily capable of moving across habitat
117 boundaries in complex, changing landscapes [74].

118 In order to more closely examine the complexity of global change effects on insects, we here focus on
119 three broad and overlapping categories of effects: 1) effects on behavior, 2) effects on phenology and
120 life histories, and 3) effects on species distributions and abundance.

121 *Effects on behavior*

122 Global change is affecting insect behavior in both straightforward, predictable ways, and more complex,
123 less predictable ways (Fig. 2). For example, current rates of global warming are likely to increase insect
124 movement rates generally, but these proximate effects often lead to more complex downstream effects
125 on dispersal, foraging and species interactions [75]. Similarly, warming is expected to affect courtship
126 signaling and increase mating frequency in insects, but these changes may have more complicated
127 impacts on species recognition and hybridization [42]. While fragmented, heterogenous environments
128 often limit insect movement and recolonization [76], behavioral avoidance of low-quality matrix habitats
129 can also accelerate spatial spread through altered landscapes [77]. Thus, while some broad patterns of
130 behavioral responses to global change may be predictable based on physiological first principles, the
131 results of these changes seem likely to remain context dependent (Fig. 2).

132 Climate change and land use changes are increasingly affecting the structure, propagation, and
133 perception of both insect signals and environmental cues. For example, polarized light from roads can
134 derail the flights of aquatic insects navigating along rivers and creeks [78]. Artificial light at night affects
135 several aspects of insect behavior, including development, movement, predation and herbivory [79,80],
136 and may be an important overlooked contributor to insect population declines [80]. Changes in
137 temperature can also directly affect the emission and perception of chemically mediated signals in
138 insects [81,82], and many acoustic signals involved in insect courtship and mating are thermally sensitive
139 as well [42]. Anthropogenic noise can drive both adaptive and maladaptive responses in acoustically
140 signaling insects. For example, some cricket species adaptively modulate their responses to novel road
141 noise stimuli [83], while others show maladaptive responses [84].

142 Novel compounds have unexpectedly complex effects on insect behavior, with ramifications for entire
143 interaction networks. Although they were initially thought to present a low risk for non-target effects
144 and long-term environmental toxicity [85–87], neonicotinoids have important negative effects on insect
145 behavior, unexpected persistence in soil and water, and potentially more complex effects when
146 combined with other stressors [27,30,88]. Recent studies suggest that the neonicotinoid imidacloprid

147 impairs olfactory learning and memory [21], vision [22,23], flight [24], and navigation [25,26] in a wide
148 range of insects. These sublethal effects on insect behavior have been associated with detrimental
149 effects on the recruitment and abundance of diverse insect populations [19,20,89,90]. Other pollutants,
150 including heavy metals and metalloids [91], ozone and airborne free radicals [11], and respirable
151 suspended particulates [10] are also relatively novel anthropogenic stressors that alter insect stress,
152 foraging, predation avoidance, habitat selection and other behaviors.

153 *Effects on phenology and life histories*

154 Global change drives phenological shifts in many organisms (Fig. 3a), and ecologists are increasingly
155 grappling with the complex ways in which these shifts can alter seasonal life histories [5]. These include
156 phenological changes in the autumn and winter [92–94] and changes in voltinism [95–97]. For example,
157 changes in the timing of diapause induction can have a strong effect on overwinter survival [98,99],
158 while changes in voltinism can present either "demographic bonanzas" [e.g., 100] or "developmental
159 traps" [e.g., 96] for insects responding to global change [95,96].

160 In some cases, phenological shifts lead to phenological mismatch: changes in the relative phenologies of
161 interacting species (Fig. 3b). Studies aiming to understand the causes of phenological mismatch are
162 increasingly focused on the mechanisms of phenological cueing. Phenological mismatch can result from
163 differences in the environmental changes experienced by different organisms (*environmental*
164 *mechanisms*) and differences in their sensitivity to environmental cues (*organismal mechanisms*) [101].
165 Thus, some observed phenological mismatches are likely to be largely explained by organisms
166 experiencing different aspects of global change, as may be the case with habitat specialist butterflies
167 [102]. On the other hand, other mismatches occur when global change exposes previously hidden
168 differences in cue sensitivity and integration [103]; these differences could explain diverging
169 phenological responses of plants and pollinators to increasing urbanization [104]. The ways in which
170 these two mechanisms combine to generate observed variation in phenological responses remains to be
171 determined.

172 Relatively few studies have been able to quantify the effects of phenological mismatches relative to
173 appropriate historical baselines [105]. Phenological mismatches have the potential to disrupt species
174 interactions, but their impacts on fitness and population will likely depend on the nature and specificity
175 of the interaction (Fig. 3c). Some studies suggest that mismatches may be less likely to occur in
176 mutualistic (e.g., plant-pollinator) [34,44,106] or highly specialized (e.g. host-parasitoid) interactions
177 [99,107] where selection under historical environmental variability would be expected to have favored
178 the evolution of more robust phenological cueing strategies in one or both interactors [44]. Whereas the
179 disruption of mutualistic interactions is costly for both interactors, the disruption of antagonistic
180 interactions is more likely to create phenological winners and losers. One possibility is that the *incidence*
181 of mismatches might be lowest among specialist species that have the most to lose, while the *impacts* of
182 mismatches are lowest among generalist species with more diffuse interactions. However, phenological
183 mismatches could occur more broadly if global change continues to increase the variability of
184 phenological shifts [108,109], or increase rapidly if the limits of adaptive plasticity are met [103,110].

185 When we expand phenological mismatches to a community scale (Fig. 3d-e), their consequences
186 become harder to predict. As changes in emergence phenology, developmental rate, voltinism, and
187 diapause induction stretch the bounds of adaptive plasticity [107], the resulting variability of
188 phenological responses has the potential to rewire temporally explicit interaction networks [111] and

189   increase the complexity of phenological impacts in a community context [5,112]. Studies that have
190   attempted to quantify how an organism's expected fitness changes throughout the year suggest that
191   these seasonal fitness landscapes reflect multiple interactions in a community, often including both top-
192   down and bottom-up effects. For example, the fitness landscape of cavity-nesting bees was likely
193   influenced by a combination of floral resource availability and parasitoid phenologies [113], seasonal
194   windows of opportunity for monarch development were likely to be influenced by both host plant traits
195   and natural enemy activity [114], and the impacts of warming-mediated advances in aphid phenology
196   reflected the combined effects of both host plant and predator phenologies [46]. The effects of these
197   phenological changes likely extend to the ecosystem scale as well. For example, the timing of terrestrial
198   insect prey inputs into an aquatic stream directly affected fish and indirectly affected aquatic insect
199   prey, with subsequent effects on litter decomposition and nutrient cycling [115].

200   *Effects on species distributions and abundance*

201   Insect species ranges have both expanded and contracted in response to multiple global change drivers
202   (Fig 4a). In a study of 58 Orthopteran species in Germany, land-use change was the primary driver of
203   range contractions before 1990, especially in habitat specialist species, while more mobile and more
204   thermophilic species expanded their ranges after 1990, likely reflecting the increased protection of
205   remaining habitat patches and warming conditions [116]. Similarly, range expansions have been
206   observed among thermophilic dragonfly species in Europe [117], and the range of the bumblebee
207   *Bombus haematurus* increased by 20% relative to its historical range, probably due to warming winter
208   conditions [118]. Conversely, densities of the meadow spittlebug (*Philaenus spumarius*) have declined at
209   both the southern and northern ends of its range in California, likely reflecting drier conditions in coastal
210   grasslands [119]. Recent studies suggest multiple factors that could have contributed to the dramatic
211   contraction of the American burying beetle (*Nicrophorus americanus)* range, including the reduced
212   availability of preferred resources, increased exposure to pesticides, changes in land use, and negative
213   interactions with large grazing vertebrates [120].

214   Human activities have dramatically increased the global transport of insects [35], contributing to the
215   reorganization of recipient communities [121]. In recent years, ecologists have grappled with the
216   complexity of this reorganization and its implications. For example, it is increasingly clear that microbial
217   associates can have complex effects in biological invasions by acting as mutualists that benefit the
218   invading species, novel pathogens that negatively impact the native biota, or symbionts with more
219   complex or poorly understood effects [122,123]. On a larger spatial scale, recent studies have sought to
220   explain why established populations of introduced species seem more likely to serve as sources for
221   subsequent invasions, creating the potential for an accelerating, positive feedback loop [35,124]. While
222   there is continued debate about the aims of invasion biology [125], insights from the study of
223   introduced species are also being applied more broadly to improve our understanding of climate-
224   mediated range shifts [126].

225   Against this background, a growing number of studies have documented declining insect abundances at
226   sites around the world [60–62,90,127–129], though the extent and breadth of these declines remains
227   uncertain [59,68,121,130–135]. Key questions remain. First, are observed insect population declines
228   representative of a broader global pattern? While there is ample evidence that some insect populations
229   are declining, others appear to be increasing [121,130,132,136]. Second, are insects especially likely to
230   experience population declines? It has been suggested that traits common to insects (e.g., ectothermy,

231 specialization) make them particularly vulnerable to global change [71,137], but this question remains
232 largely unresolved. Third, what are the drivers of insect declines? Establishing clear causation is likely to
233 be difficult, though the combined effects of multiple global change drivers may be a key part of the
234 explanation [51,132]. Finally, given current uncertainty and the limitations of available data, what can be
235 done? An emerging consensus emphasizes efforts to increase, broaden, and modernize the collection of
236 insect population data [59], while parallel efforts contend that we already know enough to advocate
237 more immediate policy changes to address the most likely drivers of widespread declines [138,139].

238 In some cases, differential changes in insect abundance could restructure the way communities and
239 ecosystems function (Fig. 4b). For example, global change in the Arctic is generally increasing herbivore
240 and parasitoid populations while decreasing detritivore populations, creating potential impacts on
241 herbivory, top-down control, decomposition and nutrient cycling [140]. In particular, the Arctic has
242 experienced dramatic declines in the abundance of flies [128] but increasing populations of butterflies
243 and moths [141]. These changes in abundance are likely to result in broadly increased rates of herbivory
244 and reduced insect pollination [141]. These differential changes in the abundance of Diptera and
245 Lepidoptera have driven corresponding changes in Diptera-specific and Lepidoptera-specific parasitoid
246 assemblages [141], illustrating how the indirect effects of global change drivers could further influence
247 key processes that regulate communities and ecosystems. Broadly, the reorganization of biodiversity on
248 earth seems certain to affect species interactions and ecosystem function in complex ways; predicting
249 the nature of these changes for specific communities will likely be challenging.

250 **Conclusions**

251 There is wide consensus that global change is reorganizing insect communities, but the scope and
252 implications of this reorganization remain uncertain. Are we in the midst of a widespread "insect
253 apocalypse" [59,133,142–144]? Are there traits of insects that make them especially sensitive or robust
254 to the effects of global change [34,62,99,141,145]? Will the limits of adaptive plasticity become more
255 evident with mounting changes [95,103,110]? Global change is ongoing, and understanding the complex
256 changes wrought by multiple overlapping and interacting drivers will likely require a more mechanistic,
257 dynamic and integrated view of ecology [3,5,37,146].

258 It seems clear that global change will create both winners and losers, but widespread community
259 turnover and homogenization also threaten to erode ecological intuition and understanding. Whereas
260 ecologists often use adaptive explanations to inform null expectations, these expectations may be less
261 justified in communities with shorter coevolutionary histories where species are responding to non-
262 stationary conditions without historical analog. The proliferation and complexity of global change drivers
263 raises interesting ecological questions, but also threatens to fundamentally alter our ability to
264 accumulate ecological knowledge. The accelerating pace of change in the global ecosystem risks
265 outstripping our ability to document those changes, and to place them into a baseline context. Over
266 time, these changes threaten to unmoor ecology from fundamental assumptions, eroding an important
267 source of ecological and evolutionary information, understanding and prediction.

268 Although the complexity of global change is widely recognized, grappling with this complexity in
269 ecological studies remains difficult. In many respects, the clearest message to emerge from recent
270 studies of global change is about the limitations of available data and understanding. A secondary
271 theme concerns our limited ability to reverse the complex effects of multiple interacting drivers. In
272 combination, these key limitations suggest that efforts to address the core drivers of global change will

273 provide a clearer path forward than attempts to repair their myriad effects further downstream. While
274 the pace and complexity of global change presents a profound challenge for ecology [146], this reality
275 does not preclude action to address the underlying drivers of global change. Even as ecologists work to
276 understand a changing planet, we already know enough to mitigate the root causes of climate change,
277 limit habitat loss, slow the global transport of organisms, and regulate novel compounds in ecologically
278 meaningful ways. While the complexity of global change will limit ecological understanding, it should not
279 limit our willingness to address the fundamental drivers of global change.

286 **References and Recommended Reading**

287 1.   Masson-Delmotte V, Zhai P, Pörtner H-O, Roberts D, Skea J, Shukla P, Pirani A, Moufouma-Okia
288   W, Péan C, Pidcock R, et al.: *Global Warming of 1.5 OC: An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of*
289   *Global Warming of 1.5° C Above Pre-industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas*
290   *Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate*
291   *Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty*. World Meteorological
292   Organization Geneva, Switzerland; 2018.

293 2.   Ummenhofer CC, Meehl GA: **Extreme weather and climate events with ecological relevance: a**
294   **review**. *Philos Trans R Soc B-Biol Sci* 2017, **372**:20160135.

295 Extreme climatic events (ECEs), associated with mean global temperatures, are increasing in intensity
296 and frequency. Advances in ECE observations and their representations in climate models create new
297 opportunities to assess the role of ECEs on ecosystem structure and functioning.

298 3.   Harvey JA, Heinen R, Gols R, Thakur MP: **Climate change-mediated temperature extremes and**
299   **insects: From outbreaks to breakdowns**. *Global Change Biology* 2020, **26**:6685–6701.

300 4.   Birrell JH, Shah AA, Hotaling S, Giersch JJ, Williamson CE, Jacobsen D, Woods HA: **Insects in high-**
301   **elevation streams: Life in extreme environments imperiled by climate change**. *Global Change*
302   *Biology* 2020, **26**:6667–6684.

303 5.   Yang LH: **Towards a more temporally explicit framework for community ecology**. *Ecological*
304   *Research* 2020, **35**:445–462.

305 6.   Jactel H, Koricheva J, Castagneyrol B: **Responses of forest insect pests to climate change: not so**
306   **simple**. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2019, **35**:103–108.

307 7.   Huey RB, Kingsolver JG: **Climate Warming, Resource Availability, and the Metabolic Meltdown**
308   **of Ectotherms**. *The American Naturalist* 2019, **194**:E140–E150.

With a bioenergetic model, Huey and Kingsolver demonstrate the potential for "metabolic meltdown" under warming temperatures. They describe how the coupling of declining food intake and activity at temperatures above optimal physiological levels can lead to reduced net energy gain.

8.   Miles LS, Breitbart ST, Wagner HH, Johnson MTJ: **Urbanization Shapes the Ecology and Evolution of Plant-Arthropod Herbivore Interactions**. *Front Ecol Evol* 2019, **7**.

9.   Murphy S, Richards L, Wimp G: **Arthropod interactions and responses to disturbance in a changing world**. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 2020,

10.   Thimmegowda GG, Mullen S, Sottilare K, Sharma A, Mohanta SS, Brockmann A, Dhandapany PS, Olsson SB: **A field-based quantitative analysis of sublethal effects of air pollution on pollinators**. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2020, **117**:20653–20661.

11.   Fuentes JD, Chamecki M, Roulston T, Chen B, Pratt KR: **Air pollutants degrade floral scents and increase insect foraging times**. *Atmospheric Environment* 2016, **141**:361–374.

12.   Grubisic, van Grunsven, Kyba, Manfrin, Holker: **Insect declines and agroecosystems: does light pollution matter?** *Annals of Applied Biology* 2018,

Artificial light at night (ALAN) compounds effects of environmental pressures on insect communities in agroecosystems. Recent evidence underscores the impact of ALAN on declining insect ecosystem services, both directly and indirectly impacting crop production and biodiversity across agricultural land.

13.   Habel JC, Ulrich W, Biburger N, Seibold S, Schmitt T: **Agricultural intensification drives butterfly decline**. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 2019, **12**:289–295.

Agricultural intensification is credited as a primary driver of insect loss across Europe. Data collected from agricultural and semi-natural grassland patches indicate that butterfly species richness and abundance are negatively impacted by multiple drivers associated with agricultural intensification including pesticide use, habitat quality, and habitat fragmentation.

14.   Dalu T, Wasserman RJ, Dalu MTB: **Agricultural intensification and drought frequency increases may have landscape-level consequences for ephemeral ecosystems**. *Global Change Biology* 2017, **23**:983–985.

Ephemeral wetland ecosystems are vulnerable to agricultural practices and poor landscape-scale management. Climate change, particularly increasing frequency and severity of droughts, compounds the effects of agriculturally induced degradation on wetland ecosystems.

15.   Wimp GM, Lewis D, Murphy SM: **Impacts of Nutrient Subsidies on Salt Marsh Arthropod Food Webs: A Latitudinal Survey**. *Front Ecol Evol* 2019, **7**.

Increasing anthropogenic nutrient inputs from agricultural and urban habitats into nearby native ecosystems are highly variable, and their effects on foodwebs are poorly understood. Data collected on the effects of anthropogenic nitrogen inputs on salt marsh arthropod foodwebs suggest that trophic structure changes variably, with specialists and herbivores responding negatively and generalists responding positively to nitrogen density.

345   16.   Ceia-Hasse A, Navarro LM, Borda-de-Água L, Pereira HM: **Population persistence in landscapes**
346   **fragmented by roads: Disentangling isolation, mortality, and the effect of dispersal**. *Ecological*
347   *Modelling* 2018, **375**:45–53.

348   17.   Taubert F, Fischer R, Groeneveld J, Lehmann S, Müller MS, Rödig E, Wiegand T, Huth A: **Global**
349   **patterns of tropical forest fragmentation**. *Nature* 2018, **554**:519–522.

350   18.   Rossetti MR, Tscharntke T, Aguilar R, Batáry P: **Responses of insect herbivores and herbivory to**
351   **habitat fragmentation: a hierarchical meta-analysis**. *Ecology Letters* 2017, **20**:264–272.

352   19.   Wu-Smart J, Spivak M: **Sub-lethal effects of dietary neonicotinoid insecticide exposure on honey**
353   **bee queen fecundity and colony development**. *Scientific reports* 2016, **6**:1–11.

354   20.   Wu-Smart J, Spivak M: **Effects of neonicotinoid imidacloprid exposure on bumble bee**
355   **(Hymenoptera: Apidae) queen survival and nest initiation**. *Environ Entomol* 2018, **47**:55–62.

356   21.   Williamson SM, Wright GA: **Exposure to multiple cholinergic pesticides impairs olfactory**
357   **learning and memory in honeybees**. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 2013, **216**:1799–1807.

358   22.   Martelli F, Zhongyuan Z, Wang J, Wong C-O, Karagas NE, Roessner U, Rupasinghe T,
359   Venkatachalam K, Perry T, Bellen HJ, et al.: **Low doses of the neonicotinoid insecticide**
360   **imidacloprid induce ROS triggering neurological and metabolic impairments in Drosophila**. *Proc*
361   *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2020, **117**:25840–25850.

362   23.   Parkinson RH, Little JM, Gray JR: **A sublethal dose of a neonicotinoid insecticide disrupts visual**
363   **processing and collision avoidance behaviour in Locusta migratoria**. *Scientific Reports* 2017,
364   **7**:936.

365   24.   Tosi S, Burgio G, Nieh JC: **A common neonicotinoid pesticide, thiamethoxam, impairs honey bee**
366   **flight ability**. *Scientific Reports* 2017, **7**:1201.

367   25.   Navarro-Roldán MA, Amat C, Bau J, Gemeno C: **Extremely low neonicotinoid doses alter**
368   **navigation of pest insects along pheromone plumes**. *Scientific Reports* 2019, **9**:8150.

369   26.   Jin N, Klein S, Leimig F, Bischoff G, Menzel R: **The neonicotinoid clothianidin interferes with**
370   **navigation of the solitary bee Osmia cornuta in a laboratory test**. *Journal of Experimental*
371   *Biology* 2015, **218**:2821–2825.

372   27.   Sgolastra F, Arnan X, Cabbri R, Isani G, Medrzycki P, Teper D, Bosch J: **Combined exposure to**
373   **sublethal concentrations of an insecticide and a fungicide affect feeding, ovary development**
374   **and longevity in a solitary bee**. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2018,
375   **285**:20180887.

376   28.   Thompson D, Lehmler H-J, W. Kolpin D, L. Hladik M, D. Vargo J, E. Schilling K, H. LeFevre G,
377   L. Peeples T, C. Poch M, E. LaDuca L, et al.: **A critical review on the potential impacts of**
378   **neonicotinoid insecticide use: current knowledge of environmental fate, toxicity, and**
379   **implications for human health**. *Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts* 2020, **22**:1315–1346.

380   29.   Morrissey CA, Mineau P, Devries JH, Sanchez-Bayo F, Liess M, Cavallaro MC, Liber K:
381   **Neonicotinoid contamination of global surface waters and associated risk to aquatic**
382   **invertebrates: A review**. *Environment International* 2015, **74**:291–303.

383   30.   Sgolastra F, Medrzycki P, Bortolotti L, Maini S, Porrini C, Simon-Delso N, Bosch J: **Bees and**
384   **pesticide regulation: Lessons from the neonicotinoid experience**. *Biological Conservation* 2020,
385   **241**:108356.

386   31.   Fletcher RA, Brooks RK, Lakoba VT, Sharma G, Heminger AR, Dickinson CC, Barney JN: **Invasive**
387   **plants negatively impact native, but not exotic, animals**. *Global Change Biology* 2019, **25**:3694–
388   3705.

389   32.   Konopka JK, Haye T, Gariepy T, Mason P, Gillespie D, McNeil JN: **An exotic parasitoid provides an**
390   **invasional lifeline for native parasitoids**. *Ecology and Evolution* 2017, **7**:277–284.

391   33.   Gurevitch J, Padilla DK: **Are invasive species a major cause of extinctions?** *Trends in Ecology &*
392   *Evolution* 2004, **19**:470–474.

393   34.   Rafferty NE: **Effects of global change on insect pollinators: multiple drivers lead to novel**
394   **communities**. *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2017, **23**:22–27.

395   35.   Gippet JM, Liebhold AM, Fenn-Moltu G, Bertelsmeier C: **Human-mediated dispersal in insects**.
396   *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2019, **35**:96–102.

397   36.   Eriksson BK, Hillebrand H: **Rapid reorganization of global biodiversity**. *Science* 2019, **366**:308–
398   309.

399   37.   Schulte to Bühne H, Tobias JA, Durant SM, Pettorelli N: **Improving Predictions of Climate**
400   **Change–Land Use Change Interactions**. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2021, **36**:29–38.

401   38.   Crone EE, Pelton EM, Brown LM, Thomas CC, Schultz CB: **Why are monarch butterflies declining**
402   **in the West? Understanding the importance of multiple correlated drivers**. *Ecological*
403   *Applications* 2019, **29**:e01975.

404   This study considered several predictor variables related to climate, land use and pesticide exposure in
405   an analysis of western monarch butterfly population trends using partial least squares regression. Land
406   use change was strongly supported as a driver of monarch population declines, but correlations among
407   multiple drivers make it difficult to disentangle the effects of multiple correlated drivers.

408   39.   Sinclair BJ, Marshall KE, Sewell MA, Levesque DL, Willett CS, Slotsbo S, Dong Y, Harley CDG,
409   Marshall DJ, Helmuth BS, et al.: **Can we predict ectotherm responses to climate change using**
410   **thermal performance curves and body temperatures?** *Ecol Lett* 2016, **19**:1372–1385.

411   40.   Kingsolver JG, Diamond SE, Buckley LB: **Heat stress and the fitness consequences of climate**
412   **change for terrestrial ectotherms**. *Funct Ecol* 2013, **27**:1415–1423.

413   41.   Mayr AV, Peters MK, Eardley CD, Renner ME, Röder J, Steffan-Dewenter I: **Climate and food**
414   **resources shape species richness and trophic interactions of cavity-nesting Hymenoptera**.
415   *Journal of Biogeography* 2020, **47**:854–865.

416   42.   Larson EL, Tinghitella RM, Taylor SA: **Insect Hybridization and Climate Change**. *Front Ecol Evol*
417   2019, **7**.

418   Closely related insect species may undergo differing rates of gene flow due to climate change, via shifts
419   in distribution, interactions, life history, and behavior. Life cycle variation, range expansions, host plant
420   shifts, and thermally sensitive signaling can alter boundaries between species and populations.

421   43.   Kikuchi Y, Tada A, Musolin DL, Hari N, Hosokawa T, Fujisaki K, Fukatsu T: **Collapse of Insect Gut**
422   **Symbiosis under Simulated Climate Change**. *mBio* 2016, **7**.

423   44.   Renner SS, Zohner CM: **Climate Change and Phenological Mismatch in Trophic Interactions**
424   **Among Plants, Insects, and Vertebrates**. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*
425   2018, **49**:165–182.

426   45.   Grainger TN, Rego AI, Gilbert B: **Temperature-Dependent Species Interactions Shape Priority**
427   **Effects and the Persistence of Unequal Competitors**. *The American Naturalist* 2018, **191**:197–
428   209.

429   46.   Robinson A, Inouye DW, Ogilvie JE, Mooney EH: **Multitrophic interactions mediate the effects of**
430   **climate change on herbivore abundance**. *Oecologia* 2017, **185**:181–190.

431   47.   Masters GJ, Brown VK, Clarke IP, Whittaker JB, Hollier JA: **Direct and indirect effects of climate**
432   **change on insect herbivores: Auchenorrhyncha (Homoptera)**. *Ecological Entomology* 1998,
433   **23**:45–52.

434   48.   Bentz BJ, Régnière J, Fettig CJ, Hansen EM, Hayes JL, Hicke JA, Kelsey RG, Negrón JF, Seybold SJ:
435   **Climate Change and Bark Beetles of the Western United States and Canada: Direct and Indirect**
436   **Effects**. *BioScience* 2010, **60**:602–613.

437   49.   Chen C, Harvey JA, Biere A, Gols R: **Rain downpours affect survival and development of insect**
438   **herbivores: the specter of climate change?** *Ecology* 2019, **100**:e02819.

439   50.   Koltz AM, Classen AT, Wright JP: **Warming reverses top-down effects of predators on**
440   **belowground ecosystem function in Arctic tundra**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
441   *Sciences* 2018, **115**:E7541–E7549.

442   51.   Sanchez-Bayo F, Wyckhuys KAG: **Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers**.
443   *Biol Conserv* 2019, **232**:8–27.

444   52.   Kaunisto S, Ferguson LV, Sinclair BJ: **Can we predict the effects of multiple stressors on insects in**
445   **a changing climate?** *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2016, **17**:55–61.

446   53.   Ito HC, Shiraishi H, Nakagawa M, Takamura N: **Combined impact of pesticides and other**
447   **environmental stressors on animal diversity in irrigation ponds**. *PLOS ONE* 2020, **15**:e0229052.

448   54.   Assandri G: **Anthropogenic-driven transformations of dragonfly (Insect: Odonata) communities**
449   **of low elevation mountain wetlands during the last century**. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*
450   2020,

451   55.   Luquet M, Hullé M, Simon J-C, Parisey N, Buchard C, Jaloux B: **Relative importance of long-term**
452   **changes in climate and land-use on the phenology and abundance of legume crop specialist and**
453   **generalist aphids**. *Insect Science* 2019, **26**:881–896.

454   56.   Arambourou H, Stoks R: **Combined effects of larval exposure to a heat wave and chlorpyrifos in**
455   **northern and southern populations of the damselfly Ischnura elegans**. *Chemosphere* 2015,
456   **128**:148–154.

457   57.   Saleem MS, Huang ZY, Milbrath MO: **Neonicotinoid Pesticides Are More Toxic to Honey Bees at**
458   **Lower Temperatures: Implications for Overwintering Bees**. *Front Ecol Evol* 2020, **8**:556856.

459   58.   González-Tokman D, Córdoba-Aguilar A, Dáttilo W, Lira-Noriega A, Sánchez-Guillén RA, Villalobos
460   F: **Insect responses to heat: physiological mechanisms, evolution and ecological implications in**
461   **a warming world**. *Biological Reviews* 2020, **95**:802–821.

462   59.   Montgomery GA, Dunn RR, Fox R, Jongejans E, Leather SR, Saunders ME, Shortall CR, Tingley MW,
463   Wagner DL: **Is the insect apocalypse upon us? How to find out**. *Biological Conservation* 2020,
464   **241**:108327.

465   We currently lack the data necessary to determine the extent of global insect population declines.
466   Improving our understanding of insect population declines will require new approaches to data
467   gathering and sharing.

468   60.   Hallmann CA, Sorg M, Jongejans E, Siepel H, Hofland N, Schwan H, Stenmans W, Müller A, Sumser
469   H, Hörren T, et al.: **More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in**
470   **protected areas**. *PLOS ONE* 2017, **12**:e0185809.

471   61.   Lister BC, Garcia A: **Climate-driven declines in arthropod abundance restructure a rainforest**
472   **food web**. *PNAS* 2018, doi:10.1073/pnas.1722477115.

473   62.   Melero Y, Stefanescu C, Pino J: **General declines in Mediterranean butterflies over the last two**
474   **decades are modulated by species traits**. *Biological Conservation* 2016, **201**:336–342.

475   63.   Møller AP: **Parallel declines in abundance of insects and insectivorous birds in Denmark over 22**
476   **years**. *Ecology and Evolution* 2019, **9**:6581–6587.

477   64.   Meineke E, Classen A, Sanders N, Davies J: **Herbarium specimens reveal increasing herbivory**
478   **over the past century**. *Ecology* 2018,

479   65.   Boyle JH, Dalgleish HJ, Puzey JR: **Monarch butterfly and milkweed declines substantially predate**
480   **the use of genetically modified crops**. *PNAS* 2019, **116**:3006–3011.

481   66.   Meineke E, Davies J: **Museum specimens provide novel insights into changing plant–herbivore**
482   **interactions**. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 2018,

483   67.   Stuble KL, Bewick S, Fisher M, Forister ML, Harrison SP, Shapiro AM, Latimer AM, Fox LR: **The**
484   **promise and the perils of resurveying to understand global change impacts**. *Ecological*
485   *Monographs* 2021, **91**:e01435.

486   68.   Willig MR, Woolbright L, Presley SJ, Schowalter TD, Waide RB, Scalley TH, Zimmerman JK,
487   González G, Lugo AE: **Populations are not declining and food webs are not collapsing at the**
488   **Luquillo Experimental Forest**. *PNAS* 2019, **116**:12143–12144.

489   69.   Ries L, Zipkin EF, Guralnick RP: **Tracking trends in monarch abundance over the 20th century is**
490   **currently impossible using museum records**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
491   2019, **116**:13745–13748.

492   70.   Wepprich T: **Monarch butterfly trends are sensitive to unexamined changes in museum**
493   **collections over time**. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2019, **116**:13742–13744.

494   71.   Dunn RR: **Modern Insect Extinctions, the Neglected Majority**. *Conservation Biology* 2005,
495   **19**:1030–1036.

496   72.   Soga M, Gaston KJ: **Shifting baseline syndrome: causes, consequences, and implications**.
497   *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 2018, **16**:222–230.

498   "Shifting baseline syndrome" describes how human expectations about the environment changes over
499   time due to a lack of historical data and a lack of direct experience with past conditions. Shifting
500   baselines represent a persistent knowledge gap that arises repeatedly in the context global change, with
501   important negative consequences for science and conservation policy. These negative effects can result
502   in a feedback loop between continued environmental degradation and continually shifting baselines.

503   73.   Beever EA, Simberloff D, Crowley SL, Al-Chokhachy R, Jackson HA, Petersen SL: **Social–ecological**
504   **mismatches create conservation challenges in introduced species management**. *Frontiers in*
505   *Ecology and the Environment* 2019, **17**:117–125.

506   74.   Halsch CA, Code A, Hoyle SM, Fordyce JA, Baert N, Forister ML: **Pesticide Contamination of**
507   **Milkweeds Across the Agricultural, Urban, and Open Spaces of Low-Elevation Northern**
508   **California**. *Front Ecol Evol* 2020, **8**.

509   75.   Boukal DS, Bideault A, Carreira BM, Sentis A: **Species interactions under climate change:**
510   **connecting kinetic effects of temperature on individuals to community dynamics**. *Current*
511   *Opinion in Insect Science* 2019, **35**:88–95.

512   This review summarizes the ways in which well-characterized thermal effect pathways become more
513   complex in the context of variable temperature regimes and a broader scope of species interactions.
514   The consistent trend of increased warming is expected to have direct effects on the physiologies of
515   insects (particularly through metabolic pathways), but these changes will likely move beyond the short-
516   term individual scale to shifts in behavior, life histories, species interactions and ultimately community
517   structure and stability.

518   76.   Fletcher RJ, Reichert BE, Holmes K: **The negative effects of habitat fragmentation operate at the**
519   **scale of dispersal**. *Ecology* 2018, **99**:2176–2186.

520   77.   Lutscher F, Musgrave JA: **Behavioral responses to resource heterogeneity can accelerate**
521   **biological invasions**. *Ecology* 2017, **98**:1229–1238.

522   78.   Egri Á, Pereszlényi Á, Farkas A, Horváth G, Penksza K, Kriska G: **How can Asphalt Roads Extend**
523   **the Range of In Situ Polarized Light Pollution? A Complex Ecological Trap of Ephemera danica**
524   **and a Possible Remedy**. *J Insect Behav* 2017, **30**:374–384.

525   79.   McMunn MS, Yang LH, Ansalmo A, Bucknam K, Claret M, Clay C, Cox K, Dungey DR, Jones A, Kim
526   AY, et al.: **Artificial Light Increases Local Predator Abundance, Predation Rates, and Herbivory**.
527   *Environ Entomol* 2019, **48**:1331–1339.

528   80.   Owens ACS, Cochard P, Durrant J, Farnworth B, Perkin EK, Seymoure B: **Light pollution is a driver**
529   **of insect declines**. *Biological Conservation* 2020, **241**:108259.

530   81.   Sentis A, Ramon-Portugal F, Brodeur J, Hemptinne J-L: **The smell of change: warming affects**
531   **species interactions mediated by chemical information**. *Glob Chang Biol* 2015, **21**:3586–3594.

532   82.   Boullis A, Detrain C, Francis F, Verheggen FJ: **Will climate change affect insect pheromonal**
533   **communication?** *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2016, **17**:87–91.

534   83.   Gallego-Abenza M, Mathevon N, Wheatcroft D: **Experience modulates an insect's response to**
535   **anthropogenic noise**. *Behav Ecol* 2020, **31**:90–96.

536   Insects that rely on acoustic signaling often adjust their signaling rate in response to noise generated by
537   roads, but the magnitude of this adjustment depends on previous experience. When exposed to road
538   noise playbacks, male crickets close to roads decreased their signal frequency less than crickets further
539   from roads; previous exposure to roads thus allowed crickets to avoid a potentially maladaptive
540   behavioral response.

541   84.   Bowen AE, Gurule-Small GA, Tinghitella RM: **Anthropogenic noise reduces male reproductive**
542   **investment in an acoustically signaling insect**. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 2020, **74**:103.

543   85.   Mullins JW: **Imidacloprid**. In *Pest Control with Enhanced Environmental Safety*. . American
544   Chemical Society; 1993:183–198.

545   86.   Wamhoff H, Schneider V: **Photodegradation of Imidacloprid**. *J Agric Food Chem* 1999, **47**:1730–
546   1734.

547   87.   Moffat AS: **New chemicals seek to outwit insect pests**. *Science* 1993, **261**:550–551.

548   88.   Doublet V, Labarussias M, Miranda JR de, Moritz RFA, Paxton RJ: **Bees under stress: sublethal**
549   **doses of a neonicotinoid pesticide and pathogens interact to elevate honey bee mortality**
550   **across the life cycle**. *Environmental Microbiology* 2015, **17**:969–983.

551   89.   Woodcock BA, Isaac NJ, Bullock JM, Roy DB, Garthwaite DG, Crowe A, Pywell RF: **Impacts of**
552   **neonicotinoid use on long-term population changes in wild bees in England**. *Nature*
553   *Communications* 2016, **7**:1–8.

554   90.   Forister ML, Cousens B, Harrison JG, Anderson K, Thorne, Waetjen D, Nice CC, De Parsia M, Hladik
555   ML, Meese R, et al.: **Increasing neonicotinoid use and the declining butterfly fauna of lowland**
556   **California**. *Biology Letters* 2016, **12**:20160475.

557   91.   Mogren CL, Trumble JT: **The impacts of metals and metalloids on insect behavior**. *Entomologia*
558   *Experimentalis et Applicata* 2010, **135**:1–17.

559   92.   Gallinat AS, Primack RB, Wagner DL: **Autumn, the neglected season in climate change research**.
560   *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2015, **30**:169–176.

561   93.   Ekholm A, Tack AJM, Bolmgren K, Roslin T: **The forgotten season: the impact of autumn**
562   **phenology on a specialist insect herbivore community on oak**. *Ecological Entomology* 2019,
563   **44**:425–435.

564   94.   Marshall KE, Gotthard K, Williams CM: **Evolutionary impacts of winter climate change on insects**.
565   *Current Opinion in Insect Science* 2020, **41**:54–62.

566   95.   Kerr NZ, Wepprich T, Grevstad FS, Dopman EB, Chew FS, Crone EE: **Developmental trap or**
567   **demographic bonanza? Opposing consequences of earlier phenology in a changing climate for a**
568   **multivoltine butterfly**. *Global Change Biology* 2020, **26**:2014–2027.

569   Warming climatic condition could create "demographic bonanzas" if multivoltine organisms are able to
570   produce additional generations each year but can also create "demographic traps" if organisms are
571   unable to complete their development during the extended growing season. This paper uses a
572   demographic and photothermal model to predict that the southern range of the butterfly *Pieris oleracea*
573   has experienced a demographic bonanza, while the northern range has experienced a demographic trap
574   under changing conditions.

575   96.   Van Dyck H, Bonte D, Puls R, Gotthard K, Maes D: **The lost generation hypothesis: could climate**
576   **change drive ectotherms into a developmental trap?** *Oikos* 2015, **124**:54–61.

577   97.   Forrest JRK, Cross R, CaraDonna PJ: **Two-year bee, or not two-year bee? How voltinism is**
578   **affected by temperature and season length in a high-elevation solitary bee**. *The American*
579   *Naturalist* 2019, **193**:560–574.

580   98.   Dalin P, Bean DV, Dudley TL, Carney VA, Eberts D, Gardner KT, Hebertson E, Jones EN, Kazmer DJ,
581   Michels GJ, et al.: **Seasonal Adaptations to Day Length in Ecotypes of Diorhabda spp.**
582   **(Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) Inform Selection of Agents Against Saltcedars (Tamarix spp.)**.
583   *Environ Entomol* 2010, **39**:1666–1675.

584   99.   Tougeron K, Brodeur J, Lann CL, Baaren J van: **How climate change affects the seasonal ecology**
585   **of insect parasitoids**. *Ecological Entomology* 2020, **45**:167–181.

586   100.   Høye TT, Kresse J-C, Koltz AM, Bowden JJ: **Earlier springs enable high-Arctic wolf spiders to**
587   **produce a second clutch**. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 2020,
588   **287**:20200982.

589   In years with earlier snowmelt, arctic wolf spiders produced more and larger second clutches of eggs.

590   101.   Chmura HE, Kharouba HM, Ashander J, Ehlman SM, Rivest EB, Yang LH: **The mechanisms of**
591   **phenology: the patterns and processes of phenological shifts**. *Ecological Monographs* 2019,
592   **89**:e01337.

593   102.   Colom P, Traveset A, Carreras D, Stefanescu C: **Spatio-temporal responses of butterflies to**
594   **global warming on a Mediterranean island over two decades**. *Ecological Entomology* 2021, **n/a**.

595   103.   Edwards CB, Yang LH: **Evolved Phenological Cueing Strategies Show Variable Responses to**
596   **Climate Change**. *The American Naturalist* 2021, **197**:E1–E16.

597   Simulated organisms evolved phenological strategies in response to historical climate data from multiple
598   locations. Within locations, organisms often evolved different strategies that behaved similarly under
599   historical conditions. However, these strategies show variable and unexpected responses to novel
600   climatic conditions.

601   104.   Fisogni A, Hautekèete N, Piquot Y, Brun M, Vanappelghem C, Michez D, Massol F: **Urbanization**
602   **drives an early spring for plants but not for pollinators**. *Oikos* 2020, **129**:1681–1691.

603   105.   Kharouba HM, Wolkovich EM: **Disconnects between ecological theory and data in phenological**
604   **mismatch research**. *Nature Climate Change* 2020, **10**:406–415.

605   106.   Forrest JRK: **Plant-pollinator interactions and phenological change: what can we learn about**
606   **climate impacts from experiments and observations?** *Oikos* 2015, **124**:4–13.

607   107.   Damien M, Tougeron K: **Prey–predator phenological mismatch under climate change**. *Current*
608   *Opinion in Insect Science* 2019, **35**:60–68.

609   108.   Kharouba HM, Ehrlén J, Gelman A, Bolmgren K, Allen JM, Travers SE, Wolkovich EM: **Global shifts**
610   **in the phenological synchrony of species interactions over recent decades**. *PNAS* 2018,
611   doi:10.1073/pnas.1714511115.

612   109.   Pearse WD, Davis CC, Inouye DW, Primack RB, Davies TJ: **A statistical estimator for determining**
613   **the limits of contemporary and historic phenology**. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2017, **1**:1876–1882.

614   110.   Simmonds EG, Cole EF, Sheldon BC, Coulson T: **Phenological asynchrony: a ticking time-bomb for**
615   **seemingly stable populations?** *Ecology Letters* 2020, **23**:1766–1775.

616   Based on a structured population model of the great tit-caterpillar system, this paper suggests that pairs
617   of interacting species may reach plasticity thresholds beyond which one species is unable to continue to
618   evolve to track the shifting phenology of the other. Such a situation could lead to a rapid population
619   decline of one or both species even in a system where populations had remained relatively constant
620   over part of the climate trajectory.

621   111.   Morente-Lopez J, Lara-Romero C, Ornosa C, Iriondo J: **Phenology drives species interactions and**
622   **modularity in a plant-flower visitation network**. *Scientific Reports* 2018,

623   112.   Nakazawa T, Doi H: **A perspective on match/mismatch of phenology in community contexts**.
624   *Oikos* 2012, **121**:489–495.

625   113.   Farzan S, Yang LH: **Experimental shifts in phenology affect fitness, foraging, and parasitism in a**
626   **native solitary bee**. *Ecology* 2018, **99**:2187–2195.

627 114. Yang LH, Cenzer ML: **Seasonal windows of opportunity in milkweed–monarch interactions**.
628   *Ecology* 2020, **101**:e02880.

629 115. Takimoto G, Sato T: **Timing and duration of phenological resources: Toward a mechanistic
630   understanding of their impacts on community structure and ecosystem processes in stream
631   food chains**. *Ecological Research* 2020, **35**:463–473.

632 116. Poniatowski D, Beckmann C, Löffler F, Münsch T, Helbing F, Samways MJ, Fartmann T: **Relative
633   impacts of land-use and climate change on grasshopper range shifts have changed over time**.
634   *Global Ecol Biogeogr* 2020, doi:10.1111/geb.13188.

635 In this study of 58 orthopteran distributions over time, specialists and species associated with more
636 natural landscapes experienced range retractions between pre-1990 and 1990-1999, likely due to
637 habitat fragmentation. Mobile and thermophilic species experienced range expansions between 1990-
638 1999 and 2000-2017, likely as a result of warming temperatures under climate change.

639 117. Termaat T, Strien AJ van, Grunsven RHA van, Knijf GD, Bjelke U, Burbach K, Conze K-J, Goffart P,
640   Hepper D, Kalkman VJ, et al.: **Distribution trends of European dragonflies under climate change**.
641   *Diversity and Distributions* 2019, **25**:936–950.

642 118. Biella P, Ćetković A, Gogala A, Neumayer J, Sárospataki M, Šima P, Smetana V: **Northwestward
643   range expansion of the bumblebee *Bombus haematurus* into Central Europe is associated with
644   warmer winters and niche conservatism**. *Insect Science* 2020, doi:10.1111/1744-7917.12800.

645 119. Karban R, Huntzinger M: **Decline of meadow spittlebugs, a previously abundant insect, along
646   the California coast**. *Ecology* 2018, **99**:2614–2616.

647 120. Howard DR, Hall CL: **Examining the Management of Rare Insects Through the Lens of Biotic
648   Interactions: A Comparative Case Study of Nicrophorus americanus (Coleoptera: Silphidae) and
649   Gryllotalpa major (Orthoptera: Gryllotalpidae)**. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*
650   2019, **112**:158–168.

651 121. Blowes SA, Supp SR, Antão LH, Bates A, Bruelheide H, Chase JM, Moyes F, Magurran A, McGill B,
652   Myers-Smith IH, et al.: **The geography of biodiversity change in marine and terrestrial
653   assemblages**. *Science* 2019, **366**:339–345.

654 122. Lester PJ, Sébastien A, Suarez AV, Barbieri RF, Gruber MAM: **Symbiotic bacterial communities in
655   ants are modified by invasion pathway bottlenecks and alter host behavior**. *Ecology* 2017,
656   **98**:861–874.

657 123. Xu L, Liu Y, Xu S, Lu M: **Gut commensal bacteria in biological invasions**. *Integrative zoology* 2019,
658   **14**:613–618.

659 124. Bertelsmeier C, Keller L: **Bridgehead Effects and Role of Adaptive Evolution in Invasive
660   Populations**. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2018, **33**:527–534.

661 125. Cassini MH: **A review of the critics of invasion biology**. *Biological Reviews* 2020, **95**:1467–1478.

662   126.   Wallingford PD, Morelli TL, Allen JM, Beaury EM, Blumenthal DM, Bradley BA, Dukes JS, Early R,
663   Fusco EJ, Goldberg DE, et al.: **Adjusting the lens of invasion biology to focus on the impacts of**
664   **climate-driven range shifts**. *Nature Climate Change* 2020, **10**:398–405.

665   127.   Conrad KF, Warren MS, Fox R, Parsons MS, Woiwod IP: **Rapid declines of common, widespread**
666   **British moths provide evidence of an insect biodiversity crisis**. *Biological Conservation* 2006,
667   **132**:279–291.

668   128.   Loboda S, Savage J, Buddle CM, Schmidt NM, Høye TT: **Declining diversity and abundance of High**
669   **Arctic fly assemblages over two decades of rapid climate warming**. *Ecography* 2018, **41**:265–
670   277.

671   129.   Forister ML, Halsch CA, Nice CC, Fordyce JA, Dilts TE, Oliver JC, Prudic KL, Shapiro AM, Wilson JK,
672   Glassberg J: **Fewer butterflies seen by community scientists across the warming and drying**
673   **landscapes of the American West**. *Science* 2021, **371**:1042–1045.

674   130.   Dornelas M, Gotelli NJ, Shimadzu H, Moyes F, Magurran AE, McGill BJ: **A balance of winners and**
675   **losers in the Anthropocene**. *Ecology Letters* 2019, **22**:847–854.

676   Different data sources reveal different mean impacts of global change on all species' abundances and
677   distributions. Data collected on individual species populations indicate that many more species are
678   climate "losers" than "winners," but data collected on species assemblages reveal roughly balanced
679   numbers of climate "losers" and "winners." Community assemblage data reveal a distinct increase in the
680   speed of species turnover in most communities correlating with the acceleration of global change.

681   131.   Thomas CD, Jones TH, Hartley SE: **"Insectageddon": A call for more robust data and rigorous**
682   **analyses**. *Global Change Biology* 2019, **25**:1891–1892.

683   132.   Wagner DL: **Insect Declines in the Anthropocene**. *Annu Rev Entomol* 2020, **65**:457–480.

684   133.   Saunders ME, Janes JK, O'Hanlon JC: **Moving On from the Insect Apocalypse Narrative: Engaging**
685   **with Evidence-Based Insect Conservation**. *BioScience* 2020, **70**:80–89.

686   134.   Mupepele A-C, Bruelheide H, Dauber J, Krüß A, Potthast T, Wägele W, Klein A-M: **Insect decline**
687   **and its drivers: Unsupported conclusions in a poorly performed meta-analysis on trends—A**
688   **critique of Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys (2019)**. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 2019, **37**:20–23.

689   135.   Cardoso P, Branco VV, Chichorro F, Fukushima CS, Macías-Hernández N: **Can we really predict a**
690   **catastrophic worldwide decline of entomofauna and its drivers?** *Global Ecology and*
691   *Conservation* 2019, **20**:e00621.

692   136.   Simmons BI, Balmford A, Bladon AJ, Christie AP, Palma AD, Dicks LV, Gallego-Zamorano J,
693   Johnston A, Martin PA, Purvis A, et al.: **Worldwide insect declines: An important message, but**
694   **interpret with caution**. *Ecology and Evolution* 2019, **9**:3678–3680.

695   137.   Kehoe R, Frago E, Sanders D: **Cascading extinctions as a hidden driver of insect decline**.
696   *Ecological Entomology* 2021, **n/a**.

697   138.   Forister ML, Pelton EM, Black SH: **Declines in insect abundance and diversity: We know enough**
698   **to act now**. *Conservation Science and Practice* 2019, **1**:e80.

699   139.   Harvey JA, Heinen R, Armbrecht I, Basset Y, Baxter-Gilbert JH, Bezemer TM, Böhm M, Bommarco
700   R, Borges PAV, Cardoso P, et al.: **International scientists formulate a roadmap for insect**
701   **conservation and recovery**. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2020, **4**:174–176.

702   Harvey et al. (2020) present short-, mid- and long-term solutions to global change-driven declines in
703   insect abundance and diversity. They call for both direct conservation measures (addressing global
704   change drivers at the source) and the prioritization of research surrounding insect declines, emphasizing
705   that we do not need to fill all knowledge gaps before acting to preserve insects.

706   140.   Koltz AM, Schmidt NM, Høye TT: **Differential arthropod responses to warming are altering the**
707   **structure of Arctic communities**. *Royal Society Open Science* 2018, **5**:171503.

708   141.   Kankaanpää T, Vesterinen E, Hardwick B, Schmidt NM, Andersson T, Aspholm PE, Barrio IC,
709   Beckers N, Bêty J, Birkemoe T, et al.: **Parasitoids indicate major climate-induced shifts in arctic**
710   **communities**. *Glob Change Biol* 2020, **26**:6276–6295.

711   142.   Goulson D: **The insect apocalypse, and why it matters**. *Current Biology* 2019, **29**:R967–R971.

712   143.   Jarvis B: **The insect apocalypse is here**. *The New York Times* 2018,

713   144.   Yong E: **Is the Insect Apocalypse Really Upon Us?** *The Atlantic* 2019,

714   145.   Teder T: **Phenological responses to climate warming in temperate moths and butterflies:**
715   **species traits predict future changes in voltinism**. *Oikos* 2020, **129**:1051–1060.

716   Using a dataset of moths and butterflies of northern Europe, Teder (2020) determined which species
717   traits might tend to relate to either a univoltine or multivoltine phenology. Species that are univoltine
718   are typically larger, but there is not necessarily a constraint on size for multivoltine species. Species that
719   overwinter as eggs or adults tend to be univoltine, while species that overwinter as pupae are often
720   multivoltine, at least in part of their range.

721   146.   Coulson T: **We live in a changing world, but that shouldn't mean we abandon the concept of**
722   **equilibrium**. *Ecology Letters* 2021, **24**:3–5.

723

724

Figure 1. a) Climate change, b) land use change, c) the increased global transport of organisms and d) novel chemicals and compounds are co-occurring and overlapping drivers of global change. Much of the complexity of global change arises from this multiplicity of interacting drivers.

Figure 2. Global change drivers often have complex downstream effects on insect communities via more 'proximate' behavioral pathways. a) Polarized light signatures from roads disrupt mayfly flight navigation resulting in egg deposition on desiccation-prone surfaces. b) The biological invasion of Argentine ants resulted in reduced genetic and microbial symbiont diversity in the introduced range, which is associated with less costly interspecific conflict. c) Warming increases the chirp frequencies of male field crickets, leading to increased opportunities for hybridization. d) Exposure to pesticides can impair learning and memory in bees, contributing to population declines.

Figure 3. Curves represent the phenological distribution of a species; different hues represent different species and lighter curves of the same hue with dotted outlines represent the phenological distribution of a species at a prior time. a) Phenological shifts are changes in the seasonal timing of life history processes relative to calendar dates. b) Phenological mismatches are differences in the relative phenologies of interacting species. c) Phenological mismatches have the potential to disrupt species interactions, potentially affecting the fitness and abundance of the mis-matched species. d) The indirect effects of disrupted species interactions could impact other species in a broader community, as illustrated in the hypothetical example in panel e).

Figure 4. Representations of shifts in distribution, abundance, and community composition due to global change. a) Insect species may shift their range poleward (or up in elevation), shift their range toward the equator (or down in elevation), or have no shift in their range center. Concurrently, they may shift in their abundance: decreasing through fragmentation, decreasing through range contraction, staying stable, or increasing through range expansion. b) The composition of communities can change due to the direct and indirect effects of range shifts, global transport of insects, and declining insect abundances. In this example, the local extinction of a butterfly decreases the total number of floral visitors, while an invasive grasshopper increases the total number of herbivores in the community, changing ecosystem function.