UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Resting Physiologic Dead Space as Predictor of Postoperative Pulmonary Complications After Robotic-Assisted Lung Resection: A Pilot Study

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5vh9m1dv

Authors

Godbole, Rohit Church, Sanford B Abolhoda, Amir <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2022

DOI

10.3389/fphys.2022.803641

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed



Resting Physiologic Dead Space as Predictor of Postoperative Pulmonary Complications After Robotic-Assisted Lung Resection: A Pilot Study

Rohit Godbole¹, Sanford B. Church¹, Amir Abolhoda^{1,2}, Janos Porszasz³ and Catherine S. H. Sassoon^{1,2}*

¹Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, University of California, Irvine, CA, United States, ²Department of Medicine, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, VA Long Beach Healthcare System, Long Beach, CA, United States, ³The Lundquist Institute for Biomedical Innovation at Harbor-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA, United States

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Andrew John Halayko, University of Manitoba, Canada

Reviewed by:

Devin B. Phillips, Queen's University, Canada Marta Guðjónsdóttir, University of Iceland, Iceland

> *Correspondence: Catherine S. H. Sassoon csassoon@uci.edu

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Respiratory Physiology and Pathophysiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

Received: 28 October 2021 Accepted: 17 June 2022 Published: 18 July 2022

Citation:

Godbole R, Church SB, Abolhoda A, Porszasz J and Sassoon CSH (2022) Resting Physiologic Dead Space as Predictor of Postoperative Pulmonary Complications After Robotic-Assisted Lung Resection: A Pilot Study. Front. Physiol. 13:803641. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.803641 Lung resection surgery carries significant risks of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC). Cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET) is performed to predict risk of PPC in patients with severely reduced predicted postoperative forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and diffusion of carbon monoxide (DLCO). Recently, resting end-tidal partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PETCO₂) has been shown as a good predictor for increased risk of PPC. However, breath-breath breathing pattern significantly affects PETCO2. Resting physiologic dead space (VD), and physiologic dead space to tidal volume ratio (VD/VT), may be a better predictor of PPC than PETCO₂. The objective of this study was to prospectively determine the utility of resting measurements of VD and VD/VT in predicting PPC in patients who underwent robotic-assisted lung resection for suspected or biopsyproven lung malignancy. Thirty-five consecutive patients were included in the study. Patients underwent preoperative pulmonary function testing, symptom-limited CPET, and a 6-min walk test. In the first 2 min prior to the exercise portion of the CPET, we obtained resting VT, minute ventilation (VE), VD (less instrument dead space), VD/VT, PETCO₂, and arterial blood gases. PPC within 90 days were recorded. Fourteen (40%) patients had one or more PPC. Patients with PPC had significantly elevated resting VD compared to those without (0.318 \pm 0.028 L vs. 0.230 \pm 0.017 L (\pm SE), p < 0.006), and a trend toward increased VD/VT (0.35 \pm 0.02 vs. 0.31 \pm 0.02, p = 0.051). Area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) for VD was 0.81 (p < 0.002), VD/VT was 0.68 (p = 0.077), and PETCO₂ was 0.52 (p = 0.840). Peak VO₂, VE/VCO₂ slope, pulmonary function tests, 6-min walk distance and arterial blood gases were similar between the two groups. Intensive care unit and total hospital length of stay was significantly longer in those with PPC. In conclusion, preoperative resting VD was significantly elevated in patients with PPC. The observed increase in resting VD may be a potentially useful predictor of PPC in patients undergoing robotic-assisted lung resection surgery for suspected or biopsyproven lung malignancy. A large prospective study is needed for confirmation.

Keywords: physiologic dead space, postoperative predictor, pulmonary complications, robotic-assisted lung resection, lung cancer

INTRODUCTION

Preoperative evaluation of lung function is the standard of care to estimate the risks of postoperative pulmonary complications (PPC) following lung resection for lung nodules, either biopsyproven, or suspicious for cancer. Lung resection surgery carries significant risks, including postoperative respiratory failure, pneumonia, and atelectasis, resulting in prolonged hospital length of stay and mortality. Preoperatively, cardiopulmonary exercise testing (CPET), stair climb or shuttle-walk tests, as well as forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), diffusion capacity for carbon monoxide (DLCO) have been utilized to assess patients' risks of PPC. If there is no increased cardiac risk for lung resection surgery, but severely reduced postoperative predicted FEV1 and/ or DLCO, or poor performance of stair climb or shuttle-walk test, current guidelines recommend CPET (Brunelli et al., 2013). With CPET, subjects who underwent lobectomy or pneumonectomy, maximum oxygen utilization or peak oxygen uptake (Peak VO₂) has been shown as a good predictor of morbidity and mortality (Brunelli et al., 2009). Subsequently, the same group of investigators reported the advantage of minute ventilation to carbon dioxide production (VE/ VCO₂) slope as predictor of PPC risks independent of Peak VO₂ (Brunelli et al., 2012). Patients with VE/ VCO₂ slope greater than 35 had high morbidity and mortality.

In healthy individuals, \dot{VE}/\dot{VCO}_2 ratio decreases during exercise with increasing workload (Wasserman et al., 1967). The ratio increases when \dot{VE} is greater than \dot{VCO}_2 in response to metabolic acidosis. \dot{VE}/\dot{VCO}_2 is also dependent on physiologic dead space to tidal volume ratio (VD/VT) (Roman et al., 2013). According to balance of masses (Whipp, 2006), this can be demonstrated from the relationship between arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PaCO₂), \dot{VCO}_2 , and \dot{VE}/\dot{VCO}_2 in which:

$$\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2 = \frac{k}{\left[PaCO_2x\left(1 - VD/VT\right)\right]}$$
(1)

where k is constant, equals to 863. From Eq. 1, as Roman et al. (2013) demonstrated, high resting $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ is associated with high VD/VT, low PaCO₂, end-tidal PCO₂ (PETCO₂), or both.

In a recent retrospective study, Brat et al. (2016) demonstrated elevated \dot{VE}/\dot{VCO}_2 slope and reduced end-tidal PCO₂ (PETCO₂) at both peak exercise and rest in patients with PPC, with resting PETCO₂ as the strongest independent predictor. However, PETCO₂, is affected by regional ventilation-perfusion mismatch and breathing pattern (Lewis et al., 1994). Resting VD or VD/VT has not been explored as a predictor of PPC risk after lung resection. Whereas the safety of symptom-limited CPET has been well documented, there is a substantial number of patients who are unable or unwilling to perform CPET (Keteyian et al., 2009). In this regard, the addition of resting test(s) that can effectively predict risks of PPC after lung resection will be beneficial.

The primary objective of our study was to determine if resting VD or VD/VT could reliably predict the risk of PPC within 90 days of robotic-assisted lung resection surgery in patients with

a suspicious or biopsy-proven lung malignancy. The secondary objective was to compare the utility of resting VD or VD/VT with resting PETCO₂ as a predictor of PPC in our population. We hypothesized that elevated resting VD or VD/VT will be useful and a better predictor of PPC risk than PETCO₂.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Seventy-four patients with suspected or biopsy-proven lung malignancy were referred for lobectomy or segmentectomy by the multi-disciplinary team for lung cancer management at the Veteran Affairs Healthcare System, Long Beach, from January 2018 to January 2019. Thirty-nine patients were excluded for the following reasons: 1) declined to consent for the study (n = 11), declined or unable to perform CPET (n = 22), declined lung resection (n = 4), or had lung resection at another institution (n = 2). We studied the remaining 35 patients consecutively. Prior to the study each patient signed a written informed consent. Patients had to meet the following criteria: age greater than 18 years, non-pregnant, and ability to perform pulmonary function tests, six-minute walk test (6MWT), and CPET with no contraindications (Datta et al., 2015).

Study Design

This was a prospective observational study approved by the institutional review board of the Veteran Affairs Healthcare System, Long Beach. Patients underwent preoperative pulmonary function testing, 6MWT, and CPET.

Spirometry and breath-by-breath gas analysis during CPET was performed using Vmax EncoreTM System (Vyaire Medical, Irvine, CA, United States). CPET was performed on average 12 days prior to surgery on incremental cycle ergometer (VIAsprint 150PTM, AIM, Sylmar, CA, United States). All equipment was calibrated prior to every test. After allowing the patient to adapt to the breathing apparatus and seated quietly on the cycle ergometer for at least 15 min, CPET commenced. CPET involved 2 min of rest, followed by 2 min of unloaded pedaling, then continued with application of rampincremental work-rate profile (5-15 W/min) to the point of symptom limitation (Datta et al., 2015). During CPET an average of 10 s data points were displayed. No patients discontinued CPET for cardiac events. Single arterial blood sample was obtained from each patient proximate to the CPET 2-min rest period via radial artery puncture using standard technique, and immediately analyzed via blood gas analyzer (RapidPoint 400 Series, Bayer Healthcare Systems, Oxnard, CA). Samples were obtained at room temperature and corrected for body temperature of 37°C. From Eq. 1, utilizing resting VE/ VCO₂ averaged over 2-min and PaCO₂ obtained from arterial blood gases, resting VD and VD/VT were calculated. Instrument dead-space measured by water displacement method three times, and the average value amounted to 169 ml was subtracted from the calculated VD. VE/VCO₂ slope was calculated using linear regression with VE as the dependent, and $\dot{V}CO_2$ the independent variable with onset of

TABLE 1 | Subjects characteristics.

Characteristics	Subjects with post-operative pulmonary complications ($n = 14$)	Subjects without post-operative pulmonary complications ($n = 21$)	p	
Age (years)	70.3 ± 1.8	70.7 ± 1.5	0.859	
Male (%)	100	100		
Height (m)	1.78 ± 0.02	1.78 ± 0.01	0.753	
Weight (Kg)	84.4 ± 4.1	82.0 ± 3.0	0.626	
Tobacco Use (packyears) [†]	40 (15, 80)	25 (1, 50)	0.181	
COPD, n (%)	11 (78.6)	10 (52.4)	0.163	
CHF, n (%)	2 (14.3)	2 (9.5)	1.00	

Values are mean \pm SE, \dagger is median with 25 and 75 percentiles in parenthesis.

Definition of abbreviations: COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CHF, congestive heart failure.

workload as the initial point to the peak workload as the end point. Nadir $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ was calculated, when available, at the ventilatory compensation threshold and average over 30 s (Mezzani, 2017). Finally, the 6MWT was conducted following complete resolution of symptoms from CPET.

One board-certified cardiothoracic surgeon (AA) performed all lung resections using robotic-assisted surgery. The surgical team managed patients postoperatively with patients mobilized as soon as tolerated. PPCs were recorded after a thorough chart review and included events immediately after surgery up to 90 days post-surgery. The PPCs included 1) pneumonia defined as increased sputum production, leukocytosis, fever, positive sputum culture, and consolidation on chest x-ray, 2) respiratory failure requiring invasive or non-invasive mechanical ventilation, or 3) atelectasis requiring bronchoscopy.

Statistical Analysis

For this pilot study, a sample size of 24 subjects was required as determined using a mean difference of 25% according to the morbidity of postoperative lung resection reported by Brunelli et al. (2012), standard deviation of 30%, power of 0.80, and alpha of 0.05. Patients were grouped into those with and without PPC. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation or standard error of the mean as appropriate; and compared using the unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. Categorical variables, or those that did not pass the normality test and/or equal variance test, were compared using the Mann-Whitney Rank Sum test, and median with interquartile range values are reported. Differences in proportion were evaluated using the Fisher exact test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were evaluated for threshold values for variables of interest, i.e., VD, VD/VT and PETCO2. In ROC, sensitivity, the dependent variable is the ability of a test to correctly identify patients with PPC, while specificity is the ability of a test to correctly identify those without PPC. Ideally, the threshold value has a sensitivity proximate 1.0 and 1specificity (the independent variable) proximate 0, or the area under the ROC curve is close to 1.0. When the area under the ROC is statistically significant, the threshold value was determined from the highest sum of sensitivity and specificity according to the Youden approach (Bewick

et al., 2004). Data were analyzed using Sigmaplot v.14 software (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, United States).

RESULTS

Postoperative pulmonary complications occurred in 14 (40%) out of 35 patients with one or more complications occurring in the same patient. There was no mortality. All patients underwent lobectomy, except one patient in each group, with and without PPC, had segmentectomy.

Table 1 shows the baseline characteristics of patients in both groups with and without PPC. Average age in both groups was 70 years, and all patients were male Veterans. Tobacco use did not differ between groups, but was somewhat higher in those with (40 packyears) than without (25 packyears) PPC. A similar trend was shown in the prevalence of COPD with 79% of those having PPC compared to 52% in those without PPC. The prebronchodilator spirometry, DLCO, and 6-min Walk distance were similar in both groups (**Table 2**).

Table 3 demonstrates the measured variables at rest and with exercise during CPET. At rest, the PPC group had significantly elevated VD, average was 0.318 L versus 0.230 L in those without PPC (p < 0.006). VD/VT tended to be greater (p = 0.051) in the PPC group, while PETCO₂, other ventilatory variables, and arterial blood gases were not significantly different from those without PPC (**Table 3**). Resting hyperventilation was not observed during CPET as corroborated by the pH and PaCO₂.

With exercise, peak $\dot{V}O_2$, $\dot{V}O_2$ at lactate threshold, peak power, $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ slope, and nadir $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ were similar in both groups. Nadir $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ was estimated in only those who attained ventilator compensation threshold, 12 and 15 patients in the group with and without PPC, respectively.

Postoperative pneumonia and atelectasis requiring therapeutic bronchoscopy were the most common PPCs (**Table 4**). As expected, patients with PPCs had significantly extended ICU and hospital length of stay compared with the group without PPC.

Figure 1 shows the ROC analysis for VD, VD/VT and PETCO₂. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was statistically significant for VD only (0.81, p = 0.002). The AUC for VD/VT and PETCO₂ was 0.68 (p = 0.077) and 0.53

TABLE 2 | Pulmonary function and six-minute walk tests.

Variables	Subjects with post-operative	Subjects without post-operative	р
	pulmonary complications ($n = 14$)	pulmonary complications ($n = 21$)	
FEV1/FVC (%)	65.8 ± 3.3	70.0 ± 2.9	0.352
FEV1 (L)	2.33 ± 0.22	2.73 ± 0.15	0.120
FEV1 (% predicted)	73.5 ± 7.2	85.1 ± 4.1	0.143
FVC (L)	3.65 ± 0.27	3.96 ± 0.19	0.342
FVC (% predicted)	83.4 ± 5.7	92.0 ± 3.1	0.166
DLCO (ml/min/mm Hg)	20.3 ± 2.0	22.1 ± 1.8	0.517
DLCO (% predicted)	77.6 ± 8.6	83.5 ± 6.9	0.598
6 MWT (m)	400.4 ± 21.5	408.0 ± 14.8	0.766

Values are mean ± SE.

Definition of abbreviations: FEV1, forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC, forced expiratory vital capacity; DLCO, diffusing capacity for carbon monoxide; 6 MWT, six-minute walk test.

TABLE 3 | Cardiopulmonary exercise test at rest and exercise.

At rest

Variables	Subjects with post-operative pulmonary complications $(n = 14)$	Subjects without post-operative pulmonary complications $(n = 21)$	p
VT (L)	0.904 ± 0.071	0.764 ± 0.043	0.084
Frequency (breaths/min)	20.1 ± 1.4	19.7 ± 0.9	0.816
ν̈́Ε (L/min)	17.4 ± 1.1	14.9 ± 1.0	0.110
VCO ₂ (L/min)	0.382 ± 0.03	0.348 ± 0.02	0.376
VO ₂ (L/min)	0.470 ± 0.04	0.395 ± 0.03	0.097
Respiratory Quotient	0.82 ± 0.02	0.81 ± 0.01	0.735
VE/VCO ₂	47.0 ± 2.6	43.6 ± 1.6	0.250
VD (L)	0.318 ± 0.03	0.230 ± 0.02	0.006*
VD/VT	0.35 ± 0.02	0.31 ± 0.02	0.051
PETCO ₂ (mm Hg)	32.0 ± 1.4	31.5 ± 1.0	0.763
pH (unit)	7.43 ± 0.01	7.43 ± 0.01	0.666
PaCO ₂ (mm Hg)	37.9 ± 1.9	35.6 ± 1.3	0.312
PaO ₂ (mm Hg)	78.5 ± 3.3	86.0 ± 3.5	0.153
SaO ₂ (%)	92.7 ± 0.7	92.8 ± 1.3	0.977
PETCO ₂ -PaCO ₂	5.9 ± 0.9	4.02 ± 0.7	0.134
With Exercise			
Peak VO2 (L/min)	1.646 ± 0.127	1.686 ± 0.090	0.792
Peak VO2 (% PRED)	77.9 ± 5.6	77.7 ± 3.8	0.969
Peak VO2 (L/min/Kg)	19.6 ± 1.3	20.2 ± 1.1	0.494
VO2 at LT (L/min)	1.29 ± 0.10	1.38 ± 0.07	0.446
VO2 at LT (% of Peak VO2)	78.9 ± 2.5	81.2 ± 1.9	0.334
Peak Power (watts/min)	84.0 ± 6.3	95.0 ± 5.9	0.222
VE/VCO2 slope	33.0 ± 2.3	31.2 ± 1.1	0.446
Nadir VE/VCO2 [†]	34.3 ± 1.1	32.4 ± 1.4	0.333

Values are mean \pm SE. *p < 0.05.

Definition of abbreviations: VT, tidal volume; VE, minute ventilation; VO_{21} oxygen consumption; VCO_{22} , carbon dioxide production; $PETCO_{22}$, end-tidal carbon dioxide; VD, physiologic dead space volume; VD/VT, physiologic dead space to tidal volume ratio; LT, lactate threshold. \dagger Measured at ventilatory compensation threshold, subjects with post-operative pulmonary complications (n = 12); without post-operative pulmonary complications (n = 16). See text for further explanation.

TABLE 4 | ICU, hospital length of stay, and types of post-surgical complications.

	Subjects with post-operative pulmonary complications	Subjects without post-operative pulmonary complications	p
	(<i>n</i> = 14)	(<i>n</i> = 21)	
Pneumonia, n (%)	8 (57.1)	0 (0.0)	< 0.001
Atelectasis Requiring Bronchoscopy, n (%)	5 (35.7)	0 (0.0)	< 0.01
Respiratory Failure Requiring IMV, n (%)	3 (21.4)	0 (0.0)	0.06
Respiratory Failure Requiring NIV, n (%)	2 (14.3)	0 (0.0)	0.153
ICU Length of Stay (days) [†]	4 (4, 7)	2 (1, 3)	< 0.001
Hospital Length of Stay (days) †	9 (7, 13)	4 (4, 8)	< 0.001

†Values are median with 25 and 75 percentiles in parenthesis.

Definition of abbreviations: ICU, intensive care unit; IMV, invasive mechanical ventilation; NIV, noninvasive mechanical ventilation.



(p = 0.839), respectively. A threshold value for VD at or below 0.229 L appears to be an acceptable predictor for the lack of PPC with sensitivity of 62% (95% Confidence Interval (CI): 38%–82%), and specificity of 93% (95% CI: 66%–100%). The positive and negative likelihood ratio was 8.7 and 0.4, respectively.

DISCUSSION

In this pilot study, our major findings were, 1) the preoperatively measured resting physiological dead space volume (VD) was the only variable separating groups of patients with and without PPC; 2) resting VD was also a significant predictor of PPC after robotic-assisted lung cancer resection surgery with a threshold value of 0.229 L; 3) resting end-tidal PCO₂ was not useful in predicting the frequency of PPC; and 4) the PPC following robotic-assisted lung resection surgery was relatively high of 40%.

Resting Physiological Dead Space

Resting VD, the sum of anatomical and alveolar dead space, was obtained from ventilatory efficiency (\dot{VE} / $\dot{VCO2}$) via breath-bybreath gas analysis and arterial PCO₂ rather than PETCO₂, and therefore, avoiding inaccurate estimate (Lewis et al., 1994). The elevated resting VD in the PPC group might be accounted for by an increase in ventilation-perfusion inequalities despite similar spirometry and DLCO indices (Sinha et al., 2011; Robertson, 2015). A crude estimate of ventilation-perfusion inequalities, PaCO₂-PETCO₂ difference was similar in both groups (**Table 3**). However, on a closer observation there were subtle differences in the measures of airflow limitation (FEV₁/FVC, FEV₁ and FVC) between those with and without PPC; with a higher prevalence of patients with COPD in the PPC group (**Table 1**).

While VD differed significantly between groups with and without PPC, VD/VT did not. The lack of significant difference in VD/VT was possibly related to the mildly elevated VT in the PPC group despite statistically insignificant (Table 3). Patients with a high resting VD may require higher compensatory VT to achieve effective ventilation and removal of CO2. Thus, the VD/VT ratio was similar for both groups with and without PPC. There have been several studies in healthy subjects describing this phenomenon of elevated VT in response to elevated VD during exercise (Wasserman et al., 1967), and at rest (Krishnan et al., 1997). The possible mechanisms may include alterations in the PCO₂ time profile or oscillations sensed by airway and/or pulmonary receptors, carotid chemoreceptors, or the central chemoreceptors (McParland et al., 1991). However, studies have shown that airway receptors (Krishnan et al., 1997) and carotid chemoreceptors (Syabbalo et al., 1993) do not play a major role in the mechanism of increased VT in response to elevated VD. Central chemoreceptors may possibly have a predominant role.

As shown in **Table 2**, a large percentage of our patients with PPC had mild COPD (prebronchodilator FEV_1/FVC of 65.8%) with an average resting VD/VT of 0.35. Our findings were comparable to that of Elbehairy et al. (2015) with their mild COPD patients. The average prebronchodilator FEV_1/FVC was 59.5% and resting VD/VT of 0.37. VT and frequency were not reported; however, minute ventilation (\dot{VE}) was lower, 11.8 L/min compared to 17.4 L/min in our patients. The lack of increased \dot{VE} in their study was not apparent, however, altered breathing pattern with ventilatory constraint such as low VT and high frequency would increase VD/VT (Whipp, 2006; Smith and Olson, 2019).

In this trial or experimental cohort, resting VD appears a useful predictor of PPC following lung resection surgery at a threshold value of 0.229 L, with area under ROC curve of 0.81 (Figure 1). This confers the advantage for those patients who cannot or decline to perform CPET in the preoperative evaluation of postoperative complications risk after lung resection surgery. The positive likelihood ratio was 8.7, suggesting that a positive result is

8.7 times as likely for a patient who experienced PPC as one who did not. At the above threshold value, we obtained a sensitivity of 62% and specificity of 93%. The Youden index is 55% [(62% + 93%)–100%] suggesting that the resting VD threshold value yields an appreciable fraction that may be misclassified (Bewick et al., 2004). For this reason, this threshold value needs to be prospectively validated in a large number of patients.

Resting End-Tidal PCO₂

A recent study of Brat et al. (2016) demonstrated that resting PETCO₂ was a strong predictor of PPC following lung resection surgery. In contrast, our results differed in that resting PETCO₂ was similar for both groups with and without PPC (Table 3). Differences might be related to patient characteristics such as 36% of their cohort were female; and/or variability in PETCO₂ estimate. PETCO₂ is critically influenced by breath-by-breath changes in the pattern of breathing. It is determined by the timing of end-exhalation during the alveolar phase-III of CO2 of each breath. In patients with airflow limitation a plateau of alveolar phase-III could not be attained resulting in large variability of its measurement and a large gradient between PaCO₂ and PETCO₂ (Sinha et al., 2011). Furthermore, particularly in COPD patients, wasted ventilation contaminates the measurements, as inhaled CO₂ that doesn't take part in gas-exchange is exhaled and dilutes the mixed expired CO₂. Our study did not show significant difference in PaCO₂-PETCO₂ between both groups, probably related to variability of PETCO₂.

Postoperative Pulmonary Complications Following Robotic-Assisted Lung Surgery

CPET has been considered as the gold standard in the evaluation of PPC following lung resection (Brunelli et al., 2013). Interestingly, neither Peak $\dot{V}O_2$ nor $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ slope were able to preoperatively distinguish patients with and without PPC. Peak $\dot{V}O_2$ for both groups averaged 20 L/min/Kg and $\dot{V}E/\dot{V}CO_2$ averaged less than 34 (**Table 3**). Most likely this was due to our patients' absence of, or mild airflow limitation; or compensated stable heart failure. The PPC rate in our cohort was considered relatively high of 40%. However, this complication rate in the older patients as in our cohort, were within the range of others, 33%–44% (Velez-Cubian, et al., 2015; Veluswamy et al., 2020).

Study Strength and Limitation

The strength of our study is the prospective design of the study and the use of PaCO₂ rather than PETCO₂ in the calculation of VD. However, our study has several shortcomings. First, our population consists of only male gender from a single institution, the Veterans Affairs Healthcare System. The results of this study, therefore, may not be applicable to the female population and a multi-center study would be desirable. Second, although the number of subjects studied exceeded the calculated sample size, the present study is relatively small involving a trial cohort and will require a validation cohort to test whether resting VD will hold as a reliable predictor of PPC risk. Furthermore, a multivariate analysis to assess the confounding effects of COPD cannot be performed. Nonetheless, resting VD stood out as a potentially useful predictor of PPC risk after robotic-assisted lung surgery. Third, all lung resections involved lobectomy except for two segmentectomies, and no pneumectomy was performed. Hence, resting VD as predictor of PPC is only applicable in those patients with lobectomies.

Conclusion

In summary, our prospective study demonstrated that following robotic-assisted lung resection for suspected or biopsy-proven lung cancer, resting VD obtained preoperatively separated those patients with and without postoperative pulmonary complications. Resting VD is also a potential predictor for postoperative pulmonary complications risk. However, this will have to be further validated with a large number of patients.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Institutional Review Board of the VA Long Beach Healthcare System. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

RG designed the study, conducted the experiments, analyzed and critically interpreted the data and drafted the manuscript; SC conducted the experiments, and analyzed the data; AA designed the study and performed the robotic-assisted surgery; JP provided consultation for CPET, dead space calculation, and critically reviewed the manuscript; CS designed the study, critically analyzed, interpreted the data, drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors had full access to all of the study data, critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content, approved the final version of the manuscript, and took responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

FUNDING

Southern California Institute for Research and Education (SCIRE).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the contributions of Thomas Clevenger and Angela Tadeo for performing the pulmonary function and cardiopulmonary exercise testing.

REFERENCES

- Bewick, V., Cheek, L., and Ball, J. (2004). Statistics Review 13: Receiver Operating Characteristic Curves. Crit. Care. 8 (6), 508–512. doi:10.1186/cc3000
- Brat, K., Tothova, Z., Merta, Z., Taskova, A., Homolka, P., Vasakova, M., et al. (2016). Resting End-Tidal Carbon Dioxide Predicts Respiratory Complications in Patients Undergoing Thoracic Surgical Procedures. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 102 (5), 1725–1730. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2016.05.070
- Brunelli, A., Belardinelli, R., Pompili, C., Xiumé, F., Refai, M., Salati, M., et al. (2012). Minute Ventilation-to-Carbon Dioxide Output (e/co2) Slope Is the Strongest Predictor of Respiratory Complications and Death After Pulmonary Resection. Ann. Thorac. Surg. 93, 1802–1806. doi:10.1016/j.athoracsur.2012. 03.022
- Brunelli, A., Belardinelli, R., Refai, M., Salati, M., Socci, L., Pompili, C., et al. (2009). Peak Oxygen Consumption during Cardiopulmonary Exercise Test Improves Risk Stratification in Candidates to Major Lung Resection. *Chest* 135, 1260–1267. doi:10.1378/chest.08-2059
- Brunelli, A., Kim, A. W., Berger, K. I., and Addrizzo-Harris, D. J. (2013). Physiologic Evaluation of the Patient with Lung Cancer Being Considered for Resectional Surgery. *Chest* 143 (5 Suppl. 1), e166S–e190S. doi:10.1378/chest.12-2395
- Datta, D., Normandin, E., and ZuWallack, R. (2015). Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in the Assessment of Exertional Dyspnea. Ann. Thorac. Med. 10 (2), 77–86. doi:10.4103/1817-1737.151438
- Elbehairy, A. F., Ciavaglia, C. E., Webb, K. A., Guenette, J. A., Jensen, D., Mourad, S. M., et al. (2015). Pulmonary Gas Exchange Abnormalities in Mild Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. Implications for Dyspnea and Exercise Intolerance. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 191 (12), 1384–1394. doi:10. 1164/rccm.201501-0157OC
- Keteyian, S. J., Isaac, D., Thadani, U., Roy, B. A., Bensimhon, D. R., McKelvie, R., et al. (2009). Safety of Symptom-Limited Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing in Patients with Chronic Heart Failure Due to Severe Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction. Am. Heart J. 158 (4 Suppl. 1), S72–S77. doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2009.07.014
- Krishnan, B. S., Stockwell, M. J., Clemens, R. E., and Gallagher, C. G. (1997). Airway Anesthesia and Respiratory Adaptations to Dead Space Loading and Exercise. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 155 (2), 459–465. doi:10.1164/ajrccm. 155.2.9032179
- Lewis, D. A., Sietsema, K. E., Casaburi, R., and Sue, D. Y. (1994). Inaccuracy of Noninvasive Estimates of VD/VT in Clinical Exercise Testing. *Chest* 106 (5), 1476–1480. doi:10.1378/chest.106.5.1476
- McParland, C., Mink, J., and Gallagher, C. G. (1991). Respiratory Adaptations to Dead Space Loading during Maximal Incremental Exercise. J. Appl. Physiology 70 (1), 55–62. doi:10.1152/jappl.1991.70.1.55
- Mezzani, A. (2017). Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing: Basics of Methodology and Measurements. Ann. ATS 14 (Suppl. 1), S3–S11. doi:10.1513/AnnalsATS. 201612-997FR

- Robertson, H. T. (2015). Dead Space: the Physiology of Wasted Ventilation. *Eur. Respir. J.* 45 (6), 1704–1716. doi:10.1183/09031936.00137614
- Roman, M. A., Casaburi, J. D., Porszasz, J., and Casaburi, R. (2013). Noninvasive Assessment of Normality of V D/V T in Clinical Cardiopulmonary Exercise Testing Utilizing Incremental Cycle Ergometry. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 113 (1), 33–40. doi:10.1007/s00421-012-2407-8
- Sinha, P., Flower, O., and Soni, N. (2011). Deadspace Ventilation: a Waste of Breath!. Intensive Care Med. 37 (5), 735–746. doi:10.1007/s00134-011-2194-4
- Smith, J. R., and Olson, T. P. (2019). Ventilatory Constraints Influence Physiological Dead Space in Heart Failure. *Exp. Physiol.* 104 (1), 70–80. doi:10.1113/EP087183
- Syabbalo, N. C., Zintel, T., Watts, R., and Gallagher, C. G. (1993). Carotid Chemoreceptors and Respiratory Adaptations to Dead Space Loading during Incremental Exercise. J. Appl. Physiology 75 (3), 1378–1384. doi:10. 1152/jappl.1993.75.3.1378
- Velez-Cubian, F. O., Ng, E. P., Fontaine, J. P., and Toloza, E. M. (2015). Robotic-Assisted Videothoracoscopic Surgery of the Lung. *Cancer control.* 22 (3), 314–325. doi:10.1177/107327481502200309
- Veluswamy, R. R., Whittaker Brown, S.-A., Mhango, G., Sigel, K., Nicastri, D. G., Smith, C. B., et al. (2020). Comparative Effectiveness of Robotic-Assisted Surgery for Resectable Lung Cancer in Older Patients. *Chest* 157 (5), 1313–1321. doi:10.1016/j.chest.2019.09.017
- Wasserman, K., Van Kessel, A. L., and Burton, G. G. (1967). Interaction of Physiological Mechanisms during Exercise. J. Appl. Physiology 22 (1), 71–85. doi:10.1152/jappl.1967.22.1.71
- Whipp, B. J. (2006). Exercise Physiology. Wiley Encyclopedia of Biomedical Engineering, 1–14. Available at: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10. 1002/9780471740360.ebs0464.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Godbole, Church, Abolhoda, Porszasz and Sassoon. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.