UC San Diego UC San Diego Electronic Theses and Dissertations

Title

Molecular and computational approaches to identification of genes underlying complex traits

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5q21n5ht

Author Jirout, Martin L.

Publication Date 2008

Peer reviewed|Thesis/dissertation

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO

Molecular and computational approaches to identification of genes underlying complex traits

A dissertation submitted in partial satisfaction of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Molecular Pathology

by

Martin L. Jirout

Committee in charge:

Professor Nicholas J. Schork, chair Professor Mark P. Kamps Professor Fred Levine Professor Mark Mercola Professor Daniel T. O'Connor

2008

\bigcirc

Martin L. Jirout, 2008

All rights reserved

The Dissertation of Martin L. Jirout is approved, and it is acceptable in quality and form for publication on microfilm:

Chair

University of California, San Diego

2008

DEDICATION

To my wife Zuzana.

EPIGRAPH

A conclusion is the place where you got tired of thinking.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Signature Page iii
Dedication iv
Epigraph v
Table of Contents vi
List of Abbreviations xi
List of Figures xii
List of Tables xiv
Acknowledgements xv
Vita xviii
Abstract xx
CHAPTER 1 COMPLEX QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCUS ANALYSIS: AN INTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE
INTRODUCTION
MENDELIAN vs. COMPLEX TRAITS
QUANTITATIVE GENETICS AND STATISTICAL GENOMICS
A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE
THE HERITABILITY OF COMPLEX TRAITS9
MODEL ORGANISMS AND EXPERIMENTAL CROSSES
THE HXB/BXH PANEL OF RAT RECOMBINANT INBRED STRAINS12
QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI AND QTL MAPPING
NOVEL STRATEGIES FOR QTL GENE IDENTIFICATION15
DISSERTATION OUTLINE
CHAPTER 2 GENETIC STRUCTURE OF THE HXB/BXH PANEL OF RI STRAINS
PREFACE19
ABSTRACT
INTRODUCTION

MATERIAL AND METHODS	23
Animals	23
Markers	23
Genotyping the RI strains for the Dbh, Pnmt and Chga genes	23
Distribution of non-framework markers on the framework map	24
Pairwise correlation matrix	24
Linkage map building with the single nucleotide polymorphism data	25
Other statistical analyses	25
RESULTS	25
A genetic linkage map consisting of 648 non-redundant loci	25
Genome-wide interactions between loci	26
A SNP-based linkage map	26
An integrated SNP and microsatellite map	27
Progenitor strain allele distribution in the HXB/BXH RI panel	27
Genetic Similarity Matrix and Allele Sharing	28
Detectability of a QTL depends on the number of RI strains and the magni	tude
of the QTL effect	29
DISCUSSION	30
Overview	30
New microsatellite and SNP-based linkage maps	31
The genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strains	33
Correlated, but physically unlinked loci	34
Power limitations for mapping in the HXB/BXH RI strains	35
Conclusions	35
CHAPTER 3 DELINEATION OF A REGULATORY GENETIC NETWORK CONTROLLING CATECHOLAMINE BIOSYNTHESIS IN THE SPONTANEOUSLY HYPERTENSIVE RAT	43

PREFACE	44
ABSTRACT	

INTRODUCTION	.45
MATERIAL AND METHODS	. 50
Animals	. 50
Tissues for gene expression profiling, biochemical profiling, and qRT-PCR validation Gene expression profiling Biochemical profiling Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR Microarray analysis and pathway annotation	.50 .51 .51 .52 .53
Blood pressure measurement Statistical analysis and heritability calculation	. 53 . 54
Normalized Ratio (<i>NR</i>)	.54 .55
Definition of <i>cis</i> - and <i>trans</i> -acting eQTLs DNA extraction for re-sequencing	.55 .56
Polymorphism discovery at the <i>Pnmt</i> and <i>Dbh</i> genes in the SHR and BN. <i>Lx</i> strains Pyrosequencing Sequence analysis Candidate gene promoter/reporter transfection assay <i>Pnmt</i> alternative splicing assay	.56 .58 .59 .59 .61
RESULTS	. 62
Gene expression differences in hypertension candidate genes	. 62
Enzymatic activity of Dbh and Pnmt in adrenal medulla	.63
Adrenal catecholamine content	.63
Correlations among biochemical, physiological and gene expression phenotyp	es
Manning OTI a fan skremaffin asll armenaad asnag	.04
Mapping Q1Ls for chromatin cell-expressed genes	.03
QTLs for adrenal <i>Don</i> transcript, Don activity and DA concentration cluster o	n ((
RNO 3p12	.66
Genetical genomics analysis of adrenal dopamine concentration	.66
Regulation of <i>Pnmt</i> , <i>Chga</i> and <i>Vmat1</i> from the <i>Pnmt</i> locus on chromosome	
10q31	.67
Polymorphism discovery in the <i>Dbh</i> and the <i>Pnmt</i> genes	.68

In-vitro studies on <i>Dbh</i> and <i>Pnmt</i> promoter polymorphism function69
Analysis of QTLs previously mapped to genomic regions supporting the Dbh
and <i>Pnmt</i> QTLs70
<i>Pnmt</i> alternative splicing71
DISCUSSION71
Overview71
Dbh regulation and dopamine concentration in the adrenal gland72
Regulation of Pnmt activity and gene expression: Chga and Vmat1 co-regulation
Conclusions and perspectives
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS79
CHAPTER 4 THE IDENTIFICATION OF <i>TRANS</i> -ACTING GENES THAT INFLUENCE THE ABUNDANCE OF MULTIPLE TRANSCRIPTS SIMULTANEOUSLY
PREFACE101
ABSTRACT
INTRODUCTION
MATERIAL AND METHODS103
RI strains and tissues
Adrenal tissue gene expression dataset104
Probe set selection for the final dataset104
Statistical analysis and heritability calculation
Mapping of eQTLs106
Definition of a <i>cis</i> -acting vs. <i>trans</i> -acting eQTL106
Detection of eQTL clusters
Multivariate distance-matrix regression analysis (MDMR)107
RESULTS
The cis-eQTL/trans-eQTLs ratio depends on the genome-wide threshold 110
Heritability (H^2) of gene expression as a predictor of eQTL effect112

Median heritability of gene expression differs for cis- and trans-eQTLs 112
Clustering of <i>cis</i> - and <i>trans</i> -eQTLs
Clustering <i>trans</i> -eQTLs
Testing the <i>trans</i> -eQTL clusters by MDMR114
A cis-eQTL cluster on RNO20117
DISCUSSION117
Global gene expression
Discussion of the pre-mRNA processing genes
Discussion of the <i>cis</i> -eQTL cluster on RNO20121
Conclusions and perspectives122
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS123
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES: SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PRESENTED RESULTS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS
SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PRESENTED RESULTS146
THE FUTURE OF COMPLEX TRAIT ANALYSIS148
APPENDICES151
Appendix 1 : Marker strain distribution patterns
Appendix 2 : RT-PCR primers
Appendix 3 : Primers for re-sequencing in rat <i>Dbh</i>
Appendix 4 : Primers for re-sequencing in rat <i>Pnmt</i>
Appendix 5 : Polymorphism discovery (re-sequencing) in rat <i>Dbh</i>
Appendix 6 : Polymorphism discovery (re-sequencing) in rat <i>Pnmt</i>
Appendix 7 : Primers for SNP genotyping at the <i>Dbh</i> and <i>Pnmt</i> loci
Appendix 8 : Primers for the <i>Dbh</i> and <i>Pnmt</i> promoter/reporter constructs213
GLOSSARY OF TERMS214
REFERENCES

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Brown Norway rat containing a segment with the Lx allele from the PD rat, BN.Lx

Diastolic Blood Pressure, DBP

DOPA Decarboxylase, Ddc

Dopamine Beta-Hydroxylase, Dbh

Multivariate Distance Matrix Regression, MDMR

Normalized Ratio, NR

Phenylethanolamine N-Methyltransferase, Pnmt

Quantitative Trait Locus, QTL

Physiological Quantitative Trait Locus, pQTL

Expression Quantitative Trait Locus, eQTL

Quantitative Trait Nucleotide, QTN

Recombinant Inbred Strains, RI Strains

Designation of rat chromosomes (abbreviation of Rattus Norvegicus), RNO

Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction, RT-PCR

RI Strains Derived By Crossing Of The SHR/Ola And BN.Lx/Cub, HXB/BXH

Systolic Blood Pressure, SBP

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism, SNP

Spontaneously Hypertensive Rat, SHR

Standard Deviation, SD

Strain Distribution Pattern, SDP

Tyrosine Hydroxylase, Th

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1–1	The production of RI strains by repeated sibling mating17
Figure 2–1	Linkage map of the rat derived from the HXB/BXH RI strains
Figure 2–2	Genome-wide interactions between loci
Figure 2–3	Progenitor allele distribution among the HXB/BXH RI strains40
Figure 2–4	Pair-wise genetic similarity matrix of the HXB/BXH RI strains41
Figure 2–5	Ability to detect QTLs as a function of RI panel size and QTL effect magnitude
Figure 3–1	Hypertension candidate genes: heritability of gene expression in the RI strains and differential expression in the SHR vs. BN. <i>Lx</i> progenitors81
Figure 3–2	Chromaffin cell genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis, storage, secretion and degradation
Figure 3–3	Adrenal gene expression levels of genes vital for catecholamine biosynthesis and storage: Affymetrix data verified by RT-PCR
Figure 3–4	Enzymatic activity of dopamine-beta hydroxylase (Dbh) and phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (Pnmt) in adrenal tissue
Figure 3–5	Concentration of catecholamines in adrenal tissue
Figure 3–6	<i>Cis</i> -acting expression quantitative trait locus for <i>Vamp1</i> adrenal transcript
Figure 3–7	Co-localization of physiological and expression QTLs on the short arm of chromosome 3
Figure 3–8	Co-localization of physiological and expression QTLs on chromosome 10
Figure 3–9	Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery at the <i>Dbh</i> locus in SHR vs. BN. <i>Lx</i> strains
Figure 3–10	Sequence polymorphism discovery at the <i>Pnmt</i> locus in SHR vs. BN. <i>Lx</i> strains
Figure 3–11	Functional studies on the single nucleotide polymorphisms identified in the <i>Dbh</i> promoter91

Figure 3–12	Functional studies on the single nucleotide polymorphisms identified in the <i>Pnmt</i> promoter
Figure 4–1	Adrenal transcriptome map123
Figure 4–2	Heritability of transcript abundance vs. eQTL genetic effect. 125
Figure 4–3	Clustering of <i>trans</i> -eQTLs126
Figure 4–4	Clustering of <i>cis</i> -eQTLs on RNO 20127
Figure 4–5	MDMR analysis of the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster #1 on RNO 1128
Figure 4–6	MDMR analysis of the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster #2 on RNO 3129
Figure 4–7	MDMR analysis of the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster #3 on RNO 8130
Figure 4–8	MDMR analysis of the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster #4 on RNO 11131
Figure 4–9	MDMR analysis of the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster #5 on RNO 17132
Figure 4–10	A <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster model

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3–1	Biochemical phenotypes measured in adrenal tissue homogenate and plasma
Table 3–2	Correlations among biochemical, physiological and gene expression phenotypes
Table 3–3	Expression QTL mapping results for chromaffin cell-expressed genes with heritable transcript levels in the HXB/BXH RI strains
Table 3–4	Cis-eQTLs mapped to the short arm of chromosome 3
Table 3–5	Analysis of the <i>Dbh</i> region for known physiological QTLs97
Table 3–6	Analysis of the <i>Pnmt</i> region for known physiological QTLs98
Table 4–1	Number of eQTLs detected in the HXB/BXH adrenal tissue espression dataset
Table 4–2	RNO 1 <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster genes
Table 4–3	RNO 3 <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster genes136
Table 4–4	RNO 8 <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster genes
Table 4–5	RNO 11 <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster genes
Table 4–6	RNO 17 <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster genes
Table 4–7	RNO 20 <i>cis</i> -eQTL cluster genes
Table 4–8	<i>Cis</i> -eQTL genes located within the <i>trans</i> -eQTL cluster regions used as predictors in the MDMR analysis

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Nicholas J. Schork Laboratory

I would like to thank Dr. Schork for his excellent mentorship and for teaching me how to view biology through the prism of statistics and mathematics. In the course of my graduate research I had the opportunity to get acquainted with virtually all aspects of genomic research. I learned to appreciate the complementarity of molecular and mathematical approaches in current genetic and genomic research. I know that very few are as fortunate as I was to have worked under the guidance of Dr. Schork.

The Daniel T. O'Connor Laboratory

I would like to thank Dr. O'Connor for giving me the opportunity to work in his busy lab. Dan taught me that there is a lot more to science than just accumulating data. He allowed me great independence, but was always available to discuss any aspect of my research projects. In the O'Connor lab I learned a great amount of molecular and cell biology, as well as genetics, pharmacology and physiology. I am also indebted to all of the members of the O'Connor lab for their help, advice, and technical assistance in my projects. Special thanks belong to Dr. Nitish Mahapatra and Dr. Manjula Mahata who taught me a lot about the 'wet bench' science and were always available to troubleshoot my experiments. Without their kind and patient support my work would have been a lot harder. I would also like to thank Ryan Friese for his expertise and help, which allowed for reaching an elegant endpoint in one of my research projects.

XV

International collaborators

My thesis research would not have been possible without several external collaborators. Dr. Michal Pravenec from the Czech Academy of Sciences in Prague, Czech Republic, provided the primary material - adrenal tissues, plasmas, etc. - with which most of the experiments presented herewith were performed. He also generously shared his telemetrically measured blood pressures. Dr. Timothy Aitman from the Imperial College, London, UK, kindly supplied the enormous gene expression data set, consisting of almost 130 microarrays. Dr. Norbert Hübner from the Max Delbrück Center in Berlin, Germany, provided the latest genotyping data critical to the accuracy of our analyses. The contributions these collaborators have made were all crucial to the success of my research projects.

Publications

The results contained in Chapter 3 of this dissertation were presented as a poster (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Mahata SK, Pravenec M, Kren V, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Ziegler MG, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. *Independent genetic mechanisms downregulate genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis, storage and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat.* American Society of Human Genetics, San Diego, CA, October 23-27, 2007), as an oral presentation (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. *Discovery of genetic regulatory network controlling catecholamine biosynthesis in the spontaneously hypertensive rat.* Rat Genomics & Models, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, December 6-9, 2007), and submitted as a scientific paper (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. *A molecular basis for the regulation of catecholamine biosynthesis and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat.* (Submitted to Nature Genetics)).

The material in Chapter 4 was presented as a poster (Jirout ML, Heinig M, Hubner N, Pravenec M, Kren V, Aitman TJ, O'Connor DT, Schork NJ. *The identification of trans-acting genes that influence the abundance of multiple transcripts simultaneously*. Genomes, Medicine, and the Environment, San Diego, CA, October 8-10, 2007), and is being prepared for publication.

I was the primary author of the results contained in all chapters of this dissertation. The co-authors listed on the above publications facilitated and/or supervised the research that formed the basis for these publications.

VITA

Education:

- 1999 M.D., 1st Faculty of Medicine, Charles University in Prague
- 2008 Ph.D., Molecular Pathology, University of California in San Diego

Research Experience:

- 2000 2002 Post-graduate Researcher, University of California, San Diego
- 2002 2007 Graduate Student Researcher, University of California, San Diego

Awards:

2005 American Heart Association Fellowship Award

Memberships:

American Society of Human Genetics

Peer-Reviewed Publications:

- Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. *A molecular basis for the regulation of catecholamine biosynthesis and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat.* (Submitted to Nat Genet).
- 2) Conti LH, <u>Jirout M</u>, Breen L, Vanella JJ, Schork NJ, Printz MP. *Identification of quantitative trait Loci for anxiety and locomotion phenotypes in rat recombinant inbred strains*. Behav Genet 2004;34(1):93-103.
- 3) <u>Jirout M</u>, Krenova D, Kren V, Breen L, Pravenec M, Schork NJ, Printz MP. *A new framework marker-based linkage map and SDPs for the rat HXB/BXH strain set.* Mamm Genome 2003;14(8):537-46.
- 4) Printz MP, <u>Jirout M</u>, Jaworski R, Alemayehu A, Kren V. *Genetic models in applied physiology. HXB/BXH rat recombinant inbred strain platform: a newly enhanced tool for cardiovascular, behavioral, and developmental genetics and genomics.* Journal of Applied Physiology 2003;94(6):2510-2522.

5) Jaworski RL, <u>Jirout M</u>, Closson S, Breen L, Flodman PL, Spence MA, Kren V, Krenova D, Pravenec M, Printz MP. *Heart rate and blood pressure quantitative trait loci for the airpuff startle reaction*. Hypertension 2002;39(2 Pt 2):348-52.

Platform presentations:

- Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. *Discovery of genetic regulatory network controlling catecholamine biosynthesis in the spontaneously hypertensive rat*. Rat Genomics & Models, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, December 6-9, 2007.
- Jirout M, Krenova D, Kren V, Printz MP. New framework marker based genetic linkage map and strain distribution pattern of polymorphic markers for HXB/BXH set of RI strains. Satellite Symposium on the Genetics of Experimental and Human Hypertension V: From Mendel to Humans, Brno, Czech Republic, June 21-22, 2002.

Meeting Abstracts:

- 1. <u>Jirout ML</u>, Heinig M, Hubner N, Pravenec M, Kren V, Aitman TJ, O'Connor DT, Schork NJ. *The identification of trans-acting genes that influence the abundance of multiple transcripts simultaneously*. Genomes, Medicine, and the Environment, San Diego, CA, October 8-10, 2007.
- Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Mahata SK, Pravenec M, Kren V, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Ziegler MG, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. Independent genetic mechanisms downregulate genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis, storage and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. American Society of Human Genetics, San Diego, CA, October 23-27, 2007.
- 3. Jaworski RL, <u>Jirout M</u>, Conti LH, Breen L, Printz MP. *Novel QTL for the startle response to airpuff stimulus in recombinant inbred rat strains*. Experimental Biology 2003, San Diego, CA, April 11-15, 2003 (published in: Faseb Journal 2003;17(4):A494-A494).
- Jaworski RL, Jirout M, Closson S, Breen L, Printz MP. A quantitative trait locus for the orienting bradycardia in response to airpuff startle. 55th Annual Fall Conference and Scientific Meeting of the Council for High Blood Pressure Research, Chicago, IL, September 22-25, 2001(published in: Hypertension 2001;38(3):481-481).

ABSTRACT OF THE DISSERTATION

Molecular and computational approaches to identification of genes underlying complex traits

by

Martin L. Jirout

Doctor of Philosophy in Molecular Pathology University of California in San Diego, 2008

Professor Nicholas J. Schork, Chair

Understanding the genetic architecture of complex traits is of great interest to the biomedical community. HXB/BXH recombinant inbred (RI) strains, derived from the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) and normotensive Brown Norway (BN.*Lx*), are an important genomic resource for complex trait analysis by means of genetic linkage mapping. The power and accuracy of quantitative trait locus (QTL) analysis critically depends on the quality of the genetic map. To maximize the potential of the HXB/BXH RI strains for complex trait mapping, the latest available genotype information was used to construct a new genetic linkage map. Further, gene expression profiling and biochemical phenotyping in the adrenal glands of the HXB/BXH rats was performed to address the possible link between the dysregulated catecholamine biosynthesis in the SHR and the development of hypertension. Expression levels and enzyme activities of the two main catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes, Dbh and Pnmt, were found to be regulated from their genic regions (i.e., in cis). Pnmt re-sequencing revealed promoter polymorphisms, which resulted in a decreased response of the transfected SHR promoter to glucocorticoid stimulation. Dbh activity was negatively correlated with systolic blood pressure in RI strains, and Pnmt activity was negatively correlated with heart rate. These heritable changes in enzyme expression suggest primary genetic mechanisms for regulation of catecholamine action and blood pressure control in the SHR. In a separate analysis, genetic determinants of gene expression in the adrenal gland were explored. The adrenal transcriptome assayed via microarrays was subjected to expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping. Significant clustering of trans-eQTLs was observed, implying that groups of genes are jointly regulated from a single locus. A novel multivariate distance-matrix regression analysis (MDMR) method was applied to identify cis-eQTL genes whose expression profiles strongly correlate with those of the trans-eQTL cluster genes. The resulting genes, Rbm16 and Prp4b, are involved in pre-mRNA processing and as such present leading candidates for further studies aimed at better understanding of the quantitative genetics of gene expression. In conclusion, an important genomic resource was enhanced and then utilized to identify genetic loci controlling key aspects of catecholamine physiology, and differences in global gene expression.

Chapter 1

Complex Quantitative Trait Locus Analysis:

An Introduction and Historical Perspective

INTRODUCTION

The majority of the genetics and genomics research is focused on the identification of genes involved in human disease. While the genetic basis of a great number of overtly Mendelian, monogenic diseases have been elucidated, finding genes that underlie complex disease phenotypes and using this information to understand their genetic architecture remains a major challenge. Complex diseases, such as hypertension, diabetes, or cancer account for the majority of the health burden in developed countries: thus the identification of the genes underling complex disease phenotypes could have enormous positive biomedical and public health impact. Not only can better diagnostics be developed from a knowledge of which inherited variations contribute to a particular disease, but rational therapeutic strategies can also be devised through the insights obtained into molecular pathways that contribute to complex disease development. In this dissertation, I describe and implement a strategy for identification of genes influencing complex phenotypes using a unique animal model population.

I first describe the historical precedents for genetic studies of complex quantitative phenotypes. This introduction will shed light on the evolution of ideas in the field of genetics and genomics and put into context the experiments I pursued, which are then described in the ensuing chapters.

MENDELIAN vs. COMPLEX TRAITS

Most traits and diseases observed or measured in human and experimental populations are controlled by multiple genetic and non-genetic factors. The final phenotypic value of these traits is a result of a *complex* interplay of many genes and environmental stimuli, resulting in a continuous distribution of phenotypic values in a population. Such traits are termed *complex traits*. Historically, complex traits were regarded as the opposite of simple or *monogenic traits*, also known as 'Mendelian' traits.

Typical Mendelian trait has a categorical distribution in a population and follows a characteristic pattern of inheritance (dominant, recessive, X-linked). More than 1800 Mendelian disorders have been identified in human so far, most of them quite rare, nevertheless causing a severe disruption of normal function¹.

The very basic nature of the molecular genetic architecture of a Mendelian trait (i.e., one affected gene \rightarrow one affected protein \rightarrow simple pathophysiologic mechanism \rightarrow disease) makes it more amenable to identification of the causative gene via relatively simple statistical and molecular approaches. This fact, fueled by the hope that one may be able to find treatments and implement preventative measures upon discovering the genetic basis of a disease, led many researchers to focus on the genetic dissection of Mendelian traits, in spite of the reality, that monogenic diseases are comparatively infrequent and affect only a small fraction of the world's population. In fact, virtually all common contemporary diseases contributing the greatest burden to public health, such as hypertension, diabetes, and cancer, fall into the category of complex, multifactorial traits². Nevertheless, the successes and lessons learned from the study of Mendelian traits contributed significantly to our understanding of the

genetic basis of disease in general inspired researchers to consider the genetic architecture of more complex traits^{1,3-5}.

Mendelian and complex traits are no longer regarded as fundamental opposites, but rather as two ends of a continuum ranging from overtly monogenic, through oligogenic (i.e., involving a few genes) to polygenic (i.e., involving a great many genes), each possibly influenced by additional environmental and gene-gene interaction components^{6,7}. Interestingly, the statistical apparatus used to describe and analyze simple monogenic and complex traits is not different in principle since it is largely based on extensions of simple correlation and regression techniques. The identification and characterization of genes that influence polygenic, quantitative traits using statistical analysis strategies forms the basis for the discipline of *quantitative genetics*⁸.

QUANTITATIVE GENETICS AND STATISTICAL GENOMICS

Quantitative genetics is a branch of genetics research that employs statistical and probabilistic principles to identify the genetic and non-genetic components of a complex trait and to describe them in statistical terms^{8,9}. It is an extension of simple Mendelian principles to complex traits. Quantitative genetics assumes that complex traits result from the combined effect of multiple underlying genes, each segregating according to Mendel's laws and being affected to varying degrees by the environment, thus resulting in a continuous distribution of phenotypic values.

Statistical genomics is a relatively new research discipline that considers the evaluation of entire genomes in order to identify and characterize genetic factors that

influence a particular trait. Statistical genomics integrates moderns statistical and bioinformatics techniques with more traditional Mendelian, quantitative, population and molecular genetics techniques. One ancillary goal of statistical genomics is to learn about the structure, function and evolution of genomes as these factors influence phenotypic variation. However, the central issue in statistical genomics is to find regions of the genome, or loci, which control the phenotypic value of a particular trait. These loci are termed quantitative trait loci or QTLs. The theory and methods for identifying QTLs in experimental as well as natural populations include single locus analysis, multi-point (interval) analysis, composite interval mapping and other more advanced techniques, such as multiple interval mapping¹⁰. In order to identify loci that influence phenotypes, statistical genomics researchers must also deal with problems such as, e.g. linkage grouping, genetic marker ordering, and linkage map construction, linkage map merging, screening genetic markers for association with a disease and searching for genes using linkage disequilibrium¹¹. Other statistical approaches include resampling techniques, such as permutation analysis and bootstrapping, and simulation of segregating populations.

In summary, quantitative genetics and statistical genomics provide a set of methods and tools to identify, characterize, and quantify relationships between observed phenotypes and the underlying genotype. The general presumption for quantitative traits is that the observed continuous distribution of phenotypic values is a result of a combined effect of many underlying genes and the environment.

A HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

The science of *quantitative genetics* has been around since the early 20th century. It developed in response to a major controversy between two schools of thought about the mechanisms by which evolutionary changes occur, and by which phenotypic traits are inherited, while variation in the population is maintained. The contrast between the obvious need of a species to adapt in order to achieve the best fit to its environment on the one hand, and the concept of passing an invariant trait from a parent to an offspring on the other, presented a problem that took several decades to reconcile and which ultimately resulted in a synthesis called the *modern quantitative genetic theory*^{8,12}.

The growing popularity of Darwin's theory of evolution¹³, which put forward the notion of natural selection as the main variation-shaping force, resulted in the founding of the *Biometrical school of thought* (F. Galton, K. Pearson, W.F.R. Weldon), which viewed evolution of the species as a result of a gradual changes coupled with natural selection (i.e., *gradualism*). The Biometricians focused on statistical studies of phenotypic variation. Quantitative methods developed by this school out of the need to describe and analyze continuously distributed characters laid the foundations for a great deal of modern statistical theory. However, the biometricians provided no real explanation for the transmission of hereditary traits from parent to offspring.

The rediscovery of Mendel's work¹⁴ offered such explanation, but was initially interpreted in support of evolution by sudden leaps (i.e., *saltationism*) and in direct

contrast to Darwin's gradualism. The *Mendelian school* (W. Bateson, C.B. Davenport) believed that evolution was driven by the appearance of new mutations with large effects (macromutations), thus giving rise to variation in discrete characters. Mendel's experiments with peas¹⁵ revealed that such characters were transmitted in a mathematically predictable manner. His laws of independent segregation and assortment of heritable 'factors' went on to become key concepts in the development of genetics. T.H. Morgan was the first to apply Mendel's laws to biology and inquire about the ultimate biological nature of the Mendelian 'factors'^{16,17}. Based on breeding experiments in the fruit fly, his student A. Sturtevant constructed the first genetic map of a chromosome¹⁸ and thus paved the way for *linkage analysis*, which subsequently became one of the most important tools of quantitative genetics. In the interim, in order to clarify the distinction between the genetic factors and the actual observed value of a trait for an individual, terms like *gene, genotype* and *phenotype* were coined¹⁹.

Gradually it became apparent that the observations of biometry can be interpreted in accordance with Mendel's laws by means of a rigorous statistical approach²⁰. The debate further led to the emergence of the *multiple-factor hypothesis*, which postulated that the variation exhibited by continuous characters can be maintained by the independent segregation of multiple factors (i.e. genes). By the 1930s geneticists and statisticians largely resolved their differences and the *modern theory of evolution of Mendelian systems* was produced²¹. The mechanism that produces evolutionary change and phenotypic variation is now believed to be a combination of natural selection and gradual change of allele frequencies in the population (genetic drift), simultaneously acting upon genetic variation, as created by recombination, mutation and gene flow. This modern synthesis thus introduced a connection between two important concepts: *genes as units of evolution* (which can be recombined and mutated) and *selection as the mechanism of evolution*.

The next big step to understanding the complexity of genome function was the finding that DNA is the material harboring heritable factors²², followed by the discovery of the DNA structure and its genetic implications²³. Another vital piece to the puzzle was added when the nature of the genetic code became understood²⁴. The subsequent rapid technological advances in molecular biology led to the identification of genomic features that could be used as markers, such as genes, repeats, RFLPs, SNPs, etc. Such markers were essential for the construction of genetic maps of model organisms²⁵, and these in turn allowed for high resolution linkage mapping²⁶. Linkage analysis proved an indispensable tool for genomic investigation, especially for annotating the genome with information on physiological function and disease²⁷.

The emergence of the whole genome sequencing capability permanently changed the landscape by providing the ultimate navigation tool to genomes²⁸⁻³¹ and heralded the post-genome era whose chief goal no longer is the *molecular anatomy* of the genome itself but rather how the genome influences *molecular physiology* and *molecular pathology*.

In the current era, researchers are at a unique juncture in the history of biological and medical sciences. Researchers have at their disposal a vast collection of

data on the genomic structure of individual species. In addition, insights into how genomes function are continually being produced. Using microarrays and similar highthroughput techniques, we will continue to accumulate enormous amount of data on transcription, translation, glycosylation, etc. in different tissues, across species, and both in health and disease.

Statistics and genetics (and later genomics) are in many ways branches of the same intellectual pedigree, since the level of mutual influence of the two disciplines has been considerable. Problems first identified by the early population geneticists accelerated the development of statistics as an independent discipline, which in return never ceased to be one of the important tools for understanding genetic relationships and genomic functions.

THE HERITABILITY OF COMPLEX TRAITS

The extent to which genotype determine phenotype is expressed by the degree of genetic determination, or *heritability* (H^2). Heritability is an important quality to be ascertained for a complex trait whose genetic underpinnings we wish to study, since it is rational to look for genes for just those traits that are in fact genetically determined.

The genetics of complex traits is based on the study of their *variation* in a population, with respect to the degree of relatedness of the studied individuals. The amount of *variation* is measured and expressed as the *variance* (the mean of the squared deviations from the population mean). The extent of phenotypic variation (phenotypic variance, V_P) can be view as a result of the genetic differences (genotypic variance, V_G) and differences in the environment (environmental variance, V_E):

$$V_P = V_G + V_E \tag{1}$$

Genotypic variance can be subdivided into additive genetic effect (V_A), dominant genetic effect (V_D) and interaction effect (V_I). Hence

$$V_G = V_A + V_D + V_I \tag{2}$$

Heritability is the extent to which the genotypes determine phenotypes, or

$$H^2 = V_G / V_P \tag{3}$$

This means in practical terms that if the genetic determination of a trait is large, closely related individuals will display trait values of similar magnitude.

Heritability is also likely to be affected by the place, which the measured quantitative trait occupies in the hierarchy of gene \rightarrow message \rightarrow protein (intermediate phenotype) \rightarrow pathway \rightarrow end phenotype. The lower in the hierarchy, i.e. closer to the DNA, the (molecular) trait lies, the more heritable it is likely to be. Exploration of the genetic determinants of gene expression and intermediate (biochemical) phenotypes has become an important approach to complex trait elucidation^{32,33}.

MODEL ORGANISMS AND EXPERIMENTAL CROSSES

Model organisms have been utilized for over a century to understand biological processes³⁴. Inbred strains of rodents, especially mouse and rat, have been used to study the contribution of genes in the pathogenesis of the disease process³⁵. This strategy is based on the notion of common descent of all living organisms and the conservation of metabolic, signaling and developmental pathways, with the caveat that phenotypic correlates may reflect different pathogenetic processes.

The mouse and rat model systems have several advantages over higher mammals, including lower maintenance cost, shorter generation time, existence of numerous genetically well-defined lines that differ in phenotypic characteristics and, especially compared to human, fewer ethical constraints with respect to breeding and experimentation³⁶. With the availability of the human, mouse and rat genome sequences and the resulting identification of the homologous regions between species, it is now possible to translate results from one species to another, using comparative genomics strategies.

A good example involves the rodent models of essential hypertension. More than 30 years ago, researchers began selectively breeding rats to produce models with high, normal and low blood pressures. Many hypertensive rat strains have been produced ranging from the strains such as the spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) and stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHRSP) to strains where high dietary salt is necessary to induce hypertension such as the Dahl salt-sensitive. These models also exhibit end-organ damage phenotypes similar to those seen in human essential hypertension, including left ventricular hypertrophy, stroke and renal failure. Experimental models of hypertension allowed for investigation of factors associated with regulation of blood pressure and genetic basis of hypertension, as well as for development of targeted interventions aimed at decreasing not only blood pressure but also target organ injury³⁷.

The disease models are, of course, only approximations of pathologic states in humans; therefore results obtained from animal studies need to be cautiously evaluated

11

before comparisons are drawn towards the human. Nonetheless, the phenotypic contrast of the different inbred strains can be exploited by developing genetic crosses – segregating populations – in which the co-segregation of phenotypes and genotypes can be studied and analyzed (see below). There are several principal types of populations used for genetic mapping: recombinant inbred (RI) strains, F₂ intercross, backcross, congenic strains³⁸, chromosome substitution strains³⁹, advanced intercross⁴⁰, heterogeneous stock⁴¹, etc. These experimental populations of mouse and rat facilitate a more profound understanding of the role of specific genes in the determination of both monogenic and multifactorial traits, and allow us to study the genotype-phenotype relationship in ways that are, for a wide variety of reasons, difficult to apply in humans.

The genetic dissection of complex traits still remains one of the most important challenges facing science and medicine today. Over the last 20 years, segregating populations derived from established inbred strains of the mouse or rat have become the main tools for pursuing this avenue of research.

THE HXB/BXH PANEL OF RAT RECOMBINANT INBRED STRAINS

One of the most widely used sets of model organisms derived from a cross is the HXB/BXH rat recombinant inbred (RI) strain panel, which is the focus of the work presented in this dissertation. These strains were produced as a model system for genetic and correlation analysis of spontaneous hypertension and other risk factors for cardiovascular disease⁴². The HXB/BXH recombinant inbred strains represent an inbred, genetically fixed, random breeding F2 progeny, derived from F1 progeny, which in turn was obtained through gender-reciprocal crossing of the two progenitors – the spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR/OlaIpcv) and normotensive Brown Norway (BN.*Lx*/Cub) rats (Figure 1–1). Of the surviving 31 RI strains, 29 strains are utilized in the presented work. The HXB set of RI strains, denoted as 1H, 3H, etc., originates from crossing SHR female and BN.*Lx* male progenitors, while the BXH set denoted as 2B, 3B, etc., originates from crossing BN.*Lx* females and SHR males. The original sets of RI strains are maintained at Charles University (BXH), the Czech Academy of Science (HXB).

QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCI AND QTL MAPPING

Quantitative trait loci (QTLs) are genomic segments that harbor genes controlling the variation of a quantitative trait in a population. QTL mapping seeks to identify these genomic segments. With the sequence of many organisms nearly complete, QTL mapping turned into a powerful way in which the known genomic sequence can be annotated with information that relates to complex biological processes²⁷.

Complex traits are controlled by multiple QTLs, contributing to the trait's heritability in an uneven fashion. The notion of the genetic architecture of complex traits is changing along with the increasing power to detect QTLs with very small effects. The dilemma is to decide whether heritability arises from a very large number of QTLs with a very small effect or from a few QTLs with a modest effect. Because of the inability to detect small effect QTLs in the past, researchers were more likely to detect large effect QTLs, giving them more prominence then they deserved.

A recent study showed that most QTLs explain between 1% and 5% of the phenotypic variance⁴¹. This may be surprising, but it seems to be consistent with the model of exponential distribution of allelic effects, which proposes many small effect QTLs alongside a few QTLs with respectively increasing effect⁴³.

There are two major approaches to finding complex trait-controlling genes: linkage analysis and association studies. Linkage and association can provide complementary information. Association studies examine relationships between alleles and phenotypes in a population of unrelated individuals, whereas linkage analysis is used to scrutinize relationships between loci and phenotypes in a pedigree of individuals with known degree of relatedness. Linkage analysis provides an unbiased and comprehensive search across the whole genome. It requires fewer markers (several hundreds to a few thousand), for which it examines their cosegregation with the studied trait (or disease phenotype). By contrast, association studies require that the marker and the disease gene not merely be linked across a few generations, but they must be in linkage disequilibrium, i.e. inherited together throughout the population despite the many generations that have elapsed from the common ancestor. Because the chromosomal segments exhibiting linkage disequilibrium in weakly and possibly arbitrarily related individuals are much smaller, hundreds of thousand of markers will be required for a whole genome scan involving
such population. It is therefore beneficial when association studies can focus on predetermined candidate regions, e.g. QTL interval resulting from a linkage study.

The HXB/BXH panel of rat recombinant inbred strains lends itself particularly well to linkage analysis. However, there are some limitations as to the power and precision, with which a trait can be mapped even using the finest mapping techniques; a QTL usually harbors about a hundred genes, which have to be further investigated. Some novel strategies can be brought to bear on the problem of causative gene identification.

NOVEL STRATEGIES FOR QTL GENE IDENTIFICATION

The advances in QTL mapping made possible by the development of high resolution maps and the availability of the genomic sequence shifted the focus from the identification of a QTL-bearing chromosomal segments to the problem of narrowing a QTL interval down to a single gene (i.e., quantitative trait gene, QTG), or even a single nucleotide polymorphism (i.e., quantitative trait nucleotide, QTN). Several strategies for mapping and cloning the quantitative trait genes have been put forth and implemented in the recent years⁴⁴. Of those, gene expression profiling coupled with linkage analysis is especially of relevance to the present work.

Gene expression profiling coupled with linkage analysis combines linkage mapping to locate a QTL for a physiological trait (pQTL), with subsequent expression QTL (eQTL) mapping for expression profiles of genes located within the pQTL support interval. This strategy has been termed *genetical genomics* in the literature⁴⁵. This information provides an insight into the contribution of each gene present in the pQTL to the examined physiological phenotype⁴⁶. Expression QTL mapping can thus facilitate the problem of sifting through a large number of genes in search for the best candidates to be tested in subsequent validation experiments.

DISSERTATION OUTLINE

As the title of the dissertation suggests, I have pursued a series of experiments and studies aiming at identification of genes underlying complex traits in in a model population – the HXB/BXH recombinant inbred strains – by means of a wide spectrum of molecular and computational methods.

Chapter 2 describes the genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strains and explores the limitations to map quantitative trait loci in this population as a starting point for all subsequent genetic analyses.

Chapter 3 deals with mapping of genetic determinants for the regulation catecholamine biosynthesis in the chromaffin cell of the adrenal gland, combining transcriptional profiling and biochemical phenotyping with traditional QTL mapping techniques to discern underlying regulatory mechanisms.

Chapter 4 deals with the genetics of gene expression on a whole-genome scale, as opposed to the pathway-focused approach presented in Chapter 3, with the aim to characterize the heritable properties of the adrenal transcriptome and to identify loci influencing transcript levels of multiple genes (i.e. the "master regulators").

Chapter 5 provides a review of the results presented here, discusses the limitations of the current approaches to quantitative trait dissection and comments on future directions relevant research can take.



Figure 1-1 The production of RI strains by repeated sibling mating. Crossing two inbred strains is followed by repeated sibling mating to produce a panel of inbred strains whose genome is a mosaic of the two parental genomes. This figure is the result of a computer simulation, which considered fifty segregating loci over twenty generations of brother-sister mating. Note that some residual heterozygosity can still be found in F_{20} (black arrowheads), which is representative of the in vivo situation, because complete homogenization of the RI strain genomes is reached only asymptotically.

Chapter 2

Genetic structure of the HXB/BXH panel of RI strains

PREFACE

This chapter builds on my earlier work⁴⁷ on the construction of a frameworkmarker based map of the rat HXB/BXH set of RI strains. The following chapter deals with additional development of the microsatellite-based maps and also includes recently genotyped single nucleotide polymorphisms in order to comprehensively characterize the HXB/BXH genomic resource.

ABSTRACT

Comprehensive characterization of the genetic structure of a mapping population is a prerequisite for successful detection and localization of quantitative trait loci. A genetic linkage map of the HXB/BXH set of RI strains and a description of the genetic structure of this model population is presented here. First, a framework map was developed, which was subsequently used to integrate all available markers into a contiguous genetic linkage map. The resulting map consists of 648 nonredundant loci. Second, the marker order was tested by building a pairwise correlation matrix of the markers. A clear diagonal line of identity is present, which validates the marker order in the map. Detailed scrutiny of the correlation matrix also revealed several highly correlated, but physically unlinked genomic regions. This finding is important for interpretation of QTL results, as it may provide insight into potential false positive linkages or allele effect studies. Further, in an effort better to describe the genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strains, a set of single nucleotide polymorphism data was also considered. This data permitted further genetic characterization, including strain independence and genetic similarity among the

individual strains. Of the total of 3234 SNPs available, 804 SNPs were informative (non-redundant with respect to the adjacent loci) and represent the number of unique recombination points throughout the whole RI set. This number of recombination events is comparable to the one detected in the analysis of the microsatellite markers.

INTRODUCTION

The power and resolution of QTL mapping in RI strains by means of linkage analysis depends on the number of RI strains used and on the density of genetic markers for which the RI set has been genotyped. Marker loci displaying polymorphism in the progenitor strains, when analyzed across the whole RI strain set, provide information on the distribution of the parental alleles in the RI strains. Analysis of single locus in this manner provides insight into the *strain distribution pattern* (SDP) for individual loci. Examination of the degree of concordance of SDPs of adjacent marker loci is the basis for ascertaining potential interlocus linkage and detection of potential recombination events. The proportion of discordant alleles between two adjacent marker SDPs can easily be converted to centimorgan distance, using a mapping function, such as Haldane's or Kosambi's functions¹⁰. The distance within which linkage can be detected on either side of a marker with a probability of 95%, known as the *swept radius*, increases along with the number of RI strains. It has been estimated that for a population the size of the HXB/BXH set (originally 36 strains) the swept radius equals to ~ 8 cM. This number is critical for the construction of genetic linkage framework maps, because maps with intervals larger than the swept radius are not likely to be contiguous and will not capture all recombination events.

By examining the SDPs and linkages among three or more loci, their relative chromosomal order can be determined by rearranging them so as to minimize the total number of recombinations within the whole linkage group. The order that minimizes the number of putative recombination events can then be taken as the most likely order of the markers. By working with SDPs and groups of markers in this manner, one can develop an optimal (at least with respect to the number of observed recombination events) map of markers across the entire genome. However, trying to resolve the order of loci solely by statistical means can prove challenging, especially when resolving the order of loci within and across very small distances, or over distances greater than the swept radius.

The original characterization of the HXB/BXH RI strain marker locus map was gradually developed and refined over several years in the form of SDP analyses of polymorphic mini- and microsatellite markers and RFLPs ^{42,48,49}. Despite this effort, a contiguous linkage map was lacking and the genetic structure of the set was only partially defined. This deficiency compromised linkage studies and hindered the power and precision of more sophisticated QTL mapping studies.

In response to this insufficiency, we reported in an earlier work the construction of a new framework marker-based linkage map and SDPs for the HXB/BXH RI strain panel⁴⁷. To avoid the ambiguities in the placement of certain markers we decided to use an F2 based integrated genetic and radiation hybrid map ⁵⁰ as a reference from which we could arrange more recently genotyped markers manually by using marker positions from this high density radiation hybrid physical

21

map. The marker order in the resulting framework map was then verified computationally using the statistical strategies discussed. The original map was constructed using DNA from all original 36 RI strains, although five were by that time extinct, but nevertheless their DNA contributed valuable information about recombination points. The resulting framework map in this initial analysis consisted of 245 microsatellite markers contiguously covering the whole genome, with an average between-marker distance of ~ 8 cM.

In this work I take advantage of the original framework marker-based map for the construction of a higher density map, which also encompasses the majority of previously genotyped markers⁴². Integrating these markers into a larger and more comprehensive map not only increases QTL mapping precision, but also allows for a more precise positioning of the older markers, some of which are no longer included in the current rat genome resources.

Further, I compared the above microsatellite-based map with a dataset of SNPbased SDPs, which was recently completed⁵¹ and made available by Dr. Norbert Hübner (Max Delbrück Center, Berlin). This comparison of genetic and physical maps allows for additional validation of the locus positions in the genetic map. Comprehensive analysis of the SNP dataset led to several important observations about the utility of the HXB/BXH RI set as well as its limitations. Integration of the microsatellite markers, for which the precise genomic position (Mbp) is known, with the non-redundant SNP markers, yields the most comprehensive information on the genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strains available to date.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

HXB/BXH recombinant inbred (RI) strains were produced by inbreeding between F2 generation resulting from a sex-reciprocal cross of two highly inbred strains: BN (BN.*Lx*/Cub) and SHR (SHR/Ola)^{42,52}. In this study, 29 RI strains were used. For background information on the HXB/BXH RI strains see Chapter 1, page 12.

Markers

For the present analyses, marker information derived from 29 HXB/BXH RI strains typed for 1061 microsatellite markers was used. This genotype information was generated previously^{42,47-49}. No additional genotyping for microsatellite markers was necessary. New SNP genotype data consisting of 3234 SNPs typed in 29 strains⁵¹ were utilized for additional analyses.

Genotyping the RI strains for the *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Chga* genes

Precise positioning of *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Chga* genes on the linkage map was important for the studies described in Chapter 3. In order to accomplish that, polymorphism discovery was conducted (see Chapter 3, page56). The progenitor strains (BN vs. SHR) were found to exhibit single nucleotide polymorphisms in *Dbh* (T–551G) and *Pnmt* (T–529C), and a simple repeat polymorphism in *Chga* (oligo-Gln repeat in exon 5, 287-331bp, BN.*Lx*:15 vs. SHR:8 repeats; data not shown). Using these polymorphisms as markers, alleles were typed by pyrosequencing for *Dbh* and *Pnmt* (see Chapter 3, page 58) and by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis for *Chga* (data not shown) in each RI strain to ascertain the SDPs for the respective genes.

Distribution of non-framework markers on the framework map

Previously genotyped markers^{42,48,49} were integrated into the HXB/BXH framework map⁴⁷ using the Map Manager QTX software⁵³. Four subsequent rounds of searches for the best position match for the non-framework markers were performed, each with decreasing stringency (probability of false positive linkage), relative to the previous step, from $P = 10^{-6}$ to $P = 10^{-3}$. In this manner, first markers to be placed were those showing the strongest linkage to the HXB/BXH framework. During the following 3 steps the remaining markers were tested for linkage and placed within the framework map, gradually relaxing the stringency criterion. Finally, no additional markers could be placed with probability of false positive linkage lesser that $P = 10^{-3}$. The resulting map was then examined for redundancy, and adjacent markers with the same SDPs were eliminated as non-informative. Chromosomal ideograms were generated with the Windows QTL Cartographer computer program⁵⁴.

Pairwise correlation matrix

648 marker SDPs were subjected to correlation analysis using MatLab 7.0 (The MathWorks, Inc., 2004) to compute the correlation coefficient for each marker SDP pair. The results were plotted as a 2-dimensional matrix. Each axis represents linearized rat genome, with the 648 markers ordered with respect to their position in the genetic map.

Linkage map building with the single nucleotide polymorphism data

3234 SNPs typed in 29 RI strains⁵¹ were ordered according to their Megabase (Mbp) position in the genome. Adjacent markers with identical SDPs were eliminated as non-informative. Data were handled with the Map Manager QTX software⁵³.

Other statistical analyses

Statistical calculations (e.g., correlation analyses) were performed in MatLab 7.0 (The MathWorks, Inc.), MS Excel 2003 (Microsoft Inc.) and SPSS 10.0 (SPSS Inc.).

RESULTS

A genetic linkage map consisting of 648 non-redundant loci.

The distribution of ~ 800 previously genotyped markers onto the HXB/BXH framework map of 245 markers, and subsequent elimination of non-informative markers, yielded a linkage map consisting of 648 distinct markers (Figure 2–1). Positions of the *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Chga* genes, which were added to the map explicitly to facilitate analyses described in Chapter 3, are highlighted in yellow. The map spans 2365 cM over the 20 rat autosomal chromosomes, with an average between-marker interval of ~3.7 cM. Due to insufficient marker data, the sex chromosomes were not included in the final map. This new map provides a dense and contiguous coverage of the rat genome, representing a great enhancement of the QTL detection power over the previously available linkage maps for the HXB/BXH RI strain set. All genotypes used in this map are included in Appendix 1.

Genome-wide interactions between loci.

A correlation matrix (genetic map validation matrix) for the HXB/BXH RI strain set was generated and represented as a heatmap (Figure 2–2). The matrix involves the SDPs for each of the 648 markers used in the above described the linkage map. The predominance of high level of positive correlation (red) along diagonal line (i.e., the line of identity) is clearly evident and constitutes a dominant feature of the matrix, thus validating the marker order in the integrated map. There are, however, also several other regions in the matrix that show a high positive (red) and high negative (blue) correlation between physically remote marker SDPs. This may be attributable to the modest number of strains in the HXB/BXH RI panel and the chance associations between marker SDPs that results from the small sample size. Another explanation could be that the linked genomic regions harbor alleles that are functionally coupled and their co-segregation is vital to the strain survival.

A SNP-based linkage map

The total of 3234 SNP SDPs present in the SNP dataset⁵¹ were ordered according to the physical (Mbp) genomic location. After collapsing adjacent SNPs with perfectly concordant SDPs into one locus, a new subset containing 804 informative, non-redundant loci was produced. A 3-fold increase in coverage density (3234 SNPs vs. 1061 microsatellites) yielded about 25% boost in identification of unequivocal recombination points (804 SNPs vs. 648 microsatellites).

An integrated SNP and microsatellite map.

In another attempt to recover as much information about genetic recombination points in the HXB/BXH RI set, as possible, 347 microsatellites (of the set of 1061), for which the genomic (Mbp) location of was known, were merged with the 3234 SNP SDPs. Again, markers were ordered according to their physical genomic location and redundant loci eliminated. The resulting merged map file consists of 1047 SNP and microsatellite markers and is at present the most comprehensive map available for the HXB/BXH RI strains. However, bearing in mind that the markers in the merged file come from different sources and were generated at different time in different laboratories, using different methodologies, it is quite possible that some mapped recombination point are due to error.

Progenitor strain allele distribution in the HXB/BXH RI panel

To compute the proportion of progenitor genomes in the individual strains, the dataset consisting of 3571 merged SNPs and microsatellites, including the redundant loci were used. Using only the non-redundant SDPs to calculate the estimates of progenitor strains alleles among the RIs, would be correct only if recombinations were distributed evenly and randomly. Otherwise, there would be a risk of overrepresentation of the regions, where recombination occurs, and consequently underrepresentation of regions that may contain longer stretches of progenitor DNA without recombination. Therefore, the computation was based on the known physical positions of all markers. Distances spanned between same genotypes were counted as such; distances spanned between different genotypes (recombination points) were split

between the two. The totals for SHR and BN.*Lx* progenitor alleles were than compared for each strain and percentages calculated.

The distribution of SHR and BN.*Lx* alleles among the individual RI strains can be rendered as a "genetic mosaic" (Figure 2–3), which offers a practical visualization of the genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI set. In theory, each strain should receive an equal amount of its genome from each of the progenitor strains. We found that the HXB/BXH genomes consist, on average (\pm SD), of 51% (\pm 7) of SHR and 49% (\pm 7) of BN.*Lx* alleles. However, the fraction of SHR and BN.*Lx* genomes transmitted to the individual RI strains varies continuously from about one-third to two-thirds (Figure 2– 4, bottom two rows). This information may be useful for analyses in which the influence of an overall genetic background is assessed. Genetic background information, as proxy for the combined effect of multiple small effect QTLs, can be included as a predictor of phenotype in multiple regression analyses, along with marker SPDs⁵⁵.

Genetic Similarity Matrix and Allele Sharing

The merged map file with all 3581 SNP and microsatellite markers was used to compute the amount of genome the RI strains share among each other. Marker information was used to determine regions of same parental origin (bins), essentially genome segments between recombination points, and the amount of genome sharing was then computed based on the length of these segments, rather than merely on the marker genotypic information, to account for the non-uniform distribution of markers. A pair-wise similarity matrix was computed (Figure 2–4). The 29 RI strains can be

paired up in 406 different ways. The similarity matrix shows the degree of sharing for each such pair. The HXB21 and HXB22 display the lowest degree of allele sharing (33%), whereas HXB3 and HXB15 exhibit the highest degree of genome similarity (87%). It is interesting to compare these extremes with an estimate computed with the framework markers only ⁴⁷. The same pairs of strains shared 38 and 78%, respectively. It appears that by using more markers and by counting distances between markers, rather than markers, the extremes gained even more prominence. However, the average amount of allele sharing among the RI strains remained the same (Figure 2–4, histogram in the inset). The average (\pm SD) allele sharing among the RI strains is 50.5% (\pm 6.1).

Detectability of a QTL depends on the number of RI strains and the magnitude of the QTL effect

The power to detect a locus in QTL mapping contexts using the HXB/BXH RI strains was assessed. Figure 2–5 presents the results and exposes some limitations of the HXB/BXH RI set, having to do with its relatively small strain number. The relationship between the number of strains (*n*) and the detectable QTL effect size (V_{QTL}) is given by Equation (4)^{44,56}. The genome-wide significance threshold (α) and power (*1-\beta*) are represented as Z-scores, or cutoff points for α and *1-\beta* in the standard normal cumulative distribution.

$$n = \frac{(1 - V_{QTL})(Z_{1-\alpha/2} + Z_{1-\beta})^2}{V_{OTL}}$$
(4)

To obtain the genome-wide significance threshold, the single-locus threshold needs to be divided by the number of loci to correct for multiple comparisons. For ~ 1000 markers and a local $\alpha = 0.05$, the genome wide $\alpha = 0.0005^{57}$. If the power is set to $(1-\beta) = 0.8$, and Equation 4 solved for n = 29 (the number of HXB/BXH RI strains), it turns out that for a QTL to be detected in the HXB/BXH RI set, the proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to that QTL needs to be more than 40%. The implication of this result is that, if all due statistical stringency is applied, the HXB/BXH RI set is suitable chiefly for detection of QTLs with larger effect.

DISCUSSION

Overview

Recombinant inbred strains are one of the best resources for genetic mapping^{44,58}. Once established, an RI strain set is a renewable resource consisting of strains with genetically fixed genomes. Determining the parental origin of alleles at as many loci as possible in each of the RI strains, and defining the genetic structure of the whole RI panel, is critical to the ability to correlate phenotypes with genotypes and thus to detect and localize quantitative trait loci.

The HXB/BXH RI set has a special place among the genomics resources as the largest *rat* RI strain set produced to date. Originally created as a model system for analysis of spontaneous hypertension and other cardiovascular disease risk factors⁵⁹⁻⁶², it has also been instrumental for mapping a variety of other traits, such as morphological, reproductive, metabolic and behavioral phenotypes ⁶³⁻⁶⁸. The new

maps and strain analyses presented here will enhance the use of the rat HXB/BXH RI strains and permit utilization of their full potential.

There are two major determinants of the ability to detect QTLs in an RI population: marker density and RI strain number⁵⁶. The present study focuses on making the most of the genotypic data accumulated thus far by means of correct marker positioning and redistributing to obtain high density maps.

New microsatellite and SNP-based linkage maps

To improve the utility of the HXB/BXH RI strains for complex trait analysis and to provide a reference a tool to locate older markers to which some formerly published QTLs were linked, the available microsatellites were redistributed over the previously reported framework map⁴⁷, yielding a linkage map with 648 unique SDPs. In a separate analysis, attempting to position the SDPs with the best attainable accuracy, the newly obtained single nucleotide polymorphism data were merged with the microsatellite data, for which the exact physical (Mbp) location was known. 1,047 unique SDPs have been ascertained.

In the context of the integrated analysis of microsatellite and SNP markers, it is interesting to note that SNP data set used was the result of the single most extensive genotyping effort in the HXB/BXH undertaken to date. It is thus somewhat surprising that the number of recombination points captured provides only a small incremental benefit over the existing microsatellite-based data. Thus, despite extensive SNP genotyping, it appears that the genetic structure of RI strains, and most of the

information on recombination points in their genomes, was sufficiently captured even by the original microsatellite marker-based map⁴⁷.

It is believed that the number of recombinations in an RI set usually significantly exceeds the number of those detected, largely because of insufficient marker density⁶⁹. The total number of observed recombinations is decreased by a failure to discover them in sparsely mapped regions and increased by genotyping errors, as well as errors in marker ordering. The latter is a concern especially with microsatellites, since the order of SNP is unambiguously defined by their physical (Mbp) location. Present results, however, suggest that at least in the case of the HXB/BXH RI strain set, the actual number of recombinations is unlikely to be significantly higher then the ~1000 detected in the merged set. If true, this would bring the total expected number of recombinations down to about a half compared to previous estimates. Therefore further genotyping in the HXB/BXH RI panel would be only of limited benefit. The only way to increase the number of detectable recombination points would be to expand the HXB/BXH RI panel by producing additional RI strains.

It is unlikely that a recombination would take place at the exact same locus in multiple RI strains, unless there was a special cause for such occurrence (e.g. a recombination hot spot). An ideal RI strain map for the purpose of QTL detection would therefore consist of markers ordered according to their physical location, whose SDPs would only be discordant in one strain-genotype at a time relative to their neighbors. In this way, uncovering of recombination events would be maximized and

32

the full potential attainable with an RI set could be mustered. However, as the results of the present study suggest, the benefit of additional genotyping is likely to be fairly small, and the amount of additional genotyping necessary to uncover all existing recombination points would be excessive. Therefore, an increase in the number of RI strains, rather than a more extensive genotyping, would be the best way to improve the utility of the HXB/BXH RI strain set.

It is necessary to emphasize that the main purpose of the genetic linkage map presented here is to provide a tool for detection and location of quantitative trait loci. The usefulness of the presented map is exclusive to the HXB/BXH RI panel. Other possible objectives for uses of this genetic linkage map, such as determining the gene order or distance between genes are best served using other resources, such as the genomic sequence for the *Rattus norvegicus* (Ensembl, UCSC Genome Browser, etc.).

The genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strains

Besides map construction, the genotypic information obtained was used to analyze the overall genetic structure of the HXB/BXH RI strain set. We compared the degree of allele sharing among all strains, and computed the fraction of the progenitors' alleles in each individual strain. It is an important finding that the parental alleles are distributed evenly among the HXB-BXH RI strains and that, apart from the exceptions discussed above, the allele sharing among the strains is on average 50%, as expected for a randomly segregating population. As a sibling-like population, the RI strains are expected to share around a half of the alleles among each other. It is important that there are no major deviations from this expectation to insure that no clustering or grouping of strains occurred. Non-randomness and strain clustering with respect to genotype across the strains can negatively affect the QTL detection.

Correlated, but physically unlinked loci

Also of relevance to the utility of the HXB/BXH RI strains is the existence of correlated, but physically unlinked loci. The situation, in which two loci on different chromosomes have congruent SDPs and therefore erroneously suggest that they are linked, can have an impact on the detection and correct positioning of a QTL. The most probable explanation of this phenomenon lies with the small number of RI strains in the HXB/BXH set. In a biallelic mapping population, such as the RI strains, the number of possible different SDPs equals 2^n , where *n* is the number of strains. When the number of RI strains is small, the total number of possible different SDPs is comparable to the number of loci for which the RI strains are genotyped, and a high degree of SDP concordance between unlinked loci is regularly observed. To a lesser extent, this poses a problem even for medium-sized populations, such as the HXB/BXH RI strain set. Correlation, both positive and negative, between distant loci can cause a QTL to be located incorrectly, and in the case of a negative correlation the direction of the allelic effect of a QTL can be obscured. The pairwise correlation matrix (Figure 2–2) provides a means to uncover correlated genomic regions in order to aid in the interpretation of QTL mapping results.

Power limitations for mapping in the HXB/BXH RI strains

Because of the polygenic nature of complex traits, when mapping QTLs for such traits, one would expect to find multiple QTLs, with varying proportion of phenotypic variance attributable to each one of them. The notion of the genetic architecture of complex traits is changing along with the increasing power to detect QTLs with very small effects. Because of the inability to detect small effect QTLs in the past, researchers were more likely to detect large effect QTLs, giving them more prominence then they deserved. However, it turns out that the greater the mapping power, the larger the detected proportion of small effect QTLs ⁴¹. Such QTLs explain between 1% and 5% of the phenotypic variance, which is consistent with the model of exponential distribution of allelic effects. Small effect QTLs can only be mapped in RI strain panels numbering hundreds of strains (Figure 2–5). For the HXB/BXH RI strain set the detectable QTL should explain ~ 40% of the phenotypic variance, thus mapping is possible only for large effect QTLs. Most of the traits, for which a QTL can be detected, will therefore have closer to oligogenic or Mendelian traits.

Conclusions

It can be thus concluded that the greatest limitation of the HXB/BXH RI set is the relatively small size of the set, which not only makes is difficult to discover all existing recombination points and causes some non-linked loci to appear correlated, but also hinders the ability to localize QTLs with a higher precision, and makes it near impossible to detect small effect QTLs, which are key to understanding of the genetic structure of quantitative traits.

	RN	0	1	
0.0	-	7	D1Rat32	27
3.2	-+	-	D1Rat7	
4.1			D1Rat18	36
21.2	$\neg \mid$	1	D1Mgh2	
25.8	\neg	1/-	D1Rat25	52
27.7	-11	VIr	D1Utr6	
32.7	$\neg W$	V/r	Slc9a3	
37.7	-\\F	¥/_	D1Rat15	5
42.3		¥/r	D1Cebr6	68s1
45.4			D1Rat18	3
47.4			- D1Mit9	
49.4		1///	DIRaizu	
52.5 62.0		∜/~	D1Rai20	
66.3	$\neg +$	$+\Gamma$	Cvp2b2	ł
68.4		1//_	D1Cohrr	13102
71 7			D1Rat21	2
77.9	_///]///_	D1Rat27	,
79.9	_///-	+//_	D1Rat26	6
84.4	_\\\	1//_	- Klk1	
92.6	-///-	-₩//_	D1Rat30)
98.7	-///	1//_	D1Rat26	88
101.9	-///1	ť //_	D1Cebr3	31s2
104.5	-///		D1Arb11	
107.0		1///_	D1Cebr7	′2s1
109.0		_\///	D1Cebr1	100s2
113.5		-{///r	D1Rat35	5
118.1		<i>\$∥/г</i>	D1Rat27	0
124.3		ť///	D1Rat42	2
127.4		4//г	D1Cebr1	1651
128.3		+///	- D1ArD15)
131.5	\mathbb{Z}		D10tr9	
130.5		<u> </u> ///	D1Rib10	7
138.4	_//F	1//_	D1Rat27	7
139.9			D1Cehr2	, 21s2
141.3	_\	₹⁄_	D1Cebr7	7s3
142.2	7	*~	D1Arb17	,
146.8	-+	\leftarrow	D1Rat28	37
147.7	-//L		Mt1pa	
149.7	-//		Lsn	
153.0	-/		Scnn1g	
161.5	-Æ	$\overline{\mathbf{N}}$	D1Cebr1	l0s3
163.5	-⁄/	\sim	- Igf2	-
165.5	- 1	\perp \sim	D1Rat29	92
173.0			DIRAT29	13
1/0.1	_		DIRall I	
100.0		1/	D1Mi+24	90
201.2	$\neg +$	$+\Gamma$	- D HVIII.34	
201.2		//	. D1Rat77	,
216.0		4//_	D1Rat30	14
217.0	_///	4//_	- D1Utr5	
217.9	_///	1/1/-	D1Cebro	29s6
221.0		1///_	D1Utr7	
224.2	_\\\	1//-	D1Rat23	35
226.2	_\\/⊧	₹///_	D1Rat81	
232.3	-\\\}	+///	D1Rat22	25
233.3	-///	₹//r-	D1Mit14	
234.3	\neg	1//~	Adrb1	
236.4	~\#	₹/~	D1Cebr1	9s2
237.4	\rightarrow	Ť	D1Utr4	
241.2	$-\epsilon$	_ځ	- D1Utr10	-U7

	RN	10 2	2
0.0		2	D2Rat189
8 1	_		D2Rat124
14.3		1/_	D2Rat94
15.2	_/ }-	¥ /_	D2Rat116
18 /	_///	1//_	D2Rat11
26.5		₹//	D2Rat11
20.5	JΥ	$\downarrow \Gamma$	D2Rat201
20.0		/	D2Ral202
33.0	7///	1///	DZIVIGIT14
30.3	7/14	-₩//Г	D2Rat197
41.1		Т//г	DZIVIII4
43.1		4///	DZIMIT5
44.1		+///	DZUCSTZ
46.0	\neg		D2Rat/5
47.0]///	D2Utr8
50.3	-VE	₽~	D2Utr1
51.2			D2Utr10
54.4	~	Ŧ∕	D2Rat320
60.5	\neg	ťr	D2Mit6
68.7	$\neg \backslash$	1/1	D2Rat95
73.2	-1/	ť /_	D2Cebr11042
74.1	-///	1//_	D2Cebr11041
75.3	-///		Cpb
79.5	$\neg \parallel \llbracket$	1//_	D2Mit7
84.5	-\\F	₩//-	D2Mit18
87.8		1//_	D2Rat24
98.3	_///	┦╢╴	D2Rat147
100.2	_///	1///_	D2Rat115
103.4	_11/-	1/ //_	D2Rat221
105.4		1∥_	D2Rat34
107.5	_\\\\	///_	D2Rat07
107.5			D2I (at222
109.7		-/////	
111.0		1////	
114.0		1////	DZIVIII
114.9		-////	D2Rat38
116.9		1///	D2Rat152
127.4	٦WF	Т∥/ г	D2Rat228
129.3	JY	₹/ r	⊢gg
130.2	7	1 //_	D2Utr11
134.8	7	1///_	D2CebrP476s2
139.3	-11	W//-	D2Cebr11s2
141.4	-11/1/	⊒ ///_	D2Utr9
142.4		1///~	Fga
143.3		+///	D2Cebr28s4
146.6			R802
147.7	-//	_///_	D2Rat42
151.1	_///	1//_	P9ka
154.3	_//F	∜/_	Npr1
156.2	-//-	¥/_	Atp1a1
159.7	_//-	¥/_	D2Cebr10s2
161.8		ť/_	D2Mit14
163.0	_\+	┦/_	D2Cebr204s17
166 1		1⁄_	D20cbi204317
167.0	\searrow		D2CED14255
170.2			D20113
170.3	7		DZRato/
172.3	-/-	\wedge	D2Cebr4s8
173.9	-/-	\wedge	D2Ucst1
177.7	~		D2N91
183.8	\rightarrow	\leftarrow	D2Rat62
184.8	-/F	$\overline{\mathbb{A}}$	D2N35
185.7	-//}-	+//-	D2Rat61
186.7	-//	1//-	D2CebrP133s9
190.0	-/ }-	+	D2Rat247
196.2		$^{\perp}$	D2Rat66
200.7		\sim	D2Rat67
205.3		+	D2Rat69
211.5	\neg	1~	D2Rat70
212.4	\rightarrow	ť_	D2Mit16
/	C)	

. .

	RN	0	3
0.0)	- D3Cebrn207s7
0.9	_Æ	\sim	- D3Cebr204s4
1.9	_//E	<u> </u>	- D3Ucsf1
4.0	_///	///_	- D3Cebr26s1
5.0	_//		- Dbh
6.9	JH	< /	- D3Rat194
15.0	_/	\backslash	- D3Rat53
19.6	_/	\sim	- D3Utr7
22.9		\mathbb{N}	- D3Utr5
23.9	-//	//	- D3Mit9
27.2			- D3Rat82
37.7		_	- D3Rat188
39.6			- D3Rat185
41.6		\sim	- Scn2a
43.6	-⁄µ	\sim	- D3Utr8
46.9		\sim	- D3Rat183
57.4	\neg	~	- D3Rat180
62.0	$\neg \lor$	/ _	- D3Rat35
63.9	\neg	1-	- D3Utr4
67.1	$\neg \Box$	- /	- D3Rat173
75.2	$\neg +$	/ _r	- D3CebrP97s12
79.8	-\	1	- Cat
83.0	-11	//r	- D3Mit16
85.1	-///	//_	- D3Cebr2s4
86.0		'//_	- D3Cebr9s1
87.1		'//~	- D3Mit15
88.3	\neg		- D3Mit6
90.2		_	- D3Ucst3
92.2		\sim	- D3Mit17
93.1	- /-	\sim	- D3Rat166
97.6	-/-	\sim	- D3Rat20
99.9	-/-	\sim	- SIC12a1
103.5	-/F	\sim	- D3IVIIL14
100.0	-//H		- D3Rat 159 D2Cobr4o2
107.0	-/ []	$\left \right\rangle$	Cov1
116.2	-/H	\sim	
110.5	-/[]	$\left \right\rangle$	D2Mit2
122.2	$-\Lambda$	$\overline{\ }$	D2Pot6
123.2	_		D3Cobr/15c8
136.0			- Svn1
130.0	\geq	\sim	D3Cebr80s2
141 3	__	/_	- D3Cebr80s1
144.5			- D3Rat143
150.6	$ \top$		- D3Rat132
152.6	$ \square $	/_	- D3Rat1
153.5	\rightarrow	$ \leq$	- Edn3
	N	/	

	RI	10	2 4	1
0.0		7		D4Ucsf1
1.0			\sim	D4Cebr88s1
3.0			//_	R133
4.9	_///	⇒∖	\mathbb{N}	116
5.8	_///		///_	D4Utr2
7.8	_///	Ľ	$\mathbb{N}^{}$	Cd36
10.9	_///		\mathbb{N}	D4Cebr6s16
11.9	-//		/l	D4Rat4
13.8	-//		/L	D4Rat7
24.3	-1/1	┤	7	D4Rat10
32.4	-//	┥	/_	D4Rat151
34.4	-/		/_	D4Utr3
39.4	-/	╪	~_	D4Rat16
46.3	-//	┥	/~	D4Cebrp149s8
47.3	-//		//-	D4Cebr46s5
50.9	-//	┥	//-	D4Mit9
53.0	-//		1-	D4Utr1
58.0	-/	+	<u> </u>	D4Rat153
66.6			\sim	D4Rat102
50.0	-//	┥	/_	Try'i Kilutro
70.8	-//		//_	NIKIIS D4Cohro1016o14
76.6	-///	-1	//_	D4Ceprp 10 165 14
79.6	-///	┥	//_	Nov
10.0	-///		//_	NPy D4Mit5
86.3	-///	- IV	///_	D4Millo D/Rat168
80.0	_///	┥	///_	D4Cobro215c0
91.9			/L	D4Rat235
98.1		┥	Ĺ	D4Rat37
106.2		ϯ	$^{\prime}$	D4Rat176
109.4		╋	,∕_	Spr
113.9				D4Rat44
127.3	\neg		~	D4Rat58
135.9	\neg	\downarrow	/_	D4Rat240
138.0	-		/_	Cacna1s
138.9	-///		//_	D4Cebr7s17
142.4	-	≠	~	Eno2
145.7		┦	/_	D4Cebr7s7
148.8	-	┥	/	A2m
150.8	-	Ŧ	_	D4Mit19
152.0	-7	Ť	\sim	Pparg
153.2	-	\downarrow	\sim	D4Rat198
159.4	_	ſ	\sim	D4Rat202
165.6		+		D4Rat68

Figure 2-1 Linkage map of the rat autosomes derived from the HXB/BXH RI strains. The map was created by positioning ~ 800 non-framework markers on the HXB/BXH framework map of 245 markers (see Methods). After eliminating non-informative markers, the resulting map consists of a total of 648 markers contiguously covering all 20 rat autosomal chromosomes with an average between-marker distance of ~ 3.7 cM.

(Continued.)

RNO 5

0.0		7	- D5Mgh17
6.2	~		- D5Rat188
12.4	\neg	Τ,	- D5Rat218
18.5	$\neg \gamma$	-V ~	- D5Rat6
20.5	\neg	1/~	- D5Mit10
21.4			- D5Cebr63s1
23.4	_	+	- D5Cebr63s2
26.5	-1	\Rightarrow	– D5Utr1
28.6	-//	$\neg \land$	– D5Mit9
30.6			- D5Rat135
41.1			- D5Rat228
43.1		+	- D5Cebrp312s4
46.4	_	$ \land$	- D5Mit2
51.1	_	\wedge	- D5Rat144
55.7	_	+	- D5Rat147
58.8		\Rightarrow	- D5Utr2
60.8	_//		– D5Utr5
61.7	_///	_///	- D5Utr4
63.7	_// <i>k</i>	=////	- D5Mit4
65.6	_///	<i>⊒∭ /</i>	- D5Utr3
72.1	_///	_////	– D5Mit5
73.1	_////	_////	– Jun
75.4	_///	///	- Pgm1
77.7	_///	////	- D5Rat158
82.3	_///	1///	- Slc2a1
84.3	_// <i>k</i>	-///	- D5Rat169
90.4	J/	1/1	- D5Rat34
100.9		$\neg \land$	- D5Rat63
111.4			- D5Rat93
117.6		+	- D5Rat45
128.1	\neg		- D5Rat245
129.0	$-\lambda$		- D5Mgh15
132.8	-	1/	- Clcnkb
138.1	\neg	イィ	- D5Cebr2s2
139.0	\rightarrow	¥-	- D5Cebr2s1

0.0)	D6Cep8
1.0		\sim	D6Cebrp424s2
4.6		\wedge	D6Cebr204s20
5.6			D6Cebrn40s27
10.4			D6Mit5
11.6		\ I\	D6Rat167
12.0			D6Pat/6
10.1	_//	_	D6Pat80
23.6		\mathbb{N}	D6Pat1/7
20.0	JÆ	٨\	D6Rat171
37.0		//	D6Pat8/
40.1	-//F	\mathbb{N}	DeMito
40.1		////	D6Pat36
43.9	_///	///	D6Dat20
44.9	_//		D6Dat23
40.8	-/ /-	L _	Depato
0Z.1	-/		DCRaizo
70.0	_		DORALISZ DEDat165
10.9 02 E	\sim	\sim	DORALIOS
00.0	\sim	\sim	DOIVILZ
07.5	\rightarrow	K	DOIVIILO
00.1			DoCeproos I
92.1	-	2	DoRalo7
96.6	_	\sim	DoRates
107.1			D6Rat117
115.3		ľ Γ	D6Rat11
120.3		V r	D6Utr3
121.3	\neg		D6Rat184
125.8			D6Cebrp165s2
130.3		ľ /~	D6Cebr2s3
133.5	\rightarrow		D6Cebrp91s1
134.4		F	D6Rat111
135.3	~	$ $ \sim	Chga
146.5			D6Rat101
155.1	\neg	[_	D6Rat1
161.3		Vr	D6Rat3
166.0	$\neg \backslash$	1/-	D6Utr2
171.0		1/-	D6Utr6
174.3	$\neg \parallel$	Y/_	D6Utr5
175.3		//_	D6Cebr82s1
176.2		Ķ-	Ighe
179.8			lgh@
185.1	-+-	-	D6Mit10
188.5	-+	<u>} </u>	Ckb
	\sim		

RNO 6

3.2 D7Utr5 6.5 D7Cebr10s1 8.9 D7Ret35 13.2 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat152 29.4 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Utr1 46.9 D7Rat181 65.1 D7Rat25 65.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 74.1 D7Rat110 79.6 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat133 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Mit3 117.0 D7Rat102 117.0 D7Rat102 117.0 D7Rat196 122.0 D7Cebr2781 124.0 D7Rat102	0.0	$- \alpha$	- D7Cebrp179s6
6.5 D7Cebr10s1 8.9 D7Cebr205s3 11.2 D7Rat35 13.2 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 29.4 D7Wit17 39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Mit7 46.6 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat181 65.1 D7Cebr7rs3 72.2 D7Cebr204s11 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Rat110 79.6 D7Mit5 87.7 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Mit5 87.7 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Mit5 81.7 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Mit3 110.7 D7Mit3 122.0 D7Mit3 124.0 D7Mit13 125.0 D7Cebr77s1 126.1 D7Mit14 128.2 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Rat196 <	3.2		– D7Utr5
8.9 D7Cebr205s3 11.2 D7Rat35 13.2 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 21.4 D7Rat32 29.4 D7Mit7 39.9 D7Rat152 29.4 D7Mit7 46.6 D7Rat25 51.1 D7Rat25 51.1 D7Rat25 52.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Rat110 75.7 D7Cebr204s11 75.1 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Rat133 117.9 D7Rat133 112.0 D7Rat133 112.0 D7Mit3 116.0 D7Mit3 117.0 D7Rat102 122.0 D7Rat133 112.0 D7Mit3 112.0 D7Mit4 122.0 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Rat102 137.4 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Rat102 144.2 D7Mit4	6.5		- D7Cebr10s1
11.2 D7Rat35 13.2 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Mit17 39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat125 65.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 75.1 D7Rat13 76.7 D7Rat112 76.7 D7Cebr204s11 75.1 D7Rat13 76.7 D7Rat13 77.1 D7Rat112 78.7 D7Rat13 79.6 D7Rat13 71.7 D7Mit3 71.7 D7Mit14 72.0 Cyp11b1 72.0 D7Rat196 73.3 D7Rat196 73.4 D7Rat196 73.4 D7Rat196 73.4	8.9		- D7Cebr205s3
13.2 D7Rat32 21.3 D7Rat152 29.4 D7Wit17 39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat181 66.7 D7Rat132 77.2 D7Rat133 72.2 D7Cebr204s11 73.1 D7Rat110 75.7 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Rat110 79.6 D7Rat110 79.7 D7Rat110 79.6 D7Rat112 98.7 D7Rat133 110.7 D7Rat13 122.0 D7Rat13 120.9 D7Cebr77s1 121.0 D7Mit3 117.0 D7Mit3 117.0 D7Mit3 117.0 D7Mit3 122.0 D7Cebr77s1 122.0 D7Cebr77s1 126.1 D7Mit14 120.9 D7Rat196 133.4 D7Rat196 134.4 D7Rat196 1350.8 D7Cebr74s1 154.1 D7Rat2 154.1 D7Rat4	11.2		– D7Rat35
21.3 D7Rat152 29.4 D7Mit17 39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Mit17 46.6 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat103 65.1 D7Cebr703 72.2 D7Cebr204s11 73.1 D7Rat110 79.8 D7Cebr204s11 73.1 D7Rat110 79.2 D7Cebr204s11 73.1 D7Rat110 79.2 D7Rat110 79.2 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat133 112.2 D7Rat133 112.2 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 112.2 D7Mit3 116.0 D7Mit3 116.1 D7Mit3 122.0 D7Rat196 124.0 D7Rat196 125.8 D7Cebr7s1 126.1 D7Mit4 128.2 D7Mit3 139.4 D7Mit3 126.1 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Utr3	13.2		– D7Rat32
29.4 D7Mit17 39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat133 61.9 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s11 75.1 D7Rat110 78.7 D7Cebr204s11 78.7 D7Rat112 98.2 D7Rat133 117.0 D7Rat133 117.0 D7Mit14 122.0 D7Mit13 117.9 D7Mit14 122.0 D7Mit14 122.0 D7Mit14 128.2 D7Mit14 129.9 D7Mit14 129.9 D7Mit14 129.9 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Mit14 129.9 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Mit14 129.9 D7Cebr7s1 126.1 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Rat102 137.4 D7Rat102 139.4 D7Rat102 139.4 D7Rat102 139.4 D7Rat102 139.4 D7Cebr7s1 166.6 D7Utr3 <td>21.3</td> <td>-</td> <td>– D7Rat152</td>	21.3	-	– D7Rat152
39.9 D7Utr1 41.9 D7Rat181 51.4 D7Rat103 61.9 D7Rat25 65.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Rat181 76.6 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Cebr204s12 73.1 D7Rat19 98.2 D7Rat19 98.2 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Rat13 117.0 D7Mit3 117.0 Bzrp 117.0 D7Mit13 122.0 Cyp11b1 22.0 Cyp11b2 124.0 D7Mit3 139.4 D7Mit3 128.5 D7Cebr77s1 126.1 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Rat196	29.4		– D7Mit17
41.9 - D7Mit7 46.6 - D7Rat181 51.4 - D7Rat25 65.1 - D7Rat25 65.1 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s11 75.1 - D7Rat10 79.6 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat112 98.7 - D7Rat112 98.7 - D7Rat112 98.7 - D7Rat13 110.7 - D7Rat13 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.9 - D7Mit3 117.9 - D7Mit3 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Rat4 156.6	39.9		– D7Utr1
46.6	41.9	$\neg / $	– D7Mit7
51.4 - D7Rat103 61.9 - D7Mit6 67.2 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat133 117.9 - D7Mit3 116.0 - D7Mit2 117.9 - D7Mit13 112.0 - D7Mit14 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit3 142.2 - D7Cebr7s1 126.1 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 138.4 - D7Rat102 137.4 - D7Rat102 138.4 - D7Rat102 137.4 - D7Rat102 137.4 - D7Rat2 <td< td=""><td>46.6</td><td>$\neg U//$</td><td>– D7Rat181</td></td<>	46.6	$\neg U//$	– D7Rat181
61.9 - D7Rat25 65.1 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s11 75.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat13 112.2 - D7Rat13 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.9 - D7Mit13 117.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Cebr77s1 128.2 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit3 128.2 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.4 - D7Rat2 166.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ntcsf2 164.7 - Prph <td< td=""><td>51.4</td><td>$\neg \Pi//$</td><td>– D7Rat103</td></td<>	51.4	$\neg \Pi//$	– D7Rat103
65.1 - D7Mit6 67.2 - D7Cebr77s3 72.2 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s11 75.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat111 98.2 - D7Rat112 98.7 - D7Rat133 112.0 - D7Mit5 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit13 119.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - D7Rat102 124.0 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit14 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Mit3 139.4 - D7Mit4 128.1 - D7Mit3 139.4 - D7Cebr74s1 150.8 - D7Cebr74s1 15	61.9	$\neg \vee \downarrow / / /$	– D7Rat25
67.2 - D7Cebr7753 72.2 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Cebr204s11 75.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat133 112.2 - D7Mit5 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 112.2 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 122.0 - D7Mit14 122.9 - D7Mit14 122.0 - D7Ret102 137.3 - D7Ret102 137.3 - D7Ret102 138.4 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Ret102 137.3 - D7Ret781 150.8 - D7Ret781 154.1 - D7Ret741 162.6 <td>65.1</td> <td>$\neg V \downarrow / /$</td> <td>– D7Mit6</td>	65.1	$\neg V \downarrow / /$	– D7Mit6
72.2 - D7Cebr204s12 73.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat110 98.2 - D7Rat19 108.7 - D7Rat19 108.7 - D7Rat19 112.2 - D7Rat133 112.2 - D7Mit3 116.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - Bzrp 117.9 - D7Mit13 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Rat2 166.6 - D7Rat2 166.7 - D7Rat2 166.8 - D7Cebr20551 164.7	67.2	$\neg \ //r$	– D7Cebr77s3
73.1	72.2		- D7Cebr204s12
75.1 - D7Rat110 79.6 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat13 112.2 - D7Mit3 117.9 - D7Mit1 117.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - D7Rat102 124.0 - D7Rat102 125.1 - D7Rat196 137.3 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Rat196 137.3 - D7Rat196 137.4 - D7Rat196 138.4 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Ret24s2 168.7 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 170.8 <td>73.1</td> <td></td> <td>- D7Cebr204s11</td>	73.1		- D7Cebr204s11
79.6 - D7Mit5 87.7 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat13 112.9 - D7Rat13 116.0 - D7Mit3 116.0 - D7Mit2 117.0 - Bzrp 117.9 - D7Mit13 119.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr7s1 126.1 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Cebr7s1 150.8 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Utr3 150.4 - D7Rat2 166.6 - D7Cebr4s1 162.6 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2	75.1		– D7Rat110
87.7 - D7Rat112 98.2 - D7Rat19 108.7 - D7Rat13 112.2 - D7Mit3 112.2 - D7Mit3 117.0 - Bzrp 117.9 - D7Mit13 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 128.2 - D7Rat192 137.3 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat196 138.4 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Rat196 137.3 - D7Rat196 138.1 - D7Wit3 150.8 - D7Cebr74s1 154.1 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ntcsf2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr69s5 170.	79.6		– D7Mit5
98.2 - D7Rat19 108.7 - D7Mit3 112.2 - D7Mit3 116.0 - D7Mit2 117.0 - D7Mit2 117.9 - D7Mit13 119.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 124.0 - D7Cebr7rs1 126.1 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 150.8 - D7Mit8 154.1 - D7Rat12 160.6 - D7Vtr3 150.8 - D7Cebr74s1 154.1 - D7Rat2 166.6 - D7Vtr11 162.6 - D7Vtr11 162.6 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr351 169.9 - D7Cebr955 176.8 - D7Cebr31 179.2 - D7Cebr131 179.2 - D7Cebr46s1	87.7	-\\\#///	– D7Rat112
108.7 - D7Rat133 112.2 - D7Mit3 116.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit3 117.0 - D7Mit13 117.9 - D7Mit13 119.9 - D7Mit14 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Mit8 139.4 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ntc512 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 D7Cebr69s5 D7Cebr69s5 170.8	98.2	-\\\\\\//r	– D7Rat19
112.2 D/Mit3 116.0 D7Mit2 117.0 Bzrp 117.0 D7Mit2 117.0 D7Mit3 117.9 D7Mit13 119.9 D7Mit14 120.9 Cyp11b1 122.0 D7Cebr7s1 126.1 D7Rat102 137.3 D7Rat196 139.4 D7Mit3 144.2 D7Mit8 144.2 D7Utr3 150.8 D7Utr3 150.8 D7Utr3 150.4 D7Rat196 166.6 D7Ntr11 162.6 D7Rat2 164.7 Prph 166.8 D7Cebr24s2 169.9 D7Cebr205s1 169.9 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Cebr69s5	108.7		– D7Rat133
116.0 D/Mit2 117.0 Barp 117.9 D/Mit13 119.9 D/Mit13 120.9 Cyp11b1 122.0 Cyp11b1 128.2 D/Rat102 137.3 D/Rat102 137.3 D/Rat102 137.3 D/Rat102 137.3 D/Rat102 137.4 D/Rat102 138.4 D/Tki10 144.2 D/Tki10 D7Kat4 D/Tkat4 156.6 D/Rat2 D7Rat2 D/Rat2 160.6 D/Rat2 166.8 D/Cebr2051 166.8 D/Cebr205s1 169.9 D/Cebr205s1 176.8 D/Cebr6s13 179.2 D/Cebr6s13 179.2 D/Cebr6s13	112.2		– D7Mit3
117.9 - - BZrp 117.9 - D7Mit13 D7Mit14 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Mit14 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr7rs1 126.1 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Cebr74s1 150.8 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr351 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5	116.0		– D7Mit2
117.9 - D/Mit13 119.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - D7Kat102 126.1 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit3 139.4 - D7Mit3 139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 150.8 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Rat4 156.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 170.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6s5	117.0		– Bzrp
119.9 - D/MITI4 120.9 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit3 144.2 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Rat4 156.6 - D7Rat4 168.6 - D7Ret2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr205s1 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 170.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5	117.9		- D7Mit13
120.0 - Cyp11b1 122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat102 37.3 - D7Mit8 134.4 - D7Mit8 144.7 - D7Mit8 144.7 - D7Mit10 158.8 - D7Cebr74s1 158.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Ucsf2 164.7 - Prph 162.8 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 D7Cebr6s5 D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s5 180.4 - D7Ucsf1 183.1 - D7Cebr6s5	119.9		- D7 MIT14
122.0 - Cyp11b2 124.0 - D7Cebr77s1 126.1 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Mit4 139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Nct2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 D7Cebr6s5 D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Cebr11 B3.1	120.9		- Cyp11b1
126.1 - D7Wit4 126.1 - D7Mit4 128.2 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Wit10 148.7 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Rat2 162.6 - D7Utr3 162.6 - D7Rat2 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 183.1 - D7Cebr46s1	122.0		- Cyp11b2
128.2 - D7Rat102 137.3 - D7Rat196 139.4 - D7Mit10 144.2 - D7Wit10 144.7 - D7Wit10 150.8 - D7Cebr74s1 154.1 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Rst2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr205s1 176.8 - D7Cebr613 179.2 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 183.1 - D7Cebr69s5	124.0		D7Cepr//si
120.2 D'Rat196 137.3 D'Rat196 139.4 D'Rat196 139.4 D'TMit8 144.7 D'TVit3 150.8 D'Cebr74s1 154.1 D'Cebr74s1 154.1 D'Rat4 158.6 D'Rat2 160.6 D'TVit11 162.6 D'TVebr24s2 164.7 Prph 166.8 D'TCebr24s2 168.9 D'TCebr6s5 176.8 D'TCebr6s5 180.4 D'TUcsf1 183.1 D'TCebr46s1	120.1		- D7 IVIII4 D7 Pot102
139.4 - D7Mit8 144.2 - D7Mit8 144.7 - D7Mit10 148.7 - D7Cebr74s1 150.8 - D7Rat4 156.8 - D7Rat4 156.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ncbr2cs2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 183.1 - D7Cebr46s1	120.2		D7Det106
133.7 D/Mito 144.2 D7Mit10 148.7 D7Utr3 150.8 D7Ceb74s1 154.1 D7Rat4 158.6 D7Rat4 160.6 D7Ntr11 162.6 D7Cebr24s2 164.7 Prph 166.8 D7Cebr24s2 169.9 D7Cebr20s1 169.8 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr69s5 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	130.0		D7Mit8
148.7 - D7Utr3 150.8 - D7Utr3 154.7 - D7Rat4 158.6 - D7Nat4 158.6 - D7Ntr11 160.6 - D7Utr3 164.7 - D7Ucsf2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6955 180.4 - D7Cebr6955 183.1 - D7Cebr46s1	1// 2	$\Pi \Pi / \Pi$	– D7 Mit10
150.8	1/18 7		- D71 ltr3
154.1 - D7Rat4 158.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Vcsf2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr46s1	150.8		– D7005 – D7Cebr74s1
158.6 - D7Rat2 160.6 - D7Ntr11 162.6 - D7Ucsf2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr6s13 176.8 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 183.1 - D7Cebr46s1	154.1		– D7Rat4
160.6 - - D7Ntr11 162.6 - - D7Ucsf2 164.7 - Prph 166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr69s5 180.4 - D7Cebr69s5 183.1 - D7Cebr46s1	158.6		- D7Rat2
162.6 - - D7Ucsf2 164.7 - - Prph 166.8 - - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr24s2 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6s13 180.4 - D7Cebr4s1 183.1 - D7Cebr4s1	160.6		– D7Ntr11
164.7 Prph 166.8 D7Cebr24s2 168.9 D7Cebr205s1 169.9 D7Cebr6s5 176.8 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Ucsf1 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	162.6		- D7Ucsf2
166.8 - D7Cebr24s2 168.9 - D7Cebr205s1 169.9 - D7Cebr6s5 176.8 - D7Cebr6s13 179.2 - D7Cebr6s5 180.4 - D7Cebr6s5 180.4 - D7Cebr6s5 183.1 - D7Cebr45s1	164 7		– Proh
168.9 D7Cebr205s1 169.9 D7Cebr6s5 176.8 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Ucsf1 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	166.8		– D7Cebr24s2
169.9 D7Cebr6s5 176.8 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr6955 180.4 D7Ucs11 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	168.9	\neg	- D7Cebr205s1
Tr6.8 D7Cebr6s13 179.2 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Ucsf1 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	169.9		- D7Cebr6s5
179.2 D7Cebr69s5 180.4 D7Ucsf1 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	176.8		- D7Cebr6s13
180.4 D7Ucsf1 183.1 D7Cebr46s1	179.2	$\neg \vee / \rangle$	- D7Cebr69s5
183.1 — 🔂 — D7Cebr46s1	180.4		- D7Ucsf1
	183.1	-++-	- D7Cebr46s1

RNO 7

RNO 8

0.0 4.5 10.7 27.4 39.3 64.9 27.4 79.5 80.5 71.5 80.5 72.4 79.5 85.7 93.8 82.5 85.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 95.7 9			D8Utr4 D8Utr3 D8Rat58 D8Rat56 D8Rat68 D8Mit6 D8Mgh9 D8Utr2 D8Cebr97s13 Es6 D8Cebr81s4 D8Cebr81s4 D8Cebr81s1 D8Mit3 Thy1 D8Rat150 D8Rat213 <i>Lx</i> D8Mit12 D8Rat213 <i>Lx</i> D8Mit15 D8Rat21 D8Cebr49s2 D8Cebr
128.4 136.5	1	1	Apeh D8Rat7
137.4	\rightarrow	\nvdash	Acaa
140.6	-	\	D8Cebr103s2

Figure 2-1 Linkage map of the rat autosomes derived from the HXB/BXH RI strains. (Continued.)

	RNO	9
0.0 0.9 1.8 3.8 4.7 5.6 16.1 29.5 32.7 79.3 80.3 80.3 80.3 80.4 91.0 99.5 100.4 117.6 120.7 122.8 123.7 124.7 122.7 123.7		D9Cebr16s3 D9Cebr25s1 D9Mit6 D9Cebr65s1 D9Cebr65s1 D9Cebr65s2 D9Rat88 D9Rat131 D9Rat138 D9Rat104 D9Rat104 D9Rat104 D9Rat10 D9Rat19 D9Utr2 D9Rat12 D9Rat12 D9Rat171 D9Utr3 Inha Alp1 D9Cebr204s1 D9Cebr16C27s2 D9Rat108 D9Cebr16C27s2 D9Rat108 D09Cet1
	0	

R	NO 10
0.0 —	
3.2 —	D10Utr3
5.1 -	D10Cebr27s2
0.3 -	D10Rai210
17.4	D10Rat121
21.9 —	- D10Rat45
26.5 -	D10Cebrp312s3
28.4 -	D10Mit5
38.2 -	D100(r5
46.4	– D10Mit4
47.4 -	/- D10Cebrp1016s2
48.6 –	// – D10Cebr4s7
49.5	D10Cebr4s9
55 1 _	- DTURALIO0
57.4	D10Cebrp97s5
62.2 —	Myh3
64.1	D10Rat59
65.1 -	Syb2
66.9	
71.4	D10Rat116
72.4 -//	- D10Rat102
75.5 –/	Abpa
76.4	D10Rat160
783	D10Rat80
81.4	D10Cebrp1016s5
83.4 –	- D10Rat28
84.3 –	D10Rat240
85.2 -	D10Ntr44S7
96.0	
96.9	
103.4 –//	D10Utr2
109.6 -/	\ D10Rat267
120.1 -/	- D10Rat228
134.4	D10Cebr39s1
136.4 -/	D10Cebr39s2
142.5 —	D10Rat226

RNO 11
D11Cebr77s6
- D11Rat28
- D11Cebr204s16
D11Cebr77s5
_// D11Mit4
-1/1 D11Utr1
_// D11Rat20
_// D11Rat16
_// D11Cebr87s1
_/// D11Mit2
_/// _// D11Cebr15s1
_// D11Mit1
_/
_/
- D11Rat94
- D11Rat47
Sst
D11Cebr105s1
— . − − D11Rat1

	RNO 12
0.0	D12Cebrp454s1
0.9	- D12Cebr4s3
2.9	- D12Cebrp97s4
4.8	-// D12Ntr2
5.8	_/ _ D12Rat40
10.3	_/
20.8	D12Rat10
27.0	D12Rat42
28.9	- D12Rat14
30.9	– Eln
31.8	D12Mit7
32.8	- Pai1
33.8	-//Mdh2
34.7	-/// Lsn2
35.6	_//Hsp27
38.8	$-/$ \square D12Mit1
43.3	_/D12Mit5
47.9	-/ D12Mit3
49.8	_/ D12Rat16
58.0	D12Rat36
61.1	-/ D12Cebr1s1
65.9	— [] — D12Cebr6s4

	RN	IO 13
0.0 14.4 15.3 16.3 17.3 18.3 20.2 22.2		D13Mit1 D13Rat113 D13Cebr9s3 Bcl2 D13Utr5 D13Utr5 D13Cebr9s2 D13Cebr9s2
24.2 27.3 28.2		D13Rat88
30.2 31.1 35.9		D13Cebr5s4 D13Cebr5s3 D13Cebr2s5
40.0 47.2 48.3		- D13Ni2 - D13Mit2 - D13Utr8 - D13Rat126
58.8 61.9 63.9		D13Rat131 D13Mit5 D13Mit3
64.8 69.8 73.1 75.3		D130tr7 Trneglr D13Rat152 D13Utr1
80.3		∖ Fh

RNO 14 0.0 3.3 D14Cebr85s1 D14Mit5 10.6 D14Mit1 14.0 14.9 D14Utr5 Alb 15.8 D14Rat77 24.0 D14Rat8 - D14Utr2

27.1	~	D14Utr2
30.3	\neg	D14Mit3
32.2		D14Mit8
34.3		D14Mit9
36.3	-//-	D14Cebr7s14
38.3		D14Rat64
41.7	-//_	D14Utr1
42.7	-//-	\
47.3		└- D14Rat94
57.8	-	D14Rat38

RNO 15

0.0	-f	D15Rat1
22.1		_ D15Mit3
29.4	\neg	/ D15Utr1
30.4		//_ D15Rat6
36.6		_ D15Utr2
47.0	$\neg \lor$	/ - D15Rat123
51.8	\neg	/_ D15Cebr7s13
53.9		_ D15Utr3
55.8		D15Rat68
62.0		D15Cebr204s39
62.9	-/-	- D15Rat21
69.4		Ednrb
78.0		- D15Rat101
91.4		D15Rat107

RNO 16			
0.0 3.2 4.1 5.2 6.3 8.3 9.2 12.4 16.9 67.1 72.4 72.0 4 22.5 35.9 64.9 67.1 72.4 78.0 82.6 93.1 99.2 107.4 108.3	D16Cebr204s13 Mbpa D16 D16Rat6 D16Utr1 D16Cebr10s10 D16Cebr10s10 D16Cebr10s10 D16Cebr10s10 D16Cebr10s10 D16Rat7 D16Rat67 D16Rat67 D16Rat66 D16Rat60 D16Rat60 D16Rat60 D16Rat53 D16Rat34 D16Rat14 D16Rat15 D16Cebr48s1		
RNO 17			
0.0 8.1 10.1	D17Rat11 D17Rat144 D17Cebrp203s2		

0.0 0.9 3.0 5.2 - D17Rat11 - D17Rat144 - D17Cebrp203s2 8.3 21. 36. 42. Prl D17Rat20 48. - D17Mit3 - D17Rat151 53. 61. 67. D17Mit6 D17Rat50 70.

RNO 18 0.0 - D18Mit7 – DTomit7 – Ttr – D18Rat112 – D18Cebrp97s6 – D18Rat29 8.8 10.7 _ 16.9 - D18Rat47 21.5 - D18Mit2 - D18Mit3

4.8

6.8

21.0		DIONNE
24.8	-/-	— D18Mit3
26.8		- D18Rat103
37.3	-	D18Rat99
40.4		D18Cebr19s1
43.6	_	Grl
49.8		— D18Cebrp60s11
54.3	\neg	_ D18Rat19
64.8	$\neg \uparrow$	_ D18Rat55
71.0	\neg	/Adrb2
75.5		//_ D18Utr3
80.5	-///	//_ D18Mit10
82.7		///_ D18Rat89
90.8		///_ D18Rat9
97.0		////_ D18Rat5
98.9		//_ D18Cebr51s2
99.9	_///	///_ D18Cebr51s1
100.8		////_ D18Cebrp187s6
101.7	_\\\\	//
102.7		D18Ucsf2
103.8		千 D18Utr2
		/

RNO 19 0.0 Hmox 2.0 4.1 7.4 10.7 – D19Utr3 – D19Utr4 – D19Utr5 D19Rat56 15.2 D19Cebrp97s10 19.8 22.0 – D19Mit2 ['] – D19Rat52 24.2 - Es8 25.1 Es3 31.6 D19Ucsf2 34.0 39.5 - Ednra - D19Utr6 43.3 D19Utr1 50.6 Es4 55.6 60.9 63.0 - Rt2 - D19Ucsf1 D19Cebr204s23 66.3 D19Cebr204s27 D19Rat48 68.3 82.7 87.5 - Tat 89.4 - D19Rat71 97.6 D19Rat64 103.7 D19Rat61 D19Rat5 114.9 116.9 - D19Cebrp150s1 117.9 - D19Rat1 **RNO 20**

$\frac{1}{2}$		D20Cebr32s3 Rt1a D20Utr3 D20Rat41 D20Mgh5 D20Rat4 D20Rat4 D20Rat4
1		DZURAT/5
6		D20Rat23
8		D20Rat9
4		D20Rat10
5	_	D20Rat55
7		D20Mit1
8	$-\overline{+}$	∫ D20Utr4
		/

Figure 2-1 Linkage map of the rat autosomes derived from the HXB/BXH RI strains. (Continued.)

14.6

17.3

24.1 36.1 44.6

53.2



Figure 2-2 Genome-wide interactions between loci. Heat map representation showing the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient for all pairwise combinations of marker SDPs. Strong correlations are expected principally along the diagonal, which is the line of identity. Several other regions in the matrix also show a high positive (red) or negative (blue) correlation between physically remote markers. Highly correlated regions on different chromosomes may reflect networks of functionally linked genes, whose co-segregation is positively (or negatively) selected for during the inbreeding process. Such domains of linkage disequilibrium, however, pose a potential problem for precisely localizing QTLs. Numbers outside the matrix refer to chromosomes.



Figure 2-3 Progenitor allele distribution among the HXB/BXH RI strains. This figure is based on merged genotypic data, containing 1047 non-redundant SNPs and microsatellites with known physical location. Red and blue rectangles denote genomic segments of SHR or BN.*Lx* origin, respectively. Each column represents the linearized genome of one RI strain. Horizontal lines separate chromosomes. Yellow denotes unknown genotype.



Figure 2-4 Pair-wise genetic similarity matrix of the HXB/BXH RI strains. The numbers in the matrix represent the percentage (%) of shared genome among RI strains. The average (\pm SD) allele sharing is 50.5% (\pm 6.1%). The progenitors are included in the bottom two rows. Colored background indicates pairs that share less (green) or more (brown) than the average, defined as mean \pm 1 SD. White background indicates an average sharing. Inset shows a histogram of genome sharing among RI strains.



Figure 2-5 Ability to detect QTLs as a function of RI panel size and QTL effect magnitude. V_{QTL} denotes the percentage of phenotypic variance attributable to the QTL, i.e. the effect magnitude. Power is set to 0.80. The genome-wide corrected significance level $\alpha = 0.05$ corresponds to nominal significance level $\alpha = 0.00005$ when using a map consisting of 1000 markers. If all due statistical stringency is applied, for a QTL to be detectable with enough confidence its V_{OTL} needs to be greater than 40%.

Chapter 3

Delineation of a regulatory genetic network controlling

catecholamine biosynthesis in the spontaneously

hypertensive rat

PREFACE

The material in this chapter was presented as a poster at the American Society of Human Genetics meeting in San Diego, CA, October 23-27, 2007, presented as an oral presentation at the Rat Genomics & Models meeting in Cold Spring Harbor, NY, December 6-9, 2007, and submitted as a scientific paper to Nature Genetics.

ABSTRACT

Understanding the regulation of genes involved in catecholamine metabolism is crucial for elucidating the pathogenesis of hereditary hypertension. To this end, integration of transcriptional and biochemical profiling, coupled with expression and physiological quantitative trait locus mapping (eQTL and pQTL) was pursued in the adrenal tissue of the HXB/BXH recombinant inbred (RI) rat strains, derived from spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR) and Brown Norway (BN.Lx) progenitors. We find simultaneous downregulation of the transcription of five genes in the catecholamine biosynthesis/storage/release pathway in the SHR and identify *cis*-acting eQTLs for *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Vamp1* whose gene products are key regulators of catecholamine biosynthesis and secretion. Enzyme activities of Dbh and Pnmt correlated with gene expression and are also regulated in *cis*. We also detected *trans*regulated expression of *Vmat1* and *Chga* (both involved in catecholamine storage) with co-localization of both of these *trans*-eOTLs to the *Pnmt* gene locus. *Pnmt* resequencing revealed several promoter polymorphisms, which result in a decreased response of the SHR *Pnmt* promoter to glucocorticoids compared to that of the normotensive BN.Lx strain. Of physiological significance, Dbh enzyme activity was

negatively correlated with systolic blood pressure and Pnmt enzyme activity with heart rate. The finding of *cis* regulation of several of the key genes and enzymes in catecholamine synthesis and secretion, in young rats, and the correlation of enzymatic activities with blood pressure and heart rate suggest that these heritable changes in gene expression delineate a regulatory genetic network for the regulation of blood pressure and heart rate in this widely studied model of essential hypertension.

INTRODUCTION

Essential hypertension is one of the leading causes of premature cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. In developed countries more than 20% of the adult population is clinically hypertensive⁷⁰. The complications of hypertension include stroke, myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure and end-stage renal disease. Hypertension is also associated with abdominal obesity, blood lipid disorders, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance, but the exact nature of the association between the two disorders remains unclear⁷¹. Within a decade, largely due to economic progress in the developing countries and the resulting lifestyle changes, hypertension is projected to become the most common risk factor for death and disability worldwide^{72,73}.

Essential hypertension is a paradigmatic complex multifactorial trait with many genetic and non-genetic (i.e., environmental) determinants. It has been estimated that genetic determinants contribute up to 40% of the blood pressure variation among individuals⁷⁴. The non-genetic factors include caloric and salt intake, alcohol consumption, physical activity, smoking, etc. The separation and characterization of each of these determinants is difficult, since the effects of any one of them may be

obscured by the effects of the others. Possible ways to overcome this difficulty include the study of *intermediate phenotypes*, which are biochemical or subclinical parameters likely to reflect early changes in the physiological pathways⁷⁵, and the study of *gene transcript abundance*, which may reveal perturbations at levels even closer to the DNA⁴⁵. A different approach involves the study of experimental hypertension in *animal models*, such inbred strains of rodents, especially the rat³⁵. There are several hypertensive inbred rat strains, each mimicking certain aspect of human essential hypertension, which can help separate individual subtypes and thus reduce the significant problem of heterogeneity of the phenotype in humans⁷⁶. Other advantages of rodent models include better control of the environmental factors (e.g., diet), possibility of invasive blood pressure measurements (e.g., telemetry) and unrestricted access to tissues for analyses, such as biochemical tests or gene expression profiling³⁴. The spontaneously hypertensive rat (SHR), in particular, has been extensively used for studying the genetic basis of hypertension⁷⁷.

The catecholaminergic system plays a key role in the regulation of blood pressure, especially on a short timescale⁷⁸, but sustained increase in sympathoadrenal activity can result in chronic elevation of systemic blood pressure⁷⁹. The sympathoadrenal system acts by release through exocytosis of transmitters - including catecholamines - from secretory vesicles of postganglionic sympathetic axons into the neuroeffector junctions or chromaffin cells into the bloodstream, where transmitters exert their effect on target cells, such as vascular smooth muscle, cardiomyocytes, and endothelial cells. The principal physiologically active catecholamines, dopamine

(DA), norepinephrine (NE) and epinephrine (EPI) are formed by hydroxylation, decarboxylation and methylation of the initial precursor amino acid tyrosine by tyrosine hydroxylase (Th), DOPA decarboxylase (Ddc), dopamine beta-hydroxylase (Dbh) and phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (Pnmt). Peripherally active catecholamines are produced mainly in the adrenal medulla and the postganglionic fibers of the sympathetic nervous system. Each of the three principal physiologically active catecholamines has a unique set of functions. DA is the immediate metabolic precursor to NE and EPI. NE is primarily a neurotransmitter of the peripheral sympathetic nervous system but is also found in the blood, mostly through escape from synapses of the sympathetic system⁸⁰. NE causes blood pressure elevation, predominantly through an increase in peripheral vascular resistance. EPI acts as a neurotransmitter in the central nervous system and a hormone in the blood circulation. In the periphery, EPI is secreted by the neuroendocrine chromaffin cells of the adrenal medulla. The majority (~90%) of chromaffin cells are EPI secreting. EPI plays a crucial role in the acute stress reaction (i.e., fight-or-flight response). When released into the bloodstream, epinephrine binds to both alpha and beta adrenergic receptors throughout the body and causes numerous effects, such as increase of heart rate, stroke volume and blood sugar, sweating, mydriasis, vasodilatation in skeletal muscle, and vasoconstriction in the skin and intestine. Thus, sympathetic ganglia along with the adrenal medulla are the source of the stress-elicited rise in circulating catecholamines. All three principal catecholamines are rapidly inactivated after release, in part by the

liver, which is rich in both catecholamine degrading enzymes, catechol-Omethyltransferase (COMT) and monoamine oxidase (MAO).

Excessive sympathoadrenal activity is implicated in the pathogenesis of both essential^{81,82} and acquired⁸³ hypertension, in humans^{84,85} and experimental animals⁸⁶, and adrenergic receptor antagonists are a mainstay of antihypertensive therapy^{87,88}. Increased sympathoneuronal activity was observed in normotensive humans with family history of hypertension⁸², as well as young spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHR)⁸⁹⁻⁹¹. The present study focuses specifically on the adrenal medulla as an integral part of the sympathoadrenal system, and its possible role in the pathogenesis of essential hypertension. Implicating evidence comes from studies showing that adrenal demedullation in young SHR results in a decrease in blood pressure in the adult⁹²⁻⁹⁴, but the exact mechanism by which this occurs is not well established. Understanding mechanisms that influence catecholamine biosynthesis, storage, secretion and degradation in the adrenal medulla of young SHRs may give clues as to which processes are dysregulated early in the development of hypertension, before full manifestation of the disease, and may shed light on factors that mediate disease susceptibility.

Previous studies focusing on catecholamine biosynthetic enzyme activities in the adrenal medulla of the SHR showed inconsistent results, possibly owing to the different normotensive controls used in the experiments. Th was in turn reported to be decreased^{90,95,96}, unchanged⁹⁷ and increased^{98,99} in young SHR. However, studies involving adult SHR report increased Th activity when compared to age and sex

48

matched controls^{95,100}. Dbh, Pnmt and Ddc activities were decreased in the young SHR^{95,101}, but unchanged in the adult SHR⁹⁵. Because of the apparent correlation of enzyme activities of Th, Dbh and Pnmt, some authors suggested that these genes may be co-regulated by a single locus¹⁰².

In order to characterize the effect of genetic variation on catecholamine physiology, we integrated gene expression profiling and biochemical phenotyping with linkage mapping. We used the HXB/BXH panel of recombinant inbred (RI) strains, derived from the SHR and the normotensive BN.Lx inbred progenitor strains⁵² (the derivation of the HXB/BXH RI strains is also described in Chapter 1, page 12), and used widely for genetic dissection of phenotypes segregating in this panel. Young (6 week old) males were used for all experiments to avoid confounding the results by the consequences of fully developed hypertension or by the effects of female sex hormones. We first quantified the variability across 29 RI strains and the two progenitor strains of transcript levels of chromaffin cell-expressed genes that are responsible for crucial aspects of catecholamine biology. We then collected biochemical phenotypes reflecting the protein products (i.e., enzymes) of these genes, as well as the intermediates synthesized by them. Subsequently, linkage mapping was performed for both sets of phenotypes (i.e., transcript levels and biochemical traits). Several quantitative trait loci (QTLs) were detected, which suggested a regulatory network of cis- and trans-acting loci. Positional candidates from QTL regions were resequenced in the progenitor strains to discover specific DNA sequence variants which were subsequently tested for their functional significance by transfection. Correlation

of key catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes with systolic blood pressure and heart rate was established.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Animals

HXB/BXH recombinant inbred (RI) strains were produced by inbreeding between F2 generation resulting from a sex-reciprocal cross of two highly inbred strains: BN.*Lx* (BN.*Lx*/Cub) and SHR (SHR/Ola)^{42,52} (see Chapter 1, page 12). In this study, 29 RI strains (HXB and BXH) at F_{60} were used. Animals were housed in an airconditioned animal facility and allowed free access to standard laboratory chow and water. All experiments were done in agreement with the Animal Protection Law of the Czech Republic (311/1997) and were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Physiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague. Males were killed by cervical dislocation at 6 weeks of age. At this age, hypertension is only incipient and therefore this increases the likelihood of separating the causative pathogenetic mechanism from the consequential effects of fully developed hypertension.

Tissues for gene expression profiling, biochemical profiling, and qRT-PCR validation

The animal material originated from two separate tissue harvests: 1) adrenal glands harvested for global gene expression profiling, and 2) adrenal glands harvested for biochemical phenotyping and RT-PCR verification of gene expression profiling data. Both sets consisted of tissue harvested from the same HXB/BXH RI strains, but
on two separate occasions, and thus from different individual members of the respective RI strains. The sets were similar in size: 29 RI strains, 2 progenitor strains, 4-6 individuals per strain.

Gene expression profiling

HXB/BXH RI strains were profiled for gene expression in adrenal tissue using the Affymetrix Gene Chip array RAE 230A (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA). The original experimental design is discussed in Hübner et al.³² and Petretto et al.¹⁰³. We used a total of 124 microarrays: four animals \times 31 (i.e., 29 RI strains + progenitor strains). cRNA was labelled and run on RAE 230A Affymetrix GeneChip arrays (number of transcripts 15,923). Gene expression summary values for Gene Chip data were computed using the Robust Multichip Average algorithm¹⁰⁴. The adrenal dataset has been submitted to ArrayExpress and an ArrayExpress identifier is pending.

Biochemical profiling

Adrenal glands were harvested, immediately frozen and stored at -80°C. From each pair of adrenal glands per harvested animal, one adrenal was used for biochemical phenotyping and the other was used to extract mRNA for RT-PCR validation of microarray data. Adrenal glands for biochemical phenotyping were homogenized in 1.9 ml of 10 mM MES buffer (pH=6.0) using a Tissuemizer (Tekmar, Cincinnati, OH). Frozen adrenals were placed into precooled (4°C) buffer, homogenized, spun at 13 000 G for 1 minute to clear debris, the supernatants divided into aliquots and placed immediately on dry ice to freeze. Aliquots were stored at -80°C. The following analyses were performed in aliquots of adrenal homogenates: Dbh spectrophotometric enzymatic activity assay¹⁰⁵, Pnmt enzymatic activity assay¹⁰⁶, radioenzymatic catecholamine assay based on O-methylation^{107,108}, corticosterone assay (competitive immunoassay, Assay Designs, Inc., Ann Arbor, MI, USA); in plasma, catecholamines (dopamine, norepinephrine, epinephrine) were measured by radioenzymatic assay based on O-methylation^{107,108}. Results were normalized to mg wet weight or mg protein.

Validation of microarray data by qRT-PCR

Total RNA was prepared from the freshly frozen adrenal glands of the progenitor strains (median yield: 55 µg/one adrenal gland). RNA was extracted by the RNAzol (guanidinium thiocyanate) method (TelTest, Friendswood, TX), followed by RNAse-free DNAseI (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) treatment (to eliminate residual genomic DNA). Integrity of the RNA was confirmed by the appearance of 28S and 18S rRNA bands on ethidium bromide-stained gels. Total RNA was quantitated using a Ribogreen Quantitation kit (Molecular Probes - Invitrogen). First strand cDNA was prepared from 1 µg of total RNA template by reverse transcription with the "SuperScript[™] first-strand synthesis system for RT-PCR", using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA), and random hexamer primers. Samples were randomized. RT-PCR was performed using real-time TaqMan technology with a Sequence Detection System, model 7700 (Perkin Elmer) and fluorescent plate reader, using the Amplifluor[™] universal detection system (Serologicals Corporation; Norcross, GA). Quantitative RT-PCR primers for Th, Ddc, Dbh and Pnmt were designed using Primer Express V.2.0 (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). For primer detail see Appendix 2. Normalization was performed by quantitating the endogenous 18S rRNA and transcript abundance expressed as fold modulation over 18s rRNA.

Microarray analysis and pathway annotation

Affymetrix RAE 230A GeneChip data were analyzed for the differentially expressed genes in the progenitor strains (SHR and BN.*Lx*) using parametric (*t*-test) and as well as non-parametric (Mann-Whitney U) tests. Pathways, in which the differentially expressed genes are involved, were identified using Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG, www.genome.jp/kegg) and annotated with Gene Map Annotator and Pathway Profiler (GenMAPP 2.1, www.genmapp.org)¹⁰⁹.

Blood pressure measurement

Arterial blood pressure and heart rate were measured using radiotelemetry in 12 week old unanesthetized, unrestrained males from the progenitor SHR and BN.*Lx* strains and from RI strains (N=6-8 males per strain). All rats were allowed to recover for at least 7 days after surgical implantation of radiotelemetry transducers (Data Sciences International, Inc) before the start of blood pressure recordings. Pulsatile pressures were recorded in 5-second bursts every 10 minutes throughout the day and night and 12- and 24-hour averages for systolic and diastolic arterial blood pressures were calculated for each rat for a one week period. The results from each rat in the same group were then averaged to obtain the group means.

Statistical analysis and heritability calculation

Phenotype data were checked for outliers using a method described by Grubbs¹¹⁰ and optimized for 3-7 observations, corresponding to the number of individual rats within each strain. Data from all ~150 samples were grouped according to strain resulting in 31 groups (29 RI strains + 2 progenitors). *T*-tests were performed to detect significant differences between the progenitor strains. Heritability (H^2) for gene expression profiles and biochemical phenotypes was calculated using a technique that has been designed for use in RI strains that corrects for the inbreeding incurred during the RI strain production¹¹¹. Because H^2 calculated in a traditional way (V_G/V_P) tends to be overestimated when compared to H^2 obtained from F2 population, to obtain an F2 comparable estimate, the H^2 was calculated as ($V_P - V_E$)/($V_P + V_E$). Pearson's product-moment correlation and Spearman's rank correlation coefficients were computed to assess the degree of correlation among phenotypes.

Normalized Ratio (NR)

NR is used here to compare biochemical phenotype means and gene expression profile means for the two progenitor strains, the SHR and the BN.*Lx*. It is a variant of the simple fold-change value, e.g., [SHR]/[BN.*Lx*], but it transforms the values between 0 and 1 into values between –INF(infinity) and –1 by inverting them and multiplying by negative one. It is calculated as follows: if [SHR] \geq [BN.*Lx*], then *NR* = [SHR]/[BN.*Lx*]; if [SHR] < [BN.*Lx*], then *NR* = –[BN.*Lx*]/[SHR]. The main advantage of *NR* is its symmetry about zero.

QTL analysis

Revised linkage map of the HXB/BXH RI set (Chapter 2) with new SNP genotypes⁵¹ was employed to perform genome-wide scans to detect OTLs for measured biochemical phenotypes using OTL Cartographer¹¹² and Map Manager QTX⁵³, and to detect expression QTLs using QTL Reaper¹¹³ software packages. Permutation analysis¹¹⁴ was carried out to assess the probabilistic significance of the linkages and to correct for multiple testing across genetic markers to obtain a genomewide corrected *P*-value. To estimate the size of the chromosomal segment that, with a 95% confidence, contains detected QTLs (95% CI), two complementary strategies were implemented: the 2-LOD support interval method¹¹⁵ and the bootstrap test¹¹⁶. The bootstrap test estimates a confidence interval by creating multiple bootstrap datasets by randomly choosing strains with replacement from the original RI set; each bootstrap dataset is then used for QTL mapping, and the location of the strongest QTL for each set is recorded. Here, 200 bootstrap datasets per phenotype were used. These locations are summarized in a histogram that shows the size of the region in which the QTL would be expected to be found.

Definition of cis- and trans-acting eQTLs

Cis-eQTLs were defined as eQTLs that map within 10 Mbp of the physical location of the probe set on the genomic sequence (20 Mbp total window size). Other eQTLs were defined as acting in *trans*. Physical locations of probe sets were downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser website http://genome.ucsc.edu>.

DNA extraction for re-sequencing

The progenitors of the HXB/BXH recombinant inbred strains, the Brown Norway rat (BN.*Lx*/Cub) and the Spontaneously Hypertensive Rat (SHR/Ola) were used. Liver was collected from one male per each strain at 6 weeks of age. Progenitor strain DNA was extracted from liver tissue using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and adhering to the manufacturer's protocol. To eliminate residual RNA, samples were treated with RNase A (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Polymorphism discovery at the *Pnmt* and *Dbh* genes in the SHR and BN.*Lx* strains

The progenitors of the HXB/BXH recombinant inbred strains, the Brown Norway rat (BN.*Lx*/Cub) and the Spontaneously Hypertensive Rat (SHR/Ola) were used. Liver was collected from one male per each strain at 6 weeks of age. Progenitor strain DNA was extracted from liver tissue using the DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) and adhering to the manufacturer's protocol. To eliminate residual RNA, samples were treated with RNase A (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Primer3¹¹⁷ web-based application was used to design PCR primers for amplification of ~800 bplong overlapping segments, spanning all exons, exon/intron borders, ~1.75 kbp of proximal promoter, and ~1.75 kbp of the 3' [downstream] sequence of the *Dbh* gene. However, in the case of *Pnmt*, the extent of re-sequencing was limited by the extent of known genomic sequence (gb: X75333.1; gi: 414186) in this locus, resulting in only ~1000 bp upstream and ~100 bp downstream segment re-sequenced. Primer sequences are listed in Appendix 3 for *Dbh* and Appendix 4 for *Pnmt*. Initial amplification to enhance the target sequences was carried out in 25µl PCR reactions containing 25 ng genomic DNA, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM Tris HCl, 200 µM dNTP, 0.5 U Amplitaq Gold DNA Polymerase (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and 50 pmol of each primer. PCR was performed by Peltier Tetrad Thermal Cycler (MJ Research, Watertown, MA). The Touchdown Profile program (MJ Research) was used, which begins at annealing temperatures of 66 °C and runs down to 50 °C at 1 °C/cycle for the first 16 PCR cycles, followed by a uniform three-step amplification profile (94 °C denaturing step for 30 s, 50 °C annealing step for 30 s, 72 °C extension step for 30 s) for another 24 cycles, finally holding at 10 °C. Enzymatic purification (Exo-SAP) was then pursued using Exonuclease I (Fermentas Inc., Ontario, Canada) and Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (Fermentas Inc., Ontario, Canada). 15µl of each PCR product was mixed with 0.225 μ l of Exonuclease I (20 U/ μ l), 1.2 μ l of SAP (1 U/ μ l) und 4.35 µl of water. The Exo-SAP program (MJ Research) was used, which runs at 37 °C for 30 min., followed by 15 min. at 85 °C. The cycle-sequencing reaction was performed following the Big Dye Terminator Version 3.1 (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) protocol on 2.5 µl of the template (Exo-SAP product), using either the forward or the reverse primer for the Big Dye amplification origin. The cyclesequencing reaction was subsequently performed with both primers to achieve better coverage. The cycle-sequencing reaction program begun at 96 °C for 1 min., followed by a uniform three-step amplification profile (96 °C denaturing step for 10 s, 50 °C annealing step for 5 s, 60 °C extension step for 4 min.) for another 29 cycles, holding at 4 °C. Finally, the product of the cycle-sequencing reaction was purified on soaked

Sephadex G50-50 beads (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), and placed in multiscreen filtration plates (Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). After spinning into clean plates, loading dye was added and samples were then loaded into a ABI PRISM 3100 capillary DNA Analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Pyrosequencing

Single nucleotide polymorphisms at *Dbh* T–550G and *Pnmt* T–529C were scored using pyrosequencing (Biotage, Charlottesville, VA, USA), performed according to described protocol¹¹⁸. Primers were designed using the PSQ Assay Design software (Biotage) and ordered from Sigma-Proligo (http://www.proligo.com). For primer sequences see Appendix 7. A PCR product from each sample was generated in a 10 µl reaction containing 1× AmpliTaq Gold Master Mix, 2 U of AmpliTaq Gold (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 250 µ M dNTPs, 0.25 µM forward primer, 0.25 µM reverse primer, and 5 µl of bisulphite-modified sample DNA. The amplifications were carried out at 95 °C for 10 min, followed by a sixcycle touchdown PCR protocol of 95 °C for 1 min, 63 °C for 1 min with -1 °C for each cycle to 58 °C, and 72 °C for 1 min. This was followed by 44 cycles at 95 °C for 1 min, 60 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 1 min, and a 10 min extension at 72 °C. Singlestranded DNA of 10 µl of each PCR sample was generated following the PSQ 96 sample preparation guide using a vacuum filtration sample device following the manufacturer's instructions (Biotage). The single-stranded product was annealed to 0.4 µM of the sequencing primer placed at 85 °C for 2 min and cooled to room

temperature for 5 min. Pyrosequencing was performed on a PSQ96 HS 96A system (Biotage) with the Pyro Gold Reagent kit (Biotage) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Sequence analysis

Output data from the ABI PRISM 3100 DNA Analyzer were read by the BioEdit sequence alignment editor (Ibis Therapeutics, Carlsbad, CA, USA). SHR and BN.*Lx* sequences were aligned and between-strain polymorphisms identified. MacVector (MacVector, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) was used to analyze the sequence for differences in transcription factor binding sites resulting from such polymorphisms.

Candidate gene promoter/reporter transfection assay

Construction of the promoter/reporter plasmids: Primer3¹¹⁷ <http://frodo.wi.mit.edu/cgi-bin/primer3/primer3_www.cgi> was used to design PCR primers to amplify a ~1.1 kb fragment of *Dbh* proximal promoter, or a ~1 kb fragment of the *Pnmt* proximal promoter from genomic DNA of the SHR and BN.*Lx*. Computational sequence analysis of the *Dbh* and *Pnmt* promoters revealed the absence of SacI and XhoI restriction enzyme sites. Therefore, a SacI (5'-GAGCTC-3') or XhoI (5'-CTCGAG-3') restriction enzyme site was inserted in the 5'-end of the forward and reverse PCR primers to allow cloning. The resulting primer sequences are detailed in Appendix 8. The *Dbh* or *Pnmt* promoters were PCR amplified from SHR and BN.*Lx* genomic DNA and subsequently digested with SacI and XhoI. T4 DNA ligase (Invitrogen) was used to insert the promoter fragments between the SacI and XhoI sites in the polylinker region of the firefly luciferase reporter vector, pGL3-Basic (Promega, Madison, WI), which lacks eukaryotic promoter and enhancer sequences, and contains the cDNA for firefly luciferase. Creation of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) variants of the Pnmt promoter/luciferase reporter constructs was accomplished using the QuickChange Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Correct insertion of the promoters was confirmed by DNA sequencing. Plasmid DNA for transfection was prepared and purified using the QIAfilter Plasmid Midi Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Transfection of the promoter/reporter constructs: Rat PC12 pheochromocytoma cells [grown in DMEM high glucose (Invitrogen) with 5% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gemini Bioproducts, Woodland, CA), 10% heat-inactivated horse serum (Gemini Bioproducts), penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 µg/ml), and Lglutamine (0.292 mg/ml)] were transfected (at 50-60% confluence, 1 day after splitting 1:4) with *Dbh* or *Pnmt* promoter reporter plasmid DNA [1 µg supercoiled DNA per well; 12-well polystyrene plates (coated with poly-L-lysine; Sigma), 2.2-cm diameter wells, Corning Inc., Corning, NY] using the liposome method (Superfect; Qiagen). Cells were incubated with or without dexamethasone (different concentrations: 1nM, 10nM, or 100nM) (Calbiochem), 100 nM pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating peptide (PACAP) (ovine; Calbiochem), or 1 mM nicotine (Sigma). Cells were lysed 16 hours after transfection with lysis buffer (300 µL per well) [0.1 M phosphate buffer (K2HPO4 + KH2PO4) (pH 7.8), 1 mM DTT, and 0.1% Triton-X 100]. Luciferase reporter activity assay: The bioluminescent activity of luciferase in 80 μ L of transfected cell lysates was determined using the AutoLumat LB 953 luminometer (EG&G Berthold, Nashua, NH) to measure light emission (incubation time = 0 seconds, measure time = 10 seconds, temperature = 25°C) after addition of assay buffer [100 μ l per sample; 100 mM Tris-acetate (pH 7.8), 10 mM Mg-acetate, 1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0), 3 mM ATP, and 100 μ M luciferin (Sigma-Aldrich)]. As a control for varying cell number within individual wells, the total protein content was measured in the cell lysate using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (coomassie blue dye absorbance shift; based on the Bradford method) (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Luciferase activity in the cell lysate is expressed as the normalized ratio of (luciferase activity)/(total protein content) or (RLU/ μ g protein).

Pnmt alternative splicing assay

Primer3¹¹⁷ application was used to design forward (5'-CCTCAACAGGAGCATGGAC-3') and reverse (5'-GCTGGGGACTGTTACTTTATTAGG-3') PCR primers that target the first and last exons of the *Pnmt* gene, and amplify a 937-bp fragment of full-length Pnmt mRNA. PCR was performed using 10ng of adrenal, first-strand cDNA (SHR or BN.lx), 1.5 mM Mg2+, 0.2 μM forward primer, 0.2 μM reverse primer, 0.2 mM dNTPs, and 2.5 U HotStarTaq (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). PCR amplicons were separated by electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel and visualized with ethidium bromide.

RESULTS

Gene expression differences in hypertension candidate genes

A total of 211 adrenal gene expression profiles of hypertension candidate genes from Affymetrix RAE230A were examined for heritable differences in transcript levels in the RI strains (see Figure 3–1). Candidate genes were grouped according to their function and/or pathway to which they belong. For each expressed gene, heritability (H^2) , normalized ratio (NR) and t-test were calculated. 125 hypertension candidate genes were found expressed in the adrenal dataset, with 64 of those exhibiting heritable gene expression with $H^2 \ge 20\%$. Catecholaminergic genes stood out as the most represented group with 13 out of the 64 heritable transcripts (see Figure 3–2). Some of them exhibited significant progenitor differences (6 genes), and those were uniformly in the direction of underexpression in the SHR. Gene expression levels for all four catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes - Th, Ddc, Dbh and Pnmt were highly heritable ($H^2 \ge 60\%$ for *Ddc*, *Dbh* and *Pnmt*, $H^2 \sim 50\%$ for *Th*) and, with the exception of *Pnmt*, also significantly underexpressed in the SHR. Other significantly SHR-underexpressed genes with heritable transcripts included vesicleassociated membrane protein 1 (Vamp1), neuropeptide Y (Npv) and catechol-Omethyltransferase (*Comt*). Gene transcript levels for catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes (*Th*, *Ddc*, *Dbh*, *Pnmt*) and granins (*Chga*, *Chgb*, *Scg2*) from the microarray data were validated by quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) in tissues from a separate harvesting of age and sex- matched progenitors (see Figure 3–3). Significant differences were confirmed for *Th*, *Ddc* and

Dbh gene expression, with all three genes showing underexpression in SHR compared to BN.*Lx*.

Enzymatic activity of Dbh and Pnmt in adrenal medulla

To explore whether differences in mRNA levels across the RI panel translate into differences in enzyme activities, Dbh and Pnmt were assayed in adrenal gland homogenate (Figure 3–4). Results are summarized in Table 3–1. Compared to the BN.*Lx*, the activity of both enzymes was significantly decreased in the SHR. Dbh exhibited nearly a two-fold decrease (NR = -1.8, P = 0.003), with $H^2 = 46\%$ in the RI strains. Pnmt enzyme activity showed a smaller (NR = -1.3) but significant (P = 0.001) decrease in the SHR, with $H^2 = 34\%$.

Adrenal catecholamine content

Dbh and Pnmt are involved in the two final steps of catecholamine biosynthesis in the chromaffin cell. In order to investigate the effect of Dbh and Pnmt activity changes on catecholamine concentrations, their respective substrates and products, i.e. dopamine (DA), norepinephrine (NE) and epinephrine (EPI), were measured in adrenal tissue of the RI strains and their progenitors (Figure 3–5). Results are summarized in Table 3–1. SHR DA content was significantly increased (NR = 1.4, P = 0.048), whereas NE was significantly decreased (NR = -1.4, P = 0.002), when compared to the BN.*Lx*. EPI content did not show differences between the parental strains. Adrenal DA demonstrates much higher heritability ($H^2 = 63\%$) then NE, or EPI ($H^2 = 35\%$ and $H^2 = 36\%$, respectively). Corticosterone is known to stimulate the gene expression of Pnmt, and to a lesser degree Dbh as well. Adrenal tissue corticosterone content was significantly different between the progenitors, with NR = 1.9 and P = 0.001. However, the H^2 of adrenal corticosterone content in the RI strains was only 13%.

Correlations among biochemical, physiological and gene expression phenotypes

To examine the extent to which biochemical phenotypes, transcript levels and cardiovascular physiological phenotypes are codetermined in the RI panel, Spearman's rank correlations (ρ) were performed. The results can be found in Table 3–1. Adrenal DA, NE and EPI were significantly correlated across the RI panel. Adrenal DA content was negatively correlated ($\rho = -0.408$, P = 0.031) with adrenal Dbh activity. Adrenal EPI correlated positively with adrenal Pnmt ($\rho = 0.429, P = 0.023$). *Dbh* transcript abundance (probeset ID 1370564 at) was significantly positively $(\rho = 0.570, P = 0.002)$ correlated with the adrenal Dbh enzyme activity. There was also a significant negative ($\rho = -0.551$, P = 0.002) correlation between *Dbh* transcript abundance and adrenal tissue DA concentration. *Pnmt* transcript abundance (probeset ID 1371054 at) correlated positively ($\rho = 0.436$, P = 0.02) with adrenal Pnmt enzyme activity. Cardiovascular phenotypes were measured in the RI strains at age 12 weeks using telemetry. Dbh enzymatic activity was negatively correlated with systolic blood pressure ($\rho = -0.476$, P = 0.01). Pnmt enzyme activity was negatively correlated with heart rate ($\rho = -0.383$, P = 0.044), as was adrenal EPI ($\rho = -0.550$, P = 0.002) and DA $(\rho = -0.382, P = 0.045).$

Mapping QTLs for chromaffin cell-expressed genes

Gene transcripts (obtained from microarrays) of chromaffin cell-expressed genes with heritable transcript level variation were treated as quantitative traits and subjected to expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping. The results are summarized in Table 3–3. There were a total of six significant (including marginally significant) eQTLs (bolded *P*-values in Table 3–3). Uniformly for each of these QTLs, the SHR allele at the eQTL peak locus was associated with a decrease in transcript level. *Cis-* and *trans*-eQTLs were determined by examining the relative position of a gene and its associated eQTL. *Cis*-eQTLs were mapped for *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Vamp1*, whereas *trans*-eQTLs were detected for *Ddc*, *Vmat1 Chga* transcripts.

The *Vamp1 cis*-eQTL mapped to chromosome 4 at 152-173 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 3.50 and P = 0.072, explaining ~ 43% of the transcript abundance variability (see Figure 3–6). *Ddc trans*-eQTL mapped to chromosome 14 at 30-68 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 3.43 and P = 0.040, explaining 42% of the transcript abundance variability.

Results of integrative analysis of transcript abundance and biochemical parameters for *Dbh* and *Pnmt* are described in the following two subheadings. *Vmat1* and *Chga trans*-eQTLs co-localized with *Pnmt cis*-eQTL and are described together with *Pnmt*.

QTLs for adrenal *Dbh* transcript, Dbh activity and DA concentration cluster on RNO 3p12

A *Dbh cis*-eQTL mapped to chromosome 3 at 1-14 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 5.58 and P = 0.0061, explaining 60% of the *Dbh* transcript variability (see Figure 3–7A, red curve). Dbh enzyme activity in adrenal tissue mapped to chromosome 3 at 1-12 Mbp (95% CI), LOD = 4.73 and P = 0.0031 (see Figure 3–7A, blue curve). The QTL explains 54% of the variability in Dbh enzyme activity (see Figure 3–7B, blue curve). The SHR allele at the peak locus was associated with a decrease in phenotypic value for *Dbh* transcript as well as Dbh enzyme activity. Adrenal DA concentration mapped to chromosome 3 at 2-14 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 4.26 and P = 0.0354 (see Figure 3–7A, green curve). The QTL explains 50% of adrenal DA concentration variability and the SHR allele at the peak locus was associated with an increase in adrenal DA (see Figure 3–7B, green curve). It is important to note that the QTL confidence intervals for adrenal DA, Dbh activity, and *Dbh* transcript are nearly identical, and contain the *Dbh* gene (see Figure 3–7A+C).

Genetical genomics analysis of adrenal dopamine concentration

To follow-up on the adrenal DA QTL and search, in an unbiased manner, for a positional candidate gene that could explain the observed inter-strain differences, the concept of genetical genomics⁴⁵ was applied, seeking functionally-related *cis*-eQTL genes within the confidence interval for the adrenal DA QTL. Because the 95% CI for adrenal DA QTL covers the majority of the short arm of chromosome 3 (RNO 3p), all genes physically located on RNO 3p were considered in this analysis to prevent a type

II error owing to distortion in the genetic map due to positive crossover interference around centromeres¹¹⁹. Affymetrix RAE 230A microarray contains probes for 233 genes mapping to RNO 3p. Of those, 149 showed detectable expression in at least one RI strain. The remaining 84 genes were considered not expressed in the adrenal tissue of the RI strains and disregarded for further analysis. The transcript levels of the 149 expressed genes were then treated as quantitative traits and eQTLs for those transcripts were mapped. A total of 13 transcripts exhibited significant *cis*-eQTLs (Table 3–4), thus qualifying as potential positional candidate genes. These 13 candidate genes were then examined for functional relationships with catecholamine metabolism using publicly accessible databases PubMed <www.pubmed.gov> and Kegg Pathway <www.genome.jp/kegg/ pathway.html>. Emerging from this analysis, the most parsimonious candidate, which could directly explain the observed interstrain differences in adrenal dopamine concentration, was Dbh, for which dopamine is the natural substrate. This is further supported by the significant negative correlation between *Dbh* transcript abundance and DA concentration (see Table 3–2).

Regulation of *Pnmt*, *Chga* and *Vmat1* from the *Pnmt* locus on chromosome 10q31

The *Pnmt cis*-eQTL is located on chromosome 10 at 81-101 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 2.95, P = 0.049, and explains 36% of the *Pnmt* transcript variability (see Figure 3–8A, red curve). Pnmt enzyme activity also demonstrated linkage to chromosome 10 at 81-102 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 3.97 and P = 0.003 (see Figure 3–8A, blue curve). The QTL explains 48% of Pnmt enzyme activity variability. For both the *Pnmt* transcript abundance and the Pnmt enzyme

activity, the SHR allele at the peak locus was associated with a decrease in trait value. Because of the bimodal distribution of the LOD scores (most likely due to linkage disequilibrium within RNO 10), bootstrap tests were also performed. The results suggested that the evidence for linkage was most pronounced in the more telomeric peak, which we therefore regarded as the most likely position for the QTL. The 95% CI for these LOD peaks contain the *Pnmt* promoter SNP T–529C (see Figure 3–8A+B).

Both *Vmat1* and *Chga* expression profiles mapped to the *Pnmt* region (see Figure 3–8A). *Vmat1 trans*-eQTL mapped to chromosome 10 at 82-101 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 3.33, P = 0.041, and with 43% of transcript variability attributable to the eQTL. *Chga trans*-eQTL mapped to chromosome 10 at 80-104 Mbp (95% CI), with a peak LOD = 3.50 and P = 0.093, explaining 35% of the transcript abundance variability. Importantly, this confidence interval contains the *Pnmt* gene, marked by the promoter SNP T–529C, and is nearly identical with the confidence intervals for *Pnmt*, *Vmat1* and *Chga* eQTLs reported above. The SHR allele at the peak locus was associated with a decrease in transcript levels for both *Vmat1* and *Chga*.

Polymorphism discovery in the *Dbh* and the *Pnmt* genes

Systematic discovery of sequence polymorphism in *Dbh* and *Pnmt* between SHR and BN.*Lx* strains by re-sequencing yielded several single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and one insertion/deletion (in/del). The results are described below. Numbers refer to the bp distance from the CAP site; nucleotide change is given as BN. $Lx \rightarrow$ SHR.

Re-sequencing in the *Dbh* gene (see Figure 3–9) revealed 8 variants, all of them SNPs: 3 in the promoter region (T–892G, T–885C and T–551G), 4 in the intronic regions (G2354A, T2513G, G4258T and A15184G), and 1 located downstream of the 3'UTR (G18530A). For the full *Dbh* sequence see Appendix 5.

Re-sequencing in the *Pnmt* gene (see Figure 3–10) showed 7 variants: 4 SNPs (T–529C, T–404C, C–396T and C–351T) and 1 in/del (–457 A/-) in the promoter region, 1 SNP in exon 1 (A209G, synonymous at codon 63, Ala63Ala), and 1 SNP in exon 3 (T1475C, coding for a non-synonymous amino acid change Val285Ala). For the full *Pnmt* sequence see Appendix 6.

All non-coding SNPs were located outside the highly conserved regions across species <http://genome.ucsc.edu>. The non-synonymous coding SNP T1475C identified in *Pnmt*, exon 3, alters the enzyme's last amino acid from valine to alanine. However, this substitution is outside the catalytic domains and is thus likely to be neutral¹²⁰.

In-vitro studies on *Dbh* and *Pnmt* promoter polymorphism function

Bioluminescent activity of luciferase was measured in rat PC12 pheochromocytoma cells transfected with *Dbh* or *Pnmt* promoter/ luciferase reporter plasmids, with or without stimulation by secretagogues. For each gene, two variants, which differed in the SNPs identified between SHR and BN.*Lx*, were tested and compared. *Dbh* promoter SNPs did not cause significantly different expression of the luciferase reporter under several conditions (see Figure 3–11). *Pnmt* promoter SNPs, however, demonstrated a significant functional effect evident after stimulation with dexamethasone, resulting in a lesser increase of luciferase expression in the cells carrying the SHR promoter variant (see Figure 3–12A), congruent with the directional changes of the Pnmt biochemical phenotype. This was replicated in a separate experiment, proving a dose-dependent effect (see Figure 3–12B).

Analysis of QTLs previously mapped to genomic regions supporting the *Dbh* and *Pnmt* QTLs

To explore the extent to which cardiovascular phenotypes studied by others are linked to chromosomal regions delimited by the 95% confidence intervals for the *Dbh* and *Pnmt* QTLs reported here, the Rat Genome Database http://rgd.mcw.edu was searched. The results of this analysis are summarized in Table 3–5 for Dbh and in Table 3–6 for Pnmt.

In the *Dbh* region, a total of 17 QTLs overlap wholly, or in part, with the interval spanning 1-14 Mbp, which corresponds to the conflated 95% CIs for the three QTLs on chromosome 3 (Figure 3–7D). There are 14 cardiovascular QTLs (8 blood pressure, 4 cardiac mass, 1 heart rate, 1 for aerobic running capacity), 2 for alcohol consumption and 1 for body weight. QTL symbols, progenitor strains of the populations in which QTLs were mapped, genomic positions, LOD scores and/or *P*-values are detailed in Table 3–5.

In the *Pnmt* region, a total of 27 QTLs overlap wholly, or in part, with the interval 81 - 104 Mbp corresponding to the conflated 95% CIs for the four QTLs on

chromosome 10 (Figure 3–8C). There are 20 blood pressure QTLs, 4 cardiac mass QTLs, 2 stress response QTLs and 1 heart rate QTL. QTL symbols, progenitor strains of the mapping populations, genomic positions, LOD scores and/or *P*-values are detailed in Table 3–6.

Pnmt alternative splicing

Finding no difference in Pnmt expression levels combined with significant difference in Pnmt enzyme activity between SHR and BN.lx led us to testing the possibility of alternative splicing of the Pnmt pre-mRNA. However, no difference in length of Pnmt cDNA fragments was found by gel separation.

DISCUSSION

Overview

We have taken an integrative approach to the hereditary basis of complex traits, utilizing both gene expression and protein-level phenotypes to identify sequence variations that influence catecholamine biosynthesis and storage. Our study focused on heritable gene expression and biochemical traits of the sympathoadrenal system that may shed light on the genetic basis of cardiovascular pathology observed in the SHR. We utilized the HXB/BXH RI population to follow the segregation of these traits among strains of varying genetic makeup. The genetic determinants of regulatory networks in the chromaffin cell of the adrenal medulla were examined by linkage analysis. Through integration of gene expression profiling, biochemical phenotyping and quantitative trait locus mapping, *cis*-acting regulatory mechanisms were identified for the *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Vamp1* genes (see Figure 3–2). Analysis of tissue catecholamine concentrations and enzyme activities corroborated these findings for *Dbh* and *Pnmt* and provided a more complete picture of relevant regulatory networks and the interplay between various genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis. Genetic variants were discovered in *Dbh* and *Pnmt*, which were subsequently tested *in vitro* for functional effects. The *Pnmt* locus also appeared to *trans*-regulate two additional genes, *Chga* and *Vmat1*, both being functionally linked with *Pnmt*.

Vamp1 is a small integral membrane protein of secretory granules that plays a key role in membrane fusion and exocytosis¹²¹. The *cis*-mediated decrease in *Vamp1* expression described here is likely to result in changes in catecholamine secretion rate. To establish such effect, precise measurement of blood catecholamines would be required. In this study, we did not pursue this line of research. However, it is important to note that a decrease in *Vamp1* transcript was associated with the SHR allele – a common observation in other findings reported here.

Dbh regulation and dopamine concentration in the adrenal gland

Dbh transcript levels positively correlated with Dbh tissue activity (Table 3–2), suggesting that the source of the observed biochemical trait variation lies with differences in gene expression/mRNA stability, rather than enzyme structure. QTL analysis of both gene expression and biochemical data yielded highly significant, overlapping QTLs centered on the *Dbh* gene on chr 3 at 6 Mbp, implying that the differential gene expression is regulated in *cis* (see Figure 3–7). The SHR allele was associated with a lower value for both of these traits, accompanied by an increase in

DA, which is a substrate for Dbh. Viewed from a pathway perspective, increased DA can result either from an increase in quantity or turnover of the upstream enzymes (Th, Ddc), or from a decrease in quantity or turnover of the downstream enzymes (Dbh, Pnmt). Because DA concentration was negatively correlated with Dbh tissue activity, as well as with *Dbh* mRNA levels, the most parsimonious explanation of these findings is that decreased *Dbh* gene expression leads to decreased enzyme level, which in turn results in DA accumulation in the adrenal tissue of the SHR. This is further supported by a QTL for DA tissue concentration mapping to precisely the same region as the Dbh QTLs, but with an opposite allelic association. In addition, a search for dopamine metabolism-related *cis*-eQTL genes was performed within the dopamine QTL region, taking advantage of the adrenal gene expression profiling data (Table 3– 4). This search yielded only one plausible candidate -Dbh. Furthermore, in the adrenals of the SHR the Dbh transcript abundance shows the lowest levels (by RT-PCR) of all catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes – about half the value for Th that is traditionally considered the rate-limiting step in catecholamine biosynthesis. In contrast, the *Dbh* and *Th* levels are comparable in BN.*Lx* (see Figure 3–3).

We therefore propose that lower Dbh in the young SHR presents a "bottleneck" in catecholamine biosynthesis, leading to DA accumulation (and catecholamine depletion) in adrenergic cells, which then contributes to the pathogenesis of hypertension. Consistent with this notion, adrenal Dbh activity and SBP correlate negatively in the RI strains. Even though re-sequencing (see Figure 3–9) and subsequent functional *in vitro* testing of discovered *Dbh* SNPs did not lead to

73

identification of a causative sequence variant (see Figure 3–11), the evidence for *Dbh* region involvement in the adrenal tissue Dbh enzyme activity, and the resulting DA concentration changes, is strong. In addition, the *Dbh* region is enriched in cardiovascular QTLs mapped in various crosses by multiple groups (see Figure 3–7D and Table 3–5), suggesting the presence of an as-yet-to-be-identified important cardiovascular regulatory variant in this immediate region.

The underlying functional mechanism of a strong *cis*-eQTL is likely to operate in all tissues where the gene is expressed¹⁰³. This viewpoint is supported by the findings by others of low Dbh activity in young SHR not only in adrenal glands, but also in heart ventricle and spleen¹²², as well as in the brain¹²³. Low Dbh activity seems to lead to increased DA, accompanied by decreased NE. Increased brain DA was indeed described in young SHR¹²⁴. Dopamine systems in the brain are known to be involved in central blood pressure control and in integrating limbic information with cardiovascular homeostasis¹²⁵. Stimulation of the region of origin of the mesolimbic dopamine system in the brain, the ventral tegmental area, causes a long-lasting increase in blood pressure¹²⁶. Therefore, an increase in central DA might lead to the development of hypertension. However, the other consequence of low central Dbh activity, i.e. the decrease in NE, can by itself cause a rise in blood pressure. NE has an inhibitory effect on blood pressure elevation in the nucleus tractus solitarii¹²⁷; thus a decrease in NE may be involved in the development and progression of hypertension in the SHR. Decreased noradrenergic activity of sympathoinhibitory neurons in the

anterior hypothalamus may also contribute to exacerbations of hypertension that occur in SHR¹²⁸.

Borderline hypertensive humans, in concordance with our rat data, appear to be characterized by increased DA coupled with Dbh suppression^{129,130}. Thus, genetically determined variation in Dbh activity, ultimately influenced by a promoter (enhancer) variant, may affect the DA/NE ratio in various tissues, including the basal ganglia, where such changes may have effects on central blood pressure regulation. Indeed, naturally occurring genetic variation at the human *DBH* locus can have profound effects upon blood pressure¹³¹.

Regulation of Pnmt activity and gene expression: Chga and Vmat1 co-regulation

The neurotransmitter and neurohormone EPI is a physiologically active neuroregulator that assumes an important role in the stress response and is a major factor in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular and neuropsychiatric illnesses¹³². The mechanisms by which Pnmt, the biosynthetic enzyme of EPI, is genetically regulated have been of interest in an effort to better understand the pathogenesis of these disorders. The general *Pnmt* region on RNO 10 harbors many cardiovascular QTLs implying the involvement of the region in blood pressure control (see Figure 3–8C). In addition, significant difference in allelic frequencies of *PNMT* promoter SNPs were described between hypertensive and normotensive individuals, suggesting that genetic variation at the *PNMT* locus may play a role in the development of human essential hypertension^{133,134}.

Pnmt was previously examined as a hypertension candidate gene in the rat¹³⁵ but no polymorphisms were discovered between SHRSP and WKY in the *Pnmt* coding region, or the 5'- and the 3'-flanking regions. In the present study, we searched for polymorphisms between SHR and BN.Lx strains on the grounds of the observed differences in gene expression levels and enzyme activity, which mapped in *cis* (see Figure 3–8), thus implying a causative variant in (or close to) the *Pnmt* genic region. Positive correlation of *Pnmt* gene expression levels with Pnmt enzyme activity, the discovery of SNP polymorphisms in the promoter, and the lack of functionally significant polymorphism in the coding regions is consistent with changes of quantity, rather than quality of the enzyme and point in towards differences in transcriptional regulation. This is further supported by the discovery that promoter SNPs caused differential gene expression in response to glucocorticoid stimulation in vitro (see Figure 3–12). Glucocorticoids are the main regulators of *Pnmt* expression in vivo¹³⁶. The intra-adrenal portal vascular system provides the medulla with uniquely high concentrations of glucocorticoids, which are needed to induce *Pnmt* expression resulting in EPI synthesis¹³⁷. Despite the significant differences in Pnmt activity between the parental strains, we did not detect difference in the *Pnmt* transcript levels between the two parental strains in this study. However, there are clear *cis*-acting *Pnmt* transcript level-influencing allelic effects that segregate in the RI strains, as demonstrated by the significant *cis*-eQTL. Variation in the Pnmt locus is therefore likely to be functionally significant for determination of both, *Pnmt* transcription and Pnmt enzymatic activity in the SHR.

The mechanism by which a decrease in Pnmt contributes to hypertension is elucidated by EPI-deficient, Pnmt knock-out mice¹³⁸, which become hypertensive under stress, possibly due to decreased central activity of vasodepressor adrenergic neurons of the brainstem, coupled with diminished peripheral (EPI-mediated) vasodilatation. In the present study, we did not find significant correlation between Pnmt activity and blood pressure; however, adrenal Pnmt and its product EPI correlated negatively with heart rate.

An integrative finding of our study is mapping of *trans*-eQTLs for *Chga* and *Vmat1* to the *Pnmt* region. *Chga* is crucial for the formation of secretory granules, within which it stabilizes catecholamines in a storage complex¹³⁹. *Vmat1* function¹⁴⁰ involves transporting DA into the secretory granule for hydroxylation by Dbh to form NE, which is then transported by Vmat1 out of the granule for methylation by Pnmt to form EPI, which is finally transported - again by Vmat1 - into the granule for storage, where it is stabilized by Chga (see Figure 3–2). Chga also participates in autonomic control of blood pressure though its fragment catestatin, which causes inhibition of cholinergic-stimulated catecholamine release. *Chga* knock-out results in hypertension in mouse¹⁴¹, which is consistent with our results showing low *Chga* expression associated with the SHR allele. *Vmat1* has not so far been implicated in hypertension but was found associated with neuropsychiatric diseases^{142,143}.

Given the functional coupling of these three genes, it is plausible to put forward a hypothesis that *Pnmt*, *Chga* and *Vmat1* expression are regulated jointly by a variant within the *Pnmt* locus. Our eQTL mapping results implicate the *Pnmt* genomic

77

region as being central to this proposed co-regulation. Mapping the gene expression levels of *Pnmt*, *Chga* and *Vmat1* to the same locus (see Figure 3–8), as well as the uniform association of low gene expression with the SHR allele for all three genes, seems to corroborate such co-regulation.

Conclusions and perspectives

We present evidence for simultaneous downregulation of the transcription of five genes in the catecholamine biosynthetic pathway in the SHR. Decreased levels of catecholamine biosynthetic genes in the SHR have been described before⁹⁵. The novelty of our study lies in the examination of the genetic basis of gene expression differences of these genes in the HXB/BXH RI strains and finding simultaneous downregulation of several key genes in the young SHR. Furthermore, we established that such downregulations are heritable traits and that decreases in transcript levels are associated with the SHR genotype in each case. We also measured activities of Dbh and Pnmt and found that enzyme activity mirrored gene expression in that they were regulated in *cis*, implying primary, genetically regulated changes in expression of these genes between SHR and BN.Lx. Because the tissues for microarray analysis came from different animals from the tissues for biochemistries and RT-PCR, the finding of co-localized expression and biochemical QTLs for Dbh and Pnmt can be regarded as a confirmation of the genetic underpinnings of these traits. Furthermore, the finding of differential gene expression for *Dbh*, *Pnmt* and *Vamp1* in young SHR supports control by inherited variants in the genic regions, rather then gene suppression in response to longstanding blood pressure increase. The downregulation

of these genes is therefore temporally independent of the development of hypertension, and may contribute to the pathogenesis of blood pressure elevation, possibly in part via central mechanisms, since catecholamines exert central vasodepressor actions in the brain stem^{127,128}. These results suggest new approaches to characterizing the role of the sympathochromaffin system in essential hypertension.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Results contained in this chapter are the product of several years of work and many people contributed at different stages of the project. I am especially indebted to Dr. Nitish Mahapatra and Dr. Manjula Mahata for their generous help at the laboratory bench. Without their assistance many experimental problems would have been insurmountable. I would like to thank Dr. Michael Ziegler and his lab for help with analyzing catecholamines in my samples. The expertise of Ryan Friese was vital to the final stages of the project. Special thanks belong to my international collaborators, Drs. Michal Pravenec and Vladimir Kren (Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic), Dr. Timothy Aitman (Imperial College, London, UK), and Dr. Norbert Hübner (Max Delbrück Center, Berlin, Germany), without whom this work would not have been possible. Dr. Pravenec supplied RI strain tissue samples; Dr. Aitman generously shared the adrenal gene expression dataset; and Dr. Hübner provided the latest single nucleotide polymorphism data on the RI strains. I would like to thank all esteemed collaborators for their valuable contributions. I am grateful for all the insightful guidance I received from my mentor Dr. Nicholas Schork and my co-mentor Dr. Daniel O'Connor during the implementation of this project.

The material in this chapter was presented as a poster (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Mahata SK, Pravenec M, Kren V, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Ziegler MG, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. Independent genetic mechanisms downregulate genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis, storage and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. American Society of Human Genetics, San Diego, CA, October 23-27, 2007), presented as an oral presentation (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. Discovery of genetic regulatory network controlling catecholamine biosynthesis in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. Rat Genomics & Models, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, December 6-9, 2007), and submitted as a scientific paper (Jirout ML, Friese RS, Mahapatra NR, Mahata M, Taupenot L, Mahata SK, Kren V, Zidek V, Fischer J, Maatz H, Ziegler MG, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. A molecular basis for the regulation of catecholamine biosynthesis and secretion in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. (Submitted to Nature Genetics)). I was the primary author of the results contained in all chapters of this dissertation. The co-authors listed on the above publications facilitated and/or supervised the research that formed the basis for these publications.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health and the Veterans Administration (Daniel O'Connor), EU funding via the EURATools consortium (Timothy Aitman, Norbert Hübner, Michal Pravenec) and by a grant from the German Ministry for Science and Education (National Genome Research Network) to Norbert Hübner. Martin Jirout was supported by the American Heart Association Fellowship Award. Michal Pravenec was supported by grant IAA500110604 from the Grant Agency of the Czech Academy of Sciences. Michal Pravenec is an international research scholar of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. Vladimir Kren was supported by grant MSM0021620807 from the Ministry of Education of the Czech Republic.



Figure 3-1 Hypertension candidate genes: heritability of gene expression in the RI strains and differential expression in the SHR vs. BN.Lx progenitors. Each box represents a candidate gene referred to by its official symbol. Two different parameters are color-coded: the transcript level heritability (H^2) as computed from the RI panel is shown on the left, and the normalized ratio (*NR*) for differences between the progenitors is shown on the right side of each box. Numbers next to boxes are *t*-test *P*-values obtained from progenitor data. Genes are grouped according to their function. Genes were selected with the help of the UCSD NHLBI PPG Program website <elcapitan.ucsd.edu/hyper/index.html>.



Figure 3-2 Chromaffin cell genes involved in catecholamine biosynthesis, storage, secretion and degradation. Important chromaffin cell-expressed genes and functional relationships between them are depicted. Two different parameters are color-coded: the transcript level heritability (H^2) as computed from the RI panel and the normalized ratio (NR) for differences between the progenitors is (on the left and right side of each box, respectively). The red curved arrow represents the catecholamine biosynthetic pathway, with the intermediates also in red. The blue curved arrows represent the detected gene regulatory networks from eQTL analysis, pointing from the regulator to the regulated gene.



Legend: SD = standard deviation







Legend: SD = standard deviation





Figure 3-5 Concentration of catecholamines in adrenal tissue. Dopamine (A), norepinephrine (B) and epinephrine (C) concentration was measured in 29 RI strains and two progenitor strains. Bars represent strain means and error bars show standard deviations. Progenitor strains are highlighted red (SHR) and blue (BN.*Lx*).


Figure 3-6 *Cis*-acting expression quantitative trait locus for *Vamp1* adrenal

transcript. The figure shows the LOD plot for Vamp1 transcript abundance, which peaks over the Vamp1 gene physical location (LOD score = 3.50 and P = 0.072). The 95% CI was estimated by 2-LOD drop (green horizontal bar = 152-173 Mbp) and by bootstrap test (yellow vertical bars). The eQTL explains ~ 43% of the transcript abundance.



Figure 3-7 Co-localization of physiological and expression QTLs on the short arm of chromosome 3. A) LOD plots for dopamine tissue concentration, Dbh tissue enzymatic activity, and *Dbh* gene expression are shown. Peak LOD values are given in the inset. 95% confidence intervals (95% CI's), determined by 2-LOD drop, are shown beneath the peaks. B) Additive/directional effect of the SHR allele on each trait at different RNO 3 loci is expressed as % deviation from the overall trait mean. The arrow marks the location of the *Dbh* promoter SNP T-551G. C) Chromosome 3 idiogram is provided for reference. D) Combined cross analysis of previously mapped cardiovascular QTLs overlapping with the conflated 95% CI's for the three QTLs reported here. Each bar represents a previously localized physiological QTL (data from http://rgd.mcw.edu). Bar numbers refer to Table 3-5, where details for each QTL can be found.



Figure 3-8 Co-localization of physiological and expression QTLs on chromosome 10.

A) LOD plots for adrenal Pnmt tissue enzymatic activity and *Pnmt*, *Vmat1 and Chga* transcript abundance. Peak LOD values are given in the inset. Bootstrap test results (vertical bars) were used to estimate the 95% CI's for Pnmt tissue activity and *Pnmt* transcript abundance. Horizontal bars represent 2-LOD drop CI's; different traits are colored same as the LOD plots. SHR allele at the peak locus was associated with a trait value decrease for all four traits. The arrow points to the location of the *Pnmt* promoter SNP T-529G. B) Idiogram of rat chromosome 10 is provided for reference. C) Combined cross analysis showing previously mapped cardiovascular QTLs overlapping with the conflated 95% CI for the QTLs reported here. Each bar represents a physiological QTL (data from http://rgd.mcw.edu). Bar numbers refer to Table 3-6, where details can be found.



Figure 3-9 Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery at the *Dbh* locus in SHR vs. BN.*Lx* strains. The bp distances refer to the distance from the CAP (transcription initiation) site. Exons are rendered as boxes: grey portions are translated, while empty portions are 5'- and 3'-untranslated. The extent of each of the 22 amplicons (spanning each exon, exon/intron border, ~1.75 kbp of proximal promoter, and ~1.75 kbp of 3' [downstream] sequence) is represented by double-headed arrows. The solid red arrowheads indicate the SNP positions (all variants discovered were SNPs). The nucleotide change is given as BN.*Lx* \rightarrow SHR.



Figure 3-10 Single nucleotide polymorphism discovery at the *Pnmt* locus in SHR vs. BN.*Lx* strains. The bp distances refer to the distance from the CAP (transcription initiation) site. Exons are rendered as boxes: grey portions are translated, while empty portions are 5'- and 3'-untranslated. The span of each of the 6 amplicons is represented by double-headed arrows. The solid red arrowheads indicate the SNP positions, the green arrowhead indicates an insertion/deletion polymophism. The nucleotide change is given as BN.*Lx* \rightarrow SHR. Amino acid changes in coding region SNPs are given in parentheses. Blue arrowheads indicate important trascription factor binding sites.



Figure 3-11 Functional studies on the single nucleotide polymorphisms identified in the *Dbh* **promoter.** Bioluminescent activity of luciferase was measured in rat PC12 pheochromocytoma cells transfected with ~1.1 kbp segment of *Dbh* promoter/luciferase reporter in pGL3-Basic vector (Promega) after 16 hour incubation with or without secretagogues (dexamethasone, PACAP and nicotine). Each experiment was conducted in 4 replicates, with luciferase results normalized to cell protein in each plate. Results are presented as fold-augmentation (by secretagogue) over the signal from cells transfected with a promoterless (empty) pGL3-Basic vector. No significant differences between SHR and BN.*Lx* were observed.



Figure 3-12 Functional studies on the single nucleotide polymorphisms identified in the *Pnmt* **promoter.** Bioluminescent activity of luciferase was measured in rat PC12 pheochromocytoma cells transfected with ~1 kbp segment of *Pnmt* promoter/luciferase reporter in pGL3-Basic vector (Promega) after 16 hour incubation with or without secretagogues (dexamethasone, PACAP and nicotine). Each experiment was conducted in 4 replicates, with luciferase results normalized to cell protein in each plate. Results are presented as fold-augmentation (by secretagogue) over the signal from cells transfected with a promoterless (empty) pGL3-Basic vector. No significant differences between SHR and BN.*Lx* were observed. (A). Only dexamethasone stimulation elicited significant differences in promoter activity. The experiment was then repeated with incremental doses of dexamethasone (B). Differences between SHR and BN.*Lx* promoters are dose-dependent and were observed starting at 10 nM dexamethasone concentration.

Table 3-1 Biochemical phenotypes measured in adrenal tissue homogenate. HXB/BXH RI strains and the progenitor strains, SHR and BN.*Lx*, were typed for catecholaminergic biochemical parameters in the adrenal gland and in plasma. The table shows progenitor strain means \pm SD, *t*-test *P*-value for progenitor strain differences, normalized ratio (*NR*) to indicate the direction of the progenitor strain difference, and heritability (H^2) calculated from RI strain data.

Phenotype	SHR (mean ± SD)	BN. <i>Lx</i> (mean ± SD)	SHR vs. BN.Lx t-test P-value	NR _{SHR/BN.Lx}	H ² in RI strains	
DA (ng/mg)	1.92 ± 0.46	1.41 ± 0.23	0.048	1.4	63%	
Dbh (nmol/h/mg prot)	69.4 ± 25.2	128.3 ± 11.3	0.003	-1.8	46%	
NE (ng/mg)	67.6 ± 10.9	97.6 ± 8.07	0.002	-1.4	35%	
Pnmt (pmol/h/mg)	33.0 ± 3.09	43.3 ± 3.29	0.001	-1.3	34%	
EPI (ng/mg)	191 ± 27.8	191 ± 20.6	0.994	1.0	36%	
Cort (IU/mg)	466 ± 263	244 ± 73.1	0.001	1.9	13%	

Legend: DA = dopamine. NE = norepinephrine, EPI = epinephrine, Cort = corticosterone

Table 3-2 Correlations among biochemical, physiological and gene expression phenotypes. Spearman rank order correlations among cardiovascular phenotypes and adrenal biochemical and gene expression phenotypes were computed. Enzymes catalyzing the last two steps of catecholamine biosynthesis, Dbh and Pnmt, and their respective substrates and products (dopamine, norepinephrine and epinephrine) were assayed in adrenal tissue at the age of 6 weeks. *Dbh* and *Pnmt* gene expression levels were measured by Affy RAE230A at the age of 6 weeks. Cardiovascular physiological phenotypes were measured telemetrically at the age of 12 weeks. Correlation coefficients (ρ) and *P*-values (*P*) are given for all phenotype pairs. Significant correlations are flagged with asterisks (* = significant at the 0.05 level; ** = significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)).

Spearman's r	'ho	DA	Dbh	NE	Pnmt	EPI	Dbh gene expression	Pnmt gene expression	SBP	HR
DA	ρ		-0.408*	0.423*	0.594**	0.633**	-0.551**	0.345	0.250	-0.382*
	Р		0.031	0.025	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.072	0.199	0.045
	Ν		28	28	28	28	28	28	28	28
Dbh	ρ	-0.408*		0.095	-0.119	0.011	0.570**	0.046	-0.476*	0.050
	Р	0.031		0.632	0.545	0.954	0.002	0.816	0.010	0.801
	Ν	28		28	28	28	28	28	28	28
NE	ρ	0.423*	0.095		0.059	0.463*	-0.330	-0.031	-0.257	-0.205
	Р	0.025	0.632		0.764	0.013	0.086	0.875	0.187	0.295
	Ν	28	28		28	28	28	28	28	28
Pnmt	ρ	0.594 **	-0.119	0.059		0.429*	-0.119	0.436*	0.094	-0.383*
	Р	0.001	0.545	0.764		0.023	0.547	0.020	0.634	0.044
	Ν	28	28	28		28	28	28	28	28
EPI	ρ	0.633**	0.011	0.463*	0.429*		-0.386*	0.047	0.013	-0.550 **
	Р	0.000	0.954	0.013	0.023		0.042	0.814	0.949	0.002
	Ν	28	28	28	28		28	28	28	28
Dbh gene	ρ	-0.551**	0.570**	-0.330	-0.119	-0.386*		0.294	-0.148	0.187
expression	Р	0.002	0.002	0.086	0.547	0.042		0.121	0.443	0.332
	Ν	28	28	28	28	28		29	29	29
Pnmt gene	ρ	0.345	0.046	-0.031	0.436*	0.047	0.294		0.209	-0.276
expression	Р	0.072	0.816	0.875	0.020	0.814	0.121		0.277	0.147
	Ν	28	28	28	28	28	29		29	29
SBP	ρ	0.250	-0.476*	-0.257	0.094	0.013	-0.148	0.209		-0.079
	Р	0.199	0.010	0.187	0.634	0.949	0.443	0.277		0.680
	N	28	28	28	28	28	29	29		29
HR	ρ	-0.382*	0.050	-0.205	-0.383*	-0.550**	0.187	-0.276	-0.079	
	Р	0.045	0.801	0.295	0.044	0.002	0.332	0.147	0.680	
	N	28	28	28	28	28	29	29	29	

Legend:

Dbh = dopamine beta-hydroxylase, Pnmt = phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase, DA = dopamine, NE = norepinephrine, EPI = epinephrine, SBP = systolic blood pressure, HR = heart rate

Table 3-3 Expression QTL mapping results for chromaffin cell-expressed genes with heritable transcript levels in the HXB/BXH RI strains. Genes with heritable transcripts (Figure 3-2, coded yellow/orange) were included in this table. Results for each transcript consist of LOD-peak position, eQTL type, LOD score and *P*-value. For the significant - including marginally significant - eQTLs (bolded *P*-values), the proportion of the variance in transcript level attributable to the eQTL is also given. The last column indicates chromaffin cell-expressed genes within the 95%CI of the mapped eQTLs.

Gene transcript				Mapped expre	ession C	TLs				
Transcript (Probe ID)	Gene symbol	Gene position (Chr:Mbp)	progenitor <i>t</i> -test <i>P</i> -val.	H ² in RI	eQTL position (Chr:Mbp)	eQTL type	LOD	<i>P</i> -value	% variance attributable to eQTL	Genes of interest in the 95%CI
1387075_at	Th	1:203	0.0365	48%	8:80	trans	2.51	0.176		
1368064_a_at	Ddc	14:93	0.0002	70%	14:45	trans	3.43	0.040	42	
1370564_at	Dbh	3:6	0.0216	64%	3:6	cis	5.58	0.006	60	Dbh
1371054_at	Pnmt	10:87	0.4492	60%	10:90	cis	2.95	0.049	36	Pnmt
1387999_at	Vmat1	16:22	0.4263	23%	10:90	trans	3.33	0.041	43	Pnmt
1387235_at	Chga	6:127	0.1854	36%	10:87	trans	2.68	0.093	35	Pnmt
1373510_at	Vampt	1 4:161	0.0213	53%	4:161	cis	3.50	0.072	43	Vamp1
1368826_at	Comt	11:85	0.0130	24%	4:149	trans	2.71	0.318		
1368034_at	Chgb	3:121	0.7601	25%	8:80	trans	3.11	0.124		
1368044_at	Scg2	9:79	0.1274	49%	5:16	trans	2.11	0.674		
1387154_at	Npy	4:78	0.0031	26%	17:78	trans	2.14	0.426		
1387221_at	Gch	15:23	0.1691	39%	19:48	trans	2.12	0.320		
1367695_at	Qdpr	14:71	0.2837	28%	10:99	trans	3.12	0.149		

Legend:

Probe ID = Affymetrix RAE 230A probeset ID

eQTL = expression QTL

 H^2 = heritability calculated in the HXB/BXH RI strains

LOD = logarithm of odds

95%CI = 95% confidence interval for eQTL position

Table 3-4 *Cis*-eQTLs mapped to the short arm of chromosome 3. Adrenal transcripts were assayed by Affymetrix array RAE 230A. Genomic positions (bp) of the genes (left side), as well as of the corresponding eQTLs (right side), are detailed. Linkage significance was determined by permutation test and expressed as *P*-value. The entry criterion for a gene transcript to be reported in this table was a genomic location within 0-17 Mb, corresponding to the short arm of rat chromosome 3. The retention criterion was the presence of a significant (P < 0.05) eQTL within the same region.

Genes located	on the short arm of chromosome 3, showi	Marker associat	ed with the <i>cis</i>	-eQTL		
Probeset ID	Name	Gene symbol (predicted)	Position (start bp)	Name	Position (start bp)	<i>P</i> -value
1372773_at	Neural proliferation, differentiation and control 1	Npdc1	3571499	SNP 3_2	3409637	4x10 ⁻⁶
1377049_at	Neuropathy target esterase like 1	Ntel1	5122435	SNP 3_4	2671921	10 ⁻⁶
1388912_at	RNA exonuclease 4 homolog (S. Cerevisiae)	Rexo4	5849797	Dbh T-551G	6052155	2x10 ⁻⁵
1370564_at	Dopamine beta-hydroxylase	Dbh	6052705	Dbh T-551G	6052155	10 ⁻⁴
1372323_at	Sarcosine dehydrogenase	Sardh	6076165	Dbh T-551G	6052155	2x10 ⁻⁵
1389816_at	Endonuclease G	Endog	9184282	Dbh T-551G	6052155	2x10 ⁻⁴
1373537_at	Formin binding protein 1 (rapostlin)	Fnbp1	10091464	D3Rat194	10206859	9X10 ⁻⁴
1389713_at	Similar to HLA-B associated transcript-2 isoform a		11292039	SNP 3_8	10585645	4x10 ⁻⁶
1368267_at	Protein-O-mannosyltransferase 1	Pomt1	11348812	SNP 3_8	10585645	3x10 ⁻³
1373331_at	Similar to Leucine rich repeat and sterile alpha motif containing 1	(Lrsam1)	11862794	SNP 3_9	11793545	3x10 ⁻⁴
1372267_at	Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S subunit, non-atpase, 5	(Psmd5)	13861849	SNP 3_9	11793545	10 ⁻³
1375687_at	RAB14, member RAS oncogene family	Rab14	14245692	SNP 3_11	14386227	2x10 ⁻²
1370335_at	Disabled homolog 2-interacting protein	Dab2ip	14769583	D3Rat53	15057268	6x10 ⁻³

Table 3-5 Analysis of the *Dbh* region for known physiological QTLs. The conflated 95% CI's for *Dbh* transcript, Dbh activity and dopamine concentration QTLs, and harboring the *Dbh* gene itself (RNO 3p:1-14 Mbp, see Figure 3-7A), was examined for known cardiovascular and cardiovascular-related physiological QTLs. The numbers in the first column correspond to the bars in Figure 3-7D. Progenitor strains used in the various crosses, in which QTLs were mapped, are shown. LOD scores and *P*-values are given where available.

Number in figure	Cardiovascular pQTLs mapping to the <i>Dbh</i> region RNO 3p:1-14 Mbp. (source: http://rgd.mcw.edu)		Positio	n (Mbp)	Progenitors of crosses in which QTL was mapped		LOD	<i>P</i> -val
3-7D	QTL symbol	QTL name (trait measured)	start	end	Progenitor 1	Progenitor 2		
1	Cm10	Cardiac mass (LV) QTL 10	0.00	19.01	SHR/FubRkb	SS/JrRkb	7.3	10 ⁻⁴
2	Bp15	BP (salt loaded systolic) QTL 15	0.00	21.37	SHRSP	WKY	4.4	-
3	Bp85	BP (systolic) QTL 85	0.00	21.37	SHRSP/lzm	WKY/Izm	3.1	-
4	Bp140	BP (systolic) QTL 140	0.18	32.05	SHR/Snk	WKY/Snk	2.5	-
5	Bp92	BP (salt loaded systolic) QTL 92	0.18	38.71	SHR	SS/Jr	2.7	-
6	Arunc3	Aerobic running capacity QTL 3	3.48	30.25	COP/OlaHsd	DA/OlaHsd	3.3	-
7	BpQTLcluster4	BP (systolic) QTL cluster 4	6.27	47.58	SHR	BN	2.2	-
8	Cm43	Cardiac mass (BW adjusted) QTL 43	6.37	26.67	SHRSP/Tkyo	WKY/Tkyo	6.7	2x10 ⁻⁵
9	Bw56	Body weight QTL 56	6.37	26.67	SHRSP/Tkyo	WKY/Tkyo	4.5	3x10 ⁻⁸
10	Cm46	Cardiac mass (BW adjusted) QTL 46	6.37	26.67	SHRSP/Tkyo	WKY/Tkyo	6.6	6x10 ⁻⁶
11	Cm48	Cardiac mass (BW adjusted) QTL 48	6.37	26.67	SHRSP/Tkyo	WKY/Tkyo	5.4	4x10 ⁻⁴
12	Bp264	BP (mean arterial) QTL 264	10.27	121.62	HTG	LEW	4,0	-
13	Bp251	BP (mean arterial) QTL 251	10.27	121.62	HTG	LEW	2.8	-
14	Hrtrt17	Heart rate (salt loaded) QTL 17	11.14	88.65	SHRSP	WKY	3.8	-
15	Alc19	Alcohol consumption QTL 19	12.91	23.76	Р	NP	4.4	-
16	Alc8	Alcohol consumption QTL 8	12.91	29.75	Р	NP	5.9	5x10 ⁻⁵
17	Bp151	BP (decreased) QTL 151	13.85	44.55	SS	LEW	-	4x10 ⁻²
			1					1

Legend: BP = blood pressure, BW = body weight, LV left ventricle, BN = Brown Norway, COP = Curtiss rat, DA = "d" blood group and agouti color, HTG = Prague hypertriglyceridemic (from WKY), LEW = Lewis rat, NP = Alcohol-nonpreferring, P = Alcohol-preferring, SHR = Spontaneously hypertensive rat, SHRSP = Stroke prone spontaneously hypertensive rat, SS = Salt Sensitive, WKY = Wistar Kyoto rat

Table 3-6 Analysis of the *Pnmt* region for known physiological QTLs. The conflated 95% CI's for Pnmt pQTL and *Pnmt*, *Chga* and *Vmat1* eQTLs, which also harbors the *Pnmt* gene itself (RNO 10q:81-104 Mbp, see Figure 3-8A), was examined for known cardiovascular and cardiovascular-related physiological QTLs. The numbers in the first column correspond to the bars in Figure 3-8C. Progenitor strains used in the various crosses, in which QTLs were mapped, are shown. LOD scores and *P*-values are given where available.

Number in figure 3-8C	Cardiovascular pQTLs mapping to the <i>Pnmt</i> region RNO 10q:81-104 Mbp. (source: <i>http://rgd.mcw.edu</i>)		Position	(Mbp)	Progenitors of crosses in which QTL was mapped		LOD	<i>P</i> -val
	QTL symbol	QTL name (trait measured)	start	end	Progenitor 1	Progenitor 2		
1	Bp12	BP QT L 12	50.04	80.04	SS/Jr	LEW	6.3	10-4
2	Bp57	BP QT L 57	21.51	84.56	MHS/Gib	MNS/Gib	5.0	-
3	Bp71	BP QT L 71	55.50	85.50	SS	LEW	-	4x10 ⁻²
4	BpQTLcluster9	BP QTL clust er 9	21.51	91.48	SHR	BN	2.9	-
5	Bp186	BP QTL 186	5.92	94.98	SS/JrHsdMcwi	BN/SsNHsd	3.6	-
6	Cm31	CM QT L 31	29.97	95.37	SS/JrHsdMcwi	BN/SsNHsd	3.9	-
7	Bp76	BP QT L 76	36.42	95.38	SS/Jr	MNS	-	10-4
8	Bp87	BP QT L 87	65.71	95.71	SHRSP/Izm	WKY/Izm	4.5	-
9	Bp168	BP QTL 168	27.09	102.70	SS/Jr	LEW	5.5	-
10	Bp82	BP QT L 82	27.09	103.67	SS/Jr	MNS	6.8	-
11	Cm51	CM QT L 51	53.79	96.59	SS/Jr	MNS	3.0	-
12	Stresp5	SR QTL 5 (corticosterone)	43.37	108.89	F344/NHsd	LEW/NHsd	3.0	3x10 ⁻⁴
13	Cm33	CM QT L 33	56.93	97.59	LH/Mav	LN/Mav	2.8	-
14	Bp1	BP QTL 1	53.78	101.85	WKY	SHRSP	5.1	-
15	Cm44	CM QT L 44	69.26	99.26	WKY/Tkyo	SHRSP/Tkyo	4.8	4x10 ⁻⁵
16	Hrtrt21	HR QT L 21	68.53	101.29	SHR/Ola	BN. <i>Lx</i> /Cub	2.4	-
17	Bp72	BP QT L 72	70.65	100.65	SS	LEW	-	-
18	Bp249	BP QTL 249	69.99	102.59	SS	MNS	-	10 ⁻⁴
19	Bp91	BP QT L 91	71.96	101.96	SS	MNS	-	10 ⁻⁴
20	Bp150	BP QTL 150	77.01	82.00	SS	LEW	-	10-4
21	Bp45	BP QT L 45	77.25	91.18	SHR/Mol	BB/OK	23.2	-
22	Bp9	BP QTL 9	80.36	110.36	SS/Jr	MNS	4.8	10-4
23	Bp134	BP QTL 134	84.26	95.69	SHRPS	WKY	-	10 ⁻³
24	Stresp7	SR QTL 7 (catecholamines)	90.46	92.46	HTG	BN	3.52	-
25	Bp149	BP QTL 149	94.98	99.70	SS	LEW	-	10 ⁻⁴
26	Bp137	BP QTL 137	99.11	101.47	SS	MNS	-	10 ⁻²
27	Bp250	BP QTL 250	101.85	110.72	SS	MNS	-	10 ⁻⁴

Legend: BP = blood pressure, CM = Cardiac mass, HR = heart rate, SR = stress response, BB = Diabetic strain from outbred Wistar rats, BN = Brown Norway, F344 = Fischer rat, HTG = Prague hypertriglyceridemic (from WKY), LEW = Lewis rat, LH = Lyon Hypertensive, LN = Lyon normotensive, MHS = Milan hypertensive strain, MNS = Milan normotensive strain, SHR = Spontaneously hypertensive rat, SHRSP = Stroke prone spontaneously hypertensive rat, SR = Salt Resistant (from a Sprague-Dawley outbred colony), SS = Salt Sensitive, WKY = Wistar Kyoto rat Chapter 4

The identification of *trans*-acting genes that influence the

abundance of multiple transcripts simultaneously

PREFACE

The results contained within this chapter have been presented as a poster at the Genomes, Medicine, and the Environment in San Diego, CA, October 8-10, 2007, and are being prepared for publication.

ABSTRACT

A novel multivariate distance-matrix regression (MDMR) method was applied to identify loci influencing the expression levels of multiple genes. Adrenal transcriptome obtained from the HXB/BXH rat recombinant inbred strains via microarrays was subjected to expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL) mapping. Of the total of 1085 significant eQTLs detected at the genome-wide *P*-value ≤ 0.05 , 593 eQTLs mapped in *trans*, defined as more than 10 Mbp from the gene's physical location. Examination of the trans-eQTL distribution along the genome revealed a significant *trans*-eOTL clustering on chromosomes 1, 3, 8, 11, and 17. These clusters consisted of between 16 and 21 transcripts each, suggesting that specific *trans*-acting loci control the transcript abundance of the genes within these clusters. Several transcripts significantly predicted the variability within the detected clusters, among them genes involved in pre-mRNA processing, such as RNA binding motif protein 16 (*Rbm16*) located within the *trans*-eOTL cluster on chromosome 1, and pre-mRNA processing factor 4 homolog B (*Prpf4b*) located within the *trans*-eOTL cluster on chromosome 17. This example demonstrates the utility of the MDMR method for investigation of transcriptome regulation and underscores the importance of integrated data analytic approaches to understanding complex molecular genetic processes.

INTRODUCTION

Variation in gene transcription is an important contributor to phenotypic differences, including disease susceptibility. Gene transcript abundance is directly influenced by polymorphisms in *cis*-acting elements such as the promoters, as well as in the *trans*-acting factors such as the genes coding for proteins directly involved in mRNA synthesis and post-transcriptional modification. Genetic variants in some *trans*-acting factors tend to exhibit pleiotropic effects, i.e. they affect many transcripts simultaneously and therefore act as "master regulators" of a large number of genes. Genome-wide detection and characterization of the master regulators will improve our understanding of an important source of natural phenotypic variation.

In this study, we used an adrenal tissue gene expression dataset obtained from 29 rat recombinant inbred strains of the HXB/BXH rat panel⁵² to identify loci influencing gene transcript variation by mapping *expression quantitative trait loci*, or eQTLs, on a genome-wide basis. We then focused on the genome-wide distribution of the eQTLs. Non-uniformity of eQTL distribution allowed us to define *cis-* and *trans-* eQTL clusters and hence potential master regulators.

A novel multivariate distance-matrix regression method (MDMR) was applied to the *trans*-eQTL clusters to detect and precisely localize genomic regions potentially harboring master regulators, as a prerequisite for future polymorphism discovery and functional studies in these regions. The MDMR analysis essentially works by combining the gene expression profiles of jointly regulated genes from each *trans*eQTL cluster into one composite variable, which is subsequently regressed on expression profiles of the *cis*-eQTL genes and on marker genotypes, which are taken as predictors from the master regulator candidate regions. *Cis*-eQTLs are an important resource for the identification of positional candidate genes¹⁴⁴. This approach is designed to reduce the impact of noise (contained in the data for each gene expression profile) on the accuracy of eQTL positioning, while allowing the similarity between the co-regulated profiles to become the main parameter on which localization of the master regulator candidate regions is based. Considering marker genotypes as predictors in the MDMR analysis provides an additional means of interrogating the candidate regions and validating the results obtained with *cis*-eQTL gene expression profiles.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

RI strains and tissues

The set of 29 RI strains used in this study was produced by inbreeding between members of the F2 generation resulting from the cross of the two highly inbred strains BN.Lx/Cub and SHR/Ola⁵² (see Chapter 1, page 12), designated here as BN.Lx and SHR. Rats were housed in an air-conditioned animal facility and allowed free access to standard laboratory chow and water. All experiments were performed in agreement with the Animal Protection Law of the Czech Republic (311/1997) and were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Physiology, Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic. Animals were killed at 6 wk of age. Tissues from 4-6 males

of each RI strain were harvested, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80 °C.

Adrenal tissue gene expression dataset

HXB/BXH RI strains were profiled for gene expression in adrenal tissue using the Affymetrix Gene Chip array RAE 230A (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, California, USA). The original experimental design is discussed in Hübner et al.³² and Petretto et al.¹⁰³. We used a total of 128 microarrays: 4-5 animals \times 31 (i.e., 29 RI strains + progenitor strains). cRNA was labelled and run on RAE 230A Affymetrix GeneChip arrays (number of transcripts 15,923). Gene expression summary values for Gene Chip data were computed using the Robust Multichip Average algorithm¹⁰⁴. The adrenal dataset has been submitted to ArrayExpress and an ArrayExpress identifier is pending.

Probe set selection for the final dataset

Probe sets that did not reach $P \le 0.05$ for gene expression quality assessment in at least one RI strain, as evaluated by the Affymetrix microarray suite version 5 software (MAS5), were removed to increase the ratio of true positives to false positives¹⁴⁵ and to avoid phantom eQTL detection for genes not expressed in the adrenal tissue. Further more, in order to avoid misclassification of *cis*- and *trans*eQTLs, only genes that could be reliably located to unique genomic locations by using Ensembl¹⁴⁶ database were included. The resulting dataset used for analyses presented herewith consisted of 9931 adrenal tissue-expressed and genome-located transcripts.

Statistical analysis and heritability calculation

T-tests were performed using MS Excel 2004 (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) to appraise differences between the progenitor strains, the SHR and the BN.*Lx*.

Heritability (H^2) of gene expression was calculated using an approach designed for RI strains that corrects for the inbreeding incurred during the RI strain production¹¹¹. Because H^2 calculated in a traditional way (V_G/V_P) tends to be overestimated when compared to H^2 obtained from F2 population, to obtain an F2 comparable estimate, the H^2 was calculated as $(V_P - V_E)/(V_P + V_E)$.

Normalized Ratio (*NR*) was used to compare gene expression profile means for the progenitor strains. It is a variant of the simple fold-change value, e.g., [SHR]/[BN.*Lx*], but it transforms the values between 0 and 1 into values between – INF(infinity) and –1 by inverting them and multiplying by negative one. It is calculated as follows: if [SHR] \geq [BN.*Lx*], then *NR* = [SHR]/[BN.*Lx*]; if [SHR] < [BN.*Lx*], then *NR* = –[BN.*Lx*]/[SHR]. The main advantage of *NR* is its symmetry about zero.

Genetic effect of each eQTL was calculated as a ratio of *additive effect* to the overall trait average in the RI strains. Additive effect is an estimate of the absolute change in transcript abundance that would result from a substitution at the eQTL peak locus of allele of one type with that of another. Thus, the genetic effect value represents a proportion of change from the average RI value, if grouped by either of the progenitor alleles at the eQTL peak locus.

Mapping of eQTLs

Genome-wide linkage analysis was performed using the QTL Reaper¹¹³ program. A set of 1,047 non-redundant genetic markers with known genomic positions (Mbp), which was produced by merging the framework marker-based map ⁴⁷ with a newly typed SNP dataset⁵¹ (see Chapter 2). For each transcript the likelihood ratio statistics for linkage was calculated. Permutation analysis ¹¹⁴ with 100,000 permutations was used to assess the probabilistic significance of the linkages and to correct for multiple testing across genetic markers to obtain a genome-wide corrected *P*-value. For each eQTL, allelic (additive) effect was calculated as the difference of means of two groups resulting from grouping of the RI strains according to the allele at the marker peak of linkage.

Definition of a cis-acting vs. trans-acting eQTL

Due to the limitations in the ability to precisely position eQTLs in this type of experimental cross, we arbitrarily define a *cis*-acting eQTL as having the peak of linkage within ± 10 Mbp of the physical location of the corresponding gene, i.e. within the total window of 20Mbp (~ 15 cM), and a *trans*-acting eQTL as having the peak of linkage outside the 20 Mbp window on the same chromosome, or on a different chromosome from where the probeset is located.

Detection of eQTL clusters

Clustering of eQTLs was computed by counting eQTLs that map to the same genomic regions. Precise localization of eQTLs is often confounded by the

inaccuracies of the genetic map. A 10 Mbp sliding window was used to compensate for these inaccuracies. The frequency distribution of eQTL counts was plotted against the genomic position of the 10 Mbp window center. *Cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs were evaluated separately. To establish which eQTL clusters were significantly larger than expected by chance alone, normal distribution was estimated from the data and the significance threshold was set at $P \le 0.01$.

Multivariate distance-matrix regression analysis (MDMR)

Multivariate distance-matrix regression analysis (MDMR), based on multiple regression analysis of multivariate symmetric distance matrices, was performed using the DISTLM v.5 computer program¹⁴⁷. The methodology was first described by McArdle and Anderson¹⁴⁸ and its statistical properties were further explored by Zapala and Schork^{149,150}.

Description of the input data. The MDMR analysis requires two distinct inputs: the *predictor variables*, represented in this work by SNP genotypes or expression values of *cis*-eQTL genes, and the *response variables*, represented by the expression values of *trans*-eQTL genes. Input data are in the form of matrices. The following is a formal mathematical description of the matrices and contsructs used in the MDMR methodology. Boldface is used to indicate matrices or vectors in the following notation.

Let **Y** be an $N \times P$ matrix of response variables on N individuals (i.e., individual rat strains in the present setting) for P trans-eQTL genes. Let **X** be an $N \times Q$ matrix of predictor variables on N individuals for Q SNPs (or, alternatively, *cis*-eQTL genes), whose relationship to the response variables is of interest. Matrices **Y** and **X** serve as input for the DISTLM program, which then performs a permutation test for the multivariate null hypothesis of no relationship between matrices **Y** and **X**, using permutations of the observations.

Distance matrix formation. To asses the level of cross-correlation of the gene expression profiles within each *trans*-eQTL cluster, a *similarity matrix* was computed from pairwise Pearson correlation coefficients. Let $\mathbf{R} = r_{ij}$ be an $N \times N$ similarity matrix harboring the correlation coefficients, r, for each pair of gene expression values to be reflected in the matrix. This similarity matrix can then be converted to a *distance matrix*. Let $\mathbf{D} = d_{ij}$ be an $N \times N$ matrix of distance measures d calculated from observation units of \mathbf{Y} , where

$$d_{ij} = \sqrt{2(1 - r_{ij})}$$
(5)

This simple mathematical transformation results in a distance matrix with metric properties. Next, Gower's centered matrix¹⁵¹ **G** is calculated from **D** in two steps. First, let $\mathbf{A} = a_{ij} = (-\frac{1}{2}d_{ij}^2)$, then, the matrix **A** is centered as follows:

$$\mathbf{G} = \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}'\right)\mathbf{A}\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}'\right)$$
(6)

where **1** is a *N*-dimensional column vector whose every element is 1 and **I** is an (*N* **x** *N*) identity matrix.

Projection matrix formation. The projection matrix (or "hat" matrix)¹⁵² **H** is used to estimate coefficients relating the predictor variables to response variables (i.e., the strain gene expression profiles whose similarities are reflected in **G**) in multipleregression context. This matrix is calculated from the predictor values (i.e., SNPs or *cis*-acting genes thought to be the master regulators for the *trans* clusters) in matrix **X** as follows:

$$\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}' \tag{7}$$

Regression of the distance matrices on the projection matrix. An appropriate F statistic for assessing the relationship between the Q predictor variables and variation in the dissimilarities among the N subjects with respect to the P variables is

$$F = \frac{tr(\mathbf{HGH})}{tr[(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{H})]}$$
(8)

where **H** is a hat matrix, **G** is Gower's centered matrix, and **I** is the identity matrix, formed as above.

Assessing statistical significance. The distribution of the F statistic defined in Equation 8 is complicated and its derivation for any particular distance matrix is unlikely to generalize to other distance matrices, especially with small sample sizes. Therefore, permutation tests were used to evaluate the probabilistic significance of an observed F statistic. P-values were computed by recalculating the F statistic for 100,000 random re-orderings (permutations) of the observations (i.e., the rows and columns of **G**), while keeping **X** and **H** constant. *The rationale behind the two groups of predictors*. Initial eQTL mapping localizes the eQTLs into 10Mbp 'bins'. However it is impossible to establish from this mapping whether eQTL₁ which maps to SNP₁ and eQTL₂ which maps to an adjacent SNP₂, are in fact not regulated from same regulatory locus that is neither of those SNPs, but rather is linked to these SNPs. Using SNP marker genotypes as predictors we essentially attempt an even finer mapping utilizing a synthetic dependent variable based on the gene expression profiles of all genes within each of the *trans*-eQTL clusters. The regression portion of the MDMR analysis resembles composite interval mapping, in that the genotypes between known SNPs are imputed using the '*addinterval*' function in the QTL Reaper¹¹³ program.

Using *cis*-eQTL gene expression profiles as predictors is a direct way of testing specific candidate genes. *Cis*-eQTL genes within *trans*-eQTL clusters are prime candidates for *trans*-acting master regulator candidate genes¹⁴⁴.

RESULTS

Of the 15923 transcripts represented on the RAE 230A rat microarray used to profile the HXB/BXH RI strain set, 9931 genes were both expressed and could be reliably localized to a unique genomic location. Only these 9931 localized and expressed genes were used to generate the results described herein.

The cis-eQTL/trans-eQTLs ratio depends on the genome-wide threshold

A total of 1085 eQTLs were detected (Table 4–1) at a genome-wide significance level of $P \le 0.05$, established by 100,000 permutations. Of these,

492 (45.35%) mapped in cis, and 593 (54.65%) mapped in trans. However, with the use of an increasing level of stringency for genome-wide significance, the proportion of *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs changed quickly in favor of *cis*-eQTLs. At the significance level of $P \le 10^{-3}$ more than 90%, and at the $P \le 10^{-5}$ a full 95% of all detected eQTLs were *cis*-acting (Table 4–1). A graphical representation of this trend can be seen on Figure 4–1, on which eQTL peak locations (Mbp) are plotted against their respective transcript gene locations (Mbp), at four different significance thresholds. The results essentially provide a map of adrenal transcriptomic regulation. At a low genome-wide *P*-value, most of the eQTL peaks line up along the diagonal, signifying an overrepresentation of the *cis*-eQTLs (Figure 4-1A). When relaxing the genome-wide P-value, a commensurate increase of the proportion of *trans*-eQTLs can be seen (Figure 4–1B+C). At the genome-wide $P \le 0.05$, the number of *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs is comparable (Figure 4-1D, Table 4-1). Vertical patterns, which correspond to transeQTL clusters (see below), are becoming more pronounced. Further relaxation of the genome-wide *P*-value (P > 0.05) leads to a preponderance of *trans*-eQTLs. The total number (regardless of the P-value) of trans-eQTLs in the dataset was 9044, compared to 887 *cis*-eQTLs (Figure 4–2A). Comparing these numbers with the numbers in Table 4–1, it is clear that a much larger proportion of the overall detected *cis*-eQTLs (492/887 = 55%) satisfies the $P \le 0.05$ threshold than is the case for the *trans*-eQTLs (593/9044 = 7%).

Heritability (H^2) of gene expression as a predictor of eQTL effect

The distribution of H^2 for the abundance levels of different transcripts and its relationship to the eQTL genetic effect was examined. A scatter plot of heritability vs. eQTL effects reveals that the *trans*-eQTLs exhibit lower H^2 and a lower eQTL effect sizes, compared to the *cis*-eQTLs. This trend is even more pronounced if just the significant eQTLs are plotted ($P \le 0.05$), (Figure 4–2B). Heritability of gene expression cannot serve as a direct predictor of eQTL genetic effect, but it clearly delineates its lower limit (Figure 4–2), especially for transcripts with $H^2 > 0.5$.

Median heritability (H^2_{median}) of gene expression differs for *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs

We explored the relationship of H^2 of transcript abundance to eQTL detectability, i.e., the probability that the eQTL will be detected as significant $(P \le 0.05)$. With an increasing stringency for genome-wide significance of eQTLs, the median heritability (H^2_{median}) of the gene expression traits that still exceed the significance threshold also increased. The *cis*-eQTL transcripts displayed markedly higher median heritability $(H^2_{median} = 0.45)$, compared to the *trans*-eQTL transcripts $(H^2_{median} = 0.18)$ at the genome-wide significance level of $P \le 0.05$. The differences in H^2_{median} between the two groups diminished at more stringent genome-wide significance levels, but there was a significantly lower number of *trans*-eQTLs that exceeded the stringent cut-off (Table 4–1).

Clustering of cis- and trans-eQTLs

The distribution of eQTLs across the genome was assessed by counting eQTLs within a 10 Mbp sliding window. *Cis-* and *trans-*eQTLs were evaluated separately. We found that eQTLs were not distributed evenly. Regions with relative eQTL paucity alternated with eQTL-rich regions. In addition, there were a total of five regions exhibiting an agglomeration of *trans-*eQTLs (Figure 4–3) and one exhibiting an agglomeration *cis-*eQTLs (Figure 4–4) that exceeded the cutoff for significant enrichment $P \le 0.01$ (corresponding to the count of 15 eQTLs). We term these regions *trans-* and *cis-*eQTL clusters, respectively.

Clustering trans-eQTLs

Trans-eQTL clusters (TC) were defined by the regions into which the *trans*-eQTLs mapped and by the genes whose transcript levels appear to be regulated from these regions. TC1 mapped to RNO1: 37 - 47 Mbp and consisted of 16 gene transcripts (Table 4–2); TC2 was located on RNO3: 6 - 16 Mbp and contained 21 genes (Table 4–3); TC3 was found on RNO8: 5 - 15 Mbp and included 17 genes (Table 4–4); TC4 localized to RNO11: 25 - 35 Mbp comprising 16 genes (Table 4–5); finally, TC5 mapped to RNO17: 30 - 40 Mbp and consisted of 21 gene transcripts (Table 4–6).

All TCs were examined by the Promoter Analysis and Interaction Network Toolset (PAINT V 3.5) < http://www.dbi.tju.edu/dbi/tools/paint> for common transcription factor (TF) binding motifs. TF binding motifs which could explain coregulation of all transcripts within the TCs were not identified, suggesting the absence of a simple direct TF-based regulatory mechanism.

Testing the *trans*-eQTL clusters by MDMR

To try to determine the exact location of the *trans*-regulatory locus, we applied the MDMR method described above. This method allows one to combine the individual rat strain gene expression profiles of a set of genes (i.e., the *trans*-regulated genes) into a distance matrix which captures the degree to which these profiles are correlated. This matrix is then regressed on predictors such as genotypes or *cis*-acting gene expression values in order to find those that best explain the outcomes. An advantage of this approach is that errors that are inherent to every gene expression experiment can be mitigated by comparing multiple outcomes, thus enabling a more precise detection by means of enrichment in the overrepresented signal, while the signal from outliers is suppressed. In our analysis, we used two separate sets of predictors: the SNP genotypes (which were also used to map the eQTLs) and the *cis*-eQTLs gene profiles that were found within the 10Mbp window of the respective *trans*-eQTL clusters.

Evaluation of the results. The SNPs as predictors in effect test for position of the regulatory element, and the *cis*-eQTLs gene profiles test if specific candidate *cis*-eQTL genes are strongly correlated with the *trans*-eQTL genes in the matrix. Thus, a strong candidate gene should both lie under a peak for linkage between SNP genotypes and the *trans*-eQTL matrix, and it expression profile should exhibit a strong

correlation with the *trans*-eQTL expression profile similarity matrix. Below are the results for individual TCs.

MDMR results for TC1. Regressing the trans-eQTL gene similarity matrix on genotypes yielded significant (P = 0.00007) pseudo F-statistic peaking at 38-40 Mbp of RNO1, the peak SNP explaining 36% of the variation in the TC1 gene transcripts (Figure 4–5). Two cis-eQTL genes were found within the TC1 genomic region. TC1 gene expression similarity matrix was regressed on gene expression profiles of both genes (Table 4–8). *Rbm16* gene predicted 29% of the variation in the TC1 gene transcripts (P = 0.0003). The statistic for second gene, *Tctex1*, was not significant (P = 0.1).

MDMR results for TC2. Regression of the TC2 gene similarity matrix on genotypes did not produce a clear peak (Figure 4–6). A total of 16 *cis*-eQTL genes were mapped within the TC2 genomic region and all were tested for correlation with TC2 gene expression similarity matrix (Table 4–8). *Brd3*, *Rab14* and *1388624_at* exhibited the highest correlation with the TC2 genes.

MDMR results for TC3. Regressing the TC3 gene expression similarity matrix on genotypes yielded significant (P = 0.000011) pseudo F-statistic peaking at 6.5-9.5 Mbp of RNO8, the peak SNP explaining 29% of the variation in the TC3 gene transcripts (Figure 4–7). Four *cis*-eQTL genes were found within the TC3 genomic region. The TC1 gene expression similarity matrix was regressed on the specific gene expression profiles of these four genes. The probesets *1372805_at*, *1371763_at* and *1398460_at* (unknown genes) were significantly correlated with the TC3 gene transcripts (Table 4–8).

MDMR results for TC4. Regressing the trans-eQTL gene expression similarity matrix on genotypes yielded significant (P = 0.000002) pseudo F-statistic peaking at 30-35 Mbp of RNO11, with the peak genotype explaining 41% of the variation in the TC4 gene transcript expression levels (Figure 4–8). A total of six significantly correlated *cis*-eQTL genes were found within the TC4 genomic region (Table 4–8). *Tmem50b* showed the strongest correlation (P = 0.00001) and predicted 45% of the variation in the TC4 gene transcript gene transcript expression levels. Three other genes (*Atp5o, Slc5a3* and *Cbr1*) and two probesets (unknown genes, *1390364_at* and *1370947 at*) were also highly correlated with the TC4 gene matrix (Table 4–8).

MDMR results for TC5. Regressing the trans-eQTL gene expression similarity matrix on genotypes yielded significant (P = 0.000102) pseudo F-statistic peaking at 34-38 Mbp of RNO17, the peak genotype explaining 23% of the variation in the TC5 gene transcripts (Figure 4–9). A total of five significantly correlated cis-eQTL genes were found within the TC5 genomic region (Table 4–8). *Prpf4b* showed the strongest correlation (P = 0.00001) and predicted 34% of the variation in the TC5 gene transcripts. Two other genes (*Bphl* and *Nqo2*) and two probesets (i.e., unknown genes, *1388884_at* and *1373672_at*) were also highly correlated with the TC5 gene matrix (Table 4–8).

No gene ontology enrichment was observed for genes within the five detected *trans*-eQTL clusters (i.e., gene expression profiles reflected used as response variables

116

in the MDMR analysis), suggesting simultaneous regulation of functionally unrelated genes by a general (pathway non-specific) cellular regulatory mechanism, consistent e.g. with pre-mRNA processing.

A cis-eQTL cluster on RNO20

Exploration of the distribution of *cis*-eQTLs within a 10 Mbp sliding widow yielded only one prominent *cis*-eQTL cluster at the genome wide significance of P = 0.01, mapping to RNO20p12 at 0-10 Mbp and consisting of 23 transcripts (Figure 4–4 and Table 4–7). The vast majority of these transcripts belong to the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) of the rat, known also as the RT1 complex. The remaining transcripts correspond to MHC-unrelated genes interspersed among the RT1 genes. The genomic arrangement of the MHC genes with the intervening MHCunrelated genes appears to be conserved in human, rat and mouse¹⁵³. The transcripts belonging to the *cis*-eQTL cluster display a wide spectrum of additive effects, ranging from high positive (72%) to low negative (–94%), and these differences exists even among physically close genes, suggesting independent transcription regulation of these genes (Table 4–7).

DISCUSSION

Analyzing the transcriptome of a tissue provides a glimpse into the functional state at the moment of harvest. Comparing transcriptomes from different tissues or strains, or from same strain under different environmental conditions, can yield insights into which genes are differentially expressed under specific circumstances.

Taking this paradigm one step further, one can utilize genetic linkage methodology to map loci controlling differential gene expression by assembling gene expression data on different individuals or strains that have been genotyped at various genetic loc⁴⁵. This approach can potentially uncover new regulatory pathways and mechanisms that would not be ascertainable by the traditional transcriptome comparisons.

Global gene expression

A gene can be differentially expressed either due to variation in the genic region itself (cis-acting elements, detectable as cis-eQTLs), or due to variation in one or more of the many other genes that participate in the transcriptional process (transacting elements, detectable as *trans*-eQTLs). A key finding from this study is the difference in the statistical strength of the cis- and tras-eQTLs (Table 4-1). When no *P*-value filtering is applied, the majority of the detected eQTLs appear to map in *trans*. However, increasing the *P*-value stringency leads to an increase in the proportion of *cis*-eQTLs, and beyond certain *P*-value ($P \le 0.0001$) the vast majority of eQTLs map in *cis*. The explanation of this phenomenon lies most probably in the complexity of the transcription regulation connected to the *trans*- and *cis*-acting elements. The *trans*acting elements are likely pleiotropic and physically, as well as functionally, removed by several regulatory steps, each of which can be modified by other genetic factor, all of which ultimately weakens the effect of the mapped trans-eQTLs. However, the cisfactors operate at short distance and are most likely involved predominantly in transcription factor or microRNA binding, which has a direct effect on transcription levels or transcript stability.

It can be assumed that each *cis*-eQTL underlies at least one *trans*-eQTL, and that each *trans*-eQTL therefore has its controlling *cis*-eQTL. *Trans*-eQTL clustering can be seen as a reflection of the pleiotropy of some genes on the level of transcript abundance. Based on this assumption, one would expect to see the following general categories of genes among the candidates for *trans*-acting *cis*-eQTL genes: 1) transcription factors, 2) microRNAs, 3) functionally related genes that could elicit a regulatory (compensatory) response to one another, and 4) genes that are involved in vital cellular processes, such as energy metabolism, transcription, translation etc. A related observation supporting such interpretation may be the fact that the *trans*-eQTLs appear to form clusters, whereas the *cis*-eQTLs appear to be distributed in a much more uniform fashion across the genome, with one notable exception, the RT1 complex, which is discussed separately below.

The leading candidates as far as statistical and functional significance from the MDMR analysis of the adrenal transcriptome are two genes involved in pre-mRNA processing, *Rbm16* and *Prpf4b*, which significantly correlate with TC1 and TC5, respectively, and their molecular function is extremely relevant to the measured phenotype, i.e., mRNA abundance. These two genes are discussed below in detail. MDMR results with TC2 and TC3 failed to yield plausible candidates. TC4 data point to several possible candidate genes (*Tmem50b, Atp5o, Slc5a3 and Cbr*), some of which fall into the general categories mentioned above, but their functional link to mRNA abundance is rather unclear and indirect.

Discussion of the pre-mRNA processing genes

Rbm16 (RNA binding motif protein 16) is also known as CTD-binding SR-like protein rA8, or SR-like CTD-associated factor 8 (SCAF8¹⁵⁴). Rbm16 binds the repetitive carboxy-terminal domain (CTD) of RNA polymerase II (Pol II), which is the enzyme that transcribes DNA into pre-mRNA. The transcription is coupled to premRNA processing by the CTD. CTD-binding proteins like Rbm16 recognize it by means of conserved CTD-interacting domain and act with other CTD binding proteins to link transcription and pre-mRNA processing¹⁵⁵. Rbm16 colocalizes in the cell nucleus with phosphorylated Pol II in sites of active transcription and is enriched in a nuclear matrix fraction known to contain proteins involved in pre-mRNA

Prpf4b is a homolog of the pre-mRNA splicing factor *Prpf4*, whose function has been extensively studied in eukaryotes¹⁵⁷⁻¹⁶⁰. Prpf4 is a CDK-like kinase with homology to mitogen-activated protein kinases that associates with spliceosomal U4, U5 and U6 small nuclear ribonucleoproteins and likely acts as one of the kinases responsible for phosphorylation of spliceosomal components during the formation of active spliceosomes^{159,161}. The importance of Prpf4 for pre-mRNA splicing can be demonstrated by the accumulation of unspliced pre-mRNA when Prpf4 function is affected¹⁶⁰. But *Prpf4* has also been shown to interact with proteins involved in nuclear hormone-regulated chromatine remodeling such as Brg1 and N-CoR. Through these proteins, Prpf4 modulates histone deacetylase (HDAC)¹⁵⁸, which is responsible for removing the acetyl groups from histone tails, causing the histones to wrap more

tightly around the DNA, thus blocking access of transcription factors to DNA, leading to a non specific reduction in gene expression. It is known that pre-mRNA splicing occurs co-transcriptionally¹⁶² (i.e., before the synthesis of the pre-mRNA is fully completed) and that the activation of transcription is coincident with chromatin remodeling¹⁶³ (i.e., wrapping and unwrapping of DNA, depending on the histone acetylation status). By interacting with a multitude of proteins involved in both splicing and transcription, Prpf4 provides a functional link between pre-mRNA splicing of the two processes¹⁵⁸.

Transcriptional regulation and pre-mRNA splicing are extremely complex processes that involve hundreds of proteins, RNA molecules and DNA sequences. This complexity prevents any generalization as to the direction of the allelic effect in the case of the results presented here and may help explain why some of the TC1 and TC5 transcripts show greater abundance associated with the SHR allele, whereas others exhibit higher levels associated with the BN allele. A model of how such antipodal allelic effects may arise is outlined in Figure 4–10.

Discussion of the cis-eQTL cluster on RNO20

Analysis of the genome-wide distribution of *cis*-eQTLs revealed a cluster of 23 cis-eQTL genes on 20p12. This chromosomal region harbors the RT1 major histocompatibility complex (MHC) of the rat and many of the *cis*-eQTL genes indeed belong to RT1 (Table 4–7). RT1 shares a great degree of homology with the human HLA and mouse H2 complexes. RT1 has long been a focus of intensive research because of its central role in organ graft rejection and susceptibility to certain complex (e.g., autoimmune) diseases ¹⁵³. RT1 gene expression profiling revealed significant strain- and tissue-specific difference between BN and LEW strains of the rat ¹⁶⁴ but a question remained unresolved of whether the cause of differential expression of RT1 genes lies within the MHC itself, or is regulated by genes outside of it (i.e., genetic background). The genomic and functional aspects of RT1 are still being investigated¹⁶⁵.

The results of this study suggest that a substantial proportion of the inter strain variation in RT1 gene expression can be explained by genetic variation within the complex itself. This is a significant finding also because of the known MHC association with disease. Expression level differences can hold a key to understanding some aspects of genomic and functional regulation of RT1 and its role in disease pathogenesis. Our results imply that association of MHC with diseases may depend on the amount of gene transcription as much as on the restriction of response to antigen.

Conclusions and perspectives

The results in this study describe an important part of the natural variation in gene transcription and the approaches outlined here may be used as a general tool for identification and investigation of disease candidate genes. MDMR method was applied to the problem of selection of master regulator candidate genes that underlie *trans*-eQTL clusters, which were detected by simple eQTL mapping. The results suggest that MDMR analysis can provide a useful addition to the more traditional eQTL mapping strategies. Moreover, using two sets of predictor variables, i.e. *cis*-
eQTL genes and SNPs, allowed us to interrogate each master regulator candidate region by two independent but complementary analyses. This provides a means for corroborating the findings if concordance of results for the two sets of predictor variables is found, as is the case for *Rbm16* and *Prpf4b*.

A caveat in this analysis strategy is that the only variation tested here is the transcript abundance, and thus we could not assess other sources of polymorphism. Also of note is the limited number of genes on the RAE230A microarray, thus allowing us to test only a subset of genes. This almost certainly results in omissions of important candidate genes potentially underlying the trans-eQTL clusters for which we did not detect a plausible candidate.

We demonstrated the utility of the MDMR method for investigation of transcriptome regulation. The MDMR analysis of adrenal eQTL data yielded two candidate genes that are extremely relevant to pleiotropic regulation of transcription. Further functional investigations of these candidate genes will be required to pinpoint the exact molecular mechanisms by which these "master regulators" operate.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank Dr. Timothy Aitman (Imperial College, London, UK), and Dr. Norbert Hübner (Max Delbrück Center, Berlin, Germany), for providing the adrenal gene expression dataset and the latest genotype information on the HXB/BXH RI strain. Special thanks belong to my mentor, Dr. Nicholas Schork, who guided me patiently through the pitfalls of the quantitative data analysis which formed the bulk of this project. The material in this chapter was presented as a poster (Jirout ML, Heinig M, Hubner N, Pravenec M, Kren V, Aitman TJ, O'Connor DT, Schork NJ. *The identification of trans-acting genes that influence the abundance of multiple transcripts simultaneously*. Genomes, Medicine, and the Environment, San Diego, CA, October 8-10, 2007), and is being prepared for publication. I was the primary author of the results contained in all chapters of this dissertation. The co-authors listed on the above publications facilitated and/or supervised the research that formed the basis for these publications.



Figure 4-1 Adrenal transcriptome map. Global representation of the *cis-* and *trans*acting eQTLs across the genome at different genome-wide *P*-values. A preponderance of *cis-*acting eQTLs (diagonal line) at high genome-wide significance levels is evident (A and B). With a relaxation of the genome-wide significance criterion, *trans-*eQTLs become increasingly more detectable (C), some forming vertical patterns (D), which correspond to *trans-*eQTLclusters. The clusters are better appreciated when *trans*eQTLs are counted (per 10 Mbp moving window) and plotted (red curve in D). (Continued.)



Figure 4-1 Adrenal transcriptome map. (Continued.)



Figure 4-2 Heritability of transcript abundance vs. eQTL genetic effect. Heritability is on the abscissa and the genetic effect is on the ordinate (calculated as a ratio of the eQTL additive effect to the overall RI trait average). *Cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs are distiguished by color (*trans* = blue, *cis* = red). A) eQTLs for all expressed and localized genes regardless of the *P*-value. The total number of mapped eQTLs is 9931; 887 *cis*- and 9044 *trans*-eQTLs. B) eQTLs mapped at $P \le 0.05$ (see Table 4-1).



Figure 4-3 Clustering of *trans*-eQTLs. All *trans*-eQTLs detectable at genome-wide *P*-value ≤ 0.05 were counted using a 10 Mbp moving window. Red curve (same as in figure 4-1D) indicates cluster position (abscissa) and cluster size (ordinate). Threshold for cluster size significance ($P \leq 0.01$) is based on the frequency distribution of the *trans*-eQTLs counts. Heat maps above the cluster peaks represent similarity matrices formed from the cluster transcripts, and represent input for the MDMR analysis (see Methods).



Figure 4-4 Clustering of *cis*-eQTLs on RNO 20. All *cis*-eQTLs, detectable at genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05, were counted using a 10 Mbp moving window. The red curve indicates cluster position (abscissa) and cluster size (ordinate). The cluster size significance threshold (P = 0.01) is based on the frequency distribution of the *cis*-eQTL counts. Significant clustering of 23 *cis*-eQTLs on chromosome 20 maps to the RT1 complex.



Figure 4-5 MDMR analysis of the *trans*-eQTL cluster #1 on RNO 1. 16 *trans*-eQTL genes, which mapped to the vicinity of the SNP 1_5 at a genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05 were included in the construction of a similarity matrix. Both genetic markers and *cis*-eQTL genes were then used as predictors of the variance captured by the matrix. The ordinate shows the pseudo-F statistic and the abscissa represents the 10 Mbp interval supporting the *trans*-eQTL cluster. Gene probes are numbered and detailed in the legend.



Figure 4-6 MDMR analysis of the *trans-eQTL* **cluster #2 on RNO 3.** 21 *trans-eQTL* genes mapping to the vicinity of the marker D3Rat194 at a genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05 were included in the construction of a similarity matrix. Both genetic markers and *cis-eQTL* genes were then used as predictors of the variance captured by the matrix. The ordinate shows the pseudo-F statistic and the abscissa represents the 10 Mbp interval supporting the *trans-eQTL* cluster. Gene probes are numbered and detailed in the legend.



Figure 4-7 MDMR analysis of the *trans*-eQTL cluster #3 on RNO 8. 17 *trans*-eQTL genes, which mapped to the vicinity of the SNP 8_4 at a genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05 were included in the construction of a similarity matrix. Both genetic markers and *cis*-eQTL genes were then used as predictors of the variance captured by the matrix. The ordinate shows the pseudo-F statistic and the abscissa represents the 10 Mbp interval supporting the *trans*-eQTL cluster. Gene probes are numbered and detailed in the legend.



Figure 4-8 MDMR analysis of the *trans-eQTL* **cluster #4 on RNO 11.** 16 *trans-eQTL* genes, which mapped to the vicinity of marker D11Rat16 at a genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05 were included in the construction of a similarity matrix. Both genetic markers and *cis-eQTL* genes were then used as predictors of the variance captured by the matrix. The ordinate shows the pseudo-F statistic and the abscissa represents the 10 Mbp interval supporting the *trans-eQTL* cluster. Gene probes are numbered and detailed in the legend.



Figure 4-9 MDMR analysis of the *trans*-eQTL cluster #5 on RNO 17. 21 *trans*-eQTL genes, which mapped to the vicinity of the SNP 17_18 at a genome-wide *P*-value = 0.05 were included in the construction of similarity matrix. Both genetic markers and *cis*-eQTL genes were then used as predictors of the variance captured by the matrix. The ordinate shows the pseudo-F statistic and the abscissa represents the 10 Mbp interval supporting the *trans*-eQTL cluster. Gene probes are numbered and detailed in the legend.



Figure 4-10 A *trans*-eQTL cluster model. A *trans*-acting gene can cause an overexpression of some genes and underexpression of others. This manifests itself as an absence of just one allelic effect within a cluster, i.e. the SHR allele is associated with an increased transcript in some, and a decreased transcript in other eQTLs. We can only speculate about the exact molecular mechanism involved. Here, a model is proposed where the main trans-acting cluster-underlying gene causes overexpression of a repressor gene, which is in turn responsible for a decreased expression of a subgroup of genes in the eQTL cluster.

Table 4-1 Number of eQTLs detected in the HXB/BXH adrenal tissue dataset. For each genome-wide *P*-value, the number of *cis*- and *trans*-eQTLs, as well as the percentages of the total they represent, and the median heritability (H^2_{median}) of the gene expression traits in each group is shown. The total number (regardless of the *P*-value) of *trans*-eQTLs in the dataset was 9044, compared to 887 *cis*-eQTLs.

Threshold	Dete	Detected eQTLs			al eQTLs	H^2_{median} of the eQTL genes				
Theshold	total	cis	trans	cis	trans	total	cis	trans		
P≤0.05	1085	492	593	45.35%	54.65%	0.29	0.45	0.18		
P≤0.01	500	372	128	74.40%	25.60%	0.42	0.48	0.21		
<i>P</i> ≤0.001	273	248	25	90.84%	9.16%	0.53	0.54	0.30		
<i>P</i> ≤0.0001	167	158	9	94.61%	5.39%	0.59	0.59	0.38		
<i>P</i> ≤0.00001	100	95	5	95.00%	5.00%	0.63	0.63	0.62		

Table 4-2 RNO 1 *trans*-eQTL cluster genes. Table contains details on the 16 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs map within the 37 - 47 Mbp region of chromosome 1 (TC1). These genes formed the input for TC1 similarity matrix calculation.

	Gene							QTL						
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Chr	Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add				
1374390_at	Transcribed locus		1	211.71	1	D1Rat15	37.8	0.02	3.8	-0.09				
1371412_a_at	Neuronal regeneration related protein	Nrep	18	25.86	1	D1Rat15	37.8	0.02	4.6	0.25				
1383080_at	Lysosomal membrane glycoprotein 2	Lamp2	Х	6.93	1	1_4	39.2	0.00	5.2	0.09				
1388558_at	Adenylate kinase 3	Ak3	1	232.67	1	1_4	39.2	0.01	4.9	-0.11				
1377123_at	Solute carrier family 27 (fatty acid transporter), member 4	Slc27a4	3	8.80	1	1_4	39.2	0.03	3.6	-0.10				
1371961_at	Phospholipase D family, member 3 MGC109410	Pld3	1	82.69	1	1_4	39.2	0.05	5.1	-0.19				
1367679_at	CD74 antigen (MHC II antigen-associated)	Cd74	18	56.76	1	1_5	39.2	0.00	6.8	0.20				
1370383_s_at	RT1 class II, locus Db1, RT1-D beta, RT1-Db, RT1-Db1n	RT1-Db1	20	4.68	1	1_5	39.2	0.01	5.9	0.16				
1374053_at	Minichromosome maintenance deficient 3 assoc protein	Mcm3ap	20	12.56	1	1_5	39.2	0.01	4.4	0.06				
1382339_a_at	Chromodomain helicase DNA binding protein 1	Chd1	1	54.60	1	1_5	39.2	0.03	4.4	-0.18				
1370883_at	RT1 class II, locus Da, RT1-Daa, RT1-Dab, RT1-Dac, RT1-Dad	RT1-Da	20	4.64	1	1_5	39.2	0.03	3.7	0.14				
1389332_at	Transcribed locus		7	55.74	1	1_5	39.2	0.04	4.2	0.08				
1394012_at	Zinc finger, SWIM domain containing 6 Ab2-064	Zswim6	2	39.02	1	1_6	39.8	0.00	4.9	0.11				
1372635_at	Transcribed locus		10	40.93	1	1_6	39.8	0.02	4.5	0.11				
1389347_at	Phosphatidylinositol transfer protein, membrane-associated	Pitpnm1	1	206.69	1	D1Rat18	43.8	0.02	5.4	0.09				
1368710_at	Serine/threonine kinase	Mark2	1	209.99	1	D1Mit9	43.8	0.05	2.7	0.04				

Legend:

LOD = LOD score

Table 4-3 RNO 3 *trans-eQTL* cluster genes. Table contains details on the 21 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs map withinthe 6 - 16 Mbp region of chromosome 3 (TC2). These genes formed the input for TC2 similarity matrix calculation.

	Gene		QTL							
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Ch	r Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add
1376585 at	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L50	Mrpl50	5	66.26	3	36	6.7	0.00	5.6	-0.07
1369352 [_] at	Homeodomain interacting protein kinase 3	Hipk3	3	89.78	3	Dbh	6.1	0.00	6.4	-0.25
1393593 [_] at	Transcribed locus		2	83.58	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.00	6.2	-0.15
1376137 [_] at	Pleckstrin homology domain containing, family B	Plekhb2	9	33.60	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.01	8.9	-0.21
1369912_at	V-crk sarcoma virus CT10 oncogene homolog	Crk	10	63.03	3	3_11	14.4	0.01	4.6	-0.13
1387641_at	RAB5A, member RAS oncogene family	Rab5a	9	1.50	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.01	6.2	-0.09
1393467_at	Proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 5	Pcsk5	1	221.12	3	3_11	14.4	0.02	5.2	-0.19
1371108_a_at	Atpase, Na+/K+ transporting, alpha 1 polypeptide	Atp1a1	2	196.67	3	3_11	14.4	0.02	5.8	-0.18
1388072_at	SH3-binding kinase 1 Sbk	Sbk1	1	185.35	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.02	6.7	-0.47
1367506_at	Mitochondrial ribosomal protein L11	mrpl11	1	207.58	3	3_11	14.4	0.02	3.9	0.05
1374964_at	Dipeptidylpeptidase 8	Dpp8	8	69.30	3	3_9	11.8	0.02	4.1	-0.05
1371192_at	Neurofibromatosis 2	Nf2	14	85.47	3	3_11	14.4	0.02	6.2	-0.27
1369779_at	Myosin IC	Myo1c	10	68.86	3	3_8	10.6	0.03	6.3	-0.33
1387368_at	Muscle and microspikes RAS	Mras	8	104.26	3	3_11	14.4	0.03	3.6	-0.07
1375233_at	Transcribed locus		5	156.11	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.03	3.7	0.11
1385889_at	Transcribed locus		9	36.37	3	D3Rat194	10.2	0.03	4.8	-0.16
1370527_a_at	Casein kinase 1, delta	Csnk1d	10	110.18	3	3_11	14.4	0.03	4.6	-0.12
1367910_at	MAD homolog 4 (Drosophila)	Madh4	18	70.45	3	3_11	14.4	0.04	4.1	-0.09
1376990_at	Transcribed locus		15	34.04	3	3_11	14.4	0.04	4.1	0.07
1387786_at	Myotrophin	Mtpn	4	62.91	3	3_11	14.4	0.04	5.0	-0.11
1370488_a_at	Protein tyrosine phosphatase, receptor type, D	Ptprd	9	0.63	3	39	11.8	0.04	4.8	-0.16

Legend:

LOD = LOD score Add = Additive effect **Table 4-4RNO 8** trans-eQTL cluster genes. Table contains details on the 17 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs map withinthe 5 - 15 Mbpregion of chromosome 8 (TC3). These genes formed the input for TC3 similarity matrix calculation.

	Gene						QTL						
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Ch	r Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add			
1389102_at	Similar to dedicator of cyto-kinesis 2		1	194.63	8	8_3	7.4	0.00	5.3	0.08			
1372050_at	Glycosyltransferase 25 domain containing 1	Glt25d1	16	18.82	8	D8Mit6	9.6	0.01	4.6	-0.05			
1392746_x_at	Transcribed locus		10	43.65	8	8_3	7.4	0.02	6.6	-0.10			
1388543_at	Transcribed locus		1	188.58	8	8_4	9.5	0.02	3.6	-0.07			
1383331_at	Transcribed locus		20	30.06	8	D8Mit6	9.6	0.02	4.1	0.12			
1383884_at	Transcribed locus		15	2.57	8	8_4	9.5	0.02	4.5	0.16			
1374326_at	Peter pan homolog	Ppan	8	19.91	8	8_3	7.4	0.03	5.0	-0.11			
1371626_at	Signal recognition particle 68	Srp68	10	106.37	8	8_3	7.4	0.03	5.6	-0.05			
1398864_at	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2G 1	Ube2g1	10	59.54	8	8_3	7.4	0.03	4.3	0.06			
1388951_at	Transcribed locus		1	85.63	8	8_2	6.4	0.04	3.9	-0.05			
1389086_at	Transcribed locus		13	98.89	8	8_4	9.5	0.04	4.2	-0.10			
1368282_at	Dipeptidase 1 (renal)	Dpep1	19	53.51	8	8_4	9.5	0.04	3.6	-0.14			
1398849_at	H3 histone, family 3B	RGD:621095	10	106.13	8	8_3	7.4	0.04	3.6	-0.06			
1375692_at	Mitogen activated protein kinase 1	Mapk1	11	86.00	8	8_4	9.5	0.04	4.8	0.09			
1374178_at	ADP-ribosylation factor-like 5	Arl5	3	33.92	8	D8Mit6	9.6	0.04	5.4	0.10			
1370426_a_at	ATPase, Ca++ transporting, cardiac muscle	Atp2a2	12	35.29	8	D8Mit6	9.6	0.05	3.6	0.04			
1398808_at	Inositol (myo)-1(or 4)-monophosphatase 1	Impa1	2	93.43	8	8_2	6.4	0.05	4.0	0.08			

Legend:

LOD = LOD score Add = Additive effect **Table 4-5 RNO 11** *trans-eQTL* cluster genes. Table contains details on the 16 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs map withinthe 25 - 35 Mbpregion of chromosome 11 (TC4).These genes formed the input for TC4 similarity matrix calculation.

	Gene						QTL						
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Ch	r Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add			
1370408 at	Putative small membrane protein NID6	7 Nid67	18	56.44	11	11 9	27.4	0.02	4.7	-0.13			
1370384 a at	Prolactin receptor RATPRLR	Prlr	2	59.52	11	11 11	29.4	0.01	5.3	0.16			
1367680_at	Acyl-Coenzyme A oxidase 1, palmitoyl	Acox1	10	106.29	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.00	5.0	-0.10			
1373135_at	Transcribed locus		10	90.02	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.00	6.0	-0.10			
1370964_at	Arginosuccinate synthetase	Ass	3	10.60	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.00	5.7	-0.47			
1389903_at	Pituitary tumor-transforming 1 interacting protein	Pttg1ip	20	11.42	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.02	4.6	-0.08			
1369917_at	Neurotrophin receptor associated death domain PLAIDI	D Nradd	8	115.00	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.02	4.6	0.07			
1377266_at	Transcribed locus		Х	86.20	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.02	4.4	0.09			
1398450_at	Transcribed locus		3	59.76	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.03	4.3	-0.15			
1374725_at	Transcribed locus		2	200.09	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.03	3.8	0.10			
1368082_at	Solute carrier family 4, member 2	Slc4a2	4	6.11	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.03	4.9	0.19			
1372900_at	Phosphatidylinositol glycan, class T	RGD:1307156	3	155.52	11	D11Rat16	30.8	0.03	4.6	0.07			
1371409_at	Transcribed locus		18	71.87	11	D11Mit2	30.9	0.00	5.8	-0.06			
1375266_at	Transcribed locus		4	163.77	11	D11Mit2	30.9	0.02	4.3	-0.17			

Legend: LOD = LOD score

Table 4-6 RNO 17 *trans-eQTL* cluster genes. Table contains details on the 21 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs map withinthe 30 - 40 Mbpregion of chromosome 17 (TC5).These genes formed the input for TC5 similarity matrix calculation.

	Gene							QTL					
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Chr	Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add			
1368657_at	Matrix metallopeptidase 3	Mmp3	8	4.32	17	D17Rat11	30.9	0.05	4.7	0.10			
1372815_at	Mago-nashi homolog, proliferation-associated	Magoh	5	128.99	17	17_17	34.3	0.01	6.3	-0.09			
1389227_at	Ras homolog gene family, member g arhg	Rhog	1	159.80	17	17_17	34.3	0.01	4.7	0.09			
1370899_at	Splicing factor proline/glutamine rich	Sfpq	5	146.48	17	17_17	34.3	0.02	5.6	-0.09			
1373969_at	Sh3 domain protein 4	Sorbs3	15	50.60	17	17_17	34.3	0.02	4.0	0.07			
1387780_at	Dnaj (hsp40) homolog, subfamily a, member 2	Dnaja2	19	22.86	17	17_17	34.3	0.04	4.3	-0.06			
1372242_at	Dead (asp-glu-ala-asp) box polypeptide 3, x-linked	Ddx3x	Х	21.49	17	17_17	34.3	0.04	3.5	-0.06			
1373045_at	Potassium channel tetramerisation domain containing 13	Kctd13	1	186.11	17	17_18	34.9	0.00	4.4	0.11			
1375674_at	Transcribed locus		10	10.90	17	17_18	34.9	0.01	6.0	0.17			
1377391_at	Calcium binding protein 39-like	Cab39I	15	38.63	17	17_18	34.9	0.01	3.5	0.08			
1373821_at	Slc30a5_predicted: solute carrier family 30, member 5	Slc30a5	2	31.62	17	17_18	34.9	0.01	4.5	-0.07			
1369974_at	Vesicle-associated membrane protein 2	Vamp2	10	55.85	17	17_18	34.9	0.02	6.0	0.12			
1370188_at	Splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 10	Sfrs10	11	81.04	17	17_18	34.9	0.02	4.5	-0.05			
1374870_at	Collagen, type xxvii, alpha 1	Col27a1	5	80.26	17	17_18	34.9	0.02	5.0	0.13			
1392604_at	Nad(p) dependent steroid dehydrogenase-like	Nsdhl	Х	158.94	17	17_18	34.9	0.03	4.1	-0.15			
1374945_at	Transcribed locus		6	136.46	17	17_18	34.9	0.04	4.0	-0.13			
1368029_at	Guanine nucleotide binding protein, alpha inhibiting 3	Gnai3	2	203.69	17	17_18	34.9	0.04	4.0	-0.10			
1388333_at	Ring-box 1	Rbx1	7	119.83	17	17_18	34.9	0.04	4.1	-0.07			
1389721_at	Transcribed locus		1	77.72	17	17_18	34.9	0.04	4.5	0.17			
1372143_at	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme e2 variant 2	Ube2v2	11	87.24	17	17_18	34.9	0.04	4.2	-0.09			
1373531_at	Cleavage and polyadenylation specific factor 1, 160kda	Cpsf1	7	114.65	17	17_19	40.0	0.02	5.0	0.08			

Legend:

LOD = LOD score

	Gene		QTL							
Probe ID	Title	Symbol	Chr	Mbp	Chr	Locus	Mbp	<i>P</i> -val	LOD	Add
1371171_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	0.06	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	16.6	0.57
1390562_s_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	0.08	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	13.0	-0.94
1388236_x_at	RT1 class I, CE12	RT1-CE12	20	0.15	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	13.4	-0.37
1389734_x_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	2.84	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	6.8	0.29
1388694_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	2.87	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	6.7	-0.44
1374927_at	Transcribed locus		20	3.04	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	8.6	0.27
1370290_at	Tubulin, beta 5	Tubb5	20	3.06	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	12.6	-0.31
1388255_x_at	RT1 class I, CE5	RT1-CE5	20	3.51	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	12.1	0.63
1370972_x_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	3.53	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	8.2	-0.18
1371213_at	RT1 class I, A3	RT1-A3	20	3.60	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	15.8	-0.39
1372956_at	RT1 class lb, locus Aw2	RT1-Aw2	20	3.76	20	20_2	2.9	0.01	5.2	-0.07
1371985_a_at	HLA-B associated transcript 5	Bat5	20	3.79	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	8.2	-0.25
1377091_at	NG5 protein	Ng5	20	4.22	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	6.8	0.20
1371033_at	RT1 class II, locus Bb	RT1-Bb	20	4.73	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	13.2	0.62
1370428_x_at	RT1 class I, A3	RT1-A3	20	5.02	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	17.1	0.72
1394386_s_at	Vacuolar protein sorting 52	Vps52	20	5.08	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	12.9	-0.46
1369726_at	TAP binding protein	Tapbp	20	5.11	20	20_2	2.9	0.00	6.2	-0.15
1383225_at	Transcribed locus		20	6.41	20	20_2	2.9	0.01	5.8	0.16
1377136_at	Mitogen activated protein kinase 14	Mapk14	20	6.97	20	20_3	6.8	0.00	6.0	-0.17
1374429_at	Transcribed locus		20	7.82	20	20_3	6.8	0.00	17.6	-0.73
1389481_at	Transcribed locus		20	7.60	20	20_4	8.0	0.00	6.7	-0.10
1399098_at	Glyoxylase 1	Glo1	20	8.91	20	20_6	8.3	0.00	11.8	0.12
1389369_at	Transcribed locus		20	5.07	20	20_7	9.1	0.00	7.7	-0.13

Table 4-7 RNO 20 *cis*-eQTL cluster genes. Table contains details on the 23 gene transcripts, whose eQTLs mapwithin the 0 - 10 Mbp region of chromosome 20.

Legend:

LOD = LOD score

Table 4-8 Cis-eQTL genes located within the trans-eQTL cluster regions used as predictors in the MDMR analysis.

Horizontal lines separate the five clusters. The results are given in the last theree columns of the table as pseudo-F statistic, *P*-value (based on 100 000 permutations) and amount of variance (%) in the similarity matrix that can be explained by the predictor. Gene position, gene transcript abundance heritability in the RI strains, t-test *P*-value for differences between progenitors, as well as *cis*-eQTL peak position and *P*-value for each respective gene are also detailed in the table.

(Continued.)

Genes used a	as pre	edictors	in MDMR	Gene	transcript	info	cis-eQTL details			MDMR results		
Probeset ID	Chr	Position (Mbp)	Gene symbol	<i>t</i> -test <i>P</i> -value	H ² (%) in RI strains	NR	Chr	Position (Mbp)	eQTL <i>P</i> -value	pseudo-F statistic	MDMR <i>P</i> -value	% variance explained
1369896_s_a	at 1	38.1	Rbm16	9x10 ⁻¹	25	1.03	1	37.1	5x10 ⁻²	11.62	3x10 ⁻⁴	29
1386882_at	1	41.1	Tctex1	6x10 ⁻³	53	1.64	1	37.1	5x10 ⁻¹	2.37	1x10 ⁻¹	8
1370564_at	3	6.1	Dbh	2x10 ⁻²	64	-2.35	3	6.0	4x10 ⁻⁴	5.04	7x10 ⁻³	15
1372323_at	3	6.1	Sardh	1x10 ⁻²	44	-1.52	3	6.0	2x10 ⁻⁵	6.53	1x10 ⁻³	18
1372775_at	3	6.4	Brd3	4x10 ⁻¹	18	1.35	3	11.8	6x10 ⁻¹	9.59	1x10 ⁻⁴	25
1389816_at	3	9.2	Endog	2x10 ⁻¹	26	-2.14	3	6.0	2x10 ⁻⁴	2.93	4x10 ⁻²	9
1373537_at	3	10.1	Fnbp1	1x10 ⁻²	49	1.25	3	10.2	9x10 ⁻⁴	6.48	2x10 ⁻³	18
1389713_at	3	11.3		2x10 ⁻¹	56	1.19	3	10.6	4x10 ⁻⁶	4.08	1x10 ⁻²	12
1368267_at	3	11.3	Pomt1	6x10 ⁻³	69	-1.59	3	10.6	3x10 ⁻³	3.06	4x10 ⁻²	10
1373100_at	3	11.4		1x10 ⁻¹	3	1.31	3	15.4	3x10 ⁻¹	5.65	3x10 ⁻³	16
1390214_a_a	at 3	11.5	Ciz1	2x10 ⁻¹	14	-1.20	3	10.6	2x10 ⁻¹	0.40	8x10 ⁻¹	1
1388624_at	3	11.5		4x10 ⁻¹	39	1.68	3	10.6	8x10 ⁻¹	8.93	3x10 ⁻⁴	24
1372759_at	3	11.7	Cdk9	2x10 ⁻¹	7	1.17	3	11.8	1x10 ⁰	1.13	3x10 ⁻¹	4
1373331_at	3	11.9	Lrsam1	5x10 ⁻²	36	-1.72	3	11.8	3x10 ⁻⁴	2.66	6x10 ⁻²	8
1375181_at	3	12.0		2x10 ⁻¹	43	1.27	3	11.8	8x10 ⁻¹	2.46	7x10 ⁻²	8
1372267_at	3	13.9	Psmd5	4x10 ⁻²	26	1.28	3	11.8	1x10 ⁻³	2.15	9x10 ⁻²	7
1375687_at	3	14.2	Rab14	8x10 ⁻¹	30	-1.07	3	14.4	1x10 ⁻²	10.61	5x10 ⁻⁵	27
1370335_at	3	14.8	Dab2ip	5x10 ⁻¹	25	-1.21	3	14.4	6x10 ⁻³	1.74	1x10 ⁻¹	6

Genes used	d as p	oredictors	in MDMR	Gene transcript info			<i>cis</i> -eQTL details			MDMR results			
Probeset ID	Chr	Position (Mbp)	Gene symbol	t-test <i>P</i> -value	H ² (%) RI strair	in ns NR	Chr	Position (Mbp)	eQTL <i>P</i> -value	pseudo-F statistic	MDMR <i>P</i> -value	% variance explained	
1372805_at	8	11.2		3x10 ⁻³	64	-1.56	8	9.5	1x10 ⁻⁴	8.77	2x10 ⁻⁴	23	
1373838_at	8	11.5	Fut4	4x10 ⁻¹	28	-1.20	8	7.4	1x10 ⁻¹	1.60	2x10 ⁻¹	5	
1371763_at	8	12.1		8x10 ⁻¹	2	-1.04	8	6.4	7x10 ⁻¹	4.93	4x10⁻ ³	15	
1398460_at	8	12.1		1x10 ⁻¹	61	1.43	8	9.5	1x10 ⁻⁵	13.04	1x10⁻ ⁵	31	
1368223_at	11	25.4	Adamts1	5x10 ⁻¹	26	1.23	11	28.4	3x10 ⁻¹	12.61	1x10 ⁻⁵	30	
1388586_at	11	31.0	Synj1	6x10 ⁻¹	21	-1.09	11	34.8	1x10 ⁰	4.79	1x10⁻²	14	
1371347_at	11	31.6	Tmem50b	3x10 ⁻¹	46	-1.34	11	30.9	2x10 ⁻⁵	24.41	1x10⁻ ⁵	46	
1370276_at	11	31.9	Atp5o	2x10 ⁻¹	49	1.26	11	30.8	8x10 ⁻²	14.52	4x10⁻ ⁵	33	
1389110_at	11	32.1	Slc5a3	8x10 ⁻²	61	1.14	11	34.8	2x10 ⁻²	15.64	1x10 ⁻⁵	35	
1390364_at	11	32.8		5x10 ⁻²	17	-1.49	11	30.8	7x10 ⁻⁴	19.80	1x10⁻ ⁵	41	
1370947_at	11	33.8		3x10 ⁻¹	56	1.07	11	29.4	8x10 ⁻³	17.64	2x10 ⁻⁵	38	
1368037_at	11	33.8	Cbr1	1x10 ⁻²	78	1.70	11	29.4	1x10 ⁻⁵	17.77	1x10⁻ ⁵	38	
1373200_at	17	32.2	Eef1e1	1x10 ⁻¹	34	1.92	17	34.3	3x10 ⁻³	8.58	5x10 ⁻⁵	23	
1388884_at	17	36.3		3x10 ⁻¹	42	1.26	17	34.9	9x10 ⁻²	11.80	1x10 ⁻⁵	29	
1376010_at	17	36.3	Prpf4b	1x10 ⁻¹	49	1.26	17	34.9	5x10 ⁻²	14.99	1x10 ⁻⁵	34	
1372686_at	17	37.1		3x10 ⁻¹	14	-1.29	17	34.3	2x10 ⁻¹	0.99	4x10 ⁻¹	3	
1388617_at	17	37.1	Bphl	4x10 ⁻³	77	2.65	17	34.9	1x10 ⁻⁵	9.23	7x10⁻ ⁵	24	
1374959_at	17	37.3	Nqo2	1x10 ⁻³	88	-2.20	17	34.9	1x10 ⁻⁵	9.77	3x10⁻ ⁵	25	
1373672_at	17	37.8		3x10 ⁻¹	66	1.22	17	34.9	1x10 ⁻⁵	12.15	1x10⁻ ⁵	30	

Table 4-8	Cis-eQTL	genes located within	the trans-eQTL	cluster regions	used as predictor	s in the MDMI	R analysis. (Continued	l.)
-----------	----------	----------------------	----------------	-----------------	-------------------	---------------	------------------------	-----

Chapter 5

Conclusions and Perspectives:

Significance of the Presented Results and Future Directions

SIGNIFICANCE OF THE PRESENTED RESULTS

The construction of a high resolution linkage map and the genetic characterization of the HXB/BXH RI strain panel (described in Chapter 2), which is one of only two rat RI population (the other being the LEXF/FXLE RI set¹⁶⁶), will allow researchers to maximize the potential of these strains for mapping genes contributing to the expression of complex phenotypes that are measurable in the HXB/BXH model population. Furthermore, the analysis presented in this dissertation revealed important limitations in terms of power to detect QTLs of small phenotypic effect, largely attributable to the relatively low number of strains in the HXB/BXH RI population. Another important conclusion emerging from this analysis is that the recently undertaken extensive SNP genotyping in the RI strains⁵¹ provides only a limited increment in mapping resolution over the current microsatellite map, again most likely attributable to the number of strains in the RI panel.

The delineation of a regulatory genetic network controlling catecholamine biosynthesis in the SHR (described in Chapter 3) represents a significant advance on previously published work on several accounts. Firstly, we have solved the molecular basis of dysregulated catecholamine synthesis and secretion in the SHR. This abnormality was described more than 30 years ago in two Nature papers^{89,90}, and scores of papers have been written in the intervening decades trying to understand the underlying mechanism. The findings presented in this dissertation conclude this work, by identifying the molecular basis of these traits which are central to the hypertensive phenotype in the SHR. Secondly, we have described the molecular basis of these

146

phenotypes comprehensively, with causative changes found at the level of genomic sequence, transcript, enzyme activity and catecholamine content. In addition, the discovery of molecular mechanism for a physiological QTL is significant by itself, since only less than 20 of the more than 2,000 QTLs so far identified in mice and rats were characterized at a molecular level⁴⁴. Thirdly, these observations of the basis of catecholamine dysregulation in the SHR have potentially profound physiological and evolutionary consequences on the basis of correlations between biochemical changes and both blood pressure and heart rate.

The analysis of the adrenal transcriptome (described in Chapter 4) resulted in the characterization of the natural variation in gene expression levels. The expression level of most genes is a heritable trait, regulated by genetic variation, either in the genic region itself (*cis*) or from more distant loci (*trans*). Identification of *trans*-eQTL clusters implies that a group of genes are jointly regulated from a single locus. Such grouping is conceivable either on the basis of functional relatedness of the coregulated genes that could elicit a regulatory (compensatory) response to one another, or on the basis of genes exhibiting pleiotropic effects due to their involvement in vital cellular processes, such as energy metabolism, transcription, and translation. In our analysis we established that the latter was the case for the HXB/BXH adrenal transcriptome. Using a novel multivariate distance-matrix regression analysis (MDMR) to ascertain similarities among the co-regulated transcripts (and suppress the effect of noise) we identified two genes involved in pre-mRNA processing – a process that is extremely relevant to the examined phenotype, i.e. transcript abundance. An additional finding is the *cis*-eQTL clustering in the MHC complex genomic region, indicating that MHC haplotypes confer substantial variation in MCH gene expression. This is noteworthy especially because of the known MHC association with numerous diseases.

THE FUTURE OF COMPLEX TRAIT ANALYSIS

Understanding the genetic architecture of complex traits continues to be of great interest to the biomedical community. The majority of common chronic human diseases, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, obesity, diabetes, neurodegenerative diseases, and behavioral disorders, are a result of complex pathologies that implicate many molecular and physiologic processes. Disease susceptibility and progression are influenced by numerous genetic, developmental and environmental factors. The contributing genetic factors are presumed to be a combination of common sequence variants of small effect and rare sequence variants of large effect, as proposed by R.A. Fisher²⁰. This concept was recently shown to be consistent with the genetic basis of type 1 diabetes (T1D) susceptibility, as a recent analysis yielded a characteristic L-shaped distribution of allelic effect sizes for the ten loci so far confirmed in T1D¹⁶⁷.

The recent explosion of genome-wide association studies (GWAS), made possible chiefly by the availability of the high-throughput genotyping technologies, has resulted in the identification of a large number of risk alleles for common human diseases. Almost all of the newly identified risk alleles, however, have small effect sizes (odds ratio < 2). A complementary strategy to the GWAS is deep population resequencing of candidate genes¹⁶⁸. This strategy consists of use of knowledge of disease pathophysiology to select plausible candidates for re-sequencing in many diseased and healthy individuals, with the hope that mutations will be discovered that can be further subjected to testing. A great advantage of this strategy is the ability to detect alleles of large phenotypic effect, which would be missed in GWAS because of their likely low population frequency.

Linkage studies have the ability to detect the causative genomic regions likely to harbor important DNA sequence variations, without *a priori* knowledge of the location of any specific disease-causing allele. A gene can be affected by many different mutations in various individuals, which still can lead to the same disease due the same genic function being disrupted (e.g. cystic fibrosis). In such situations, linkage analysis will have advantage over association study because the heterogeneity of the disease-causing alleles would plague association analyses involving specific variations, but not in the identification of the gross genomic region harboring these variations via linkage analysis.

Despite the progress of genetic analysis in humans, model organisms will likely continue to contribute important pieces to genetic puzzles surrounding complex disease expression. This is particularly true of the large-scale effort to create "The Collaborative Cross", a community resource for the genetic analysis of complex traits, which will consist of a thousand recombinant inbred strains of the mouse originating from eight inbred progenitors¹⁶⁹, thus segregating eight potential alleles at each locus, compared to the two customary alleles in crosses like the HXB/BXH. Such model

149

population will better represent the genetic structure of human populations and will allow more accurate modeling of gene-gene and gene-environment interactions¹⁷⁰.

It can be safely predicted that the future of complex trait analysis lies with a combination of all four above mentioned approaches. As the cost of re-sequencing continues to fall, deep re-sequencing will be carried out in large number of individuals and across a wide range of disease. Information on rare disease causing mutations will continue to grow and will eventually be combined with information from GWAS to construct multifactorial models of disease in an attempt to quantify individual risk and predict disease outcome. This will potentially lead to timely identification of individuals at risk, improved prevention, and treatment tailored to the genetic profile, as is the stated goal of Personalized Medicine. A catalog of human variation in heath and disease is already being compiled via the "Human Variome Project"¹⁷¹. Such resources, completed with the results of longitudinal studies of the carriers of specific genetic variants, will further help increase our understanding of the genetic structure of complex traits.

Appendices

Appendix 1: Marker strain distribution patterns

Legend: Markers are identified by chromosome number and name. Marker position (Haldane cM) and strain distribution pattern (rows) for each strain (columns) are given. Strain distribution patterns are listed with the strains in the following order: 1HXB, 2HXB, 3HXB, 4HXB, 5HXB, 7HXB, 10HXB, 15HXB, 17HXB, 18HXB, 20HXB, 21HXB, 22HXB, 23HXB, 24HXB, 25HXB, 27HXB, 29HXB, 31HXB, 2BXH, 3BXH, 5BXH, 6BXH, 8BXH, 9BXH, 10BXH, 11BXH, 12BXH and 13BXH. Genotype coding: 'H' = SHR, 'B' = BN.*Lx*, '-' = unknown.

Chr	Marker	сM	Strain distribution patterns
Chr1	D1Rat327	0.0	ВНВННВНВВВВВНВННВВВВННННВВВННВ
Chr1	D1Rat7	3.2	ВНВННВНВВВВВВННННВВВННННВВННВ
Chr1	D1Rat186	4.1	ннвннвнввввввннннвввннннввннв
Chr1	D1Mgh2	21.2	ннвннвнннвннвввнввввнннввввнв
Chr1	D1Rat252	25.8	ВНВННВНННВВННВВНВВВНННВВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Utr6	27.7	нннннвнннввннввнввввнннввввв
Chr1	Slc9a3	32.7	ННВННВН-НВВВНВВНВ-ВВННВНВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Rat15	37.7	НВВННВНННВВНВВВНВВВВННННВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Cebr68s1	42.3	нвннннннввнвввннвнвннннввввв
Chr1	D1Rat18	45.4	нввннннвннвнвввннвнвннннввввв
Chr1	D1Mit9	47.4	НВВНННВВНВВНВВВННВНВННННВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Rat20	49.4	ннвннннвнввнвввннвнвннннввввв
Chr1	D1Rat256	52.5	нннннннннынвнвввннвнвннннввввв
Chr1	D1Rat24	63.0	вннннннвнвнввннвввнннннввнвв
Chr1	Cyp2b2	66.3	ннннннвнвнвнвнннвввннннввнв-
Chr1	D1Cebrp131s2	68.4	ННННННННВНВНВНННВВНННННВ - НВН
Chr1	D1Rat212	71.7	ннннннннвнвнвнннвввнннннннвв
Chr1	D1Rat27	77.9	ннннннвнвввнвнннввнвнвннннвв
Chr1	D1Rat266	79.9	нннннывнннынннывнывныннныв
Chr1	Klk1	84.4	нннннввнвнвннннввнвнвнвнннвв
Chr1	D1Rat30	92.6	ВНННННВНННВНННННВНВНВНВНВНВ
Chr1	D1Rat268	98.7	внвнннннныннныннывнывывны
Chr1	D1Cebr31s2	101.9	ннннннннныннынныннынны
Chr1	D1Arb11	104.5	-н-нннннн-вн-ннн-ннвввввн-ннв
Chr1	D1Cebr72s1	107.0	ННВННННННВВННВННВННВВВНВНВННВ
Chr1	D1Cebr100s2	109.0	НННННННННВННВННВННВВНВНВННВ
Chr1	D1Rat35	113.5	ВННННННННВННВНВВННВВВВНВНВВ
Chr1	D1Rat270	118.1	ВННННННВВВННВВВВННВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Rat42	124.3	ВНВННННВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Cebr16s1	127.4	ННВННВНВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Arb15	128.3	ННВННВНВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Utr9	131.5	ннвннвнввввнвввввннвввввннвв
Chr1	D1Arb16	136.5	ННВННВНВВНВН-НВВВВНВНВВВННВ-
Chr1	D1Rat47	137.5	ннвннвнввввнвнввввнвнввввннвв
Chr1	D1Rat277	138.4	ННВННВНВВВВНВНВВВВВВНВВВВННВВ
Chr1	D1Cebr21s2	139.9	ННВННВВВВВВНВНВВВВВ
Chr1	D1Cebr7s3	141.3	ННВННВВВВВВНВНВВВНВВНВВВВННВВ
Chr1	D1Arb17	142.2	ннвннввввввнвнввввввнввввннвв
Chr1	D1Rat287	146.8	ННВННВВВНВВНВНВВВНВВНВВНВВНВВ

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr1	Mt1pa	147.7	ННВННВВВНВВНВВВВВНВВНВВВНВНВВ
Chr1	Lsn	149.7	ННВННВВВНВВНВВВВВВВНВВННВННВНВВ
Chr1	Scnnlg	153.0	ННВННВВВНВВНВ-ВВВНВВНВВВНННВВ
Chr1	D1Cebr10s3	161.5	НННННВВВННВНННВНВНВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr1	Igf2	163.5	НННННВВВНВВННВВНВВНВВННВНВВ
Chrl	D1Rat292	165.5	НННННВВВННВННВВНВННВНВВННВНВВ
Chrl	D1Rat293	173.6	ННВННВВВННВНВВВНВНВННВННВННВ
Chrl	D1Rat71	178.1	ВВВННВВВННВНВННВНВННВННВННВ
Chrl	D1Rat296	188.6	ННВНННВВННННВННВВНВНВВННВННВ
Chr1	D1Mit34	196.8	ВННННВВНВННВВНВВВВВВВВВВ
Chrl	Jak2	201.2	ВНН-Н-В-ВВВНВВ-ВВНВВВНН-ННН
Chrl	D1Rat77	207.9	ВНВНННВВВВННВВНВВВВВВННН
Chrl	D1Rat304	216.0	ВНННВНВВВВННВВННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chrl	D1Utr5	217.0	ВНННВНВВНВННВВННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chrl	D1Cebrp29s6	217.9	ВНВНВВНВННВВННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chrl	D1Utr7	221.0	ВНННВНВВННННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chr1	D1Rat235	224.2	ВНННВНВВВНВНВВННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chrl	D1Rat81	226.2	ННННВНВВННВНВВННВННВВВВВВВННВ
Chrl	D1Rat225	232.3	ННННВНВНННВНВВННВНННННВВНВННВ
Chrl	D1Mit14	233.3	ННННВННННВНВВННВНННННВВНВ-НВ
Chrl	Adrb1	234.3	ННННВНВНННВНВВН-ВНННННВВН-ННВ
Chrl	D1Cebr19s2	236.4	ННННВННННВНВВННВНННННВВНВВНВ
Chrl	D1Utr4	237.4	ННННВН-НННВНВВННВННННВВНВННВ
Chrl	D1Utr10-U7	241.2	-НННВНННННВНВВННВННННВВНВВН
Chr2	D2Rat189	0.0	ВВНВННВНВННВВВННВВНННВВНННВН
Chr2	D2Rat124	8.1	ВВВВНВВНВНВВВНВВВННВВВННВН
Chr2	D2Rat94	14.3	ННВННВНВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr2	D2Rat116	15.2	ННВННННВНВНВВНВВВНННВВВВННВН
Chr2	D2Rat11	18.4	НВНННННВВВНВВНВВВНННВВВВННВН
Chr2	D2Rat201	26.5	ВНВВНННННВВНВННВВВНННВВВВННВН
Chr2	D2Rat202	28.5	ВВНВННННВВНВННВВВНННВВВВННВН
Chr2	D2Mgh14	33.0	НВНВННННВВННННВВВНННВВВВВВВВ
Chr2	D2Rat19/	36.3	ВВН-ННННВВВННННВВВНННВВВВВНВН
Chr2	D2M1t4	41.1	ВВВЕНЕННЕВЕННЕВЕВЕНЕВЕ
Chr2	D2M1t5	43.1	ВВВВИВНИНВВИНИН-ВВИНИВВИВИВИ
Chr2	DZUCSIZ D2Dat75	44.1	ВВВВИВИНИВВИНИВВВИНИВВИВВ
Chr2	DZRat / 5	46.0	ВВВВВВНИНВВНИНВВВНИНВВНВИ
Chr2		47.0	
Chr2	DZULTI DZULTI	50.3	ВВВВИВИНИВВИИ-ВВВВИНИВВИВИВИ
Chr2	D20L110 D2Da+320	51.2	
Chr2	D2RaLJZU D2Mi+6	54.4 60 5	
Chr2	D2MIL0 D2Dat05	60.5	
Chr2	D2Cebr110/2	73 2	
Chr2	D2Cebr11042	73.2	
Chr2	Cnh	75.3	
Chr2	D2Mi+7	79 5	HBBH-HHBHBBHBHBBHBBHHBBHHBBHHBBHHBBHHBB
Chr2	D2Mi+18	84.5	BBBHBHHHHBBHB-HBBBHHBBHHBBHBH
Chr2	D2Rat24	87.8	ВНВВВННННВВННВНВВВНИВВНИВВНВВ
Chr2	D2Rat147	98.3	ВНВНЫННЫВВНЫВВВВВНЫНВНЫВНЫ
Chr2	D2Rat115	100.2	ВНВНВННННВННВНВВВНННВНВН
Chr2	D2Rat221	103.4	ННВНВННННВВННВНВВВННВВНВНВН
Chr2	D2Rat34	105.4	ВНВВВННННВВННВНВВННВВНВННННН

Chr	Marker	сM	Strain distribution patterns
Chr2	D2Rat222	107.5	ННВНВНН-НВВННВНВВВННВВНВНННН-
Chr2	D2Utr2	109.7	НВВНВННН-ВВННВНВВВННВВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2Utr7	111.8	НВВНВВНННВВН-ВНВВВНННВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2Mit9	114.0	-ввнвннннввннвнвввннввнвннвнн
Chr2	D2Rat38	114.9	НВННВННННВВННВНВВВННВВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2Rat152	116.9	нвннввнннввннвнввввнввнвннвнн
Chr2	D2Rat228	127.4	ВВННВВНВНВВНВВННВНВНВВВННВНН
Chr2	Fgg	129.3	ВВННВВНВНВВНВВННВНВВНВВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2Utr11	130.2	ВВВНВВНВНВВНВВННВНВВНВВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2CebrP476s2	134.8	ВВВНВВНВНВВНВВНВВНВНВВВВВНННН
Chr2	D2Cebr11s2	139.3	ВВВНВВНННВВНВВНВВНВНВВНВННВНН
Chr2	D2Utr9	141.4	ВВВНВВНВНВВНВВ-НВНВНВВНВННВНН
Chr2	Fga	142.4	ВВННВВНВНВВНВВННВНВВНВВНВННВ-Н
Chr2	D2Cebr28s4	143.3	ВВННВВНННВВНВВННВНВВНВННВНН
Chr2	R802	146.6	ВВННВНН-НВВНВВННВНВНВНВВННВНН
Chr2	D2Rat42	147.7	ВВННВВНВНВВНВВННВНВНВНВВННН
Chr2	P9ka	151.1	ввннвннннвввввннвнвнвнввннвнн
Chr2	Npr1	154.3	ВВННВВНВНВВНВВННВНВНВВВННВНН
Chr2	Atplal	156.2	ввнннвнннввнввннвнвнвнввннвнн
Chr2	D2Cebr10s2	159.7	ВВНННВНННВННВВННВНВНВВВ-НВВ-
Chr2	D2Mit14	161.8	ВВНННВНННВННВВННВНВНВВННВНН
Chr2	D2Cebr204s17	163.9	ВВНННВНННВННВВННВНВН-ННННН-НН
Chr2	D2Cebr42s3	166.1	ввнннвнннвннввннвнвнвнввннннн
Chr2	D2Utr3	167.0	вввннвнннвннввннвнвнвнввннннн
Chr2	D2Rat57	170.3	вввннннвн-ннвнннвнвнвнввннннн
Chr2	D2Cebr4s8	172.3	внввнннвнвннвнннвнвнвнввннннн
Chr2	D2Ucsf1	174.0	ННННННВ-ННВНВНВ-В-НН
Chr2	D2N91	177.7	ввнвнннвнвннвнннвнвнннввннннн
Chr2	D2Rat62	183.8	вннвнвнвнввввнннвввнннввннннн
Chr2	D2N35	184.8	вннвнвнвнввввнннввввннввннннн
Chr2	D2Rat61	185.7	-ннвнвнвнввввнннвввнннввнннн
Chr2	D2CebrP133s9	186.7	внввнвнвнвввв-ннвввнннввннннн
Chr2	D2Rat247	190.0	внввнвнввввввнннвнвнннввннннв
Chr2	D2Rat66	196.2	НВВНВВНВВНВВНННВНВНННВВННННВ
Chr2	D2Rat67	200.7	ннвнввнвввннвнннвнвнннввннввв
Chr2	D2Rat69	205.3	ннвннвнввнннвнннвннннвнннввв
Chr2	D2Rat70	211.5	НВВННВНВВНННВВВВВННННВВНННВВВ
Chr2	D2Mit16	212.4	НВВВНВНВВНННВВВВВННННВ-НННВВ-
Chr3	D3Cebrp207s7	0.0	ВВНВННННВНВВВВВВВННВНВННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Cebr204s4	0.9	ВВННННННВНВВВВВВВННВНВННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Ucsf1	1.9	ВВВНННННВНВВВВВВВННВНВННВНВ
Chr3	D3Cebr26s1	4.0	ВВННННННННВВВВВВВННВНВННВНВНВ
Chr3	Dbh	5.0	ВВННННННВНВВВВВВВННВНВНВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Rat194	6.9	НВНННННННВВВВВВВННВНВННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Rat53	15.0	ВВВВНННВННВВНВВВВВНВНВННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Utr7	19.6	НВВВНННВННВВНВВВВНВННВНВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Utr5	22.9	ННВННННВННВВН-ВВВВНВНВВВНВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit9	23.9	ННВВНННВННВВНВВВВВНВН-ВНВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat82	27.2	НВВВНВНВННВВНВВВВВНВННВННВВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat188	37.7	ННННВННННВВВВВВВВНВННВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat185	39.6	ННННВННННВВВВВННВНВВВВВ
Chr3	Scn2a	41.6	ННННННННННВНВВВВННВНВВВННВВВ
Chr3	D3Utr8	43.6	НННВНННННННВНВВВНН-ВНВВВННВВВ

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr3	D3Rat183	46.9	ВННВННННННВНВВВВННВННВВННВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat180	57.4	НННВВВННВННВВВВВВННВНННВНННВВ
Chr3	D3Rat35	62.0	НННВНВННВВНВВВВВВВВНВВНННВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Utr4	63.9	НННВНВННВВНВВНВВНВВНННВВВНВВ
Chr3	D3Rat173	67.1	НННВНВВНВВНВВВВВВВВНВВНННВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3CebrP97s12	75.2	НННВНВВНВННВНВВНВВННННВНВВН
Chr3	Cat	79.8	НННВНВВНВННВВНВВНВВНННВННВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit16	83.0	НННВНВВНВННВВВНВВНВВ-НВВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Cebr2s4	85.1	НННВНВВНВННВВВВВВВВВВНВВНННВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Cebr9s1	86.0	НННВНВВНВННВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit15	87.1	НННВНВВНВН-ВВВВВВВВВВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit6	88.3	НННВНВВНВННВВВВВВННВВНВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Ucsf3	90.2	НННВНВВВВННВВВВВВННВВНВВВВВН
Chr3	D3Mit17	92.2	НННВНВВВВННВВВВНВННВВНВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat166	93.1	НННВНВВВВННВВВВВВННВВНВВВВВВ
Chr3	D3Rat20	97.6	ННВВНВВВННВВВННВННВВНВВВНВВВ
Chr3	Slc12a1	99.9	НН-ВНВВВВВНВВВН-ВНВВВНВВВ-ВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit14	103.5	нннвввввнннвввннвнвввнвввнвв
Chr3	D3Rat159	106.6	ННВВВВВВНННВВВННВНВВНННВВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Cebr4s2	107.6	ННВВВВВВНННВВВННВНВВННННВНВВВ
Chr3	Gox1	110.7	ВННВВВВННННВВВННВНВВННННВНВВВ
Chr3	D3Mit4	116.3	ВНВВВВВ-НННВ-ВННВН-НННННВ-ВНН
Chr3	D3Mit2	118.6	ВНВВВВВННННВНВВНВНВВННННВНВНН
Chr3	D3Rat6	123.2	ВННВВВНННННВННВНВННВННННВНВНН
Chr3	D3Cebr45s8	131.3	ВННВНВНВНННВНВВВВНВВННННВНВНВ
Chr3	Svpl	136.0	ВВНВНВВВННВВНВВВВВВННННВНВН-
Chr3	D3Cebr80s2	139.3	ВВНВНВНВННВВНВВНВНВННННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Cebr80s1	141.3	ВВВВНВВВННВВНВВНВНВВННННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Rat143	144.5	ВННВНВВВННВВНВВНВННВННННВНВНВ
Chr3	D3Rat132	150.6	ВННВННВВВНВВНВВНВННВННВНВНННН
Chr3	D3Rat1	152.6	ВВНВННВВВНВННВВНВННВННВНВНННН
Chr3	Edn3	153.5	ВВНВННВВНВВНВВНВННВННВНВНННН
Chr4	D4Ucsf1	0.0	ННВННВНВНВНВВННВНННННВНВННН
Chr4	D4Cebr88s1	1.0	ннвннвнвнввнннвннвнннннвнвннн
Chr4	R133	3.0	ннвннвнвнввнннвннвннннввнвнвн
Chr4	I16	4.9	ННВННВНВВНННВННВНННННВНВННН
Chr4	D4Utr2	5.8	ннвннвнвнввнннвнввнннннвнвннн
Chr4	Cd36	7.8	ННВННВНВВНННВНВНННВННВНВННН
Chr4	D4Cebr6s16	10.9	ннвннвнвнввнннвннвнннннвнвннн
Chr4	D4Rat4	11.9	ННВННВНВННВНННВННВННННВНВННН
Chr4	D4Rat7	13.8	ннвннвнвннвнннвнвнннннвнвннн
Chr4	D4Rat10	24.3	НВВНВВНВНННВНВВНВНННВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat151	32.4	ВВВННВНВНННННВНННННВННВВВНВН
Chr4	D4Utr3	34.4	ВВВННВНВННННВВННННННВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat16	39.4	ВВВН-ННВННННВННН-НВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Cebrp149s8	46.3	ННВНННВВНННВВВННВНВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Cebr46s5	47.3	В-ВНННВ-НННВ-ВННВНВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Mit9	50.9	НВННННВНННВВВННВНВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Utrl	53.0	НВВННННВННННВВННВНВВВ-НВВ-ННН
Chr4	D4Rat153	58.0	внвннннвнннынныныныныны
Chr4	D4Rat102	66.6	ВНВНВНВВННН-ВВНВВНВВВНВВВННН
Chr4	Tryl	68.8	ВНННВНВВНННВВВВВВНВВВНВ-ВННН
Chr4	Klk1rs	70.8	внннннввнннввввввнвввннвнвннн

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr4	D4Cebrp1016s14	74.4	ВНВННВВ-НННВВ-ВВВ-ВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	Hoxall	76.6	ВННННВВВНННВВНВНВНВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	Npy	78.6	ВННННВВВНННВВВНВНВВВННВВВНВН
Chr4	D4Mit5	81.8	ВНННВВВВНННВВВВНВНВВВНВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat168	86.3	ВНВНВВВНННВВВВНВНВНВННВНВННН
Chr4	D4Cebrp215s9	89.8	ВНВНВВВВНННВВНВНВНВВВВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat235	91.9	ВНВННВВВННВВВНВНВВВННВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat37	98.1	ВНВВНВВВННВВВННННВНВВВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat176	106.2	ВНВВНВВВННВНВВНННВВВНННВВВННН
Chr4	Spr	109.4	ВННВНВВВННВНВННННВВВНННВВВНВН
Chr4	D4Rat44	113.9	ВНВВНВВВННВНВНВННВВВННННВВННН
Chr4	D4Rat58	127.3	ннвннвввннннвннннвнвнннннвввн
Chr4	D4Rat240	135.9	ВНВНННВВН-ВВВНННННВННННВНВН
Chr4	Cacnals	138.0	ННВНННВВНВВВНННННВННННВННН
Chr4	D4Cebr7s17	138.9	ННННННВВНВВВВНННННВНН-ННВННН
Chr4	Eno2	142.4	ННННН-ВВНВВВВНННННННННВНВННВ
Chr4	D4Cebr7s7	145.7	ННВННВВВННВВВНННННВНННВНВННВ
Chr4	A2m	148.8	НННННВВВНВВВННННННВННННВ
Chr4	D4Mit19	150.8	ннннывынывыеннинныеннины
Chr4	Pparg	152.0	ННВНН-ВВН-ВВВННВННННН-НВН
Chr4	D4Rat198	153.2	ннвнннввннвввнннннвнннннвввн
Chr4	D4Rat202	159.4	ннвннвввннвввнннннвнннвнвннв
Chr4	D4Rat68	165.6	ННВНННВВНВНВВНВННННВНННВНВВНВ
Chr5	D5Mgh17	0.0	НВНВВНВНВВВНВНВВНННВНВВНВ
Chr5	D5Rat188	6.2	НВНВВВНННВВНВНВВНННВНВННННВ
Chr5	D5Rat218	12.4	НВНВВНВННННВВННВВНННВНВНВН
Chr5	D5Rat6	18.5	НВННВНВНННВВННННВНННВВВНВНННВ
Chr5	D5MitIO	20.5	НВИНВИВИВИВИНИВИВИНИВВИВИВИНИВ
Chr5	D5Cebr63s1	21.4	ВВИНВИВИВИВИНИВИВИВИВИВИНИВ
Chr5	DSCEDI63SZ	23.4	ВВНВВНВНВВВНВНВНВНВВВВВВВВ
ChrE	DSULLI DEM:+0	20.5	
ChrE	DEDa+125	20.0	
Chr5	DSRallSS DSRat220	JU.0 /1 1	
Chr5	DJRatzzo	41.1	
Chr5	DSCEDIPSIZS4 D5Mi+2	45.1	
Chr5	$D5D_{2}+1//$	51 1	
Chr5	D5Rat147	55 7	ВИНИВИВИНИВВВИВВИИВВИИВВИИВ
Chr5	D5IItr2	58 8	ВИНИВИВНИИВВИНИИВВИНИВВИНАВИИВ
Chr5	D5Utr5	60.8	ВНИНВИНИНИВВИНВИВВИНИВИНВВИНВ
Chr5	D5Utr4	61 7	ВНИНВНИНИНВНИВИВВНИНВНИВВНИВ
Chr5	D5Mi+4	63 7	ВНИНВИНИНИВВИНВИНВВИНВВИНВВИНВ
Chr5	D5IItr3	65 6	ВНИНВИНВНИВВИНВВИНВВИНВВИНВВИВ
Chr5	D5Mit5	72.1	ННИНВИНИИВ-ИНИИВВИВВИНВВИИВ
Chr5	Jun	73.1	НННВННННВВНННВВНВНВНВНВВНВ
Chr5	Pam1	75.4	HHHBB-HHBBHHHHBBHBHBHBHB
Chr5	D5Rat158	77.7	НННВНННВНВННННВВНВНВНВНВНВВ
Chr5	Slc2a1	82.3	HHHBBBHHBHBBBHHHHHBHBHBBBBBB
Chr5	D5Rat169	84.3	НННВНВННВНВВНННННВНВНВНВВВ
Chr5	D5Rat34	90.4	НННВНВННННВВНННВННННННВНВВН
Chr5	D5Rat63	100.9	НННВНВННВНВВННННННВНВНВВВВН
Chr5	D5Rat93	111.4	ВВНВНВВНВВНВНННННВНВНВВВННН
Chr5	D5Rat45	117.6	ввнннввнввнвнвннннвнннвнвнвн

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr5	D5Rat245	128.1	ВВННВВНННВНННВНННВВННННВНВНВН
Chr5	D5Mgh15	129.0	ввннввннн-нннвнннввннннвнннвн
Chr5	Clcnkb	132.8	ввнннв-нннн-н-ннннннннвнннвн
Chr5	D5Cebr2s2	138.1	ввнннвннввнвнвннннвнннвнвнвн
Chr5	D5Cebr2s1	139.0	ввнннввнввнвнынннывннывны
Chr6	D6Cep8	0.0	ВВВВННВВНВВВНВВНВВННННВВННВВ-
Chr6	D6Cebrp424s2	1.0	ВВВВННВВНВВНВВНВВННННВВВНВВВ
Chr6	D6Cebr204s20	4.6	-ВНВННВННВВ-В-ВНВВННННВВВНВВВ
Chr6	D6Cebrp40s27	5.6	ввнвннвннвввнв-нввннннвввнввв
Chr6	D6Mit5	10.4	ВВВВННВНВВНВВНВВННННВВВНВВВ
Chr6	D6Rat167	11.6	ВВНВНВВВНВВНВВНН-НВВВ-ВВ-
Chr6	D6Rat46	12.9	ВНВВВННВНВВНВВНВВННННВВВНВВВ
Chr6	D6Rat80	19.1	ввввнннвввнвнввнввннннввннввв
Chr6	D6Rat147	23.6	НВВНВННВВВНВНВВНВНННННВВННВВВ
Chr6	D6Rat171	31.7	НВВНВННВВВНВВННВННННВВВНВВВВ
Chr6	D6Rat84	37.9	НВВНВННВВВВВВННВВНННВВВНВННВ
Chr6	D6Mit9	40.1	НВНННВ-ВВВВННВВВНННВВВНВННВ
Chr6	D6Rat36	43.9	НВ-НВННВВВВНВВНВВННННВВВНВННВ
Chr6	D6Rat29	44.9	НВ-НВННВВВВНВВНВВННННВВВ-ВНВВ
Chr6	D6Rat37	45.9	НВВНВННВВВВНВВНВВННННВВВНВННВ
Chr6	D6Rat28	52.1	НВВНВНВНВВНВВНВВННВНВВВВВНВВ
Chr6	D6Rat132	65.5	вввнвнвнвввннннвввнвннвнвнннв
Chr6	D6Rat165	78.9	внвнвввввввннннвнвнннвннннн
Chr6	D6Mit2	83.5	ВВННВВВВВВВННННВНВНННВНВВНННВ
Chr6	D6Mit8	86.6	внннвввввввннннвнвнннвннннн
Chr6	D6Cebr36s1	87.5	ВНВНВВВВВВВННННВНВНННВНННННВ
Chr6	D6Rat87	92.1	ВВВНВВНВВВВНННННВННВВНННННВ
Chr6	D6Rat88	96.6	ННВНВВВНВВВНННННВВННВВНННННВ
Chr6	D6Rat117	107.1	ННВНВВВВВВННННВНННННННВНВНВ
Chr6	D6Rat11	115.3	ННВНВНВВВВВННННННВННВННВНВВН
Chr6	D6Utr3	120.3	НВВ-ВВВВВВ-НННННВВННВННВНВ
Chr6	D6Rat184	121.3	ННВНВВВВВВВНННННВВННВННВНВ
Chr6	D6Cebrp165s2	125.8	ННВВВВНВВВВНННННВВВННННВНВНН
Chr6	D6Cebr2s3	130.3	ННВВВВНВВВВВННВННВВВННВНВВННН
Chr6	D6Cebrp91s1	133.5	ННВВВВНВВВВВНННННВВВННННВВВНН
Chr6	D6Rat111	134.4	ННВВВВНВВВВВНННННВВВННННВНВНН
Chr6	Chga	135.3	ВНВВВВНВВВВНННННВВВННННВНВНН
Chr6	D6Rat101	146.5	-НВВНВВВВВНВННВННВВВНННННВННН
Chr6	D6Rat1	155.1	ННВВНВВВНВНВННВВНВВВВВВВВВНВВННН
Chr6	D6Rat3	161.3	ННВВНВВВНВВНННВНВВВННННВВННН
Chr6	D6Utr2	166.0	ННВВНВВВВВНВ-ВВВНВВВННННВВННН
Chr6	D6Utr6	171.0	ННВВННВВВВНВННВННВВВННВНВ-ННН
Chr6	D6Utr5	174.3	ННВВНВВВВВНВННВННВВВНННННВННН
Chr6	D6Cebr82s1	175.3	ННВВНВВВВВНВННВННВВВННВННВННН
Chr6	Ighe	176.2	нннвнвввввнвннвннвввннвннвнн
Chr6	Igh@	179.8	НВНВНВВВВВНВННВН-ВВН-НВНВ-ННН
Chr6	D6Mit10	185.1	нннвнвввввнвннвннвввнннннннн
Chr6	Ckb	188.5	ВВВВНВВВВВНВННВННВВВННННН-НН-
Chr7	D7Cebrp179s6	0.0	ВВВННВВВВННВНВВВНВНВВННННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Utr5	3.2	ВВВВВВВНННВНВВВНВНВВННННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Cebr10s1	6.5	ВВВННВВВВННВНВВВНВНВВННННВ-ВВ
Chr7	D7Cebr205s3	8.9	ВВНННВВ-ВНВВНВВВНВНВ-ННННВН
Chr7	D7Rat35	11.2	ВВНННВВНВНВВНВВВНННВНННВНВВВ

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr7	D7Rat32	13.2	ВВННВВВНВВВНВВВНННВНННВНВНВВ
Chr7	D7Rat152	21.3	ВВННВВННВВВНВНВВВНВВННННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Mit17	29.4	ВВНННВВНВНВВНВВНВНВНННННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Utr1	39.9	ВВННВВННВННННВВВВВНВНВНВНВНВВ
Chr7	D7Mit7	41.9	ВВВНВВННВННННВВВВВНННВНВНВНВВ
Chr7	D7Rat181	46.6	н-внвннввннннвввввнвнвнвнвнв
Chr7	D7Rat103	51.4	НВННВНННВНННННВВВНВНВНВНВНВ
Chr7	D7Rat25	61.9	НВВНННВНВНННВННВВВВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Mit6	65.1	НВВВННВВВНННВНВВВВВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Cebr77s3	67.2	НВВВВНВВВНННВННВВВВВНВВННВН
Chr7	D7Cebr204s12	72.2	НВНВВНВНВНННВНВВВНВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Cebr204s11	73.1	НВНВВНВНВНВНВВВНВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Rat110	75.1	НВНВВНВНВНННВНВВВВВВВВВВНВВННВНВВ
Chr7	D7Mit5	79.6	ВВНВВНВНВННННВВНВВВНВВВНВНВВ
Chr7	D7Rat112	87.7	ВНННВВВНВВННННВВННВННВВВНВНВВ
Chr7	D7Rat19	98.2	ВНННВВВНВНННВНВВВНННННВВННННВ
Chr7	D7Rat133	108.7	ВНВНВНННННВНВННННВНВВВННННВ
Chr7	D7Mit3	112.2	ВВВНВНВН-НННВНВНВННВННВВНННН-
Chr7	D7Mit2	116.0	ВВВНВНВ-ВВННВН-НВНННННВВННВНН
Chr7	Bzrp	117.0	ВВВНВНВНВВННВНВНВННВННВВННВНН
Chr7	D7Mit13	117.9	ВВВНВНВНВНННВНВНВННВННВВННВНН
Chr7	D7Mit14	119.9	ВВВНВНВНВНННВН-ННННВННВВННВНВ
Chr7	Cvp11b1	120.9	ВВВНВНВНВ-ННВН-ННННВ-НВВННВВВ
Chr7	Cvp11b2	122.0	ВВВНВНВНВНННВН-ННННВННВВННВНВ
Chr7	D7Cebr77s1	124.0	ВВННВНВНВННВНВНВННВННВВННВНВ
Chr7	D7Mit4	126.1	ВВННВ-ВНВВННВНВНВННВННВВННННВ
Chr7	D7Rat102	128.2	н-ннвнвнввннвнвнвннвннввннвнв
Chr7	D7Rat196	137.3	-ВННВНВНВВНВВНННВННВВННВННННН
Chr7	D7Mit8	139.4	НВВНВНВННВНВВНННВ-НВВННВННННН
Chr7	D7Mit10	144.2	ВВВНВНВНВВНВВНННВННВВВВВННННН
Chr7	D7Utr3	148.7	ВВННВНВНВВНВВНВНВННВВННВННННН
Chr7	D7Cebr74s1	150.8	ВВННВНВННВНВВВ-НВННВВННВННННН
Chr7	D7Rat4	154.1	ВВННВВВННННВВВННВННВВНННННННН
Chr7	D7Rat2	158.6	ВНННВВВНННННВВВНВНННВНННННННН
Chr7	D7Ntr11	160.6	ввннвввннвннвввнвнннвннннннн
Chr7	D7Ucsf2	162.6	ВВВНВВВННВННВВВНВННВВНННННННН
Chr7	Prph	164.7	ВВННВВВННВННВВВНВНННВН-ННННН-
Chr7	D7Cebr24s2	166.8	ввнннввннвннвввнвнннвнннннвн
Chr7	D7Cebr205s1	168.9	ВВНННВВННВННВВВНВВВНВНННННН
Chr7	D7Cebr6s5	169.9	ВВНННВВННВННВВВНВВВВВНННННН – Н
Chr7	D7Cebr6s13	176.8	НВННННВННВННВВВНВВВННН-НННВ-Н
Chr7	D7Cebr69s5	179.2	ВВННННВННВННВВВНВВВН-ННН-НН
Chr7	D7Ucsf1	180.4	ВВНННВВННВННВНВВВНВННННННН-
Chr7	D7Cebr46s1	183.1	В-ННВВВ-В-НН-В-НВВВНВНННННННН
Chr8	D8Utr4	0.0	ВВВНННВВНННВНВНВВНВВНВВННВВН
Chr8	D8Utr3	4.5	ВВВВННВВНННВНННВВВВВВНВВНННВН
Chr8	D8Rat58	10.7	ввнвннвнввнвнннввнвввнввнннвн
Chr8	D8Rat56	16.9	ВВВВННВВНННВНННВВНВВВНВВННННН
Chr8	D8Rat68	27.4	НВВВВНВВННВВНННВВВВНВНВВННВНВ
Chr8	D8Mit6	39.3	ВВНВ-НВВНННВНННВВВВВВНВВ-ННВН
Chr8	D8Mgh9	64.9	ННННВ-ВВННВВННННВВНВВ-В-ВВВ
Chr8	D8Utr2	66.0	нн-нвнввннввннннввввввввввв
Chr8	D8Cebrp97s13	69.5	ННВНВВВВННВВННННВВНВВНВВ-ВВВВ
Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
-------	---------------	-------	---
Chr8	Es6	71.5	ННННВВВВННВВННННВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr81s4	72.4	ННВНВВВВННВВННННВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr81s1	73.4	ННВНВВВВННВВННННВВНВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Mit3	79.5	НННВВВВВННВНННННВВНВНВВВВВВВ
Chr8	Thy1	80.5	ВННВВВВВННВННННВВНВНВВВВВВ-
Chr8	Арос3	82.5	НННВВВВВННВННННВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	Kcnj1	85.7	ННННВВВВННВВННННВВНВВНВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Rat150	93.8	нннвввннннввнннвввнвввввввв
Chr8	D8Rat213	94.7	нннвввннннввнннвввнвввввввв
Chr8	Lx	95.7	НННВВВВНННВВНННВВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Mit12	96.6	НННВВВННННВВНННВВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	Tpml	99.9	ВННВВ-ННВНВВНВНВВВНВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Utr5	101.9	ВННВВВННННВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Rat21	102.8	ВННВВВННННВВНВВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr49s2	106.0	ВНВВВВННННВВНВНВВВННВВВВНВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr92s1	106.9	ВННВВВННННВВНВНВВВННВВВВНВВВ
Chr8	D8Rat130	110.2	ВВВ-ВВНВННВВНВНВВВННВВВВНВВВ
Chr8	D8Rat123	116.7	ВВВВНВНВННВВНВННВВННВВННВНВВН
Chr8	Rbp2	119.9	ВВВВВНВННВВНВННВВННВВНВВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr10s5	123.0	ВВВВНВНВННВВНВННВВННВВННВНВВН
Chr8	Mylclv	126.3	ВНВННВНВННВВНВННВВННВВВ-ВНВВН
Chr8	Apeh	128.4	ВНВННВНВННВВНВННВВННВВНННВВН
Chr8	D8Rat7	136.5	ВВВННВНВННВВНВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	Acaa	137.4	ВВВННВНВННВВНВННВННВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr8	D8Cebr103s2	140.6	ВВВННННВННВВВВННВННВВВВНВНВВВ
Chr9	D9Cebr16s3	0.0	НННННВНННННВНВННВНВВВНВВН
Chr9	D9Cebr25s1	0.9	нннннвнннныныныныныныны
Chr9	D9Mit6	1.8	ннннынныныныныныныныныны
Chr9	D9Cebr65s1	3.8	нннныннвыныныныныныныныны
Chr9	D9Cebr65s2	4.7	ННННВНННВНВНВНВВНВННВНВВНВВН
Chr9	D9Rat88	5.6	ННННВНННВНВНВННВНВННВНВВНВВН
Chr9	D9Rat131	16.1	ВННВНВННННВВНВННВНВВНВНВННВНН
Chr9	D9Rat158	29.5	НВНВВВНННВВВНВННВНВВНВВННННВН
Chr9	D9Rat180	32.7	нвнвввнннвнвнвннвнввннвнннввн
Chr9	D9Rat104	49.8	ВННВВВННННВВНВННННВННВВННВНВН
Chr9	D9Rat60	67.0	ВННВВВВННВНВНВННВВВНВВНВНВНВВ
Chr9	D9Rat19	73.2	ВНВВВНВНВВВНВННВВННВВНВНВНВВ
Chr9	D9Utr2	79.3	ВНВВВНВВВВВВНННВННВВВВНННВВ
Chr9	D9Rat12	80.3	ВВВВВНВВВВВВВНННВННВВВВНННВВ
Chr9	D9Rat171	86.4	ВВВННВНВВВВННННВНВВВВВНННВВ
Chr9	D9Utr3	91.0	ВНВВНВНВВВВВННННВВВВВВВННННВ
Chr9	Inha	93.0	внввнвнввввввннннввнввввннннв
Chr9	Alp1	99.5	ВВВВНВНВНВВВВННННВВВВВВ-ННВНН
Chr9	D9Utr1	100.4	ВВВВНВНВНВВВВННННВВВВНВВННВНН
Chr9	D9Rat153	117.6	ВННВВВННННВНВННННВНВННВВНН
Chr9	D9Cebr204s1	120.7	НННВВНННННВВВННННВНВННВВНВВНН
Chr9	D9Mit1	122.8	ННВВВННННННВВННННВНВННВВН-ВНН
Chr9	D9Cebr16C27s1	123.7	ННВВВНННННВВВННННВНВНН-ВНВВНН
Chr9	D9Cebr16C27s2	124.7	нннввнннннвввннннвнвннввнввнн
Chr9	D9Rat108	127.9	ннннвннвннвнвннннвнвннввнввнн
Chr9	D9Rat1	138.3	ннвнвннввввнвнвнввнвннввннввн
Chr10	D10Rat95	0.0	НВННННВННВВННВВВННВВВВВВННВ
Chr10	D10Utr3	3.2	ннвнннввннввннвввннвввввввннв

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr10	D10Cebr27s2	5.1	ННВНННВВННВВННВВВНВВВВВНН
Chr10	D10Rat218	8.3	НВВНННВВННВВННВВВНВВВВВННВ
Chr10	D10Mit6	12.8	ННВНННВВННВВННВВВННВВВВНН
Chr10	D10Rat121	17.4	НВННННВНННВВННВВВННВВНВВНВНН
Chr10	D10Rat45	21.9	ВВВНННВВННВВННВВВНВВНВНВНВ
Chr10	D10Cebrp312s3	26.5	ВНВНННВВННВВННВВННВВННВННВНН
Chr10	D10Mit5	28.4	ВНВНННВВННВВННВВВНВВНВВНВНН
Chr10	D10Utr5	31.7	ВНВНННВВННВВНННВВНННВВВВВНВ-Н
Chr10	D10Rat72	38.2	BBBHHHBBBHBBHHHHBHBHBBBBBBBBB
Chr10	D10Mit4	46.4	BBBHHHBBBBBBBHHHHHHHBBBBHBBBHB
Chr10	D10Cebrp1016s2	47.4	BBBHHHBBBB-BHHHHHBBBHBBBBB
Chr10	D10Cebr4s7	48.6	BBBHHHBBBBBBBHBHHHBB-BBBHBBBBB
Chr10	D10Cebr4s9	49.5	BBBHHHBBBBBBBHBHHHHBHBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
Chr10	D10Rat166	51.5	BBBHHHBBBBBBBHBBHHHBHBBBBBB
Chr10	Srebp1	55.1	BBBHHHBBBBBBB-HHHHHB-BBHHBB-BH
Chr10	D10Cebrp97s5	57.4	BBBHHHBBBBBBBBHHBHBBBBBB-HBBBBBH
Chr10	Myh3	62.2	ВВВНННВВВВВВВВНННВВНВВННВВННВ
Chr10	D10Rat59	64.1	НВВНННВВВВВВВВНННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	Syb2	65.1	НВВНННВВВВВНВВНННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	D10Wox14	66.0	НВВНННВВВВВНВННННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	D10Mgh7	66.9	НВВНННВВВВВНВВННВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr10	D10Rat116	71.4	BBBHHHHHBBBBBBBHHHBBBBBHHBBHHH
Chr10	D10Rat102	72.4	ВВВНННВНВВВВВВНННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	Abpa	75.5	НВВНННВВВВВНВВНННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	D10Rat160	76.4	ВВВНННВВВВВНВВНННВВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	D10Rat80	77.4	ВВВНННВВВВВНВВННННВВВВННВВННН
Chr10	D10Mit2	78.3	BBBHHHBBBBBBHBBHHHHBBBBBBHBBHHH
Chr10	D10Cebrp1016s5	81.4	ВВВНННВВВВВННВННННВВНВВННВННН
Chr10	D10Rat28	83.4	ВВВНННВВВВВННВНННВВВНВВНВВННН
Chr10	D10Rat240	84.3	ВВВНННВВВВВННВННННВВНВВНВВННН
Chr10	D10Ntr44S7	85.2	ВВННННВВВВВННВННННВВНВВНВВННН
Chr10	D10Utr1	89.8	ВВННННВВВВВНВВНННВВННВВННВННН
Chr10	D10Mit7	96.0	НВНННВВВВВВНВННННВВВНВНННВННН
Chr10	Pnmt	96.9	НВВННВВВВВВНВННННВВВНВ-ННВННН
Chr10	D10Utr2	103.4	НВНННВВВВВВНВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr10	D10Rat267	109.6	нннннввнввннвннвнвввнвннвннвн
Chr10	D10Rat228	120.1	ННВВНВВВННВВННВНВВННВННВНННН
Chr10	D10Rat7	133.5	нннвнннвннвннвннввнвннвнвн
Chr10	D10Cebr39s1	134.4	нннвнннввнвнннвннввнвннвнвн
Chr10	D10Cebr39s2	136.4	нннннннвннвннвннввнвннвнвн
Chr10	D10Rat226	142.5	НННВННННННВНННННВВВВННВВВНН
Chr11	D11Cebr77s6	0.0	НННВВНВНВВВВВВВВВНВВННННВВВ-ВН-В
Chr11	D11Rat28	2.1	ННННВНВНВВВВВВНВВННННВВВВНННВ
Chr11	D11Cebr204s16	4.2	НННВВНВНВВВ-ВННВВННННВВВВНННВ
Chr11	D11Cebr77s5	5.2	НННВВНВНВВВНВВНВВННННВВВ-НН-В
Chr11	D11Mit4	7.3	НННВВНВНВВНННВНВВНННВВВВНННВ
Chr11	D11Utr1	8.2	НННВВНВННВНННВНВВННННВВВВНННВ
Chr11	D11Rat20	10.2	НННВВНВНВВНВНВВВНННВ
Chr11	DilRat16	20.7	ннннввннвввннвнввннннввввнввв
Chr11	D11Cebr87s1	23.9	ВННВВВННВВВНВНВВННННВВВВНВВВ
Chr11	D11Mit2	25.8	нннвввннвввннвнвннннввввнввв
Chrll	DIICebrl5sl	26.7	вниввенныевниевременны
Chrll	DIIMitl	29.0	нннвввннввввнвн-внйннвввв-вв-

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr11	D11Rat7	30.0	НВНВВВННВВВВНВНВВННННВВ-ВВВВН
Chr11	D11Rat65	41.2	НВВВНВНВВНВВВНВВВВННВВВВВВВВ
Chr11	D11Rat94	63.3	ВВВНВВНВВВВВВВВВННВНВННВН
Chr11	D11Rat47	67.8	ВВННВВНВВВНВВНВВНВВНВНВНВНВН
Chr11	Sst	72.4	НВНВВВНВВНВВНВВНВВНВВНВНВНВНВН
Chr11	D11Cebr105s1	76.9	НВНВВВНВВВВННВНВВВВВВВНВННВВ
Chr11	D11Rat1	85.0	ННВНВВВВВВНННВВВВНВВВНВНННВН
Chr12	D12Cebrp454s1	0.0	ВНВННВВНВВНВННВННВВННВВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Cebr4s3	0.9	ВНВННВВНВВНВННВННВВНННВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Cebrp97s4	2.9	ВННННВВВНВВНВННННВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Ntr2	4.8	ВНВННВВНВВНВННННВВНВНВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Rat40	5.8	ВНВННВВВННВНВННННВВНВНВВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Rat61	10.3	ВННННВВВННВНВНННВНВВВННВВНННВ
Chr12	D12Rat10	20.8	ВНВННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Rat42	27.0	НННВВВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВННННВНННН
Chr12	D12Rat14	28.9	ННННВВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	Eln	30.9	ВННННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Mit7	31.8	ВВНННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	Pail	32.8	ВВНННВВВ-ВВВВНННВНВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	Mdh2	33.8	BBHHHBBBBBBBBBBBBHBHBHBBBHHHBB-HHH
Chr12	Lsn2	34.7	ВВНННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВННННВНННН
Chr12	Hsp27	35.6	ВВВННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВННННВНННН
Chr12	D12Mit1	38.8	ВВНННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Mit5	43.3	ВННННВВВНВВНВНННВВВВННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Mit3	47.9	ВННННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Rat16	49.8	ВНВНВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Rat36	58.0	НННВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr12	D12Cebr1s1	61.1	НННННВВВВВВВВНВНВНВВВНННВВНННН
Chr12	D12Cebr6s4	65.9	B-HHBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
Chr13	D13Mit1	0.0	-ВННВНННВНННВВВНННННВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Rat113	14.4	ННННВВНННВННВНВВВНВНВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Cebr9s3	15.3	ННННВВНННВННВНВВВНННВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	Bcl2	16.3	ННННВВНННВННВН-НВНННВНВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Utr5	17.3	ННННВВН-ННННВНВН-НННВНВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Utr6	18.3	ННННВВНННННВНВНВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Cebr9s2	20.2	ННННВВНННННВНВВВНННВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Cebr28s8	22.2	ННННВВНННННВНВВВНННВВВНВВНВН
Chr13	D13Rat88	24.2	ннннввнннннвнвнвнннвнвнввнвн
Chr13	Ren	27.3	ннннввннннннвввнннвнвнввннн
Chr13	Lca	28.2	ННННВВНННННННВВВНННВВВНВВННН
Chr13	D13Cebr5s4	30.2	ННННВВНННННННВВВНННВНВВВВНВН
Chr13	D13Cebr5s3	31.1	ННННВВНННВННННВВВНННВНВНВНВН
Chr13	D13Cebr2s5	35.9	ННННВВН-НВННННВВВННННВВНВННВВ
Chr13	D13N2	36.8	ВНННВВНННВННННВВВННННВВНВННВВ
Chr13	D13Mit2	40.0	ННННВННННВННННВВВННННВВНВНННВ
Chr13	D13Utr8	47.2	ННННВН-ННННН-НВВВНННННВННВН-Н
Chr13	D13Rat126	48.3	ННННВННННВННННВВВНННННВННВНВН
Chr13	D13Rat131	58.8	ВНННВНННВННВВНВВНВНВНВНВНВВ
Chr13	D13Mit5	61.9	ВНННВВНННВННВВНВВВВВННВНВВ
Chr13	D13Mit3	63.9	ВНННВВНННВННВВНВВНВНВНВНВНВВ
Chr13	D13Utr7	64.8	ВНННВВНННННВ-НВВНВНВНВНВНВВВ
Chr13	Trneglr	69.8	ВНННВННННННВВНВВВН-НВННННВН
Chr13	D13Rat152	73.1	ВНННВВННННВНВВНВВВНННВННВНВН

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr13	D13Utr1	75.3	НННННВННННВНВВНВВВНН-ВНН-НВН
Chr13	Fh	80.3	ннннввннннннввнввнннннвннвнвн
Chr14	D14Cebr85s1	0.0	ННВНВННВВВВННННВНВВННВНННВВВВ
Chr14	D14Mit5	3.3	н-нннннвввввнннвнввннвнннвввв
Chr14	D14Mit1	10.6	НННННННВВВВНННВВВВВВНВВВВН
Chr14	D14Utr5	14.0	нннннввнввввнннвввввннвнввввн
Chr14	Alb	14.9	нннннввнввввнннвввввннвнввввв
Chr14	D14Rat77	15.8	НННННВВНВВВВНННВВВВВННВНВВВ
Chr14	D14Rat8	24.0	ВННННВВНВВНВННННВВНВНВВВНВНВВН
Chr14	D14Utr2	27.1	ВННВННВНВВНВННННВВНВНВВНВННВН
Chr14	D14Mit3	30.3	ВВНВНВВНВНННННВВНВНВВНВНВВН
Chr14	D14Mit8	32.2	ввнвннвнввнвннннввнвнввнвннвн
Chr14	D14Mit9	34.3	ввввнивнвнив-иннввививнынный
Chr14	D14Cebr7s14	36.3	ввнвннвнввнвннннввнвнввнвннвн
Chr14	D14Rat64	38.3	ввннннвнввнвннннввнвннвнвннвн
Chr14	D14Utr1	41.7	ввннннннввнвнвнвввнвн-внв-нвн
Chr14	D14Rat37	42.7	ввннннннввввнвнвввнвннвнвннвн
Chr14	D14Rat94	47.3	ввннннннввнвнвнвввнвннннввввн
Chr14	D14Rat38	57.8	ВНННННННВВВВННВВВНВНННВВВВВВ
Chr15	D15Rat1	0.0	НВВННВНВВВВННВННВНВВВНВВНВННН
Chr15	D15Mit3	22.1	ВВВННВВВВННВВННВВВНННВВВВВНВ
Chr15	D15Utr1	29.4	НВВННВВВВВННВННВВВВНВВВ-ВВН
Chr15	D15Rat6	30.4	нввннвввввннвннввввввнвввввв
Chr15	D15Utr2	36.6	НВВННВВВВНВНВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr15	D15Rat123	47.0	нвнвнввннвннввввнвввнвввввв
Chr15	D15Cebr7s13	51.8	НВННННВВВВННВВВВНВВВВНВВВ-ВВВ
Chr15	D15Utr3	53.9	НВВНННВВВВННВНВВНВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr15	D15Rat68	55.8	нвннннввввннввввнввввнввввв
Chr15	D15Cebr204s39	62.0	нвнннвнввнвнввввнвввнввввв
Chr15	D15Rat21	62.9	нннннвнввнвнввввнввввнввввв
Chr15	Ednrb	69.4	в-нннвнвннвнввввнввнннввввнвн
Chr15	D15Rat101	78.0	ВНВННВНВВНВНВНВНВВВВНВВВНВН
Chr15	D15Rat107	91.4	ВВВННВВВВНВНННВНВВВННВВНВВВН
Chr16	D16Cebr204s13	0.0	НННВВННННННВНВВВВВНВННВВВВВВН
Chr16	Mbpa	3.2	ВННВВНВННННВНВВВВВНВННВНВВВВН
Chr16	D16	4.1	н-нввнвннннвнвввввнвннвнввввн
Chr16	D16Rat6	5.2	НННВВННННН-ВНВВВВВНВННВНВ-ВВ-
Chr16	D16Utr1	6.3	н-нввнвнннынвнвввввнвннвнввввн
Chr16	D16Cebr10s10	8.3	НННВВННННННВНВВВВВНВННВВВВВВН
Chr16	D16Cebrp1038s2	9.2	НННВВННННННВНВВВВВНВННВВВВВНН
Chr16	D16Mit2	12.4	ВННВВННННННВНВВВВВНВНВВВВВВВ
Chr16	D16Mit1	16.9	ВННВВВНННННВНВВВВВВВННВНВВВВН
Chr16	D16Rat41	20.4	НННВВННННН-ВНВВВВВНВННВНВВВВ-
Chr16	D16Mit5	22.5	ВННВВНВНННВНВВВВВНВННВНВВВВН
Chr16	D16Rat67	35.9	ННННВВНННННВВНВВВВВВВНВНВВВВВ
Chr16	D16Rat72	64.9	НВННННВНВННВНВНВВВВВНННВВН
Chr16	D16Rat66	67.1	НВННННННВ-НВНВНВВВВВВВВ
Chr16	D16Rat60	72.4	НВННННННВ-НВНННВНВВВНВН-ВВ-
Chr16	CT8-3	74.7	НВННННННН-ННВНННВНВВНВВВННВВН
Chr16	P205_2_6	78.0	нвннннннввнввннннввнвввннввн
Chr16	D16Rat53	82.6	НВННВНННВННВННВВНВВВННВВН
Chr16	D16Rat34	93.1	ВНННННННВВНВННННВВВНВВВНННВН
Chr16	D16Rat14	99.2	ВННННВВНННВНВННННВВВВНВВНННВН

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr16	D16Rat15	107.4	ВВВНВВНВННВНВННННВВНВНВВНННВН
Chr16	D16Cebr48s1	108.3	вввнввнвннвнввнннввнвнввнннвн
Chr17	D17Rat11	0.0	ВНВНВНВНВВННННВВНННВНВНВНВНВ
Chr17	D17Rat144	8.1	ВНВНВВВВВВННННВВВННВНВВВВВВНВ
Chr17	D17Cebrp203s2	10.1	ННВНВВВВВВНВННВВВННВНВВВВВВНВ
Chr17	Prl	14.6	ВНВВВВВВННВВВННВВВВВВВ
Chr17	D17Rat20	17.3	ВВВВВВВВВНВНВВВВННВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr17	D17Mit3	24.1	ВНВВВВВВВВНВНВВВВ-ВНВВВВННВ-В
Chr17	D17Rat151	36.1	ННВНВВВНВВНННВНВННВНВВВВНВВВВ
Chr17	D17Mit6	44.6	в-внвввнннннннвнввнвнввнввв
Chr17	D17Rat50	53.2	внвнвннвннвнннвнввнввввнвнвв
Chr18	D18Mit7	0.0	ввнннвнннвннвввнвввннннннвннв
Chr18	Ttr	4.8	НВНННВННН-ННВВВННВНННВННВННВ
Chr18	D18Rat112	6.8	нннннвнннннвввннвнннвннвнв
Chr18	D18Cebrp97s6	8.8	вннннвнннннвввнввнннвнннвнв
Chr18	D18Rat29	10.7	ввнннвнннннвввнвввннвннвнв
Chr18	D18Rat47	16.9	ввннннвннннвввннвнннвннввнвв
Chr18	D18Mit2	21.5	ввнннннныннвввнввннывннв
Chr18	D18Mit3	24.8	ввнннннвнннвввннвнннвнн-вннв
Chr18	D18Rat103	26.8	вввннннвнннвввннвнннвннввнвв
Chr18	D18Rat99	37.3	ВВВННННВНННВВНВНВВНВВНННВННВ
Chr18	D18Cebr19s1	40.4	вввннннвнннввнвннввнввнвв
Chr18	Grl	43.6	ВВНННННВНННВВНВННВВНВВНННВННВ
Chr18	D18Cebrp60s11	49.8	ВВВНВННВННВННВНВВВНВВНННВНВВ
Chr18	D18Rat19	54.3	ВВВНВННВНВННВНВВВВНВВНННВННВ
Chr18	D18Rat55	64.8	ВВВННННВНВННВНВНННВННННВНВННН
Chr18	Adrb2	71.0	ВВНННННВНВВНВНВНННВННННННВВВН
Chr18	D18Utr3	75.5	ВВНННННВВВВНВНВВВВВВНННННВВВН
Chr18	D18Mit10	80.5	ВВННН-НВНВННВН-НННВВНННННВВВН
Chr18	D18Rat89	82.7	ВВВННННВНВВНВНВНННВВНННННВВВН
Chr18	D18Rat9	90.8	ВНВВНННВНВВНННВННВНВНВНННВВВН
Chr18	D18Rat5	97.0	НВВВННВВНВВНННВННВНВННВННВВВН
Chr18	D18Cebr51s2	98.9	НВВВННВВВВВНННВННВННВННВННВВН
Chr18	D18Cebr51s1	99.9	НВВВННВВНВВНННВННВНВННВННВВН
Chr18	D18Cebrp187s6	100.8	НВВВННВВНВВНННВННВНВННВННВВВН
Chr18	D18Mit9	101.7	НВНВННВВНВВНННВННВНВННВННВВВН
Chr18	D18Ucsf2	102.7	НВНВННВВВНННВННВНВВНВ-ВН
Chr18	D18Utr2	103.8	НВВВННВВНВВНННВННВНВНН-ВНВВВН
Chr19	Hmox	0.0	ННННВНВВВВНННВВННВНВВВННВВНВВ
Chr19	D19Utr3	2.0	ННННВНВВВВНННВВННВВВВВ-ННВНВВ
Chr19	D19Utr4	4.1	ННННВНВВНВНННВВННВНВВВНННВНВВ
Chr19	D19Utr5	7.4	ННННВ-ВВНВНННВВННВВНВВНННВНВН
Chr19	D19Rat56	10.7	ННННВВВННВНННВВННВВВВВНННВНВВ
Chr19	D19Cebrp97s10	15.2	ННВНВВВВВВНВНВВННВВВВВВНННВНВВ
Chr19	D19Mit2	19.8	ННННВНВВВВНННВВННВНВВВНННВНВВ
Chr19	D19Rat52	22.0	ННННВНВВН-НННВВННВН-ВВНННВВ-
Chr19	Es8	24.2	ННННВВВВНВНННВВННВННВВНВВВВ
Chr19	Es3	25.1	ННННВВВВНВНННВВННВНВВВННВВВВВ
Chr19	D19Ucsf2	31.6	ННННВННВНН-ННВВВНВНВВВНННВВВВ
Chr19	Ednra	34.0	ННННВННВН-НННВ-ВНВНВ-ВВВНВВВВ
Chr19	D19Utr6	39.5	НННВВНВВНВ-ННВВВНВНВВВНННВВВВ
Chr19	D19Utrl	43.3	HBBBB-BBHBHHHBBBHBHHB-HHH-BBB
Chr19	Es4	50.6	ННННВВВВНВНННВВННВННВВННВВВВВ

Chr	Marker	сМ	Strain distribution patterns
Chr19	Rt2	55.6	ННН-ВВВВНН-ННВВВНВНВВВНННВВВВ
Chr19	D19Ucsf1	60.9	ННННВННВВ ННВВВНВННВВНННВВВВ
Chr19	D19Cebr204s23	63.0	ннннвннвнввннвввнвнвввнннвввв
Chr19	D19Cebr204s27	66.3	ннввввнвнввннвввнвнв-внннвввв
Chr19	D19Rat48	68.3	ННВНВННВНВВННВВВНВНВВВНННВВВВ
Chr19	D19Mit7	82.7	ННННННВНВ-НВНВВНВВВВННВННВ
Chr19	Tat	87.5	НННВНННВНВВВВНВВНВВВВВВВНВНВВ
Chr19	D19Rat71	89.4	НННВНННВНВВВВНВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВВ
Chr19	D19Rat64	97.6	ННННВНВНВВВНВВВНВВВНВВВНВВНВВ
Chr19	D19Rat61	103.7	НВННВННВНВВНВВВННВВВНВВНВВНВВ
Chr19	D19Rat5	114.9	-ННВВНВННННВВНВВВННВВНВНВВВВВ
Chr19	D19Cebrp150s1	116.9	ННННВНВННВНВВНВВВННВВНВНВВВВВ
Chr19	D19Rat1	117.9	-HHHBHBHHHHBBHBBBHHBBH-HBBBBB
Chr20	D20Cebr32s3	0.0	ВННВНВВНННВНВВВВВВННВННВВВННВ
Chr20	Rt1a	0.9	ВННВНВВННВВНВВВВВННВННВВВННВ
Chr20	D20Utr3	3.0	ВННВНВВННВННВВВВВВНВВН-ВВ-ННВ
Chr20	D20Rat41	5.2	ВННВНВВННВВНВВВВВННВННВВВННВ
Chr20	D20Mgh5	8.3	ВВНВВВВННВВНВВВВВВННВННВВНННВ
Chr20	D20Rat4	21.7	ВНННВВВНВНВНВВВВВВВННННВВННННН
Chr20	D20Rat75	36.1	ННННВВВНН-ВВВВВННВВНВННВННННН
Chr20	D20Rat23	42.6	НВННВВВНННВВВВВННВВНВННВНВВВВ
Chr20	D20Rat9	48.8	ВВВНВВВННННВВНВННВННВННВНВВВВ
Chr20	D20Rat10	53.4	BBBHBBBHHHHBBHBBBHBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBB
Chr20	D20Rat55	61.5	ВНВНВВНВННВВВВВНННВВВНВВВВВВ
Chr20	D20Mit1	67.7	BBBHBBBBBHHBBBHHHBHBBBBBBBBB
Chr20	D20Utr4	70.8	ВВВНВВНВННВВННННВНВНВВВВВВ

Appendix 2: RT-PCR primers

Legend: Each primer name consists of: gene symbol, NCBI reference sequence (in parentheses), primer position in the reference sequence, primer direction/function (F = forward, R = reverse, T = tagged)

Th-(NM_013158)-1725F	5'-AGCGCCCATTCTCTGTGAAG-3'
Th-(NM_013158)-17292R	5'-GGTGTGAGGGCTGTCCAGTAC-3'
Th-(NM_013158)-1746T	5'-TTGACCCGTACACACTGGCCATTGA-3'
Ddc-(NM_012545)-799F	5'-CCCTCGCTTTGAAATTTGCA-3'
Ddc-(NM_012545)-867R	5'-AACTGGTTGGAGCCCTTTAGC-3'
Ddc-(NM_012545)-820T	5'-TCATCCTCGGGTTGGTCTGCTTC-3'C
Dbh-(NM_013158)-1730F	5'-GCTTCCCGGGTAACTGGAA-3'
Dbh-(NM_013158)-1800R	5'-GCGTGGGTCGGGTTCTT-3'
Dbh-(NM_013158)-1750T	5'-CTGCAGCCTCTGCCTAATATCACTTCCG-3'
Pnmt-(X14211)-340F	5'-GAGTCCTGGCAGGAGAAAGAAC-3'
Pnmt-(X14211)-407R	5'-TGCACATCAATGGGCAAGAC-3'
Pnmt-(X14211)-363T	5'-CCAGCTCCGAGCGAGGGTGAAG-3'
Chga-(NM_021655)-765F	5'-AGAAGGCTGGGCCTAAAGAAGT-3'
Chga-(NM_021655)-838R	5'-CTGGATCTTCTTGTAGCCTGAATAGA-3'
Chga-(NM_021655)-788T	5'-CCCACGGCAGCATCCAGTTCTCA-3'
Chgb-(NM_012526)-1877F	5'-TGGACCAGCTCCTTCACTACAG-3'
Chgb-(NM_012526)-1948R	5'-CCATCTGCTCCTCTGAATCGTA-3'
Chgb-(NM_012526)-1900T	5'-AAGAAGGCAGCCGAATTTCCCCGACT-3'
Scg2-(NM_022669)-1668F	5'-GCAGGCCATCAAGGAGCAT-3'
Scg2-(NM_022669)-1735R	5'-TGCTCACCTTGGCCAGTTTC-3'
Scg2-(NM_022669)-1688T	5'-TGGGTCAAGGAAGCTCCCAGGAAATG-3'

Appendix 3: Primers for re-sequencing in rat *Dbh*

<u>Legend</u>: Each primer name consists of: gene symbol, amplicon number in sequential order from 5'-end and primer direction (F = forward, R = reverse)

Dbh_1F	5'-GCCTCAACATCTGGTTTTTCTT-3'
Dbh_1R	5'-ACAGGCTCTGAGTAGGGTATGG-3'
Dbh_2F	5'-AGCACAACTGTTGCTCTCACC-3'
Dbh_2R	5'-CACACCTGTTCCCATCTCACTA-3'
Dbh_3F	5'-GCTGAGCAATAGGAAGGTCAA-3'
Dbh_3R	5'-AAACACTTTGCTCCGATCCTC-3'
Dbh_4F	5'-GTGTCCTTGGGACCTATGTCTG-3'
Dbh_4R	5'-ACACAGAAGCAGTAGGCGACTT-3'
Dbh_5F	5'-ACCTCGTCATGCTCTGGACT-3'
Dbh_5R	5'-AAGTAGGAGACTTCCCCTTTGC-3'
Dbh_6F	5'-GGGTGCTAGAAAGCTTGGTTTA-3'
Dbh_6R	5'-GAATACATCCCAGGGCAAGAG-3'
Dbh_7F	5'-AGCTCCCTGTCAAACTCAGAAT-3'
Dbh_7R	5'-AGCACCTCAGATTCTTGCAACT-3'
Dbh_8F	5'-TCAGGCCTACATACGGGACTAC-3'
Dbh_8R	5'-TCCTTGTGTGTGTATGGGTGACTC-3'
Dbh_9F	5'-CACTAGTCCCCTATGCTTCCTG-3'
Dbh_9R	5'-GTGGCGACAGTAGTTGAGTCTG-3'
Dbh_10F	5'-CCCCAGTTCAGGGTAGTCTGT-3'
Dbh_10R	5'-GGACATTTCAGGATGTGGTTTA-3'
Dbh_11F	5'-ATTGCACCATCCCCTCCT-3'
Dbh_11R	5'-TGTGGTAATGAACTTCCAGTCG-3'
Dbh_12F	5'-CGATAGGACCTTTTGTGTGTGA-3'
Dbh_12R	5'-AAAGAACCTGTCCAGAGCAGTC-3'
Dbh_13F	5'-AAACACCCCATAGAGGTGACAG-3'
Dbh_13R	5'-CTCAGGGACACTGGGTACTGTG-3'
Dbh_14F	5'-CAGGTTTACCATGGGGATTAGA-3'
Dbh_14R	5'-GTCTCTTTTGGGCACAGTTCTC-3'
Dbh_15F	5'-GTTGAAGCAGAACTGACAATGC-3'
Dbh_15R	5'-GGAAAAGCCAGTGTGACTTACC-3'
Dbh_16F	5'-GGATCTGACATGGCTACAAGC-3'
Dbh_16R	5'-TGCTGAAGTTTCTGTGACCCTA-3'

Dbh_17F	5'-GTCACAAGAAAGCCACAGACAG-3'
Dbh_17R	5'-CCTTGAGCATATCACGATTGAA-3'
Dbh_18F	5'-CAGAGAATACAACGGCTTAGGG-3'
Dbh_18R	5'-GAGCCACTCTGCAAAGGACTAT-3'
Dbh_19F	5'-CCCCTTCCATAGAATAGTGCTG-3'
Dbh_19R	5'-TATAGAGCCCAGAAAGGGTCAG-3'
Dbh_20F	5'-AAACCCCAACATGTAGTTCCAC-3'
Dbh_20R	5'-CAGGCAGTACAGATGACAGAGG-3'
Dbh_21F	5'-TTGGAAAGGAGTAGGAGTCTGG-3'
Dbh_21R	5'-TTTAGGTAGTTGGTGGGGACCT-3'
Dbh_22F	5'-GAGAGTACGAGAAATGCTCACG-3'
Dbh_22R	5'-AGGCCCTCTCAACACTGATCTA-3'

Appendix 4: Primers for re-sequencing in rat *Pnmt*.

<u>Legend</u>: Each primer name consists of: gene symbol, amplicon number in sequential order from 5'-end and primer direction (F = forward, R = reverse)

Pnmt_1F	5'-CAGGCACCTAGAGCACCAAG-3'
Pnmt_1R	5'-ACCTTTATGGGCTCTTGATCG-3'
Pnmt_2F	5'-AAGGAGGATAGAGACGGGGTAG-3'
Pnmt_2R	5'-GTAGTTGTTGCGGAGGTAGGC-3'
Pnmt_3F	5'-GGAGGGGGACCCAGTAGTAGA-3'
Pnmt_3R	5'-CTCCCAGGTCCCATCTGTCT-3'
Pnmt_4F	5'-CAGGAGCGGACGATAGTCTT-3'
Pnmt_4R	5'-GTCCTCGATGAGGCAGACAT-3'
Pnmt_5F	5'-TGATATCCCCTCCTCTGCTC-3'
Pnmt_5R	5'-GCAAGGTACCACGACTCCTC-3'
Pnmt_6F	5'-GAGCCTCTGGGGGTAGTCTGA-3'
Pnmt_6R	5'-CCTTCTAAGCCTCGGAGACC-3'

Appendix 5: Polymorphism discovery (re-sequencing) in rat Dbh

	-1800	-1790 .	-1780	-1770	-1760
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	<i>tcagttc</i>	tgttcagcac GTTCAGCAC	atctacatga ATCTACATGA	agtcctgtga AGTCCTGTGA	gatttttctgattt GATTTTTCTGATTT
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1750 . TGGNTGCO tggctgto TGGCTGTO	-1740 . GTACTCCTAC gtactcctac GTACTCCTAC	-1730 CCCTTATGT CCCTTATGT	-1720 IGCCAAGGGA tgccaaggga TGCCAAGGGA	-1710 ACCCAGTTTTGGGT ACCCAGTTTTGGGT ACCCAGTTTTGGGT
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1700 . TCCTGAA tcctgaa TCCTGAA	-1690 <i>TGCTGTCCTG</i> <i>tgctgtcctg</i> <i>TGCTGTCCTG</i>	-1680 CCTATGCCC CCTATGCCC CCTATGCCC	-1670 TACCCTGCTC taccctgctc TACCCTGCTC	-1660 TGCCCTGCTACTGA tgccctgctactga TGCCCTGCTACTGA
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1650 . <i>TGGCTGTG</i> <i>tggctgtg</i> <i>TGGCTGT</i>	-1640 . GTGGCCCTGG gtggccctgg GTGGCCCTGG	-1630 GTACCTTCCC gtaccttccc GTACCTTCCC	-1620 CCTCTATCCC CCTCTATCCC CCTCTATCCC	-1610 CTTGTGTGTGTCAGAT CTTGTGTGTGTCAGAT CTTGTGTGTGTCAGAT
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1600 . GGGGACA ggggacaa GGGGACA	-1590 . AACACAGGCT aacacaggct AACACAGGCT	-1580 GGTCTCTCA ggtctctca GGTCTCTCA	-1570 AAGCCAGCAA aagccagcaa AAGCCAGCAA	-1560 AGCAAGCAGGCCTG agcaagcaggcctg AGCAAGCAGGCCTG
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1550 . CTTCCCTC CTTCCCTC CTTCCCTC	-1540 . CTCCTGGTAA ctcctggtaa CTCCTGGTAA	-1530 . CTACCAAGAG ctaccaagaG CTACCAAGAG	-1520 CTGGGTAATT CTGGGTAATT CTGGGTAATT	-1510 GTTACTTCTGAAGT gttacttctgaagt GTTACTTCTGAAGT
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1500 . CGGCTGA cggctgaa CGGCTGA	-1490 . ATCACTTCTG atcacttctg ATCACTTCTG	-1480 CCCAACGTTCA CCCAACGTTCA CCCAACGTTCA	-1470 AGCCTTGTTC AGCCTTGTTC AGCCTTGTTC	-1460 CTAGCTGCAGAACG ctagctgcagaacg CTAGCTGCAGAACG
BN. <i>Lx DBH NM_013158</i> SHR EXONS	-1450 . GGAGTGC ggagtgc GGAGTGC	-1440 . TTTTTGAATT tttttgaatt TTTTTGAATT	-1430 TGTTAAAAA tgttaaaaa TGTTAAAAA	-1420 TAAACGTGTG taaacgtgtg TAAACGTGTG	-1410 TGTGTGTGTGTGTG tgtgtgtgtgtgtgtg TGTGTGTGTGTGTGTG TGTGTGTGTGTGTGTG
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1400 . TGTGTGTG tgtgtgtg TGTGTGTG	-1390 . GTGTGTGTGTG gtgtgtgtgtgt GTGTGTGTGTGT	-1380 . GTGTGTGTGTG gtgtgtgtgtg GTGTGTGTGTG	-1370 IGTCACTCTG tgtcactctg IGTCACTCTG	-1360 CTGTTTACTAAGAA ctgtttactaagaa CTGTTTACTAAGAA
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	-1350 . CTGTGCT ctgtgct CTGTGCT	-1340 TCTCCTCCTC tctcctcctc TCTCCTCCTC	-1330 TAAGACCTGA taagacctga TAAGACCTGA	-1320 ANCACAACTG agcacaactg ATCACAACTG	-1310 TTGCTCTCACCCTG ttgctctcaccctg TTGCTCTCACCCTG

	-1	.300	-12	290	-12	80	-1	270	-	126	0	
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158		GATCCAG gatccaa	GAAA gaaa CAAZ	AGAGCTGC	CTGC Ctgc	TGTCAG	GCT gct	l CCTGC cctgc CCTCC	CTGC	GCAC	ccc1	ACCC accc
EXONS		GAICCAG	GAAA				JC 1		<u> </u>			ACCC
	-1	250	-12	240	-12	30	-1	220	-	121	0	
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR		TTCTTAG ttcttag TTCTTAG	GAGA gaga GAGA	AGAACĊTO agaaccto AGAACCTO	GGAĠ ggag GGAG	CTTCAC cttcac CTTCAC	TG <mark>C</mark> tgc TGC	CCCAG CCCAG CCCAG	G T GG gtgg G T GG	GTCC Igac GTCC	TAAG taag TAAG	GCCT gcct GCCT
EXONS	-1	.200	-11	.90	-11	80	-1	170		116	0	
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS		CACTGAA cactgaa CACTGAA	 AACC aacC AACC	CAGGCTG CAGGCTG CAGGCTGT CAGGCTGT	GGC ggg GGC	TAGCTG TAGCTG tagctga TAGCTG	AGC agc AGC	 CATAC catac CATAC	 CCTA CCTA CCTA	ACTC ACTC ACTC	AGAG agag AGAG	CCTG cctg CCTG
LIXOND	-1	150	-11	40	-11	30	-1	120		111	0	
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR		TGACTCT tgactct TGACTCT	TGTC tgtc TGTC	CTTGACTI CTTGACTI CTTGACTI	GTG GTG GTG	TAGAAC tagacco TAGAAC	 AAT aat AAT	 GTGCC gtgcc GTGCC	CATI CATI CATI	'AAC aac 'AAC	CCTG CCTG CCTG	GACA gaca GACA
EXONS	_1	100				 80	_1	070		106	0	
BN.Lx DBH NM 013158	-	TTCTGCC	 TAGI tagt	CCCAGGC	GCAA	. AG <mark>CT</mark> GG agctgg	GAC	 TATCT tatct	 GGAG qqaq	. . GACA	TCAC	CTGC
SHR — EXONS	-1	<u>TTCT</u> GCC .050	<i>TAĞ1</i> -10	"CCCAGG 	G <mark>C</mark> AA 	A <u>GCT</u> GG 	<i>GAC</i> 	<i>TATCT</i> 020	GGAG 	<i>ACA</i> 	0	CTGC
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR		. GTGCAAG gtgcaag GTGCAAG	 ACTO acto ACTO	GAGG <mark>TCCC</mark> GAGG <mark>TCCC</mark> GAGG <mark>TCCC</mark>	TTT TTT TTT TTT	TCCTCTC tcctctc TCCTCTC	G T G g t g G T G	 GAACA gaaca GAACA	 AAGO aago AAGO	. . TTTA tta TTTA	 GTGA gtga GTGA	AGAA agaa AGAA
EXONS	-1	.000	-99	90	-98	0	-9	 70		960		
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR		. TCAGCCT tcagcct TCAGCCT	 TGC1 tgct TGC1	GGCCAGA GGCCAGA GGCCAGA	AGGC AGGC AGGC	. TCAGGC tcaggc TCAGGC	TGG tgg TGG	 GCTAC gctac GCTAC	 TGTC tgtc TGTC	TTT TTTT TTTT TTTT	CTGA CTGA CTGA	GAAG gaag GAAG
EXONS	-9	50	-94	 10	-93	0	-9	20		910		
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158		. GAAGCAC gaagcac	AAGG aagg	GAAAGCAA gaaagcaa	AGGA agga	. AGGAAA aggaaa	AAG aag	 AGGGA aggga	 GAGA gaga	. . AGG agg	ACTC	ACAA acaa
EXONS	0	GAAGCAC	AAG0	GAAAGCAA	1GGA	AGGAAAA 	4 <i>AG</i> .	AGGGA 	GAGA	AGG	ACTC	ACAA
DN 1	-9		-89	,	-88	U .	-8	/U 	-			••••
BN.LX DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS		CTAGGTT ctaggtt CTAGGTT	GTAC gtac GGAC	GGACTTA gggactta GGGACCTA	atag ATAG	TCACAG tcacag TCACAG	rGA tga TGA	GGTAC ggtac GGTAC 	AGAC agag AGAC	igtg igtg ig <mark>t</mark> g	GATG gatg GA <mark>T</mark> G	GCTT gctt GCTT
	-8	350	-84	10	-83	0	-8	20	-	810	1	
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EYONS		TGGATAC tggatac TGGATAC	AAG <mark>1</mark> aagt AAG1	TAGAATG agaatgt TAGAATGI	TCT tct TCT	GGTGTT ggtgtt GGTGTT	GGG JGG GGG	GTACG gtacg GTACG	GACA gaca GACA	GCA Igca GCA	CCGG CCGG CCGG	TCCT tcct TCCT
EAUID	-8	800	-79	90 .	-78	0.	-7	70		760		
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS		. CATCATG catcatg CATCATG	 AAAC aaaC AAAC	CAGACAGO CAGACAGO CAGACAGO	GGCT GGCT GGCT	GAGCAA gagcaa GAGCAA	TAG tag TAG	 GAAGG gaagg GAAGG	l TCAA tcaa TCAA	• • AAAC AAAC	TTCG ttcg TTCG	GACT gact GACT

	-750	-740	-730	-720	-710
BN Lx		AG <mark>CCTGT</mark> GAA	GAGGCCCTG	••• •••• • "GG T AGGGA T (GGAGAAATAGTGC
DBH NM 013158	ggtggtc	agcctgtgaa	gaggccctgt	ggtagggatg	ggagaaatagtgc
SHR	GGTGGTC	AGCCTGTGAA	GAGGCCCTGT	GGTAGGGATC	GGGAGAAATAGTGC
EXOND	-700	-690	-680	-670	-660
	.				
BN.LX	TGGGGGT	GGCTGGCCCG	GAGAAGCAAGA	AGGAAGTCCT	GGCTTTGAGAGCTT
SHR SHR	TGGGGGT	GGCTGGCCCG	GAGAAG <mark>C</mark> AAGA	AGGAAGTCCT	GCTTTGAGAGCTT
EXONS					
	-650	-640	-630	-620	-610
BN.Lx	TAGTGAG	A T GGGAACAG	GTGTGTCCCC	CATAGCCACGA	AGTGCCCATTCACC
DBH NM_013158	tagtgag	atgggaacag	gtgtgtcccc	atagccacga	gtgcccattcacc
EXONS	TAGTGAG	ATGGGAACAG	GTGTGTGTCCCC	ATAGCCACGA	AGTGCCCATTCACC
	-600	-590	-580	-570	-560
	.				
DBH NM 013158	cccttcc	tacctaccct	atactatete	etgcacccact	
SHR _	CCCTTCC	TGCCTGCCCI	GTGCTGTCTC	TGCACCCACT	CCTTCTGTAGCAG
EXONS			500	500	F10
	-550	-540	-530	-520	-510
BN.Lx	CCGTGGC	GCCAGCTGGA	GCCCGTGGGG	GAAA <mark>C</mark> AGGAGA	AAAAGTGGGAACA
DBH NM_013158	CCGTGGC	gccagctgga GCCAGCTGGA	geeegtgggg Geeegtgggg	aaacaggaga aaacaggaga	aaaagtgggaaca
EXONS	0001000	00011001001	0000010000	///////////////////////////////////////	
	-500	-490	-480	-470	-460
BN Lx		··· ···· · TCGGAGAGAG	. TGTCTAAACA	ACGGACTATT	····
DBH NM_013158	gcaggcc	tcggagagag	tgtctaaaca	cggactatt	ggggactgtcttt
SHR EXONS	GCAGGCC	TCGGAGAGAG	GTGTCTAAACA	ACGGACTATTI	GGGGACTGTCTTT
	-450	-440	-430	-420	-410
DN T	.			.	
DBH NM 013158	tatttt	agtttgaaac	ttatccatco	tccagcatto	taaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
SHR -	TATTTTT	ĂĞ <mark>TTT</mark> ĞAAAC	CTTATCCATCO	CTCCAGCATTO	T AAAAAAAAAAAAAA
EXONS	_100	-300	-300	_270	-360
	.		- 380		-300
BN. Lx	AAAACAG	GATCCAGGAA	CCCACCACT	CACTGTCACT	CAGGAAGGAGCCCC
SHR	AAAACAG	GATCCAGGAA	CCCACCACT	CACTGTCACT	CAGGAAGGAGCCCC
EXONS					
	-350	-340	-330	-320	-310
BN.Lx	TTGAACC	TCAGTTGGAG	G <mark>C</mark> AGG <mark>T</mark> AGAGA	AGGCCCTGACT	GCCTATGAGGCAT
DBH NM_013158	ttgaacc	tcagttggag	caggtagaga	aggccctgact	gcctatgaggcat
EXONS	IIGAACC	ICAGI IGGAC	CAGGIAGAGA	AGGCCC1GAC1	GCCIAIGAGGCAI
	-300	-290	-280	-270	-260
BN Ly			$\ldots \ldots $		
DBH NM 013158	tcagcag	ggcctggctg	gaggtgtcct	tgggacctat	gtctgcagagagt
SHR -	TCAGCAG	GGCCTGGCT	GAGGTGTCC1	TGGGACCTAT	CTCTGCAGAGAGT
LIVID	-250	-240	-230	-220	-210
	.				
BN.LX DBH NM 013159	AGCTGTT			CATTGGAGGA	ACATGGCCATTCTG
SHR	AGCTGTT	TCCAACAGGC	GTCAGAGAT	CATTGGAGGA	ACATGGCCATTCTG
EXONS					

-	-200	-190	-180	-170	-160	
BN.Lx	CTTCGATT	TCTCTTGAT	GATGTCCATG	CGTCATTAG	rgtcaattagg@	GAG
DBH NM_013158	cttcgatt	ctcttgat	gatgtccatg	cgtcattagt	gtcaattaggg	igag
EXONS	CIICGAII	ICICIIGAI	GATGICCATG	CGICATIAG	GICAATTAGGG	JGAG
-	-150	-140	-130	-120	-110	
BN Lx		CAAAGTGT		GCATGGGCT		
DBH NM_013158	gatcggag	gcaaagtgt	ttgccccagg	gcatgggctg	jgtgggagagc	acc
SHR EXONS	GA <mark>TC</mark> GGAC	GCAAAGTGT	TTGCCCCAGG	GCATGGGCT	G T GGGAGAGCC	CACC
	-100	-90	-80	-70	-60	
DN Tre						
DBH NM 013158	aggacaat	tgaattcc	ccaccagaca	aatgtgatta	aggtacagcctg	igcc
SHR -	AGGACAAT	TGAATTCC	CCACCAGACA	AA T G T GATTA	AGGTACAGCCT	GCC
EXONS	-50	-40	-30	-20	-10	-1
DN 7						-
DBH NM 013158	CAACCCCC	ACCGAACAG. ACCGAACAG	acataaatgg	cccaqtqqq	<i>ictagagtactc</i>	atc
SHR -	CAACCCCA	ACCGAACAG	ACATAAATGG	CCCAGTGGGG	<i>CTĞĞĞĞTĞCTC</i>	CATC
LIONS	1	10	2.0	30	4 0	
DN I						
DBH NM 013158	-CCAGCCA	ATGCAGCCT ATGCAGCCT	CACCTCAGCC	ACCAGCCTTC	GCTGGAGCCTCC	CCA
SHR -		ATGCAGCCT	CACCTCAGCC	ACCAGCCTT	GCTGGAGCCTCC	CCA
EXONS		60	70	80	90	
BN.LX DBH NM 013158	GCCCCAGO	CGTCCGTGA CGTCCGTGA	GGCGGCTTCC	ATGTATGGCA ATGTATGGCA	ACTGCTGTGGGCC ACTGCTGTGGGCC	CATC
SHR -	GCCCCAGO	CGTCCGTGA	GGCGGCTTCC	ATGTATGGCA	ACTGCTGTGGCC	CATC
LAONS	100	110	120	1.30	140	
DBH NM 013158	TTCCTGGT	ICATCCTGG ICATCCTGG	TGGCTGCACT	GCAGGGGCTC(GAGCCTCCGGA	IGAG IGAG
SHR -	TTCCTGG	CATCCTGG	TGGCTGCACT	GCAGGGCTC	GAGCCTCCGGA	GAG
LAONS	150	160	170	180	190	
	.					
BN.LX DBH NM 013158	CCCCTTCC	CCTTACCAC	ATCCCCCTGG ATCCCCCTGG	ACCCTGAAGU ACCCTGAAGU	GACTTTAGAGC GACTTTAGAGC	CTCT CTCT
SHR -	CCCCTTCC	CCTTACCAC	ATCCCCCTGG	ACCCTGAAG	GACTTTAGAGC	CTCT
LIONS	200	210	220	2.30	240	
DN I						
DBH NM 013158	CGTGGAAC	CGTCAGCTA CGTCAGCTA	TGACCAGGAG TGACCAGGAG	ATCATCCAC	TCCAGCTCCAG TCCAGCTCCAG	GTG G <mark>T</mark> G
SHR -	CGTGGAAC	CGTCAGCTA	TGACCAGGAG	ATCATCCAC	ITCCAGCTCCAG	GTG
EXONS	2.50	260	270	2.80	290	
DN 7						•••
BN.LX DBH NM 013158	CAAGGGCC	CGAGGGCTG CGAGGGCTG	GGGTCCTGT1 GGGTCCTGT1	CGGAATGTCC	GATCGAGGTGA GGA <mark>TC</mark> GAGGTGA	IGAT IGAT
SHR -	CAAGGGC	CGAGGGCTG	GGGTCCTGTT	CGGAATGTC	GA <mark>TC</mark> GAGG <mark>T</mark> GA	GAT
EAUND	300	310	320	330	340	ллл
DN T						
BN.LX DBH NM 013158	GGAGAA <mark>C</mark> (GGAGAA <mark>C</mark> (GCAGACCTC GCAGACCTC	GTCATGCTCI GTCATGCTCI	GGACTGACG(GGACTGACG(₅GGACAGGACC'I GGGACAGGACC'I	ACT ACT
SHR -	GGAGAAC	GCAGACCTC	GTCATGCTCT	GGACTGACG	GGACAGGACCI	ACT
	/\/\/\/\/\/\/\/	1212121212121212121	//////////////////////////////////	//////////////////////////////////	1212121212121212121212121212	777777

	350	360	370	380	390
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158	TTGCGGTG. TTGCGgtg	AGTCCTCTGC	CATCTTTCTG	······································	ACGCCCATACCC acgcccataccc
EXONS	TTGCGGTG. XXXXX	AGTCCTCTGC	CATCTTTCTG	ICTIGICCIC.	ACGCCCATACCC
	400	410	420	430	440
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR	. CGAGCTGG cgagctgg CGAGCTGG	CTATCTTCAT CTATCTTCAT Ctatcttcata CTATCTTCAT	 ACAGCCAGGC acagccaggc ACAGCCAGGC		 GCAAAGCAAAGT gcaaagcaaagt GCAAAGCAAAGT
EXONS	450	160	470	100	400
	430		• • • • • • • •	40U •• ••• ••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	CGCCTACT cgcctact CGCCTACT	GCTTCTGTGTGT gettetgtgt GCTTCTGTGT	TTGATGTCAC ttgatgtcac TTGATGTCAC	TAATCTGAAT taatctgaat TAATCTGAAT	CAAGCCCTTCAG caagcccttcag CAAGCCCTTCAG
	500	510	520	530	540
BN.Lx	CATCACAA	GGACACTCAC	TAGAGGA <mark>T</mark> GC	GACAGTAAGT	GGACTCTTCCTG
BH NM_013138 SHR EXONS	CATCACAA	GGACACTCAC	TAGAGGATGC	GACAGTAAGT	GGACTCTTCCTG
	550	560	570	580	590
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	GAGTCCTT gagtcctt GAGTCCTT	GACTGCACCCO gactgcaccco GACTGCACCCO	CCAAATACTG CCAAAtactg CCAAATACTG	GTTACAGCAA gttacagcaa GTTACAGCAA	TCCCAAGGACGG tcccaaggacgg TCCCAAGGACGG
	600	610	620	630	640
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	 TAAGAGCA taagagca TAAGAGCA	 TAGGAAGGAC taggaaggac TAGGAAGGAC	 CCATATGCCA ccatatgcca CCATATGCCA	IGCAGCCCCC tgcagcccccc IGCAGCCCCC	
	650	660	670	680	690
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158	. TGAGTACA	 GACCGTGCAT gaccgtgcata	ATCCTCCAGC	 GTTACAAATG gttacaaatgo	 ACAGCTCATACC acagctcatacc
EXONS	TGAGTACA	GACCGTGCAT	ATCCTCCAGC	JTTACAAATG.	ACAGCTCATACC
	700	710	720	730	740
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EVONS	ACAC T GCC. acactgcc. ACAC T GCC.	AGCTCATGGG agctcatggg AGCTCATGGG	CACCTGTTGT cacctgttgt CACCTGTTGT	GGGCCAGGTG GGGCCAGGTG GGGCCAGGTG	CACTGACTTAGT cactgacttagt CACTGACTTAGT
EAONS	750	760	770	780	790
BN Ly					$ \dots \dots $
DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	agcacagg AGCACAGG	tggcacgcaca TGGCACGCAC	accagcc <mark>t</mark> gga ACCAGCC T GG	aacacagcac AACACAGCAC	acacaggccttc ACACAGGCCTTC
	800	810	820	830	840
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	TGTGCTAT tgtgctat TGTGCTAT	TCCCGATGAT tcccgatgat TCCCGATGAT	GGTTGCAGAT. ggttgcagat. GGTTGCAGAT.	AGCATCGCAA agcatcgcaa AGCATCGCAA	CCCAGGTAAGGG CCCAGgtaaggg CCCAGGTAAGGG
	850	860	870	880	890
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 SHR EXONS	 ATAACCCC ataacccc ATAACCCC	 ACCCTCCTCA accctcctca ACCCTCCTCA	 CAAGAGATGA caagagatga CAAGAGATGA		 ACAGAATAGCTC acagaatagctc ACAGAATAGCTC

900 920 930 910 940

 Image: Construction of the second BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *TĞCTTCCTTCCĞTTCAAAAATCTTCCTĞAACACCCTATATĞCTAĞAĞĞAĞ* SHR EXONS 950 970 980 960 990 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caa agggga agt ctcct a ctt agtgg ag catcctt cagggg cctct a caaSHR CAAAGGGGAAGT-EXONS 1000 1010 1020 1030 1040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 1050 1060 1070 1080 1090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 agetetactetteetgeagagggettetttgggatagaetettaetet SHR EXONS 1100 1110 1120 1130 1140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctgcacctacaagagaagaggtggtcaaagattttccgtatcaaatcaga SHR EXONS 1170 1150 1160 1180 1190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aagtactttgtgttaatgcctctgcctcagcttccccagtgctgggatca SHR EXONS 1230 1200 1210 1220 1240 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 caggtgtgagccactaaacctgactaggagatgctttctcgagccgagca SHR EXONS 1250 1260 1270 1280 1290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tggcagccacccccttccccagccatttgctcagaactctcaggcgggg SHR EXONS 1300 1310 1320 1330 1340 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgacagagcagggctggctgtacagtggctttggatcagtccatctgagg SHR EXONS 1350 1360 1370 1380 1390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgtgtgggagacagaagagccttgttgggccccagaggggttgccattcc SHR EXONS 1400 1410 1420 1430 1440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tttcgccttcctggcatagcttttccgtgcagcagctcccaccagagagg SHR EXONS

1450 1460 1470 1480 1490 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cctgttctacccctgccccatttgtttgcacaatgaatgtctgtgaacac SHR EXONS 1500 1510 1520 1530 1540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 agacatcagaaatggagcccccgtgggcagcaggatgctcaggtatgcac SHR EXONS 1550 1570 1560 1580 1590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tqtaqaqtcctqqcaqcaatqccaqctqcctqqctqqtcctqctctaaqt SHR EXONS 1600 1620 1630 1640 1610 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caacaqqqtccccaqcaqqaqcttcaccatqaqqctqtccccaqqqqaaa SHR EXONS 1650 1660 1670 1680 1690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttttgctaatttctttcaggctttgctttcctaagccctggaggtaggac SHR EXONS 1700 1710 1720 1730 1740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 taaacagaaacagccccagcagtcctcacagcagaggcctgtgacgttca SHR EXONS 1750 1760 1770 1780 1790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgaattcagaaggaggcccacttgctggatgctaccccacagcctgcttc SHR EXONS 1800 1810 1820 1830 1840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccttacagagaaggcagggagacctggacccgtgatctaggttccaggag SHR EXONS 1850 1860 1870 1880 1890 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctattctqqqqqaaatqctcactcttqctqccaatqcaqtctccccaqcc SHR EXONS 1900 1910 1920 1930 1940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cagaceteagtttactecetecaggeaatgetageeetagagtgagaggt SHR EXONS 1950 1960 1970 1980 1990 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 tcccatggtacagtagttaaggcagcttttgctctcagcgttatgcaagc SHR EXONS

2000 2020 2030 2010 2040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 atggagcgcacacctgggtgagcaagtgccaccttaaatgctgccctgcc SHR EXONS 2050 2060 2070 2080 2090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttttcccattctggctccatcatcatctgccttgatccaagactctagtc SHR EXONS 2100 2110 2120 2130 2140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcagatgtctaatcctgggggtcagtcctgccagaagccatggtctctaag SHR EXONS 2150 2160 2170 2180 2190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccctgagaatctgtatggttaactgtctctgggagtttcaggtaccccac SHR EXONS 2210 2230 2200 2220 2240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttacatgtggcaagttgaaagcaagctggggtgagggtacaactttaggca SHR EXONS 2290 2250 2260 2270 2280 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 2300 2310 2320 2330 2340 BN.Lx gaggcctgatccctttacgtacactggacactgggtttgatcccaacatt DBH NM 013158 SHR GAGGCCTGATCCCTTTACGTACACTGGACACTGGGTTTGATCCCAACATT EXONS 2370 2350 2360 2380 2390 AAAAGGAATGAGACAGATAAAAATCCAACAGGGGCGTCATCGATCAGCAG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aaaaggaatgagacagataaaaatccaacaggggcgtcatcgatcagcag AAAAAGAATGAGACAGATAAAAATCCAACAGGGGCGTCATCGATCAGCAG SHR EXONS 2420 2430 2410 2400 2440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 2470 2480 2460 2450 2490 BN.Lx atggacgtgatctctaatagagaagtgcgctctactgtgggtcggggctc ATGGACGTGATCTCTAATAGAGAAGTGCGCTCTACTGTGGGGTCGGGGCTC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 2520 2530 2500 2510 2540

 Image: BN.Lx TTTCCTGCTCAGAGTCTGAGCCTGTGCCTGCAGGAGCCTGGAGTGACCA EXON_2_XXXXXXXXX DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

	2550	2560	2570	2580	2590
BN LY					
DBH NM 013158	GAAAGGGC	AGATCCATCT	GGATACCCAT	CAGGACTACC	AGCTGCTCCAGG
SHR -	GAAAGGGC	AGATCCATCT	GGATACCCAT	CAGGACTACC	AGCTGCTCCAGG
EAUNS	2600	2610	2620	2620	2610
	2000				
BN. Lx	CACAGAGG	GTGTCAAACA	GCĊTATCĊCT	GCTCTTCAAG	AGGCCCTTTGTC
DBH NM_013158	CACAGAGG	GTGTCAAACA	GCCTATCCCT	GCTCTTCAAG GCTCTTCAAG	AGGCCCTTTGTC
EXONS	XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	2650	2660	2670	2680	2690
BN Ly		CCCCAACCAT	 TATCTCATTC		CCCACCACCTTT
DBH NM 013158	ACCTGCGA	CCCCAAGGAT	TATGTCATTG	AGgtaggcca	cccaqqqacttt
SHR -	ACCTGCGA	CCCCAAGGAT	TATGT <mark>C</mark> ATTG	AGG T AGGCCA	CCCAĞĞĞACTTT
EXONS	XXXXXXXX 2700	2710	~~~~~	XX 0720	0740
	2700	2/10	2720	2/30	2/40
BN. Lx	CCTGAACC	ATCCCGGCAT	CCTAAGGTGT	CAGGGAAGCC	TGAGGTAGAGTT
DBH NM_013158	cctgaacc	ateccggcat	CCTAAGGTGT	cagggaagcc	tgaggtagagtt
EXONS	CCIGAACC	AICCCGGCAI	CIAAGGIGI	CAGGGAAGCC	IGAGGIAGAGII
	2750	2760	2770	2780	2790
DN Tre		$ \dots \dots \dots$	$ \cdot \cdot$		
DBH NM 013158	cacacata	AGAGAGAGAGCC	cctttggcag	atagaagcig	t.t.t.t.aaaaaaaa
SHR -	CACACGTG	AGAGAGAGCC	CCTTTGGCAG	A <mark>T</mark> GGAAG <mark>CT</mark> G	TTTTGAGAGAGG
EXONS		0.01.0		0000	0.0.4.0
	2800	2810	2820	2830	2840
BN.Lx	GCCTCTAG	CTTTCTATCC.	AG <mark>C</mark> AGCGAGG	GGTTCCAAAA	AAGCTCCCTGCA
DBH NM_013158	gcctctag	ctttctatcc	agcagcgagg	ggttccaaaa	aageteetgea
EXONS	GCCICIAG	CITICIAICC.	AGCAGCGAGG	GGIICCAAAA	AAGCICCCIGCA
	2850	2860	2870	2880	2890
DNI T					
DBH NM 013158	gacatgta	tccaqtqttc	tttccagatg	tgactgttgt	ttagagccctct
SHR -	ĞA <mark>C</mark> ATĞTA	TCCAGTGTTC	TTTCCAGATG	TĞACTĞTTĞT	TTGGGGGCCCTCT
EXONS	2000	2010	2020	2020	0040
	2900	2910	2920	2930	2940
BN. Lx	TGCC				
DBH NM_013158 SHR	tgccctgg TGCC	gatgtattca	tcagcctgtc	atgtagaact 	ctgtatgaagac
EXONS	1000				
	2950	2960	2970	2980	2990
BN Ly			•••	•••	
DBH NM 013158	taacatac	ccgactttct	accctgctca	gtcgctgatc	tgctttgaggct
SHR -					
EXUNS	2000	2010	2020	2020	2010
	1				
BN. Lx	·				
SHR	caggactg	gccctttct	cctccaaatc		cytctgtaaggc
EXONS					
	3050	3060	3070	3080	3090
BN. Lx		· · · · · · · ·	•••	· · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · ·
DBH NM_013158	taggag <mark>c</mark> t	gctggattcc	<mark>caat</mark> aaggaa	gg <mark>tctgc</mark> agt	gtacttcatggc
SHR EXONS					

3100 3110 3120 3130 3140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgccacatagttccaaagcacctgagcttcttagccactcccgtcaggaa SHR EXONS 3150 3160 3170 3180 3190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aagccagtctgtcttgcccctgatggaaaccttacgttcttgtttcctta SHR EXONS 3200 3220 3210 3230 3240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *qttaatctttcctqatqqaacqqacaqqaqctqqaaqtttqtqqtqc* SHR EXONS 3250 3260 3270 3280 3290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgagagacagagtagagatgctggtgccacgggaagaaactcatttactc SHR EXONS 3300 3310 3320 3330 3340 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcagtcccccgggaagggccaccagcatgtaggaagccccaggctcagtc SHR EXONS 3350 3360 3380 3370 3390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 actaggtggaatagagaaaagaaccttggcaataagctccactgggctta SHR EXONS 3400 3420 3430 3410 3440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 taagggcaaaagctaggaagggtctggaaagcagcaggagggataattct SHR EXONS 3450 3460 3470 3480 3490 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gactcttcagggctttggggtgctctctgcttaccagttctggttctgag SHR EXONS 3500 3510 3520 3530 3540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *qcaatttatqqcaqqqqqaqatqqtqqcttqqaqtaqaaaaqttqttcaaa* SHR EXONS 3550 3560 3570 3580 3590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aaggtgggtggtgatttgggtccagccagactgcaggattcggaaggcgg SHR EXONS 3600 3610 3620 3630 3640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gttgtactcctcctaagaatgggtctcgagaaaggataaacacatttggc SHR EXONS

3650 3660 3670 3680 3690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 catttgcttagttctgtgattgattaatggatgccaaacagataactcta SHR EXONS 3700 3710 3720 3730 3740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gattccaagaaaacaaatgaggaattttggccaaagaggggatagaataa SHR EXONS 3750 3780 3760 3770 3790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cccaggagctcgtgacacacagaggctagggcaaggccattggaaatggg SHR EXONS 3800 3810 3820 3830 3840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcatttaaqtccccqccctcctqtaqacaaqqcaaqaqcaqtcaqaqaac SHR EXONS 3850 3860 3870 3880 3890 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cttccagaatgcaccacagggcaggccaatgtttatatgttctggggcca SHR EXONS 3900 3910 3920 3930 3940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gagacttctatgtagaacatgcaagatgtctttcccaagagcagcccttg SHR EXONS 3950 3960 3970 3980 3990 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggactcctaccaaccagagcacactgactgtgcatccttgggtgcccggg SHR EXONS 4000 4010 4020 4030 4040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aaaagggctgttggcatttccagcaaagcatccacccagagctgccagcc SHR EXONS 4050 4060 4070 4080 4090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tctqtccataqcaacctqtqaqqaaaccaacccatatctqtqqtctqttt SHR EXONS 4100 4110 4120 4130 4140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggctctccatcacagcaatgacctgtagacttttacatgtccagcttct SHR EXONS 4150 4190 4160 4170 4180 | | | | BN.Lx TCAGAATTCCCCAGAT ggggaaaactcaatcacacagctccctgtcaaactcagaattccccagatDBH NM 013158 SHR -TCAGAATTCCCCAGAT

4200 4210 4220 4230 4240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 4260 4280 4250 4270 4290

 I....
 <td BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR *TTĞTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTĞCTĞCCTAĞATĞĞTCACTĞACTACTCAĞTTĞ* EXONS 3004310432043304340|...||...||...||...||...|TGACCAATACCTTTCCCCTGCCCCCAGTGACCTTGGGGTTCATAGAAGAA 4300 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 tgaccaatacctttcccctgcccccagtgaccttggggttcatagaagaa TGACCAATACCTTTCCCCTGCCCCAGTGACCTTGGGGTTCATAGAAGAA SHR EXONS 4360 4370 4380 4390 GTAAGAGTCCCAGCCTGCCTTATGGGTTGTAAACATGAATGGATGCCAAG BN.Lx gtaagagtcccagcctgccttatgggttgtaaacatgaatggatgccaag GTAAGAGTCCCAGCCTGCCTTATGGGTTGTAAACATGAATGGATGCCAAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 4400 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caaatgaagagtgtgtgagaaagaaaaagtgtttcacaggtgggcacag CAAATGAAGAGTGTGTGAGAAAGAAAAAAGTGTTTCACAGGTGGGCACAG SHR EXONS 4450 BN.Lx *Gatttcagaacagcctggggccagagcatttgctggctctgagtgggca GATTTCAGAAACAGCCTGGGGGCCAGAGCATTTGCTGGCTCTGAGTGGGCA* DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 4500 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR ATCAACTGGTTCTGTCTGGGCTACAGGATGACACTGTCCATCTAGTGTAT EXON 3 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX EXONS 4580 4550 4570 4590 4560 GGGATCCTGGAGGAGCCATTCCAGTCCCTGGAGGCCATCAACACCTCAGG GGGATCCTGGAGGAGCCATTCCAGTCCCTGGAGGCCATCAACACCTCAGG BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR *GGGATCCTGGAGGAGCCATTCCAGTCCCTGGAGGCCATCAACACCTCAGG* EXONS 4630 4600 4610 4620 4640 CCTACATACGGGACTACAGCAGGTGCAGCTTCTGAAGCCTGAGGTCTCCA CCTACATACGGGACTACAGCAGGTGCAGCTTCTGAAGCCTGAGGTCTCCA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR CCTACATACGGGACTACAGCAGGTGCAGCTTCTGAAGCCTGAGGTCTCCA EXONS 4670 4680 4660 4650 4690 CTCCAGCCATGCCTGCGGATGTACAAACCATGGATATCCGGGCTCCTGAC BN.Lx CTCCAGCCATGCCTGCGGATGTACAAACCATGGATATCCGGGCTCCTGAC CTCCAGCCATGCCTGCGGATGTACAAACCATGGATATCCGGGCTCCTGAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 4720 4700 4710 4730 4740 GTCCTCATCCCCAGCACTGAGACCACATACTGGTGCTATATCACTGAGCT GTCCTCATCCCCAGCACTGAGACCACATACTGGTGCTATATCACTGAGCT GTCCTCATCCCCAGCACTGAGACCACATACTGGTGCTATATCACTGAGCT BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

	4750	4760	4770	4780	4790
BN Lx	ACCCCTAC	ACTTCCCCCG	······································	······································	ATGGGGAACAGG
DBH NM 013158	ACCCCTAC	ACTTCCCCCG	ACACCACATC	ATCATGgtaa	atggggaacagg
SHR	ACCCCTAC	ACTTCCCCCG	ACACCACATC	A <mark>TCATGGT</mark> AA.	A <mark>T</mark> GGGGAACAGG
EAONS	4800	4810	4820	4830	4840
BN. LX	GTGGGGGT	GTCCAGCTCT	ACTCCCCCCC	CGGGGGGCCCA	GAGTTGCAAGAA
SHR	GTGGGGGT	GTCCAGCTCT	ACTCCCCCCC	CGGGGGGCCCA	GAG TTGC AAGAA
EXONS					
	4850	4860	4870	4880	4890
BN. LX	TCTGAGGT	GCTAGCCCAA	· · · · · · · · CTCCCTTAGA	••	TGCACTAGTCCC
DBH NM_013158	tctgaggt	gctagcccaad	ctcccttaga	ataacacata	tgcactagtccc
SHR	TCTGAGGT	GCTAGCCCAA	CTCCCTTAGA	ATAACACATA	TGCACTAGTCCC
EXONS	4900	4910	4920	4930	4940
BN.LX MM 013159	CTATGCTT	CCTGTCCCCA	CTCACCATCC	GCCTCGGGGT	CCCTGAGATGAA
SHR	CTATGCTT	CCTGTCCCCA	CTCACCATCC	GCCTCGGGGT	CCCTGAGATGAA
EXONS					
	4950	4960	4970	4980	4990
BN.Lx	TATTTTCT	GTCTTACCTG	CCTGGAGCAA	AGCAATAATT	TCTTTACTTCTG
DBH NM_013158	tattttct	gtcttacctge	cctggagcaa	agcaataatt	tctttacttctg
SHR EXONS	TATTTTCT	GTCTTACCTG	CCTGGAGCAA.	AGCAATAATT	TCTTTACTTCTG
	5000	5010	5020	5030	5040
BN.LX DBH NM 013158	CAATTGCC	CTTGAGGTTA	GAACTGCTGT	CAATCTTTCT	GGCCACCTCCTC
SHR	CAATTGCC	CTTGAGGTTA	GAACTGCTGT	CAATCTTTCT	GGCCACCTCCTC
EXONS					
	5050	5060	5070	5080	5090
BN.Lx	TCATGTAA	AAG <mark>T</mark> AG <mark>CT</mark> AA	ATTCAGTTGC	TCCAAAGCTG	CAGCTAGGGACT
DBH NM_013158	tcatgtaa	aagtagctaa	attcagttgc	tccaaagctg	cagctagggact
EXONS	ICAIGIAA	AAGIAGCIAA	AIICAGIIGC	ICCAAAGCIG	CAGCIAGGGACI
	5100	5110	5120	5130	5140
DNI T					
DBH NM 013158	ttotcaca	gaagteccaa	aggeligeeee	tgttccagaa	gacgaagcataa
SHR -	TTGTCACA	GAAG <mark>TCCC</mark> AA	AGGCTGCCCC	TGTTCCAGAA	GACGAAGCA <mark>T</mark> AA
EXONS		F1 C0	F1 7 0	F100	F100
	5150	5160	5170	5180	5190
BN. Lx	CCCAGAAA	GG <mark>TC</mark> ATGTGA	A <mark>TGCCC</mark> AGGG	TCACACAGCA.	AACAGTATAGCT
DBH NM_013158 SHR	CCCAGAAA	ggtcatgtgaa GGTCATGTGA	atgcccaggg ATGCCCAGGG	tcacacagca TCACACAGCA	aacagtatagct
EXONS	0001101111	0010110101		1 0/10/10/10 0/1	
	5200	5210	5220	5230	5240
BN Lx		GGCCCAGGCT		 GTCCATTCTG	
DBH NM 013158	gtccttga	ggcccaggct	ctagcctgga	gtccattctg	tctcctagtgga
SHR -	GTCCTTĞA	GGCCCAGGCT	CTAGCCTGGA	GTCCATTCTG	TCTCCTAGTGĠA
EAUND	5250	5260	5270	5280	5290
BN. Lx	GCTTCATG	GGCCCAGAGT	CACCCATACA	CACAAGGACA	GTTGGCTTCCTA
SHR	GCTTCATG	GGCCCAGAGT	CACCCATACA	CACAAGGACA	GTTGGCTTCCTA
EXONS					

5310 5320 5330 5300 5340 GTTCCATCAGGAATAGTCAGTGTAGGTCTCTACTTTTTTTCTGGAGCTCT gttccatcaggaatagtcagtgtaggtcttactttttttctggagctct BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ĞTTCCATCAĞĞAATAĞTCAĞTĞTAĞĞTCTCTACTTTTTTTCTĞĞAĞCTCT SHR EXONS 5360 5350 5370 5380 5390

 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 GTGGGTTCTCTTACCTCCTGGCTCTGAACTGGAAACCCTCAAGTACCCGA

 gtgggttctctttacctcctggctctgaactggaaaccctcaagtacccga
 I....
 I...
 I...
 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ĞTĞĞĞTTCTCTTACCTCCTĞĞCTCTĞAACTĞĞAAACCCTCAAĞTACCCĞA SHR EXONS 5420 5400 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 AGTTGCCTTCTCATTTATAGCTGCTCCAGCCAAGTGGGAGGGGGTAGGCAG SHR EXONS 5470 5480 5450 5460 5490 GTACTGGACCCCCAGTTCAGGGTAGTCTGTCCCTCTGCAGTATGAGGCCA BN.Lx gtactggacccccagttcagggtagtctgtccctctgcagTATGAGGCCA GTACTGGACCCCCAGTTCAGGGTAGTCTGTCCCTCTGCAGTATGAGGCCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS EXON 4 XXX 5500 5510 5530 5520 5540

 Joint BN.Lx TTGTCACGGAGGGCAATGAGGCCCTGGTGCACCACATGGAGGTCTTCCAA TTGTCACGGAGGGCAATGAGGCCCTGGTGCACCACATGGAGGTCTTCCAA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 5550 5560 5570 5580 5590 TGTACAAATGAGTCTGAGGCCTTCCCCATGTTCAACGGACCCTGCGACTC TGTACAAATGAGTCTGAGGCCTTCCCCATGTTCAACGGACCCTGCGACTC TGTACAAATGAGTCTGAGGCCTTCCCCATGTTCAACGGACCCTGCGACTC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 5600 5610 5620 5630 5640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 CAAGATGAAACCTGACAGACTCAACTACTGTCGCCACGTGCTGGCGGCAT SHR EXONS 5670 5680 5650 5660 5690 BN. Lx $GGGCCCTGGGCGCCAAGgtatgtacatatggctgaacgcctcttctagtt\\GGGCCCTGGGCGCCAAGGTATGTACATATGGCTGAACGCCTCTTCTAGTT$ DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 5740 5710 5720 5730 5700 TGTTTTCTGTTGTTGTGTGATATACCATGGCCAAAATCAACTTGGGGGAAGA BN.Lx tgttttctgttgttgtgatataccatggccaaaatcaacttgggggaaga TGTTTTCTGTTGTTGTGATATACCATGGCCAAAATCAACTTGGGGGAAGA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 5790 5750 5760 5770 5780 AAGGATTTACTTGGCAAACATTTTCAGGTCATAGTTAGTCCTTCATGGAG BN.Lx aaggatttacttggcaaacattttcaggtcatagttagtccttcatggag AAGGATTTACTTGGCAAACATTTTCAGGTCATAGTTAGTCCTTCATGGAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 5830 5820 5800 5810 5840 GGAAGTCGAGGCAAGAATCAAGGCTTGAGGTACCACATCACCTCTGACCA BN.Lx ggaagtcgaggcaagaatcaaggcttgaggtaccacatcacctctgacca GGAAGTCGAGGCAAGAATCAAGGCTTGAGGTACCACATCACCTCTGACCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

5860 5870 5880 5890 5850 BN.Lx AGGAACGCATAGCCAAAGAAGAACGGCAGGAATCACGGGGGATGCTGGCA DBH NM 013158 aggaacgcatagccaaagaagaacggcaggaatcacgggggatgctggcaAĞĞAACĞCATAĞCCAAAĞAAĞAACĞĞCAĞĞAATCACĞĞĞĞĞATĞCTĞĞCA SHR EXONS 5900 5910 5920 5930 5940 CTGGCTGGTCTTCAGGCCATCTAAGGACTCAGATAGTGTCCTTACATAGC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctggctggtcttcaggccatctaaggactcagatagtgtccttacatagcSHR CTĠĠĊŢĠĠŢĊŢŢĊĂĠĠĊĊAŢĊŢĂĂĠĠĂĊŢĊĂĠĂŢĂĠŢĠŢĊĊŢŢĂĊĂŢĂĠĊ EXONS 5950 BN. Lx ccagaggatggcgccgcacacagtcgccttggccttcctaagtcagtgaa CCAGAGGATGGCGCCGCACACAGTCGCCTTGGCCTTCCTAAGTCAGTGAA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6030 6040 6010 6020 GAATCAAAACAAACCTCATAGATGAGCCTCCAGCCAATCTGACAGAGGTA BN.Lx gaatcaaaacaaacctcatagatgagcctccagccaatctgacagaggta GAATCAAAACAAACCTCATAGATGAGCCTCCAGCCAATCTGACAGAGGTA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6050 BN.Lx acgccatagctgaggctgtctctggtgagtctaggctttgccagcttggc ACGCCATAGCTGAGGCTGTCTCTGGTGAGTCTAGGCTTTGCCAGCTTGGC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6100 BN.Lx Aggttaaagttagttaggacacaattgcaccatcccctccttgggagtcc AGGTTAAAGTTAGTTAGGACACAATTGCACCATCCCCTCCTTGGGAGTCC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6150 6170 6180 6160 6190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcgggattttaactcactgcacccgtgtcctaaaccacatcctgaaatgtSHR *TCGGGATTTTAACTCACTGCACCCGTGTCCTAAACCACATCCTGAAATGT* EXONS 2006210622062306240|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...|...CCCAGGAGACAGATGGGAAGGAGGCACACCAGGCGCCGGCAGAGTGTAGT 6200 BN. Lx cccaggagacagatgggaaggaggcacaccaggcgccggcagagtgtagt CCCAGGAGACAGATGGGAAGGAGGCACACCAGGCGCCGGCAGAGTGTAGT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6290 6250 6260 6270 6280

 Image: Solution of the second seco BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6300 6340 6320 6310 6330

 Image: Construction of the state of the BN.Lx ttgcatccttctatgaaaatgcacacgaccatgggtatgcacctctcatc TTGCATCCTTCTATGAAAATGCACACGACCATGGGTATGCACCTCTCATC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS
 350
 6360
 6370
 6380
 6390

|....|....|....|....|....|.....
|....|.....|.....
|.....

CTGGATTAGCACTTACAGGGCTCTGGGTTCTGTGCCCAGCATGATCCCAG
 6350 BN.Lx ctggattagcacttacagggctctgggttctgtgcccagcatgatcccag CTGGATTAGCACTTACAGGGCTCTGGGTTCTGTGCCCAGCATGATCCCAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

6410 6420 6430 6440 6400 GGCTGCCTGTGGCTGGGCAAGCTGTCAACAGACCATGCCCGAGATTCTTA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggctgcctgtggctgggcaagctgtcaacagaccatgcccgagattctta ĞĞĊŦĞĊĊŦĞŦĞĞĊŦĞĞĞĊĂĂĞĊŦĞŦĊĂĂĊĂĞĂĊĊĂŦĞĊĊĊĞĂĞĂŦŦĊŦŦĂ SHR EXONS 6450 6460 6470 6480 6490 TCAAGCCCCTCTTCGGTCCCCAGGCCAGAGGATGACAAGGGCAGGACTGG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcaagcccctcttcggtccccaggccagaggatgacaagggcaggactgg SHR TCAAGCCCCTCTTCGGTCCCCAGGCCAGAGGATGACAAGGGCAGGACTGG EXONS 5006510652065306540|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....AAGGCCTGGGAGAGAGGCTCAGCTCAGGTTCAGTGCCCTCACGATAGGAC 6500 BN. Lx aaggcctgggagagggctcagctcaggttcagtgccctcacgataggac AAGGCCTGGGAGAGAGGCTCAGCTCAGGTTCAGTGCCCTCACGATAGGAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6570 6590 6560 6580 CTTTTGTGTGTGACAGCAAGGTCTACTTAGCCCCAGGAGAAATCCTTTT BN.Lx cttttgtgtgtgacagcaaggtctacttagccccaggagagaatcctttt CTTTTGTGTGTGACAGCAAGGTCTACTTAGCCCCAGGAGAGAATCCTTTT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 600 6610 6620 6630 6640 ACCCAGCCACTTGCCGAGCTTCTGTTCGGGCCCCTATTGCTGGCCAGCC 6600 BN.Lx aacccagccacttgccgagcttctgttcgggcccctattgctggccaggc AACCCAGCCACTTGCCGAGCTTCTGTTCGGGCCCCTATTGCTGGCCAGGC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6650 6670 6680 6690 6660

 Image: Construction of the construc BN.Lx ttgtccctgaaggacacttgtctaatacccctgtcccacacagGCATTTT TTGTCCCTGAAGGACACTTGTCTAATACCCCTGTCCCACACAGGCATTTT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS EXON 5 6700 6730 6710 6720 6740 ACTACCCAGAAGAAGCCGGTGTCCCCTTTGGGGGGCTCAGGATCCTCCCGG ACTACCCAGAAGAAGCCGGTGTCCCCTTTGGGGGGCTCAGGATCCTCCCGG ACTACCCAGAAGAAGCCGGTGTCCCCTTTGGGGGGCTCAGGATCCTCCCGG ACTACCCAGAAGAAGCCGGTGTCCCCTTTGGGGGGCTCAGGATCCTCCCGG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6770 6780 6750 6760 6790

 I....
 I...
 I...</td BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR TTTCTCCGACTGGAAGTTCATTACCACAATCCACGGAATATACAAGGTAC EXONS 6800 6810 6820 6830 6840 GAGTGCCGAGAGCACATCTCCCGACCCTGCTTCAGTTTCTCAGGTGCTCG BN.Lx gagtgccgagagcacatctcccgaccctgcttcagtttctcaggtgctcg GAGTGCCGAGAGCACATCTCCCGACCCTGCTTCAGTTTCTCAGGTGCTCG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6890 6850 6870 6880 6860 GAGCAGCACAGAGGAAACAGGATGTACGTGTACGTCGGAAATAACACAG BN.Lx ggagcagcacagaggaaacaggatgtacgtgtacgtcggaaataacacaggGGGCAGCACAGAGGAAACAGGATGTACGTGTACGTCGGAAATAACACAGDBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 6920 6930 6910 6940 6900 GCCTTTGCTCCTTGTCTACTTTATTGTAGTCAATACCCTAATGAGTTCCC GCCTTTGCTCCTTGTCTACTTTATTGTAGTCAATACCCTAATGAGTTCCC gcctttgctccttgtctactttattgtagtcaataccctaatgagttccc GCCTTTGCTCCTTGTCTACTTTATTGTAGTCAATACCCTAATGAGTTCCC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

6960 6970 6980 6990 6950 AGCCTAGGTTCTAGGTGACTTCCTCAAGCCGGTGCTCTAATTGATTAGTG AGCCTAGGTTCTAGGTGACTTCCTCAAGCCGGTGCTCTAATTGATTAGTG AGCCTAGGTTCTAGGTGACTTCCTCAAGCCGGTGCTCTAATTGATTAGTG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 7000 7010 7020 7030 7040 ATGTCTGCCATGGACCCTGGGGCAGGGTTTGGTACCATGCTGTTGAGCAT atgtctgccatggaccctggggcagggtttggtaccatgctgttgagcat BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR ATGTCTGCCATGGACCCTGGGGCAGGGTTTGGTACCATGCTGTTGAGCAT EXONS 7070 7050 7060 7080 7090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctgaacactcagtgaaaagagagcctcacaggttccacagagtaggcacg CTGAACACTCAGTGAAAAGAGAGCCTCACAGGTTCCACAGAGTAGGCACG SHR EXONS 7120 7130 7100 7110 7140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 7160 7150 7170 7180 7190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 acaggttctttgtccagcttgtgtcatgtgtttagatggcccaagatgtc SHR EXONS 7200 7220 7210 7230 7240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cagttaactcagaaatgcccagggcagtgccacacccgtccccctgcttc SHR EXONS 7250 7260 7270 7280 7290 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 7300 7310 7320 7330 7340 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 ggtctgagaataccgtattaggccaagggatctcaaggaagataaggcac SHR EXONS 7350 7360 7370 7380 7390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 atccatqctqcaaaaaqcttctaqaaqaqaqacaaqqqqtqtactqtqtq SHR EXONS 7400 7410 7420 7430 7440 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 7450 7470 7480 7460 7490 BN.Lx SHR EXONS

7500 7510 7520 7530 7540 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 7550 7560 7570 7580 7590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gccatttagtacaggtattgcaggcttagcacaatctcagtcactatcat SHR EXONS 7600 7610 7620 7630 7640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 qqctqqatcaccccqtqcctqaaqctqaacqqcttqaqtqqqtcattaaq SHR EXONS 7650 7660 7670 7680 7690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggtctgatagaggacaccacatcagacactaaatatttccttgctcttg SHR EXONS 7700 7710 7720 7730 7740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgccccaccttccatcaaatgtctcccctttcctttctctccctggcctc SHR EXONS 7750 7760 7770 7780 7790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctctctcccccccccccccccctttgaatgacacttatcctcgtccatg SHR EXONS 7800 7810 7820 7830 7840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caaacgaggagtagaaagaccctgagggacatggaggcgtgtccacagtg SHR EXONS 7850 7870 7860 7880 7890 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 agactggagcagctactgcagccagccctcagctggacgtggaactggca SHR EXONS 7900 7910 7920 7930 7940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *qtqcaaacttaatqtcacqcqqqacttcccccttqqctqtqqcaatqcct* SHR EXONS 7950 7960 7970 7980 7990 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 8000 8020 8030 8010 8040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccacaggggatttattgctcatttaaaacccgagagcaaaggatttttga SHR

8050 8060 8070 8080 8090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aaactccttgggcgtcagggaagtctgtcttccttgatcatccgcgtgta SHR EXONS 8100 8110 8120 8130 8140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tttatggatcttttgccaaatataagggtgctcctgtcagttgatgagtt SHR EXONS 8170 8150 8160 8180 8190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttgagacaggctgcatttctattaataccgaaatccgtgattatctcatt SHR EXONS 8200 8210 8220 8230 8240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 atttaatccccacctaaattttaaccaattqcttcttcttttattattt SHR EXONS 8250 8260 8270 8280 8290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcctctgatgtcatcagcctagagacacaagggctgcttgagtttgaatg SHR EXONS 8300 8310 8320 8330 8340 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 8350 8360 8370 8380 8390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caactgatgagcaggagagagcctcggcctgccagcagcatcctgcatcc SHR EXONS 8400 8420 8430 8410 8440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cagcctgccggtcctgggtttgaagccaagttcagatgcttcccaaacct SHR EXONS 8450 8460 8470 8480 8490 BN.Lx ttggtcttaggcagagtcttccgttcagccttccacctcagttgctccct DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 8540 8500 8510 8520 8530 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctgtaaatggggacagtggtccttaccaagaaaacaccccatagaggtga SHR EXONS 8550 8580 8590 8560 8570 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *-TCCATTCTTTCTTĞCTĞTTTTCAĞ* SHR EXONS

	8600	8610	8620	8630	8640
BN.Lx DBH NM_013158	TCAGAAAGO	GGTGACCAAG Ggtgaccaag	CACACTTTCTC CACACTTTCTC	CAGGGGGTATC CAGGGGGTATC	CAGGGGCTGCT tcaggggctgct
EXONS	LCAGAAAG	JGI GACCAAG		AGGGGIAIC	ICAGGGGCIGCI
	8650	8660	8670	8680	8690
BN.Lx	GCTTATCA		CCTCAGGCCGG	CGCGACTCC	
DBH NM_013158	gcttatca	cacgcctctcc	cctcagGCCGG	GCGCGACTCC	TCTGGCATCCGT
EXONS	GCITAICA		EXON	6 XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	8700	8710	8720	8730	8740
BN.Lx	CTACACTA		CTCCGACCCAA	. TGAGGCAGG	CATCATGGAGCT
DBH NM_013158	CTACACTA	CACAGCTAGT	CTCCGACCCAA	TGAGGCAGG	CATCATGGAGCT
EXONS	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	8750	8760	8770	8780	8790
BN.Lx	. TGGACTGG	· · · · · · · · · TGTACACGCC		ATCCCCCCTCZ	AGGAGACCACCT
DBH NM_013158	TGGACTGG	TGTACACGCC	CTTGATGGCCA	TCCCCCTC	AGGAGACCACCT
EXONS	XXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	8800	8810	8820	8830	8840
BN.Lx	TTGTTTTG	ACTGGCTACT	GCACAGACAGO	G <mark>T</mark> GCACCCAG2	ATGGTGAGTGGA
DBH NM_013158	TTGTTTTG	ACTGGCTACT(GCACAGACAGO	TGCACCCAG	ATGgtgagtgga
EXONS	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXX
	8850	8860	8870	8880	8890
BN.Lx	AAGGGCCT	TACACGCAGCO	CCACTGTTTGC	CAA <mark>T</mark> GACCAG	GGGAAGCAGCAA
DBH NM_013158 SHR	aagggcct	tacacgcagco TACACGCAGCO	CACTGTTTG	caatgaccago	gggaag <mark>c</mark> agcaa
EXONS	1110000011				
	8900	8910	8920	8930	8940
BN.Lx	GAGGACTC	rgggcccctg	ACCCCGGAATC	CAAGGTTGTC	TGAGTCTCTGAC
<i>DBH NM_013158</i> SHR	gaggactc: GAGGACTC	tgggcccctga TGGGCCCCTGA	ACCCCCGGAATC	CAAGGTTGTC	tgagtctctgac TGAGTCTCTGAC
EXONS					
	8950	8960	8970	8980	8990
BN.Lx	CCCCTGTC	<u>TCCAGCAAGA</u>	A <mark>TTGGAC</mark> AGTO	GCCATGCACCO	САСССТТСТСАА
SHR	CCCCTGTC	rccagcaagaa rccagcaagaa	attggacagtg A TT GGACAG T G	GCCATGCACCO	CACCCTTCTGAA
EXONS					
	9000	9010 •• ••• ••	9020	9030	9040
BN. Lx	ATATGTCA		ATTTATTGATG	GTGTTTGATT2	ATATGCTCCTCT
SHR	ATATGTCA	CTTTAAAAATA	ATTTATTGAT	GTGTTTGATT	ATATGCTCCTCT
EXONS	0050	0000	0070	0000	0000
	9050	9060	9070	9080	9090
BN.Lx DBH NM 013158	CCTCTCTC	CCCCTTCTGT		CACCCCTAC	
SHR	CCTCTCTC	CCCCTTCTGT	CTCTGTCTCCC	CACCCCTAC	CCCTCTCTGTTT
EXONS	0100	0110	0120	0120	0140
		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	, , , 	• •••• •••	×۲40 ••• ••••• •••••
BN.Lx DBH NM 013158	CTCTGTCT				
SHR	CTCTGTCT	CTGTCTCTGT	CTCTCTCTGTC	CTCTGTCTCTC	CTCTTTCTCTGT
EXONS					

9170 9150 9160 9180 9190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 9200 9210 9220 9230 9240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR GTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTGTCCAGCTAGGAAGTAGGGGAGGCAGCAC EXONS 9250 9270 9280 9260 9290 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 caqtqtccctqaqqctqtqcaqqcqcqaqqtqacacaqcaqqqqccttqq SHR EXONS 9300 9320 9330 9340 9310 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cttctgtagcaggtggacagtggctcctagcctgttccaggctgccctgg SHR EXONS 9350 9360 9370 9380 9390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctctcgactggaccactgacttagctgggctcacctcccatctggcatga SHR EXONS 9400 9410 9420 9430 9440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aggtctagaggagggcactgctcagtaggggtgggggacagtcaccaatg SHR EXONS 9450 9460 9470 9480 9490 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggatctcacagagccctctctagactctgtgatggcttcccactcacac SHR EXONS 9500 9510 9520 9530 9540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tatggccagttgtgacagttccctgtagtttgggaggcgggcaagtgcac SHR EXONS 9550 9560 9570 9580 9590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aggcctggagctctcagttatccgtagcctctgttcactcctgttaatgt SHR EXONS 9600 9610 9620 9630 9640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctgttctcaccctaagcgagtttctctggctgcctgccatcagtcttact SHR EXONS 9650 9660 9670 9680 9690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gtctggagctcttttgatatcacagatgtgacttgattgtctgctgtgtg SHR EXONS

9700 9710 9720 9730 9740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcctgtgttctcgctggcggcctccctgcttcttgcagtgacctgtcacg SHR EXONS 9750 9760 9770 9780 9790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gaatggtacatattcctggatcgcagacagggagacccaggcctgccgag SHR EXONS 9800 9820 9830 9810 9840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 agaggacacatggctcaaagctgcaccatggagcaggtctctttgtccca SHR EXONS 9850 9860 9870 9880 9890 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 *qaqctcatcqtaaatqctcctttccaqtqtactcatqqqatqacqcctqt* SHR EXONS 9900 9910 9920 9930 9940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tctcaagagtcctctgtacctgagcacctggtctcggaagtgttagcgag SHR EXONS 9950 9960 9970 9980 9990 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcctaaagttctcaggttgagacactggacacatctgttcttttttt SHR EXONS 10000 10010 10020 10030 10040 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 ttttttttggttcttttttcggagctggggaccgaacccagggccttg SHR EXONS 10050 10060 10070 10080 10090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cacttectaggtaagtgetetaceactgagetaaateeceageecegaea SHR EXONS 10100 10110 10120 10130 10140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 catctqttcttatacacaatcaaaacctttaqcctqqqqqcaqqatqaqa SHR EXONS 10150 10160 10170 10180 10190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aatcaactttcctcccaagtccaaacagacaggttaggaggggtcatgct SHR EXONS 10200 10210 10220 10230 10240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggcttcttagacttgtgtccacagatcacgttctgccacacgcctgaatc SHR EXONS

10250 10260 10270 10280 10290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cctggatcatagcaagggcccaggcccggcatatacagccacagtttagt SHR EXONS 10300 10310 10320 10330 10340 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caggaagaccctggtttttgttgcaagggggataaagatgtctgcaatgt SHR EXONS 10350 10360 10370 10380 10390 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 10400 10410 10420 10430 10440 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 aaggcagatgagtcaaagagagcacagcagtccggagccactgaaggtta SHR EXONS 10470 10450 10460 10480 10490 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 10500 10510 10520 10530 10540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggaccaagcagggcagtgggatggtcacaggtatctaaaggcataaaccc SHR EXONS 10550 10560 10570 10580 10590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 agatgaggaaacaactgttccttggaactgccctaattcctttaagagg SHR EXONS 10600 10610 10620 10630 10640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gagggggccttcctacattagggctggctatctttctgccactggctttg SHR EXONS 10690 10650 10660 10670 10680 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tqqtcaacatcaqtqctqttqatcaqqqtcaqqqtqccctcaqqqqqaat SHR EXONS 10700 10740 10710 10720 10730 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctggccttttgtgcacagatccctgcctgggaccctgcatgtggcccgaa SHR EXONS 10750 10760 10770 10780 10790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 catcaattgacagggagcccacagagaaggaaggtcctatcctgccaatg SHR EXONS

10800 10810 10820 10830 10840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgggaagggcttcaaagatctgctggttgaaaaggaggagaactcagctt SHR EXONS 10850 10870 10890 10860 10880 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttgggcacccccaaggagaccaaaggacacgggtgtcatctgccctaaac SHR EXONS 10900 10910 10920 10930 10940 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 qtqttctaqqqtctqqccqccqqttqqqqtttcaaaqtcctqqqcttctq SHR EXONS 10950 10990 10960 10970 10980 BN LX -GGDBH NM 013158 gccaccgcacaatctctaacaagccgttctctggcaggtttaccatgggg SHR EXONS 11000 11010 11020 11030 11040

 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 I
 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 attagagagcatcatctagaaaaagcgaatgttctggtaaggcaggggat SHR EXONS 11050 11060 11070 11080 11090 BN.Lx gctgcctctgctctgggtccttgtcaccccctctgggtctgattctcat -----CCTTGTCNCCCCNANTGGGGTCTGATTCTCAT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11130 11140 11100 11110 11120 CCTCCCACAGGCACTGCCGAAATCTGGAATCCGCATCTTTGCCTCACAGC cctcccacagGCACTGCCGAAATCTGGAATCCGCATCTTTGCCTCACAGC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11150 11160 11170 11180 11190 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 TCCACACGCACCTGACCGGCAGGAAGGTGATTACTGTGCTCGCCAGGGAT SHR TCCNCACNCACCTGACCGGGAGGAAGGGGATTACTGTGCTCNCCAGGGAT EXONS 11200 11210 11220 11230 11240 GGCCAACAGAGGGAAGTGGTGAACAGAGACAACCACTACAGCCCCCACTT BN.Lx *GGCCAACAGAGGGAAGTGGTGAACAGAGACAACCACTACAGCCCCCACTT* DBH NM 013158 *GGCCAACAGAGGGAAGTGGTGAACAGAGACAACCACTACAGCCCCCACTT* SHR EXONS 11270 11250 11260 11280 11290 TCAGGTGGGTGTCGGCCTCCCAGGCCTTCCGCATCCTAGGGCTGACCTCT BN.Lx TCAGgtgggtgtcggcctcccaggccttccgcatcctagggctgacctct TCAGGTGGGTGTCGGCCTCCCAGGCCTTCCGCATCCTAGGGCTGACCTCT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS XXXX 11300 11330 11310 11320 11340 GACCTTCGGTGGTGTACCCTGACCCGGGCCCGTGGGTGCCAACAATAGCT BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gaccttcggtggtgtaccctgacccgggcccgtgggtgccaacaatagct SHR ĞACCTTCŃĠŦĠĠŦĠŦŊŦŊŊŦĠACCCĞĠĠĊĊĊĠŦĠĠĠŦĠĊĊAAĊAAŦĂĠĊŦ EXONS

11350 11360 11370 11380 11390 TGGTGACAACTTGATTGACCTTATCTTCCCCTGACTGAAGCCCATACTTA tggtgacaacttgattgaccttatcttcccctgactgaagcccatactta TGGTGACAACTTGATTGACCTTATCTTCCCCTGANTGAAGCCCATACTTA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11400 11420 11430 11440 11410 GTCAGCCCTGACTTCCGCCCAGAAAGGTTGAAGCAGAACTGACAATGCAG BN.Lx gtcagccctgacttccgcccagaaaggttgaagcagaactgacaatgcag GTCAGCCCTGACTTCCGCCCAGAAAGGTTGAAGCAGAACTGACAATGCAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 450 11460 11470 11480 11490 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|..... GGGACTTTGGTAGGGAAGAACAAGGTGGCTGGATCTGGTCCCAGAGCTCT 11450 BN. Lx gggactttggtagggaagaacaaggtggctggatctggtcccagagctct GGGACTTTGGTAGGGAAGAACAAGGTGGCTGGATCTGGTCCCAGAGCTCT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11540 11510 11520 11530 TTTTCACTCCTCTCTCCCAACCCCAGGAGATCAGAATGCTGAAGAATGC BN Lx ttttcactcctcttcccaaccccagGAGATCAGAATGCTGAAGAATGC TTTTCACTCCTCTCTCCCAACCCCAGGAGATCAGAATGCTGAAGAATGC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXON_8_XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX EXONS 11550 BN.Lx TGTGACTGTCCACCAGgtgagtgcccggcagggacagatggctggggcac TGTGACTGTCCACCAGGTGAGTGCCCGGCAGGGACAGATGGCTGGGGCAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS BN.Lx gagatattgggagagaactgtgcccaaaagagacagaagcagaggaaca GAGATATTGGGAGAGAGACTGTGCCCAAAAGAGACAGAAAGCAGAGGAACA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 650 11660 11670 11680 11690 |....|...|....|....|....|....|..... GGAGAGACAGGGCGGGCACAGCAGGGCTGGGTAGGGTACATGAGGACTTC 11650 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggagagacagggcgggcacagcagggctggg<mark>t</mark>agggtacatgaggacttc SHR ĞĞAĞAĞAÇAĞĞĞÇCĞĞĞCACAĞÇAĞĞĞCTĞĞĞTAĞĞĞTACATĞAĞĞACTTC EXONS 70011710117201173011740|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....ATGGCACAGAGGGGGACATTGTCTTGTATCCTCAGCTTAATCACAACCCCA 11740 11700 BN. Lx atggcacagaggggacattgtcttgtatcctcagcttaatcacaacccca ATGGCACAGAGGGGGACATTGTCTTGTATCCTCAGCTTAATCACAACCCCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11790 11750 11760 11770 11780 AGAATTTCATTCCCTGACAACACTGGGAAGAGATGGGGTTACCAAGATG BN Lx aagaatttcattccctgacaacactgggaagagatggggttaccaagatg AAGAATTTCATTCCCTGACAACACTGGGAAGAGATGGGGGTTACCAAGATG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11840 11800 BN.Lx tagtctttgcctgtggggatctgacatggctacaagcccggggatgctta TAGTCTTTGCCTGTGGGGATCTGACATGGCTACAAGCCCGGGGATGCTTA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11860 11870 11880 11850 11890 GATTTCGAACCTCATTGGAGTGGGCGCTGGGCTCCTCTTGGGAGATCACA gatttcgaacctcattggagtgggcgctgggctcctcttgggagatcaca GATTTCGAACCTCATTGGAGTGGGCGCTGGGCTCCTCTTGGGAGATCACA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

11910 11920 11930 11940 11900 CATCACAGTGGCTTGGTCTGAGCACCCCGTCTGCTGCCTCTCTCAGG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 11950 11960 11970 11980 11990 GGGATGTCCTCATCACTTCGTGCACATACAACACGAAAACAGGACAATG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 GGGATGTCCTCATCACTTCGTGCACATACAACACAGAAAACAGGACAATG SHR GGGATGTCCTCATCACTTCGTGCACATACAACACAGAAAACAGGACAATG EXONS 12000 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS XXXXXXXXXX 12050 12060 12090 12070 12080 GAGCAGCCCAGAGTCTGCTTAAAGATTTCTAAGATTCTAACCATTCCCAT BN.Lx gagcagcccagagtctgcttaaagatttctaagattctaaccattcccat GAGCAGCCCAGAGTCTGCTTAAAGATTTCTAAGATTCTAACCATTCCCAT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12140 12100 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggttgaatgtaggttgccagaggcaggactgcccctcctacattccccag GGTTGAATGTAGGTTGCCAGAGGCAGGACTGCCCCTCCTACATTCCCCAG SHR EXONS 12190 12150 BN.Lx Atggcctgaccactctcgggggatgtttctgaacccctatctctagcaac ATGGCCTGACCACTCTCGGGGGGATGTTTCTGAACCCCTATCTCTAGCAAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12230 12240 12200 12210 12220 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccttctcccctaggcccctagtcacgcaggcagcatgctagattctgcacSHR CCTTCTCCCCTAGGCCCCTAGTCACGCAGGCAGCATGCTAGATTCTGCAC EXONS 250 12260 12270 12280 12290 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|.... *TTGCTGCACTGAGTCCAGCATTGAGGTAACACTGTCAATACAGCCTCAGG* 12290 12250 BN. Lx ttgctgcactgagtccagcattgaggtaacactgtcaatacagcctcagg TTGCTGCACTGAGTCCAGCATTGAGGTAACACTGTCAATACAGCCTCAGG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12340 12330 12300 12310 12320 ACCTCAGCTAAGAACCACTAAGGTGGGTTCTCATTGGTACCCGCGTGCTC BN Lx acctcagctaagaaccactaaggtgggttctcattggtacccgcgtgctc ACCTCAGCTAAGAACCACTAAGGTGGGTTCTCATTGGTACCCGCGTGCTC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12390 12350 BN.Lx agtgcttctctggcacgggagggggggggagcaggatatacttcggaattcaaaag AGTGCTTCTCTGGCACGGGAGGGAGCAGGATATACTTCGGAATTCAAAAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12440 12400 BN.Lx tccagctggagagatctctgctacaggagatatccaaaatgatagggtca TCCAGCTGGAGAGATCTCTGCTACAGGAGATATCCAAAATGATAGGGTCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS
12450 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12500 12510 12530 12540 12520 AGAGTCGGGGAGGGATAGAAAAAAGCTTTGGCTGGGGTGTGTGCCAAAAG BN.Lx agagtcggggagggatagaaaaagctttggctggggtgtgtgccaaaag AGAGTCGGGGAGGGATAGAAAAAAGCTTTGGCTGGGGTGTGTGCCAAAAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 550 12560 12570 12580 12590 |...|...|...|...|....|....|.... TCTCAGAGGACCAAAGTTGTCCGTAGGATGAGGAAATGTTCAGCTGTAAG 12590 12550 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 tctcagaggaccaaagttgtccgtaggatgaggaaatgttcagctgtaag TCTCAGAGGACCAAAGTTGTCCGTAGGATGAGGAAATGTTCAGCTGTAAG SHR EXONS 12640 12610 12620 12630 GGAGTGTGGAGATGTGGCTACACCAGACAAGTAGATGTGGTCAGCCACTC BN.Lx ggagtgtggagatgtggctacaccagacaagtagatgtggtcagccactc GGAGTGTGGAGATGTGGCTACACCAGACAAGTAGATGTGGTCAGCCACTC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12690 12650 650 12660 12670 12680 12690 |...|...|...|...|.... CAGGGAAGTAACAGGGGTCTTGTTTTGGGCTGGATTGTGGATAGTGCAGG BN.Lx cagggaagtaacaggggtcttgttttgggctggattgtggatagtgcagg CAGGGAAGTAACAGGGGTCTTGTTTTGGGCTGGATTGTGGATAGTGCAGG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12700 BN.Lx gaggaggagtacctcgtgggaggactatttcaaggtgaggtatgcagga GAGGAGGAGTACCTCGTGGGAGGACTATTTTCAAGGTGAGGTATGCAGGA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12750 BN.Lx aatgtgtcatccggctggagcccgttgggcaggaagagggatgtttactg DBH NM 013158 SHR AATGTGTCATCCGGCTGGAGCCCGTTGGGCAGGAAGAGGGATGTTTACTG EXONS 800 12810 12820 12830 12840 *GTTCCTGGATCCACACTATTCCCAGTCACCAATCCCACTGGCCTTCTAA* 12800 BN. Lx gtttcctggatccacactattcccagtcaccaatcccactggccttctaa GTTTCCTGGATCCACACTATTCCCAGTCACCAATCCCACTGGCCTTCTAA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12890 12880 12850 12860 12870

 Image: Solution of the second seco BN Lx ttgatatttgtgcaatcagtaacaaacacatcaactgtgaggttcagagt TTGATATTTGTGCAATCAGTAACAAACACATCAACTGTGAGGTTCAGAGT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12940 12900 BN.Lx gggccagacctgggctatacccaaccctggcacccctgaaccctaggaac GGGCCAGACCTGGGCTATACCCAACCCTGGCACCCCTGAACCCTAGGAAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 12970 12990 12950 12960 12980 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

13010 13020 13030 13040 13000 CCATGGTCCAGGGTTCCCCACTCTGTCTCACGTGTGTTCTTTAAATAGCC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccatggtccagggttccccactctgtctcacgtgtgttctttaaatagcc CCATĞĞTCCAĞĞĞTTCCCCACTCTĞTCTCACĞTĞTĞTTCTTTAAATAĞCC SHR EXONS 13050 13060 13070 13080 13090 TTCTTGTGAGATGATTCACATACCAAACAGCTGAAGTGTGTGAAGTGTGTGAGATGATG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ttcttgtgagatgattcacataccaaacagctgaagtgtgtgaagtgtgc SHR TTCTTGTGAGATGATTCACATACCAAACAGCTGAAGTGTGTGAAGTGTGC EXONS 13100 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 ggcttgttgcccggaagcctgctcacaaatccatgcacacagtcaagcca ĞĞCTTĞTTĞCCCĞĞAAĞCCTĞCTCACAAATCCATĞCACACAĞTCAAĞCCA SHR EXONS 13150 13160 13170 13180 13190 CGGCTAGTGCCTCCCGGTCCCCTCACCCAGCCCAACGCAGATCCACTTGC BN.Lx cggctagtgcctcccggtcccctcacccagcccaacgcagatccacttgc CGGCTAGTGCCTCCCGGTCCCCTCACCCAGCCCAACGCAGATCCACTTGC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13200 13240 13210 13220 13230

 ISER
 BN.Lx tctagatgtttctgtcctgaacacttctcatcactggatttacatgttac TCTAGATGTTTCTGTCCTGAACACTTCTCATCACTGGATTTACATGTTAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13250 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13340 13300 13310 13320 13330 BN.Lx cctgccagtctccaagtcaagcatttcccccagacacttccacaggcata DBH NM 013158 SHR CCTGCCAGTCTCCAAGTCAAGCATTTCCCCCAGACACTTCCACAGGCATA EXONS 13350 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13440 13410 13420 13430

 Image: State instance
 Image: State instance
 Image: State instance
 Image: State instance

 ATTGGTGGAAGGGAGCCCCCTAGGCCTGCCTGCTAGAGGGGGGCGCCTTCTGTCA

 BN Lx attggtggaagggagcccctaggcctgctctgctagaggcgcttctgtca ATTGGTGGAAGGGAGCCCCTAGGCCTGCTCTGCTAGAGGCGCTTCTGTCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13450 13490 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13500 13520 13530 13510 13540 CTGTCTTCAGATGTTGATGGCCACTGGTCTAGCAAGCAACTAAAATATCA BN.Lx ctgtcttcagatgttgatggccactggtctagcaagcaactaaaatatca CTGTCTTCAGATGTTGATGGCCACTGGTCTAGCAAGCAACTAAAATATCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

13550 13560 13570 13580 13590 GATCCTAGGTCCTGAAGTCCAAGTCTCAAGGACAA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gatectaggtectgaagtecaagteteaaggacaagataceteettgget GATCCTAGGTCCTGAAGTCCAAGTCTCAAGGACAA------SHR EXONS 13600 13610 13620 13630 13640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 atttaggactcgtgaattcttggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtggtaggttca SHR EXONS 13650 13670 13680 13660 13690 BN. Lx **DBH NM 013158** cctqcqatcaqaqqaaatqqqaacttctqqqaqcttccaqaaqqcaqatc SHR EXONS 13700 13710 13720 13730 13740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgagetteetgeetetgacaacetgtggatetaaggagacagtggeettt SHR EXONS 13770 13780 13750 13760 13790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gaagtgcagcttccttccccaaagtgagagagctctgtcccctgtcctc SHR EXONS 13800 13810 13820 13830 13840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 13850 13860 13870 13880 13890 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 gggttgtcccatgctcattgagatctatgaatgctgaaaaataagagctt SHR EXONS 13900 13910 13920 13930 13940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggacaggcagaccctgcaggaagacccatctagcacacaggaagcaggc SHR EXONS 13950 13960 13970 13980 13990 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caacttettatgatgaactteeteecaeteeteetagagacaeceea SHR EXONS 14040 14000 14010 14020 14030 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggccccaaccattcgaccagggaccaactgagctttgcatcaccctgtgg SHR EXONS 14080 14050 14060 14070 14090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tctgtcatgaattaatgaaggcctttctccctttaccagtnnnnnnnnn SHR EXONS

14100 14110 14120 14130 14140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 14150 14160 14170 14180 14190 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 14200 14220 14230 14210 14240 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 14250 14280 14260 14270 14290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 14320 14300 14310 14330 14340 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 прилодительности принимальности приним SHR EXONS 14350 14360 14370 14380 14390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 nnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnntagaagaatgtgttccaca SHR EXONS 14400 14420 14430 14410 14440 BN.Lx DBH NM_013158 ggtcagggcacagcttgagggacagccccagctccagttgttggggggatc SHR EXONS 14450 14460 14470 14480 14490 BN.Lx SHR EXONS 14500 14510 14520 14530 14540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tqtqqccttqctqaqqaaqtacatqattaqaaqtcaqaqaacaccctcaq SHR EXONS 14550 14560 14570 14580 14590 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gtgtcaatccccaacgtctaccttgcttgagacagggcctctttgctgtt SHR EXONS 14600 14620 14610 14630 14640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggatgctccgtacaccaggctgtctggtctgtgagcttccggggtttctc SHR EXONS

14680 14650 14660 14670 14690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggtttctatctcatagaggcttgctgtagggatgctaagttgcagactgg SHR EXONS 14700 14710 14730 14720 14740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 cactaccacattcagctttagagtgagtcctggggatctgagttcagggc SHR EXONS 14750 14760 14770 14780 14790 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 cagcaagcacgctgcctaccaggctacctccttagccctttaagaatagt SHR EXONS 14840 14800 14820 14830 14810 BN LX DBH NM 013158 cacaagaaagccacagacaggcaggtgctgagagcgatgtgttgtgggc
-----CCACAGACAGGCAGGGTGCTGAGAGCGATGTGTGTGGGGC SHR EXONS 850 14860 14870 14880 14890 |...|...|...|...|...|...|.... *TGATGGCTTCTTTTCTCTCTGCAGGGGGGGTTTGGAATCTTGGAGGAGA* 14850 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgatggcttcttttctctcctgcagGGGGGGTTTGGAATCTTGGAGGAGA TGATGGCTTCTTTTCTCTCCTGCAGGGGGGGGTTTGGAATCTTGGAGGAGA SHR EXONS 14920 14930 14940 14900 14910

 Image: Solution of the second seco BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 14980 14990 14960 14970 14950 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 AAGAGTGCCGTGGATGATGGCTTCCTGCAGAAATACTTCCACATAGTAAA SHR EXONS 15010 15000 15020 15030 15040 BN. Lx CCGgtgaagcacttcttgcttctgcccccggggaacccagcatagacag CCGGTGAAGCACTTCTTGCTTTCTGCCCCCGGGGAACCCAGCATAGACAG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS XXX 15080 15070 15090 15050 15060 CCTCCATACCCTACACCATGCTCTCTGACCCACATCCTCTCTGTTAGGA BN.Lx cctccataccctacaccatgctctctgacccacatcctctcctgttagga CCTCCATACCCTACACCATGCTCTCTGACCCACATCCTCTCCTGTTAGGA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 15110 15120 15130 15140 15100 GGTTGGGTGGCCAAATGCAAACTTTGAGCTAAGTTCTTGGAGCAAAGTTA BN.Lx ggttgggtggccaaatgcaaactttgagctaagttcttggagcaaagtta GGTTGGGTGGCCAAATGCAAACTTTGAGCTAAGTTCTTGGAGCAAAGTTA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 15170 15190 15160 15180 15150 GCATGCATGCCAGCAGACCTTCTGTGTCTTTGGAAGTGACTGTGGCAGGC BN.Lx gcatgcatgccagcagaccttctgtgtctttggaagtgactgtggcaggc GCATGCATGCCAGCAGACCTTCTGTGTCTTTTGGAGGTGACTGTGGCAGGC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

15210 15230 15200 15220 15240 ATTGCTAATCGATCCCTCAACTTACAAGTTACTGCCAGAGAGCACCTTT attgctaatcgatccctcaacttacaagttactgccagagagaccacttt BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ATTĞCTAATCĞATCCCTCAACTTACAAĞTTACTĞCCAĞAĞAĞACCACTTT SHR EXONS 15260 15280 15290 15250 15270 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caacaagcaaatataatataaaagacggaggcaggcggtggctaaaagaa SHR CAACAAGCAAATATAAATATAAAAAGACGGAGGCAGGCGGTGGCTAAAAGAA EXONS 300 15310 15320 15330 15340 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|.... GCAAGGCTAGGGAAGAGGTGAAGGGTTACTGTTGGGAGCCATGCTGCCTC 15300 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcaaggctagggaagaggtgaagggttactgttgggagccatgctgcctc ĠĊĂĂĠĠĊŦĂĠĠĠĂĂĠĂĠĠŦĠĂĂĠĠĠŦŦĂĊŦĠŦŦĠĠĠĂĠĊĊĂŦĠĊŦĠĊĊŦĊ SHR EXONS 15380 15370 15360 15350 15390 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS E 15400 15410 15420 15430 15440

 ISING
 <td BN.Lx TTCGGCAATGAGGAGGTCTGCACCTGCCCTCAGGCCTCTGTCCCCAGCA TTCGGCAATGAGGAGGTCTGCACCTGCCCTCAGGCCTCTGTCCCCCAGCA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 15450 15460 15470 15480 15490 BN.Lx GTTCGCCTCTGTGCCCTGGAACTCTTTCAATCGTGATATGCTCAAGGCTT GTTCGCCTCTGTGCCCTGGAACTCTTTCAATCGTGATATGCTCAAGG---DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 15510 15520 15530 15500 15540 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 TGTATAACTATGCCCCTATCTCTGTGCACTGTAACAAGACCTCTGCCGTC SHR EXONS 15550 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 CGCTTCCCGgtatgacagcatgaggttgagtgacattcaacagtagctct SHR XXXXXXXXXX EXONS 15600 15610 15620 15630 15640 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccccatccaataccaataaaccccactqctqcctqattcctccaatcccq SHR EXONS 15650 15660 15670 15680 15690 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggtctgttcaaatcttgaggagaacccccaggtaggctgaggaagggcacc SHR EXONS 15700 15710 15720 15730 15740 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ctggacttctcaacaagcaatgctcacatgagactgagaaaatggccact SHR EXONS

15750 15760 15770 15780 15790 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tagtgatagaaacaggcgtgcagagagatagacgataagggaaccagcca SHR EXONS 15800 15810 15820 15830 15840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggatactgccgggaaggtcagggaggccacttctcctgggcacagtaca SHR EXONS 15850 15860 15870 15880 15890 BN. Lx SHR EXONS 15900 15910 15920 15930 15940 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caccetectecagggaataaaaatagagetatgggeagaggggeaagaaa SHR EXONS 15970 15990 15950 15960 15980 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccagcagtctgtgacaagagccccacagcacagcttctcccttatct SHR EXONS 16000 16010 16020 16030 16040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gaggcctgctgggattcagaggcacctaatttatttcccagcagagccag SHR EXONS 16050 16060 16070 16080 16090 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccagcagcagcggtaccagcccagagtgctggtcagccaacccagtctgc SHR EXONS 16100 16110 16120 16130 16140 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tctqttaqqctggggcggggtttgggggagaggaggagcactgcagcagga SHR EXONS 16150 16160 16170 16180 16190 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16200 16210 16220 16230 16240 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 taacccaaacacccacgccatggggaggcttgcttacctcagagcagttt SHR EXONS 16250 16260 16270 16280 16290 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ggggcactgccggttatggctagatcttggcttttacagaagccttgttg SHR EXONS

16320 16330 16340 16300 16310 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gacagtggctgaagccatagccagcctccaaagactcagtcctttcctag SHR EXONS 16350 16360 16370 16390 16380 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gggtatacaattgagtgaaggagcagacatggaggtggagaagcctagag SHR EXONS 16430 16400 16410 16420 16440 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 qqttccaqqtttctqqccaqaqaatacaacqqcttaqqqcaqqqacatqq CCAGAGAATACAACGGCTTAGGGCAGGGACATGG SHR EXONS 16480 16490 16460 16470 16450

 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 GTCCTCTAGTGGTGACCCTCAAAGGCTGACTTGCAAGAGGTGGGGAGTGT

 BN Lx DBH NM 013158 ĞTCCTCTAĞTĞĞTĞACCCTCAAAĞĞCTĞACTTĞCAAĞAĞĞTĞĞĞĞAĞTĞT SHR EXONS 50016510165201653016540|....|....|....|....|....|....|....|....TCAGCACGGGATAAATGAATTTAAGGACAGGAATCCAACCAGCTAGGGAG 16500 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tcagcacgggataaatgaatttaaggacaggaatccaaccagctagggag TCAGCACGGGATAAATGAATTTAAGGACAGGAATCCAACCAGCTAGGGAG SHR EXONS 16580 16590 16550 16560 16570

 Image: Solution of the solution BN.Lx Atagcaccttgcttggtccttccagcctcagtttacctcctggttccttc ATAGCACCTTGCTTGGTCCTTCCAGCCTCAGTTTACCTCCTGGTTCCTTC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16620 16630 16640 16600 16610 CTTACAGGGTAACTGGAACCTGCAGCCTCTGCCTAAGATCACTTCCGCAG cttacagGGTAACTGGAACCTGCAGCCTCTGCCTAAGATCACTTCCGCAG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16660 16670 16680 16650 16690

 TGGAAGAACCCGACCCACGCTGCCCCATCCGACAGACTCGGGGACCCGCC

 TGGAAGAACCCGACCCACGCTGCCCCATCCGACAGACTCGGGGACCCGCC

 TGGAAGAACCCGACCCACGCTGCCCCATCCGACAGACTCGGGGACCCGCC

 TGGAAGAACCCGACCCACGCTGCCCCATCCGACAGACTCGGGGACCCGCC

 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16730 16740 16710 16720 16700 GCCCCTTCGTTGTCATCACCACGGAGGCAGACACTGAGTAATTGTTCTT BN.Lx *GGCCCCTTCGTTGTCATCACCACGGAGGCAGACACTGAGTAATTGTTCTT* DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16780 16770 16750 16760 16790 CAGCCTCTCCTCGTTTTGTCCCTACTGGGCTCACTCCAGCTCTGCGCACC CAGCCTCTCCTCGTTTTGTCCCTACTGGGCTCACTCCAGCTCTGCGCACC CAGCCTCTCCTCGTTTTGTCCCTACTGGGCTCACTCCAGCTCTGCGCACC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 16820 16800 16810 16830 16840 CCACATGAAGACCCCCTTCCATAGAATAGTGCTGTTCACCTAGGAGGAAG CCACATGAAGACCCCCTTCCATAGAATAGTGCTGTTCACCTAGGAGGAAG CCACATGAAGACCCCCTTCCATAGAATAGTGCTGTTCACCTAGGAGGAAG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

		16850	16860	16870	16880	16890
BN. Lx		$ \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot$ GGGGTATC		··· ··· · ACACCTGCAC	··· ··· · GGCTGCATCC	CATGAGGTCTGAC
DBH NM	013158	GGGGTAT	CACCTTGGAG	ACACCTGCAC	GGCTGCATCC	CATGAGGTCTGAC
SHR		GGGGTATC	CACCTTGGAG.	ACACC T GCAC XXXXXXXXXXX	GGCTGCATCC XXXXXXXXXXX	CATGAGGTCTGAC XXXXXXXXXXXXX
2110110		16900	16910	16920	16930	16940
DN T						
DBH NM	013158	TGGACAGA	AGCAGCTCTG AGCAGCTCTG	GACATCATCA GACATCATCA	CTGCTGGCTC	CACAGAGGGGACAA
SHR -		TGGACAGA	AGCAGCTCTG	GA <mark>CATC</mark> ATCA	CTGCTGGCTC	CACAGAGGGACAA
EXONS		<i>XXXXXXXX</i>	16960	16070	16090	16000
		.				
BN.Lx	012150	CTCAATG	GGGAGTCCA	GATTCAACTC	CACAGGACCT	CCCTTGCCTCCAG
SHR	013158	CTCAATG	GGGAGTCCA	GATTCAACTC GATTCAACTC	CACAGGACCT	CCCTTGCCTCCAG
EXONS		XXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
		17000	17010	17020	17030	17040
BN.Lx		AACAGCC	TACCAGGCT	· · · · · · · · GGG <mark>T</mark> G <mark>C</mark> AGAC	TTCCCAAGCC	TCACAGTCCTGAC
DBH NM_	013158	AACAGCCI	TACCAGGCT	GGG <mark>T</mark> GCAGAC	TTCCCAAGCC	TCACAGTCCTGAC
SHR		AACAGCC	<u>"TACCAGGCT(</u> XXXXXXXXXXX	GGG T GCAGAC xxxxxxxxxx	TTCCCCAAGCC XXXXXXXXXXXX	TCACAGTCCTGAC
2110110		17050	17060	17070	17080	17090
BN.LX DRH NM	013158		GCCCTCTGGT	GGTAACTTGT GGTAACTTGT	GTTGGTGTAT GTTGGTGTAT	GCCATGACAACAC
SHR	010100	CCTGTTGG	GCCCTCTGGT	GGTAACTTGT	GTTGGTGTAT	GCCATGACAACAC
EXONS		XXXXXXXXX		XXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
		1/100	1/110	1/120	1/130	1/140
BN.Lx		TGTTTAAA	TAGTCCTTT	GCAĠAGTGĠC	TCATGTTTCC	CAGTGGGCGTCCT
DBH NM_	013158	I'GTTTAAA TGTTTAAA	ATAGTCCTTT TAGTCCTTT	GCAGAG'I'GGC GCAGAGTGGC	tcatgtttcc TCATGTTTCC	cagtggggcgtcct
EXONS		XXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXX	10111011100	
		17150	17160	17170	17180	17190
BN.Lx		CCTTGCAA	ACAAGACAGG	··· ···· · ACAAGTCATT	··· ··· · TAGCTAGTTA	GAGACTAGCCAGG
DBH NM	013158	ccttgcaa	caagacagg	acaagtcatt	tagctagtta	gagactagccagg
SHR EXONS		CCTTGCAA	ACAAGACAGG.	ACAAGTCATT	TAGCTAGTTA	GAGAC T AGCCAGG
		17200	17210	17220	17230	17240
BN Lx			GCTTCGTGGC	. AGAG <mark>TC</mark> AATA	. GA TATTTTC G	CCCACCTAGAGGG
DBH NM	013158	ggaactco	cttcgtggc	agag <mark>tc</mark> aata	gatatttcg	cccacctagaggg
SHR		GGAACTCO	GCTTCGTGGC	AGAGTCAATA	GATATTTTCG	CCCACCTAGAGGG
		17250	17260	17270	17280	17290
		.		.	.	
BN.LX DBH NM	013158	AAACCCCCA aaaccccca	ACATGTAGT acatgtagt	tccaccatgg	AGAGCCAAGA agag <mark>cc</mark> aaga	TGGCTAGAGCCAG
SHR –		AAACCCCA	ACATGTAGT	TCCACCATGG.	AGAGCCAAGA	TGGCTAGAGCCAG
EXONS		17200	17010	17220	17220	17040
		1/300	1/310	1/320	1/330	1/340
BN.Lx	010150	GCTCTGT	GGGGGAA <mark>T</mark> GG	AA <mark>T</mark> GA <mark>TC</mark> AGA	ACCAGTTGCT	TCTCCTGCTGGTG
DBH NM_ SHR	013158	GCTCTGTA	ngggggaatgga NGGGGAA <mark>T</mark> GG	aatgatcaga AATGATCAGA	accagttgct ACCAGTTGCT	<i>teteetgetggtg Teteetgetggtg</i>
EXONS						
		17350	17360	17370	17380	17390
BN. <i>Lx</i>		ACAGGCCG	GGC <mark>TCT</mark> GAA	•••• ••••• • A <mark>T</mark> AGG <mark>T</mark> AGGT	GAAGAG <mark>C</mark> GGG	CTTGGGCCCTTAA
DBH NM	013158	acaggccc	rggctctgaa	ataggtaggt	gaagagcggg	cttgggcccttaa
EXONS		ACAGGCCC	JGGCTCTGAA	ATAGGTAGGT	GAAGAGCGGG	CI IGGGCCCTTAA

17400 17410 17420 17430 17440

 Image: Construction of the second BN.Lx taaaggagtgcctaggtcaagcctctcagctgcctaccttcagtctcctt TAAAGGAGTGCCTAGGTCAAGCCTCTCAGCTGCCTACCTTCAGTCTCCTT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 17450 17460 17490 17470 17480 GTCTCTTAAGTGAGACTCGCCCTTACCTACACTAATTGTATGCACATATG BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gtctcttaagtgagactcgcccttacctacattgtatgcacatatg SHR GTCTCTTAAGTGAGACTCGCCCTTACCTACACTAATTGTATGCACATATG EXONS 500 17510 17520 17530 17540 |...|...|...|...|...|....|..... CATGGCCAATATTCCTGCCTGTGCTGACCCTTTCTGGGCTCTATAGTATC 17540 17500 BN. Lx catggccaatattcctgcctgtgctgaccctttctgggctctatagtatc CATGGCCAATATTCCTGCCTGTGCTGACCCTTTCTGGGCTCTATAGTATC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 17560 17570 17580 17590 17550 ACATATGTCCCATGTGAGGTGAGGCCTGCTTCTACAGTTCTAGTCACCTCT BN.Lx acatatgtcccatgtgagtgaggcctgcttctacagttctagtcacctct ACATATGTCCCATGTGAGTGAGGCCTGCTTCTACAGTTCTAGTCACCTCT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 17640 17600 BN.Lx accetgttttetetteeteagteteetgeegaagggeeageteagetett ACCCTGTTTTCTCTCTCAGTCTCCTGCCGAAGGGCCAGCTCAGCTCTT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 17750 BN. Lx ggtctcctgcacccccacctgcagacatcagctaagcactgcctcc GGTCTCCTGCACCCCCACCCGCAGACATCAGCTAAGCACTGCCTCC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 17840 17810 17820 17830 17800 CCTTCAGCAAGGCGCTTTATTACTCGGGAACAAAAGTGCCTGGGCTCTCT BN Lx ccttcagcaaggcgctttattactcgggaacaaaagtgcctgggctctct CCTTCAGCAAGGCGCTTTATTACTCGGGAACAAAAGTGCCTGGGCTCTCT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 850 17860 17870 17880 17890 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|.... GCGCCTTGTGGTATGAATCATGGCTCCAGGCACCCATAAGTCAAGGGCAT 17890 17850 BN.Lx gcgccttgtggtatgaatcatggctccaggcacccataagtcaagggcat GCGCCTTGTGGTATGAATCATGGCTCCAGGCACCCATAAGTCAAGGGCAT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 900 17910 17920 17930 17940 |....|....|....|....|.....|.....|..... AATCGGTGCGAAATGCCAGGCCTGACGGCCCAGAGCAATGCTCTGCCCTC 17900 BN.Lx aatcggtgcgaaatgccaggcctgacggcccagagcaatgctctgccctc AATCGGTGCGAAATGCCAGGCCTGACGGCCCAGAGCAATGCTCTGCCCTC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS

17950 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18000 18010 18030 18040 18020 GAGCCTTCCCTCTATAGCCGCCTGCCTGAAGAGCCTAGAGTCAGAGAGTA BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gagcettccetctatagcegcetgcetgaagageetagagtcagagagta SHR GAĞCCTTCCCTCTATAĞCCĞCCTĞCCTĞAAĞAĞCCTAĞAĞTCAĞAĞAĞTA EXONS 18090 18050 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 cqaqaaatqctcacqqaqctctqaqqaaccqqaccttcaacccaqttact ĊĠĂĠĂĂĂŦĠĊŦĊĂĊĠĠĂĠĊŦĊŦĠĂĠĠĂĂĊĊĠĠĂĊĊŦŦĊĂĂĊĊĊĂĠŦŦĂĊŦ SHR EXONS 18140 18100 18110 18120 18130 CTACTTCTAGTGGTGTGCCAACTTACAGACAGGAAAACTGAGGCTCATGG BN Lx ctacttctagtggtgtgccaacttacagacaggaaaactgaggctcatgg CTACTTCTAGTGGTGTGCCAACTTACAGACAGGAAAACTGAGGCTCATGG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18190 18150 150 18160 18170 18180 18190 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|..... GTTAGCACTTTTCCAAAAGACCACAAAAGGACCTACCTGCTGCCCATGTG BN.Lx gttagcacttttccaaaagaccacaaaaggacctacctgctgcccatgtg GTTAGCACTTTTCCAAAAGACCACAAAAGGACCTACCTGCTGCCCATGTG DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 200 18210 18220 18230 18240 |....|....|....|....|.....|.....|..... *ACATGTCCAGACCAACAGTCCCCTGCTCCCCATCTAGGCTGTTATGCTAC* 18240 18200 BN.Lx acatgtccagaccaacagtcccctgctccccatctaggctgttatgctac ACATGTCCAGACCAACAGTCCCCTGCTCCCCATCTAGGCTGTTATGCTAC DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18250 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tt ccctgccctacatctgtcacaacaggcctcactggggtgaccagggttSHR TTCCCTGCCCTACATCTGTCACAACAGGCCTCACTGGGGTGACCAGGGTT EXONS 18300 BN. Lx gaggcacatgaggtccccaccaactacctaaacctttaaggacttgttat GAGGCACATGAGGTCCCCACCAACTACCTAAACCTTTAAGGACTTGTTAT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18390 18380 18360 18370 18350

 Image: Solution of the second seco BN Lx gtctcttcactagaagaggagcctgcagcccagagacctgaggttggata GTCTCTTCACTAGAAGAGGAGCCTGCAGCCCAGAGACCTGAGGTTGGATA DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18440 18400 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18490 18470 18450 18460 18480 CTGGGCTCCCAGCTCCATAACCTTATTTTCCAGGCAGGAATCATGGGCGA ctgggctcccagctccataaccttattttccaggcaggaatcatgggcga BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 CTGGGCTCCCAGCTCCATAACCTTATTTTCCAGGCAGGAATCATGGGCGA SHR EXONS

18510 18530 18540 18500 18520 GCTTACAAGGTCTGATCCTGAGGATGTAAGGGTAACAGGCTCTTGAGGTC BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcttacaaggtctgatcctgaggatgtaagggtaacaggctcttgaggtc GCTTACAAGGTCTGATCCTGAGGATGTAAGAGTAACAGGCTCTTGAGGTC SHR EXONS 18550 18570 18590 18560 18580 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 acattectectatatgetetaagecaaagegggtetteaggeetaecett SHR ACATTCCTCCTATATGCTCTAAGCCAAAGCGGGTCTTCAGGCCTACCCTT EXONS 600 18610 18620 18630 18640 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|.... CTTCTTCTCCCTGAGGAAGAAGAGCCTGGGGGTGAGGCACTCCCACGC 18600 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 cttcttcttccctqaqqaaqaaaqaqcctqqqqqtqaqqcactcccacqc CTTCTTCTTCCCTGAGGAAGAAAGAGCCTGGGGGTGAGGCACTCCCACGC SHR EXONS 18680 18690 18660 18670 18650 CTGCTGGGTGCATTGCTTTCCCTTGCTGGGCTTGGGTGTCCCAAGGAGCT BN.Lx ctgctgggtgcattgctttcccttgctgggcttgggtgtccccaaggagct CTGCTGGGTGCATTGCTTTCCCTTGCTGGGCTTGGGTGTCCCAAGGAGCT DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18700 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 SHR EXONS 18770 18750 18760 18780 18790
 10,700
 10,700
 10,700
 10,790

 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....
 I....

 TGCTACTCTAGATCA
 I....
 I....
 I....
 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 tgctactctagatcagtgttgagagggcctggggtacaaattgggtgtccTGCTACTCTAGATCA-SHR EXONS 18800 18810 18820 18830 18840 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 ccaggccacggataaggtttaaatgagctggccctaaactgctccagagc SHR EXONS 18850 18860 18870 18880 18890 BN. Lx DBH NM 013158 agtgcccccagtctcagtggctatttcattttatttagattaatttaag SHR EXONS 18940 18900 18910 18920 18930 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 caccqtqqtqaqqqatqtqqctcaqttqqtcqaacactttaqcctaacaa SHR EXONS 18990 18950 18960 18970 18980 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gcacaaagccttctaaccccagatccacaaaactggggacaggcacac SHR EXONS 19030 19000 19020 19010 19040 BN.Lx DBH NM 013158 gagcacacccgccatcccatctcttgggaggtgtagacaggaggatcaga SHR EXONS

-1000 -970 -990 -980 -960 BN Lx Pnmt X75333 -cqqtttqccctaqtacatqqqcqqqaaqacaaqcacattatqtqccaqq SHR EXONS -950 -940 -930 -920 -910 BN. Lx Pnmt X75333 cacctagagcaccaagcgggacctgaaggccaggccctgccaactcccca SHR ------CGGGACCTGAAGGCCAGGCCCTGCCAACTCCCCA EXONS -890 -880 -900 -870 -860 BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS -840 -830 -850 -820 -810 ĊCTCTACCTGTGAAAAAACCAAAAAGTGCGCATGCGĊTGCCTCTGTAGTGG BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 cctctacctgtgaaaaaccaaaaagtgcgcatgcgctgcctctgtagtgg CCTCTACCTGTGAAAAAACCAAAAAGTGCGCATGCGCTGCCTCTGTAGTGG SHR EXONS 00 -790 -780 -770 -760 CTGTGGCAGTACCAAGAATGTGTTCTGCACTCTCTGTTCTTACACGAGTC -800 BN. Lx ctgtggcagtaccaagaatgtgttctgcactctctgttcttacacgagtc CTGTGGCAGTACCAAGAATGTGTTCTGCACTCTCTGTTCTTACACGAGTC Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS -750 -740 -730 -720 -710 CGGTGTCCCTGACCTGGTAGGAACATCCTGAACTAACCATGCTTGCCGGA BN.Lx cggtgtccctgacctggtaggaacacttcgaactaaccatgcttgccgga CGGTGTCCCTGACCTGGTAGGAACATCCTGAACTAACCATGCTTGCCGGA Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 00 -690 -680 -670 -660 |...|...|...|...|...|...|....|.... CCTCAGATAAGCAGCGCATAGCCCCAGGGCCCCACAGGGGATGCCCGGAT -700 BN. Lx cctcagataagcagcgcatagccccagggccc~acaggg~atgcccggat CCTCAGATAAGCAGCGCATAGCCCCAGGGCCCCACAGGGGATGCCCGGAT Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS -640 -630 -650 -620 -610GTTCTGCTTGCTAAAAGCATTAGACCCCactcaccTGTATCTCTGCTGCTGC BN. Lx gttctgcttgctaaaagcattagaccccactcacctgtatctctgctgcc Pnmt X75333 GTTCTGCTTGCTAAAAGCATTAGACCCCACTCACCTGTATCTCTGCTGCC SHR EXONS -590 -580 -600 -570 -560 BN.Lx atcaaatgctcggggcagagacctgctcagtacccagg~ccaccaagaca Pnmt X75333 ATCAAATĞCTCĞĞĞĞCAĞAĞACCTĞCTCAĞTACCCAĞĞGCCACCAAĞACA SHR EXONS -540 -550 BN.Lx gaggccagaacagagtgtcctttctgaaggaggatagagacggggtagag GAGGCCAGAACAGAGTGTCCTCTCTGAAGGAGGATAGAGACGGGGTAGAG Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS

Appendix 6: Polymorphism discovery (re-sequencing) in rat Pnmt

BN. Lx GTC16AGGCTGGGATGGGATGGGATGGGATGGGATGGATGAGGAGGTGGAGGA		-500	-490	-480	-470	-460	
-450 -440 -430 -420 -410 BN Lx CCCGACAGAGAGGGCGGGAGGAGGAGCGCGGAAGGATGCTGGGAACATGGAACATGAG Prmt X7533 CCCGACAGAGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGAGGA	BN. <i>Lx Pnmt X75333</i> SHR EXONS	GTCTGGA Gtctgga GTCTGGA	GGTGGGGA ggtgggga GGTGGGGA	IGGCATGATA Cggcatgataa IGGCATGATAA	AAGAAGGGGA aagaagggga AAGAAGGGGA	GTTTGTAAAGG gtttgtaaagg GTTTGTAA-GG	G <mark>TAC</mark> gtac GTAC
-400 -390 -380 -370 -360 BN. Lx CTACCTCAGACCTT-GGAAAGAAGAGAAGGAATGGATGCAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAAGCATGGAATGCAAGCAGAGACTCCTGACCTGAACCCACTAAAGCTGGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCCACTAAAGCTGGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCTGAACCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTGAAGCCACTGAAGCCCATTAAAGCTGGAGCACGAACCTGCACCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTGAAGCCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTGAAGCACGAACCTGAACCACGAACCTCACACACCCCCTCACACCCCCCACTAAAGCTGGAGCCACTGAGCCCATTAAAGCTGGAGCAAGGACTGAGCGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGAAGCAGGAACCTGCAGCGACGACGACGTGTCTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGAAGCAGGAACGGAGCGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGAGCGGACGAGGACGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGAGCGGACGAGGACGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGGGGCAAGGAACGCGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGGGGCACGAGGACGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGGGGCACGAGGACGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGGGGCACGAGGACGATGTTCTAAAGCAGGAACTGGGGCACGACGACGACGACGCCCCCCACCCCCCCC	BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-450 . CCCGAGA cccgaga CCCGAGA	-440 GAGGGGAGG g~~gggagg GAGGGGAGG	-430 SAGGCCGGGAZ GAGGCCGGGAZ GAGGCCGGGAZ	-420 AGGATGCTGG aggatgctgg AGGATGCTGG	-410 GACTGGGAACA gatcgggaaca GACTGGGAACA	IGAG tgag CGAG
-350 -340 -330 -320 -310 BN. Lx GGAGTCTGTGGGAGGCGGAGGAGGAGCACTGAGGGGCCACTGGAACTGAGGG GGAGTCTGTGGGAGGCTGGAGGAGGAGCACTGAGGGGCCACTGGAACTGAGGG SHR GGAGTCTGTGGGAGGCTGGAGGAGGAGCACTGAGGGGCCACTGGAACTGAGGG GGAGTCTGTGGGAGGCTGGAGGAGGAGCCACTGGAGGGCCACTGGAACGAGGAGGAGG EXONS -300 -290 -280 -270 -260 BN. Lx TGGGGGAGCAGAGGAGCACTGGAGGGAGCCACTGAAGGCCCATTAAAGGTGGAGG -100 -200 -210 -100 BN. Lx GTTGTCAAGCAAGGAGAAAGCAGGAGTGGGGCAGGAGGAGCGATGTTCTAAAG GTTGTCAAGCAAGGAGTAAAGCCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGG	BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-400 . CTAGCTC ctagctc CTAGTTC	-390 AGACCTT-C agacctttc AGACCTT-C	-380 GGGAAAGAGAA GGGAAAGAGAA GGGAAAGAGAA	-370 AGGGATGGGA AGGGATGGGA AGGGATGGGA	-360 TGCTGGACGCT tgctggacgct TGCTGGACGCT	GGAC Jgac GGA <mark>T</mark>
-300 -290 -280 -270 -260 BN.Lx TGGGGGACCAGCACGCACCAGCACTGACCCCATAAAGCTCCAAGAGCCCATAAAGGTGCAGG Pmmt X75333 tgggggacagagcagcacgacatggacgatcaagagcccataaaggtggagg SHR TGGGGGACCAGCACTGGACCGATCAAGAGCCCATAAAGGTGGAGG EXONS -250 -240 -230 -220 -210 IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-350 . GGAGTCT ggagtct GGAGTCT	-340 GTGGGAGGG gtgggaggg GTGGGAGGG	-330 TGGAGAGGAG tggagaggag TGGAGAGGAG	-320 JJ GTCAGGGGGGC gtcagggggc GTCAGGGGGGC	-310 CACTGGAACTGA cactggaactga CACTGGAACTGA	AGGC aggc AGGC
-250 -240 -230 -220 -210 BN.Lx GTGTCAAGCAAGAGTAAAGCAGGAGTGGGGCAGGAGCGATGTTCTAAAG Pnmt X75333 GTGTCAAGCAAGAGTAAAGCAGGAGGGGGGGCAGGAGCGATGTTCTAAAG SHR GTGTCAAGCAAGAGTAAAGCAGGAGGGGGGGCAGGAGCGATGTTCTAAAG EXONS -200 -190 -180 -170 -160 N.Lx GGCGCCCTCCACATCTCCCCCCCCCCCCCGGCGGCGCCGCGCGCG	BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-300 . <i>TGGGGGA</i> <i>t</i> ggggga <i>TGGGGGA</i>	-290 GCAGAGCAG gcagagcag GCAGAGCAG	-280 GCACTGGAGCO GCACTGGAGCO GCACTGGAGCO	-270 JJ GATCAAGAGCO gatcaagagco GATCAAGAGCO	-260 CCATAAAGGTGO ccataaaggtgo CCATAAAGGTGO	GAGG Jagg GAGG
-200 -190 -180 -170 -160 BN. Lx GGCGCCCTCCACATCTCCCCGCCCCGCGGGGCCCGGGGGGGG	BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-250 . GTTGTCA gttgtca GTTGTCA	-240 AGCAAGAG agcaagag AGCAAGAG	-230 TAAAGCAGGAG aaagcaggag TAAAGCAGGAG	-220 GTGGGGCAGG gtggggcagg GTGGGGCAGG	-210 AGCGATGTTCT agcgatgttcta AGCGATGTTCT	AAAG aaag AAAG
-150 -140 -130 -120 -110 EN. Lx TCAGACACTAACTGAGATGGATGGGGGTGACAGAGATGTGGCGGCCTCGGG Pnmt X75333 tcagacactaactgagatggatggatggacagagatgtggcggcctcgg SHR TCAGACACTAACTGAGATGGATGGGGTGACAGAGATGTGGCGGCCTCGGG EXONS -100 -90 -80 -70 -60 I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.I.	BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR	-200 . GGCGCCC ggcgccc GGCGCCC	-190 TCCACATC tccacatct TCCACATC	-180 ccccccccccc ccccccccccccccc	-170	-160 GCGTCCGTCCGG gcgtccgtccgg GCGTCCGTCCGG	GCGC GCGC GCGC
EXONS-100-90-80-70-60IIIIIIIIII.	BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR FYONS	-150 . TCAGACA tcagaca TCAGACA	-140 CTAACTGAC ctaactgac CTAACTGAC	-130 GATGGATGGGG gatggatgggg GATGGATGGGG	-120 GTGACAGAGA gtgacagaga GTGACAGAGAGA	-110 . TGTGGCGGCCT tgtggcggcct TGTGGCGGCCT	CGGG cgg~ CGGG
BN. Lx-50-40-30-20-10BN. LxGGGGGGAGGGACCCAGTAGTAGATAAAGGATGGGGAGGTTAGCGGAGAPnmt X75333GGGGGGAGGGACCCAGTAGTAGATAAAGGGATGGGGAGGTTAGCGGAGASHRGGGGGGAGGGACCCAGTAGTAGATAAAGGGATGGGGAGGTTAGCGGAGAEXONS010203040IIII.III.IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-100 . CGCCTCA cgcctca CGCCTCA	-90 TCCCTCAGO tccctcago TCCCTCAGO	-80 CAGCCACCCAC CAGCCACCCAC	-70 CCCCTGTGATO CCCCTGTGATO CCCCTGTGATO	-60 . GGAGGGGTCTGG ggaggggtctgg GGAGGGGGTCTGG	GCG GCCG GCCG
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 0 10 20 30 40 1	BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	-50 . GGGGGGGA gggggga GGGGGGA	-40 GGGGACCC2 ggggaccc2 GGGGACCC2	-30 AGTAGTAGATZ Agtagtagata AGTAGTAGATZ	-20 AAAGGGATGGG aaagggatggg AAAGGGATGGG	-10 GGAGGTTAGCGG ggaggttagcgg GGAGGTTAGCGG	GAGA Jaga GAGA
	BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	0 . <i>TAGGCG</i> <i>taggcg</i> <i>TAGGCG</i> -5' <i>UTR</i> -	10 GCCTCAACZ gcctcaaca GCCTCAACZ	20 AGGAGCATGGA aggagCATGGA AGGAGCATGGA EXON_	30 ACCGTGGCTC ACCGTGGCTC ACCGTGGCTC _1_XXXXXXX	40 AGACCCGAAGC2 AGACCCGAAGC2 AGACCCGAAGC2 XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	ACAC ACAC ACAC XXXX

	50	60	70	80	90
BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333	 TGCAGGGA TGCAGGGA	TGGACTCTGA	ACTCCGACCC ACTCCGACCC	regccaggcad Tggccaggcad Tggccaggcad	GAGGTCGCCTTGG
SHR EXONS	TGCAGGGA XXXXXXXX	TGGACTCTGA XXXXXXXXXXX	CTCCGACCC XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	<mark>I'GGCCAGGC</mark> AC XXXXXXXXXXXX	GAGG <mark>TCGCCTT</mark> GG XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	100	110	120	130	140
BN. <i>Lx</i> <i>Pnmt X75333</i> SHR EXONS	CTTACCAG CTTACCAG CTTACCAG XXXXXXXX	CGCTTTGAGC CGCTTTGAGC CGCTTTGAGC XXXXXXXXXXX	CCCCGTGCCTA CCCCGTGCCTA CCCCGTGCCTA	ACCTCCGCAAC ACCTCCGCAAC ACCTCCGCAAC ACCTCCGCAAC	CAACTACGCGCCT CAACTACGCGCCT CAACTACGCGCCT CAACTACGCGCCT
	150	160	170	180	190
BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	CCTCGTGG CCTCGTGG CCTCGTGG XXXXXXXX 200	GGACCTGAGC GGACCTGAGC GGACCTGAGC XXXXXXXXXX 210	CAACCCTGAT CAACCCTGAT CAACCCTGAT CAACCCTGAT CXXXXXXXXXXX 220	GGTGTGGGGGCC GGTGTGGGGGCC GGTGTGGGGGCC XXXXXXXXXX	TTGGAAGCTTCG TTGGAAGCTTCG TTGGAAGCTTCG XXXXXXXXXXXX 240
	200			230	
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	CTGCATGG CTGCATGG CTGCATGG XXXXXXXX	CACAAGTCTI CACAAGTCTI CGCAAGTCTI XXXXXXXXXXX	TGCCACCGG TGCCACCGgt TGCCACCGG XXXXXXXXX	IGAĠCACTĠGZ Egagcactgga IGAGCACTGGZ	AACAGAGGCACG aacagaggcacg AAACAGAGGCACG
	250	260	270	280	290
BN Lx		CAAGTCATAG	GGAAATGAA	 A c gggagaga	$ \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	agagagca AGAGAGCA	gaagtcatag GAAGTCATAG	ggaaatgaaa GGGAAA <mark>T</mark> GAAA	acgggggagagaga ACGGGGGAGAGAGA	agaaacaagagtg AGAAACAAGAGTG
	300	310	320	330	340
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	TGCACCAA tgcaccaa TGCACCAA	ATGGGGAACC atggggaacc ATGGGGAACC	CAAAAAGCAGA Caaaaagcaga CAAGAAGCAGA	AACTATAGGT AACTATAGGT AACTATAGGT AACTATAGGT	GTGTAGCCGGCAC STGTAGCCGGCAC GTGTAGCCGGCAC
	350 .	360 	370 	380	390
BN.Lx Primt X75333 SHR EXONS	AAACAGGA aaacagga AAACAGGA	GCGGACGATA gcggacgata GCGGACGA <mark>T</mark> A	AGTCTTGGCT7 Agtettggeta AGTCTTGGCT7	ACTAGGGATG actagggatgg ACTAGGGATG	CGGAGGC T TGGAG ggaggc <mark>tt</mark> ggag CGGAGGC TT GGAG
	400	410	420	430	440
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	GACAAGTA gacaagta GACAAGTA	GTTGAGGCCC gttgaggccc GTTGAGGCCC	CGGAA TT GGAA Cggaattggaa CGGAA <mark>TT</mark> GGAA	AGACTGACTAG agactgactag AGACTGACTAG	GACAACTTAAGGT gacaacttaaggt GACAACTTAAGGT
	450	460	470	480	490
BN Ly				 A A G TT GA GA GA	$ \dots \dots \dots $
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS		ttccagggga TTCCAGGGGA	aggatgcttaa AGGATGCTTAA	ag <mark>tt</mark> gagaga AAG <mark>TT</mark> GAGAGA	acagatgggacct ACAGATGGGACCT
BN Ly	500 	510 	520 	530	540
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	gggagag <mark>c</mark> GGGAGA	g c gggagggg 	rga <mark>t</mark> gggag <mark>t</mark> i	tggagactgca	aagagagaggct
BN Ly	550 	560 •• ••• ••	570 •• •••• •	580 .	590
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	ccatcctt	gatgtcaagg	rgatctcccc	cactaaattgt	aactagagctag

600 620 610 630 640 BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 tttctgagtgctggaacccgagtcagaggagccctgtcagcacaagtgct SHR EXONS 650 660 670 680 690 BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 700 710 720 730 740 BN. Lx ccctcctctgctctaccagGTGAGGTGTCTGGACAGGTCCTCATTGACAT -----tctgctctaccagGTGAGGTGTCTGGACAGGTCCTCATTGACAT Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 780 770 790 760 750 CGGCTCAGGCCCCACCATATACCAGCTGCTCAGCGCCTGTGCCCACTTCG CGGCTCAGGCCCCACCATATACCAGCTGCTCAGCGCCTGTGCCCACTTCG BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 CGGCTCAGGCCCCACCATATACCAGCTGCTCAGCGCCTGTGCCCACTTCG SHR EXONS 830 800 810 820 840 AGGACATCACCATGACAGACTTCTTGGAGGTCAACCGGCAGGAGCTGGGA BN.Lx $\label{eq:aggacatcaccatgacagacttcttggaggtcaaccggcaggagctgggaaggacatcaccatgacagacttcttggaggtcaaccggcaggagctggga$ Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 50860870880890|...|...|...|....|...|....|...|....|...|...CTCTGGCTGCGAGAGGAACCAGGAGCCTTCGACTGGAGTGTGTATAGCCA 850 BN.Lx CTCTGGCTGCGAGAGGAACCAGGAGCCTTCGACTGGAGTGTGTATAGCCA CTCTGGCTGCGAGAGGAACCAGGAGCCTTCGACTGGAGTGTGTATAGCCA Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 930 920 940 900 910 BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR GCATGTCTGCCTCATCGAGGACAAGGGGTGAGAACTGGGCTGGGAGCTTC EXONS 980 950 BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 1040 1000 1030 1010 1020 GGTAGTCCTGAGCCCCGCCTTGTGCCCCCTGTACAGAGAGTCCTGGCAG BN.Lx gggtagtc~tgagccccgccttgtgccccctgtacagAGAGTCCTGGCAG GGGTAGTCCTGAGCCCCGCCTTGTGCCCCCTGTACAGAGAGTCCTGGCAG -----EXON 3 XXXXXX Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 1080 1060 1070 1090 1050 GAGAAAGAACGCCAGCTCCGAGCGAGGGTGAAGCGAGTCTTGCCCATTGA BN.Lx GAGAAAGAACGCCAGCTCCGAGCGAGGGTGAAGCGAGTCTTGCCCATTGA GAGAAAGAACGCCAGCTCCGAGCGAGGGTGAAGCGAGTCTTGCCCATTGA Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS 1120 1140 1100 1110 1130

 Image: State of the state BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS

	1150	1160	1170	1180	1190
BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333	 CTGACGCC CTGACGCC	TTGGTCTCTG TTGGTCTCTG	CCTTCTGCC1	CGAGGCTGTG CGAGGCTGTG	GAGCCCGGATCTC GAGCCCGGATCTC
SHR EXONS	CTGACGCC XXXXXXXX	TTGGTCTCTG XXXXXXXXXXX	CCTTCTGCC1	GGAGG <mark>CT</mark> GTG XXXXXXXXXXX	GAGCCCGGATCTC
	1200	1210	1220	1230	1240
BN. <i>Lx Pnmt X75333</i> SHR EXONS	. CCAAGCTT CCAAGCTT CCAAGCTT XXXXXXXX	 CCGGCAGGCT CCGGCAGGCT CCGGCAGGCT XXXXXXXXXXX	TTGTATCATA TTGTATCATA TTGTATCATA TTGTATCATA	TCACGACGCI TCACGACGCI TCACGACGCI TCACGACGCI	CCTGAGGCCCGG CCTGAGGCCCGG CCTGAGGCCCGG CCTGAGGCCCGG
	1250	1260	1270	1280	1290
BN. <i>Lx</i> Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	GGGTCATC GGGTAATC GGGTCATC XXXXXXXX 1300	TCCTTCTCAT TCCTCTTCAT TCCTTCTCAT XXXXXXXXXX	CGGGGGCCCTC CGGGGGCCCTC CGGGGGCCCTC XXXXXXXXXX	GAGGAGTCGT GAGGAGTCGT GAGGAGTCGT XXXXXXXXXXXX 1330	GGTACCTTGCTG GGTACCTTGCTG GGTACCTTGCTG XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	GGGAGGCC GGGAGGCC GGGAGGCC XXXXXXXXX	AGGCTATCTG AGGCTATCTG AGGCTATCTG XXXXXXXXXXX	TGGTTCCAG1 TGGTTCCAG1 TGGTTCCAG1 XXXXXXXXXXX	'GTC AGAGGAG 'GTCAGAGGAG 'GTCAGAGGAG XXXXXXXXXXXX	GGAGG T GAGGGAG GGAGG T GAGGGAG GGAGG T GAGGGAG XXXXXXXXXXXXXX
	1350	1360	1370	1380	1390
BN. <i>Lx</i> <i>Pnmt X75333</i> SHR EXONS	GCCCTGGT GCCCTGGT GCCCTGGT XXXXXXXX	CTGTAGTGGT CTGTAGTGGT CTGTAGTGGT XXXXXXXXXXXX	TATGAGGTCC TATGAGGTCC TATGAGGTCC XXXXXXXXXXX	CGAGACCTTCG CGAGACCTTCG CGAGACCTTCG XXXXXXXXXXXX	CACCTACATCAT CACCTACATCAT CACCTACATCAT CACCTACATCAT
	1400	1410	1420	1430	1440
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	. GCCTGCCC GCCTGCCC GCCTGCCC XXXXXXXX	 ACCTCCGCAC ACCTCCGCAC ACCTCCGCAC XXXXXXXXXXX		CACGTCAAGG GACGTCAAGG GACGTCAAGG GACGTCAAGG XXXXXXXXXXXX	GTATCTTCTTTG GTATCTTCTTTG GTATCTTCTTTG XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
BN.Lx Pnmt X75333	1450 . CCTGGGCC CCTGGGCC	1460 	1470 	1480 	1490
EXONS	<i>XXXXXXXXX</i> 1500	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX	1530	XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
DN 7					
BN.LX Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	AACTCCTT aactcctt AACTCCTT XXXXXXXXX	ATCACCCGAA atcacccgaa ATCACCCGAA XXXXXXXXXXXX	GTGGCACCTA gtggcaccta GTGGCACCTA XXXXXXXXXXX	ATAAAGTAAC aataaagtaac ATAAAGTAAC XXXXXXXXXXXXX	AGTCCCCTGCTA cagtccccagcta CAGTCCCCTGCTA XXXXXXXXXXXX
	1550	1560	1570	1580	1590
BN. <i>Lx Pnmt X75333</i> SHR EXONS	TGTCTGTG tgtctgtg TGTCTGTG	CTGTTTGTGA ctgtttgtga CTGTTTGTGA	CTCTCCTAGA ctctcctaga CTCTCCTAGA	ACACAAGGATA Acacaaggata ACACAAGGATA	AGGAAAAGGTCTC Aggaaaaggtctc AGGAAAAGGTCTC
BN . <i>Lx</i>	1600 <i>C</i>	1610	1620	1630	1640
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	cgaggctt C	agaaggaacc 	aatcctagct	ttttcttttt	gccctagaagcc
BN . <i>Lx</i>	1650 	1660 	1670 	1680	1690
Pnmt X75333 SHR EXONS	ttcgtgaa 	ggctgctttc	tgtgccacco	cattetecect	ccccaggaaggc

Appendix 7: Primers for SNP genotyping at the *Dbh* and *Pnmt* loci

Primers to genotype Dbh T-550G

F-5'-ATTCACCCCCTTCCTGCCT-3' R-5'-biotin-GGCCTGCTGTTCCCACTTTT-3' Sequencing primer 5'-CACCCACTCCTTCTGTAG-3'

Primers to genotype Pnmt T-529C

F-5'-biotin-CCAGGGCCACCAAGACAG -3' R-5'-TCCCCACCTCCAGACCTCTA -3' Sequencing primer 5'-CCGTCTCTATCCTCCTT -3'

Appendix 8: Primers for the *Dbh* and *Pnmt* promoter/reporter constructs

Dbh - forward (5'-CCATGAGCTCTGGACATTCTGCCTAGTCC-3') *Dbh* - reverse (5'-GAGGCTCGAGGGCTGGGATGAGCA-3')

Pnmt - forward (5'-GTGAGAGCTCGCAGGGTCCTCTACCTGTGA-3') *Pnmt* - reverse (5'-CACGCTCGAGGCTCCTGTTG-3') **Glossary of terms**

This glossary is designed to help the reader understand the complex terminology associated with contemporary molecular and statistical genetics, genomics and systems biology. It was compiled from various textbooks, journals and on-line resources. Especially helpful was the Glossary of terms published in the May 1, 2007 special issue of the Journal of Experimental Biology, which was devoted to '*The Genomic Revolution*' ¹⁷².

ANNOTATION – as applied to proteins, DNA sequences or genes. The storage of data describing these entities (protein/gene identities, DNA motifs, gene ontology categorisation, etc.) within a biological database. Active projects include FlyBase and WormBase. See Gene ontology.

ASSEMBLY – the process of aligning sequenced fragments of DNA into their correct positions within the chromosome or transcript.

ASSOCIATION STUDY – an examination of genetic variation and relationships between genetic variants (alleles) and phenotypes in a population of unrelated individuals

cDNA – complementary DNA. This is DNA synthesised from a mature mRNA template by the enzyme reverse transcriptase. cDNA is frequently used as an early part of gene cloning procedures, since it is more robust and less subject to degradation than the mRNA itself.

Chip – see Microarray.

cis-acting – a molecule is described as *cis*-acting when it affects other genes that are physically adjacent, on the same chromosome, or are genetically linked or in close proximity (for mRNA expression, typically a promoter).

COMPLEX TRAIT – see Quantitative Trait.

CpG ISLANDS – regions that show high density of `C followed by G' dinucleotides and are generally associated with promoter elements; in particular, stretches of DNA of at least 200 bp with a C–G content of 50% and an observed CpG/expected CpG in excess of 0.6. The cytosine residues can be methylated, generally to repress transcription, while demethylated CpGs are a hallmark of transcription. CpG dinucleotides are under-represented outside regulatory regions, such as promoters, because methylated C mutates into T by deamination.

ENHANCER – a short segment of genomic DNA that may be located remotely and that, on binding particular proteins (*trans*-acting factors), increases the rate of transcription of a specific gene or gene cluster.

EPISTASIS – a phenomenon when the properties of one gene are modified by one or more genes at other loci. Otherwise known as a genetic interaction, but epistasis refers to the statistical properties of the phenomenon.

eQTL – the combination of conventional QTL analysis with gene expression profiling, typically using microarrays. eQTLs describe regulatory elements controlling the expression of genes involved in specific traits.

EST – expressed sequence tag. A short DNA sequence determined for a cloned cDNA representing portions of an expressed gene. The sequence is generally several hundred base pairs from one or both ends of the cloned insert.

EXON – any region of DNA that is transcribed to the final (spliced) mRNA molecule. Exons interleave with segments of non-coding DNA (introns) that are removed (spliced out) during processing after transcription.

GENE – a functional physical unit of heredity that can be passed from parent to child. All genes in humans are pieces of DNA. Most genes contain information for making a specific protein, or RNA.

GENE INTERACTION NETWORK – a network of functional interactions between genes. Functional interactions can be inferred from many different data types, including protein–protein interactions, genetic interactions, co-expression relationships, the co-inheritance of genes across genomes and the arrangement of genes in bacterial genomes. The interactions can be represented using network diagrams, with lines connecting the interacting elements, and can be modelled using differential equations.

GENE MAP – the position of gene loci on chromosomes; a physical map refers to the absolute position of the gene loci, expressed by the number of base pairs; a genetic map expresses distance in terms of their frequency of recombination

GENE ONTOLOGY (GO) – an ontology is a controlled vocabulary of terms that have logical relationships with each other and that are amenable to computerised manipulation. The Gene Ontology project has devised terms in three domains: biological process, molecular function and cell compartment. Each gene or DNA sequence can be associated with these annotation terms from each domain, and this enables analysis of microarray data on groups of genes based on descriptive terms so provided. See http://www.geneontology.org

GENE SET ENRICHMENT ANALYSIS – a computational method that determines whether a defined set of genes, usually based on their common involvement in a biological process, shows statistically significant differences in transcript expression between two biological states.

GENE SILENCING – the switching-off of a gene by an epigenetic mechanism at the transcriptional or post-transcriptional levels. Includes the mechanism of RNAi.

GENETIC INTERACTION (NETWORK) – a genetic interaction between two genes occurs when the phenotypic consequences of a mutation in one gene are modified by the mutational status at a second locus. Genetic interactions can be aggravating (enhancing) or alleviating (suppressing). To date, most high-throughput studies have focused on systematically identifying synthetic lethal or sick (aggravating) interactions, which can then be visualized as a network of functional interactions (edges) between genes (nodes).

GENOME – a portmanteau of <u>gen</u>e and chromos<u>ome</u>, the entire hereditary information for an organism that is embedded in the DNA (or, for some viruses, in RNA). Includes protein-coding and non-coding sequences.

GENOTYPE – the specific allelic makeup of an individual.

HERITABILITY – phenotypic variation within a population is attributable to the genetic variation between individuals and to environmental factors. Heritability is the proportion due to genetic variation usually expressed as a percentage.

HYBRIDISATION – the process of joining (annealing) two complementary singlestranded DNAs into a single double-stranded molecule. In microarray analysis, the target RNA/DNA from the subject under investigation is denatured and hybridised to probes that are immobilised on a solid phase (i.e. glass microscope slide).

INDEL – <u>in</u>sertion and <u>del</u>etion of DNA, referring to two types of genetic mutation. To be distinguished from a `point mutation', which refers to the substitution of a single base.

INTERACTOME – a more or less comprehensive set of interactions between elements within cells. Usually applied to genes or proteins as defined by transcriptomic, proteomic or protein–protein interaction data.

INTRON – see Exon.

KEGG – The <u>Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes is a database of metabolic</u> and other pathways collected from a variety of organisms. See http://www.genome.jp/kegg

LINKAGE ANALYSIS – study aimed at establishing relationship between genes or markers. Because DNA segments that lie near each other on a chromosome tend to be inherited together, markers are often used as tools for tracking the inheritance pattern of a gene that has not yet been identified but whose approximate location is known. Linkage analysis is one of the most important tools of quantitative genetics used to find genes that underlie complex traits.

LINKAGE DISEQUILIBRIUM (LD) – term refers to polymorphisms in close physical proximity on a chromosome, such that a specific allele at one locus has a significant predictive value for specific alleles at the other (closely linked) loci.

MAP DISTANCE – distance between gene loci, expressed either in physical terms or in terms of recombination frequency

MEIOSIS – the special division of a germ cell nucleus that leads to reduction of the chromosome complement from the diploid to the haploid

METABOLOMICS – the systematic qualitative and quantitative analysis of small chemical metabolite profiles. The metabolome represents the collection of metabolites within a biological sample.

METAGENOMICS – the application of genomic techniques to characterise complex communities of microbial organisms obtained directly from environmental samples. Typically, genomic tags are sequence characterised as markers of each species to inform on the range and abundance of species in the community.

MICROARRAY – an arrayed set of probes for detecting molecularly specific analytes or targets. Typically, the probes are composed of DNA segments that are immobilised onto the solid surface, each of which can hybridise with a specific DNA present in the target preparation. DNA microarrays are used for profiling of gene transcripts.

miRNA – a category of novel, very short, non-coding RNAs, generated by the cleavage of larger precursors (pri-miRNA). These short RNAs are included in the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) and pair to the 3' ends of target RNA, blocking its translation into proteins (in animals) or promoting RNA cleavage and degradation (in plants).

MODEL SPECIES – a species used to study particular biological phenomena, the outcome offering insights into the workings of other species. Usually, the selection is based on experimental tractability, particularly ease of genetic manipulation. For the geneticist, it is an organism with inbred lines where sibs will be >98% identical (i.e. *Drosophila, Caenorhabditis elegans* and mice). For genomic science, it refers to a species for which the genomic DNA has been sequenced.

mRNA – a protein-coding mRNA containing a protein-coding region (CDS), preceded by a 5' and followed by a 3' untranslated region (5' UTR and 3' UTR). The UTRs contain regulatory elements. A full-length cDNA contains the complete sequence of the original mRNA, including both UTRs. However, it is often difficult to assign the starting–termination positions for protein synthesis unambiguously. A cDNA containing the entire CDS is often considered acceptable for bioinformatic and experimental studies requiring full-length cDNAs.

PCR – polymerase chain reaction. A molecular biology technique for replicating DNA *in vitro*. The DNA is thus amplified, sometimes from very small amounts. PCR can be adapted to perform a wide variety of genetic manipulations.

PERMUTATION TEST – method that uses empirical distribution of test statistic acquired by permuting the original sample to establish what is the likelihood of obtaining a certain value merely by chance. Frequently used to assess the significance of QTL mapping results.

PHENOTYPE – any observed quality of an organism, such as its morphology, development, or behavior, as opposed to its Genotype (see above).

POLYADENYLATION – the covalent addition of multiple A bases to the 3' tail of an mRNA molecule. This occurs during the processing of transcripts to form the mature, spliced molecule and is important for regulation of turnover, trafficking and translation.

POST-TRANSLATIONAL MODIFICATION – the chemical modification of a protein after synthesis through translation. Some modifications, notably phosphorylation, affect the properties of the protein, offering a means of regulating function.

PRINCIPAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS (PCA) – a technique for simplifying complex, multi-dimensional datasets to a reduced number of dimensions, the principal components. This procedure retains those characteristics of the data that relate to its variance.

PROMOTER – a regulatory DNA sequence, generally lying upstream of an expressed gene, which in concert with other often distant regulatory elements directs the transcription of a given gene.

PROTEOME – the entire protein complement of an organism, tissue or cell culture at a given time.

qPCR – quantitative real-time PCR, sometimes called real-time PCR. A more quantitative form of RT-PCR in which the quantity of amplified product is estimated after each round of amplification.

QUANTITATIVE GENETICS – a branch of genetics research that employs statistical and probabilistic principles to identify the genetic and non-genetic components of a complex trait and to describe them in statistical terms.

QTL – quantitative trait loci. A region of DNA that contains those genes contributing to the trait under study.

QUANTITATIVE TRAIT – inheritance of a phenotypic property or characteristic that varies continuously between extreme states and can be attributed to interactions between multiple genes and their environment.

QUANTITATIVE TRAIT – trait that has value wit a continuous distribution in a population (Gaussian curve) as opposed to a **QUALITATIVE TRAIT**, which has a bimodal (categorical) distribution.

QUANTITATIVE TRAIT LOCUS (QTL) – a region of DNA statistically associated with a quantitative trait; QTLs are believed to be closely linked to the actual genes that underlie variation in a particular quantitative trait.

RECOMBINANT INBRED STRAINS – set of strains derived from brother-sister inbreeding of F2 progeny from a cross of two standard inbred strains.

RNAi – RNA interference or RNA-mediated interference. The process by which double-stranded RNA triggers the destruction of homologous mRNA in eukaryotic cells by the RISC.

RT-PCR – reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction. A technique for amplifying a defined piece of RNA that has been converted to its complementary DNA form by the enzyme reverse transcriptase. See qPCR.

siRNA – small interfering RNA, or silencing RNA. A class of short (20–25 nt), double-stranded RNA molecules. It is involved in the RNA interference pathway, which alters RNA stability and thus affects RNA concentration and thereby suppresses the normal expression of specific genes. Widely used in biomedical research to ablate specific genes.

snoRNA – small nucleolar RNA. A sub-class of RNA molecules involved in guiding chemical modification of ribosomal RNA and other RNA genes as part of the regulation of gene expression.

SNP – single nucleotide polymorphism. A single base-pair mutation at a specific locus, usually consisting of two alleles. Because SNPs are conserved over evolution, they are frequently used in QTL analysis and in association studies in place of microsatellites, and in genetic fingerprinting analyses.

SSH – suppressive subtractive hybridisation. A powerful protocol for enriching cDNA libraries for genes that differ in representation between two or more conditions. It combines normalisation and subtraction in a single procedure and allows the detection of low-abundance, differentially expressed transcripts, such as those involved in signalling and signal transduction.

STATISTICAL GENOMICS – a research discipline that considers the evaluation of entire genomes in order to identify and characterize genetic factors that influence a particular trait.

STRUCTURAL RNAs – a class of non-coding RNA, long known to have a structural role (for instance, the ribosomal RNAs), transcribed by RNA polymerase I or III.

SYSTEMS BIOLOGY – treatment of biological entities as systems composed of defined elements interacting in defined ways to enable the observed function and behaviour of that system. The properties of the systems are embedded in a quantitative model that guides further tests of systems behaviour.

TATA-BOXES – sequences in promoter regions constituted by TATAAA, or similar variants, which were considered the hallmark of Promoters. Recent data show that they are present only in the minority of promoters, where they direct transcription at a single well-defined location some 30 bp downstream of this element.

trans-acting – a factor or gene that acts on another unlinked gene, a gene on a separate chromosome or genetically unlinked usually through some diffusible protein product (for mRNA expression, typically a transcription factor).

TRANSCRIPT – an RNA product produced by the action of RNA polymerase reading the sequence of bases in the genomic DNA. Originally limited to protein-coding sequences with flanking UTRs but now known to include large numbers of products that do not code for a protein product.

TRANSCRIPTOME – the full set of mRNA molecules (transcripts) produced by the system under observation. Whilst the genome is fixed for a given organism, the

transcriptome varies with context (i.e. tissue source, ontogeny, external conditions or experimental treatment).

TRANSINDUCTION – generation of transcripts from intergenic regions. At least some such products do not relate to a definable promoter or transcriptional start site.

TRANSPOSON – sequences of DNA able to move to new positions within the genome of a single cell. This event might cause mutation at the site of insertion. Also called `mobile genetic elements' or `jumping genes'.

UTR – untranslated region. Regions of the mRNA that lie at either the 3' or 5' flanking ends of the molecule (i.e. 3' UTR and 5' UTR). They bracket the protein-coding region and contain signals and binding sites that are important for the regulation of both protein translation and RNA degradation.

References

- 1. Antonarakis SE, Beckmann JS. Mendelian disorders deserve more attention. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2006;7:277-82.
- 2. Hirschhorn JN. Genetic approaches to studying common diseases and complex traits. *Pediatr Res.* 2005;57:74R-77R.
- 3. Lander ES, Schork NJ. Genetic dissection of complex traits. *Science*. 1994;265:2037-48.
- 4. Botstein D, Risch N. Discovering genotypes underlying human phenotypes: past successes for mendelian disease, future approaches for complex disease. *Nat Genet*. 2003;33 Suppl:228-37.
- 5. Dean M. Approaches to identify genes for complex human diseases: lessons from Mendelian disorders. *Hum Mutat*. 2003;22:261-74.
- 6. Van Heyningen V, Yeyati PL. Mechanisms of non-Mendelian inheritance in genetic disease. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2004;13 Spec No 2:R225-33.
- 7. Badano JL, Katsanis N. Beyond Mendel: an evolving view of human genetic disease transmission. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2002;3:779-89.
- 8. Lynch M, Walsh B. *Genetics and Analysis of Quantitative Traits*: Sinauer Associates, Inc.; 1998.
- 9. Hartl DL, Clark AG. *Principles of Population Genetics*. Sunderland, MA: . Sinaur Associates, Inc.; 1997.
- 10. Liu B-H. *Statistical Genomics: Linkage, Mapping, and QTL Analysis*: CRC Press; 1998.
- 11. Camp NJ, Cox A, eds. *Quantitative trait loci: methods and protocols*. Totowa, New Jersey: Humana Press; 2002.
- 12. Paterson AH. Molecular Dissection of Complex Traits: CRC Press; 1998.
- 13. Darwin C. *On the origin of species by means of natural selection*. London: Murray; 1859.
- 14. Bateson W. *Mendel's Principles of Heredity*. London: Cambridge University Press; 1902.
- 15. Mendel G. Versuche über Pflanzenhybriden. *Verh. naturforsch. Ver. Brünn.* 1866;4:3–47.

- 16. Morgan TH. What are "factors" in Mendelian explanations? *American Breeders Association Reports*. 1909;5:365-369.
- 17. Morgan TH, Sturtevant AH, Muller HJ, Bridges CB. *The Mechanism of Mendelian Heredity*. New York: Henry Holt Company; 1915.
- 18. Sturtevant AH. The linear arrangement of six sex-linked factors in Drosophila, as shown by their mode of association. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 1913;14:43-59.
- 19. Johannsen W. *Elemente der exakten Erblichkeitslehre*. Jena: Fischer; 1909.
- 20. Fisher RA. The correlation between relatives on the supposition of Mendelian inheritance. *Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinb.* 1918;52:399-433.
- 21. Wright S. Evolution in Mendelian populations. *Genetics*. 1931;16:97-159.
- 22. Avery OT, MacLeod CM, McCarty M. Studies on the chemical nature of the substance inducing transformation of pneumococcal types. *J Exp Med.* 1944;79:137-158.
- 23. Watson JD, Crick FH. Genetical implications of the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid. *Nature*. 1953;171:964-7.
- 24. Crick FH, Barnett L, Brenner S, Watts-Tobin RJ. General nature of the genetic code for proteins. *Nature*. 1961;192:1227-32.
- 25. Jacob HJ, Brown DM, Bunker RK, Daly MJ, Dzau VJ, Goodman A, Koike G, Kren V, Kurtz T, Lernmark A, et al. A genetic linkage map of the laboratory rat, Rattus norvegicus. *Nat Genet*. 1995;9:63-9.
- 26. Lander ES, Weinberg RA. Genomics: journey to the center of biology. *Science*. 2000;287:1777-82.
- 27. Jacob HJ, Kwitek AE. Rat Genetics: Attaching Physiology and Pharmacology to the Genome. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2002;3:33-42.
- 28. Venter JC, et al. The sequence of the human genome. *Science*. 2001;291:1304-51.
- 29. Lander ES, et al. Initial sequencing and analysis of the human genome. *Nature*. 2001;409:860-921.
- 30. Gibbs RA, et al. Genome sequence of the Brown Norway rat yields insights into mammalian evolution. *Nature*. 2004;428:493-521.

- 32. Hubner N, Wallace CA, Zimdahl H, Petretto E, Schulz H, Maciver F, Mueller M, Hummel O, Monti J, Zidek V, Musilova A, Kren V, Causton H, Game L, Born G, Schmidt S, Muller A, Cook SA, Kurtz TW, Whittaker J, Pravenec M, Aitman TJ. Integrated transcriptional profiling and linkage analysis for identification of genes underlying disease. *Nat Genet*. 2005;37:243-53.
- 33. Wong CM, O'Connor DT, Martinez JA, Kailasam MT, Parmer RJ. Diminished renal kallikrein responses to mineralocorticoid stimulation in African Americans: determinants of an intermediate phenotype for hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 2003;16:281-9.
- 34. Barr MM. Super models. *Physiol Genomics*. 2003;13:15-24.
- 35. Stoll M, Cowley AW, Jr., Tonellato PJ, Greene AS, Kaldunski ML, Roman RJ, Dumas P, Schork NJ, Wang Z, Jacob HJ. A genomic-systems biology map for cardiovascular function. *Science*. 2001;294:1723-6.
- 36. Cox RD, Brown SD. Rodent models of genetic disease. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2003;13:278-83.
- 37. Lerman LO, Chade AR, Sica V, Napoli C. Animal models of hypertension: an overview. *J Lab Clin Med*. 2005;146:160-73.
- 38. Silver LM. *Mouse Genetics: Concepts and Applications*. Oxford.: Oxford University Press; 1995.
- 39. Nadeau JH, Singer JB, Matin A, Lander ES. Analysing complex genetic traits with chromosome substitution strains. *Nat Genet*. 2000;24:221-5.
- 40. Darvasi A, Soller M. Advanced intercross lines, an experimental population for fine genetic mapping. *Genetics*. 1995;141:1199-207.
- 41. Valdar W, Solberg LC, Gauguier D, Burnett S, Klenerman P, Cookson WO, Taylor MS, Rawlins JN, Mott R, Flint J. Genome-wide genetic association of complex traits in heterogeneous stock mice. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38:879-87.
- 42. Pravenec M, Kren V, Krenova D, Bila V, Zidek V, Simakova M, Musilova A, van Lith HA, van Zutphen LF. HXB/Ipcv and BXH/Cub recombinant inbred strains of the rat: strain distribution patterns of 632 alleles. *Folia Biol (Praha)*. 1999;45:203-15.
- 43. Farrall M. Quantitative genetic variation: a post-modern view. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2004;13 Spec No 1:R1-7.

- 44. Flint J, Valdar W, Shifman S, Mott R. Strategies for mapping and cloning quantitative trait genes in rodents. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2005;6:271-86.
- 45. Jansen RC, Nap JP. Genetical genomics: the added value from segregation. *Trends Genet*. 2001;17:388-91.
- 46. McBride MW, Charchar FJ, Graham D, Miller WH, Strahorn P, Carr FJ, Dominiczak AF. Functional genomics in rodent models of hypertension. *J Physiol*. 2004;554:56-63.
- 47. Jirout M, Krenova D, Kren V, Breen L, Pravenec M, Schork NJ, Printz MP. A new framework marker-based linkage map and SDPs for the rat HXB/BXH strain set. *Mamm Genome*. 2003;14:537-46.
- 48. Pravenec M, Kren V, Bila V, Kabra P, Krsiakova M, Simonet L, Kurtz TW. HXB and BXH sets of recombinant inbred strains: strain distribution patterns of some genetic markers. *Transplant Proc.* 1990;22:2557-8.
- 49. Pravenec M, Gauguier D, Schott JJ, Buard J, Kren V, Bila V, Szpirer C, Szpirer J, Wang JM, Huang H, St Lezin E, Spence MA, Flodman P, Printz M, Lathrop GM, Vergnaud G, Kurtz TW. A genetic linkage map of the rat derived from recombinant inbred strains. *Mamm Genome*. 1996;7:117-27.
- 50. Steen RG, Kwitek-Black AE, Glenn C, Gullings-Handley J, Van Etten W, Atkinson OS, Appel D, Twigger S, Muir M, Mull T, Granados M, Kissebah M, Russo K, Crane R, Popp M, Peden M, Matise T, Brown DM, Lu J, Kingsmore S, Tonellato PJ, Rozen S, Slonim D, Young P, Jacob HJ, et al. A high-density integrated genetic linkage and radiation hybrid map of the laboratory rat. *Genome Res.* 1999;9:AP1-8, insert.
- 51. The STAR consortium. SNP and haplotype mapping for genetic analysis in the rat. *(Submitted)*.
- 52. Pravenec M, Klir P, Kren V, Zicha J, Kunes J. An analysis of spontaneous hypertension in spontaneously hypertensive rats by means of new recombinant inbred strains. *J Hypertens*. 1989;7:217-21.
- 53. Manly KF, Cudmore RH, Jr., Meer JM. Map Manager QTX, cross-platform software for genetic mapping. *Mamm Genome*. 2001;12:930-2.
- 54. Wang S, Basten CJ, Zeng ZB. Windows QTL Cartographer 2.5. In: Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC; 2006.
- 55. Schork NJ. Genome partitioning and whole-genome analysis. *Adv Genet*. 2001;42:299-322.

- 56. Belknap JK, Mitchell SR, O'Toole LA, Helms ML, Crabbe JC. Type I and type II error rates for quantitative trait loci (QTL) mapping studies using recombinant inbred mouse strains. *Behav Genet*. 1996;26:149-60.
- 57. Lander E, Kruglyak L. Genetic dissection of complex traits: guidelines for interpreting and reporting linkage results. *Nat Genet*. 1995;11:241-7.
- 58. Lazar J, Moreno C, Jacob HJ, Kwitek AE. Impact of genomics on research in the rat. *Genome Res.* 2005;15:1717-28.
- 59. Pravenec M, Gauguier D, Schott JJ, Buard J, Kren V, Bila V, Szpirer C, Szpirer J, Wang JM, Huang H, et al. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for blood pressure and cardiac mass in the rat by genome scanning of recombinant inbred strains. *J Clin Invest*. 1995;96:1973-8.
- 60. Hamet P, Pausova Z, Dumas P, Sun YL, Tremblay J, Pravenec M, Kunes J, Krenova D, Kren V. Newborn and adult recombinant inbred strains: a tool to search for genetic determinants of target organ damage in hypertension. *Kidney Int*. 1998;53:1488-92.
- 61. Pravenec M, Zidek V, Musilova A, Vorlicek J, Kren V, St Lezin E, Kurtz TW. Genetic isolation of a blood pressure quantitative trait locus on chromosome 2 in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. *Journal of Hypertension*. 2001;19:1061-1064.
- 62. Jaworski RL, Jirout M, Closson S, Breen L, Flodman PL, Spence MA, Kren V, Krenova D, Pravenec M, Printz MP. Heart rate and blood pressure quantitative trait loci for the airpuff startle reaction. *Hypertension*. 2002;39:348-52.
- 63. Conti LH, Jirout M, Breen L, Vanella JJ, Schork NJ, Printz MP. Identification of quantitative trait Loci for anxiety and locomotion phenotypes in rat recombinant inbred strains. *Behav Genet*. 2004;34:93-103.
- 64. Printz MP, Jirout M, Jaworski R, Alemayehu A, Kren V. Genetic Models in Applied Physiology. HXB/BXH rat recombinant inbred strain platform: a newly enhanced tool for cardiovascular, behavioral, and developmental genetics and genomics. *J Appl Physiol*. 2003;94:2510-22.
- 65. Pravenec M, Zidek V, Musilova A, Simakova M, Kostka V, Mlejnek P, Kren V, Krenova D, Bila V, Mikova B, Jachymova M, Horky K, Kazdova L, St Lezin E, Kurtz TW. Genetic analysis of metabolic defects in the spontaneously hypertensive rat. *Mamm Genome*. 2002;13:253-8.
- 66. Bielavska E, Kren V, Musilova A, Zidek V, Pravenec M. Genome scanning of the HXB/BXH sets of recombinant inbred strains of the rat for quantitative

trait loci associated with conditioned taste aversion. *Behav Genet*. 2002;32:51-6.

- 67. Bila V, Kren V, Liska F. The influence of the genetic background on the interaction of retinoic acid with Lx mutation of the rat. *Folia Biol (Praha)*. 2000;46:264-72.
- 68. Buresova M, Zidek V, Musilova A, Simakova M, Fucikova A, Bila V, Kren V, Kazdova L, Di Nicolantonio R, Pravenec M. Genetic relationship between placental and fetal weights and markers of the metabolic syndrome in rat recombinant inbred strains. *Physiol Genomics*. 2006;26:226-31.
- 69. Williams RW, Gu J, Qi S, Lu L. The genetic structure of recombinant inbred mice: high-resolution consensus maps for complex trait analysis. *Genome Biol*. 2001;2:RESEARCH0046.
- 70. Gavras I, Manolis A, Gavras H. Genetic epidemiology of essential hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens*. 1999;13:225-9.
- 71. Despres JP, Lemieux I. Abdominal obesity and metabolic syndrome. *Nature*. 2006;444:881-7.
- 72. Murray C, Lopez A. *The Global Burden of Disease*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 1996.
- 73. Reddy KS, Naik N, Prabhakaran D. Hypertension in the developing world: a consequence of progress. *Curr Cardiol Rep.* 2006;8:399-404.
- 74. Ward R. Familial aggregation and genetic epidemiology of blood pressure. In: Laragh JH, Brenner, B.M., ed. *Hypertension: Pathophysiology, Diagnosis and Management.* 2 ed. New York: Raven Press; 1995:67-88.
- 75. Hollenberg NK. Genes, hypertension, and intermediate phenotypes. *Curr Opin Cardiol*. 1996;11:457-63.
- 76. Cowley AW, Jr. The genetic dissection of essential hypertension. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2006;7:829-40.
- 77. Stoll M, Kwitek-Black AE, Cowley AW, Jr., Harris EL, Harrap SB, Krieger JE, Printz MP, Provoost AP, Sassard J, Jacob HJ. New target regions for human hypertension via comparative genomics. *Genome Res.* 2000;10:473-82.
- 78. Guyenet PG. The sympathetic control of blood pressure. *Nat Rev Neurosci*. 2006;7:335-46.

- 79. Takiyyuddin MA, Cervenka JH, Sullivan PA, Pandian MR, Parmer RJ, Barbosa JA, O'Connor DT. Is physiologic sympathoadrenal catecholamine release exocytotic in humans? *Circulation*. 1990;81:185-95.
- 80. Hagberg JM, Hickson RC, McLane JA, Ehsani AA, Winder WW. Disappearance of norepinephrine from the circulation following strenuous exercise. *J Appl Physiol*. 1979;47:1311-4.
- 81. DeQuattro V, Feng M. The sympathetic nervous system: the muse of primary hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens*. 2002;16 Suppl 1:S64-9.
- 82. Esler M. The sympathetic system and hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 2000;13:99S-105S.
- 83. Greifenkamp JD, DiPette DJ. Adrenal medulla. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 1999;1:241-5.
- 84. Anderson EA, Sinkey CA, Lawton WJ, Mark AL. Elevated sympathetic nerve activity in borderline hypertensive humans. Evidence from direct intraneural recordings. *Hypertension*. 1989;14:177-83.
- 85. Mancia G, Grassi G, Giannattasio C, Seravalle G. Sympathetic Activation in the Pathogenesis of Hypertension and Progression of Organ Damage. *Hypertension*. 1999;34:724-728.
- 86. Cabassi A, Vinci S, Calzolari M, Bruschi G, Borghetti A. Regional sympathetic activity in pre-hypertensive phase of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Life Sci.* 1998;62:1111-8.
- 87. Wenzel RR, Bruck H, Noll G, Schafers RF, Daul AE, Philipp T. Antihypertensive drugs and the sympathetic nervous system. *J Cardiovasc Pharmacol*. 2000;35:S43-52.
- Rabbia F, Martini G, Cat Genova G, Milan A, Chiandussi L, Veglio F.
 Antihypertensive drugs and sympathetic nervous system. *Clin Exp Hypertens*. 2001;23:101-11.
- 89. Nagatsu T, Kato T, Numata Y, Keiko I, Umezawa H. Serum dopamine betahydroxylase activity in developing hypertensive rats. *Nature*. 1974;251:630-1.
- 90. Grobecker G, Roizen MF, Weise V, Saavedra JM, Kopin IJ. Sympathoadrenal medullary activity in young, spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Nature*. 1975;258:267-8.
- 91. Nagaoka A, Lovenberg W. Plasma norepinephrine and dopamine-betahydroxylase in genetic hypertensive rats. *Life Sci.* 1976;19:29-34.

- 92. Borkowski KR, Quinn P. Adrenaline and the development of spontaneous hypertension in rats. *J Auton Pharmacol*. 1985;5:89-100.
- 93. Borkowski KR. Effect of adrenal demedullation and adrenaline on hypertension development and vascular reactivity in young spontaneously hypertensive rats. *J Auton Pharmacol*. 1991;11:1-14.
- 94. Lee RM, Borkowski KR, Leenen FH, Tsoporis J, Coughlin M. Combined effect of neonatal sympathectomy and adrenal demedullation on blood pressure and vascular changes in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Circ Res.* 1991;69:714-21.
- 95. Grobecker H, Saavedra JM, Weise VK. Biosynthetic enzyme activities and catecholamines in adrenal glands of genetic and experimental hypertensive rats. *Circ Res.* 1982;50:742-6.
- 96. Moura E, Pinho Costa PM, Moura D, Guimaraes S, Vieira-Coelho MA. Decreased tyrosine hydroxylase activity in the adrenals of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Life Sci.* 2005;76:2953-64.
- 97. Nakamura K. Enhanced sympathetic activity in young spontaneously hypertensive rats is not the trigger mechanism for genetic hypertension. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol.* 1977;299:143-8.
- 98. Teitelman G, Ross RA, Joh TH, Reis DJ. Differences in utero in activities of catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes in adrenals of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 1981;61 Suppl 7:227s-230s.
- 99. Kumai T, Tanaka M, Watanabe M, Kobayashi S. Elevated tyrosine hydroxylase mRNA levels in the adrenal medulla of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Jpn J Pharmacol*. 1994;65:367-9.
- 100. Kumai T, Tanaka M, Tateishi T, Watanabe M, Nakura H, Asoh M, Kobayashi S. Effects of anti-androgen treatment on the catecholamine synthetic pathway in the adrenal medulla of spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol.* 1998;357:620-4.
- 101. Yamabe H, De Jong W, Lovenberg W. Further studies on catecholamine synthesis in the spontaneously hypertensive rat: catecholamine synthesis in the central nervous system. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 1973;22:91-8.
- 102. Ciaranello RD, Hoffman HJ, Shire JG, Axelrod J. Genetic regulation of the catecholamine biosynthetic enzymes. II. Inheritance of tyrosine hydroxylase, dopamine-beta-hydroxylase, and phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase. *J Biol Chem.* 1974;249:4528-36.
- 103. Petretto E, Mangion J, Dickens NJ, Cook SA, Kumaran MK, Lu H, Fischer J, Maatz H, Kren V, Pravenec M, Hubner N, Aitman TJ. Heritability and tissue specificity of expression quantitative trait loci. *PLoS Genet*. 2006;2:e172.
- 104. Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP. Exploration, normalization, and summaries of high density oligonucleotide array probe level data. *Biostatistics*. 2003;4:249-64.
- 105. O'Connor DT, Frigon RP, Stone RA. Human pheochromocytoma dopaminebeta-hydroxylase: purification and molecular parameters of the tetramer. *Mol Pharmacol.* 1979;16:529-38.
- 106. Kennedy B, Bigby TD, Ziegler MG. Nonadrenal epinephrine-forming enzymes in humans. Characteristics, distribution, regulation, and relationship to epinephrine levels. *J Clin Invest*. 1995;95:2896-902.
- 107. Ziegler MG, Kennedy B, Elayan H. A sensitive radioenzymatic assay for epinephrine forming enzymes. *Life Sci.* 1988;43:2117-22.
- 108. Kennedy B, Ziegler MG. A more sensitive and specific radioenzymatic assay for catecholamines. *Life Sci.* 1990;47:2143-53.
- 109. Doniger SW, Salomonis N, Dahlquist KD, Vranizan K, Lawlor SC, Conklin BR. MAPPFinder: using Gene Ontology and GenMAPP to create a global gene-expression profile from microarray data. *Genome Biol.* 2003;4:R7.
- 110. Grubbs FE. Procedures for detecting outlying observations in samples. *Technometrics*. 1969;11:1-21.
- 111. Belknap JK. Effect of within-strain sample size on QTL detection and mapping using recombinant inbred mouse strains. *Behav Genet*. 1998;28:29-38.
- 112. Basten CJ, Weir BS, Zeng ZB. *QTL Cartographer: A Reference Manual and Tutorial for QTL Mapping*.: Department of Statistics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC; 2002.
- 113. Manly KF, Wang J, Williams RW. QTL Reaper. In. Memphis, Tennessee: University of Tennessee Health Science Center; 2004.
- 114. Doerge RW, Churchill GA. Permutation tests for multiple loci affecting a quantitative character. *Genetics*. 1996;142:285-94.
- 115. Van Ooijen JW. Accuracy of mapping quantitative trait loci in autogamous species. *Theor Appl Genet.* 1992;84:803-11.

- 116. Visscher PM, Thompson R, Haley CS. Confidence intervals in QTL mapping by bootstrapping. *Genetics*. 1996;143:1013-20.
- 117. Rozen S, Skaletsky H. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. *Methods Mol Biol*. 2000;132:365-86.
- 118. Colella S, Shen L, Baggerly KA, Issa JP, Krahe R. Sensitive and quantitative universal Pyrosequencing methylation analysis of CpG sites. *Biotechniques*. 2003;35:146-50.
- Esch E, Weber E. Investigation of crossover interference in barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) using the coefficient of coincidence. *Theor Appl Genet*. 2002;104:786-796.
- 120. Betts MJ, Russell RB. *Amino acid properties and consequences of subsitutions*: Wiley; 2003.
- 121. Ungermann C, Langosch D. Functions of SNAREs in intracellular membrane fusion and lipid bilayer mixing. *J Cell Sci*. 2005;118:3819-28.
- 122. Kuchel O, Racz K, Debinski W, Buu NT. A defective beta-hydroxylation of dopamine may precede the full development of hypertension in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Can J Cardiol*. 1989;5:327-31.
- Saavedra JM, Grobecker H, Axelrod J. Changes in central catecholaminergic neurons in the spontaneously (genetic) hypertensive rat. *Circ Res.* 1978;42:529-34.
- 124. Howes LG, Rowe PR, Summers RJ, Louis WJ. Age related changes of catecholamines and their metabolites in central nervous system regions of spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) and normotensive Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) rats. *Clin Exp Hypertens A*. 1984;6:2263-77.
- 125. Cornish JL, Wilks DP, Van den Buuse M. A functional interaction between the mesolimbic dopamine system and vasopressin release in the regulation of blood pressure in conscious rats. *Neuroscience*. 1997;81:69-78.
- 126. van den Buuse M. Pressor responses to brain dopaminergic stimulation. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*. 1997;24:764-9.
- Takami T, Ito H, Suzuki T. Decreased norepinephrine content in the medulla oblongata in severely hypertensive rats. *Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol*. 1993;20:161-7.
- 128. Oparil S, Yang RH, Jin HK, Wyss JM, Chen YF. Central mechanisms of hypertension. *Am J Hypertens*. 1989;2:477-85.

- 129. Shigetomi S, Buu NT, Kuchel O. Dopaminergic abnormalities in borderline essential hypertensive patients. *Hypertension*. 1991;17:997-1002.
- 130. DeQuattro V, Campese V, Lurvey A, Yen G, Kypridakis G. Low response of serum dopamine beta-hydroxylase to stimuli in primary hypertension. Decreased DbetaH response in hypertension. *Biochem Med.* 1976;15:1-9.
- 131. Zabetian CP, Romero R, Robertson D, Sharma S, Padbury JF, Kuivaniemi H, Kim KS, Kim CH, Kohnke MD, Kranzler HR, Gelernter J, Cubells JF. A revised allele frequency estimate and haplotype analysis of the DBH deficiency mutation IVS1+2T --> C in African- and European-Americans. Am J Med Genet A. 2003;123:190-2.
- 132. Her S, Bell RA, Bloom AK, Siddall BJ, Wong DL. Phenylethanolamine Nmethyltransferase gene expression. Sp1 and MAZ potential for tissue-specific expression. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274:8698-707.
- 133. Cui J, Zhou X, Chazaro I, DeStefano AL, Manolis AJ, Baldwin CT, Gavras H. Association of polymorphisms in the promoter region of the PNMT gene with essential hypertension in African Americans but not in whites. *Am J Hypertens*. 2003;16:859-63.
- 134. Rana BK, Insel PA, Payne SH, Abel K, Beutler E, Ziegler MG, Schork NJ, O'Connor DT. Population-based sample reveals gene-gender interactions in blood pressure in White Americans. *Hypertension*. 2007;49:96-106.
- 135. Koike G, Jacob HJ, Krieger JE, Szpirer C, Hoehe MR, Horiuchi M, Dzau VJ. Investigation of the phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase gene as a candidate gene for hypertension. *Hypertension*. 1995;26:595-601.
- 136. Wong DL. Epinephrine biosynthesis: hormonal and neural control during stress. *Cell Mol Neurobiol*. 2006;26:891-900.
- 137. Wurtman RJ. Stress and the adrenocortical control of epinephrine synthesis. *Metabolism.* 2002;51:11-4.
- 138. Bao X, Lu CM, Liu F, Gu Y, Dalton ND, Zhu BQ, Foster E, Chen J, Karliner JS, Ross J, Jr., Simpson PC, Ziegler MG. Epinephrine is required for normal cardiovascular responses to stress in the phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase knockout mouse. *Circulation*. 2007;116:1024-31.
- 139. Taupenot L, Harper KL, O'Connor DT. The chromogranin-secretogranin family. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348:1134-49.
- 140. Henry JP, Botton D, Sagne C, Isambert MF, Desnos C, Blanchard V, Raisman-Vozari R, Krejci E, Massoulie J, Gasnier B. Biochemistry and molecular

biology of the vesicular monoamine transporter from chromaffin granules. *J Exp Biol*. 1994;196:251-62.

- 141. Mahapatra NR, O'Connor DT, Vaingankar SM, Hikim AP, Mahata M, Ray S, Staite E, Wu H, Gu Y, Dalton N, Kennedy BP, Ziegler MG, Ross J, Mahata SK. Hypertension from targeted ablation of chromogranin A can be rescued by the human ortholog. *J Clin Invest*. 2005;115:1942-52.
- 142. Richards M, Iijima Y, Kondo H, Shizuno T, Hori H, Arima K, Saitoh O, Kunugi H. Association study of the vesicular monoamine transporter 1 (VMAT1) gene with schizophrenia in a Japanese population. *Behav Brain Funct*. 2006;2:39.
- 143. Lohoff FW, Dahl JP, Ferraro TN, Arnold SE, Gallinat J, Sander T, Berrettini WH. Variations in the vesicular monoamine transporter 1 gene (VMAT1/SLC18A1) are associated with bipolar i disorder. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2006;31:2739-47.
- 144. Doss S, Schadt EE, Drake TA, Lusis AJ. Cis-acting expression quantitative trait loci in mice. *Genome Res.* 2005;15:681-91.
- 145. McClintick JN, Edenberg HJ. Effects of filtering by Present call on analysis of microarray experiments. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2006;7:49.
- 146. Birney E, Andrews D, Caccamo M, Chen Y, Clarke L, Coates G, Cox T, Cunningham F, Curwen V, Cutts T, Down T, Durbin R, Fernandez-Suarez XM, Flicek P, Graf S, Hammond M, Herrero J, Howe K, Iyer V, Jekosch K, Kahari A, Kasprzyk A, Keefe D, Kokocinski F, Kulesha E, London D, Longden I, Melsopp C, Meidl P, Overduin B, Parker A, Proctor G, Prlic A, Rae M, Rios D, Redmond S, Schuster M, Sealy I, Searle S, Severin J, Slater G, Smedley D, Smith J, Stabenau A, Stalker J, Trevanion S, Ureta-Vidal A, Vogel J, White S, Woodwark C, Hubbard TJ. Ensembl 2006. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006;34:D556-61.
- 147. Anderson M. DISTLM v.5. In: University of Auckland; 2004:Distance-based multivariate analysis for a linear model.
- McArdle B, Anderson M. Fitting Multivariate Models to Community Data: A Comment on Distance-Based Redundancy Analysis. *Ecology*. 2001;82:290– 297.
- 149. Zapala MA, Schork NJ. Multivariate regression analysis of distance matrices for testing associations between gene expression patterns and related variables. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006;103:19430-5.

- 150. Zapala MA, Schork NJ. Statistical properties of multivariate distance matrix regression for high-dimensional data analysis. *Communications in Statistic: Simulation and Computation*. 2007;(submitted).
- 151. Gower JC. Some distance properties of latent root and vector methods used in multivariate analysis. *Biometrika*. 1966;53:325-338.
- 152. Johnson R, Wichern D. *Applied multivariate statistical analysis*. 3 ed. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall; 1992.
- 153. Gunther E, Walter L. The major histocompatibility complex of the rat (Rattus norvegicus). *Immunogenetics*. 2001;53:520-42.
- 154. Yuryev A, Patturajan M, Litingtung Y, Joshi RV, Gentile C, Gebara M, Corden JL. The C-terminal domain of the largest subunit of RNA polymerase II interacts with a novel set of serine/arginine-rich proteins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA. 1996;93:6975-80.
- 155. Meinhart A, Cramer P. Recognition of RNA polymerase II carboxy-terminal domain by 3'-RNA-processing factors. *Nature*. 2004;430:223-6.
- 156. Berezney R, Mortillaro MJ, Ma H, Wei X, Samarabandu J. The nuclear matrix: a structural milieu for genomic function. *Int Rev Cytol*. 1995;162A:1-65.
- 157. Bottner CA, Schmidt H, Vogel S, Michele M, Kaufer NF. Multiple genetic and biochemical interactions of Brr2, Prp8, Prp31, Prp1 and Prp4 kinase suggest a function in the control of the activation of spliceosomes in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *Curr Genet*. 2005;48:151-61.
- 158. Dellaire G, Makarov EM, Cowger JJ, Longman D, Sutherland HG, Luhrmann R, Torchia J, Bickmore WA. Mammalian PRP4 kinase copurifies and interacts with components of both the U5 snRNP and the N-CoR deacetylase complexes. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2002;22:5141-56.
- 159. Schwelnus W, Richert K, Opitz F, Gross T, Habara Y, Tani T, Kaufer NF. Fission yeast Prp4p kinase regulates pre-mRNA splicing by phosphorylating a non-SR-splicing factor. *EMBO Rep.* 2001;2:35-41.
- 160. Alahari SK, Schmidt H, Kaufer NF. The fission yeast prp4+ gene involved in pre-mRNA splicing codes for a predicted serine/threonine kinase and is essential for growth. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1993;21:4079-83.
- 161. Stojdl DF, Bell JC. SR protein kinases: the splice of life. *Biochem Cell Biol*. 1999;77:293-8.

- 162. Tennyson CN, Klamut HJ, Worton RG. The human dystrophin gene requires 16 hours to be transcribed and is cotranscriptionally spliced. *Nat Genet*. 1995;9:184-90.
- 163. Fry CJ, Peterson CL. Chromatin remodeling enzymes: who's on first? *Curr Biol.* 2001;11:R185-97.
- 164. Ioannidu S, Walter L, Dressel R, Gunther E. Physical map and expression profile of genes of the telomeric class I gene region of the rat MHC. *J Immunol.* 2001;166:3957-65.
- 165. Dressel R, Walter L, Gunther E. Genomic and funtional aspects of the rat MHC, the RT1 complex. *Immunol Rev.* 2001;184:82-95.
- 166. Voigt B, Kuramoto T, Mashimo T, Tsurumi T, Sasaki Y, Hokao R, Serikawa T. Evaluation of LEXF/FXLE rat recombinant inbred strains for the genetic dissection of complex traits. *Physiol Genomics*. 2007.
- 167. Todd JA, Walker NM, Cooper JD, Smyth DJ, Downes K, Plagnol V, Bailey R, Nejentsev S, Field SF, Payne F, Lowe CE, Szeszko JS, Hafler JP, Zeitels L, Yang JH, Vella A, Nutland S, Stevens HE, Schuilenburg H, Coleman G, Maisuria M, Meadows W, Smink LJ, Healy B, Burren OS, Lam AA, Ovington NR, Allen J, Adlem E, Leung HT, Wallace C, Howson JM, Guja C, Ionescu-Tirgoviste C, Simmonds MJ, Heward JM, Gough SC, Dunger DB, Wicker LS, Clayton DG. Robust associations of four new chromosome regions from genome-wide analyses of type 1 diabetes. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39:857-64.
- 168. Topol EJ, Frazer KA. The resequencing imperative. *Nat Genet*. 2007;39:439-40.
- 169. Churchill GA, et al. The Collaborative Cross, a community resource for the genetic analysis of complex traits. *Nat Genet*. 2004;36:1133-7.
- 170. Valdar W, Flint J, Mott R. Simulating the collaborative cross: power of quantitative trait loci detection and mapping resolution in large sets of recombinant inbred strains of mice. *Genetics*. 2006;172:1783-97.
- Ring HZ, Kwok PY, Cotton RG. Human Variome Project: an international collaboration to catalogue human genetic variation. *Pharmacogenomics*. 2006;7:969-72.
- 172. Glossary of terms. J Exp Biol. 2007;210:1492-6.