UC Agriculture & Natural Resources

Farm

Title

Cowpea Production: Sample Costs and Benefits as a Summer Cover Crop

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5kp103gr

Authors

Takele, Etaferahu Aguiar, Jose

Publication Date

2001-09-01

DOI

10.3733/ucanr.8030

Peer reviewed



UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Agriculture and Natural Resources

http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu

Cowpea Production: Sample Costs and Benefits as a Summer Cover Crop

Based on Data from Coachella Valley, California

ETAFERAHU TAKELE, Area Farm Advisor, Agricultural Economics and Farm Management, UC Cooperative Extension in Southern California; and **JOSE AGUIAR**, UCCE Farm Advisor for Vegetable Crops and Small Farms, Riverside County.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to those growers and other cooperators who provided data and review in the development of this study.

Growers have been experimenting with the summertime use of cover crops in the Coachella Valley as a source of fertilizer, additional soil organic matter, and weed control, and as a means for reducing the use of chemicals in crop production. Little information is available, however, on the cost and benefit of such a practice.

Since 1996 a team of University of California researchers—Walt Graves, Jose Aguiar, Jeff Ehlers, Milt McGiffen, and Phil Roberts—have conducted trials relating to cover crops adaptable to the Coachella Valley. Details on their research are available from Aguiar's report, "Coachella Valley Cover Crop Research," in the January 1998 edition of *Desert Ag. Notes*. Those researchers determined that cowpeas are the best-suited cover crop for the Coachella Valley's summer climate.

The current publication presents cost of production and benefit analyses for cowpeas based on the results of the 1998 trials as well as data gathered from growers in the Coachella Valley. In 1999, growers planted cowpea cover cropping on more than 2,000 acres of agricultural ground in the Coachella Valley.

Cultural practices and methods of calculations are discussed in the text. The costs themselves are presented in detail in five tables:

- Table 1. Costs per acre to produce cowpeas
- Table 2. Costs and returns per acre to produce cowpeas
- Table 3. Monthly cash costs per acre to produce cowpeas
- Table 4. Farm equipment and investment values and annual costs
- Table 5. Farm equipment actual hours of use and hourly costs

STUDY ASSUMPTIONS

This report is based on a 500-acre farm, 60 acres of which are cover cropped during the summer and then planted in fall for spring vegetable crops production.



CULTURAL PRACTICES AND PRODUCTION INPUTS

Land preparation. Depending on soil conditions, land preparation may include multiple discing (2 or 3 times), plowing, ripping, land leveling, and listing. These operations usually begin about a month before planting cowpeas.

Stand establishment and growing. Cowpeas may be sown from May 1 to July 30 in the Coachella Valley. Growers are experimenting with spacing and seeding rates. In this study, we planted seed on double lines to a bed at an average rate of about 40 pounds per acre. The cost of seed, including freight and inoculation, is estimated at \$0.76/lb. The growing period for cowpeas is about 60 to 90 days from planting.

Weed management. Growers can use mechanical or hand weeding to control weeds in cowpeas. The choice of weeding method depends on the tillage equipment and the number of seed lines on the bed. We planted double seed lines on the bed for this study, and in this situation it was more efficient to hand weed. We estimated that hand weeding would take about 5 hours' labor per acre.

Fertilization management. Cowpeas do not require fertilization if the seed is inoculated with nitrogen-fixing bacteria. To increase the amount of N-fixation by the plant, however, we applied a preplant phosphorus fertilizer to increase vigor and plant growth for the young plants.

Irrigation management. Sprinkler, drip, or furrow systems may be used to irrigate cowpeas. In this study we based our costs on sprinkler irrigation. The field can be irrigated one portion at a time, with pumps and pipes moved manually from one irrigation area to the next. We assumed that we would have sufficient pipes and fittings to irrigate 60 acres at a time. Moving the irrigation system and spreading pipes would take about 90 minutes of manual labor per acre. Pumps and pipes are transported using a trailer and a low horsepower (HP) tractor. Also, general irrigation labor to inspect and maintain the system is estimated at 30 minutes per acre per irrigation.

Fuel use estimates for the irrigation pump are based on a 275 horsepower (HP) pump and fuel consumption of 15 gallons of diesel per hour. Each irrigation is assumed to take from 12 to 24 hours' watering time depending on soil properties. In stand establishment, irrigation may take 24 hours. In this study we assumed an average watering time of about 16 hours per irrigation.

Cowpeas require about 4 acre-feet of water per acre during the growing period in the Coachella Valley. We estimated the cost of water including delivery and gate charges at \$25.00 per acre-foot. A weekly irrigation is considered best during the growing period. Growers may use more water since this is normally the salt-leaching period and growers are achieving two goals with the water application: growing a cover crop and leaching salts.

HARVEST AND POSTHARVEST HANDLING

At the end of the growing period, the crop is disced and left in the ground. This practice is intended to help the ground extract more N from the cowpea plant. Overall, the cowpea crop will supply about 90 to 100 lb. of N that will be available in the ground for the next crop.

LABOR

We calculated labor time for machinery operation at 20 percent higher than the actual operation time. The additional 20 percent accounts for equipment setup, moving, maintenance, and repair.

Hourly labor wages including fringe benefits of Workers Compensation, Social Security, Medicare insurance, and other possible benefits are estimated at \$7.00 per hour for machine operators and \$5.75 per hour for non-machine workers.

We calculated interest on operating capital at a nominal rate of 10 percent per year. Interest on operating capital reflects either the costs of borrowing or an opportunity cost for using in-house money. Interest on operating capital is charged until income is received from the crop. A nominal interest rate is the going market cost of borrowed funds during the production year.

CASH OVERHEAD COSTS

Property taxes. Counties charge a base property tax rate of 1 percent on the assessed value of properties such as equipment, buildings, and improvements. In some counties, special assessment districts charge additional taxes on properties. For the purposes of our study, we calculated county taxes at 1 percent of the value of properties.

Insurance. Growers carry property protection and accident liability insurance. Property protection insurance is typically calculated at 0.713 percent of the average value of assets. Accident liability insurance for this size of farm is estimated at \$685 per year.

NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS

We calculated non-cash overhead or ownership costs of assets (equipment, irrigation system, buildings, fuel tank, pumps, and tools) using the capital recovery method. This method captures an annual amount of money to charge the enterprise so that the value of assets will be recovered within a specified period of time at a designated rate of interest. The rate of interest used to calculate ownership cost is 7.40 percent, the long-run average rate of return to California's agricultural production assets from current income.

Since farms use a mix of old and new equipment, we estimated the value of the equipment at 60 percent of new prices.

EQUIPMENT OPERATING CASH COSTS

Equipment operating cash costs for fuel, lubrication, and repairs are calculated using formulas and coefficients developed by the American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). Repair costs are based on purchase price, annual hours of use, total hours of life, and repair coefficients formulated by the ASAE. Fuel and lubrication costs are also determined by ASAE equations based on machinery horsepower (maximum PTO HP) and type of fuel used. Fuel costs are calculated using average (1995 to 1999 period) on-farm delivery prices of \$0.92 per gallon for diesel and \$1.35 per gallon for gasoline. These prices are averages for the Pacific region provided by the U. S. Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service.

COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS

We estimate the total cost of cowpea production at about \$527 per acre. Some of the land preparation operations, including plowing, three discings (two during land preparation and one at the end of the growing period), ripping, and land plane, however, were done for cowpea production but also prepare the land for crops that follow cowpeas. Also, the sprinkler setup for cowpea production can be used in the next crop. Sharing costs for these operations with other crops would reduce the cost of cowpea production by about \$75 per acre.

Cowpeas in the Coachella Valley are produced only as cover crops, so there are no returns from sale of crop. There are several expected benefits, however, including reduced fertilizer and chemical use in the production of other crops that follow cowpeas.

A cowpea cover crop generally provides 90 to 100 lb. of N to the ground, which in turn provides cost savings of at least \$14 per acre in the next crop. This savings, together with the transfer of some of the land preparation and sprinkler setup costs to the next crop, reduces the net estimated cost of cowpea production to about \$438 per acre.

In addition, the benefits provided through the reduction or elimination of nematode and weed control in fall crops can further decrease the costs of cowpea production. Research at the Coachella Valley Agricultural Research Station in Thermal showed promising results for nematode suppression and significant weed control in bell peppers produced after summertime cowpea cover cropping.

Growers may also be eligible to receive cost share payments for producing cowpea cover crops as part of the soil conservation Environmental Quality Incentive Program (EQUIP). For more information and eligibility, please contact your local USDA office or visit the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service website (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov).

USES OF THIS STUDY

This study concerns the costs of production for cowpeas in the Coachella Valley of Riverside County, but the methodology we used to analyze costs and benefits can be modified easily to address other growing situations. We suggest that growers consider the amount of money they might save by reducing fertilizer because of the N fixing capability of cowpeas, as well as weed and nematode control and participation in EQUIP in order to determine the true likely benefits and costs of cowpea cover cropping. Tables 1 and 2 include a "Your cost" column where growers can enter their own costs for comparison.

Table 1. Costs per acre to produce cowpeas, Coachella Valley, 1999 (labor rates: \$7.00/hr for machine labor, \$5.75/hr for non-machine labor; interest rate: 10.00%)

| | Operation | | | Costs per acre (\$) | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|
| | time | Labor | Fuel, lube, | Material | Custom/ Total | Total | Your cost (\$) |
| Operation | (hrs/ac) | cost | & repairs | cost | rent | cost | |
| Preplant: | | | | | | | |
| Plow | 0.57 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | |
| Disc 2x | 0.58 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 10 | |
| Rip | 0.50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 35 | |
| Landplane | 0.29 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| Preplant fertilizer | 0.23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 14 | |
| List | 0.34 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| TOTAL PREPLANT COSTS | 1.78 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 49 | 79 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Plant: | | | | | | | |
| Plant | 0.34 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 36 | |
| TOTAL PLANT COSTS | 0.34 | 3 | 3 | 30 | 0 | 36 | |
| Constant | | | | | | | |
| Growing: Sprinkler setup (machine & lab | or) 0.2 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | |
| Sprinkler setup (machine & lab Irrigate 4x (June) | 0.2 | | 0 | 33 | | 44 | |
| irrigate 4x (Julie) Fuel for irrigation pumps (grow | | 11 0 | 0 | 33 44 | 0 0 | 44 44 | |
| Irrigate 4x (July) | 7111g) 0 2 | 11 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 44 | |
| Pull weeds | 5 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | |
| Irrigate 4x (August) | 2 | 11 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 44 | |
| Pickup truck | 1.56 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | |
| TOTAL GROWING COSTS | 12.76 | 87 | 8 | 143 | 0 | 238 | |
| TOTAL CHOTTING COSTS | 12.70 | 0. | · · | 5 | ŭ | 250 | |
| Harvest: | | | | | | | |
| Disc | 0.29 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| TOTAL HARVEST COSTS | 0.29 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Interest on operating capital @ 10.00% | | | | | | 8 | |
| @ 10.00% | | | | | | 0 | |
| TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/A | CRE | 107 | 29 | 174 | 49 | 366 | |
| Cash Overhead: | | | | | | | |
| Liability insurance | | | | | | 1 | |
| Property taxes | | | | | | 5 | |
| Property insurance | | | | | | 4 | |
| Investment repairs | | | | | | 39 | |
| TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD C | OSTS | | | | | 49 | |
| | | | | | | 73 | |
| TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE | | | | | | 415 | |
| | | | | | | | |

 Table 1. Continued

| | | Annual | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| | Costs per producing acre (\$) | cost: capital recovery (\$) | Total cost (\$) | Your cost (\$) |
| Non-cash Overhead: | | | | |
| Investment | | | | |
| Shop building | 83 | 9 | 9 | |
| Fuel tanks & pumps | 83 | 9 | 9 | |
| Irrigation pipe, sprinklers, etc. | 388 | 42 | 42 | |
| Irrigation pump | 150 | 16 | 16 | |
| Equipment | 200 | 28 | 28 | |
| Shop tools | 67 | 7 | 7 | |
| TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEAD COSTS | 971 | 111 | 111 | |
| TOTAL COSTS/ACRE | | | 527 | |

Table 2. Costs and returns per acre to produce cowpeas, Coachella Valley, 1999 (labor rates: \$7.00/hr for machine labor, \$5.75/hr for non-machine labor; interest rate: 10.00%)

| | Quantity per acre | Unit | Price or cost per unit (\$) | Value or cost per acre (\$) | Your cost (\$) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Gross Returns | 0 | carton | | 0 | |
| TOTAL GROSS RETURNS | | | | | |
| FOR COWPEA | | | | 0 | |
| Operating Costs: | | | | | |
| Custom: | | | | | |
| Rip | 1 | acre | 35.00 | 35 | |
| 11-52-0 (preplant) | 100 | pound | 0.14 | 14 | |
| Seed: | | · | | | |
| Seeds & freight | 40 | pound | 0.76 | 30 | |
| Water: | | · | | | |
| Water | 3.96 | acre-foot | 25.00 | 99 | |
| Fuel (pump); | | | | | |
| Booster pump fuel | 48 | gallon | 0.92 | 44 | |
| Labor (machine) | 5.01 | hour | 7.00 | 35 | |
| Labor (non-machine) | 12.5 | hour | 5.75 | 72 | |
| Fuel (machinery) | 12.3 | nou! | 3.73 | ,_ | |
| Gasoline | 3.12 | gallon | 1.35 | 4 | |
| Diesel | 12.21 | gallon | 0.92 | 11 | |
| Lube | 12.21 | ganon | 0.52 | 2 | |
| Machinery repair | | | | 11 | |
| Interest on operating | | | | | |
| capital @ 10.00% | | | | 8 | |
| TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACI | DE | | | 366 | |
| IOIAL OF ERAIING COSTS/ACI | NE. | | | 300 | |
| NET RETURNS ABOVE OPERAT | TING COSTS | | | -366 | |
| Cash Overhead Costs: | | | | | |
| Liability insurance | | | | 1 | |
| Property taxes | | | | 5 | |
| Property insurance | | | | 4 | |
| Investment repairs | | | | 39 | |
| TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD CO | STS/ACRE | | | 49 | |
| TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE | | | | 415 | |
| | | | | 413 | |
| Non-cash Overhead Costs (Ca | pital Recovery): | | | | |
| Shop building | | | | 9 | |
| Fuel tanks & pumps | | | | 9 | |
| Irrigation pipe, sprinklers, etc. | | | | 42 | |
| Irrigation pump | | | | 16 | |
| Equipment | | | | 28 | |
| Shop tools | | | | 7 | |
| TOTAL NON-CASH OVERHEA | AD COSTS/ACRE | | | 111 | |
| TOTAL COSTS/ACRE | | | | 527 | |
| NET RETURNS ABOVE TOTAL | COSTS | | | -527 | |

 Table 3. Monthly cash costs per acre to produce cowpeas, Coachella Valley, 1999

| | | | Costs per a | acre (\$) | |
|-------------------------------------|-----|------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| Operation | May | June | July | August | Total |
| Preplant: | | | | | |
| Plow | 9 | | | | 9 |
| Disc 2x | 10 | | | | 10 |
| Rip | 35 | | | | 35 |
| Landplane | 5 | | | | 5 |
| Pre-plant fertilize | 14 | | | | 14 |
| List | 5 | | | | 5 |
| TOTAL PREPLANT COSTS | 79 | | | | 79 |
| Plant: | | | | | |
| Plant | | 36 | | | 36 |
| TOTAL PLANT COSTS | | 36 | | | 36 |
| Growing: | | | | | |
| Sprinkler setup (machine & labor) | | 11 | | | 11 |
| Irrigate 4x (June) | | 44 | | | 44 |
| Fuel for irrigation pumps (growing) | | 29 | | 15 | 44 |
| Irrigate 4x (July) | | | 44 | | 44 |
| Pull weeds | | | 29 | | 29 |
| Irrigate 4x (August) | | | | 44 | 44 |
| Pickup truck | | 7 | 7 | 7 | 20 |
| TOTAL GROWING COSTS | | 92 | 80 | 66 | 238 |
| Harvest: | | | | | |
| Disc | | | | 5 | 5 |
| TOTAL HARVEST COSTS | | | | 5 | 5 |
| Interest on operating capital | | | | | |
| @ 10.00% | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 |
| TOTAL OPERATING COSTS/ACRE | 80 | 130 | 82 | 74 | 366 |
| Cash Overhead: | | | | | |
| Liability insurance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Property taxes | 3 | | | 3 | 5 |
| Property insurance | 2 | | | 2 | 4 |
| Investment repairs | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 39 |
| TOTAL CASH OVERHEAD COSTS | 15 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 49 |
| TOTAL CASH COSTS/ACRE | 95 | 140 | 92 | 89 | 415 |

Table 4. Farm equipment and investment values and annual costs, based on 500 annual farmed acres, Coachella Valley, 1999

| | | | | Costs | | | | | |
|----------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------|-----------------|--|--|
| Description | Value: 1999 | | Salvage value | Capital recovery | Annual cash overhead (\$) | | Total annual | | |
| | price (\$) | Life (yrs) | (\$) | (\$) | Insurance | Taxes | costs (\$) | | |
| Equipment | | | | | | | | | |
| 45 HP 2WD Tractor | 20,200 | 15 | 2,020 | 2,196 | 79 | 111 | 2,387 | | |
| 90 HP 2WD Tractor | 35,000 | 11 | 3,500 | 4,544 | 137 | 192 | 4,874 | | |
| Disc – offest 12' | 10,300 | 15 | 1,030 | 1,120 | 40 | 57 | 1,217 | | |
| Disc stubble – 12' | 18,500 | 15 | 1,850 | 2,011 | 73 | 102 | 2,186 | | |
| Lister – CTTN 40′ 3″ | 1,600 | 15 | 160 | 174 | 6 | 9 | 189 | | |
| Pickup truck 3/4 ton | 19,015 | 7 | 1,901 | 3,361 | 75 | 105 | 3,540 | | |
| Planter – 6-row | 16,380 | 10 | 1,638 | 2,259 | 64 | 90 | 2,413 | | |
| Plow – 3-bottom | 5,600 | 10 | 560 | 772 | 22 | 31 | 825 | | |
| Trailer | 2,000 | 7 | 200 | 353 | 8 | 11 | 372 | | |
| Triplane – 12' | 13,600 | 15 | 1,360 | 1,479 | 53 | 75 | 1,607 | | |
| TOTAL EQUIPMENT | 142,195 | | 14,219 | 18,270 | 558 | 782 | 19,609 | | |
| 60% OF NEW COST* | 85,317 | | 8,532 | 10,962 | 335 | 469 | 11,766 | | |

| | | | | | C | osts | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------|---------|-----------------|
| | Value: 1999 | | Salvage value | Capital recovery | Annual cash overhead (\$) | | | Total annual |
| Description | price (\$) | Life (yrs) | (\$) | (\$) | Insurance | Taxes | Repairs | costs (\$) |
| Investment | | | | | | | | |
| Shop building | 5,000 | 15 | 500 | 544 | 20 | 28 | 250 | 841 |
| Fuel tanks & pumps Irrigation pipe, | 5,000 | 15 | 500 | 544 | 20 | 28 | 250 | 841 |
| sprinklers, etc. | 193,764 | 15 | 19,376 | 21,067 | 760 | 1,066 | 9,688 | 32,581 |
| Irrigation pump | 75,000 | 15 | 7,500 | 8,154 | 294 | 413 | 3,750 | 12,611 |
| Shop tools | 4,000 | 15 | 400 | 485 | 16 | 22 | 200 | 673 |
| TOTAL INVESTMENT | 282,764 | | 28,276 | 30,744 | 1,109 | 1,555 | 14,138 | 47,546 |

| | Enterprise/ | Price per | Total | | |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Business Overhead | farm size | Unit | unit (\$) | cost (\$) | |
| Liability insurance | 500 | acre | 1.37 | 685 | |

^{*}Used to reflect a mix of new and used equipment.

 Table 5. Farm equipment actual hours of use and hourly costs based on 500 annual farmed acres, Coachella Valley, 1999

| | | Costs per hour (\$) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------|---------------------|-----------|-------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|
| | Actual | | | | | Operatin | g | Total | | |
| | hours | Capital | Cash over | head | | Fuel | Total | costs | | |
| Description | of use | recovery | Insurance | Taxes | Repairs | & lube | operating | per hour | | |
| 45 HP 2WD Tractor | 800 | 1.65 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.84 | 2.34 | 3.18 | 4.97 | | |
| 90 HP 2WD Tractor | 1,100 | 2.48 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 1.55 | 4.68 | 6.23 | 8.89 | | |
| Disc – offset 12' | 170 | 3.94 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 1.99 | 0 | 1.99 | 6.28 | | |
| Disc stubble – 12' | 170 | 7.11 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 3.58 | 0 | 3.58 | 11.31 | | |
| Lister | 170 | 0.61 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 0 | 0.31 | 0.98 | | |
| Pickup truck 3/4 ton | 300 | 6.73 | 0.15 | 0.21 | 1.42 | 3.10 | 4.52 | 11.60 | | |
| Planter | 200 | 6.76 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 2.51 | 0 | 2.51 | 9.73 | | |
| Plow | 200 | 2.31 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.86 | 0 | 0.86 | 3.33 | | |
| Trailer | 300 | 0.71 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0 | 0.34 | 1.09 | | |
| Triplane – 12' | 170 | 5.21 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 1.91 | 0 | 1.91 | 7.57 | | |

REFERENCES:

- Aguiar, J. 1998. Desert Ag. Notes. Indio, CA: University of California Cooperative Extension.
- American Society of Agricultural Engineers (ASAE). 1992. American Society of Agricultural Engineers Standards Yearbook. St. Joseph, MI: ASAE.
- Boelje, M. D., and V. R. Eidman. 1984. Farm management. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

You'll find detailed information on many aspects of vegetable production in these titles and in other publications, slide sets, and videos from UC ANR:

Cover Crops for California Agriculture, publication 21471

Cover Cropping in Vineyards: A Grower's Handbook, publication 3338

Creative Cover Cropping for Perennial Farming Systems, video V93-W

To order these products, visit our online catalog at http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu. You can also place orders by mail, phone, or fax, or request a printed catalog of publications, slide sets, and videos from

University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources Communication Services 6701 San Pablo Avenue, 2nd Floor Oakland, California 94608-1239

Telephone: (800) 994-8849 or (510) 642-2431, FAX: (510) 643-5470

E-mail inquiries: danrcs@ucdavis.edu

An electronic version of this publication is available on the ANR Communication Services website at http://anrcatalog.ucdavis.edu.

Publication 8030

To simplify information, trade names of some products have been used in this report. No endorsement of named products is intended, nor is criticism implied of similar products that are not mentioned.

©2001 by the Regents of the University of California, Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources. All rights reserved.

The University of California prohibits discrimination against or harassment of any person employed by or seeking employment with the University on the basis of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, physical or mental disability, medical condition (cancer-related or genetic characteristics), ancestry, marital status, age, sexual orientation, citizenship, or status as a covered veteran (special disabled veteran, Vietnam-era veteran or any other veteran who served on active duty during a war or in a campaign or expedition for which a campaign badge has been authorized). University Policy is intended to be consistent with the provisions of applicable State and Federal laws.

Inquiries regarding the University's nondiscrimination policies may be directed to the Affirmative Action/Staff Personnel Services Director, University of California, Agriculture and Natural Resources, 300 Lakeside Drive, 6th floor, Oakland, CA 94612-3550; (510) 987-0096. For a free catalog of other publications, telephone (800) 994-8849.

pr-09/01-WJC/VFG



This publication has been anonymously peer reviewed for technical accuracy by University of California scientists and other qualified professionals. This review process was managed by the ANR Associate Editor for Vegetable Crops.