UCLA UCLA Previously Published Works

Title

Farnesoid X Receptor Activation Protects Liver From Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury by Up-Regulating Small Heterodimer Partner in Kupffer Cells

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5kj9k2kt

Journal Hepatology Communications, 4(4)

ISSN 2471-254X

Authors

Jin, Dan Lu, Tianfei Ni, Ming <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2020-04-01

DOI

10.1002/hep4.1478

Peer reviewed

Farnesoid X Receptor Activation Protects Liver From Ischemia/Reperfusion Injury by Up-Regulating Small Heterodimer Partner in Kupffer Cells

Dan Jin,^{1,2} Tianfei Lu,³* Ming Ni,¹* Han Wang,¹ Jiang Zhang,³ Chenpeng Zhong,³ Chuan Shen,³ Jun Hao,³ Ronald W. Busuttil D, ¹ Jerzy W. Kupiec-Weglinski,¹ Jianjun Zhang,³ Ning Xu,³ and Yuan Zhai D¹

Farnesoid X receptor (FXR) is the nuclear receptor of bile acids and is involved in innate immune regulation. FXR agonists have been shown to protect multiple organs from inflammatory tissue injuries. Because liver expresses high levels of FXR, we explored the potential therapeutic benefits and underlying mechanisms of pharmacologic FXR activation in a murine model of partial liver warm ischemia. Pretreatment of mice with FXR agonist 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-4-(3'-carboxy-2-chlorostilben-4-yl)oxymethyl-5-isopropylisoxazole (GW4064) attenuated liver ischemia/reperfusion injuries (IRIs) in wild-type but not FXR knockout mice. Posttreatment with GW4064 facilitated liver recovery from IRI. Mechanistically, Kupffer cells (KCs) expressed much higher levels of FXR than bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs). Pretreatment of KCs but not BMMs with GW4064 resulted in lower tumor necrosis factor α but higher interleukin-10 expressions following toll-like receptor stimulation. FXR-targeted gene small heterodimer partner (*SHP*) was critical for the regulation of KC response by GW4064. *In vivo*, the depletion of KCs but not cluster of differentiation (CD) 11b⁺ cells or knockdown of *SHP* diminished the immune regulatory effect of GW4064 in liver IRI. Thus, FXR activation protects liver from IRI by up-regulating *SHP* in KCs to inhibit the liver proinflammatory response. (*Hepatology Communications* 2020;4:540-554).

schemia/reperfusion injury (IRI) is a major complication in liver surgeries.⁽¹⁾ In liver transplantation, despite the advances in surgical techniques and organ preservations, IRI remains responsible for approximately 10% of early graft failure⁽²⁾ and leads to a higher incidence of acute and chronic rejection. These adverse effects may become more significant in liver transplants with expanded criteria, including those from marginal, deceased, and nonbeating-heart donors. Thus, development of an effective therapeutic strategy against liver IRI will help to not only improve the outcome of liver transplantation but also expand the donor pool to alleviate the severe organ shortage for liver transplantation.⁽³⁾

The pathophysiology of liver IRI is complicated. Hepatocellular damages result from at least two insults: primary cellular destruction due to metabolic/ oxidative stress and secondary cytotoxicity sequent

Received September 11, 2019; accepted December 10, 2019.

Additional Supporting Information may be found at onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hep4.1478/suppinfo. *These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abbreviations: ASC, apoptosis-associated speck-like protein; B6 mice, C57BL/6J mice; BMM, bone marrow-derived macrophage; Bsep, bile salt export pump; CD, cluster of differentiation; CL, clodronate-encapsulated liposome; Ctl, control; Cyp7a1, cytochrome P450 family 7 subfamily A member 1; DT, diphtheria toxin; DTR, DT receptor; FBS, fetal bovine serum; FXR, farnesoid X receptor; GW4064/GW, 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-4-(3'carboxy-2-chlorostilben-4-yl)oxymethyl-5-isopropylisoxazole; HE, hematoxylin and eosin; HPRT, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; IL, interleukin; $iM\Phi$, infiltrating macrophage; IR, ischemia/reperfusion; IRI, ischemia/reperfusion injury; KC, Kupffer cell; KD, knockdown; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; M Φ , macrophage; NF- κ B, nuclear factor kappa B; NLR, nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptor; NLRP3, NLR family pyrin domain containing 3; NPC, nonparenchymal cell; OCA, obeticholic acid; Ost β , organic solute transporter beta; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction; sALT, serum alanine aminotransferase; SHP, small heterodimer partner; siRNA, small interfering RNA; ssiRNA, scrambled small interfering RNA; TGR5, Takeda G protein-coupled receptor 5; TLR, toll-like receptor; TNF- α , tumor necrosis factor alpha; WT, wild type.

to tissue inflammation. Liver ischemia/reperfusion (IR) triggers an innate immune-dominated inflammatory response mediated by the sentinel pattern recognition receptor (PRR) system.^(3,4) It has been documented that a danger-associated molecular pattern (DAMP), such as high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB-1), derived from necrotic/stressed cells⁽⁴⁻⁶⁾ activates PRRs, including toll-like receptors (TLRs) and nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like receptors (NLRs),⁽⁵⁻¹¹⁾ to initiate the response. Macrophages (M Φ s) are the major innate immune cells responding to DAMPs in liver IRI. Infiltration and activation of peripheral monocyte-derived infiltrating $M\Phi_s$ (iM Φ_s) is the cardinal feature of IR-induced inflammatory response. The liver is a unique organ in that it contains a large number of resident M Φ s (Kupffer cells [KCs]) in the homeostatic state. KCs represent approximately 35% of liver nonparenchymal cells (NPCs) and 80% to 90% of all tissue M Φ s in the body.⁽¹²⁾ Both KCs and iM Φ s are involved in the pathogenesis of liver IRI.

Farnesoid X receptor (FXR) is the nuclear receptor for bile acids and a member of the nuclear hormone

receptor family with transcriptional factor activities. It is highly expressed in liver, kidneys, and small intestine.⁽¹³⁾ FXR binds to promoters of its target genes in a ligand-dependent manner and regulates transcriptions of genes involved in cholesterol/ bile acid metabolism, hepatic gluconeogenesis, and lipogenesis. FXR regulates hepatic fibrosis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease liver regeneration, and acute hepatitis.^(14,15) In organ ischemia models, the roles of FXR have been controversial and seem to be organ specific. Pharmacologic inhibition or genetic ablation of FXR significantly reduced myocardial apoptosis, decreased infarct, and improved cardiac function in IR myocardium.⁽¹⁶⁾ FXR activation by its agonists, such as 3-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-4-(3'-carboxy-2-chlorostilben-4-yl)oxymethyl-5-isopropylisoxazole (GW4064) and 6α -ethyl-chenodeoxycholic acid $(6\alpha$ -ECDCA), protected small intestine and kidneys from IRI and attenuated local inflammatory responses.^(17,18) In liver, obeticholic acid (OCA) marginally reduced IRI in a rat model.⁽¹⁹⁾ In the current study, we explored the therapeutic potential of pharmacologic FXR activation and the underlying

Supported by the National Institutes of Health (grants R21AI126516 and P01 AI120944-01 to Y.Z.), the Dumont Research Foundation, Municipal Key Clinical Specialty and Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai (18441904800 to D.J.), and the National Science and Technology Major Project (2018ZX10302206 to N.X.).

© 2020 The Authors. Hepatology Communications published by Wiley Periodicals, Inc., on behalf of the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

View this article online at wileyonlinelibrary.com. DOI 10.1002/hep4.1478

Potential conflict of interest: Nothing to report.

ARTICLE INFORMATION:

From the ¹Department of Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angles, Los Angeles, CA; ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology and Shanghai Key Laboratory of Gynecologic Oncology; ³Department of Hepatic Surgery and Liver Transplantation Center, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine, Shanghai Jiaotong University, Shanghai, China.

ADDRESS CORRESPONDENCE AND REPRINT REQUESTS TO:

Ning Xu, M.D. Department of Hepatic Surgery and Liver Transplantation Center Renji Hospital, School of Medicine Shanghai Jiaotong University 160 Pujian Road Pudong New District, Shanghai 200127, China E-mail: xuning@renji.com Tel.: +86-21-6838-3775

Yuan Zhai, M.D., Ph.D. Department of Surgery, David Geffen School of Medicine University of California Los Angeles 10833 Le Conte Avenue, 77-120 CHS Los Angeles, CA 90095 E-mail: yzhai@mednet.ucla.edu Tel.: +1-310-825-9426 mechanism in the pathophysiology of liver IRI in a murine model of partial hepatic warm ischemia.

Materials and Methods

ANIMALS

Male C57BL/6J (B6) and FXR-deficient (Nr1h4^{tm1Gonz}/J) mice (6-8 weeks old) were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All mice were housed in the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) animal facility under a specific pathogen-free condition and received humane care according to the criteria outlined in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals prepared by the National Academy of Sciences and published by the National Institutes of Health. All animal experiments were approved by the UCLA Office of the Animal Research Oversight Committee.

LIVER IRI MODEL

After a midline laparotomy, mice were injected with heparin (100 μ g/kg), and an atraumatic clip was used to interrupt arterial/portal venous blood supply to the cephalad liver lobes. After 60 to 90 minutes of ischemia, the clip was removed to initiate liver reperfusion. Sham controls underwent the same procedure but without vascular occlusion. Mice were killed after 6 hours or 5 days of reperfusion, and liver and serum samples were collected. To test the effect on acute liver IRI, GW4064 (5 mg/kg, intraperitoneally; Tocris Bioscience) or OCA (30 mg/kg, oral gavage; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) was administered 1 to 2 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. To test the effect on liver recovery from IRI, GW4064 (5 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at 24 hours, day 2, day 3, and day 4 after reperfusion. Levels of serum alanine aminotransferase (sALT) were measured with an autoanalyzer by IDEXX Laboratories (Westbrook, Maine). A portion of the liver specimens was fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Liver sections $(4 \ \mu m)$ were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE). The severity of liver IRI was graded blindly using Suzuki's criteria⁽²⁰⁾ on a scale from 0 to 4. Liver sections were processed for immunohistochemical staining of myeloperoxidase (Novus Biologicals) to

quantitate polymorphonuclear leukocyte infiltration as described.^(21,22)

IN VIVO DEPLETION OF KCs AND CLUSTER OF DIFFERENTIATION 11B⁺ MΦs

KCs were depleted using the Macrophage Depletion Kit (Encapsula NanoSciences, LLC, Brentwood, TN). In brief, 200 µL/mouse of clodronate-encapsulated liposomes (CLs) or control liposomes was injected in B6 mice intravenously 48 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. In cluster of differentiation (CD) 11b-diphtheria toxin (DT) receptor (DTR) mice, 10 µg/g DT was injected intravenously 48 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. The depletion of KCs or CD11b MΦs was confirmed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of liver NPCs 6 hours after reperfusion. CL treatment effectively depleted KCs but spared $iM\Phi_s$; DT treatment depleted CD11b cells (both iM ϕ s and neutrophils) but spared KCs in CD11b-DTR mice (Supporting Fig. S1A). Furthermore, immunofluorescence staining of liver sections with anti-F4/80-fluorescein isothiocyanate and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole revealed the depletion of KCs by CL treatment (Supporting Fig. S1B).

QUANTITATIVE REVERSE-TRANSCRIPTION POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION

Total RNA (2.0 µg) was reverse transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using Oligo (dT) 12-18 Primer and M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Quantitative kit reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was performed using the QuantStudio 3 System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). In a final reaction volume of 20 μ L, we mixed 1× PCR Mix (Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix; Life Technologies, Woolston, United Kingdom), cDNA, and 0.125 µM of each primer. The amplification condition was 50°C/2 minutes, 95°C/10 minutes, followed by 40 cycles of 95°C/15 seconds, 60° C/1 minute. Primers for Fxr and its target genes are listed in Table 1. Other primers used to amplify specific mouse gene fragments are the same as described.⁽²³⁾

Gene	5' Primer	3' Primer
Fxr	GGGATGAGCTGTGTGTGTTGTC	GGCGTTCTTGGTAATGCTTC
Abcb4	AATTGGCCTGTTGACACTGTT	GGAAGACCTGATCCATGAGC
Shp	CTCTGCAGGTCGTCCGACTATTCTG	CCTCGAAGGTCACAGCATCCTG
Ostβ	AGCCTGAAGTCCCAGGAATC	TGCACTGTGGTCCATGTTTC
Bsep	GGACACACCTGAGAGGACCTT	AGATCGTTGACGGATGGAAG
Cyp7a1	TCAAGCAAACACCATTCCTG	GGCTGCTTTCATTGCTTCA

TABLE 1. PRIMERS FOR qRT-PCR

Abbreviation: Abcb4, adenosine triphosphate binding cassette subfamily B member 4.

KC ISOLATION

Liver NPCs were isolated from normal or IR livers of B6 mice by in situ collagenase perfusion. In brief, livers were perfused through the portal vein with calcium- and magnesium-free Hank's balanced salt solution (HBSS) supplemented with 2% heatinactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), followed by 0.27% collagenase IV (Sigma-Aldrich). Perfused livers were dissected and filtered through 70-µm nylon mesh cell strainers (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA). NPCs were separated from hepatocytes by low-speed centrifugation (50g, 2 minutes) 3 times. NPCs were stained with fluorescence-labeled antibodies and analyzed by FACS. To enrich KCs, NPCs were suspended in HBSS and layered onto a two-layer 25% to 50% Percoll gradient (Sigma-Aldrich) in a 50-mL conical centrifuge tube and centrifuged at 1,800g at 4°C for 15 minutes. KCs in the middle layer were collected and allowed to attach to cell-culture plates in supplemented Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) with 10% FBS for 15 minutes at 37°C. Nonadherent cells were removed by replacing the culture medium. The purity of KCs in the adherent cells was approximately 80%, as determined by immunofluorescent staining with anti-F4/80.

BONE MARROW-DERIVED MACROPHAGES

Bone marrow cells were isolated from mouse femurs and tibias. Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 20% L929-conditioned medium for 7 days. Bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMMs) were then replated and cultured overnight in new culture dishes for further experiments.

WESTERN BLOT ANALYSIS

Cellular proteins were extracted with ice-cold lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 10% glycerol, 137 mM sodium chloride, 20 mM Tris, pH 7.4). Proteins (20 μ g) were subjected to 12% SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride nitrocellulose membrane. Antibodies against FXR (D-3, SC25309) and β -actin (Santa Cruz Technology) were used to probe the membrane.

SMALL HETERODIMER PARTNER KNOCKDOWN

Small heterodimer partner (*SHP*) small interfering RNA (siRNA) with a pool of three target-specific siR-NAs (sc-44870; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used to knock down *SHP* gene expression. *In vitro*, BMMs were transiently transfected with siRNA using LipoJet *In Vitro* Transfection Kit (SignaGen Laboratories) according to the manufacturer's protocol. *In vivo*, siR-NAs were mixed with mannose-conjugated polymers (Polyplus-transfection SA) at a ratio specified by the manufacturer and administered intraperitoneally (siRNA 2 mg/kg) 3 hours before the onset of liver ischemia.

ΙΝ VITRO ΜΦ ΑCTIVATION

KCs (control or SHP siRNA transfected) or BMMs were stimulated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (100-500 ng/mL; InvivoGen, San Diego, CA) in the absence or presence of GW4064 (10 μ M; Tocris), or OCA (10 μ M; MedChemExpress), or INT-777 (3 μ M; Cayman Chemical). Culture supernatants were collected at 0, 6, and 24 hours after stimulation for cytokine measurements. Cells were collected at 3 to 6 hours after stimulation for RNA/protein preparation.

STATISTICS

Results are shown as mean \pm SD. Comparisons between two parameters were analyzed by the unpaired Student *t* test. Multiple group comparisons were performed using one-way analysis of variance followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test. All analyses were performed using Stata software (version 11.0). P < 0.05 (two-tailed) was considered statistically significant.

Results

FXR PHARMACOLOGIC ACTIVATION PROTECTS LIVER FROM IRI

To test whether pharmacologic activation of FXR protected livers from IRI, the selective FXR agonist GW4064 was administered in wild-type (WT) B6 mice before the onset of liver ischemia (90 minutes). Liver FXR activation by GW4064 in vivo was confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis of FXR target gene expressions, including up-regulation of organic solute transporter beta $(Ost\beta)$, bile salt export pump (Bsep), and *Shp* and the down-regulation of cytochrome P450 family 7 subfamily A member 1 (Cyp7a1) in sham livers of WT mice (Fig. 1A). IR inhibited liver FXR expression and its activities, as evidenced by the lower levels of expressions of FXR proteins and FXR-targeted genes in IR livers than those in shams (Fig. 1A,B). However, FXR activation remained able to up-regulate its targeted genes in IR livers as their expression levels were higher in GW4064-treated livers than those in controls at 6 hours after reperfusion. Functionally, GW4064 treatment resulted in a significant protection of livers from IRI. There were lower levels of sALT and better preserved liver histologic architectures with improved Suzuki scores in the GW4064treated versus vehicle-treated cohorts (Fig. 1C). The therapeutic benefit of FXR activation in liver IRI was confirmed with a second FXR agonist, OCA (INT-747), under a milder ischemic condition (60 minutes of ischemia; Supporting Fig. S2). Liver inflammatory immune activation was also inhibited by GW4064, as indicated by lower levels of tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α), interleukin-1 β (IL-1 β), and IL-6 expressions in IR livers (Fig. 1D). The liverprotective effects of GW4064 were absent in FXR knockout (KO) hosts (Supporting Fig. S3), confirming the target specificity of the pharmacologic agent in vivo.

To further explore the therapeutic potential of pharmacologic activation of FXR in liver IRI, we determined whether postischemia treatment could



FIG. 1. FXR activation protects livers from IRI. B6 mice pretreated with or without (control) FXR agonist GW4064 were subjected to 90 minutes of partial liver warm ischemia followed by 6 hours of reperfusion, as described in Materials and Methods. (A) Plots of average FXR target gene/HPRT ratios in livers of different experimental groups. Gene expressions in liver tissues were measured by qRT-PCR. (B) Western blotting of FXR and SHP in liver tissues. (C) Representative liver HE staining (magnification ×100), plots of average sALT levels, and liver Suzuki scores of different experimental groups. (D) Plots of average inflammatory gene/HPRT ratios in livers. Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 4-6/group; **P* < 0.05. Abbreviations: h, hour; NS, not significant.

improve liver recovery from IRI. In our model of liver IRI, it usually takes liver 7 days to recover from 90 minutes warm ischemia-induced liver reperfusion injury (data not shown). GW4064 was administered daily starting at 24 hours after reperfusion. Liver recovery from IRI was evaluated at day 5 after reperfusion when the repair of hepatocellular damage was incomplete in IR livers of controls. Posttreatment with GW4064 facilitated liver recovery from IRI such that liver histologic damage in these treated mice was nearly all repaired at this time point, with significantly lower Suzuki scores compared with those in controls (Fig. 2A). The sALT levels were all at baseline at this time point of reperfusion in both groups of mice (data not shown). At the molecular level, GW4064 treatment resulted in lower TNF- α levels but higher levels of gene expressions related to reparative M Φ s, such as Mer tyrosine kinase

(MerTK) and T-cell immunoglobulin mucin protein 4 (TIM-4) (Fig. 2B). The activation of FXR in IR livers by GW4064 was confirmed by qRT-PCR analysis of FXR target gene expressions (Fig. 2C). Thus, FXR pharmacologic activation protects liver from IRI. The pretreatment regimen reduces hepatocellular damage and inhibits liver inflammatory response against IR, and the postischemic regimen facilitates liver recovery from IRI.

DIFFERENTIAL REGULATION OF KCs AND BMMs BY FXR ACTIVATION

FXR is expressed in both parenchymal cells and NPCs in the liver. FXR activation-induced liver protection could potentially result from direct cytoprotection in hepatocytes or immune regulation by immune



FIG. 2. FXR activation facilitates liver recovery from IRI. B6 mice were subjected to 90 minutes of partial liver warm ischemia and divided into two groups treated with daily injection of either the vehicle control or GW4064, as described in Materials and Methods. IR liver tissues were harvested at day 5 after reperfusion. (A) Representative liver HE sections (magnification ×100) and plots of average Suzuki scores of control and GW groups. (B,C) Plots of average target gene/HPRT ratios of different experimental groups. Inflammatory and FXR-targeted gene expressions in sham and IR livers were measured by qRT-PCR. Representative results of two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 4-6/group; **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01. Abbreviations: d, day; MerTK, Mer tyrosine kinase; TIM-4, T-cell immunoglobulin mucin protein 4.

cells. Because FXR activation has been shown to regulate hepatocyte proliferation and immune response, we focused on whether liver-resident KCs were involved in the protection of liver from IRI. We first analyzed FXR expression in liver tissues and KCs/NPCs at resting state and during IRI as well as in BMMs in response to LPS. Liver tissues expressed the highest level of FXR. KCs/NPCs also expressed the receptor gene, whereas BMMs expressed it at minimal levels, which was nearly 1,000-fold lower compared with KCs (Fig. 3A). IR down-regulated FXR expression in liver and NPCs, and LPS stimulation up-regulated FXR in BMMs. At the protein level, western blot analysis showed that KCs but not BMMs expressed FXR, which was increased by GW4064 treatment and decreased by LPS stimulation (Fig. 3B).

Because FXR was differentially expressed in KCs and BMMs, we next determined whether pharmacologic FXR activation regulated these M Φ s differently in their inflammatory activation *in vitro*. Preincubation with 10 μ M GW4064 for 2 hours resulted in *Shp* up-regulation in both resting and LPS-stimulated KCs but not in BMMs, indicative of functional FXR in KCs but not BMMs (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, although



FIG.3. FXR expressions and functions in macrophages. (A) Plots of average FXR/HPRT gene expression ratios in livers and macrophages. FXR expressions were determined by qRT-PCR in sham or IR livers (6 hours after reperfusion), liver NPCs (isolated from sham or IR livers after 0 or 6 hours of reperfusion), or BMMs stimulated with LPS for 0 and 6 hours *in vitro*. (B) Western blots of FXR expressions in KCs and BMMs. (C, D) Plots of target gene/HPRT ratios in KCs and BMMs. KCs were isolated from sham livers, and BMMs were derived from 7-day cultures of bone marrow cells, as described in Materials and Methods. These macrophages were pretreated with either vehicle controls or GW4064 followed by 3 hours of stimulation of LPS. Gene expressions were determined by qRT-PCR. Representative results of at least two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 3-6/group; **P* < 0.05. Abbreviations: DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline.

.

LPS down-regulated *Shp* in control BMMs, the SHP level was sustained in GW4064-treated BMMs. FXR gene expression was increased by GW4064 treatment in KCs and BMMs. LPS stimulation decreased FXR in KCs but increased it in BMMs. FXR activation inhibited KC proinflammatory activation by decreased TNF- α (~50%) but increased IL-10 (~30%) gene expression following LPS stimulation (Fig. 3C). In BMMs, however, GW4064 only marginally inhibited LPS-induced TNF- α expression (~10%) without significant impact on IL-10 (Fig. 3D). These results demonstrate distinctive expression patterns and regulatory effects of FXR in KCs and BMMs.

We also tested the potential immune regulatory effect of the FXR agonist OCA (INT-747) and Takeda G protein-coupled receptor 5 (TGR5) agonist INT-777 on KCs and BMMs in vitro (Supporting Fig. S4). Similar to GW4064, OCA selectively modulated KCs but not BMMs in response to LPS stimulation. Importantly, OCA increased Shp expression in KCs in both resting and activation states. Interestingly, TGR5 activation by INT-777 regulated both KCs and BMMs in response to LPS such that the expression of TNF- α was decreased with simultaneous increases of IL-10. However, INT-777 failed to induce Shp expression in KCs. These results indicate that FXR activation selectively targets KCs whereas TGR5 activation affects both KCs and BMMs in their inflammatory response and that Shp is an FXR- but not a TGR5inducible gene.

KCs BUT NOT BMMs ARE CRITICAL FOR FXR-MEDIATED PROTECTION IN LIVER IRI

To test whether KCs mediated FXR activationinduced liver protection against IRI, KCs were depleted by CLs and administered intravenously 48 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. Liver inflammation and injury were evaluated at 6 hours after reperfusion. Consistent with previous studies,⁽²⁴⁻²⁶⁾ CL-mediated KC depletion resulted in increases in liver IRI, with higher levels of sALT and more severely damaged liver histologic architectures in CL-treated versus blank liposome-treated mice (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, FXR activation by GW4064 was no longer able to protect liver from IRI in KC-depleted mice because there were

no differences in sALT levels or liver histopathology between GW4064-treated and vehicle-treated cohorts (Fig. 4A). FXR activation also failed to inhibit liver inflammatory gene induction by IR, including TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6, in the absence of KCs (Fig. 4B). It was noted that the GW4064-induced alterations of FXR-targeted genes in liver were diminished by CL treatment, indicating that KCs were responsive to FXR activation in vivo (Fig. 4C). These results indicate that KCs are required for FXR-mediated inhibition of liver proinflammatory response and protection of liver from IRI. To address the possibility that the KC-depleted livers were damaged too much to rescue by FXR activation, we repeated the experiment under a milder ischemia condition (60 minutes). Liver IRI at 6 hours after reperfusion was significantly less after 60 minutes of ischemia compared with that after 90 minutes of ischemia (Supporting Fig. S2), sALT levels were lower, and liver parenchymal damage was less severe. Under the 60-minute ischemia condition, KC depletion resulted in higher liver IRI, comparable to that in control livers after 90 minutes of ischemia. Pretreatment with OCA was not able to protect those KC-depleted livers from IRI, confirming the role of KCs in mediating the liver-protective effect of FXR activation.

Next, we tested whether $iM\Phi s$, derived from bone marrow, were also involved in FXR activationinduced liver protection. DT was used to deplete CD11b+ BMMs in CD11b-DTR mice 48 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. CD11b-depleted mice developed less severe liver IRI compared with controls. Interestingly, GW4064 treatment remained effective in ameliorating liver injury in these CD11b-depleted cohorts, with sALT levels reduced, liver histologic architectures better preserved, and lower Suzuki scores (Fig. 5A). FXR activation remained inhibitory to the liver inflammatory response against IR in the absence of CD11b BMMs. Levels of TNF- α , IL-6, and IL-1 β were lower and IL-10 was higher in IR livers treated with GW4064/DT compared with controls in these CD11b-depleted cohorts (Fig. 5B). DT treatment did not affect the expressions of FXR target genes induced by GW4064, indicating that CD11b+ cells were not involved in responding to FXR activation in liver (Fig. 5C). Thus, BMMs are dispensable in FXR-mediated liver protection from IRI.



FIG. 4. KCs mediate the immune regulatory effect of FXR activation in liver IRI. Groups of WT B6 mice were treated with either blank or clodronate liposomes 48 hours before, followed by the treatment of either vehicle controls or GW4064 2 hours before the onset of liver ischemia. Liver injuries and inflammatory immune responses were evaluated at 6 hours after reperfusion. (A) Plots of average sALT levels, representative liver histologic analysis (HE staining, magnification ×100), and plots of average Suzuki's scores in different experimental groups. (B,C) Plots of average target gene/HPRT expression ratios in different experimental groups. Liver inflammatory and FXR-targeted gene expressions were determined by qRT-PCR. Representative results of at least two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 3-6/group; *P < 0.05. Abbreviation: NS, not significant.

FXR ACTIVATION INDUCES SHP TO INHIBIT THE LIVER INFLAMMATORY IMMUNE RESPONSE

As a well-known FXR target gene, SHP was demonstrated recently as a potent innate immune regulator.^(27,28) We have shown in our own model that SHP induction is critical for the inhibition of the liver proinflammatory response and protection of liver from IRI in myeloid-glycogen synthase kinase 3 (Gsk3b)-deficient mice.⁽²⁹⁾ As shown above,

Shp was induced in liver in vivo and KCs in vitro by GW4064 (Figs. 1 and 3). IR inhibited liver Shp expression, which was reversed at least partially by pharmacologic FXR activation (Fig. 3). KC depletion by CLs resulted in decreased liver Shp expression in both control and GW4064-treated hosts, indicating that KCs responded to FXR activation to up-regulate Shp expression (Fig. 4). To test the functional significance of SHP induction in FXR-mediated liver protection, we administered SHP siRNA carried by mannose-conjugated polymers (selective delivery to phagocytes) in vivo before the onset of liver



FIG. 5. CD11b+ BMMs are dispensable for FXR-mediated liver protection. CD11b-DTR mice were treated with either phosphatebuffered saline (Ctl) or DT 48 hours before, followed by the treatment of either vehicle controls or GW4064 2 hours before the onset of liver ischemia, as described in Materials and Methods. Liver injuries and inflammatory immune responses were evaluated at 6 hours after reperfusion. (A) Plots of average sALT levels and Suzuki scores in different experimental groups. Representative HE staining (magnification ×100) of IR livers. (B, C) Plots of average target gene/HPRT expression ratios in different experimental groups. Expressions of liver inflammatory and FXR-targeted genes were determined by qRT-PCR. Representative results of at least two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 3-6/group; *P < 0.05. Abbreviation: h, hour.

ischemia.⁽³⁰⁾ Compared with control (scrambled [s]) siRNA, SHP-specific siRNA prohibited Shp upregulation induced by GW4064 after IR, whereas other FXR target genes, such as $Ost\beta$ and Bsep, remained responsive to GW4064 treatment (Fig. 6A). Shp knockdown (KD) diminished inhibition of Cyp7a1 expression by GW4064. Most importantly, FXR activation was no longer able to protect liver from IRI or inhibit the liver proinflammatory response in SHP KD hosts. Mice treated with SHP siRNA had significantly higher levels of sALT, more severely damaged liver histologic architectures (Fig. 6B), and increased levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 but not IL-10 gene inductions in IR livers (Fig. 6C) compared with those treated with ssiRNA when both groups received the preoperational GW4064 treatment.

In vitro, SHP KD in KCs resulted in higher levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β , and IL-6 but a lower level of IL-10 gene inductions following LPS stimulation (Fig. 7). Importantly, SHP KD diminished the immune regulatory effect of pharmacologic activation of FXR in KCs such that levels of TNF- α , IL-1 β , IL-6, and IL-10 remained the same in GW4064-treated and vehicle-treated cells following LPS stimulation. These results demonstrate that SHP induction in KCs is critical for the anti-inflammatory and liver-protective effects of pharmacologic activation of FXR against IRI.

Discussion

Our study demonstrates that pharmacologic activation of FXR protects liver from IRI at both acute and recovery stages. Although FXR agonists have been shown to protect multiple organs from IRI in the heart, intestine, kidney, and liver models⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁹⁾ and modulate liver inflammation in non-IRI models, cellular targets of FXR activation and immune regulatory mechanisms have not been well defined. Our results showed that the FXR-targeted gene *SHP* was critical for the therapeutic effect of FXR activation



FIG. 6. SHP is critical for FXR-mediated liver protection. Scrambled or SHP-specific siRNA was injected into different groups of B6 mice, followed by the administration of vehicle control or GW4064, as described in Materials and Methods. Liver IRI was evaluated at 6 hours after reperfusion. Expressions of FXR-target genes and inflammatory genes in IR livers were measured by qRT-PCR. (A) Plots of average ratios of target gene/HPRT expressions in different experimental groups. (B) Plots of average sALT levels and Suzuki's scores in different experimental groups. Representative liver histologic images (HE staining, magnification ×100). (C) Plots of average target gene/HPRT rations in different experimental groups. Gene expressions were determined by qRT-PCR. Representative results of at least two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 3-6/group; **P* < 0.05. Abbreviations: h, hour; ssiRNA, scrambled small interfering RNA.

in liver IRI. Liver-resident KCs rather than infiltrating BMMs respond to FXR activation to up-regulate *SHP*, which suppresses proinflammatory gene expressions downstream of TLRs.

Consistent with findings in a rat liver transplantation model,⁽³¹⁾ we showed that IR down-regulated FXR expressions and transcriptional activities in liver and KCs. We showed that KCs isolated from ischemic liver increase proinflammatory cytokine production but decrease anti-inflammatory IL-10 production following TLR stimulation *in vitro* compared with those from sham livers.⁽³⁰⁾ Because FXR regulates KC activation, its down-regulation by IR may potentially facilitate the KC proinflammatory response. In hepatocytes, it was shown that FXR could selectively inhibit nuclear factor kappa B (NF- κ B) activation-initiated inflammatory gene expressions,

including inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) and cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2), even in the absence of its ligands.⁽³²⁾ FXR-deficient mice have been shown to develop much worse liver necrosis and more severe inflammation after treatment with LPS⁽³²⁾ or concanavalin A (ConA),⁽³³⁾ and FXR agonists reduce not only acute inflammatory hepatocellular damage⁽³⁴⁾ but also inflammatory cell infiltration and hepatic fibrosis/steatosis⁽³⁵⁻³⁷⁾ in chronic liver disease models. Although liver MΦs have been implicated as FXR-targeted cells in liver, evidence is derived from in vitro cell-culture experiments.⁽³⁵⁾ Our study identifies KCs as the key mediator of the therapeutic effect of FXR activation in vivo by differential analysis of KCs versus BMMs both in vitro and in vivo. Our results show that KCs express much higher levels of FXR than BMMs. FXR activation decreases TNF- α



FIG. 7. SHP is critical for FXR-mediated immune regulation in KCs. KCs were transfected with either scrambled or SHP-specific siRNA. Transfected cells were pretreated with either PBS or GW4064, followed by 3 hours of stimulation of LPS. Gene expressions were determined by qRT-PCR. Average ratios of target gene/HPRT ratios in different experimental groups were plotted. Representative results of at least two independent experiments; Data represent Mean \pm SD, n = 3/group; **P* < 0.05. Abbreviations: PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; SC, scrambled small interfering RNA.

and increases IL-10 gene expressions in KCs in vitro by inducing SHP expression. KC depletion results in diminished expressions of FXR target genes in liver and abrogates the protection of liver from IRI by the treatment of FXR agonist GW4064 in vivo. In contrast, there are no SHP inductions or alterations in inflammatory gene expressions in BMMs following FXR activation in vitro. Depletion of BMMs in vivo did not affect the liver-protective effect by the agonist treatment. Although CLs and DT are not specific in targeting KCs and BMMs, respectively, in vivo, our regimen was a single-dose treatment before the onset of liver ischemia. Thus, CLs would mainly deplete tissue-resident M Φ s, including KCs, without affecting circulating monocytes (low phagocytic), whereas DT would deplete monocytes without affecting KCs (CD11b^{low/-}) in the acute phase of liver IRI. In chronic liver disease models, FXR stimulation decreased or altered infiltrations of inflammatory monocytes.^(35,37) In our study, GW4064 significantly inhibited KC chemokine production, for example, monocyte chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP1) and macrophage inflammatory protein 2 (MIP2), *in vitro* following TLR4 stimulation (data not shown), which may lead to decreases in liver infiltration of peripheral monocytes *in vivo*. Hepatocytes or natural killer T cells have been shown to respond to FXR activation, leading to protection from endotoxin- or ConAinduced hepatitis, respectively.^(32,33) Because FXR is expressed in various types of liver cells, cellular targets of FXR therapeutics *in vivo* may vary depending on the pathologic mechanism of liver diseases.

In hepatocytes, FXR has been shown to suppress NF- κ B DNA binding⁽³²⁾ and up-regulate suppressor of cytokine signaling 3 (SOCS3).⁽³⁴⁾ In M Φ cell lines, nuclear receptor corepressor 1 (NCoR1) mediates the

anti-inflammatory effects of FXR.⁽³⁸⁾ NCoR1 prevents direct binding of NF-kB subunit to promoters of proinflammatory genes in unstimulated cells, which is stabilized by activated FXR. More recently, FXR was found to coprecipitate with NLR family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3), caspase-1, and possibly apoptosis-associated speck-like protein (ASC) in M Φ s to prevent NLRP3 inflammasome assembly and activation.⁽³⁹⁾ Paradoxically, natural FXR ligand bile acids, alone or in synergy with LPS or adenosine triphosphate, activated NLRP3 inflammasome by promoting calcium influx.⁽³⁹⁾ This indicates that FXR suppresses NLRP3 inflammasome possibly by their direct physical interactions but not by FXR ligandinduced transcriptional activities. Because GW4064 does not inhibit NLRP3 inflammasome activation,⁽³⁹⁾ this particular mechanism may not be relevant to the effect of FXR activation in our model. Interestingly, bile acids have a G protein-coupled membrane receptor, TGR5, which has been shown to not only repress NLRP3 inflammasome⁽⁴⁰⁾ but also inhibit NF-κB transcriptional activities by facilitating the interaction between inhibitor of $\kappa B\alpha$ (I $\kappa B\alpha$) and β -arrestin2.⁽⁴¹⁾ Although molecular and cellular details of the distinctive effects of FXR and TGR5 on inflammasome activation in the absence or presence of bile acids remain to be fully elucidated, differential expressions of these receptors in different types of cells may be a key factor in determining their involvement in immune regulation. Our data show that KCs rather than BMMs express functional FXR. Therefore, pharmacologic activation of FXR selectively regulates KCs but not BMMs in their response to inflammatory stimulation. TGR5, on the other hand, is expressed in both types of $M\Phis$,^(42,43) and pharmacologic activation of TGR5 therefore regulates both of them in response to TLRs. One key difference in the immune regulatory mechanisms between FXR and TGR5 activation in KCs is the induction of SHP, which turns out to be the critical mediator of the FXR therapeutic effect in liver IRI.

Our results reveal that SHP, as a direct FXRtargeted gene, is crucial for the immune regulatory effect of FXR activation in KCs in liver IRI. SHP was identified recently as an endogenous negative regulator in inflammatory signaling downstream of TLRs and NLRs.^(27,28,44) It suppresses NF- κ B activities by physically binding to either the NF- κ Bp65 subunit at resting state and/or TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) following stimulation to inhibit its polyubiquitination and activation, leading to down-regulation of TNF- α and IL-6 transcriptions.⁽²⁸⁾ SHP also interferes with the interaction between NLRP3 and ASC to intercept the assembly of the NLRP3 inflammasome complex, resulting in down-regulation of IL-1ß and IL-18 production in NLRP3-activated MDs.⁽²⁷⁾ SHP could be translocated to the mitochondria with the NLRP3-ASC complex after inflammasome activation and acts to regulate mitochondrial homeostasis through the recovery of mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation and damage.⁽²⁸⁾ Most recently, we have shown in the same liver IR model that Gsk3b promotes liver inflammatory immune activation through inhibition of adenosine monophosphateactivated protein kinase activation and SHP induction.⁽²⁹⁾ SHP has been implicated in other liver disease models. FXR-induced SHP in hepatic stellate cells has been shown to promote resolution of liver fibrosis by interacting with c-Jun to prevent activator protein 1 (AP-1) binding to inflammatory genes.⁽⁴⁵⁾ SHP genetic deletion sensitizes mice to liver injuries triggered by bile duct ligation.⁽⁴⁶⁾ Interestingly, FXR and SHP double-KO mice are protected from hepatic steatosis due to their roles in lipid metabolism.⁽⁴⁷⁾

In summary, we document by pharmacologic activation that FXR is a negative regulator of liver inflammatory immune response against IR. Its activation induces SHP up-regulation in KCs, leading to decreases of proinflammatory but increases of antiinflammatory gene expression following TLR stimulation and protection of liver from IRI.

REFERENCES

- Ohkohchi N. Mechanisms of preservation and ischemic/ reperfusion injury in liver transplantation. Transplant Proc 2002; 34:2670-2673.
- 2) Tsai YF, Liu FC, Sung WC, Lin CC, Chung PC, Lee WC, et al. Ischemic reperfusion injury-induced oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory mediators in liver transplantation recipients. Transplant Proc 2014;46:1082-1086.
- Zhai Y, Busuttil RW, Kupiec-Weglinski JW. Liver ischemia and reperfusion injury: new insights into mechanisms of innateadaptive immune-mediated tissue inflammation. Am J Transplant 2011;11:1563-1569.
- Kaczorowski DJ, Tsung A, Billiar TR. Innate immune mechanisms in ischemia/reperfusion. Front Biosci (Elite Ed) 2009;1:91-98.
- 5) Huang H, Evankovich J, Yan W, Nace G, Zhang L, Ross M, et al. Endogenous histones function as alarmins in sterile inflammatory liver injury through Toll-like receptor 9 in mice. Hepatology 2011;54:999-1008.

- 6) Tsung A, Klune JR, Zhang X, Jeyabalan G, Cao Z, Peng X, et al. HMGB1 release induced by liver ischemia involves Toll-like receptor 4 dependent reactive oxygen species production and calciummediated signaling. J Exp Med 2007;204:2913-2923.
- Tsung A, Sahai R, Tanaka H, Nakao A, Fink MP, Lotze MT, et al. The nuclear factor HMGB1 mediates hepatic injury after murine liver ischemia-reperfusion. J Exp Med 2005;201:1135-1143.
- 8) Zhai Y, Qiao B, Shen XD, Gao F, Busuttil RW, Cheng G, et al. Evidence for the pivotal role of endogenous toll-like receptor 4 ligands in liver ischemia and reperfusion injury. Transplantation 2008;85:1016-1022.
- 9) Zhai Y, Shen XD, O'Connell R, Gao F, Lassman C, Busuttil RW, et al. Cutting edge: TLR4 activation mediates liver ischemia/ reperfusion inflammatory response via IFN regulatory factor 3-dependent MyD88-independent pathway. J Immunol 2004;173: 7115-7119.
- Bamboat ZM, Balachandran VP, Ocuin LM, Obaid H, Plitas G, DeMatteo RP. Toll-like receptor 9 inhibition confers protection from liver ischemia-reperfusion injury. Hepatology 2010;51:621-632.
- Inoue Y, Shirasuna K, Kimura H, Usui F, Kawashima A, Karasawa T, et al. NLRP3 regulates neutrophil functions and contributes to hepatic ischemia-reperfusion injury independently of inflammasomes. J Immunol 2014;192:4342-4351.
- Jenne CN, Kubes P. Immune surveillance by the liver. Nat Immunol 2013;14:996-1006.
- Zhu Y, Liu H, Zhang M, Guo GL. Fatty liver diseases, bile acids, and FXR. Acta Pharm Sin B 2016;6:409-412.
- 14) Kim SG, Kim BK, Kim K, Fang S. Bile acid nuclear receptor farnesoid X receptor: therapeutic target for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) 2016;31:500-504.
- Fiorucci S, Biagioli M, Zampella A, Distrutti E. Bile acids activated receptors regulate innate immunity. Front Immunol 2018;9:1853.
- 16) Pu J, Yuan A, Shan P, Gao E, Wang X, Wang Y, et al. Cardiomyocyte-expressed farnesoid-X-receptor is a novel apoptosis mediator and contributes to myocardial ischaemia/reperfusion injury. Eur Heart J 2013;34:1834-1845.
- 17) Ceulemans LJ, Verbeke L, Decuypere JP, Farre R, De Hertogh G, Lenaerts K, et al. Farnesoid X receptor activation attenuates intestinal ischemia reperfusion injury in rats. PLoS One 2017;12:e0169331.
- 18) Gai Z, Chu L, Xu Z, Song X, Sun D, Kullak-Ublick GA. Farnesoid X receptor activation protects the kidney from ischemiareperfusion damage. Sci Rep 2017;7:9815.
- 19) Ferrigno A, Di Pasqua LG, Berardo C, Siciliano V, Rizzo V, Adorini L, et al. The farnesoid X receptor agonist obeticholic acid upregulates biliary excretion of asymmetric dimethylarginine via MATE-1 during hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury. PLoS One 2018;13:e0191430.
- 20) Suzuki S, Toledo-Pereyra LH, Rodriguez FJ, Cejalvo D. Neutrophil infiltration as an important factor in liver ischemia and reperfusion injury. Modulating effects of FK506 and cyclosporine. Transplantation 1993;55:1265-1272.
- 21) He K, Chen X, Han C, Xu L, Zhang J, Zhang M, et al. Lipopolysaccharide-induced cross-tolerance against renal ischemiareperfusion injury is mediated by hypoxia-inducible factor-2alpha-regulated nitric oxide production. Kidney Int 2014;85: 276-288.
- 22) Zhang S, Han CH, Chen XS, Zhang M, Xu LM, Zhang JJ, et al. Transient ureteral obstruction prevents against kidney ischemia/ reperfusion injury via hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-2alpha activation. PLoS One 2012;7:e29876.
- 23) Zhai Y, Shen XD, Gao F, Zhao A, Freitas MC, Lassman C, et al. CXCL10 regulates liver innate immune response against ischemia and reperfusion injury. Hepatology 2008;47:207-214.

- 24) Yue S, Zhou H, Wang X, Busuttil RW, Kupiec-Weglinski JW, Zhai Y. Prolonged ischemia triggers necrotic depletion of tissue-resident macrophages to facilitate inflammatory immune activation in liver ischemia reperfusion injury. J Immunol 2017;198:3588-3595.
- 25) Devey L, Ferenbach D, Mohr E, Sangster K, Bellamy CO, Hughes J, et al. Tissue-resident macrophages protect the liver from ischemia reperfusion injury via a heme oxygenase-1-dependent mechanism. Mol Ther 2009;17:65-72.
- 26) Ellett JD, Atkinson C, Evans ZP, Amani Z, Balish E, Schmidt MG, et al. Murine Kupffer cells are protective in total hepatic ischemia/reperfusion injury with bowel congestion through IL-10. J Immunol 2010;184:5849-5858.
- 27) Yang CS, Kim JJ, Kim TS, Lee PY, Kim SY, Lee HM, et al. Small heterodimer partner interacts with NLRP3 and negatively regulates activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. Nat Commun 2015;6:6115.
- 28) Yuk JM, Shin DM, Lee HM, Kim JJ, Kim SW, Jin HS, et al. The orphan nuclear receptor SHP acts as a negative regulator in inflammatory signaling triggered by Toll-like receptors. Nat Immunol 2011;12:742-751.
- 29) Zhou H, Wang H, Ni M, Yue S, Xia Y, Busuttil RW, et al. Glycogen synthase kinase 3beta promotes liver innate immune activation by restraining AMP-activated protein kinase activation. J Hepatol 2018;69:99-109.
- 30) Rao J, Yue S, Fu Y, Zhu J, Wang X, Busuttil RW, et al. ATF6 mediates a pro-inflammatory synergy between ER stress and TLR activation in the pathogenesis of liver ischemia-reperfusion injury. Am J Transplant 2014;14:1552-1561.
- 31) Cheng L, Tian F, Tian F, Tang L, Chen G, Luo Z, et al. Repression of farnesoid X receptor contributes to biliary injuries of liver grafts through disturbing cholangiocyte bile acid transport. Am J Transplant 2013;13:3094-3102.
- 32) Wang YD, Chen WD, Wang M, Yu D, Forman BM, Huang W. Farnesoid X receptor antagonizes nuclear factor kappaB in hepatic inflammatory response. Hepatology 2008;48:1632-1643.
- 33) Mencarelli A, Renga B, Migliorati M, Cipriani S, Distrutti E, Santucci L, et al. The bile acid sensor farnesoid X receptor is a modulator of liver immunity in a rodent model of acute hepatitis. J Immunol 2009;183:6657-6666.
- 34) Xu Z, Huang G, Gong W, Zhou P, Zhao Y, Zhang Y, et al. FXR ligands protect against hepatocellular inflammation via SOCS3 induction. Cell Signal 2012;24:1658-1664.
- 35) McMahan RH, Wang XX, Cheng LL, Krisko T, Smith M, El Kasmi K, et al. Bile acid receptor activation modulates hepatic monocyte activity and improves nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Biol Chem 2013;288:11761-11770.
- 36) Verbeke L, Mannaerts I, Schierwagen R, Govaere O, Klein S, Vander Elst I, et al. FXR agonist obeticholic acid reduces hepatic inflammation and fibrosis in a rat model of toxic cirrhosis. Sci Rep 2016;6:33453.
- 37) Zhang S, Wang J, Liu Q, Harnish DC. Farnesoid X receptor agonist WAY-362450 attenuates liver inflammation and fibrosis in murine model of non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. J Hepatol 2009;51:380-388.
- 38) Vavassori P, Mencarelli A, Renga B, Distrutti E, Fiorucci S. The bile acid receptor FXR is a modulator of intestinal innate immunity. J Immunol 2009;183:6251-6261.
- 39) Hao H, Cao L, Jiang C, Che Y, Zhang S, Takahashi S, et al. Farnesoid X receptor regulation of the NLRP3 inflammasome underlies cholestasis-associated sepsis. Cell Metab 2017;25:856-867.e5.
- 40) Guo C, Xie S, Chi Z, Zhang J, Liu Y, Zhang L, et al. Bile acids control inflammation and metabolic disorder through inhibition of NLRP3 inflammasome. Immunity 2016;45:802-816. Erratum in: Immunity 2016;45:944.

- 41) Wang YD, Chen WD, Yu D, Forman BM, Huang W. The G-protein-coupled bile acid receptor, Gpbar1 (TGR5), negatively regulates hepatic inflammatory response through antagonizing nuclear factor kappa light-chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kappaB) in mice. Hepatology 2011;54:1421-1432.
- 42) Calmus Y, Poupon R. Shaping macrophages function and innate immunity by bile acids: mechanisms and implication in cholestatic liver diseases. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol 2014;38: 550-556.
- 43) Schubert K, Olde Damink SWM, von Bergen M, Schaap FG. Interactions between bile salts, gut microbiota, and hepatic innate immunity. Immunol Rev 2017;279:23-35.
- 44) Yuk JM, Jin HS, Jo EK. Small heterodimer partner and innate immune regulation. Endocrinol Metab (Seoul) 2016;31:17-24.
- 45) Fiorucci S, Antonelli E, Rizzo G, Renga B, Mencarelli A, Riccardi L, et al. The nuclear receptor SHP mediates inhibition of hepatic stellate cells by FXR and protects against liver fibrosis. Gastroenterology 2004;127:1497-1512.

- 46) Park YJ, Qatanani M, Chua SS, LaRey JL, Johnson SA, Watanabe M, et al. Loss of orphan receptor small heterodimer partner sensitizes mice to liver injury from obstructive cholestasis. Hepatology 2008;47:1578-1586.
- 47) Akinrotimi O, Riessen R, VanDuyne P, Park JE, Lee YK, Wong LJ, et al. Small heterodimer partner deletion prevents hepatic steatosis and when combined with farnesoid X receptor loss protects against type 2 diabetes in mice. Hepatology 2017;66:1854-1865.

Author names in bold designate shared co-first authorship.

Supporting Information

Additional Supporting Information may be found at onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/hep4.1478/suppinfo.