UCSF

UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Species-Specific Interactions of Src Family Tyrosine Kinases Regulate Chlamydia Intracellular Growth and Trafficking

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5gq5q4qz

Journal

mBio, 2(3)

ISSN

2161-2129

Authors

Elwell, Cherilyn A Kierbel, Arlinet Engel, Joanne N

Publication Date

2011-07-01

DOI

10.1128/mbio.00082-11

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Species-Specific Interactions of Src Family Tyrosine Kinases Regulate Chlamydia Intracellular Growth and Trafficking

Cherilyn A. Elwell, a,b Arlinet Kierbel, and Joanne N. Engela,b,d

Departments of Medicine^a and Microbiology and Immunology,^d Microbial Pathogenesis and Host Defense Program,^c University of California, San Francisco, California, USA, and Institut Pasteur de Montevideo, Montevideo, Uruguay^b

ABSTRACT Src family kinases (SFKs) regulate key cellular processes and are emerging as important targets for intracellular pathogens. In this commentary, we briefly review the role of SFKs in bacterial pathogenesis and highlight new work on the role of SFKs during the intracellular cycle of Chlamydia species.

rc family tyrosine kinases (SFKs) are cytoplasmic tyrosine kinases that participate in a vast range of physiological functions, including cell proliferation and survival, regulation of the cytoskeleton, cell shape control, maintenance of normal intercellular contacts, cell matrix adhesion dynamics, motility, and migration (1). Although some family members are ubiquitously expressed (e.g., c-Src, Yes, and Fyn), others show more restricted patterns of expression (2). Many cell types express multiple SFKs. Selective tyrosine phosphorylation allows SFK members to switch between an inactive "closed" conformation and a catalytically active "open" configuration, releasing the Src homology (SH) domains, SH2 and SH3, from intramolecular interactions. In the open conformation, the SH2 and SH3 domains to bind to heterologous molecular partners and enables the kinase domain tyrosine phosphorylate substrates.

SFKs play key roles in the pathogenesis of diverse intracellular pathogens, including viruses, parasites, and bacteria. For example, SFK-mediated phosphorylation of cortactin plays a role in Shigella entry (3, 4) and in Internalin A/E-cadherin-induced internalization of Listeria monocytogenes (5). Upon binding of enteropathogenic Escherichia coli (EPEC) to host cells, the translocated EPEC receptor TIR is phosphorylated by c-Fyn, triggering actin polymerization and pedestal formation (6, 7). Src and Lyn have been shown to tyrosine phosphorylate the Helicobacter pylori type IVsecreted effector CagA, allowing it to bind and activate the tyrosine phosphatase SHP-2, resulting in changes in the host cell morphology (8–10). Thus, SFKs are targeted by numerous pathogens in order to achieve an array of consequences ranging from inducing local actin polymerization to altering cell morphology.

SFKs are also important during many steps of infection by the obligate intracellular parasite Chlamydia. Upon bacterial attachment, Chlamydia trachomatis uses its type III secretion apparatus to inject a multifunctional protein with actin-nucleating activity, called TARP (translocated actin-recruiting phosphoprotein), which is phosphorylated by SFKs and other tyrosine kinases, such as Abl kinase (11-15). Although tyrosine phosphorylation of TARP is not required for bacterial internalization, it is postulated to allow the protein to function as a scaffold for recruitment of host proteins that modulate actin dynamics as well as for recruitment of host proteins that regulate signaling events necessary during early development.

Recent exciting studies by Mital and coworkers indicate that SFKs regulate the subsequent trafficking and intracellular development of different Chlamydia species (16, 17). Following entry, Chlamydia cells replicate within a membrane-bound compartment, the inclusion, which quickly divorces itself from the canonical endocytic pathway and is trafficked along microtubules to the microtubule-organizing center (MTOC) in a manner dependent on the minus-end motor protein dynein (18, 19). Upon arrival at the MTOC, the inclusion maintains a close association with centrosomes (20). The mechanism of interaction between the inclusion and dynein or centrosomes has remained elusive, since association of the inclusion with dynein is independent of p50 dynamitin, which activates dynein and links cargo to the dynein motor (19). These observations have led to the idea that one or more unknown bacterial effectors tether the inclusion to dynein and/or centrosomes.

Mital et al. made the observation that active Fyn and Src kinases localize with C. trachomatis and Chlamydia pneumoniae inclusion membranes in discrete microdomains (17). Furthermore, active Fyn and Src kinases colocalize with a subset of C. trachomatis bacterium-derived inclusion membrane proteins (Incs), including IncB, Inc101, Inc222, and Inc850, in these microdomains on the inclusion membrane, which display a close association with both centrosomes and dynein (17). One of these Incs, Inc850, colocalizes with centrosomes even when expressed in host cells in the absence of infection, suggesting a possible mechanistic link between the inclusion and centrosomes via Inc850. Cholesterol is also enriched in these microdomains, an intriguing observation given that SFKs are known to accumulate in membrane lipid rafts. Most notably, the authors discovered that SFK recruitment to the inclusion is not conserved in all species of Chlamydiae. Rather, SFK recruitment appears to be a feature shared only by the human-adapted species C. pneumoniae and C. trachomatis and not by Chlamydia muridarum or Chlamydia caviae (GPIC), which infect mice or guinea pigs, respectively (17).

In a recent issue of mBio, Mital and Hackstadt extended their studies and reported that the strain-dependent recruitment of SFKs to human-adapted chlamydial species exhibits unique requirements for SFKs throughout their developmental cycle (16). Chlamydia trachomatis infection of cultured cells leads to in-

Published 17 May 2011

Citation Elwell CA, Kierbel A, Engel JN. 2011. Species-specific interactions of Src family tyrosine kinases regulate Chlamydia intracellular growth and trafficking. mBio 2(3): e00082-11. doi:10.1128/mBio.00082-11.

Copyright © 2011 Elwell et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License, which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Address correspondence to Joanne N. Engel, jengel@medicine.ucsf.edu.

creased activation of SFKs. Although SFKs are not required for binding and entry of C. trachomatis or for Inc microdomain formation, the authors show that SFKs are required for microtubuledependent trafficking of the inclusion to the MTOC and for intracellular growth. Of note, the requirement of SFKs for intracellular growth is separate from the requirement of SFKs for trafficking along microtubules. This observation suggests that SFKs may serve multiple functions during C. trachomatis infection. Remarkably, the two nonhuman species, C. caviae and C. muridarum, which fail to display active SFK recruitment to inclusions, also do not traffic to the MTOC, prompting the hypothesis that SFK recruitment correlates with trafficking to the MTOC. Furthermore, C. caviae and C. muridarum do not display the developmental defects observed with C. trachomatis in SFK-deficient cells. Instead, inhibition of SFKs actually enhances the intracellular replication of C. caviae and C. muridarum, suggesting that the growth of nonhuman strains may instead be restricted by SFKs. The authors speculate that species-specific utilization of SFKs may represent a novel mechanism for defining host tropism.

These new observations raise several interesting questions. First, how do SFKs regulate microtubule-dependent trafficking to the MTOC? Src and Fyn have been shown to interact with gammatubulin and to regulate microtubule nucleation from membranes (Fyn) and from centrosomes (Src) (21–23). It is possible that SFKs cooperate with both host and bacterial proteins within the specialized microdomains on the inclusion surface to control trafficking along microtubules and to promote proper positioning of the inclusion at the centrosome. Second, how are SFKs activated and recruited to the inclusion, and what accounts for their unusual distribution to a few discrete subdomains on the inclusion? Do the Incs interact directly with activated SFKs, or are other proteins involved in their interactions? Is SFK activity required for interaction with dynein and/or centrosomes? One attractive hypothesis is that one or more of the Incs present in the microdomains recruit active SFKs to the inclusion, thus promoting microtubule nucleation and dynein-dependent movement toward the MTOC, where upon arrival, Inc850 then binds centrosomes for proper positioning. Third, what accounts for the species specificity? The diverse requirements of SFKs for human versus nonhuman strains are particularly exciting because genomic comparisons of Chlamydia species have yielded little insight into specific virulence determinants associated with disease. It will be interesting to determine whether the specific Incs that colocalize with SFKs within the microdomains display species-specific binding and activation of Src and/or Fyn or are differentially expressed in the nonhuman strains, as this could account for the observed SFK dependencies. Fourth, what is the nature of the developmental defect observed in the absence of SFKs for the human-adapted strains? Finally, how do SFKs restrict the growth of nonhuman species? Do active SFKs enhance the innate immune response, affect accessibility to nutrients, or regulate general host protein trafficking during infection of the nonhuman strains?

Studying the role of SFKs during *Chlamydia* infection will likely lead to a better understanding of how SFKs regulate microtubule-dependent trafficking in normal host cells and shed light on the unique ways that pathogens subvert host cell signaling. Furthermore, the ability of *Chlamydia* to alter centrosome positioning and cause deregulation of centrosome duplication (20, 24) may also provide insights into the pathways leading to chromosome instability during deregulated states, such as cancer.

Once again, pathogens serve as tutors to help us understand complex but fundamental cellular processes.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brown MT, Cooper JA. 1996. Regulation, substrates and functions of src. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1287:121–149.
- Lowell CA, Soriano P. 1996. Knockouts of Src-family kinases: stiff bones, wimpy T cells, and bad memories. Genes Dev. 10:1845–1857.
- 3. Bougnères L, et al. 2004. Cortactin and Crk cooperate to trigger actin polymerization during *Shigella* invasion of epithelial cells. J. Cell Biol. 166:225–235.
- 4. Nhieu GT, Enninga J, Sansonetti P, Grompone G. 2005. Tyrosine kinase signaling and type III effectors orchestrating *Shigella* invasion. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 8:16–20.
- Sousa S, et al. 2007. Src, cortactin and Arp2/3 complex are required for E-cadherin-mediated internalization of *Listeria* into cells. Cell. Microbiol. 9:2629–2643.
- Hayward RD, et al. 2009. Clustering transfers the translocated *Escherichia coli* receptor into lipid rafts to stimulate reversible activation of c-Fyn. Cell. Microbiol. 11:433–441.
- Phillips N, Hayward RD, Koronakis V. 2004. Phosphorylation of the enteropathogenic *E. coli* receptor by the Src-family kinase c-Fyn triggers actin pedestal formation. Nat. Cell Biol. 6:618–625.
- 8. Higashi H, et al. 2002. SHP-2 tyrosine phosphatase as an intracellular target of *Helicobacter pylori* CagA protein. Science 295:683–686.
- Selbach M, Moese S, Hauck CR, Meyer TF, Backert S. 2002. Src is the kinase of the *Helicobacter pylori* CagA protein in vitro and in vivo. J. Biol. Chem. 277:6775–6778.
- Stein M, et al. 2002. c-Src/Lyn kinases activate Helicobacter pylori CagA through tyrosine phosphorylation of the EPIYA motifs. Mol. Microbiol. 43:971–980.
- 11. Elwell CA, Ceesay A, Kim JH, Kalman D, Engel JN. 2008. RNA interference screen identifies Abl kinase and PDGFR signaling in *Chlamydia trachomatis* entry. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000021.
- Jewett TJ, Dooley CA, Mead DJ, Hackstadt T. 2008. Chlamydia trachomatis tarp is phosphorylated by src family tyrosine kinases. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 371:339–344.
- Lane BJ, Mutchler C, Al Khodor S, Grieshaber SS, Carabeo RA. 2008. Chlamydial entry involves TARP binding of guanine nucleotide exchange factors. PLoS Pathog. 4:e1000014.
- Mehlitz A, Banhart S, Hess S, Selbach M, Meyer TF. 2008. Complex kinase requirements for *Chlamydia trachomatis* Tarp phosphorylation. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 289:233–240.
- Mehlitz A, et al. 2010. Tarp regulates early *Chlamydia*-induced host cell survival through interactions with the human adaptor protein SHC1. J. Cell Biol. 190:143–157.
- 16. Mital J, Hackstadt T. 2011. Diverse requirements for SRC-family tyrosine kinases distinguish chlamydial species. mBio 2:-e00031–11.
- Mital J, Miller NJ, Fischer ER, Hackstadt T. 2010. Specific chlamydial inclusion membrane proteins associate with active Src family kinases in microdomains that interact with the host microtubule network. Cell. Microbiol. 12:1235–1249.
- Clausen JD, Christiansen G, Holst HU, Birkelund S. 1997. Chlamydia trachomatis utilizes the host cell microtubule network during early events of infection. Mol. Microbiol. 25:441–449.
- Grieshaber SS, Grieshaber NA, Hackstadt T. 2003. Chlamydia trachomatis uses host cell dynein to traffic to the microtubule-organizing center in a p50 dynamitin-independent process. J. Cell Sci. 116:3793–3802.
- Grieshaber SS, Grieshaber NA, Miller N, Hackstadt T. 2006. Chlamydia trachomatis causes centrosomal defects resulting in chromosomal segregation abnormalities. Traffic 7:940–949.
- Colello D, et al. 2010. Androgen and Src signaling regulate centrosome activity. J. Cell Sci. 123:2094–2102.
- Levi M, Shalgi R. 2010. The role of Fyn kinase in the release from metaphase in mammalian oocytes. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. 314:228–233.
- Macurek L, et al. 2008. Regulation of microtubule nucleation from membranes by complexes of membrane-bound gamma-tubulin with Fyn kinase and phosphoinositide 3-kinase. Biochem. J. 416:421–430.
- Johnson KA, Tan M, Sütterlin C. 2009. Centrosome abnormalities during a *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection are caused by dysregulation of the normal duplication pathway. Cell. Microbiol. 11:1064–1073.