

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Associations between reliable changes in depression and changes in BMI, total body fatness and visceral adiposity during a 12-month weight loss trial.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5g9894md>

Journal

International journal of obesity (2005), 43(9)

ISSN

0307-0565

Authors

Cameron, Natalie
Godino, Job G
Skipper, Tricia
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2019-09-01

DOI

10.1038/s41366-018-0272-1

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Int J Obes (Lond). 2019 September ; 43(9): 1859–1862. doi:10.1038/s41366-018-0272-1.

Associations between reliable changes in depression and changes in BMI, total body fatness and visceral adiposity during a 12-month weight loss trial

Natalie Cameron¹, Job G. Godino^{2,3}, Tricia Skipper³, Lindsay Dillon^{2,3}, Jill Waalen³, Linda Hill^{2,3}, Kevin Patrick³

¹University of California San Diego School of Medicine, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

²Center for Wireless and Population Health Systems, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

³Department of Family Medicine and Public Health UC San Diego, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093

Abstract

We investigated associations between changes in depression and body composition over a 12-month weight loss trial. Of the 298 adults (BMI > 27 m/kg²), 219 with complete depression and body composition data were included. A 10-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale measured depression; dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry measured body composition. Multinomial logistic regression predicted reliable changes in depression by BMI, body fat (BF) and visceral adiposity (VAT). Multiplicative interaction terms tested modification by sex and ethnicity. Participants with increases in body composition were less likely to experience improvements in depression (BMI: RRR = 0.79 (0.68 – 0.91), $p < 0.01$; BF: RRR = 0.97 (0.94 – 0.99), $p = 0.01$; VAT: RRR = 0.99 (0.98 – 1.00), $p = 0.02$), but not worsening of depression (BMI: RRR = 1.29 (0.96 – 1.73), $p = 0.10$; BF: RRR = 1.04 (0.99 – 1.09), $p = 0.15$; VAT: RRR = 1.01 (1.00 – 1.03), $p = 0.18$). Sex and ethnicity interaction terms were not significant. However, the relationship was only significant among females, among non-Latinos for BMI and BF, and among Latinos for VAT. Our study supports the association between depression and obesity and highlights the need for longitudinal studies investigating VAT and depression in diverse ethnic groups.

Introduction

Obesity and depression are critical public health concerns in the United States (ref. 1–2). Both are associated with chronic disease and all-cause mortality (ref. 3–4). Observational studies report associations between depression and obesity (ref. 5–7) mediated by several factors including hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis dysregulation, pro-inflammatory

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use:http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Corresponding Author: Natalie Cameron, University of California San Diego School of Medicine, 9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA, 92093-0811, 516-639-2629, ncameron1002@gmail.com.

Competing Interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

cytokine release, appetite regulation, and genetic vulnerabilities (ref. 8). Since visceral adiposity (VAT) is particularly pro-inflammatory (ref. 9), VAT may specifically mediate this relationship (ref. 10).

To our knowledge, no studies have correlated changes in VAT with changes in depression over time. Additionally, results from clinical weight loss trials correlating weight loss with depression are less consistent than those from observational studies possibly due to differences in study population and design (ref. 11–13). Therefore, the purpose of our study is to investigate associations between changes in body composition (including VAT) and changes in depression over a 12-month weight loss trial. Since several studies suggest the relationship may be strongest in non-Latino, white women (ref. 5–7, 10, 14), we also investigated the modifying effect of sex and ethnicity (Latino vs non-Latino).

Methods

This was a secondary data analysis from a RCT investigating effects of a text-messaging program targeting weight loss ([ClinicalTrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT01171586) NCT01171586). Participants provided written informed consent; the University of California San Diego IRB (Project #091040) approved the study. Participants were 298 adults (21–61 years) with BMI > 27 kg/m² from San Diego without histories of eating disorders or bariatric surgery, and not taking weight changing medications. Participants were randomized to a control, motivational text-messaging, or text-messaging plus motivational phone call group. Investigators were blinded to group allocation. We excluded 79 participants missing depression or body composition data; they did not differ from those included by age, sex, ethnicity (non-Latino vs Latino) or baseline BMI ($p > 0.05$). Inclusion/exclusion criteria were pre-established.

A 10-item Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CES-D) scale measured depressive symptoms (ref. 15). Scores range from 0 to 30 with 10 correlating with an increased risk for clinical depression. Body composition measures including BMI, body fat (BF) and VAT were assessed with validated dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scanning using CoreScan/enCORE Software (GE/Lunar, Madison, WI, USA) (ref. 16).

To predict clinically meaningful changes in depression, we determined a reliable change in depression score (ref. 12, 17). Unlike cut-off values, reliable change scores identify subjects with significant changes in depression who do not cross a clinical threshold (CESD = 10). Likewise, it excludes those who pass thresholds while experiencing minimal changes in depression. Reliable change was calculated as 3.77 using the equation $1.96 \sqrt{2(SD_{pre}\sqrt{1-\alpha})^2}$ (SD_{pre} = SD baseline CES-D score = 3.21; α = Cronbach's alpha measuring internal consistency = 0.82) (ref. 12,17). We labeled participants with change in CES-D from baseline to 12-months ≥ 4 as “less depressed,” change ≤ -4 as “more depressed” and change $< |4|$ as experiencing “no change.”

Multinomial logistic regression predicted the probability of becoming “more depressed” or “less depressed” relative to experiencing “no change” by changes in body composition. We combined study groups for the analysis because there were no differences among groups for change in depression. To choose covariates for the model, we ran multinomial logistic

regressions to predict changes in depression by each covariate of interest. Covariates significant at the $p < 0.2$ level included were sex ($p = 0.01$), change in daily sleep time ($p = 0.03$), baseline percent BF ($p = 0.07$), and baseline depression score ($p = 0.05$). Change in BMI, percent change in BF, and percent change in VAT were then added in separate models. Lastly, we added multiplicative interaction terms to investigate the modifying effect of sex and ethnicity. The ethnicity*body composition and sex*body composition interactions were not investigated for males and the “more depressed” group due to small sample sizes (Latino males: $n = 11$; “more depressed”: $n = 18$). Assumptions of multinomial logistic regression were met including independence of observations, mutually exclusive dependent variables, and absence of multicollinearity. P-values < 0.05 level were considered significant.

Results

Most participants were female (75%) and non-Latino (60%) with a mean age of 42 years (Table 1). Mean baseline CES-D score was 8.8 ± 3.2 with an average decrease of 3.1 ± 4.3 . Baseline BMI, percent BF, and VAT mass were $32.5 \pm 3.3 \text{ kg/m}^2$, $42.7 \pm 6.0\%$ and $1318.5 \pm 646.7\text{g}$ with modest decreases of $0.33 \pm 2.4 \text{ kg/m}^2$, $2.2 \pm 13.4\%$ and $3.2 \pm 30.9\%$, respectively. Of the 219 participants, 108 (49.3%) became “less depressed,” 19 (8.7%) became “more depressed” and 92 (42.0%) experienced “no change.”

Regressions revealed that increases in body composition were associated with a decreased probability of becoming “less depressed” ($p < 0.05$). For every 1kg/m^2 increase in BMI and 1% increase in BF and VAT, there was a 21%, 3% and 1% decrease in the probability of becoming “less depressed,” respectively. Increases in body composition were not associated with becoming “more depressed” (Table 2).

There were no interactions among sex, ethnicity and change in body composition in predicting reliable changes in depression. However, changes in body composition were associated with becoming “less depressed” in women only. Changes in body composition were associated with becoming “less depressed” in non-Latino women for BMI and BF only (BMI: RRR = 0.77 (0.62 – 0.96), $p = 0.02$; BF: RRR = 0.96 (0.93 – 1.00), $p = 0.05$), and in Latino women for VAT only (RRR: 0.96 (0.93 – 1.00), $p = 0.03$) (Table 2).

Discussion

Changes in body composition over this 12-month weight loss intervention were associated with decreases in depression, supporting numerous studies documenting associations between depression and obesity (ref. 5–7, 10, 12–14). The association was most clinically relevant for changes in BMI as 1 unit changes in BMI, BF and VAT correlated to 21%, 3% and 1% increases in the chance of becoming less depressed, respectively. Additionally, rounding to the nearest hundredth, the 95% CI for the RRR for VAT contained 1. Although we cannot infer direction of causality, our results may suggest that changes in BMI are easier for participants to monitor, and therefore, affected mood more so than BF or VAT. Interestingly, increases in body composition were not associated with increases in depression, suggesting that weight gain or increases in depression may simply impede meaningful improvements in depression or weight, respectively.

There were no interactions between sex, ethnicity, and body composition in predicting changes in depression. However, the association was only significant among women, non-Latinos for BMI and BF, and Latinos for VAT. These results support studies showing stronger associations between obesity and depression in females (ref. 5–7,10, 14).

Observational studies suggest that the relationship is stronger for non-Latino white women compared to Latino and black women, which would support our findings regarding BMI (ref. 5,6,14). However, no studies to date have investigated the relationship between changes in VAT and depression in Latinos.

Strengths of this study include the use of data from a 12-month RCT with a high proportion of Latinos compared to prior studies (ref. 6), and the use of DXA derived VAT data. To our knowledge, there have been no studies to date investigating associations between VAT and depression over time, particularly in Latino adults. In addition, using reliable change scores with multinomial logistic regression instead of cut-off values or linear modeling allowed for better characterization of clinically significant changes in depression (ref. 17).

Limitations include a modestly sized data set with a small number of male subjects ($n = 54$, 25% of sample). Our sample size, however, is comparable to those of similar trials (ref. 12–13). In addition, decreases in body composition over the trial were small with large standard deviations, particularly for BMI. Our data was also obtained from a study not specifically designed to investigate the contributions of sex and ethnicity in the relationship between depression and body composition. Additionally, this was a correlational study, and we therefore cannot make assumptions regarding causality. Finally, we could not control for possible effect modifiers including diet, substance abuse, menopausal status and psychiatric history.

Conclusions

In conclusion, changes in body composition were associated with reliable decreases in depressive symptoms, but not increases in depressive symptoms during this weight loss trial. Although there was no interaction between sex and ethnicity in this relationship, subgroup analyses revealed the relationship was significant for females only and differed by ethnicity. Our study contributes to the current literature with its use of VAT data, its high proportion of Latino participants and its longitudinal design, and points to the need for further work investigating associations between changes in VAT and depression over time and across ethnic groups. Knowledge of our results and differences in the response to weight loss among ethnic groups can help clinicians practice personalized medicine and motivate those with obesity to lose weight.

Acknowledgements

The study received partial support from the National Institutes of Health Grant R01 CA138730. We thank the investigators and staff of the ConTxt Study, the Exercise and Physical Activity Resource Center (EPARC), and participants for their contributions.

References

1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Flegal KM. NCHS Data Brief - Prevalence of Obesity Among Adults and Youth: United States, 2011–2014. 2015.
2. National Institute of Mental Health. Major Depression Statistics [Internet] 2017 Available from: https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/major-depression.shtml#part_155029
3. Moise N, Khodneva Y, Jannat-Khah DP, Richman J, Davidson KW, Kronish IM, et al. Observational study of the differential impact of time-varying depressive symptoms on all-cause and cause-specific mortality by health status in community-dwelling adults: the REGARDS study. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(1):e017385.
4. Neeland IJ, Turer AT, Ayers CR, Berry JD, Rohatgi A, Das SR, et al. Body Fat Distribution and Incident Cardiovascular Disease in Obese Adults. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2015;65(19):2150–1. [PubMed: 25975481]
5. Carter JD, Assari S. Sustained obesity and depressive symptoms over 6 years: Race by gender differences in the health and retirement Study. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2017;8 (1):1–11.
6. Gavin AR, Rue T, Takeuchi D. Racial/ethnic differences in the association between obesity and major depressive disorder: Findings from the comprehensive psychiatric epidemiology surveys. *Public Health Reports* 2010;125(5):698–708. [PubMed: 20873286]
7. Pereira-Miranda E, Costa PRF, Queiroz VAO, Pereira-Santos M, Santana MLP. Overweight and Obesity Associated with Higher Depression Prevalence in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Am Coll Nutr* 2017;36(3):223–33. [PubMed: 28394727]
8. Jantaratnotai N, Mosikanon K, Lee Y, McIntyre RS. The interface of depression and obesity. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2017;11(1):1–10.
9. Pou KM, Massaro JM, Hoffmann U, Vasan RS, Maurovich-Horvat P, Larson MG, et al. Visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue volumes are cross-sectionally related to markers of inflammation and oxidative stress: The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation* 2007;116(11):1234–41. [PubMed: 17709633]
10. Murabito JM, Massaro JM, Clifford B, Hoffmann U, Fox CS. Depressive symptoms are associated with visceral adiposity in a community-based sample of middle-aged women and men. *Obesity* 2012;21(8):1713–9.
11. Fabricatore AN, Wadden TA, Higginbotham AJ, Faulconbridge LF, Nguyen AM, Heymsfield SB. Intentional weight loss and changes in symptoms of depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes* 2011;35(11):1363–76.
12. Busch AM, Whited MC, Applehans BM, Schneider KL, Waring ME, DeDiasse MA, et al. Reliable change in depression during behavioral weight loss treatment among women with major depression. *Obesity* 2013;21(3):211–8.
13. Simon GE, Rohde P, Ludman EJ, Jeffery RW, Linde JA, Operskalski BH, et al. Associations between change in depression and change in weight among women enrolled in weight loss treatment. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2010;32(6):583–9. [PubMed: 21112449]
14. Pratt LA, Brody DJ. Depression and obesity in the U.S. Adult household population, 2005–2010. *NCHS Data Brief* 2014;(167):1–8.
15. Zhang W, O'Brien N, Forrest JI, Salters K a., Patterson TL, Montaner JSG, et al. Validating a shortened depression scale (10 item CES-D) among HIV-Positive people in British Columbia, Canada. *PLoS One* 2012;7(7):1–5.
16. Kaul S, Rothney MP, Peters DM, Wacker WK, Davis CE, Shapiro MD, et al. Dual-Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry for Quantification of Visceral Fat. *Obesity* 2012;20(6):1313–8. [PubMed: 22282048]
17. Wise EA. Methods for analyzing psychotherapy outcomes: a review of clinical significance, reliable change, and recommendations for future directions. *J Pers Assess* 2004;82(1):50–9. [PubMed: 14979834]

Table 1.**Demographics**

	n = 219
Age (SD)	41.88 (11.05)
Female (%)	75.34 (n=165)
Latino (%)	40.18 (n=88)
Education (%)	
High School or Less	19.18
Some College/Associate/Technical	33.79
College	23.29
Graduate or Post-graduate Training	23.74
Employment (%)	
Unemployed	20.09
Part-Time	18.72
Full -Time	61.19

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

Multinomial logistic regression predicting reliable changes in depression by changes in body composition

Covariates	“Less Depressed”*			“More depressed”*		
	RRR	(95% CI)	P Value	RRR	(95% CI)	P Value
Sex (female vs male)	2.53	(0.98,6.54)	0.06	0.24	(0.02,2.70)	0.25
Change in average sleep per day (min)	1.01	(1.00,1.01)	0.01	1.00	(1.00,1.01)	0.29
Baseline body fat (%)	1.02	(0.96,1.09)	0.54	1.01	(0.89,1.15)	0.85
Baseline depression score (CES-D 10)	1.06	(0.97, 1.17)	0.21	0.83	(0.68,1.01)	0.07
Predictor Variables						
Change in BMI	0.79	(0.68,0.91)	< 0.01	1.29	(0.96, 1.73)	0.10
<i>Sex Interaction</i>			0.26			
Male	0.91	(0.66,1.25)	0.56			
Female	0.75	(0.63,0.90)	< 0.01	1.24	(0.91,1.68)	0.17
<i>Ethnicity Interaction (Females only)</i>			0.55			
Non-Latino	0.77	(0.62,0.96)	0.02			
Latino	0.68	(0.46,1.01)	0.06			
Percent Change in Body Fat	0.97	(0.94, 0.99)	0.01	1.04	(0.99, 1.09)	0.15
<i>Sex Interaction</i>			0.15			
Male	0.99	(0.94,1.04)	0.63			
Female	0.96	(0.93,0.99)	0.01	1.04	(0.98,1.09)	0.20
<i>Ethnicity Interaction (Females only)</i>			0.32			
Non-Latino	0.96	(0.93,1.00)	0.05			
Latino	0.94	(0.88,1.01)	0.08			
Percent Change in VAT	0.99	(0.98, 1.00)	0.02	1.01	(1.00, 1.03)	0.18
<i>Sex Interaction</i>			0.16			
Male	1.00	(0.97,1.02)	0.85			
Female	0.98	(0.97,1.00)	0.01	1.01	(1.00,1.03)	0.18
<i>Ethnicity Interaction (Females only)</i>			0.18			
Non-Latino	0.99	(0.97,1.00)	0.08			
Latino	0.96	(0.93,1.00)	0.03			

* Compared to “no change” in depression group