

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

Is the listener really listening? Exploring the effect of verbal and gestural speaker cues on backchanneling

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5dx9s4kv>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 37(0)

#### **Authors**

Stave, Matthew

Pederson, Eric

#### **Publication Date**

2015

Peer reviewed

# **Is the listener really listening? Exploring the effect of verbal and gestural speaker cues on backchanneling.**

**Matthew Stave**  
University of Oregon

**Eric Pederson**  
University of Oregon

**Abstract:** It is well known that listeners of probably all languages give verbal and non-verbal signals, called backchannels, to their interlocutors. However, it is not well understood what drives listeners to backchannel. To what degree are they an indicator of listener attention? Are backchannels semantically motivated, performed when the message has been parsed and comprehended? Or are they automatic responses triggered by overt cues from the speaker (such as eye contact, gestures, or prosodic information), requiring minimal comprehension?

An important first step in answering this question is identifying what overt speaker cues trigger backchannels, and to what degree. This preliminary study looks at storytelling data from conversational dyads. We find that the speaker cue most likely to 'successfully' trigger a backchannel is making eye contact. Interestingly, however, other cues are more likely to trigger different kinds of backchannels: gestural cues trigger more head nods, while prosodic cues trigger more verbal backchannels.