# **UCSF**

# **UC San Francisco Previously Published Works**

### **Title**

Confidentiality and Access to Adolescent Health Care Services

### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5947b9gx

# **Journal**

Journal of Pediatric Health Care, 24(2)

### **ISSN**

0891-5245

#### **Author**

Schapiro, Naomi A

### **Publication Date**

2010-03-01

#### DOI

10.1016/j.pedhc.2009.11.004

Peer reviewed

# Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Pediatric Health Care Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JPHC-D-09-00146R1

Title: Confidentiality and Access to Adolescent Health Care Services

Article Type: Health Policy

Section/Category: Departments (Sarah Martin)

Corresponding Author: Ms. Naomi A. Schapiro, PhD Candidate

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of California, San Francisco

First Author: Naomi A. Schapiro, PhD Candidate

Order of Authors: Naomi A. Schapiro, PhD Candidate

# \*Manuscript

Click here to download Manuscript: Confidentiality\_Title page

Confidentiality and Access to Adolescent Health Care Services

Naomi A. Schapiro, RN, PhD (c), CPNP Associate Clinical Professor Department of Family Health Care Nursing University of California, San Francisco

naomi.schapiro@nursing.ucsf.edu

2338 McGee Avenue Berkeley, CA 94703 October 30, 2009

Sarah A. Martin, MS, RN, CPNP-PC/AC 204 W. Fremont Street Arlington Heights, IL 60004 E-mail: smartin@childrensmemorial.org

Dear Ms. Martin,

Please accept my submission for the Health Policy column, Confidentiality and Access to Adolescent Health Care Services.

Karen Duderstadt, the column editor, has already reviewed the manuscript and made some editorial suggestions. This article is over 1500 words, as you and Karen had discussed.

There are more than 10 references, which was the limit I had been given, though the total is under 1700 words. Let me know if you want me to try to pare them down. I thought, given the nature of the policy issue, that readers might want references for some of the facts and assertions in the column.

This article has not been submitted nor is it under consideration for any other journal. The article is adapted from a talk I gave in Spanish at a Colombian-Venezuelan health conference and has a different focus from that talk.

Van

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Naomi A Schapiro

Confidentiality and Access to Adolescent Health Care Services

Adolescent birth rates in the U.S. declined steadily from the 1950s until 2005, but increased 3.5% in 2006. While there are multiple factors at play in this reversal, the increases were largest in states with the most limited access to confidential adolescent health services and contraception (Child Trends Data Bank, 2007).

Adolescent childbearing has been linked to poorer health outcomes for both mother and child, and maternal mortality is the third leading cause of death for adolescents internationally (World Health Organization, 2009). Access to confidential reproductive health services for adolescents is an important policy issue for pediatric health care providers (HCPs). This article will discuss the scope and implications of confidentiality in adolescent health care services in the U.S., discuss recent controversies and policy reversals in this area, and compare approaches within the U.S. to international policies, specifically the *United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child* (UNCRC).

Evolution of Adolescent Confidentiality Rights

Privacy and confidentiality rights for adolescents as well as adults have gradually evolved in the U. S. since the 1960s. While not specifically guaranteed in the Constitution, court decisions have affirmed that the Bill of Rights implicitly protects confidentiality. Until 1965, individual states had the right to regulate the availability of contraception, even for married couples. In the case of Griswold vs. Connecticut, the Supreme Court ordered the State of Connecticut to allow prescription of contraception to married couples, affirming their rights to make private decisions about birth control. In 1973, privacy rights were extended by the Supreme Court to allow a woman to make a

 decision about abortion with her physician, overturning state laws outlawing abortion (Luker, 2006).

The decade of the 1970s saw a progression of laws regarding adolescent access to contraception, testing and treatment of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and pregnancy management including abortion. By the 1980s, there was a backlash from conservative and religious groups who objected to adolescent access without parental permission to contraception and abortion, as well as to public funding for these services for women of all ages. Some of these controversies spread to public schools, specifically regarding the provision and content of sex education (Luker, 2006; Santelli et al., 2006).

# Adolescent Confidentiality & Reproductive Health

Starting in the 1980s, there were dramatic changes in policies regarding national and international reproductive health, including adolescent access, depending on the administration in the White House. President Reagan instituted a "gag rule" that prohibited U.S. aid to international programs that mentioned abortion when counseling about sexual harm reduction. The gag rule was lifted during the Clinton administration, replaced during the administration of President George W. Bush, and lifted once more as one of President Obama's first acts in 2009.

Adolescent confidentiality rights have been affected by a series of Presidential Executive Orders. President Clinton extended the privacy rights of adolescents seeking confidential care in federally funded family planning clinics. While President George W. Bush maintained adolescents' ability to consent to confidential contraceptive care, he allowed parental access to these records, unless a given state had a more comprehensive privacy policy. By the end of 2008, the Federal Government extended regulations about

conscience, greatly expanding the rights of employees of a clinic or pharmacy, including cashiers, to refuse to participate in filling a prescription or selling contraceptives to any individual if this action violated the employee's conscience. The Obama administration is in the process of modifying this regulation (Cohen, 2009; Kulczycki, 2007).

# Access to Confidential Health Services

The ability of an adolescent to access confidential services varies from state to state, and specific access in a given state may be controversial and in flux; the following figures were accurate as of November, 2009 (Guttmacher Institute, nd). Twenty-one states and the District of Columbia offer contraception for all adolescents, while 25 more allow adolescents to consent to contraception under specific circumstances, such as age, marriage or past pregnancy. Three states and the District of Columbia explicitly allow adolescents access to abortions, while laws mandating parental involvement in six more states are currently on hold because of Court decisions. Twenty-eight states and the District of Columbia allow the minor unrestricted consent to her own prenatal care. In contrast, all 50 states allow adolescents to consent for testing and treatment of STIs (Guttmacher Institute, nd), although some states exclude HIV testing and some allow the HCP to inform the parent of the tests.

Adolescent Confidentiality & Parental Rights: The Ethical Underpinnings

Medical ethics are based on principles such as autonomy, nonmaleficence and
beneficence (Beauchamp & Childress, 2001). Autonomy supports the right of individuals
to make decisions about their own care. Nonmaleficence involves the basic duty to avoid
harming patients, and in the case of adolescent care, the clinician must balance the harm
of disclosing sensitive topics to the adolescent's parent with the potential harm of

keeping important health information from the parent. Beneficence involves actively promoting good in addition to preventing harm, and it can be difficult to balance with respect for the individual's autonomy. In the case of adolescent health care services, beneficence might include increasing access to health care by removing barriers such as parental disclosure (Lehrer, Pantell, Tebb & Shafer, 2007).

Privacy rights also allow parents to make decisions about their children's health care, including the decisions to refuse vaccination and life-saving medical treatments if these treatments conflict with personal or religious beliefs (Miller, 2006). Laws about child maltreatment in many states specifically allow parental exemptions from medical care on the grounds of personal or religious beliefs (Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2007). Conversely, adolescents have been allowed to make decisions about their own medical treatment that conflict with their parents, for example in refusing another round of chemotherapy for cancer. While 14 has often been cited as an age at which adolescent cognitive skills are sufficiently developed to make independent decisions, 12 years of age is often used as the cutoff for confidential decision-making.

## Adolescent Right to Privacy & Health Outcomes

In delivering confidential services to an adolescent, the pediatric HCP may not be permitted to disclose information without the youth's consent, even to the parent. Why provide this level of privacy? Traditionally, HCPs have used a utilitarian approach (Beauchamp & Childress, 2001), in that teens are likely to do without health care if their confidentiality is not maintained (Lehrer et al., 2007). For example, most adolescents attending one family planning clinic stated that their parents were aware of their visits (Jones & Boonstra, 2005). However, while the teens who had not informed their parents

 stated that mandatory parental notification would be a barrier to their continued use of the clinic, they also stated that a lack of access to contraception, STI testing and treatment would not prevent them from continuing to engage in sexual activity.

Access to confidential reproductive health services should be viewed in the broader context of adolescent risk. In the U.S., the leading causes of death for adolescents are unintentional injury, suicide, and homicide. Internationally, the World Health Organization (2009) lists road accidents, self-inflicted injury and violence as first, second and fourth leading causes of death, with adolescent maternal mortality ranked as third. These causes are connected to psychosocial issues and behaviors, which are often, in turn, connected to developing adolescent sexuality, depression and substance use (Eaton et al., 2008). With mental health problems affecting up to 20% of the world's youth, addressing confidentiality and mental health access is becoming an international priority.

# Limits to Confidentiality

There are limits to confidentiality, in order to ensure that the health care provider protects adolescents from harm. All 50 states in the U.S. have laws that mandate the reporting of child abuse and neglect (Child Welfare Information Gateway, 2007).

Although these laws vary considerably from state to state, they usually include a provision for reporting consensual sexual activity between young adolescents and adults and may affect access to care. Some states, such as California, do not allow either the teen or the health care provider to withhold the report, while others allow the health care provider to use his or her best judgment. New York State prohibits reporting without the adolescent's consent unless sexual assault was committed by a parent or parental figure.

Other limits to confidentiality involve protecting the adolescent from self-harm and protecting the intended victim if the adolescent intends harm to others.

Two categories of minors (under 18 years of age) in the U.S. can consent to full scope medical treatment: emancipated minors and "mature minors," with the latter category applying to adolescents who have run away or are living on the streets (National Center for Youth Law Teen Health Rights).

Confidentiality & Access to Reproductive Health Services Internationally

The *United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child* (UNCRC) is a legal document asserting a broad range of rights that are inherently due to children, including protection from harm, attainment of the highest possible state of health, and freedom of thought and expression appropriate to the child's maturity (UNICEF, nd). International support for increased adolescent access to health care and adolescent confidentiality are implied in the UNCRC, especially Articles 12, 14, 16, 19 and 24 (see Box 1). Twenty years after its initial adoption, the only countries in the world that have not ratified the UNCRC are the U.S. and Somalia. The World Health Organization's *Child and Adolescent Health Progress Report 2008* also highlights the importance of community support for safe, accessible and confidential adolescent services (World Health Organization, 2009).

### Policy Imperative

There is broad legal and ethical support for the delivery of confidential health services to adolescents, both in the U.S. and internationally. Access to confidential health services can increase adolescents' access to care, with the potential to decrease both their use of risky behaviors and the impact of these behaviors on their long term

physical and psychosocial health. Pediatric and adolescent HCPs can lead the charge to
ratification of the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child by the U.S.
government and contribute to improved access to health care for all adolescents.
Note: This article has been adapted from a presentation given by the author at the Third
National Colombian-Venezuelan Public Health Conference, Cúcuta, Colombia, June 27,
2009 (Schapiro, 2009)
References:
Beauchamp, T. L., & Childress, J. F. (2001). <i>Principles of biomedical ethics</i> (Fifth ed.). New
York: Oxford University Press.
Child Trends Data Bank (2007). Teen Births Retrieved from
http://www.childtrendsdatabank.org/pdf/13_PDF.pdf
Child Welfare Information Gateway (2007). Definitions of child abuse and neglect: State
statutes series. Retrieved from
http://www.childwelfare.gov/systemwide/laws_policies/statutes/define.cfm.
Cohen, S. A. (2009). The new day at its dawn: Evidence is back, but politics endures.
Guttmacher Policy Review, 12, 2-5. Retrieved from
http://www.guttmacher.org/pubs/gpr/12/1/index.html
Eaton, D. K., Kann, L., Kinchen, S., Shanklin, S., Ross, J., Hawkins, J., et al. (2008). Youth risk
behavior surveillanceUnited States, 2007. MMWR Surveillance Summaries, 57(4), 1-

161	131.
162	Guttmacher Institute. Adolescents Retrieved from
163	http://www.guttmacher.org/sections/adolescents.php
164	Kulczycki, A. (2007). Ethics, ideology, and reproductive health policy in the United States.
165	Studies in Family Planning, 38, 333-351.
166	Jones, R. K., & Boonstra, H. (2005). Confidential reproductive health care for adolescents.
167	Current Opinion in Obstetrics and Gynecology, 17, 456-460.
168	Lehrer, J. A., Pantell, R., Tebb, K., & Shafer, M. A. (2007). Forgone health care among U.S.
169	adolescents: associations between risk characteristics and confidentiality concern.
170	Journal of Adolescent Health, 40, 218-226.
171	Luker, K. (2006). When sex goes to school: Warring views on sex - and sex education - since the
172	Sixties. New York: W.W. Norton.
173	Miller, R. B. (2006). On medicine, culture, and children's basic interests: a reply to three critics.
174	Journal of Religious Ethics, 34, 177-189.
175	National Center for Youth Law Teen Health Rights. Retrieved from
176	http://www.teenhealthrights.org/
177	Santelli, J., Ott, M. A., Lyon, M., Rogers, J., Summers, D., & Schleifer, R. (2006). Abstinence
178	and abstinence-only education: a review of U.S. policies and programs. Journal of
179	Adolescent Health, 38, 72-81.
180	Schapiro, N.A. (2009, June). Confidencialidad en los adolescentes. Paper presented at the Third
181	Colombian-Venezuelan Public Health Conference, Cúcuta, Colombia.
182	United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Convention on the Rights of the Child. Retrieved
183	from http://www.unicef.org/crc/

184	World Health Organization (2009). Child and adolescent health and development: Progress
185	reports highlights 2008 (No. ISBN 978 92 4 159796 8). Geneva, Switzerland: World
186	Health Organization.

# Box 1

United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNCRC)		
Article 12	Children have the right to express their views freely in all matters	
	affecting them, in accordance with their age and maturity.	
Article 14	Children have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and	
	religion, respecting the rights of parents and legal guardians "to	
	provide direction to the child in the exercise of his or her right in a	
	manner consistent with the evolving capacities of the child."	
Article 16	Children will be protected from "arbitrary or unlawful interference	
	with privacy, family, home or correspondence," and from	
	attacks on their honor and reputation	
Article 19	Children will be protected from all forms of physical or mental	
	violence, neglect or maltreatment, including sexual abuse.	
	Protective measures should also provide support for the child and	
	caretakers, as well as prevention measures.	
Article 24	Children have the right to the "enjoyment of the highest attainable	
	standard of health" and no child should be deprived of access to	
	health, including disease prevention and treatment, environmental	
	health or adequate prenatal and postnatal care. Traditional practices	
	harmful to the health of children should be abolished.	

Adapted from United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) Convention on the Rights of the Child. Retrieved from http://www.unicef.org/crc/