

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Screen Time and Sleep in Early Adolescents

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/58s1g608>

Journal

Health Psychology, 42(12)

ISSN

0278-6133

Authors

Kiss, Orsolya

Nagata, Jason M

de Zambotti, Massimiliano

et al.

Publication Date

2023-12-01

DOI

10.1037/hea0001251

Peer reviewed



Published in final edited form as:

Health Psychol. 2023 December ; 42(12): 894–903. doi:10.1037/hea0001251.

Effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on screen time and sleep in early adolescents

Orsolya Kiss, PhD¹, Jason M. Nagata, MD, MSc², Massimiliano de Zambotti, PhD¹, Anthony Steven Dick, PhD⁵, Andrew T. Marshall, PhD^{3,4}, Elizabeth R. Sowell, PhD^{3,4}, Amandine Van Rinsveld, PhD⁷, Mathieu Guillaume, PhD⁷, William E. Pelham III, PhD⁶, Marybel R. Gonzalez, PhD⁶, Sandra A. Brown, PhD⁶, Gayathri J. Dowling, PhD⁸, Krista M. Lisdahl, PhD⁹, Susan F. Tapert, PhD⁶, Fiona C. Baker, PhD^{1,10,*}

¹Center for Health Sciences, SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Ave, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA

²Division of Adolescent and Young Adult Medicine, Department of Pediatrics, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, CA 94158, USA

³Division of Research on Children, Youth and Families, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 90027, USA

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 90033

⁵Department of Psychology, Florida International University, Miami, FL 33199 USA

⁶Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, 9500 S Gilman Dr., La Jolla, CA 92093, USA

⁷Graduate School of Education, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

⁸Division of Extramural Research, National Institute on Drug Abuse, Rockville, MD 20852, USA

⁹Department of Psychology, University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee, Milwaukee, WI 53201, USA

¹⁰School of Physiology, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

Abstract

Objective: During the COVID-19 pandemic, adolescents and families have turned to online activities and social platforms more than ever to maintain well-being, connect remotely with friends and family, and online schooling. However, excessive screen use can have negative effects on health (e.g., sleep). This study examined changes in sleep habits and recreational screen time (social media, video gaming), and their relationship, before and across the first year of the pandemic in adolescents in the Adolescent Brain and Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study.

Methods: Mixed effect models were used to examine associations between self-reported sleep and screen time using longitudinal data of 5,027 adolescents in the ABCD Study[®], assessed

*Address correspondence to Fiona Baker, Center for Health Sciences, SRI International, 333 Ravenswood Ave, Menlo Park, CA 94025, USA, <fiona.baker@sri.com>, phone: +1 (650) 430 9830.

before the pandemic (10–13 years) and across six timepoints between May 2020 and March 2021 (pandemic).

Results: Time in bed varied, being higher during May–August 2020 relative to pre-pandemic, partially related to the school summer break, before declining in October 2020 to levels lower than pre-pandemic. Screen time steeply increased and remained high across all pandemic timepoints relative to pre-pandemic. Higher social media use and video gaming was associated with shorter time in bed, later bedtimes, and longer sleep onset latency.

Conclusions: Sleep behavior and screen time changed during the pandemic in early adolescents. More screen time was associated with poorer sleep behavior, before and during the pandemic. While recreational screen usage is an integral component of adolescent’s activities, especially during the pandemic, excessive use can have negative effects on essential health behaviors, highlighting the need to promote balanced screen usage.

The World Health Organization (WHO) declared the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) a pandemic on 11 March 2020. Adolescents needed to adapt their relationships, along with their school life and extracurricular activities, to social distancing protocols, against a backdrop of normal developmental changes and pandemic-related distress to themselves and family. The Bright Futures guidelines from the American Academy of Pediatrics identifies adolescence as 11 to 21 years of age, divided into early (ages 11–14 years), middle (ages 15–17 years), and late (ages 18–21 years) adolescence (Hagan, Shaw, & Duncan, 2008). Early adolescence is a critical period for cognitive, physical, social, and emotional development (Shlafer et al., 2014), including changes in sleep behavior (Galván, 2020), which may foster particular vulnerability to stress associated with the pandemic. Local and governmental restrictions to minimize social interactions and slow down the transmission of the coronavirus, drastically disrupted adolescents’ daily routine, limited youth’s contact with friends (Magson et al., 2021), and affected healthy lifestyle behaviors, including sleep (Stone et al., 2021). On the other hand, attending school from home may have allowed more flexible school schedules, leading to less restriction on time available to sleep. Indeed, studies have shown that adolescents had more sleep during the first few months of the pandemic (Moore et al., 2020) in association with more relaxed school schedules (Bruni et al., 2021; Roitblat et al., 2020). However, studies have mostly been cross-sectional, focused on older adolescents and the early stages of the pandemic (Roitblat et al., 2020).

Sufficient sleep is critical for adolescent mental (Van Dyk, Becker, & Byars, 2019) and physical health (Blank et al., 2015). Previous studies showed a marked decline in school day time spent in bed (TIB) across early adolescence, from 10 to 13 years old, due to progressively later bedtimes (Lagerberg et al., 2001), while the need for sleep does not appear to decrease across puberty (Crowley, Wolfson, Tarokh, & Carskadon, 2018). A meta-analysis of actigraphy measures in adolescents showed that 12–14-year-olds had shorter sleep duration (weekday: 7.80 hours; weekend: 8.48 hours) than 9–11-year-olds (weekday: 8.69 hours; weekend: 8.83 hours) (Galland et al., 2018). The steepest decline in sleep duration occurred across ages 12–16 (~17.5 min per year) (Galland et al., 2018). Given the normative developmental changes, detrimental changes in sleep behavior during the pandemic (Lu et al., 2020), therefore, could have long-term consequences for adolescents as they age.

A behavior that has become ubiquitous in adolescents, and which has dramatically changed during the pandemic, is recreational screen usage, defined as activities done in front of, and/or with the assistance of a digital device with a screen, including gaming, texting, social media use, and watching/streaming TV/videos (Schmidt et al., 2020). Pre/early adolescents (8- to 12-year-olds) spend 4:44 hours with different screen related activities, while 13–18 year old teens have 7:22 hours screen time per day (Rideout & Robb, 2019). Nagata et al. (2021) showed that ABCD Study[®] participants (10–14 years old) reported 7.70 hours of total daily non-school screen time during the pandemic, which was higher than pre-pandemic estimates. Spending time on social media during the pandemic allowed adolescents to stay connected with friends (Orben, Tomova, & Blakemore, 2020). Similarly, playing video games fosters social engagement (multiplayer), and may serve as a form of coping with stress or a way to escape and relieve negative moods (Männikkö, Ruotsalainen, Miettunen, Pontes, & Kääriäinen, 2020), especially during the pandemic (Nagata, Abdel Magid, & Pettee Gabriel, 2020). In fact, both the active presence on social media platforms and playing video games were recommended as part of the [#HealthyAtHome campaign](#) as buffering factors against COVID-19 pandemic distress. However, higher amounts of screen usage can be detrimental for mental health (Rosen et al., 2021) and sleep (Levenson, Shensa, Sidani, Colditz, & Primack, 2016).

Several studies have shown in adolescents that higher amounts of screen time are associated with poorer sleep quality (Parent, Sanders, & Forehand, 2016), shorter sleep duration, and insomnia symptoms (Bartel & Gradisar, 2017). Too much screen time intrudes on time available for sleep in addition to other detriments (Cain & Gradisar, 2010), such as light from the screen interfering with sleep onset (Chang, Aeschbach, Duffy, & Czeisler, 2015). Although there is considerable concern over the negative effects of the pandemic on both sleep and screen time use, few studies have examined longitudinal changes in these two behaviors in adolescents or considered their relationship in the context of the pandemic.

The aims of the present study were to (1) understand the effect of the COVID-19 pandemic on early adolescents' sleep and screen time, and (2) evaluate the associations between screen time and sleep in the context of the pandemic. We took advantage of the ongoing, longitudinal Adolescent Brain Cognitive DevelopmentSM Study (ABCD Study[®]) that assessed sleep and several domains of screen media use with surveys both before the pandemic (year 2 follow-up) and at six time points during the pandemic, covering the period of May 2020 to March 2021.

Methods

Participants and Procedure

We analyzed data from the ABCD Study[®], a demographically diverse study of development across adolescence including 11,875 children at 21 sites in the United States. The study sample, recruitment, procedures, and measures are described elsewhere (Garavan et al., 2018). Centralized institutional review board (IRB) approval was obtained from the University of California, San Diego (protocol number: #160091AW). Study sites obtained approval from local IRBs. Parent/guardian and the child provided written informed consent and assent, respectively.

Starting in May 2020, participants in the ongoing ABCD Study[®] were invited to participate in a substudy comprising online surveys, which were distributed electronically at six time points across 2020–2021, to assess the effect of the pandemic on youth and families. Starting dates for distribution of the COVID surveys were: May 16, 2020 (Survey 1), June 24, 2020 (Survey 2), August 4, 2020 (Survey 3), October 8, 2020 (Survey 4), December 13, 2020 (Survey 5), and March 12, 2021 (Survey 6). Data included here are from 5,027 adolescents (2,424 girls and 2,603 boys), who completed the 2-year annual follow-up visit (pre-pandemic period; age range: 10–13 years, mean = 11.94 year; 3.0 release: 2018–2020) and at least one COVID-19 Rapid Response Research Survey (COVID-19 assessments; age range: 11–14 years; ABCD COVID-19 Survey releases: DOI: [10.15154/1520584](https://doi.org/10.15154/1520584) and [10.15154/1522601](https://doi.org/10.15154/1522601)). A more detailed description of the sample selection pipeline is presented in Supplementary Figure 1 (Figure S1).

Participants included in this analysis were less likely to be Black (15% vs 9%), less likely to be Latino/Latina/Latinx (20% vs 17%) and tended to have higher parental education level than the original ABCD sample. Table 1 summarizes the demographic characteristics of the sample.

Measures

Outcome measures - sleep timing and quality

We used items belonging to a subscale of the Munich Chronotype Questionnaire (MCTQ - youth version) (Roenneberg, Wirz-Justice, & Mero, 2003) that were included in the original ABCD Study[®] (Year 2) and compared them with items of the same subscale obtained during the pandemic (COVID-19 surveys). While questions were similar, there were some differences in response options and participants reported their sleep in the past week, with no differentiation between weekday-weekend/free days in the COVID-19 surveys. In contrast, for Year 2, participants reported their typical sleep, considering school and free days separately. We therefore harmonized the measures across timepoints before analysis (see Supplementary material for more details).

Time in bed (TIB), measured in hours was calculated from the reported wake up time (“*I wake up at...*”) and bedtime (“*I go to bed at...*”). The individual item “*I go to bed at...*” was used as the measure for typical bedtime. Possible responses varied between 7PM and 6AM. Sleep onset latency was represented by the item “*I need __ minutes to fall asleep*” (with responses from 0 minutes up to 4hr) on a pseudo continuous scale. In addition, we used the number of awakenings: “*After falling asleep, I wake up __ times during the night*” (ranging from 0 to 10).

Predictors

Demographic variables

Age in months at the Year 2 follow up of the ABCD Study[®] was used. Other demographic information was taken from the ABCD Study[®] baseline visit: sex at birth (male or female), race (White, Black, Asian, Multiple, Other, Not Reported), ethnicity (Hispanic/Latino, Non-Hispanic, Not reported). Parental education (less than high school diploma, high school

diploma/GED, some college, bachelor's degree, post graduate degree) was used as an indicator of family socioeconomic status (Duncan, Daly, McDonough, & Williams, 2002).

Pre-pandemic period and school breaks during the pandemic

The Year 2 assessment of the ABCD Study[®] was indicated as *Pre-pandemic period*. Since the COVID-19 survey data covered more than a year of the pandemic, we included information about school derived from the COVID-19 surveys. Participants were asked what time they started their schoolwork in the past week. Where participants indicated '*Not applicable*', we coded that data as 'no-school', likely reflecting school breaks (e.g., summer).

Screen time measures

Pre-pandemic screen time was determined for the Year 2 follow-up visit using the Youth Screen Time Survey. Participants answered questions about typical hours per day (not including school-related activities, separately for school days and weekend days) spent on six screen activities (internet browsing, texting, video chatting, social media use, playing single player, and playing multiplayer, video games). Variables were measured on a pseudo continuous scale ranging from 0 minutes to 9 hours or more. We calculated the weighted average of weekdays and schooldays. The same questions about screen usage were asked in the COVID-19 surveys; however, usage was asked for the past week, with no differentiation between weekdays and weekends.

Statistical analysis

To avoid multicollinearity of the predictors, we reduced the six screen time variables to the items that had the lowest correlation (Spearman's $\rho = 0.13$): *time spent with social media use* and *single player video game time*, as representatives for screen time (see Table S1).

The main analyses focused on examining changes in sleep measures (time in bed, bed time, sleep onset latency in minutes) as well as the relationship between sleep and screen time before and during the pandemic, using linear mixed models (LMMs, R package 'lme4') (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015). Changes in the number of awakenings (discrete, non-negative count data) were analyzed using the generalized mixed-effect model (GLMM, R package 'lme4', (Bates et al., 2015) with Poisson distribution. All the models included *assessment date* (factor with 7 levels: Pre-pandemic, COVID-19 Surveys from 1 to 6), *pre-pandemic age* (in months, at Year 2 assessment), *school* (no school/school), *time spent on social media platforms* (in minutes), *time spent on single player video games* (in minutes), *sex* (male/female), adjusting for race (factor with six levels), ethnicity (factor with three levels), and highest level of parental education. Interaction terms of age-by-assessment and sex-by-assessment were included to determine divergence in sleep according to age and sex. Further, interaction terms of sex-by-screen time (social media and video game time) and assessment-by-screen time, were added to the model (two-way interactions) to evaluate differences in sleep-screen time relationships according to sex and assessment. Participant ID and ABCD collection site were included as random terms. We winsorized (Garson, 2012) all sleep variables at the 1 and 99 percentile level to mitigate the impact of outliers.

The continuous predictors were standardized. We also analyzed changes in the screen time variables (*time spent on social media platforms, time spent on single player video games*) from before and during the pandemic, using random-intercept linear mixed-effect models (LMM, R package ‘lme4’) (Bates et al., 2015) with sex, school assessment, and pre-pandemic age as predictors, adjusting for race, ethnicity and parental education. The model included the collection site and the participant ID as random terms.

We provide χ^2 and p-values of likelihood ratio tests. To interpret the interaction effects, we performed simple slope analysis (R package ‘interactions’)(Long, 2019). The complete likelihood ratio test results of the LMM and GLMM models are provided in the supplement (Table S2–7).

To distinguish the pandemic effect from the developmental changes, we conducted an additional cross-sectional analysis focusing on a subsample of the participants as described in the Supplementary material (pg. 2).

Results

Time spent in bed (TIB):

At the pre-pandemic assessment, 83.3% of the adolescents had a TIB between 9 and 11 hours (13.4% <9 hours, 3.2% >11 hours). During the COVID-19 pandemic, this proportion decreased to 70.6% of the sample (average across all 6 COVID-19 assessments), with ~20% having <9 hours sleep, while ~10% of children slept >11 hours. Model outputs are shown in Table S2. Time spent in bed varied from before and across pandemic assessments (*assessment* main effect ($\chi^2(6) = 1987,61, p < .01$). In general, TIB increased at the first COVID-19 assessment (May 2020) and stayed high through August 2020, relative to the pre-pandemic assessment before decreasing in the second half of the pandemic assessments, starting with October 2020, to a level that was significantly lower compared with the pre-pandemic assessment ($t(5609.19) = 12.88, p < 0.01, CI [0.33, 0.44]$). The variability in TIB across COVID-19 surveys was, in part, related to the effect of school. During the period of June-August 2020 many participants were not enrolled in any school activity (Figure S2). Consequently, we found a main effect of *school* ($\chi^2(1) = 106.86, p < .01$) and a significant interaction effect of the *assessment* \times *school* ($\chi^2(5) = 21.95, p < .01$), with participants having longer TIB during assessments when there were no school activities (Figure 1 A and Figure S3A).

There was no main effect of *sex* on TIB, however the *sex* by *assessment* interaction effect ($\chi^2(6) = 21.29, p = .001$) was significant. Girls had shorter TIB than boys during the second part of the COVID-19 assessments (see Figure 1 A).

In addition, there was a main effect of *age* ($\chi^2(1) = 91.84, p < .01$) and an interaction effect of *age* \times *assessment* ($\chi^2(6) = 28.53, p < .01$). The simple slope analysis showed that the effect of *age* was significant at all timepoints, with older adolescents spending less time in bed than younger adolescents. However, the effect of *age* was less pronounced in assessments from May to August 2020 (less steep slope) than in those between October 2020 and March 2021, when the participants were more likely to have school (simple

slope_{Pre-pandemic} = -0.24, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = -0.08, $p < .01$, simple slope_{June} = -0.07, $p < .01$, simple slope_{August} = -0.05, $p = .02$, simple slope_{October} = -0.15, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = -0.13, $p < .01$, simple slope_{March} = -0.12, $p < .01$).

The *time spent on social media platforms* ($\chi^2(1) = 114.56$, $p < .01$), and the *time spent on single player video games* ($\chi^2(1) = 6.13$, $p = .013$) were significantly associated with TIB. There were no significant interactions with *sex*. However, both screen time variables had significant interactions with *assessment* (*time spent on social media platforms*: $\chi^2_{\text{social media} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 29.39$, $p < .01$; *time spent on single player video games*: $\chi^2_{\text{single player video games} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 27.81$, $p < .01$). These results indicate that social media and video game playing were associated with shorter TIB (Figure S4, Figure S5); however, the slope of the social media-TIB relationship was steeper for the pre-pandemic assessment, and for the October 2020-March 2021 period, when the adolescents had shorter TIB than at earlier pandemic assessments (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = -0.24, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = -0.08, $p = .01$, simple slope_{June} = -0.07, $p < .01$, simple slope_{August} = 0.05, $p = .02$, simple slope_{October} = -0.15, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = -0.13, $p < .01$, simple slope_{March} = -0.12, $p < .01$). The impact of video gaming was similar to social media use but the slope was significant only at the pre-pandemic assessment (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = -0.14, $p < .01$).

Bedtime:

Prior to the pandemic, 20% of adolescents went to bed earlier than 9 PM (~76% between 9 – 11 PM; ~4% later than 11PM). During the pandemic 6% of the participants had their bedtime earlier than 9 PM (76% 9–11PM, ~16% >11PM).

Model outputs are shown in Table S3. The LMM analysis showed a significant main effect of *assessment* ($\chi^2(6) = 5090.15$, $p < .01$), with adolescents going to bed later across pandemic assessments relative to pre-pandemic (Figure 1B). Wake up time also varied across the pandemic (see Figure S13 for details).

There was also a main effect of *sex* ($\chi^2(1) = 12.34$, $p < .01$), and a *sex* by *assessment* interaction ($\chi^2(6) = 27.29$, $p < .01$) with girls having a larger delay in bedtimes during the pandemic. There was a main effect of *age* ($\chi^2(1) = 187.47$, $p < .01$) and an *age* by *assessment* interaction ($\chi^2(6) = 17.47$, $p = .008$). Older adolescents went to bed later than younger adolescents at all assessments, with a steeper relationship during the pandemic (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = 0.17, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = 0.25, $p < .01$, simple slope_{June} = 0.19, $p = .01$, simple slope_{August} = 0.20, $p < .01$, simple slope_{October} = 0.24, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = 0.22, $p < .01$, simple slope_{March} = 0.23, $p < .01$). The main effect of *school* ($\chi^2(1) = 188.80$, $p < .01$) and its interaction with *assessment* ($\chi^2(5) = 34.96$, $p < .01$) suggest that adolescents had different bedtimes based on school (Figure S3B), with a later bedtime during school breaks.

There were main effects for both *social media use* ($\chi^2(1) = 456.52$, $p < .01$), and *video game time* ($\chi^2(1) = 74.03$, $p < .01$) on bedtime, with longer screen time being associated with later bedtime. Interaction effects with *sex* were not significant. Both screen time variables

interacted with assessment ($\chi^2_{\text{social media} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 117.19, p < .01, \chi^2_{\text{single player video game} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 64.13, p < .01$). The single slope analysis showed that social media use had significant slopes with bedtime at each assessment (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = 0.19, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = 0.24, $p < .01$, simple slope_{June} = 0.27, $p < .01$, simple slope_{August} = 0.23, $p < .01$, simple slope_{October} = 0.10, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = 0.10, $p < .01$, simple slope_{March} = 0.09, $p < .01$, Figure S6A). For single player video game time, the slope analysis showed a significant effect only at the pre-pandemic, May, June, and August 2020 assessments (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = 0.11, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = 0.13, $p < .01$, simple slope_{June} = 0.13, $p = .01$, simple slope_{August} = 0.08, $p < .01$), indicating that video game time was associated with bedtime at these timepoints but not during the latter part of 2020 and early 2021 (Figure S6B).

Sleep onset latency (minutes to sleep):

Model outputs are shown in Table S4. The results of the LMM showed a significant main effect of *sex* ($\chi^2(1) = 16.03, p < .01$) and *assessment* ($\chi^2(6) = 277.96, p < .01$), along with a significant *sex* \times *assessment* effect ($\chi^2(6) = 21.87, p = .001$) (Figure 1C). Adolescents had a longer sleep onset latency in May 2020 than at other timepoints and it was longer for girls than boys at all timepoints.

There was a significant main effect of *age* and interaction effect of *age* by *assessment* for sleep onset latency ($\chi^2_{\text{age}}(1) = 8.41, p = .004, \chi^2_{\text{age} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 22.46, p = .001$, Figure S7), indicating that younger adolescents had longer sleep onset latency, with slope analysis showing that this association was only significant at May, June, August and October, 2020 (slope_{May} = -1.10, $p = .01$, slope_{June} = -1.18, $p = .01$, simple slope_{August} = -1.33, $p < .01$, simple slope_{October} = -1.07, $p = .01$). In addition, there was a significant interaction between *age* and *single player video game use* ($\chi^2_{\text{single player video game use} \times \text{age}}(1) = 5.16, p = .023$), with a stronger relationship between video gaming and sleep onset latency for younger participants.

Further, *time spent on social media platforms* was associated with longer sleep onset latency ($\chi^2_{\text{social media}}(1) = 33.74, p < .01$, Figure S8A). Finally, *Time spent on single player video games* was associated with longer sleep onset latency ($\chi^2(1) = 23.83, p < .01$, Figure S8B), and there was also a significant interaction between *single player video game use* and *sex* ($\chi^2_{\text{single player video game use} \times \text{sex}}(1) = 9.75, p = .002$). The slope between video gaming and sleep onset latency was significant only for girls (slope_{Female} = 1.35, $p < .01$).

Number of awakenings (Table S5).

The GLMM analysis showed that younger compared with older adolescents ($\chi^2_{\text{age}}(1) = 4.90, p = .027$ see Figure S9), and girls compared with boys woke up more frequently during the night, especially during the pandemic ($\chi^2_{\text{sex}}(1) = 47.00, p < .01, \chi^2_{\text{assessment}}(6) = 44.57, p < .01, \chi^2_{\text{sex} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 19.25, p = .004$) (Figure 1D).

Social media use ($\chi^2_{\text{social media use}}(1) = 6.94, p = .008$) was associated with higher frequency of awakenings (Figure S10). Also, time spent on *single player video games* were associated with higher frequency of awakenings ($\chi^2_{\text{single player video game}}(1) = 3.99, p = .046, \chi^2_{\text{single player video game} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 21.32, p = .002$), specifically at the pre-pandemic assessment

and in December 2020 (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = 0.08, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = 0.06, $p = .02$, see Figure S11).

Screen time analysis: social media and single player video games

The ratio of participants reporting social media usage between 2 and 6 hours per day increased from 2% at the pre-pandemic assessment to 12% during the pandemic (average across all 6 COVID-19 assessments), and the proportion of participants who had more than 6 hours of social media use per day increased from 0.5% to 2%. Conversely, the proportion of participants reporting less than one hour of social media use decreased from 92% before, to 72% during, the pandemic. Similarly, the proportion of adolescents with single player video time between 2 and 6 hours per day increased from 6% before, to 10% during, the pandemic, and the proportion reporting less than 1 hour gaming time decreased from 84% before, to 75% during, the pandemic. As confirmed by the GLMM (Figure 2A, B, Table S6 and S9), participants increased their screen time during all pandemic assessments relative to pre-pandemic (social media: $\chi^2_{\text{assessment}}(6) = 968.2$, $p < .01$, video games: $\chi^2_{\text{assessment}}(6) = 395.95$, $p < .01$). There were sex and sex by assessment effects for social media use (social media: $\chi^2_{\text{sex}}(1) = 338.41$, $p < .01$, $\chi^2_{\text{sex} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 118.57$, $p < .01$), with girls showing a preference for social media during the pandemic. There was a main effect of sex for single player video games (video games: $\chi^2_{\text{sex}}(1) = 751.24$, $p < .01$) and a sex by assessment interaction (video games: $\chi^2_{\text{sex} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 102.27$, $p < .01$), with boys showing a preference for video gaming at all assessments, with a larger effect at the first three pandemic assessments.

The main effect of *school* and the *assessment by school* interaction shows that adolescents had more social media use and single player video gaming when they had no school (social media: $\chi^2_{\text{school}}(1) = 18.30$, $p < .01$, $\chi^2_{\text{school} \times \text{assessment}}(5) = 19.72$, $p = .001$, single player video game use: $\chi^2_{\text{school} \times \text{assessment}}(5) = 19.00$, $p = .002$).

There was also a main effect of age ($\chi^2_{\text{age}}(1) = 106.03$, $p < .01$) and age by assessment interaction effect ($\chi^2_{\text{age} \times \text{assessment}}(6) = 17.75$, $p < .01$) for social media, Figure S12) with significant slopes at all timepoints (simple slope_{Pre-pandemic} = 7.12, $p < .01$, simple slope_{May} = 12.13, $p < .01$, simple slope_{June} = 12.58, $p = .01$, simple slope_{August} = 10.49, $p < .01$, simple slope_{October} = 10.59, $p < .01$, simple slope_{December} = 9.01, $p < .01$, simple slope_{March} = 11.40, $p < .01$), showing that older adolescents spent more time on social media platforms. In addition, the sex by age interaction (social media: simple slope_{Female} = 9.66, $p < .01$, simple slope_{Male} = 4.68, $p < .01$) shows that the age-related association with social media was stronger for girls. Age and age-interaction effects were not significant for single player video games.

Additional cross-sectional comparisons showed that 12-year-olds in May 2020 had a delayed bedtime ($t = -13.75$, $p < 0.01$, $CI = [-0.87, -0.65]$) and a longer TIB ($t = -11.75$, $p < 0.01$, $CI = [-0.75, -0.54]$), than 12-year-olds assessed pre-pandemic. These results indicate that the pandemic effect is reflected in a delayed bedtime and lengthened TIB beyond developmental effects. Similarly, 12-year-olds in May 2020 had more social media ($t = -9.96$, $p < 0.01$, $CI = [38.85, -26.08]$) and single player video game use ($t = -8.22$, $p < 0.01$, $CI = [-37.51, -23.06]$) than 12-year-olds assessed pre-pandemic, reflecting a pandemic effect.

Discussion

Data analyzed here from a large, diverse cohort of early adolescents across the United States show, for the first time, longitudinal changes in both sleep and screen time, and their relationship, from before and across the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. TIB was longer at the first three pandemic assessments, then shortened for the second half of assessments. Further, participants increased screen usage during the pandemic. More screen time was associated with shorter TIB and later bedtimes and with poorer sleep quality, as reflected by longer sleep onset latency and more frequent awakenings. This relationship between screen time and sleep behavior was evident across the age range, for both boys and girls, before and during the pandemic; however, it was stronger for social media use during the second half of the pandemic assessments, when more children were involved in school activities, which may have competed with their time for recreational activities and sleep. Given the increase in screen usage during the pandemic, there is an urgent need for more awareness and education about balanced screen usage in adolescents to minimize negative effects on sleep and other health behaviors.

Sleep behavior was dramatically different, with increased TIB and later bedtimes, in May 2020 relative to pre-pandemic, which supports findings of others (Bruni et al., 2021; Roitblat et al., 2020), and is likely due to the substantial changes in schedules during the early stages of the pandemic (e.g. youth no longer attending school in person). Within the pandemic year of 2020, sleep behavior fluctuated, partially depending on school-breaks, with participants reporting longer TIB and later bedtimes when not also completing school activities. These data support a substantial body of research conducted before the pandemic showing that sleep duration and timing is strongly influenced by school versus vacation periods (Crowley, Acebo, Fallone, & Carskadon, 2006). In our sample, participants continued to maintain later bedtimes but woke up earlier after starting the new school year, which likely contributed to their shorter TIB in the latter part of 2020 and early 2021. It is well known that school schedules that force early wake-up times for adolescents curtail sleep periods in adolescents (Colrain & Baker, 2011), and our results suggest that this effect persisted in 2020, transcending pandemic school formats (that included online, hybrid, and in-person learning).

Sleep behavior differed according to age, with later sleep timing, shorter TIB, faster sleep onset latency, and fewer awakenings in older compared with younger adolescents, even across this relatively small age range of the ABCD study. These differences likely reflect normal developmental sleep changes (Colrain & Baker, 2011; Short, Gradisar, Lack, Wright, & Dohnt, 2013). The age effect was less pronounced in the pandemic period of June - August 2020, when all adolescents tended to sleep longer than pre-pandemic. Sleep behavior also varied according to sex. Girls took longer to fall asleep, and had more frequent awakenings especially during the pandemic, than did boys. Also, girls were more likely than boys to have shorter TIB and later bedtimes during the pandemic. Others have reported sex differences in sleep during adolescence, such as poorer sleep quality and greater prevalence of insomnia (de Zambotti, Goldstone, Colrain, & Baker, 2018). Sex differences in sleep duration and bedtimes have also been reported, although findings are inconsistent and may differ according to weekdays versus weekends, as well as age (Lin et al., 2018). Whether the

sex differences in sleep that we found specific to the pandemic reflect normal sex differences in sleep or vulnerability to pandemic-related stress and mood effects in girls remains to be determined; in another analysis of the ABCD cohort (Kiss et al., 2022), female sex was a risk factor for greater vulnerability to depressed mood during the pandemic.

Older participants reported longer screen time. Similarly to sleep, screen time also varies as a function of age (Rideout & Robb, 2019) and school schedule, with mid-late adolescents having longer screen time than early adolescents, and adolescents having longer screen time during the summer break (Staiano, Broyles, & Katzmarzyk, 2015). There were also marked differences in screen modality preference according to sex, with girls being more likely to spend time on social media platforms and less likely to play single-player video games, than boys. These findings are similar to other reports, including in the ABCD Study (Nagata, Ganson, et al., 2021; Twenge & Martin, 2020). Regardless of age and sex, however, time spent on social media and single player video games was associated with shorter TIB, later bedtime, and more frequent awakenings, with some variation across pandemic assessments in the strength of these relationships. As documented by a systematic review on screen time and sleep in adolescents, 90% of studies have shown associations between screen time domains and shortened sleep duration and delayed bedtimes (Hale & Guan, 2015). However, the current data are the first to show the links between adolescents' screen time use and sleep in the pandemic.

Our results are particularly concerning in the context of the pandemic considering that participants' screen time was high at almost all pandemic timepoints, and there was no indication of any spontaneous decline into 2021. It is inevitable and adaptive that adolescents initiated reconnections of peer relationships to maintain social connectedness (Magson et al., 2021), using social media and gaming platforms as restrictions on social distancing were introduced to control the spread of the COVID-19 virus (Jebril, 2020). However, emerging data suggest that this increased screen usage is associated with poorer mood (Kiss et al., 2022) whereas less passive screen time, lower exposure to news about the pandemic, and getting sufficient sleep were associated with less psychopathology (Rosen et al., 2021) during the pandemic. The direction of causality between screen time and sleep is unclear, and it is possible that they share a bidirectional relationship. Future research is needed to explore the potential long-term effects of the increased online presence of adolescents, as people gradually resume pre-pandemic activities and daily routines, as well as directionality of the screen time-sleep relationship.

While this study used a longitudinal design to show changes in sleep, screen use, and their relationship in adolescents across the pandemic, there are limitations. Screen time measures in our dataset reflect recreational use only and do not include school-related online activities. Owing to pandemic-related restrictions, many participants had online school programs, which would have added substantially to their total daily screen time. Further, while we considered whether any school activities influenced sleep, we did not examine the potentially nuanced effects of different schooling formats (e.g. remote, hybrid, in-person). Our measures of both sleep and screen time rely solely on self-report (with relatively low resolution) and may be subject to recall bias or underestimation, as self-reported measures are likely influenced by the social desirability bias (Wade et al., 2021). More precise,

potentially objective sleep measurements (total sleep time, wake after sleep onset) could reveal important information about sleep health of early adolescents. We used time in bed, calculated based on bedtimes and wake up times, which is reported to be less biased than self-reported sleep duration (Lauderdale, 2015), however teens could be in bed and yet engaged in some other activity than trying to sleep. Further, the measures of screen time do not consider whether usage is a positive or negative experience, or active or passive. An advantage of the current analysis, however, was differentiation between screen time spent on video games vs. social media, rather than a catch-all total screen time measure. Although results indicate that different screen modality user patterns (longer social media vs longer single player video game time) have distinguishable effects on sleep, adolescents do not engage exclusively in one particular activity, but rather use different electronic devices and media platforms in turn or even simultaneously (Wade et al., 2021), and combined total screen usage can easily exceed seven hours per day (Rideout & Robb, 2019). Another limitation is that we did not consider time of day of screen use, and others have shown that heavy evening screen time, in particular, delays sleep (Kubiszewski, Fontaine, Rusch, & Hazouard, 2014).

Given that adolescence is a critical period for emotional development (Shlafer et al., 2014), peer interactions (Orben et al., 2020), and emergence of mental health problems (Rosen et al., 2021), the impact of the pandemic on this age group could be particularly dramatic, shaping academic ambitions (Maestres et al., 2021), and altering developmental trajectories for an entire generation (Hussong et al., 2021). Therefore, there is need to implement public policy that supports positive health behaviors, such as sleep, particularly in this cohort of adolescents. Our findings show changes in sleep quantity and quality and screen time across the pandemic, and critically, that screen time increased across the pandemic beyond developmental effects and was associated with poorer sleep. It would be beneficial to increase awareness and education directed to adolescents, to promote balanced and informed use of social media platforms, video games, and other screen usage. Also, clinicians should assess for screen time use and sleep during the pandemic and help adolescents with individual treatment plans when necessary. There is a need to increase parental awareness and help families to formulate age-appropriate media use plans (Chassiakos, Radesky, Christakis, Moreno, & Cross, 2016). As such, the American Academy of Pediatrics endorses the development of a Family Media Use Plan (www.healthychildren.org/MediaUsePlan) in which families can discuss issues important for their child's health including sleep, such as turning off devices an hour before bedtime.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

Data used in the preparation of this article were obtained from the Adolescent Brain Cognitive DevelopmentSM (ABCD) Study (<https://abcdstudy.org>), held in the NIMH Data Archive (NDA). This is a multisite, longitudinal study designed to recruit more than 10,000 children age 9–10 and follow them over 10 years into early adulthood. The ABCD Study[®] is supported by the National Institutes of Health and additional federal partners under award numbers U01DA041048, U01DA050989, U01DA051016, U01DA041022, U01DA051018, U01DA051037, U01DA050987, U01DA041174, U01DA041106, U01DA041117, U01DA041028, U01DA041134, U01DA050988,

U01DA051039, U01DA041156, U01DA041025, U01DA041120, U01DA051038, U01DA041148, U01DA041093, U01DA041089, U24DA041123, and U24DA041147. A full list of supporters is available at <https://abcdstudy.org/federal-partners.html>. Addition support for this work was made possible from supplements to U24DA041123 and U24DA041147, the National Science Foundation (NSF 2028680), and Children and Screens: Institute of Digital Media and Child Development Inc. A listing of participating sites and a complete listing of the study investigators can be found at https://abcdstudy.org/Consortium_Members.pdf. ABCD consortium investigators designed and implemented the study and/or provided data but did not necessarily participate in analysis or writing of this report. Dr. Gayathri Dowling was substantially involved in all the cited grants. This manuscript reflects the views of the authors and may not reflect the opinions or views of the ABCD consortium investigators, NIH, or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services or any of its affiliated institutions or agencies. The ABCD data repository grows and changes over time. The ABCD data used in this report came from the ABCD 3.0 data release (DOI: [10.15154/1519007](https://doi.org/10.15154/1519007)) and the ABCD COVID-19 Survey First and Second Data Release (DOI: [10.15154/1520584](https://doi.org/10.15154/1520584), [10.15154/1522601](https://doi.org/10.15154/1522601)). DOIs can be found at <https://nda.nih.gov/study.html?id=901> and <https://nda.nih.gov/study.html?id=1041>. William E. Pelham III, PhD has been supported by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (AA030197), and the National Institute on Drug Abuse (DA055935).

References

- Bartel K, & Gradisar M (2017). New directions in the link between technology use and sleep in young people. In *Sleep disorders in children* (pp. 69–80): Springer.
- Bates D, Mächler M, Bolker B, & Walker S (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. *2015*, 67(1), 48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01
- Blank M, Zhang J, Lamers F, Taylor AD, Hickie IB, & Merikangas KR (2015). Health Correlates of Insomnia Symptoms and Comorbid Mental Disorders in a Nationally Representative Sample of US Adolescents. *Sleep*, 38(2), 197–204. doi:10.5665/sleep.4396 [PubMed: 25325502]
- Bruni O, Malorgio E, Doria M, Finotti E, Spruyt K, Melegari MG, . . . Ferri R (2021). Changes in sleep patterns and disturbances in children and adolescents in Italy during the Covid-19 outbreak. *Sleep medicine*.
- Cain N, & Gradisar M (2010). Electronic media use and sleep in school-aged children and adolescents: A review. *Sleep medicine*, 11(8), 735–742. doi:10.1016/j.sleep.2010.02.006 [PubMed: 20673649]
- Chang A-M, Aeschbach D, Duffy JF, & Czeisler CA (2015). Evening use of light-emitting eReaders negatively affects sleep, circadian timing, and next-morning alertness. *Proceedings of the national Academy of Sciences*, 112(4), 1232–1237.
- Chassiakos YLR, Radesky J, Christakis D, Moreno MA, & Cross C (2016). Children and adolescents and digital media. *Pediatrics*, 138(5).
- Colrain IM, & Baker FC (2011). Changes in sleep as a function of adolescent development. *Neuropsychol Rev*, 21(1), 5–21. doi:10.1007/s11065-010-9155-5 [PubMed: 21225346]
- Crowley SJ, Acebo C, Fallone G, & Carskadon MA (2006). Estimating Dim Light Melatonin Onset (DLMO) Phase in Adolescents Using Summer or School-Year Sleep/Wake Schedules. *Sleep*, 29(12), 1632–1641. doi:10.1093/sleep/29.12.1632 [PubMed: 17252895]
- Crowley SJ, Wolfson AR, Tarokh L, & Carskadon MA (2018). An update on adolescent sleep: New evidence informing the perfect storm model. *Journal of adolescence*, 67, 55–65. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2018.06.001 [PubMed: 29908393]
- de Zambotti M, Goldstone A, Colrain IM, & Baker FC (2018). Insomnia disorder in adolescence: Diagnosis, impact, and treatment. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 39, 12–24. doi:10.1016/j.smrv.2017.06.009 [PubMed: 28974427]
- Duncan GJ, Daly MC, McDonough P, & Williams DR (2002). Optimal indicators of socioeconomic status for health research. *American journal of public health*, 92(7), 1151–1157. [PubMed: 12084700]
- Galland BC, Short MA, Terrill P, Rigney G, Haszard JJ, Coussens S, . . . Biggs SN. (2018). Establishing normal values for pediatric nighttime sleep measured by actigraphy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep*, 41(4). doi:10.1093/sleep/zsy017
- Galván A (2020). The Need for Sleep in the Adolescent Brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 24(1), 79–89. doi:10.1016/j.tics.2019.11.002 [PubMed: 31780247]
- Garavan H, Bartsch H, Conway K, Decastro A, Goldstein RZ, Heeringa S, . . . Zals D (2018). Recruiting the ABCD sample: Design considerations and procedures. *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience*, 32, 16–22. doi:10.1016/j.dcn.2018.04.004 [PubMed: 29703560]

- Garson GD (2012). Testing statistical assumptions. Asheboro, NC: Statistical Associates Publishing.
- Goldstone A, Javitz HS, Claudatos SA, Buysse DJ, Hasler BP, de Zambotti M, . . . Baker FC (2020). Sleep Disturbance Predicts Depression Symptoms in Early Adolescence: Initial Findings From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development Study. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, 66(5), 567–574. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2019.12.005
- Hagan JF, Shaw JS, & Duncan PM (2008). *Bright Futures: Guidelines for Health Supervision of Infants, Children, and Adolescents*.
- Hale L, & Guan S (2015). Screen time and sleep among school-aged children and adolescents: a systematic literature review. *Sleep Medicine Reviews*, 21, 50–58. [PubMed: 25193149]
- Hussong AM, Benner AD, Erdem G, Lansford JE, Makila LM, Petrie RC, & Team TSC-R (2021). Adolescence Amid a Pandemic: Short- and Long-Term Implications. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 31(3), 820–835. doi:10.1111/jora.12671 [PubMed: 34448291]
- Jebril N (2020). World Health Organization declared a pandemic public health menace: a systematic review of the coronavirus disease 2019 “COVID-19”. Available at SSRN 3566298.
- Kiss O, Alzueta E, Yuksel D, Pohl KM, de Zambotti M, M ller-Oehring EM, . . . Baker FC (2022). The pandemic’s toll on young adolescents: Prevention and intervention targets to preserve their mental health. *J Adolesc Health*. doi: accepted manuscript
- Kubiszewski V, Fontaine R, Rusch E, & Hazouard E (2014). Association between electronic media use and sleep habits: An eight-day follow-up study. *International Journal of Adolescence and Youth*, 19(3), 395–407.
- Laberge L, Petit D, Simard C, Vitaro F, Tremblay RE, & Montplaisir J (2001). Development of sleep patterns in early adolescence. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 10(1), 59–67. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2869.2001.00242.x [PubMed: 11285056]
- Lauderdale DS (2015). Commentary on Agreement between simple questions about sleep duration and sleep diaries in a large online survey. *Sleep Health*, 1(2), 138–139. [PubMed: 29073378]
- Levenson JC, Shensa A, Sidani JE, Colditz JB, & Primack BA (2016). The association between social media use and sleep disturbance among young adults. *Preventive medicine*, 85, 36–41. [PubMed: 26791323]
- Lin LN, Chang L-Y, Hurng B-S, Wu C-C, Yen L-L, & Chang H-Y (2018). Sex differences in sleep patterns and changes in 7th to 12th graders: a longitudinal follow-up study in Taiwan. *Sleep*, 41(3), zsx211.
- Long JA (2019). Interactions: comprehensive, user-friendly toolkit for probing interactions. R package version 1.1. 0. In.
- Lu C, Chi X, Liang K, Chen S-T, Huang L, Guo T, . . . Zou L (2020). Moving More and Sitting Less as Healthy Lifestyle Behaviors are Protective Factors for Insomnia, Depression, and Anxiety Among Adolescents During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psychology research and behavior management*, 13, 1223–1233. doi:10.2147/PRBM.S284103 [PubMed: 33364864]
- Maestrales S, Marias Dezendorf R, Tang X, Salmela-Aro K, Bartz K, Juuti K, . . . Schneider B. (2021). US and Finnish high school science engagement during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Psychology*.
- Magson NR, Freeman JYA, Rapee RM, Richardson CE, Oar EL, & Fardouly J (2021). Risk and Protective Factors for Prospective Changes in Adolescent Mental Health during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *J Youth Adolesc*, 50(1), 44–57. doi:10.1007/s10964-020-01332-9 [PubMed: 33108542]
- Männikkö N, Ruotsalainen H, Miettunen J, Pontes HM, & Käriäinen M (2020). Problematic gaming behaviour and health-related outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Health Psychology*, 25(1), 67–81. [PubMed: 29192524]
- Moore SA, Faulkner G, Rhodes RE, Brussoni M, Chulak-Bozzer T, Ferguson LJ, . . . Tremblay MS (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 virus outbreak on movement and play behaviours of Canadian children and youth: a national survey. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 17(1), 85. doi:10.1186/s12966-020-00987-8 [PubMed: 32631350]
- Nagata JM, Abdel Magid HS, & Pettee Gabriel K (2020). Screen Time for Children and Adolescents During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic. *Obesity*, 28(9), 1582–1583. doi:10.1002/oby.22917 [PubMed: 32463530]

- Nagata JM, Cortez CA, Cattle CJ, Ganson KT, Iyer P, Bibbins-Domingo K, & Baker FC (2021). Screen Time Use Among US Adolescents During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Findings From the Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Study. *JAMA Pediatrics*.
- Nagata JM, Ganson KT, Iyer P, Chu J, Baker FC, Gabriel KP, . . . Bibbins-Domingo K (2021). Sociodemographic Correlates of Contemporary Screen Time Use among 9–10-Year-Old Children. *The Journal of pediatrics*. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2021.08.077
- Orben A, Tomova L, & Blakemore S-J (2020). The effects of social deprivation on adolescent development and mental health. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, 4(8), 634–640. doi:10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30186-3 [PubMed: 32540024]
- Parent J, Sanders W, & Forehand R (2016). Youth Screen Time and Behavioral Health Problems: The Role of Sleep Duration and Disturbances. *Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics : JDBP*, 37(4), 277–284. doi:10.1097/DBP.0000000000000272 [PubMed: 26890562]
- Rideout V, & Robb M,B (2019). The Common Sense census: Media use by tweens and teens, 2019 Retrieved from <https://www.commonsensemedia.org/sites/default/files/research/report/2019-census-8-to-18-full-report-updated.pdf>
- Roenneberg T, Wirz-Justice A, & Mellow M (2003). Life between clocks: daily temporal patterns of human chronotypes. *J Biol Rhythms*, 18(1), 80–90. doi:10.1177/0748730402239679 [PubMed: 12568247]
- Roitblat Y, Burger J, Leit A, Nehuliaieva L, Umarova GS, Kaliberdenko V, . . . Team AR (2020). Stay-at-home circumstances do not produce sleep disorders: An international survey during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of psychosomatic research*, 139, 110282. [PubMed: 33130483]
- Rosen ML, Rodman AM, Kasperek SW, Mayes M, Freeman MM, Lengua LJ, . . . McLaughlin KA (2021). Promoting youth mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A longitudinal study. *PLOS ONE*, 16(8), e0255294. [PubMed: 34379656]
- Schmidt SC, Anedda B, Burchartz A, Eichsteller A, Kolb S, Nigg C, . . . Woll A (2020). Physical activity and screen time of children and adolescents before and during the COVID-19 lockdown in Germany: a natural experiment. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1–12. [PubMed: 31913322]
- Shlafer R, Hergenroeder AC, Emans SJ, Rickert VI, Adger H, Spear B, . . . Resnick MD (2014). Adolescence as a critical stage in the MCH Life Course Model: commentary for the Leadership Education in Adolescent Health (LEAH) interdisciplinary training program projects. *Maternal and child health journal*, 18(2), 462–466. [PubMed: 23435919]
- Short MA, Gradisar M, Lack LC, Wright HR, & Dohnt H (2013). The sleep patterns and well-being of Australian adolescents. *Journal of adolescence*, 36(1), 103–110. doi:10.1016/j.adolescence.2012.09.008 [PubMed: 23088812]
- Staiano AE, Broyles ST, & Katzmarzyk PT (2015). School term vs. school holiday: associations with Children’s physical activity, screen-time, diet and sleep. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 12(8), 8861–8870. [PubMed: 26264005]
- Stone JE, Phillips AJ, Chachos E, Hand AJ, Lu S, Carskadon MA, . . . Bei B (2021). In-person vs home schooling during the COVID-19 pandemic: Differences in sleep, circadian timing, and mood in early adolescence. *Journal of pineal research*, 71(2), e12757. [PubMed: 34273194]
- Twenge JM, & Martin GN (2020). Gender differences in associations between digital media use and psychological well-being: Evidence from three large datasets. *Journal of adolescence*, 79, 91–102. [PubMed: 31926450]
- Van Dyk TR, Becker SP, & Byars KC (2019). Rates of mental health symptoms and associations with self-reported sleep quality and sleep hygiene in adolescents presenting for insomnia treatment. *Journal of Clinical Sleep Medicine*, 15(10), 1433–1442. [PubMed: 31596208]
- Wade NE, Ortigara JM, Sullivan RM, Tomko RL, Breslin FJ, Baker FC, . . . Bagot KS. (2021). Passive Sensing of Preteens’ Smartphone Use: An Adolescent Brain Cognitive Development (ABCD) Cohort Substudy. *JMIR Ment Health*, 8(10), e29426. doi:10.2196/29426 [PubMed: 34661541]




Figure 1: The interaction effect of *sex* and *assessment* on (A) adolescent’s time in bed (markers: mean ± CI), (B) bedtime (markers: mean+CI), (C) sleep onset latency (markers: mean+CI), and (D) frequency of awakenings (markers: mean+CI), shown separately for boys (blue) and girls (red).




Figure 2: (A) *Time spent on social media* platforms (markers: mean \pm CI) and (B) *time spent on single player video games* (markers: mean \pm CI) at different assessments (one pre-pandemic assessment and 6 pandemic assessments), shown separately for boys (blue) and girls (red).

Table 1:Demographics of the sample included here and the original ABCD Study[®] cohort.

Variable	Release 3.0 Baseline Data N = 11, 878	Current sample N = 5,027
<i>Sex</i>		
Female	47.8%	48.2%
Male	52.1%	51.8%
<i>Race*</i>		
White	69.4%	77.5 %
Black	15.9%	9.6%
Asian	4.1%	4.6%
Multi-racial/multi-ethnic	1.5%	1.1%
Other	7.1%	5.8%
Unknown/Not reported	1.7%	1.1%
<i>Ethnicity</i>		
Hispanic/Latino	20.3%	17.8%
Not Hispanic	78.4%	81.0%
Unknown/Not reported	1.2%	1.1%
<i>Parental Education</i>		
< High School Diploma	11.7%	6.7%
High School Diploma/GED	2.7 %	1.3%
Some College	25.9%	22.7%
Bachelor's degree	25.3%	29.0%
Post Graduate Degree	34.0%	40.1%
Unknown/Not reported	0.1 %	0 %

* Categories for race for the ABCD cohort were defined as in (Goldstone et al., 2020).