

# **Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory**

## **LBL Publications**

### **Title**

Draft genome sequences of *Butyrivibrio hungatei* DSM 14810 (JK 615T) and *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* DSM 3071 (D1T).

### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/54g043h7>

### **Journal**

Microbiology Resource Announcements, 13(10)

### **Authors**

Berner, Keely  
Zoza-Veloz, Michelle  
Nolan, Matt  
et al.

### **Publication Date**

2024-10-10

### **DOI**

10.1128/mra.00517-24

Peer reviewed

 | Bacteriology | Announcement

# Draft genome sequences of *Butyrivibrio hungatei* DSM 14810 (JK 615<sup>T</sup>) and *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvans* DSM 3071 (D1<sup>T</sup>)

Keely Berner,<sup>1</sup> Michelle Zoza-Veloz,<sup>1</sup> Matt Nolan,<sup>2</sup> Danielle Graham,<sup>2</sup> Natalia Ivanova,<sup>2</sup> Rekha Seshadri,<sup>2</sup> Stefan Spring,<sup>3</sup> Matthew Escobar<sup>1</sup>

**AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS** See affiliation list on p. 2.

**ABSTRACT** Here, we report the draft genome sequences of two *Butyrivibrio*-type strains isolated from rumen fluid. The genome sequence of *Butyrivibrio hungatei* DSM 14810 was 3.3 Mb with 3,093 predicted genes, while the *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvans* DSM 3071 genome sequence was 4.8 Mb with 4,132 predicted genes.

**KEYWORDS** *Butyrivibrio*, rumen, genomes

**B**utyrivibrio is commonly found in the rumen of wild and domesticated ruminant animals (1, 2). *Butyrivibrio* spp. are anaerobic, Gram-negative curved rods with a single flagellum, and they ferment rumen oligosaccharides and monosaccharides into butyrate, an essential source of energy for the host (1, 3, 4). *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvans* DSM 3071 (D1<sup>T</sup>) was isolated from cow rumen in the 1950s in Maryland, USA (3), while *Butyrivibrio hungatei* DSM 14810 (JK 615<sup>T</sup>) was isolated from sheep rumen in the 1990s in Mnichovice, Czech Republic (1). Here, we report draft genome sequences of these two *Butyrivibrio*-type strains as part of the 1000 Microbial Genomes Project (5). These resources will improve our understanding of the complex metabolism and physiology of rumen microbiomes.

Leibniz Institute DSMZ cultured *B. fibrisolvans* DSM 3071 and *B. hungatei* DSM 14810 anaerobically at 37°C and 40°C, respectively, using DSMZ Medium 330 broth (<https://mediadive.dsmz.de/medium/330>) inoculated from an ampoule preserved by freeze-drying. The centrifuged cell pellet from an approximately 50-mL culture was used to extract genomic DNA using Epicentre's MasterPure Gram-positive DNA Purification Kit. The Joint Genome Institute constructed an Illumina paired-end library with an average insert size of 270 bp. The library was quantified using KAPA Biosystem's sequencing library qPCR kit and run on a Roche LightCycler 480 real-time PCR instrument. Several libraries were multiplexed and prepared for sequencing using a TruSeq paired-end cluster kit (v.3) and Illumina's cBot instrument. Sequencing was performed on an Illumina HiSeq 2000 using TruSeq SBS sequencing kits (v.3) following a 2 × 150 indexed run protocol. A total of 8,786,458 reads totaling 1,318.0 Mbp were generated for *B. fibrisolvans* DSM 3071 and 9,376,984 reads totaling 1,406.5 Mbp were generated for *B. hungatei* DSM 14810. Reads were quality controlled and trimmed through DUK (v.1.0) (6). Filtered reads were assembled using Velvet (v.1.2.07) (velveth: 63 –shortPaired and velvetg: –very clean yes –exportFiltered yes –min contig lgth 500 –scf- folding no –cov cutoff 10); 1- to 3-kb simulated paired-end reads were created from Velvet contigs using wgsim (v.1.0) (–e 0–1 100–2 100 –r 0 –R 0 –X 0); and these reads were assembled with simulated read pairs with Allpaths-LG (v.r46652) (PrepareAllpathsInputs: PHRED 64 = 0 PLOIDY = 1 FRAG COVERAGE = 125 JUMP COVERAGE = 25 LONG JUMP COV = 50, RunAllpath- sLG TARGETS = standard) (7–9). Gene annotation was performed using Prodigal (v.2.5), with manual curation via GenePRIMP (v.1.0) (10, 11). Predicted coding sequences were translated and used to search National Center for Biotechnology Information nr, UniProt, TIGRFam,

**Editor** Julie C. Dunning Hotopp, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

Address correspondence to Rekha Seshadri, rseshadri@lbl.gov, or Matthew Escobar, mescobar@csusm.edu.

Keely Berner and Michelle Zoza-Veloz contributed equally to this article. They are listed alphabetically by surname.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 3.

Received 14 May 2024

Accepted 12 August 2024

Published 28 August 2024

This is a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States. Foreign copyrights may apply.

**TABLE 1** Genomic features of *Butyrivibrio hungatei* DSM 14810 and *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* DSM 3071<sup>a</sup>

Genome feature	<i>B. hungatei</i> DSM 14810	<i>B. fibrisolvens</i> DSM 3071
Length (bp)	3,394,947	4,837,257
Number of contigs	22	83
Contig $N_{50}$ (bp)	286,711	119,711
Average fold coverage	415×	272×
GC content (%) <sup>b</sup>	39.86	39.66
Predicted genes	3,093	4,132
Predicted protein-coding genes	3,030	4,062
Predicted rRNAs	9	11
Predicted tRNAs	46	44
GH1 domains ( $\beta$ -glucosidase)	1	3
GH3 domains ( $\beta$ -glucosidase)	6	15
GH5 domains (cellulase)	2	5
GH8 domains (cellulase)	1	0
GH9 domains (cellulase)	0	3
GH44 domains (cellulase)	0	0
GH48 domains (cellulase)	0	0
Joint Genome Institute IMG/M taxon ID	<a href="#">2582580726</a>	<a href="#">2585428068</a>
NCBI WGS accession number	<a href="#">GCA_900143205</a>	<a href="#">GCA_900129945</a>
NCBI Bioproject accession number	<a href="#">PRJNA245645</a>	<a href="#">PRJNA245644</a>
NCBI Sequence Read Archive accession number	<a href="#">SRR4096539</a>	<a href="#">SRR4096531</a>
NCBI BioSample number	<a href="#">SAMN02745247</a>	<a href="#">SAMN02745229</a>

<sup>a</sup>NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information.<sup>b</sup>GC, Guanine/Cytosine.

Pfam, KEGG, COG, and InterPro (12–18). tRNAScanSE (v.1.3.1) was used to identify tRNA genes, while rRNA genes were found using SILVA (v.123) (19, 20) (Table 1).

Both *B. fibrisolvens* DSM 3071 and *B. hungatei* DSM 14810 metabolize cellobiose (2, 3), and accordingly, their genomes contain many predicted beta glucosidases (GH1 and GH3 domains). However, DSM 3071 can metabolize polysaccharides such as carboxymethyl cellulose, while DSM 14810 cannot (1). This activity is likely attributable to one or more of the eight predicted cellulases in the DSM 3071 genome, compared to three proteins with cellulase domains (GH5/8/9/44/48) in the DSM 14810 genome (Table 1).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work (proposal DOI: <https://doi.org/10.46936/10.25585/60000886>) was conducted by the US Department of Energy, Joint Genome Institute (JGI) (<https://ror.org/04xm1d337>), a DOE Office of Science User Facility that is supported by the Office of Science of the US Department of Energy operated under contract no. DE-AC02-05CH11231. This announcement was largely prepared by undergraduate students, and we gratefully acknowledge JGI for initiating and supporting it as an educational project (the “Adopt-a-Genome” Project).

## AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, California State University San Marcos, San Marcos, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Energy Joint Genome Institute, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department Microorganisms, Leibniz Institute DSMZ-German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany

## AUTHOR ORCIDs

Natalia Ivanova  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5802-9485>  
 Rekha Seshadri  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3219-2900>  
 Matthew Escobar  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6559-1222>

## FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
United States Department of Energy	DE-AC02-05CH11231	Matt Nolan
		Danielle Graham
		Natalia Ivanova
		Rekha Seshadri

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Keely Berner, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft | Michelle Zozza-Veloz, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing – original draft | Matt Nolan, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization | Danielle Graham, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Visualization | Natalia Ivanova, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Supervision, Validation | Rekha Seshadri, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Resources, Supervision, Validation, Writing – review and editing | Stefan Spring, Methodology, Writing – review and editing | Matthew Escobar, Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Investigation, Supervision, Writing – original draft, Writing – review and editing

## REFERENCES

- Kopečný J, Zorec M, Mrázek J, Kobayashi Y, Marinšek-Logar R. 2003. *Butyrivibrio hongatei* sp. nov. and *Pseudobutyrivibrio xylovorans* sp. nov., butyrate-producing bacteria from the rumen. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 53:201–209. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijss.0.02345-0>
- Palevich N, Kelly WJ, Leahy SC, Altermann E, Rakonjac J, Attwood GT. 2017. The complete genome sequence of the rumen bacterium *Butyrivibrio hongatei* MB2003. *Stand Genomic Sci* 12:72. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-017-0285-8>
- Bryant MP, Small N. 1956. The anaerobic monotrichous butyric acid-producing curved rod-shaped bacteria of the rumen. *J Bacteriol* 72:16–21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.72.1.16-21.1956>
- Brown DW, Moore WEC. 1960. Distribution of *Butyrivibrio fibrisolvens* in nature. *J Dairy Sci* 43:1570–1574. [https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(60\)90377-5](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(60)90377-5)
- Kyprides NC, Woyke T, Eisen JA, Garrity G, Lilburn TG, Beck BJ, Whitman WB, Hugenholz P, Klenk H-P. 2014. Genomic type strains, phase I: the one thousand microbial genomes (KMG-I) project. *Stand Genomic Sci* 9:1278–1284. <https://doi.org/10.4056/sigs.5068949>
- Choi DH, Ahn C, Jang GI, Lapidus A, Han J, Reddy TBK, Huntemann M, Pati A, Ivanova N, Markowitz V, Rohde M, Tindall B, Göker M, Woyke T, Klenk H-P, Kyprides NC, Cho BC. 2015. High-quality draft genome sequence of *Gracilimonas tropica* CL-CB462<sup>T</sup> (DSM 19535<sup>T</sup>), isolated from a *Synechococcus* culture. *Stand Genomic Sci* 10:98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-015-0088-8>
- Zerbino DR, Birney E. 2008. Velvet: algorithms for *de novo* short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 18:821–829. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.074492.107>
- Gnerre S, Maccallum I, Przybylski D, Ribeiro FJ, Burton JN, Walker BJ, Sharpe T, Hall G, Shea TP, Sykes S, Berlin AM, Aird D, Costello M, Daza R, Williams L, Nicol R, Gnirke A, Nusbaum C, Lander ES, Jaffe DB. 2011. High-quality draft assemblies of mammalian genomes from massively parallel sequence data. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:1513–1518. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1017351108>
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078–2079. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352>
- Pati A, Ivanova NN, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, Kyprides NC. 2010. GenePRIMP: a gene prediction improvement pipeline for prokaryotic genomes. *Nat Methods* 7:455–457. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1457>
- Hyatt D, Chen G-L, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. 2010. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 11:119. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-11-119>
- O’Leary NA, Wright MW, Brister JR, Ciufo S, Haddad D, McVeigh R, Rajput B, Robbertse B, Smith-White B, Ako-Adjei D, et al. 2016. Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44:D733–D745. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1189>
- Bairoch A, Apweiler R, Wu CH, Barker WC, Boeckmann B, Ferro S, Gasteiger E, Huang H, Lopez R, Magrane M, Martin MJ, Natale DA, O’Donovan C, Redaschi N, Yeh L-SL. 2005. The universal protein resource (UniProt). *Nucleic Acids Res* 33:D154–D159. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki070>
- Haft DH, Loftus BJ, Richardson DL, Yang F, Eisen JA, Paulsen IT, White O. 2001. TIGRFAMs: a protein family resource for the functional identification of proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res* 29:41–43. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/29.1.41>
- Mistry J, Chuguransky S, Williams L, Qureshi M, Salazar GA, Sonnhammer ELL, Tosatto SCE, Paladin L, Raj S, Richardson LJ, Finn RD, Bateman A. 2021. Pfam: the protein families database in 2021. *Nucleic Acids Res* 49:D412–D419. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa913>
- Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28:27–30. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27>

17. Tatusov RL, Galperin MY, Natale DA, Koonin EV. 2000. The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 28:33–36. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33>
18. Paysan-Lafosse T, Blum M, Chuguransky S, Grego T, Pinto BL, Salazar GA, Bileshi ML, Bork P, Bridge A, Colwell L, et al. 2023. InterPro in 2022. *Nucleic Acids Res* 51:D418–D427. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac993>
19. Lowe TM, Eddy SR. 1997. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res* 25:955–964. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955>
20. Pruesse E, Quast C, Knittel K, Fuchs BM, Ludwig W, Peplies J, Glöckner FO. 2007. SILVA: a comprehensive online resource for quality checked and aligned ribosomal RNA sequence data compatible with ARB. *Nucleic Acids Res* 35:7188–7196. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm864>