# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

**Recent Work** 

# Title

ELECTRON-OPTICAL PROPERTIES OF HOMOGENEOUS MAGNETIC AND RADIAL ELECTRIC FIELDS

**Permalink** https://escholarship.org/uc/item/53q983c2

**Authors** Garren, A.A. Smith, Lloyd

**Publication Date** 

1950-02-08

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

ż

÷.,

6 · ·



TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

This is a Library Circulating Copy which may be borrowed for two weeks. For a personal retention copy, call Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545

# BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

Cy.2

**UCRL-**599

# DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UCRL-599 Unclassified Distribution cy z

# UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

# Radiation Laboratory

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

# Electron-Optical Properties of Homogeneous Magnetic and Radial Electric Fields

A. A. Garren and Lloyd Smith

February 8, 1950

Berkeley, California

. :

Errata

Page

4

The term "electromagnetic field" refers to the static electric and magnetic fields discussed in this paper.

Following the first sentence after equation (1) add:

The x' and x" axes of Fig. 1 are normal to y' and y" at 0' and 0" respectively, directed outward, so that the ion just described approaches the field along the x' axis negatively and leaves along the x" axis positively.

If the value  $\Phi_E = \pi/2$  is substituted in (32) the following numerical values are obtained:

21 The last term on the right of the second of equation (A4) should read:

$$\frac{eH}{mc}(\rho - \rho_1) \cdot \frac{m_1}{m} \dot{\phi}_1 \quad \text{not} \quad \frac{eH}{c}(\rho - \rho_1)$$

27 In the first equation in the middle of the page y is measured from the inner condenser plate, at potential  $-V_0$ . The following equation is valid for  $y = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{2}$ , that is for  $V_E = 0$ , rather than for y = 0. It should read:

$$\Delta U_{\rm E} = V_{\rm o} \left[ \frac{2x}{R_{\rm l} - R_{\rm 2}} + \frac{1}{\pi} \ln 4 \right]$$

### Figure

5

The separation between magnet pole faces should be labeled Sy, not SH.

UCRL-599 Unclassified Distribution Fage 3

Electron-Optical Properties of Homogeneous Magnetic and Radial Electric Fields

A. A. Garren and Lloyd Smith

Radiation Laboratory, Department of Physics University of California, Berkeley, California

February 8, 1950

#### 1. Introduction

1. <sup>7</sup>

For various purposes it is often desired to change the direction or alter the characteristics of a given beam of ions. This can be done with various combinations of magnetic and electric fields, of which a homogeneous magnetic field and a radial electric field, such as is found inside an electrostatic deflector, are among the easiest to produce. It is the purpose of this report to summarize the optical properties of these two types of fields, and of various combinations thereof. It is always assumed that the electric and magnetic fields are perpendicular wherever they are superimposed, are plane bounded, and that the beam is in what shall be called the horizontal plane, which is defined as the plane perpendicular to the magnetic field and/or parallel to the electric field. The discussion will be confined to effects of the first order in the deviations in position, direction, velocity, and mass of the ions from reference values.

The theory of focussing effects in the horizontal plane is based on a paper by R. Hertzog,<sup>1</sup> who was interested chiefly in applications to mass spectroscopy. Parts of his discussion have been generalized somewhat; further the focussing effects in the vertical plane (the plane parallel to the magnetic field and/or perpendicular to the electric field) are given. All optical properties are expressed by equations which show the analogy with thick lenses, and so far as possible Hertzog's notation has been used. Lastly some discussion of the application of the theory to the problem of injection into the bevatron has been included.

<sup>1</sup> R. Hertzog, Leits f. Phys. <u>89</u>, 447 (1934)

# 2. The Orbital Equations for Fields Ecunded by Educes Across to the Incombure and Subgoing Rays

Consider an electromagnetic field confined to a vedge shaped region, shown in Fig. 1 as region III.

Regions I and II are field free. In region III, bounded by the lines by and Fy", is a uniform magnetic field H perpendicular to the plane of the paper, directed upwards or downwards according as the ion is positive or negative respectively, and an electric field produced by two collimerical condenser plates concentric with F of radius  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  (where  $h_1 > R_2$ ) with a potential difference X. If the potentials of the cylinders are so adjusted that the circle of readus allows zero potential, the potential and field at a radius r are given by<sup>2</sup>

$$\overline{V}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\lambda}{\ln \frac{\mathbf{k}_1}{\mathbf{k}_2}} \ln \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{a}} \approx \frac{\lambda}{\mathbf{a} \ln \frac{\mathbf{k}_1}{\mathbf{k}_2}} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a})$$

$$\vec{E}(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{n} \frac{\lambda}{\mathbf{k}_2} \frac{\vec{r}}{\vec{r}}.$$
(1)

H and X are of such magnitude and sense that an ion with charge e, velocity 
$$M_{n}$$
, and rest mass  $m_0$  incident normally to Py' at C' will follow a circular fath of radius a about P, emerging at O", in a direction normal to Py", that is along  $m_1^{m}$ .

For this particle, the radius of curvature a is given by

 $r \ln \frac{k_1}{k_2} r$ 

$$\frac{h_0 v_0^2}{a \sqrt{1 - v_0^2/c^2}} = \frac{eX}{a \ln \frac{H_1}{H_2}} + e \frac{H}{c} v_0$$
(2)

$$\frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{a_e} + \frac{1}{a_m}$$
(21)

Gr

where

$$a_{\Theta} = \frac{w_{O} v_{O}^{2}}{\sqrt{1 - v_{O}^{2}/c^{2}}} \frac{a \ln \frac{\pi_{1}}{\pi_{2}}}{ex}, \quad a_{m} = \frac{w_{O} v_{O}}{\sqrt{1 - v_{O}^{2}/c^{2}}} \frac{c}{eh}$$
(3)

2 Smythe \$ 2.04

are the radii of curvature that would exist if the electric and magnetic fields were present alone, respectively.<sup>\*</sup> If the curvatures are opposed the smallest of  $a_e$  or  $a_m$  is taken positive, the largest negative.

Consider now an ion with velocity and rest mass

$$v = v_{0} (1 + \lambda)$$

$$M = M_{0} (1 + \gamma)$$
(4)

whose path in regions I and II, with respect to axes x'y', and x"y" is

$$\mathbf{y}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{y}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\dagger} \mathbf{x}^{\dagger} \tag{5}$$

$$\mathbf{y}^{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{y}_{2} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{n}} \boldsymbol{\cdot} \tag{6}$$

It is shown in Appendix I that the path of this ion in region III, to the first order in  $\lambda$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha^{i}$ ,  $\frac{y^{i}}{a}$ , and  $z = \frac{r-a}{a}$ , is given by

$$r - a = a \left[ -\frac{\alpha!}{K} \sin K\varphi + \delta(1 - \cos K\varphi) + \frac{y_1}{a} \cos K\varphi \right]$$
(7)

where K and  $\delta$  are defined by

$$K^{2} = 1 + \left(\frac{a}{a_{e}}\right)^{2} \left(1 - \beta_{0}^{2}\right)$$
(8)

$$K^{2} \delta = \gamma + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{a}{a_{e}} + \frac{\beta_{e}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{e}^{2}} \right) \equiv \gamma + B\lambda$$
(9)

and  $\beta_0 = \frac{v_0}{c}$ .

$$y_{2} = r(\Phi) - a = a \left[ -\frac{\alpha!}{K} \sin K\Phi + \delta (1 - \cos K\Phi) + \frac{y_{1}}{a} \cos K\Phi \right]$$
(10)

$$\alpha'' = \frac{1}{a} \left( \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)_{\Phi} = -\alpha' \cos K\Phi + \delta K \sin K\Phi - \frac{y_1}{a} K \sin K\Phi$$
(11)

\* If  $V(a) \neq 0$  the analysis is unchanged provided that in equations (2) and (3)  $v_0$  is replaced by  $\overline{v}_0 = v_0 \left[1 - (1 - \beta_0^2)^{3/2} \frac{e V(a)}{M_0 v_0^2}\right]$ , the velocity of the same particle at potential V(a). so that from (6)

$$y'' = y_{2} + \alpha''x'' = a \left[ -\frac{\alpha'}{K} \sin K\Phi + \delta (1 - \cos K\Phi) + \frac{y_{1}}{a} \cos K\Phi \right] + x'' \left[ -\alpha' \cos K\Phi + \delta K \sin K\Phi - \frac{y_{4}}{a} K \sin K\Phi \right].$$
(12)

# 3. Generalization to Arbitrary Plane Boundaries

Suppose now that the boundaries of region III<sup>\*</sup> are not the y' and y" axes, but are inclined at angles  $\varepsilon'$  and  $\varepsilon''$  to these axes, respectively, as shown in Fig. 2, where x'0'0''x'' again represents the reference orbit.

n' and n" are the normals to the planes bounding the field. All quantities are to be taken as positive when the arrangement is as shown in Fig. 1 or Fig. 2.  $\Omega$  is the angle between the field-bounding planes and is to be taken as positive when the intersection of the planes is on the same side of the orbit as P, the center of the reference ray's circle 0'0". It is related to  $\Phi$ ,  $\epsilon$ ', and  $\epsilon$ " by

$$\Omega = \Phi - \varepsilon' - \varepsilon''. \tag{13}$$

Further, if c' is the distance between 0' and the intersection of the field bounding planes, then it may be shown geometrically that

$$\sin \varepsilon'' = \frac{c!}{a} \sin \Omega - \sin (\Omega + \varepsilon'). \tag{13}$$

An ion described by (4) which passes through the point  $x^{i} = l^{i}$ ,  $y^{i} = b^{i}$ with angle  $\alpha^{i}$  will have a path in I given by

$$y' = b' + (x' - l') \alpha'.$$
 (14)

It will enter the field at the point Q', leave it at Q", and will behave as if it had entered a field bounded by PQ' and PQ". Hence its orbit is given with respect to Cartesian axes  $\overline{x}'\overline{y}'$  in I and  $\overline{x}''\overline{y}''$  in II (see Fig. 2) by equations (5)(6) and (12), with bars placed over all variables.

The relations between barred and unbarred variables, to first order, are<sup>†</sup>

- \* The effect of the fringing fields is discussed in appendix C.
- <sup>†</sup> For brevity the notation In is used for tangent, instead of tan.

UCRL-599 Page 7

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{a} \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} \qquad \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} = \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} - \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\dagger} - \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\dagger}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mathbf{y}}} = \mathbf{y} \qquad \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\dagger} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{1}}{\mathbf{a}} \operatorname{In} \varepsilon^{\dagger} \qquad (15)$$

$$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha - \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}} \qquad \Delta \overline{\mathbf{\Phi}}^{\dagger} = \frac{\mathbf{y}_{2}}{\mathbf{a}} \operatorname{In} \varepsilon^{\dagger}.$$

From (14) it is seen that

5

$$y_1 = b' - \alpha' l'. \tag{16}$$

Substituting equations (15) and (16) in (12), where all variables in the latter are barred, and discarding terms of higher than first order, there is obtained for the path of the outgoing ion the equation

$$y'' = b' \left\{ -x'' \frac{\cos K\Phi}{a} \left( K \ln K\Phi - \ln \epsilon' - \ln \epsilon'' - \ln \epsilon' \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) \right\} \\ + \cos K\Phi \left( 1 + \ln \epsilon' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) \right\} \\ + \alpha' \left\{ x'' \left[ \mathcal{L}' \frac{\cos K\Phi}{a} \left( K \ln K\Phi - \ln \epsilon' - \ln \epsilon'' - \ln \epsilon'' \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) \right] \\ - \cos K\Phi \left( 1 + \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) \right\} \\ - \cos K\Phi \left[ \mathcal{L}' \left( 1 + \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) + a \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right] \right\} \\ + \delta \left\{ x'' \left[ K \sin K\Phi + (1 - \cos K\Phi) \ln \epsilon'' \right] + a \left( 1 - \cos K\Phi \right) \right\}.$$
(17)  
This is an important equation, since from it all the optical properties of

the system may be deduced.

# 4. Optical Properties of the System

The point  $(l^i, b^i)$  is called the object point, and  $l^i$  the object distance. All ions from  $(l^i, b^i)$  with the same  $\delta$  will converge at a point  $(l^n, b^n)$ , called the image point. The image distance  $l^n$  is that  $x^n$  for which the dependence of  $y^n$  on  $a^i$  vanishes. From (17) it is seen that  $l^n$  is given by

$$l'' = a \frac{l' \left(1 + \ln \varepsilon' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K}\right) + a \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K}}{l' \left(K \ln K\Phi - \ln \varepsilon' - \ln \varepsilon'' - \ln \varepsilon'' \ln \varepsilon'' \frac{\ln \kappa\Phi}{K}\right) - a \left(1 + \ln \varepsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K}\right)}.$$
(18)

The analogy with a cylindrical lens is facilitated by the introduction of

the following variables

$$f \equiv a \left[ \cos K\Phi \left( K \ln K\Phi - \ln \epsilon' - \ln \epsilon'' - \ln \epsilon' \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right) \right]^{-1}$$
(19)  
$$g^{s} \equiv f \cos K\Phi \left( 1 + \ln \epsilon'' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right)$$
$$g^{u} \equiv f \cos K\Phi \left( 1 + \ln \epsilon' \frac{\ln K\Phi}{K} \right)$$

f, g! and g" are also related by

$$f^2 - g'g'' = af.$$
 (19')

In addition is it convenient to introduce

$$p'' = \frac{1}{K^2} [K \sin K\Phi + (1 - \cos K\Phi) \ddagger n \epsilon'']$$

$$q = \frac{a}{K^2} (1 - \cos K\Phi). \qquad (20)$$

Then using equations (19) and (20), (17) can be rewritten

$$y^{n} = b^{\dagger} \frac{g^{n} - x^{n}}{f} + \frac{\alpha^{\dagger}}{f} \left[ (x^{n} - g^{n})(l^{\dagger} - g^{\dagger}) - f^{2} \right] + \delta K^{2} \left[ x^{n} p^{n} + q \right]$$
(21)

Hence the angular deviation is

$$\alpha^{n} \cong \frac{dy^{n}}{dx^{n}} = -\frac{b^{n}}{f} + \alpha^{n} \frac{l^{n} - g^{n}}{f} + \delta K^{2} p^{n} \qquad (22)$$

and the object and image points are related by

$$(l'' - g'')(l' - g') = f^2.$$
(23)

When  $l^{1} = g^{1}, l^{n} = \infty$  and when  $l^{n} = g^{n}, l^{1} = \infty$  so g' and g" are the abscissas of the first and second focal planes G' and G", respectively.

The principal planes H' and H" are defined by the property that, for  $\delta = 0$ , they form images in each other without magnification. If their abscissas are called h' and h", then l' = h', l'' = h'', b' = b'', so from (21) and (23)

$$b^{i} = b^{i} = b^{i} \frac{g^{i} - h^{i}}{f}$$
,  $(h^{i} - g^{i})(h^{i} - g^{i}) = f^{2}$ 

so that

$$g'' - h'' = g^2 - h^2 = f.$$
 (24)

Since f. is the distance between each focal plane and the corresponding principal

plane it can be identified as the focal length of the lens.

With the help of (24) and (25) it can be shown that

$$\frac{1}{l'-h'} + \frac{1}{l''-h''} = \frac{1}{f}.$$
 (25)

The ordinate of the image, b", is given by (21) as

.

$$b'' = b' \frac{g'' - l''}{f} + \delta K^2 (l''p'' + q).$$
(26)

Since the rays are reversable all of the foregoing equations are valid if primed and double primed variables are interchanged. In particular

$$b^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger} \frac{g^{\dagger} - l^{\dagger}}{f} + \delta K^{2} (l^{\dagger}F^{\dagger} + q).$$
(26)

Hence all particles will be focussed at 1", O for which the relation between b' and  $\delta$  is

$$b^{*} = \delta K^{2} (l^{*} p^{*} + q)$$
 (26)"

which is the condition for velocity-mass focussing.

In order to have the emerging beam entirely parallel to the reference ray it is seen from (22) that

$$l' = g', \qquad \frac{b'}{f} = \delta K^2 p''.$$
 (27)

If these conditions are satisfied the ordinate of the emergent parallel ray is, from (21)

$$y'' = -\alpha^{i} f + \delta K^{2} [g^{ij} F^{ij} + q].$$
 (28)

### 5. Focussing Properties of the System in the Vertical Plane

A description will now be sought for the behavior of an ion which approaches the field at a slight angle  $\alpha_V^4$  with the horizontal reference plane, and which is displaced from that plane a distance small compared with the dimensions of the system. Such an ion will be deflected vertically only at the edges of the magnetic field by the magnetic fringing field. Since the deflections are proportional to  $\alpha_V^4$  and  $\frac{b_V^4}{a}$ , where  $b_V^4$  is the vertical distance above the horizontal plane of the vertical source, the first orde: horizontal deviations and mass and velocity deviations may be neglected in a first order theory. The situation is shown schematically in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3 is a vertical cross section of the system along the curve x'0'0"x"of Fig. 2. It is shown in Appendix II that an ion which passes through the point  $x^{i} = \int_{V}^{i}$ ,  $z^{i} = b_{V}^{i}$  at an angle  $\alpha_{V}^{i}$ , i.e., an ion whose vertical path in region I is

$$z = b_{v}^{\dagger} + (x^{\dagger} - \ell_{v}^{\dagger}) \alpha_{v}^{\dagger}$$
(14v)

will be so deflected by the fringing fields that its path in region II is

$$z^{*} = b_{v}^{2} \frac{g_{v}^{*} - x^{*}}{f_{v}} + \frac{\alpha_{v}^{2}}{f_{v}} \left[ (x^{*} - g_{v}^{*}) (\ell_{v}^{2} - g_{v}^{2}) - f_{v}^{2} \right]$$
(21v)

where

$$f_{v} = \frac{a K_{v}}{f_{n} \epsilon^{2} + f_{n} \epsilon^{n} - \frac{Q}{K_{v}} f_{n} \epsilon^{2} f_{n} \epsilon^{n}}$$

$$g_{v}^{i} = f_{v} \left(1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{v}} f_{n} \epsilon^{n}\right)$$

$$g_{v}^{n} = f_{v} \left(1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{v}} f_{n} \epsilon^{2}\right)$$

$$K_{v} = \frac{a_{m}}{a}.$$
(19v)

A useful relation is

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}^{2} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{v}}. \tag{19v}^{\dagger}$$

Since (21v) is identical in form to (21) except for the absence velocitymass dependence, equations (22) to (28) are valid in the vertical plane if subscripts v are placed on all variables and  $\delta_{\rm V}$  is set identically equal to zero. Thus

$$\alpha_{\nabla}^{H} = -\frac{b_{\nabla}^{V}}{f_{\nabla}} + \alpha_{\nabla}^{i} \frac{l_{\nabla}^{i} - g_{\nabla}^{i}}{f_{\nabla}}$$
(22v)

$$(l_{v}^{II} - g_{v}^{II})(l_{v}^{I} - g_{v}^{I}) = f_{v}^{2}$$
(23v)

$$\frac{1}{|l_v - h_v|} + \frac{1}{|l_v| - h_v|} = \frac{1}{f_v}$$
(25v)

where h& and h# are the abscissas of the first and second vertical principal

planos, respectively, defined by

$$g_{\overline{v}}^{\sharp} - h_{\overline{v}}^{\dagger} = g_{\overline{v}}^{\dagger} - h_{\overline{v}}^{\dagger} = f_{\overline{v}}. \qquad (24v)$$

Again ly is the abscissa of the vertical image whose height is related to that of the object by

$$\frac{b_{V}^{n}}{b_{V}^{1}} = \frac{g_{V}^{n} - l_{V}^{n}}{f_{V}} = \frac{f_{V}}{g_{V}^{1} - l_{V}^{1}}.$$
(26v)

If the beam is to emerge parallel to the horizontal plane it is necessary that

$$\mathbf{\hat{z}_{V}^{i}} = \mathbf{g}_{V}^{i}, \qquad \mathbf{b}_{V}^{i} = 0 \tag{27v}$$

in which case the height of an ion which starts with angle  $\alpha_v^{\downarrow}$  will be

$$\mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{n}} = -\mathbf{q}_{\mathsf{V}}^{\mathsf{l}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathsf{V}}.$$
 (28v)

## 6. Compound Systems

Suppose there are two systems in series as shown schematically in Fig. 4. The beam is assumed to approach from the left.

The 
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{'} \text{ and } y_1^{'} \\ x_2^{''} & y_2^{''} \end{pmatrix}$$
 axes are the  $\begin{cases} x^{'} \text{ and } y^{'} \\ x^{''} & y^{''} \end{cases}$  axes for the entire system.

Note that in Fig. 4,  $\mathcal{E}_2^n$  is negative. To obtain the path of the emergent ion which passes through l', b' at angle  $\alpha$ ' equations (21) and (22) are used to calculate the ordinate and angle of the ion when it crosses the first focal 0 plane of system 2. We have

$$x_2^{i} = g_2^{i}, \qquad x_1^{i} = d - g_2^{i}$$

so using (21) and (22)

$$b_{2}' = y_{1}'' = b' \frac{g_{1}' - (d - g_{2}')}{f_{1}} + \frac{a'}{f_{1}} \left[ (d - g_{2}' - g_{1}'')(l' - g_{1}') - f_{1}^{2} \right] + \delta_{1} K_{1}^{2} \left[ (d - g_{2}') p_{1}'' + q_{1} \right]$$
(29)

$$- \alpha_{2}' = \alpha_{1}'' = -\frac{b'}{f_{1}} + \alpha' \frac{l' - g_{1}'}{f_{1}} + \delta_{1} K_{1}^{2} p_{1}''$$
(30)

from (21) the equation of the outgoing beam is

$$\mathbf{y}^{*} = \mathbf{b}_{2}^{*} \frac{\mathbf{g}_{2}^{*} - \mathbf{x}^{*}}{\mathbf{f}_{2}} - \alpha_{2}^{*} \mathbf{f}_{2} + \mathbf{\delta}_{2} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{2} \left[ \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{p}_{2}^{*} + \mathbf{q}_{2} \right].$$
(31)

Substituting (29) and (30) in (31) gives

$$y'' = b' \left\{ \frac{(g_1'' + g_2' - d)}{f_1} \frac{(g_2'' - x'')}{f_2} - \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right\} + \frac{a'}{f_1} \left\{ \left[ (d - g_2' - g_1'') (l' - g_1') - f_1^2 \right] \frac{(g_2'' - x'')}{f_2} + \frac{l' - g_1'}{f_1} f_2 \right\} + \delta_1 K_1^2 \left\{ \left[ (d - g_2') p_1'' + q_1 \right] \frac{g_2'' - x''}{f_2} + p_1'' f_2 \right\} + \delta_2 K_2^2 \left\{ x'' p_2'' + q_2 \right\}.$$
(32)

This may be put in the form of (21), which can be rewritten, using (9):  $y'' = b' \frac{G'' - x''}{F} + \frac{\alpha'}{F} \left[ (x'' - G'') (l' - G') - F^2 \right] + (\gamma + B\lambda) \left[ x'' P'' + Q \right]$ (21c)

by means of the following substitutions:

$$F = \frac{f_{1}f_{2}}{g_{1}^{"} + g_{2}^{!} - d}$$

$$G' = g_{1}' - \frac{f_{1}}{f_{2}}F$$

$$G'' = g_{2}'' - \frac{f_{2}}{f_{1}}F$$
(19c)

and

$$P'' = -\frac{d - g_2^2}{f_2} p_1'' + p_2'' - \frac{1}{f_2} q_1$$
(20c)
$$Q = \left[ (d - g_2') \frac{g_2''}{f_2} + f_2 \right] p_1'' + \frac{g_2''}{f_2} q_1 + q_2$$

while B is to be construed as an operator which changes  $p_1^{"}$ ,  $p_2^{"}$ ,  $q_1$ ,  $q_2$  into  $B_1 p_1^{"}$ ,  $B_2 p_2^{"}$ ,  $B_1 q_1$ ,  $B_2 q_2$  respectively. The B's are defined by (9).

With these definitions, (21c) describes the path of the outgoing ion and equations (22) through (28) are valid for the system as a whole where, of course,  $K^2\delta = \gamma + B\lambda$ .

In case the systems are oriented with curvatures opposed, that is, so that

the  $y_1^{"}$  and  $y_2^{'}$  axes are opposite in sense from each other, the signs of  $b_2^{'}$  and  $a_2^{'}$  in (29) and (30) are reversed. The effect is to change every sign in (32) except the last:  $\delta_2 K_2^2 \{x^{"} p_2^{"} + q_2\}$ . This is equivalent to replacing  $f_2$  by  $-f_2$  in equations (19c) and (20c).

Similarly equations (19c), (20c), and (21c) describe the vertical focussing, except that there is no first order dependence on  $\gamma$  or  $\lambda$ , so in equation (21c) the term ( $\gamma$  + B $\lambda$ ) [x" F" + Q] should be omitted. Naturally the vertical f's and g's defined in (19v) must be used in (19c).

The foregoing may be generalized to the case in which the two systems are rotated relative to each other. Thus suppose the  $y'_2$  axis in Fig. 4 is rotated about the  $x'_2$  axis out of the plane of the paper through an angle  $\theta$ . Then

$$y'_{2} = y''_{1} \cos \theta + z''_{1} \sin \theta$$
$$z'_{2} = -y''_{1} \sin \theta + z''_{1} \cos \theta$$

if the notation is changed to

$$y_{1}^{"} = y_{1} \qquad z_{1}^{"} = y_{2}$$
$$y_{2}^{'} = \overline{y}_{1} \qquad z_{2}^{'} = \overline{y}_{2}$$
$$C_{11} = \cos \Theta \qquad C_{12} = \sin \Theta$$
$$C_{21} = -\sin \Theta \qquad C_{22} = \cos \Theta$$

Then

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}}_{\mathrm{m}} = \sum_{\mathrm{j}} C_{\mathrm{mj}} \mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{j}}.$$

The value of  $y_m$  when the beam crosses the first focal plane of "2" for the m direction, that is where  $x_2^i = g_{2m}^i$ ,  $x_1^{"} = d - g_{2m}^i$ , is

$$b_{2m}' = \sum_{j} C_{mj} y_{j}(d - g_{2m}')$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j} C_{mj} \left\{ b_{j}' \frac{g_{1j}' - (d - g_{2m}')}{f_{1j}} + \frac{\alpha_{j}'}{f_{1j}} \left[ (d - g_{2m}' - g_{1j}'') - f_{1j}^{2} \right] + \delta_{1j} (\gamma + B_{1} \lambda) \left[ (d - g_{2m}') F_{1}'' + q_{1} \right] \right\}.$$
 (29)

Its inclination in the m direction is

٩.

$$\alpha_{2m}^{i} = \frac{d\overline{y}_{m}}{dx_{2}^{i}} = -\frac{d\overline{y}_{m}}{dx_{1}^{"}} = -C_{mj}\frac{d\overline{y}_{j}}{dx_{1}^{"}} = -C_{mj}\alpha_{1j}^{"}$$

$$= -\sum_{j} C_{mj} \left[ -\frac{b_{j}^{i}}{f_{1j}} + \alpha_{j}^{i}\frac{\ell_{j}^{i} - g_{1j}^{i}}{f_{1j}} + \delta_{1j}(\gamma + B_{1}\lambda)p_{1}^{"} \right]. \quad (30^{\circ})$$

In (29') and (30') the first index on the f's and g's refers to system "l"or"2," the second to the horizontal or vertical component. The outgoing ray has its m<sup>th</sup> ordinate given by  $y_{m}^{"} = b_{2m}^{'} \frac{g_{2m}^{"} - x^{"}}{f_{2m}} - \alpha_{2m}^{i} f_{2m} + \delta_{lm} (\gamma + B_{2} \lambda) \left[ x^{"} p_{2}^{"} + q_{2} \right]$ (31')

substituting (29') and (30') in (31') gives

$$y_{m}^{"} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} C_{mj} \left\{ b_{j}^{*} \frac{G_{mj}^{"} - x^{"}}{F_{mj}} \leftarrow \frac{\alpha_{j}^{*}}{F_{mj}} \left[ (x^{"} - G_{mj}^{*}) (l_{j}^{*} - G_{mj}^{*}) - F_{mj}^{2} \right] \right\} + (\gamma + E \lambda) \left[ x^{"} F_{m}^{"} + Q_{m} \right]$$
(21c')

where

$$G'_{mj} = g'_{1j} - \frac{f_{1j}}{f_{2m}} F_{mj}$$
 (19c')

$$G_{mj}^{"} = g_{2m}^{"} - \frac{f_{2m}}{f_{1j}} F_{mj}.$$

 $F_{m,j} = \frac{f_{ij} f_{2m}}{g_{1i}^{n} + g_{2m}^{i} - d}$ 

Here  $F_{mj}$  refers to the outgoing m<sup>th</sup> component due to incoming j<sup>th</sup> component. Also

$$F_{m}^{"} = -C_{m1} \left( d - g_{2m}^{'} \right) \frac{p_{1}^{'}}{f_{2m}} + \delta_{1m} p_{2}^{'} - \frac{C_{m1}}{f_{2m}} q_{1}$$

$$Q_{m} = C_{m1} \left[ \left( d - g_{2m}^{'} \right) \frac{g_{2m}^{"}}{f_{2m}} + f_{2m} \right] p_{1}^{"} + C_{m1} \frac{g_{2m}^{"}}{f_{2m}} q_{1} + \delta_{1m} q_{2}$$
(20c')

and B has the same meaning as before.

# 7. Applications to Bevatron Injector Systems

# a. The Berkeley 1/4 scale model bevatron.

The injector system for this machine (see Fig. 5) consists of a cycletron which accelerates the protons to 0.625 MeV, ejecting them in a beam which appears

to have separate horizontal and vertical nodes, about 2 ft. from the cyclotron tank wall, the horizontal node teing about 5 in. closer to the cyclotron than the vertical node. The beam then passes through a magnetic wedge with a 10 in. radius of curvature, by which it is directed to a 90° electrostatic deflector which brings the beam into the bevatron.

How should this compound system of magnet and deflector be designed to obtain a parallel beam for injection, with optimum definition? In the notation of Sec. 6, system 1 is the magnetic wedge; 2 the electrostatic deflector. They are distinguished by subscripts M and E respectively. As before, subscript v refers to the vertical plane, h to the horizontal. Since the velocity of the protons is non-relativistic, and since there is no mass variation the following relations hold.

$$\begin{split} \kappa_{Mh} &= \kappa_{Mv} = 1 \qquad \kappa_{Ev} = \infty \qquad \kappa_{Eh} = \sqrt{2} \qquad f_{Eh} = \frac{a_E}{\sqrt{2} \sin \sqrt{2} \Phi_E} \\ B_M &= 1 \qquad B_E = 2 \qquad \qquad g'_{Eh} = g''_{Eh} = g_E = \frac{a_E}{\sqrt{2}} \cot \sqrt{2} \Phi_E \\ \kappa_{Mh}^2 \delta &= \lambda \qquad \kappa_{Eh}^2 \delta = 2 \lambda \qquad \qquad P_E = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \sqrt{2} \Phi_E \end{split}$$
(32) 
$$\begin{split} P_E &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \sqrt{2} \Phi_E \\ \ell_h^1 &= \ell_v^1 = 5^{\prime\prime} \qquad \Phi_E = \pi/2 \qquad \epsilon_E^1 = \epsilon_E^{\prime\prime} = 0 \qquad q_E = \frac{a_E}{2} (1 - \cos \sqrt{2} \Phi_E). \end{split}$$

If the value  $\Phi_{\rm E}$  =  $\pi/2$ , then

 $f_E = .890 a_E$   $p_E = .563$  $g_E = -.539 a_E$   $q_E = .197 a_E$ .

It is assumed that  $a_E$  and  $a_M$  are fixed, so that  $l_h^i$  (or  $l_v^i$ )  $\epsilon^i \epsilon^n$ ,  $\Phi_M$ , and d remain to be determined.

Now  $b_h^i = b_v^i = 0$  and there is no velocity dependence in the vertical plane, so the conditions for a parallel beam as given by (27) are

$$\ell_{\rm h}^{\,\prime} = {\rm G}_{\rm h}^{\,\prime} \tag{33a}$$

$$\delta K^2 F'' = \lambda B F'' = 0 \tag{33b}$$

$$l_{\mathbf{v}}^{\dagger} = \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\dagger}. \tag{33c}$$

Equations (33) determine three of the parameters in terms of the other two; for convenience they will be used to express  $\mathcal{E}^{\,\prime}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}^{\,\prime}$ , and d in terms of  $\mathcal{L}_{\rm h}^{\,\prime}$  and  $\Phi_{\rm M}$ .

From (19c), (33a) becomes

$$l_{\rm h}^{'} = g_{\rm M}^{'} - \frac{f_{\rm M}^2}{g_{\rm M}^{\rm H} + (g_{\rm E} - d)}$$

where the subscript h on the f's and g's is understood, or

$$(l_h' - g_M')((d - g_E) - g_M') = f_M^2$$

a comparison with (23) shows that  $d \sim g_E$  is the image of  $\ell_h^*$  by the magnetic wedge alone. Hence (18) applies with  $\ell_h^{"} = d - g_E^*$ , K = 1,  $\Phi = \Phi_M^*$ :

$$\frac{1}{d-g_E} = -\frac{\pounds n \epsilon^n}{a_M} + \frac{1}{a_M} \frac{l_h^i (\pounds n \Phi_M - \pounds n \epsilon^i) - a_M}{l_h^i (1 + \pounds n \epsilon^i \pounds n \Phi_M) + a_M \ln \Phi_M}$$

or

$$f_{n} \varepsilon'' = \frac{l_{h}^{i} (f_{n} \Phi_{M} - f_{n} \varepsilon^{i}) - a_{M}}{l_{h}^{i} (1 + f_{n} \varepsilon^{i} f_{n} \Phi_{M}) + a_{M} f_{n} \Phi_{M}} - \frac{a_{M}}{d - g_{E}}.$$
(34a)

From (20c) equation (33b) can be written as follows:

$$BP'' = -\frac{d - g_E}{f_E} B_M p_M'' + B_E p_E'' - \frac{B_M q_M}{f_E} = 0$$
(34b)

$$d - g_{E} = \frac{2 p_{E}^{\mu} f_{E} - q_{M}}{p_{M}^{\mu}} = \frac{a_{E} - a_{M} (1 - \cos \Phi_{M})}{\sin \Phi_{M} + (1 - \cos \Phi_{M}) \ln \varepsilon^{\mu}}$$
(34b)

substituting this in (34a) gives

$$\mathfrak{l}_{n} \mathfrak{e}^{"} \left( 1 + \frac{1 - \cos \Phi_{M}}{\frac{a_{E}}{a_{M}} - (1 - \cos \Phi_{M})} \right) = \frac{\ell_{h}^{"} (\mathfrak{l}_{n} \Phi_{M} - \mathfrak{l}_{n} \mathfrak{e}^{"}) - a_{M}}{\ell_{h}^{"} (1 + \mathfrak{l}_{n} \mathfrak{e}^{"} \mathfrak{l}_{n} \Phi_{M}) + a_{M} \mathfrak{l}_{n} \Phi_{M}}$$

$$\frac{a_{\rm E}}{a_{\rm M}} - (1 - \cos \Phi_{\rm M})$$

$$\mathfrak{t}_{n \epsilon^{n}} = \left[ 1 - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{M}}{\mathbf{a}_{E}} \left( 1 - \cos \Phi_{M} \right) \right] \frac{\boldsymbol{l}_{h}^{i} \left( \mathfrak{t}_{n} \Phi_{M} - \mathfrak{t}_{n \epsilon^{i}} \right) - \mathbf{a}_{M}}{\boldsymbol{l}_{h}^{i} \left( 1 + \mathfrak{t}_{n \epsilon^{i}} \mathfrak{t}_{n \Phi} \right) + \mathbf{a}_{M} \mathfrak{t}_{n \Phi}} - \frac{\mathbf{a}_{M}}{\mathbf{a}_{E}} \sin \Phi_{M}.$$
(34ab)

Since all of the vertical focussing takes place in the magnetic wedge,  $g_V^{\dagger} = g_{MV}^{\dagger}$ so from (19v)

$$l_{v}' = \frac{a_{M} (1 - \Phi_{M} \ln \varepsilon'')}{\ln \varepsilon'' + \ln \varepsilon' (1 - \Phi \ln \varepsilon'')}$$

or

::

$$\pounds n \ \varepsilon'' = \frac{a_{M} - l_{v}^{i} \ \exists n \ \varepsilon'}{l_{v}^{i} \ (1 - \Phi_{M} \ \pounds n \ \varepsilon') + a_{M} \ \Phi_{M}}.$$
(34c)

Setting

$$A_{E} = \frac{a_{E}}{a_{M}}, \qquad L_{h}^{i} = \frac{\beta_{i}}{a_{M}}, \qquad L_{v}^{i} = \frac{l_{v}^{v}}{a_{M}}$$
(35)

and equating (34c) and (34ab) it follows that

$$\frac{1 - L_{V}^{i} \ln \epsilon^{i}}{L_{V}^{i} (1 - \Phi_{M} \ln \epsilon^{i}) + \Phi_{M}} = \left[1 - \frac{1}{A_{E}} (1 - \cos \Phi_{M})\right] \frac{L_{h}^{i} (\ln \Phi_{M} - \ln \epsilon^{i}) - 1}{L_{h}^{i} (1 + \ln \epsilon^{i} \ln \Phi_{M}) + \ln \Phi_{M}}$$

$$\frac{\sin \Phi_{\rm M}}{A_{\rm E}}.$$
 (36)

Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be defined by the equations

$$\frac{1}{\mu} = 1 - \frac{1}{A_{\rm E}} \left(1 - \cos \Phi_{\rm M}\right)$$

$$\frac{1}{\nu} = \frac{\sin \Phi_{\rm M}}{A_{\rm E}}.$$
(37)

(In case the  $y_{\rm M}^{\rm H}$  and  $y_{\rm E}^{\rm i}$  axes are antiparallel,  $A_{\rm E}$  should be replaced by  $-A_{\rm E}$  in (34b) and (37)). With these definitions (36) may be written

$$\begin{aligned} f_{n}^{2} \varepsilon^{i} \left[ f_{n} \Phi_{M}^{i} + \frac{\Phi_{M}^{i}}{\mu} + \frac{\Phi_{M}^{i} f_{n} \Phi_{M}^{i}}{\nu} \right] \\ + f_{n} \varepsilon^{i} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mu L_{v}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\mu L_{h}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \Phi_{M}^{i} + \left( \frac{1}{L_{h}^{i}} - \frac{1}{L_{v}^{i}} - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) f_{n} \Phi_{M} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( -\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\nu L_{h}^{i}} - \frac{1}{\nu L_{v}^{i}} \right) \Phi_{M}^{i} f_{n} \Phi_{M}^{i} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \right] \\ & \left. + \left[ \left( \frac{1}{\mu L_{h}^{i} L_{v}^{i}} - \frac{1}{\nu L_{v}^{i}} \right) \Phi_{M}^{i} + \left( -\frac{1}{L_{h}^{i} L_{v}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{\nu L_{h}^{i}} \right) f_{n} \Phi_{M} \right. \\ & \left. + \left( \frac{1}{\nu L_{v}^{i}} - \frac{1}{\nu L_{h}^{i} L_{v}^{i}} \right) \Phi_{M}^{i} f_{n} \Phi_{M}^{i} - \left( \frac{1}{L_{v}^{i}} - \frac{1}{\mu L_{h}^{i}} + \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation  $\varepsilon'$  can be expressed in terms of  $l_h^i$ ,  $l_v^i$  and  $\phi_M$ . Then, substituting back in (34c) and (34b'),  $\varepsilon$ " and d may be similarly expressed.  $l_h^i$ ,  $l_v^i$ 

and M remain to be disposed of and will be chosen to give as narrow a beam as possible.

The horizontal and vertical widths of the beam may be determined as follows. From (28) the horizontal width due to angular divergence is\*

From (19c) and (33a) 
$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{h}}}{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}} \left( \mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{h}}^{*} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}\mathbf{h}}^{*} \right) = \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{h}}^{*} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{N},\mathbf{h}}^{*} \right), \text{ so}$$

$$\Delta_{\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{E}}}{(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{E}}) \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}} \left[ \left( \mathbf{l}^{*} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \right) \left( \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{E}} \right) - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \right) + \mathbf{l}^{*} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha^{2} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{E}}}{(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{E}}) \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}} \left[ \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}^{2} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} + \mathbf{l}^{*} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \right] = \frac{\alpha^{2} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{E}}}{(\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{E}}) \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}}} \left[ \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}} + \mathbf{l}^{*} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{M}}^{*} \right].$$
Thus
$$\Delta_{\alpha_{\mathbf{h}}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{h}}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{E}}}{\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{E}}} \left[ \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} + \mathbf{l}^{*} \cos \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{M}} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{\epsilon}^{*} \mathbf{\hat{x}}_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{M}}) \right]$$
(38a)

while that due to velocity deviation is

$$\omega_{\lambda} = \delta K^{2} \left[ G^{\mu} P^{\mu} + Q \right] = \lambda B \left[ G^{\mu} P^{\mu} + Q \right] = \lambda BQ$$

since  $EF^{n} = 0$  by (33b)

$$\Delta \lambda = \lambda \left\{ \left[ (d - \rho E) \frac{g_E}{f_E} + f_E \right] F_M^M + \frac{g_E}{f_E} q_M + 2q_E \right\}$$

with the help of (34b) this can be written

$$\Delta_{\lambda} = \lambda \left\{ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{E}} \left[ \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{H}} + 2\mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{E}} + 2\mathbf{g}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{H}} \right\} \right\}$$
  
$$\omega_{\lambda} = \lambda \left\{ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{E}} \left[ \sin \Phi_{\mathrm{M}} + (1 - \cos \Phi_{\mathrm{M}}) \ln \varepsilon^{\mathrm{H}} \right] + \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{E}} \right\}.$$
(38b)

Finally the vertical height is given by (28v)

$$\Delta_{\alpha_{\mathcal{Y}}} = \alpha_{\mathcal{Y}}^{*} f_{\mathcal{Y}} = -\alpha_{\mathcal{Y}}^{*} \frac{g_{M_{\mathcal{Y}}}}{1 - \Phi_{M}} f_{M} \varepsilon^{*}$$

but since  $\lambda_v^i = g_v^i = g_{M_v}^i$ 

$$\alpha_{\alpha_{y}} = -\alpha_{y} \frac{l_{y}}{1 - \varphi_{h} ln \epsilon^{\eta}}$$
(38c)

Formulas (38) give the widths of the beam.  $\epsilon_h^*$  and  $\Phi_M$  (and hence  $l_v^*$ ,  $\epsilon^*$ ,  $\epsilon^*$ , and  $\delta$ ) should be chosen to make there as small as possible.

If subscripts of a are called int appropriate variables, h is understood.

For a numerical example let

 $a_{\rm M} = 10^{\rm H}$ ,  $a_{\rm E} = 25^{\rm H}$ ,  $\Phi_{\rm E} = 90^{\circ}$ .

Then the optimum values are approximately  $\mathscr{X}_{h}^{*}$  = 35",  $\Phi_{M}$  = 19°.

Application of the above recipe then yields

$$l_v^3 = 30^{"}$$
,  $\epsilon^1 = 40.0^{\circ}$ ,  $\epsilon^{"} = -35.0^{\circ}$ ,  $d = 72.5^{"}$  and

 $\Delta_{\alpha_{h}} = .238 \alpha_{h}^{3} \frac{\text{inches}}{\text{degree}}$   $\Delta_{\lambda} = .307 \lambda \frac{\text{inches}}{\text{percent}}$   $\Delta_{\alpha_{v}} = .411 \alpha_{v}^{3} \frac{\text{inches}}{\text{degree}}.$ 

b. The full scale Berkeley bevatron.

For this machine it is proposed to use a linear accelerator rather than a cyclotron in the injection system, since the former gives a beam of much smaller width and angular divergence than the latter. The problem then is to bring the beam from the linear accelerator into the bevatron without spoiling this good definition. Suppose a single electrostatic deflector is used. Then from (21) and (22)

$$y_{h}^{"} = b_{h}^{*} \frac{g_{E} - x^{"}}{f_{E}} + \frac{\alpha_{h}^{*}}{f_{E}} \left[ (x^{"} - g_{E}) (\ell_{h}^{*} - g_{E}) - f_{E}^{2} \right] + 2\lambda \left[ x^{"} p_{E} + q_{E} \right]$$
  
$$\alpha^{"} = -\frac{b_{h}^{*}}{f_{E}} + \alpha_{h}^{*} \frac{\ell_{h}^{*} - g_{E}}{f_{E}} + 2p_{E} \lambda.$$

Now if  $\sqrt{2} \Phi_E \langle \pi/2$ , then  $g_E > 0$  and  $\ell_h^i$  may be taken equal to  $g_E$ , in which case these equations reduce to

$$y'' = b_{h}^{*} \frac{g_{E} - x''}{f_{E}} - \alpha_{h} f_{E} + 2\lambda (x'' p_{E} + q_{E})$$

$$\alpha'' = -\frac{b_{h}^{*}}{f_{E}} + 2p_{E}\lambda, \qquad (39)$$

while in the vertical direction,

$$y_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime\prime} = b_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime} - \alpha_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime} (g_{\mathbf{E}} + a_{\mathbf{E}} \Phi_{\mathbf{E}})$$
(39v)  
$$\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime\prime} = -\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}^{\prime\prime}$$

since there is no vertical deflection by the electric field.

It is estimated that the linear accelerator will give a beam about 1/4 in. in diameter, with an angular divergence of about 1:500, and an energy spread of about 1:300, this means

$$\Delta t^{2} - 1/4^{n}$$
,  $\Delta \alpha^{2} - \frac{1}{500}$ ,  $\Delta \lambda - \frac{1}{500}$ .

The figures tentatively adopted for the deflector are

$$\Phi_{\rm E} = 37.5$$
  $a_{\rm E} = 20^{1}$ .

Thus at the end of the deflector the injected beam would be given by (39) and (39v) as

$$y_{h}^{i} = .6017 \ b_{h}^{i} - 212.4 \ \alpha_{h}^{i} + 93.92 \lambda \qquad y_{v}^{i} = b_{v}^{i} - 284.9 \ \alpha_{v}^{i}$$
$$\alpha_{h}^{ii} = -\frac{b_{h}^{i}}{212.4} + 1.130 \lambda \qquad \qquad \alpha_{v}^{ii} = -\alpha_{v}^{i}.$$

The above estimates for the b's and  $\alpha$ 's give

 $\Delta y_{L}^{"} \sim .1504 + .4248 + .1878 \sim .8" \qquad \Delta y_{V}^{"} \sim .25 + .57 \sim .8"$  $\Delta \alpha_{L}^{"} \sim .0012 + .0023 \sim .0035 \text{ rad} \qquad \ell \alpha_{V}^{"} = \Delta \alpha_{V}^{!} \sim .002.$ 

This should be sufficiently good definition for the purpose.

# Appendix A

# Path of the Ions Inside the Field Region, Horizontal

The equation of motion in region III is

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m\vec{v}) = e\vec{E} + e^{-\vec{v}} \cdot x \cdot \vec{H}$$

where m is the relativistic mass of the ion. Using polar coordinates r,  $\varphi$  of Fig. 1 and the fields described in Sec. 2 there result from this vector equation the two scaler equations of motion

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m r^2 \dot{\phi}) = \frac{eH}{c} r \dot{r}$$
(A1)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} (\mathbf{m} \dot{\mathbf{r}}) - \mathbf{m} \mathbf{r} \dot{\phi}^2 = -\frac{1}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{e} \mathbf{X}}{l_n \frac{R_1}{R_2}} - \frac{\mathbf{e} \mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{r} \dot{\phi}. \tag{A2}$$

Integrating (Al) gives

$$\dot{\varphi} = \frac{\mathbf{m}_{1} \mathbf{r}_{1}^{2}}{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{r}^{2}} \dot{\varphi}_{1} + \frac{\mathbf{eH}}{2\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}} \left(1 - \frac{\mathbf{r}_{1}^{2}}{\mathbf{r}^{2}}\right)$$

where subscript 1 denotes the value of the quantity at  $\varphi$  = 0. Putting

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{a} (1 + \rho) \tag{A3}$$
$$\rho_1 = \frac{y_1}{\mathbf{a}}$$

gives, to first order in  $\rho$  and  $\rho_1$ 

$$\dot{\phi} = \frac{m_1}{m} \dot{\phi}_1 (1 + 2\rho_1 - 2\rho) + \frac{eH}{mc} (\rho - \rho_1)$$

$$\dot{\phi}^2 = \left(\frac{m_1}{m} \dot{\phi}_1\right)^2 (1 + 4\rho_1 - 4\rho) + \frac{2eH}{cm} (\rho - \rho_1) \cdot \frac{m_1}{m} \dot{\phi}_1$$
(A4)

Substituting (A4) in (A2) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m \dot{\rho}) - \frac{m_{1} \dot{\phi}_{1}}{m} \left[ m_{1} \dot{\phi}_{1} (1 + 4\rho_{1} - 3\rho) + \frac{2eH}{c} (\rho - \rho_{1}) \right]$$

$$= - \frac{e X}{a^{2} \ln \frac{R_{1}}{R_{2}}} (1 - \rho) - \frac{eH}{c} \frac{1}{m} \left[ m_{1} \dot{\phi}_{1} (1 + 2\rho_{1} - \rho) + \frac{eH}{c} (\rho - \rho_{1}) \right].$$
(A5)

Now from (4) the velocity "and rest mass in region I

$$\beta = \frac{v}{c} = \beta_c (1 + \lambda)$$

$$M = M_0 (1 + \gamma).$$
(4)

Let E be the total self energy (kinetic and rest) of the particle, and p its linear momentum. By conservation of energy  $E_{III}(r) = E_I - eV$  (r), or

$$m(r) = \frac{E_{III}(r)}{c^2} = m_{\overline{1}} - \frac{eV(r)}{c^2}.$$

Now

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{I}} = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{o}} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\gamma})}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{\mathrm{o}}^{2} (\mathbf{1} + \lambda)^{2}}} \cong \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{o}}}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}} \left(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\gamma} + \frac{\beta_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}}\right)$$

while from (1) and (3)

$$\frac{eV(r)}{c^2} = \frac{a}{a} \frac{M_0 \beta_0^2}{e\sqrt{1 - \beta_0^2}}$$

so to first order in  $\Upsilon,\,\lambda\,,$  and  $\rho$ 

$$m(\rho) = \frac{M_0}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_0^2}} \left[ 1 + \gamma + \frac{\beta_0^2}{1 - \beta_0^2} \right]^2 - \frac{a}{a_e} \left[ \beta_0^2 \right]^2 \rho \left[ 1 + \gamma + \frac{\beta_0^2}{1 - \beta_0^2} \right]^2 + \frac{\beta_0^2}{1 - \beta_0^2} \left[ \frac{\beta_0^2}{1 - \beta_0^2} \right]^2 \right]^2$$
(A6)

Now  $\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{M^2}{m^2}}$  whence  $p = m\beta c = c \sqrt{m^2 - M^2}$ 

so that from (4) and (A6)

$$p(\rho) = \frac{M_0 \beta_0 c}{\sqrt{1 - \beta_0^2}} \left( 1 + \gamma + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \beta_0^2} - \frac{a}{a_e} \rho \right).$$
(A7)

Combining (A6) and (A7) gives v = p/m:

$$\mathbf{v}(\rho) = \beta_0 \mathbf{c} \left[ 1 + \lambda - \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{a}_e} \left( 1 - \beta_0^2 \right) \rho \right]. \tag{A8}$$

Further  $r_1\dot{\phi}_1 = v_1 \cos \alpha' \approx v_1$ 

\* It is sometimes more useful to use the energy rather than the velocity. It may be shown from (4) and (At) that the kinetic energy in region I

$$T = T_{\mathcal{O}}\left[1 + \gamma + \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \beta_{\mathcal{O}}^2}}{1 - \beta_{\mathcal{O}}^2} \right].$$

UCRL-599 Fage 23

$$m_{1} \psi_{1} = \frac{m_{1} v_{1}}{r_{1}} = \frac{p_{1}}{a} (1 - \rho_{1}) = \frac{m_{0} \beta_{0} c}{a \sqrt{1 - \beta_{0}^{2}}} \left[ 1 + \gamma + \frac{\lambda}{1 - \beta_{0}^{2}} - y_{1} \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a_{0}} \right) \right]$$
(A9)

The equation of motion (A5) is now multiplied by  $m \frac{1 - \beta \xi}{M_0^2}$  and (A6) and (A9) are substituted into it. By noting that

$$\vec{\rho} = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{\alpha}$$

$$\vec{\rho} = \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{\mathbf{a}^2} \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{\alpha}}{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{p}} = \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{\mathbf{a}^2} \frac{\mathbf{\alpha}}{\mathbf{p}}\right) = \mathbf{C} \left(\frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{\mathbf{a}^2} \mathbf{\alpha}\right)$$

$$(\vec{\rho})^2 \cong \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{\mathbf{a}^2} \mathbf{\alpha}^2$$

so that  $(\dot{\rho})^2$  is second order, compared to  $\ddot{\rho}$ ; keeping only first order terms, and usking use of (3) to replace the field expressions by  $a_m$  and  $a_e$ , and of (2) to simplify many terms, the following equation of motion is obtained:

$$= \frac{v_0^2}{a^2} \left\{ \left[ \gamma + \lambda \left( 1 + \frac{a}{a_0} + \frac{\beta \delta}{1 - \beta \delta} \right) \right] - \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{a}{a_0} \right)^2 \beta \delta \right] \rho \right\}.$$

By use of the substitutions (8) and (9)

$$K^{2} = 1 + \left(\frac{a}{a_{e}}\right)^{2} \left(1 - \beta_{0}^{2}\right)$$
(8)

$$K^{2}S = \gamma + B\lambda = \gamma + \lambda \left(1 + \frac{a}{a_{e}} + \frac{\beta_{0}^{2}}{1 - \beta_{0}^{2}}\right)$$
(9)

the equation of motion becomes

$$\dot{\rho} = \frac{v_0^2}{a^2} K^2 (\dot{\delta} - \rho).$$
 (A10)

Integrating (A10) with the boundary conditions

$$p_0 = \frac{y_1}{a}, \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)_0 = -\alpha \cdot \frac{v_0}{a} \quad \text{at } t = 0$$

gives

$$\rho(t) = -\frac{a^{1}}{K} \sin \frac{v_{0}}{c} Kt + 5 \left(1 - \cos \frac{v_{0}}{a} Kt\right) + \frac{y_{1}}{a} \cos \frac{v_{0}}{a} Kt.$$

To zero order t =  $\varphi \frac{a}{v_0}$ . Since all terms on the right are already first when, this value of t can be used in the above equation, giving

·

$$\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \boldsymbol{\rho} = \mathbf{a} \left[ -\frac{\mathbf{a}'}{\mathbf{K}} \sin \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \boldsymbol{\delta} \left( 1 - \cos \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{l}}}{\mathbf{a}} \cos \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \right].$$
(7)

#### Appendix B

# Vertical Focussing (See Fig. 5)

The vertical motion is shown in Fig. 3, and in more detail in Fig. 5. In the latter figure light solid lines are in the yz plane, the plane of the paper. Dashed lines are in the xy plane. As before reference ray approaches the field in the  $-x^{\dagger}$  direction.  $\vec{n}^{\dagger}$  is the normal to the plane bounding the fields,  $\epsilon' = \angle (x^{\dagger}, n^{\dagger})$ .

The force on the ion is

$$\vec{F} = e \left[ \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{H} \right] = m \vec{r}$$

so

$$\ddot{z} = \frac{e}{mc} (v_x H_y - v_y H_x) = \frac{e}{mc} v_x H_y$$
, since  $H_x = 0$ 

but

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}} &= -\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{y}} \quad \pounds \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{i}} \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}} &= -\frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}} \quad \pounds \mathbf{n} \quad \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{i}} \quad \int \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\right) \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}} \quad \mathrm{d}\mathbf{t} = -\frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{m}\mathbf{c}} \quad \pounds \mathbf{n} \quad \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{i}} \quad \int_{-L_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{y}} \left(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\right) \quad \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} + \dot{\mathbf{z}}_{\mathbf{0}} . \end{aligned}$$

It is desired to know  $\dot{z}$  just inside the magnet, past the fringing field. Since  $\vec{H}$  is irrotational

$$\oint \vec{H} \cdot d\vec{r} = \int_{-l_v}^{y} (y,z) \, dy + \int_{z_1}^{0} H_z \, dz + \int_{y}^{-l_v} H_y (y,0) \, dy = 0.$$
actual path inside

By symmetry  $H_y$  = 0 on the z = 0 plane, so the integral in the expression for  $\dot{z},$  at  $y\approx$  0 is

$$-\int_{z_1}^{o} H_z dz = H z_1$$

where z<sub>1</sub> is the height at which the ion enters the wedge. Hence,

$$\dot{z} - \dot{z}_0 = -\frac{eH}{mc} \ln \epsilon' z_1.$$

Dividing by v, and using the second of equations (3) gives, to first order\*

$$\Delta \gamma' = -\frac{z_1}{a_m} \pm n \epsilon' = -\frac{z_1}{a} \frac{\pm n \epsilon'}{K_v}$$
(B1)

where

$$K_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{m}}}{\mathbf{a}}.$$
 (B2)

If the ion passes through the point  $x' = l_v'$ ,  $z' = b_v'$  then  $z = b_v' + l_v' \gamma'$ 

$$\Delta \gamma' = - \frac{b_V' + l' \gamma_V'}{a} \frac{f_n \epsilon'}{K_V}.$$

The ion now goes a distance  $a\phi$  (1 + small correction) in the field, emerging with  $z_2 = z_1 + (\gamma' + \Delta \gamma') a\phi + second order terms$ 

$$= b_{v}^{i} + l_{v}^{i} \gamma^{i} + \left[\gamma^{i} - \left(\frac{b_{v}^{i}}{a} + \frac{l_{v}^{i}}{a} \gamma^{i}\right) \frac{t_{n} \varepsilon^{i}}{K_{v}}\right] a\Phi$$

here it undergoes another deflection  $\Delta \gamma'' = -\frac{z_2}{a} \frac{t_n \epsilon''}{K_v}$  so that the outgoing angle is

$$\alpha_{v}^{\prime\prime} = \frac{dz}{dx^{\prime\prime}} = \gamma^{\prime} + \Delta \gamma^{\prime} + \Delta \gamma^{\prime\prime}$$

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{v}}^{"} = -\frac{\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{v}}^{!}}{\mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} \left[ \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{!} + \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{"} - \frac{\Phi}{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{!} \ \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{"} \right]$$
(B3)  
$$- \gamma^{!} \left[ \frac{\lambda_{\mathbf{v}}^{!}}{\mathbf{a} \ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} \left( \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{!} + \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{"} - \frac{\Phi}{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{!} \ \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{"} \right) - \left( \mathbf{1} - \frac{\Phi}{\mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\hat{T}}_{\mathbf{n}} \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{"} \right) \right].$$

Hence the vertical path of the ion in region II is given by

\*This derivation has been given by L. S. Lavatelli, AEC Oak Ridge report MDDC-350

UCRL-599 Page 26

$$z^{"} = z_{2} + x^{"} a_{V}^{"} = b_{V}^{!} \left\{ -\frac{x^{"}}{a K_{V}} \left( \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} + \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{"} - \frac{\Phi}{K_{V}} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{"} \right) + \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{V}} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} \right) \right\}$$
$$+ \alpha^{!} \left\{ x^{"} \left[ \frac{\hat{x}_{V}^{!}}{a K_{V}} \left( \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} + \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{"} - \frac{\Phi}{K_{V}} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{"} \right) - \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{V}} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{"} \right) \right] \quad (B4)$$
$$- \left[ \hat{x}_{V}^{!} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{V}} \hat{x}_{n} \varepsilon^{!} \right) - a \Phi \right] \right\}$$

which goes into the standard form

$$z'' = b_{v}^{\dagger} \frac{g_{v}'' - x''}{f_{v}} + \frac{\alpha_{v}^{\dagger}}{f_{v}} \left[ (x'' - g_{v}'') (l_{v}' - g_{v}') - f_{v}^{2} \right]$$
(21v)

with the definitions

$$f_{\mathbf{v}} = \frac{a K_{\mathbf{v}}}{\mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon' + \mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon'' - \frac{\Phi}{K_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon' \mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon''}$$

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}' = f_{\mathbf{v}} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon'' \right)$$

$$g_{\mathbf{v}}'' = f_{\mathbf{v}} \left( 1 - \frac{\Phi}{K_{\mathbf{v}}} \mathbf{\mathbf{f}} n \epsilon' \right)$$
(19v)

where

9

Ť

$$K_V = \frac{a_m}{a}$$

 $f_{\nabla}$ ,  $g_{\nabla}^{\downarrow}$  and  $g_{\nabla}^{"}$  are also related by

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{V}}^{2} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{V}}^{\prime \dagger} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{\Phi} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{V}}. \tag{19v}^{\dagger}$$

# Appendix C

Effect of Fringing Fields (See Fig. 6)

As the ion approaches the fields along the x' axis its path is bent somewhat by the fringing fields, which exert a normal force

$$F_n = \frac{m v^2}{r} \cong e E_x \sin \varepsilon' + e E_y \cos \varepsilon' + \frac{He v}{c}.$$

Eut dx = rd $\phi \cos \phi \sim rd\phi \cos \epsilon^{i}$ , where d $\phi$  is the change in direction in a distance

dх

::

 $\frac{d\varphi}{dx} = \frac{E_{x} \ln \varepsilon^{i} + E_{y}}{E_{o} a_{E}} + \frac{H_{z}}{H_{o} a_{M} \cos \varepsilon^{i}}$   $\Delta \varphi = \frac{1}{E_{o} a_{e}} \left[ fn \varepsilon^{i} \int E_{x} dx + \int E_{y} dx \right] + \frac{1}{H_{o} a_{M} \cos \varepsilon^{i}} \int H_{z} dx$   $= \frac{fn \varepsilon^{i} \Delta V_{E} + \Delta U_{E}}{E_{o} a_{E}} + \frac{\Delta U_{M}}{H_{o} a_{M} \cos \varepsilon^{i}} + \frac{\Delta U_{M}}{H_{o} \cos \varepsilon^{i}} + \frac{\Delta U_{M}}{H_{o}$ 

where  $U_E$  and  $U_M$  are the electric and magnetic stream functions, and  $V_E$  is the electric potential function. Whenever an electric field is present,  $\varepsilon^{\circ} = 0$ , so the first term is always absent. To calculate the addition to  $\Phi$  due to the fringing fields the differences in  $\Delta \varphi$  are taken with and without fringing fields. Thus in the ideal case, without fringing field  $\frac{\Delta U_E}{V_0} = \frac{2x}{R_1 - R_2}$ , where the condenser

plates are at potentials  $V_0$  and  $-V_0$ . In the real case, the fringing field is given by the field of two sem-infinite conducting planes, at potential  $V_0$  and  $-V_0$ . This is given by Smythe, problem 24, Chapter IV:

$$z = x + iy = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{\pi} \ln \sin \frac{\pi (V_E + i U_E)}{2V_0}.$$

With y = 0 it is found that

$$\Delta U_{\rm E} = U_{\rm E} (\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \infty) = U_{\rm E} (\mathbf{x} \longrightarrow \infty) = \frac{2\mathbf{x}}{R_1 - R_2} + \frac{V_0}{\pi} \text{ fm } 4.$$

Thus the change in  $\Phi$  due to electric fringing field is

$$\Delta \Phi_{\rm E} = \frac{2 \ln 4}{\pi} \frac{R_1 - R_2}{a_{\rm E}} = .883 \frac{R_1 - R_2}{a_{\rm E}}.$$
 (C2)

For the magnetic field, if it is assumed that the magnet extends infinitely in the vertical direction, then it may be shown, by a suitable series of Schwarz transformations, that

$$\pi \frac{Z}{S_{v}} = \frac{\pi}{S_{v}} (x + iz) = -\sqrt{1 + 4 \exp \left(\frac{\pi (U_{M} + i V_{M})}{V_{oM}} + \sinh^{-1} \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \frac{U_{M} + i V_{M}}{V_{o}}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{cr}$ 

:

where  $S_V$  is the vertical separation of the magnet pole faces. Thus

$$\Delta \frac{U_{M}}{V_{oM}} = \frac{1}{V_{oM}} \left[ U_{M} (x \rightarrow \infty) - U_{M} (x \rightarrow -\infty) \right]$$
$$= \frac{2x}{S_{V}} + \frac{2}{\pi} \left( 1 + \ln \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{x'}{S_{V}} \right)$$

whereas without the fringing field it is  $\frac{2x}{S_v}$ . Hence

$$\Delta \Phi_{\mathbf{M}}^{\dagger} = \frac{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}}}{\cos \varepsilon \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 1 + \boldsymbol{\varrho} \, \mathbf{n} \, \frac{\pi}{4} \, \frac{\mathbf{x} \, \mathbf{i}}{\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{v}}} \right). \tag{C3}$$

Actually the magnet is not vertically infinite, but has height A so that for x'>> A the contribution to  $4\Phi_M$  will be zero. Thus x' should be limited to the approximate dimentsions of the system, namely A,

$$\Delta \Phi_{\rm M} \cong \frac{{\rm S}_{\rm V}}{{\rm a}_{\rm M}} \frac{1}{\pi} \left( 1 + \ell n \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{{\rm A}}{{\rm S}_{\rm V}} \right) ({\rm sec} \ \epsilon^{*} + {\rm sec} \ \epsilon^{*}).$$

The exact value is

$$\Delta \Phi_{M} = \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{1}{a_{M}} \left\{ \sec \varepsilon^{*} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{H_{z} (x, x \ tn \ \varepsilon^{*})}{H_{o}} dx - x \right] + \sec \varepsilon^{*} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{H_{z} (x, x \ tn \ \varepsilon^{*})}{H_{o}} dx - x \right] \right\}.$$
(C4)

Hence the fringing fields increase the turning angle  $\phi$  by

$$\Delta \Phi = \Delta \Phi_{\rm E} + \Delta \Phi_{\rm M} \sim .883 \frac{R_1 - R_2}{a_{\rm E}} + \frac{S_{\rm v}}{a_{\rm M}} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\sec \varepsilon' + \sec \varepsilon''\right) \ln\left(\frac{\pi e}{4} \frac{A}{S_{\rm v}}\right) \tag{C5}$$

where  $S_v$  is the vertical separation of the pole faces and A is of the order of the total height of the magnet. Thus, due to the fringing fields, both the electric and magnetic fields effectively extend an additional distance of the order of the gap width.

Information Division 2-27-50 md



FIG. 1

j~

Â



FIG. 2

i,



•

, , , ,

3

\$ \*



÷...



MU 26



r.

ૢ૽ૺ૽

 $\overline{c}$ 





FIG. 6

MU 28

1