

UC San Diego

UC San Diego Previously Published Works

Title

Advances and Shortfalls in MRI Evaluation of Osteoporosis.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/53p7c300>

Journal

Radiology, 307(2)

ISSN

0033-8419

Author

Bae, Won C

Publication Date

2023-04-01

DOI

10.1148/radiol.223144

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at

<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

New Title: Advances and Shortfalls in MRI Evaluation of Osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is a major risk factor for bone fracture. Bone mineral density (BMD) is often evaluated with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) to determine the fracture risk (1), but DXA alone maybe insufficient to evaluate bone quality or different compartments such as cortical and trabecular bone. CT, including multi-detector CT and high resolution peripheral quantitative CT (pQCT), provide detailed information about bone microstructure (2). Furthermore, recent advancements in MRI, utilizing ultrashort echo time (UTE) or zero echo time (3) sequences to acquire rapidly decaying MR signal from the bone, has enabled direct MRI evaluation of bone without the use of ionizing radiation.

In this issue of Radiology, Jones et al. (4) report on a “solid”-state MRI technique (5) that uses hydrogen 1 UTE and phosphorus 31 zero echo time acquisitions at 3-T to determine bone water content, mineralization, and morphologic characteristics in postmenopausal women. The MRI measures were compared between treatment-naïve women with osteoporosis and an age-matched control group without osteoporosis, and correlations with BMD values from DXA and pQCT were assessed. The authors found significantly higher total and pore water content and lower bone mineralization and cortical thickness in women with osteoporosis. Additionally, the water contents correlated negatively and mineralization and cortical thickness correlated positively with BMD values from DXA and pQCT.

This in vivo study has significant clinical implications for a wider use of MRI to assess osteoporosis by providing measures of bone water as well as mineralization, with a minor inconvenience of requiring the use of calibration phantoms during imaging. The findings of the

study agree with those of past studies using UTE-based techniques such as bicomponent UTE T2* analysis to determine free and bound water in the cortical bone, which correlated with porosity at micro CT and mechanical strength (6). Conventional high resolution MRI techniques have also been used, aimed at acquiring images depicting trabecular microstructure for finite element analysis (7). The study by Chang et al (7) revealed that postmenopausal women with fragility fracture had lower elastic modulus in the proximal femur compared to control subjects without fracture but similar BMD. Studies such as these collectively suggest that multifaceted MRI approaches may provide information unavailable in DXA alone.

Despite significant recent advances, challenges to MRI bone evaluation remain. Scientifically, relative roles of bone “quantity” vs. “quality” in bone fracture is still under active investigation (8), leading to an ever-increasing number of imaging targets (e.g., collagen cross-linking, composition, cellular activity), particularly for the bone “quality” biomarker where MRI has the greatest potential to make an advancement. MRI-specific issues include non-standard hardware (e.g., coil) and methodology, high cost, long scan time, and complex post-processing. This leads to smaller-scale studies that are difficult to generalize to a large population as was possible for DXA (9).

The study by Jones et al demonstrates that MRI evaluation of bone is feasible for clinical translation, albeit with many shortcomings. Improvements are needed in many areas, including collaboration with clinicians and basic researchers to better define imaging targets and corresponding MRI biomarkers, hardware to acquire images with higher signal-to-noise more quickly, approaches for facilitating deployment of new MRI methodology, and larger-scale studies.

REFERENCES

1. Bauer JS, Link TM. Advances in osteoporosis imaging. *Eur J Radiol* 2009;71(3):440-449. doi: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2008.04.064
2. Patsch JM, Burghardt AJ, Kazakia G, Majumdar S. Noninvasive imaging of bone microarchitecture. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2011;1240:77-87. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2011.06282.x
3. Weiger M, Stampanoni M, Pruessmann KP. Direct depiction of bone microstructure using MRI with zero echo time. *Bone* 2013;54(1):44-47. doi: 10.1016/j.bone.2013.01.027
4. Jones BC, Lee H, Cheng CC, et al. MRI quantification of cortical bone porosity, mineralization, and morphology in postmenopausal osteoporosis. *Radiology* 2023;##(##):###-###.
5. Zhao X, Song HK, Seifert AC, Li C, Wehrli FW. Feasibility of assessing bone matrix and mineral properties in vivo by combined solid-state ¹H and ³¹P MRI. *PLoS One* 2017;12(3):e0173995. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0173995
6. Bae WC, Chen PC, Chung CB, Masuda K, D'Lima D, Du J. Quantitative ultrashort echo time (UTE) MRI of human cortical bone: correlation with porosity and biomechanical properties. *J Bone Miner Res* 2012;27(4):848-857. doi: 10.1002/jbmr.1535
7. Chang G, Honig S, Brown R, Deniz CM, Egol KA, Babb JS, Regatte RR, Rajapakse CS. Finite element analysis applied to 3-T MR imaging of proximal femur microarchitecture: lower bone strength in patients with fragility fractures compared with control subjects. *Radiology* 2014;272(2):464-474. doi: 10.1148/radiol.14131926

8. Morgan EF, Unnikrisnan GU, Hussein AI. Bone Mechanical Properties in Healthy and Diseased States. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 2018;20:119-143. doi: 10.1146/annurev-bioeng-062117-121139
9. Jassal SK, von Muhlen D, Barrett-Connor E. Measures of renal function, BMD, bone loss, and osteoporotic fracture in older adults: the Rancho Bernardo study. *J Bone Miner Res* 2007;22(2):203-210. doi: 10.1359/jbmr.061014

Biography of the editorial writers:

Dr. Won Bae is an Associate Professor in the musculoskeletal imaging section in the Department of Radiology at the University of California, San Diego. His research interests include quantitative and novel imaging evaluation of musculoskeletal diseases, including low back pain and knee osteoarthritis. He has publications in the areas of ultrashort echo time (UTE) imaging, UTE T2* quantification, perfusion imaging, and artificial intelligence.



wbae@health.ucsd.edu