

UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Using Reaction Times to Compare Two Models of Randomness Perception

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5235b655>

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 31(31)

ISSN

1069-7977

Authors

Gronchi, Giorgio
Sloman, Steven A.

Publication Date

2009

Peer reviewed

Using Reaction Times to Compare Two Models of Randomness Perception

Giorgio Gronchi

Dipartimento di Psicologia, Università di Firenze

Steven A. Sloman

Department of Cognitive and Linguistic Sciences, Brown University

Abstract: The overalternating bias is that people rate sequences with an excess of alternation as more random than prescribed by information theory. There are two explanations: local representativeness (Kahneman & Tversky, 1972) and the implicit encoding hypothesis (Falk & Konold, 1997). The aim of the current experiment is to compare predictions derived from the explanations in a reaction time experiment. The measure $\text{random}(X)$ proposed by Griffiths & Tenenbaum (2004) was used to quantify the subjective randomness of a set of binary sequences. If the implicit encoding hypothesis holds, we should see reaction times increase monotonically with $\text{random}(X)$, whereas local representativeness predicts faster reaction time for low and high values of $\text{random}(X)$ and slower reaction times for medium values of $\text{random}(X)$. Results support the implicit encoding model.