# **UC Merced**

# **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

## **Title**

Bias in Belief Updating: Combining the Bayesian Sampler with Heuristics

### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5142b5v1

## **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 46(0)

#### **Authors**

Lin, Yitong Zhu, Jian-Qiao Sanborn, Adam

## **Publication Date**

2024

## **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

# Bias in Belief Updating: Combining the Bayesian Sampler with Heuristics

## **Yitong Lin**

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

#### Jian-Qiao Zhu

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, United States

## Adam Sanborn

University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom

#### **Abstract**

People systematically deviate from the rational Bayesian updating of beliefs, as notably evidenced by conservatism and base-rate neglect. The primary cognitive models that explain these biases include simple heuristics (Woike et al., 2023, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogpsych.2023.101564) and stochastic sampling approximations of the Bayesian solution, like the Bayesian Sampler (Zhu et al., 2020, https://doi.org/10.1037/rev0000190). However, neither type of explanation appears entirely complete, as the data fall between the two; only about half of participants' responses align with heuristics. Could these results be explained by a new class of models that blend heuristics with Bayesian models? We test both simple mixtures of heuristics and the Bayesian Sampler, as well as a hybrid model in which heuristics are used to set a prior that improves estimates based on stochastic samples. Our analysis indicates that neither heuristics nor the Bayesian Sampler alone are sufficient to explain the data.