

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

## Recent Work

### Title

EFFECT OF PROTON-PROTON SCATTERING ON AN INITIAL LONGITUDINAL SPIN POLARIZATION

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/50s0h582>

### Author

Simmons, James E.

### Publication Date

1956-05-16

UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA

*Radiation  
Laboratory*

TWO-WEEK LOAN COPY

*This is a Library Circulating Copy  
which may be borrowed for two weeks.  
For a personal retention copy, call  
Tech. Info. Division, Ext. 5545*

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA

## **DISCLAIMER**

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor the Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or the Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or the Regents of the University of California.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

Radiation Laboratory  
Berkeley, California

Contract No. W-7405-eng-48

**EFFECT OF PROTON-PROTON SCATTERING  
ON AN INITIAL LONGITUDINAL SPIN POLARIZATION**

**James E. Simmons**

**May 16, 1956**

**EFFECT OF PROTON-PROTON SCATTERING  
ON AN INITIAL LONGITUDINAL SPIN POLARIZATION**

**James E. Simmons  
Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California**

**May 16, 1956**

**ABSTRACT**

**The Wolfenstein A parameter for proton-proton scattering is measured for three angles at an incident laboratory energy of 316 Mev.**

## EFFECT OF PROTON-PROTON SCATTERING ON AN INITIAL LONGITUDINAL SPIN POLARIZATION

James E. Simmons  
Radiation Laboratory  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

May 16, 1956

### INTRODUCTION

This report is a description of the last in a series of measurements performed at Berkeley on the proton-proton system at 316 Mev. The object of this experiment is to obtain information concerning the scattered spin polarization when the initial polarization is along the direction of motion. Three scatterings are therefore required; the first and last act as polarizer and analyzer, by means of which the unknown parameters of the second scattering are to be determined. In this way we are able to measure another independent parameter of the proton-proton system, denoted by Wolfenstein<sup>1</sup> as  $A(\theta)$ .

To obtain a longitudinal component of polarization it is necessary to employ an auxiliary magnetic field, since the polarization produced at the first target is perpendicular to the plane of the scattering. This requirement may be compared to the conditions for the other two triple-scattering experiments already performed at this laboratory; namely the measurements of the D and R parameters.<sup>2</sup> These are concerned with components of the final polarization when the initial polarization is perpendicular to the direction of motion.

### THE POLARIZED BEAM

The polarized 316-Mev proton beam<sup>3</sup> was produced by a  $13^\circ$  left elastic scattering of the internal circulating beam of the 184-inch cyclotron from target No. 1, of beryllium. The polarization vector of the first scattered beam,  $\langle \sigma \rangle_1$ , is directed upward, perpendicular to the plane of the scattering, and has the magnitude  $P_1 = 0.69 \pm .05$ . The beam is brought into the experimental area

(cave) through a 2-inch-diameter collimator at a rate of  $3 \times 10^6$  particles per second. Here it enters a horizontal magnetic field which deflects it upward by an angle  $\Omega = 28.4^\circ$ . Figure 1 outlines the experimental geometry in the cave. When the beam emerges from the magnet the polarization vector is no longer perpendicular to the direction of motion, but has been rotated backward through an angle  $\chi$ , in the vertical plane. Consequently the beam has acquired a longitudinal component of polarization equal to  $-P_1 \sin \chi$ , with respect to the direction of motion.

That the angle  $\chi$  is different from zero after passage through the horizontal magnetic field is due to the anomalous part of the proton magnetic moment. The following formula, derived by Garren<sup>4</sup> and contained in the work of Mendlowitz and Case,<sup>5</sup> gives the relation between  $\chi$  and  $\Omega$  as defined in the previous paragraph:

$$\chi = \gamma (\mu_p - 1) \Omega. \quad (1)$$

Here,  $\gamma$  represents the relativistic mass increase and  $\mu_p$  is the proton magnetic moment in units of the nuclear magneton. For  $316 \pm 10$ -Mev protons and  $\Omega = 28.4^\circ \pm 0.25^\circ$ ,  $\chi$  has the value  $66.5^\circ \pm 0.7^\circ$ .

### SCATTERING GEOMETRY

The disposition of the second and third scattering planes is described below and depicted in Fig. 1. Proceeding upward from the magnet, the beam encounters the second target, composed of  $1.13 \text{ g/cm}^2$  liquid hydrogen. We fix our attention on protons that are scattered at an angle  $\Theta_2$  in a plane  $\pi_2$ , which is perpendicular to the vertical plane passing through the deflected beam. These scattered protons are allowed to scatter again at the third target, in a plane  $\pi_3$  chosen perpendicular to  $\pi_2$  and containing Targets 2 and 3. The third or analyzing target is composed of beryllium and located 4 ft. from the hydrogen target. By measurement of an asymmetry after the last scattering, the component of scattered polarization at right angles to the plane  $\pi_3$  is determined, and thereby the quantity A.

The relation between the measured asymmetry at the third target and the parameter A is got from Eq. 1.4b of Wolfenstein:<sup>1</sup>

$$I_2 \langle \underline{g} \rangle_2 \cdot \underline{s}_2 = I_{20} \left[ A \langle \underline{g} \rangle_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2 + R \langle \underline{g} \rangle_1 \cdot (\underline{n}_2 \times \underline{k}_2) \right]. \quad (2)$$

The subscript refers to the particular scattering, so that  $I_j$  and  $I_{j0}$  are the intensities out of the  $j$ th target for polarized and unpolarized incident beams respectively, and  $\underline{k}_j$  and  $\underline{k}'_j$  are the unit vectors in the incident and outgoing directions, respectively. A system of coordinates is defined for the scattered particles at any target by the unit vectors,  $\underline{n}_j, \underline{k}'_j, \underline{s}_j$ , where  $\underline{n}_j = \underline{k}_j \times \underline{k}'_j / |\underline{k}_j \times \underline{k}'_j|$ , and  $\underline{s}_j = \underline{k}_j \times \underline{k}'_j$ . The symbol  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_1$  represents the polarization produced at target No. 1 but rotated in the horizontal magnetic field, whereas  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_2$  is the polarization vector, of unknown direction and magnitude, after scattering at target No. 2. For the geometry chosen,  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_1$  is perpendicular to  $\underline{n}_2 \times \underline{k}_2$ , which means that the effect of the parameter  $R$  does not appear. Since we wish to measure the component of  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_2$  along the direction  $\underline{s}_2$ , the analyzing plane is chosen perpendicular to  $\underline{s}_2$  and therefore perpendicular to the plane of second scattering. The scattered intensity out of the third target is<sup>6</sup>

$$I_3 = I_{30} (1 + \langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_2 \cdot \underline{n}_3 P_3). \quad (3)$$

Let  $I_3 (\pm)$  denote the intensity when  $\underline{n}_3$  is parallel to  $\pm \underline{s}_2$ , then the asymmetry at target No. 3 is defined to be

$$e_{3s} = [I_3 (+) - I_3 (-)] / [I_3 (+) + I_3 (-)] = \langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_2 \cdot \underline{s}_2 P_3. \quad (4)$$

If we insert  $I_2 = I_{20} (1 + \langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 P_2)$  in Eq. (2) and use the geometrical facts,  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_1 \cdot \underline{k}_2 = -P_1 \sin \chi$  and  $\langle \underline{\sigma} \rangle_1 \cdot \underline{n}_2 = \pm P_1 \cos \chi$ , we obtain the following expression for  $e_{3s}$ :

$$e_{3s} = -P_1 P_3 A \sin \chi / (1 \pm P_1 P_2 \cos \chi). \quad (5)$$

The  $\pm$  refers to left or right\* scatter from target No. 2.

The parameter  $A$  may be related to the coefficients of the proton-proton scattering matrix, as given in Ref. 1. The result<sup>7</sup> is

---

\* The directions denoted by left or right are those that would be discerned by an observer moving with the beam incident on Target 2 and oriented with respect to the perpendicular component of polarization.



$$I_0 A = \text{Im } C^* (B + G - N) \cos \theta/2 + \\ - 1/2 \text{Re} \left[ (N - H) B^* + (G - N)^*(N + H) \right] \sin \theta/2, \quad (6)$$

where  $\theta$  is the c.m. scattering angle, and  $I_0$  is the unpolarized differential cross section. In this expression, relativistic corrections are neglected.

It may be noted that another geometry is available to measure  $A$  besides the one employed here. It involves magnetic deflection in the manner described above, but the second scattering takes place in the vertical plane, while the analyzing plane is again perpendicular to the plane of second scattering. To eliminate the parameter  $R$  from the picture a magnet sufficiently powerful to rotate the spin through  $90^\circ$  must be used.

#### EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

An ionization chamber was placed before the entrance to the deflecting magnet for the purpose of monitoring the polarized beam. Four plastic scintillation counters connected in coincidence, together with their associated electronic circuits, were used to record the desired events. Two of these counters, called  $A$  and  $B$ , each having the dimensions 3 by 3 by  $1/4$  inches, were placed between targets Nos. 2 and 3 to define the second scattered beam. Counter  $A$  was at the midway point, while  $B$  formed part of the third target. The last two counters, labeled 1 and 2, were placed after target No. 3. The distances of Counters 1 and 2 from Target 3 were 25.5 and 33 inches; their dimensions were 2.5 by 8 by  $3/8$  inches and 3 by 9 by  $3/8$  inches, respectively. Between the last two counters was located a variable amount of copper absorber for the purpose of reducing inelastic scattering from the third target. When Counters  $A$ ,  $B$ , 1, and 2 all fire within approximately  $3 \times 10^{-8}$  sec of each other, a triple-scattering event is said to have occurred. The four counters and target No. 3 were mounted on a supporting frame located behind the hydrogen target.

The following quantities must be known before  $A$  may be calculated from Eq. (5):  $e_{3s}$ ,  $P_1 P_3$ ,  $P_1 P_2$ , and  $\chi$ . Determination of  $e_{3s}$ , which is the primary experimental quantity, involves measuring the  $A$ - $B$ -1-2 counting rate with the hydrogen target in place, and then replacing the target by a blank and measuring the background counts arising from scattering at the vacuum-jacket windows. In addition, background arising from accidental coincidence events was measured. Table I gives the relative magnitude of the background effects.

Table I

Relative values of background and effect. <sup>a</sup>		
Lab angle $\Theta_2$	$R_B/R_H$	$R_A/R_H$
11.8°	0.17	0.07
24°	0.06	0.025
36°	0.04	0.026

<sup>a</sup>  $R_B$  = blank counting rate,  $R_A$  = accidental counting rate,  $R_H$  = counting rate with the hydrogen target in place. The third scattering angle,  $\Theta_3$ , has different values for each  $\Theta_2$ . These are listed in Table II.

The factor  $P_1 P_3$ , which may be called the calibration asymmetry, was determined separately at the end of the run. The procedure used was as follows: The hydrogen target and deflecting magnet were removed from the cave. The supporting frame was then lowered so that counters A and B were in line with the undeflected polarized beam. The frame had also been rotated in such a manner that the analyzing plane was parallel to the plane of the cyclotron. The beam energy was degraded to a value corresponding to the energy of a proton after scattering from hydrogen at one of the angles used previously. Under these circumstances an asymmetry was measured at the beryllium target which is equal to  $P_1 P_3$  for the required experimental conditions.

$P_1 P_2$  was calculated from previously known information on the beam polarization and from data for  $P_2$ ,<sup>8</sup> the hydrogen polarization function.

Knowledge of the beam energy and the angle of deflection,  $\Omega$ , enables one to compute  $\chi$ . The angle  $\Omega$  was determined by locating the deflected and undeflected beams by use of photographic films and measurement of the angle between them by use of transits. This method checked quite well an estimate for  $\Omega$  got by constructing a current-carrying wire orbit through the magnet for the given value of the field.

## RESULTS

The parameter A was determined for three values of the second scattering angle  $\Theta_2$  (lab.) equal to  $11.8^\circ$ ,  $24^\circ$ , and  $36^\circ$ . The last two points are averages for a left and a right scatter from hydrogen. This procedure provides a check on the reliability of the data; mechanical limitations, however, confined measurement of the  $12^\circ$  point to a left scatter only. Figure 2 shows the experimental values of the parameter A plotted against the c.m. angle  $\theta_2$ , while Table II gives values for the pertinent experimental information.

Table II

Experimental quantities for the A experiment. <sup>a</sup>			
$\theta_2$ (c.m.)	$25.4^\circ \pm 3.6^\circ$	$51.36^\circ \pm 4.5^\circ$	$76.26^\circ \pm 4.7^\circ$
$\Theta_3$ (lab.)	$13.85^\circ$	$12.25^\circ$	$19.9^\circ$
Target 3	2 in. Be	2 in. Be	1 in. Be
$e_{3s}$ left	$-0.155 \pm .028$	$-0.018 \pm .028$	$0.129 \pm .034$
$e_{3s}$ right	--	$0.025 \pm .032$	$0.103 \pm .034$
$P_1 P_3$	$0.543 \pm .021$	$0.515 \pm .022$	$0.537 \pm .027$
$P_2$	$0.335 \pm .025$	$0.317 \pm .025$	$0.142 \pm .025$
A (average)	$-0.339 \pm .064$	$0.007 \pm .045$	$0.236 \pm .050$

<sup>a</sup> The quoted errors in  $e_{3s}$ ,  $P_1 P_3$ , and  $P_2$  are expressed in terms of standard deviations. The spread in  $\theta_2$  is due mainly to geometrical resolution, with some contribution from multiple scatter in Target No. 2. Values of  $P_2$  are extracted from Ref. 8.

The uncertainty in A was calculated by combining the errors in the various measured quantities. The errors listed for  $e_{3s}$  and  $P_1 P_3$ , although predominantly due to counting statistics, include a contribution from estimated false asymmetries induced by misalignment in the zero-setting of  $\Theta_3$ . Misalignment contributes approximately 15% to the total error in A at the two smaller angles, and about 5% at the larger angle.

At the time of this experiment the first stage of a phase-shift analysis on the proton-proton system at 300 Mev had been completed.<sup>9</sup> Reference 9 reports 28 different relative minima,<sup>10</sup> which together with six found later gives a total of 34. Six of these gave a fit to the experimental data (not including the measurements reported here) that was satisfactory for a solution. However, only one of these six solutions was in reasonable agreement with the results of this experiment.

Continuation of the searching with the A data incorporated into the phase-shift analysis gave rise to the following effects. First, the total number of minima was reduced from 34 to 19, which diminished considerably the amount of additional searching required. Furthermore, of the six solutions that had previously been considered satisfactory, only the one mentioned above remained essentially unaltered. Four of the others changed materially but remained good solutions, while one was modified in such a way as to provide a poor fit to the experimental information.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. Emilio Segrè and Prof. Owen Chamberlain for their valuable advice and support. The assistance of Drs. John Baldwin, David Fischer, Clyde Wiegand, and Tom Ypsilantis was of great help during the course of the experiment. Thanks are due to James Foote and Dick Weingart, who gave generously of their time and effort. The unstinting co-operation of James Vale, Lloyd Hauser, and the other members of the cyclotron crew is greatly appreciated.

This work was done under the auspices of the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

LIST OF REFERENCES QUOTED

1. L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. 96, 1654 (1954).
2. Ypsilantis, Wiegand, Tripp, Segrè, and Chamberlain, Phys. Rev. 98, 840 (1955).
3. Chamberlain, Segrè, Tripp, Wiegand, and Ypsilantis, "Experiments with 315-Mev Polarized Protons I. Elastic Scattering by Complex Nuclei." Phys. Rev. (in press).
4. A. Garren, Phase Shifts and Coulomb Interference Effects for High-Energy Proton-Proton Scattering (thesis), Carnegie Institute of Technology, 1955; also AEC Report NYO-7102, unpublished.
5. H. Mendlowitz and K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. 97, 33 (1955).
6. L. Wolfenstein and J. Ashkin, Phys. Rev. 85, 947 (1952).
7. L. Wolfenstein, Seminar lectures, University of California, Spring semester 1955 (unpublished).
8. Chamberlain, Segrè, Tripp, Wiegand, and Ypsilantis, "Experiments with 315-Mev Polarized Protons, II Nucleon-Nucleon Scattering." To be published in the Physical Review.
9. Henry Stapp, The Theory and Interpretation of Polarization Phenomena in Nuclear Scattering (thesis), UCRL-3098, Aug. 1955.
10. For a complete discussion of the phase-shift analysis and definition of the terms used above, see H. P. Stapp, T. J. Ypsilantis, and N. Metropolis, "Phase Shift Analysis of 310 Mev Proton-Proton Scattering Experiments." To be published in the Physical Review.

LEGENDS

Fig. 1. Perspective drawing of the A experiment geometry. Not to scale.

The circles labeled 2 and 3 represent the hydrogen target and the analyzing target respectively. The first scattering inside the cyclotron is not shown. The plane labeled  $\pi_1$  is the vertical plane containing the deflected beam, while the planes  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$  are, in order, the planes of second and third scattering. The planes  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are perpendicular as are the planes  $\pi_2$  and  $\pi_3$ . The vector  $\underline{n}_2$  lies in the vertical plane. The vector  $\underline{H}$  represents the horizontal magnetic field.

Fig. 2. Experimental values of the parameter A plotted against the center-of-mass scattering angle  $\theta_2$ .





