# **UC Riverside** UC Riverside Previously Published Works

# Title

Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator following the arrival of the Varroa mite.

# Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4zz5v22j

# Journal

Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 286(1894)

# Authors

Loope, Kevin Baty, James Lester, Philip <u>et al.</u>

# **Publication Date**

2019-01-16

# DOI

10.1098/rspb.2018.2499

Peer reviewed

# **PROCEEDINGS B**

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb

# Research



**Cite this article:** Loope KJ, Baty JW, Lester PJ, Wilson Rankin EE. 2019 Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator following the arrival of the *Varroa* mite. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **286**: 20182499. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.2499

Received: 5 November 2018 Accepted: 30 November 2018

# Subject Category:

Ecology

#### Subject Areas: ecology

#### **Keywords:**

pathogen spillover, *Vespula*, pollinators, deformed wing virus, Hawaii

#### Author for correspondence:

Kevin J. Loope e-mail: kjloope@gmail.com

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.c.4329446.



# Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator following the arrival of the Varroa mite

Kevin J. Loope<sup>1</sup>, James W. Baty<sup>2,3</sup>, Philip J. Lester<sup>2</sup> and Erin E. Wilson Rankin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA
<sup>2</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, New Zealand

<sup>3</sup>Malaghan Institute of Medical Research, Wellington, New Zealand

KJL, 0000-0002-1206-5640; JWB, 0000-0002-7961-3234; PJL, 0000-0002-1801-5687; EEW, 0000-0001-7741-113X

Emerging infectious diseases (EIDs) are a global threat to honeybees, and spillover from managed bees threaten wider insect populations. Deformed wing virus (DWV), a widespread virus that has become emergent in conjunction with the spread of the mite Varroa destructor, is thought to be partly responsible for global colony losses. The arrival of Varroa in honeybee populations causes a dramatic loss of viral genotypic diversity, favouring a few virulent strains. Here, we investigate DWV spillover in an invasive Hawaiian population of the wasp, Vespula pensylvanica, a honeybee predator and honey-raider. We show that Vespula underwent a parallel loss in DWV variant diversity upon the arrival of Varroa, despite the mite being a honeybee specialist. The observed shift in Vespula DWV and the variant-sharing between Vespula and Apis suggest that these wasps can acquire DWV directly or indirectly from honeybees. Apis prey items collected from Vespula foragers were positive for DWV, indicating predation is a possible route of transmission. We also sought cascading effects of DWV shifts in a broader Vespula pathogen community. We identified concurrent changes in a suite of additional pathogens, as well as shifts in the associations between these pathogens in Vespula. These findings reveal how hidden effects of the Varroa mite can, via spillover, transform the composition of pathogens in interacting species, with potential knock-on effects for entire pathogen communities.

# 1. Introduction

The movement of agricultural crops and animals, as well as the inadvertent introduction and establishment of non-native species, can drive the spread of emerging infectious diseases (EIDs), defined as diseases that involve a novel infectious agent or host, or are rapidly expanding in incidence or range [1]. Such EIDs can threaten economically important species, as well as the biodiversity of native communities interacting with managed populations. The western honeybee (Apis mellifera), an essential global source of pollination services, has recently experienced numerous EIDs affecting populations worldwide [2-6]. Several viral and fungal EIDs have spread rapidly, with serious consequences for apiculture. Many 'honeybee' pathogens, particularly viruses, infect a variety of other insects [7–9], and such EIDs have been recently recognized as a threat to native insect species [10-13]. Spillover of novel pathogens or pathogen strains from managed bee populations may be exposing naive species to virulent diseases against which they are poorly defended. Furthermore, managed and feral non-native honeybee populations can act as reservoirs for pathogens, increasing the exposure of native populations when these populations interact.

One such EID with the potential for spillover is deformed wing virus (DWV; Iflaviridae), a single-strand, positive-sense RNA virus that is widespread in honeybee populations globally and composed of several variants [4,14]. It also infects bumblebees [12,15] and has been detected in many other

insects [8,16]. In honeybee populations lacking the Varroa mite (Varroa destructor), the virus is transmitted orally, sexually, and vertically [17,18], yet it appears to remain relatively benign [19-21]. However, Varroa mites horizontally transmit the virus while feeding on bee haemolymph [14], dramatically increasing viral titres and shifting DWV variant communities toward more virulent strains [6,19,22,23], likely contributing to global colony losses [6,24]. The Hawaiian Islands recently provided an inadvertent experiment demonstrating the critical effect of Varroa mites on DWV prevalence and diversity. Honeybees have been widespread on the archipelago since their introduction in the late nineteenth century [25]. The arrival of the Varroa mite ca 2007-2008 rapidly transformed the DWV landscape within honeybees, sharply increasing viral prevalence and load, and reducing variant diversity, favouring only a few of the many pre-existing genotypes [19]. DWV strains vary in their virulence [19,20,26], therefore understanding the factors that shape DWV variant communities is critical to managing this disease. There is also evidence of viral spillover on Hawaii: a recent study found DWV in populations of a solitary bee and a paper wasp only on Oahu where Varroa occurs, not on Maui where Varroa is absent, suggesting a role of Varroa in mediating DWV spillover from honeybees [27].

Pathogens such as DWV influence host fitness directly, by using host resources, and indirectly, by altering the 'pathosphere', the larger community of host-sharing pathogens [23,28,29]. The honeybee pathosphere includes numerous viruses, bacteria, fungi, and trypanosomes, with varying distributions and degrees of virulence [28]. Such host-sharing pathogens may act independently, but commonly interact with each other, often via the host immune system [29]. Pathogens may facilitate each other; in honeybees, suites of viruses are positively correlated in colonies that collapse, suggesting pathogen synergy resulting in colony death [30]. Nosema apis fungal infections in honeybee workers can facilitate infection by filamentous virus, bee virus Y, and black queen cell virus (BQCV) [31], while Nosema ceranae may compete with and inhibit DWV in some situations [32], and not others [33]. Through interactions with other pathogens and the host immune system, pathogens like DWV have the potential to alter the pathosphere, and fitness, of alternative hosts in complex ways.

Many of the recent studies in pathogen spillover have focused on native bees [10-13,34]. However, few studies have examined *predators* of honeybees (but see [35,36]), such as the widespread social wasps of the genus Vespula. Vespula wasps are native to northern temperate climates but have become important invasive species around the world [37,38], including on Hawaii [39]. On Hawaii, Vespula pensylvanica workers prey on and scavenge adult honeybees at hive entrances and raid hives for honey [40,41], as well as share floral resources with bees [35]. Vespula can harbour several common pathogens also found in honeybees, including DWV [7,8,36,42-44]. Here, we ask whether the DWV variant community in invasive Vespula experienced a reduction in diversity in concert with the dramatic Varroa-induced drop in DWV diversity seen in honeybee populations on the Big Island of Hawaii [19]. Because interactions between different pathogens can shape pathogen communities and influence their abundance within hosts, we also predicted the cascading effects of perturbed DWV populations on the larger Vespula pathogen community.

## 2. Material and methods

#### (a) Sample collection

We collected Apis mellifera and Vespula pensylvanica (hereafter Apis and Vespula, respectively) samples from Hawaii Volcanoes National Park on Hawaii's Big Island, along Hilina Pali road and at Mauna Ulu. Our sites are natural areas greater than 10 km from managed bee hives and were first exposed to Varroa mites in 2009-2010 [19]. The mites are now common in feral bee hives at these sites (KJ Loope 2015, personal observation). Post-Varroa samples of Vespula and Apis were whole bodies of foragers collected in September 2015 at the nest entrance. Pre-Varroa Vespula samples were whole bodies of returning foragers collected from colony entrances during August-September 2006-2008. Unlike other samples, Pre-Varroa Apis samples were pieces of adult honeybees (typically heads or thoraces) that were carried by returning Vespula foragers captured at the nest entrance and were reported on in ref. [45]. Because pre-Varroa Apis samples were not whole bodies (no such samples were available when the study was conceived in 2015), we made no statistical comparisons between them and other samples and included them because they were indicative of the pathogens that were present in this population prior to Varroa arrival. All samples were immediately placed into 100% ethanol and frozen until they were returned to the laboratory and stored at -80°C. Samples were collected into ethanol to avoid any degradation should they inadvertently thaw during transport back to the laboratory.

## (b) DWV diversity

#### (i) DWV variant identification

We successfully extracted RNA from 44 pre-*Varroa* and 41 post-*Varroa* individual *Vespula* workers (4–8 individuals from each of 6 pre-*Varroa* and 6 post-*Varroa Vespula* colonies). We also extracted 25 *Apis* foragers, 5 from each of 5 post-*Varroa Apis* colonies and 13 individual *Apis* parts collected at the nest entrance of 5 different *Vespula* colonies. RNA was extracted from the samples by bead-beating (BeadBeater 16, Biospec Products, USA) samples in GENEzol reagent (Geneaid, Taiwan) with 5% β-mercaptoethanol, with chloroform and isopropanol purification.

We analysed the variation in DWV strain diversity using a 100 bp region of the highly conserved RdRp gene [19,24], making our analyses comparable to the previous study on DWV variants in Hawaii [19]. High-resolution melting (HRM) analysis of DWV–reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) products was based on the method of Martin *et al.* [18]. cDNA was generated from 1 µg RNA per sample using qScript XLT reverse transcriptase (Quantabio, Beverly, USA). Samples were then analysed in triplicate by qPCR with DWVQ\_F1 and R1 primers [19,24] and HRM (54–95°C) using MeltDoctor HRM Master Mix and a QuantStudio 7 platform (Applied Biosystems/Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

Following the HRM assay, we sequenced representatives of the various HRM signature curves in order to deconvolute the information provided by the HRM analysis. PCR products were diluted 1:5 with water and combined with 0.2  $\mu$ M DWVQ\_F1 primer. Sequencing was provided by the Massey Genome Service (Massey University, NZ). Many samples had the same HRM curve so we deemed it unnecessary to sequence them all. Sanger sequencing was only performed with the forward primer because that provided all the information required and to reduce the number of sequencing reactions needing to be prepared. These sequences matched those obtained in the next-generation sequencing (NGS) dataset (see below), confirming their validity.

HRM analyses revealed 45 individuals with more than a single HRM peak, indicating infection with multiple variants. Using HRM, strains were not identifiable in mixtures, so we

chose to analyse these multiple-variant samples using NGS. For 43 of these individuals, we re-amplified the *RdRp* fragment for NGS with an Illumina MiSeq, allowing us to obtain sequences for multiple strains per sample. Two individuals of the original 45 failed to re-amplify and were not included. For details, see electronic supplementary material.

#### (ii) DWV variant diversity analyses

For NGS samples, we discarded strains with a relative copy abundance of less than 5% within individuals. This removed strains with fewer than 1000 copies, which could be artefacts or contamination, and also corrected the inflated strain number in an outlier sample with greater than  $10 \times$  the average number of reads. The relative abundance of each strain within each sample was then calculated by dividing the number of reads by the total number of reads for that sample. We calculated the number of variants for each positive sample and compared diversity between DWV-positive pre- and post-Varroa samples within species using gamma-distributed generalized linear mixed models (GLMM) with date as a predictor and colony as a random effect. We compared the overall diversity of DWV variants in our pre- and post-Varroa Vespula and our post-Varroa Apis samples by using sample-based species accumulation curves (rarefaction) in the program EstimateS v.9.1 [46]. This is an occurrence-based calculation, only considering the presence or absence of each variant within each sample, and ignoring abundance information, which allowed us to combine the single-infection (HRM) and multiple-infection (NGS) datasets. We then compared the estimated diversity (S) among these three sample types (pre-Varroa Vespula, post-Varroa Vespula, post-Varroa Apis) by checking to see if the 84% confidence intervals overlapped, which is equivalent to a statistical comparison with alpha = 0.05 [47], using the rarified values. We also estimated the total variant diversity in each DWV population using the classic formula for the Chao2 estimator [48].

#### (iii) DWV variant community composition changes

We compared the composition of DWV variant communities before and after the arrival of *Varroa* within *Vespula* samples using a permutational MANOVA analysis with the adonis() function in vegan [49]. We used occurrence (presence/absence) of each variant as the response variables. Date (pre- or post-*Varroa*) and colony were entered as fixed effects. We ran models using Bray–Curtis differences, though Euclidean distances gave qualitatively identical results.

#### (iv) Viral replication

DWV replication was confirmed by the detection of the negative strand of the viral genome using reverse transcription and RT-PCR based on the standard method for detecting DWV replication [50], as recommended in [51]. Reverse transcription (SuperScript IV, Invitrogen/Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) of 1  $\mu$ g RNA was performed with DWV Tag-F15 primer at a final concentration of 100 nM. PCR was then carried out on the cDNA or no-template negative controls using the Tag primer (agcctgcg

caccgtgg) and DWV B23. The products were resolved by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis. Products were then sequenced, confirming DWV identity.

#### (c) Broad pathogen screening

#### (i) Sampling, RNA extraction, and cDNA synthesis

We extracted 2 pools of 5 workers each from 10 pre- and post-Varroa Vespula colonies, 10 post-Varroa Apis colonies, and 14 individual pre-Varroa Apis sample prey items (including the 13 analysed for DWV). All colonies analysed for DWV were also used in this screen, though different individuals were extracted. Samples were homogenized by bead-beating in Genezol reagent plant (Geneaid). Chloroform and isopropanol were then used to extract and co-precipitate DNA and RNA, which was washed with 70% ethanol, and resuspended in water. SuperScript IV (Invitrogen/ThermoFisher) was used to synthesize cDNA from  $2 \mu g$  RNA in  $20 \mu l$  reactions following the manufacturer's instructions.

#### (ii) Taqman array qPCR

We screened two pools of cDNA from 30 individual wasps for common pollinator pathogen targets using standard PCR and gel electrophoresis. We then selected a final set of pathogens, comprising three viruses (BQCV, Kashmir bee virus (KBV), and DWV), three fungal species (*Aspergillus fumigatus, Ascosphaera apis, Nosema ceranae*), two bacteria (*Arsenophonus nasoniae, Enterococcus faecalis*), and the trypanosomes *Crithidia mellificae* and *Lotmaria passim*, which were not distinguishable using our primers (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Pathogen and gene targets were analysed using 384-well TaqMan Array Micro Fluidic Cards (Applied Biosystems) for these 9 pathogens and 4 control genes (electronic supplementary material, table S1). qPCR was repeated separately for KBV, which failed on the TaqMan array.

#### (iii) Pathogen community changes

We compared the composition of pathogen communities before and after the arrival of *Varroa* within *Vespula* using a perMA-NOVA analysis with the adonis() function in vegan [49], with pathogen loads as response variables. Date (pre- or post-*Varroa*) and colony were entered as fixed effects. We dealt with pathogen absences in several alternative ways. In the primary analysis, we set the value for a 'negative' sample to half the minimum recorded abundance for that pathogen (approximating the lower detection threshold of our quantification method). These non-zero values allowed log transformation. We then used Bray–Curtis differences in the permutational MANOVA. We performed two similar, alternative analyses: one without the log transformation, and another with negatives as zeros and a Euclidean distance measure, giving qualitatively identical results to the primary analysis (electronic supplementary material, table S2).

Individual pathogen occurrences for *Vespula* samples were compared with exact logistic regression at the colony level, with date (pre- or post-*Varroa*) as the predictor. Pathogen loads for positive samples were compared with mixed effect models (lme() function [52]) on log-transformed relative abundance, with date as a fixed effect and colony as a random effect. We used the anova() function to compare to an identical model lacking the date predictor to determine significance.

We looked for associations between pathogens using Spearman rank correlations of relative pathogen load across all samples for all pairs of pathogens with greater than 25% occurrence with each species and time period. *p*-values were corrected using a False Discovery Rate (FDR) procedure (function corr.test [53]).

## 3. Results

#### (a) DWV variant diversity

We first examined how the diversity of DWV variants changed due to the *Varroa* introduction. Combining data from HRM qPCR and Illumina amplicon sequencing, we detected 23 variants at a 100 bp region of the highly conserved *RdRp* gene, all of DWV Type A (figure 1*b* and electronic supplementary material, figure S1). Type A DWV variants in



**Figure 1.** DWV diversity in *Vespula* and *Apis* before and after *Varroa* arrival. (*a*) Rarefaction curves for population DWV variant diversity, showing the expected number of variants (*y*-axis) for a given number of samples (*x*-axis). Error bars represent 84% confidence intervals; non-overlapping intervals indicate significant differences in diversity for  $\alpha = 0.05$  (*b*) Venn diagram of the distribution of the 23 variants among sample types. (*c*) Distributions of the number of co-infecting DWV variants per individual insect for *Vespula* and *Apis* pre- and post-*Varroa* mite arrival on the Big Island of Hawaii. Photo credit: Sean McCann (*Vespula*).

honeybees have been commonly associated with Varroa parasitism and subsequent colony collapse [4,19]. Three common variants were shared between Apis and Vespula and persisted following Varroa arrival, while a clade of variants only detected in Vespula was virtually extinguished following Varroa arrival (electronic supplementary material, figures S1 and S2). Overall, 12 variants were observed in Vespula prior to Varroa arrival but were absent from these wasps after Varroa establishment (figure 1b). The three common variants found in all 4 types of samples were by far the most prevalent, with genotypes 1-3 being detected in 75%, 38%, and 18% of the 104 samples sequenced, respectively (electronic supplementary material, figure S3). The remaining rarer genotypes were detected in 1-7 individuals. Estimated DWV variant diversity was higher in Vespula pre-Varroa samples than in other sample sets, as indicated by non-overlapping confidence intervals, and diversity was similar for post-Varroa Vespula and Apis samples (figure 1a). The Chao2 estimator similarly predicted higher variant diversity in the *Vespula* pre-*Varroa* population (37.6; 95% CI = 21.8-118.2) than in the Vespula and Apis post-Varroa populations (Vespula: 12.9, 95% CI = 9.5-36.9, Apis: 8.0, 95% CI = 7.1-20.3). Overall, pre-Varroa and post-Varroa DWV variant communities differed within Vespula (permutational MANOVA on occurrence data with Bray-Curtis distances:  $F_{date} = 8.18$ ,  $R_{\text{date}}^2 = 0.11$ ,  $p_{\text{date}} < 0.001$ ).

#### (b) Number of co-infecting DWV variants

We next examined how the number of DWV variants changed for samples of both Vespula and their honeybee prey after the introduction of Varroa. For Vespula samples, the proportion of individuals positive for DWV screened with HRM qPCR of the *RdRp* gene was statistically similar pre- and post-Varroa introduction [Vespula: 80% (35/44) versus 83% (34/ 41), respectively, Fisher's exact test p > 0.05]. However, the proportion of infected Vespula individuals with multiple variants decreased following Varroa arrival [46% (16/35), versus 18% (6/34), respectively, Fisher's exact test p < 0.019]. Samples with multiple variants were subsequently analysed using amplicon sequencing on an Illumina MiSeq. Vespula samples collected prior to Varroa introduction had a greater number of co-infecting variants than post-Varroa Vespula (figure 1*c*; variant number: gamma GLMM; estimate =  $-0.24 \pm$ 0.09; t = -2.73, p = 0.006).

#### (c) Viral replication

To determine if DWV was parasitizing wasps, we examined samples for evidence of viral replication. We detected negative-strand DWV in pooled samples of both Vespula and Apis (electronic supplementary material, figure S4), indicating viral replication in both species. Sanger sequencing of the PCR products confirmed that the fragments were amplified from DWV. This method of detection carries the risk of detecting false-positives. However, two lines of evidence support our finding of an active infection in wasps: (1) not all samples were positive in the strand-specific RT-PCR assay despite being positive for virus by standard PCR, indicating that the viral replication assay was able to differentiate between inactive and active virus; (2) previously published papers have demonstrated that honeybee viruses, including DWV, replicate in a variety of insects, including Vespula wasps [8,44,54]; thus, our finding of replication is not surprising.

#### (d) Pathogen community changes

We examined changes in the abundance and interactions between nine known pathogens of honeybees and wasps, prior to and after Varroa introduction. The pathogen targets were determined from an initial screen of a pooled sample, and the final set comprised three viruses (BQCV, KBV, and DWV), three fungal species (Aspergillus fumigatus, Ascosphaera apis, Nosema ceranae), two bacteria (Arsenophonus nasoniae, Enterococcus faecalis), and the trypanosomes Crithidia mellificae and Lotmaria passim, which were not distinguishable using our primers (electronic supplementary material, table S1). We found significant pathogen community compositional changes due to date and colony in Vespula (permutational MANOVA: F<sub>date</sub>= 4.97,  $R_{date}^2 = 0.07$ ,  $p_{date} < 0.002$ ,  $F_{colony} = 2.25$ ,  $R_{colony}^2 = 0.62$ ,  $p_{\rm colony} < 0.001$ ). Alternative analyses gave qualitatively similar results (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Apis samples were not compared given the difference in sampling procedures between pre- and post-Varroa samples in bees. We found significantly higher occurrences of BQCV in Vespula following Varroa arrival (figure 2). We only detected Ascosphaera and BQCV in post-Varroa Vespula samples, and only detected Nosema and trypanosomes in post-Varroa Apis samples, possibly because samples for pre-Varroa Apis were only partial bodies, not whole-body samples. For positive samples, Arsenophonus and Aspergillus loads decreased in Vespula (figure 2).



**Figure 2.** Pathogen community shifts in *Vespula* and *Apis* following *Varroa* arrival in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. Significant occurrence and abundance differences before and after *Varroa* arrival are indicated by \* (p < 0.05). n.c. indicates no quantitative comparison was made due to an insufficient number of positive samples. No comparisons were made between pre- and post-*Varroa Apis* samples because they were not collected in the same way; pre-*Varroa Apis* samples were small pieces of *Apis* tissue brought back by returning *Vespula* foragers. BQCV, black queen cell virus; DWV, deformed wing virus; KBV, Kashmir bee virus; ASCO, *Ascosphaera apis*; ASP, *Aspergillus fumigatus*; NOS, *Nosema ceranae*; ARS, *Arsenophonus nasoniae*; ENT, *Enterococcus faecalis*; TRY, trypanosomes (*Crithidia mellificae*/ *Lotmaria passim*). Lower (and upper) whiskers represent first (and third) quartiles minus (plus) 1.5 times the interquartile range (or extreme values).

Within each host and time period, we found positive and negative correlations of relative pathogen loads across pooled samples of five individuals (figure 3). For Vespula, most correlations prior to Varroa arrival were positive but nonsignificant; following Varroa arrival, several of the same correlations were stronger and significant among Arsenophonus, Enterococcus, trypanosomes, and Aspergillus. Pre-Varroa, DWV load was not correlated with any other pathogens (Spearman's  $\rho < 0.14$ ). However, following *Varroa* arrival, DWV was moderately positively associated with KBV ( $\rho = 0.51$ ; p = 0.02/0.09 before/after FDR correction) and Aspergillus ( $\rho = 0.58$ p = 0.01/0.06 before/after FDR correction) in Vespula. Pathogen associations in post-Varroa Apis samples differed from those of Vespula, with positive associations between Ascosphaera and both Arsenophonus and Enterococcus in post-Varroa Apis, as well as a negative association between KBV and Arsenophonus.

## 4. Discussion

Our results show that the arrival of the *Varroa* mite in *Apis* populations in Hawaii produced a parallel reduction in DWV diversity in an invasive *Vespula* wasp population, despite the mite being an *Apis*-specialist parasite. DWV variant diversity in *Vespula*, both at the individual and population level, declined following *Varroa* arrival, with the same single genotype dominating both *Apis* and *Vespula* samples. All variants we observed, before and after *Varroa*, were in the DWV type A group associated with symptomatic infections and colony losses [4,19], indicating the potential for

*Vespula* to experience and transmit a virulent form of this virus. These findings support the hypothesis that honeybees and their species-specific parasite play a central role in shaping generalist hymenopteran pathogen dynamics in insect communities. Although most studies examining the spillover effects of bee pathogens examine native bees [10–13,15], our results show that predators may be influenced by, and could participate in, pathogen spillover as well.

We did not observe an increase in DWV abundance or prevalence in Vespula individuals with the arrival of Varroa, in contrast to recent work on Polistes wasps, which only possess DWV on Hawaiian islands where Varroa is present [27]. Instead, we observed a marked change in strain diversity, demonstrating that spillover may result in subtle effects on pathogen diversity, rather than overall pathogen load. The importance of variant composition has been recognized in Apis [19-21,26], as has the importance of pathogen spillover for non-Apis species [11,12], but the consequences of variant diversity changes in spillover to other hosts are poorly understood. Subtle changes in pathogen variant composition could have potentially important consequences in other species, given the clear variation in virulence among DWV variants in honeybees. Although lethality of DWV infections has been demonstrated in laboratory-reared bumblebee workers (Bombus spp.) [12,55], we know of no studies examining the effects of different strains of DWV in non-Apis insects. Experimental infection of Vespula with different viral strains would elucidate the importance of viral diversity shifts in this species.

The sharing of pathogen variants between sympatric host populations can indicate interspecific pathogen transmission



**Figure 3.** Pathogen load correlation networks. Nodes indicate the nine pathogens quantified in this study (n = 20 samples (pools of 5 individuals) for each time period, except pre-*Varroa Apis*, which were 14 sampled prey items). White nodes indicate pathogens omitted from correlation analysis (less than 25% occurrence within each category). Edge width shows Spearman rank correlation coefficients ( $\rho$ ). Samples that did not amplify were analysed as zero (lowest rank) abundance. Green edges show positive correlations, orange show negative correlations. \* indicates p < 0.05; \*\* indicates significance after FDR correction. BQCV, black queen cell virus; DWV, deformed wing virus; KBV, Kashmir bee virus; ASCO, *Ascosphaera apis*; ASP, *Aspergillus fumigatus*; NOS, *Nosema ceranae*; ARS, *Arsenophonus nasoniae*; ENT, *Enterococcus faecalis*; TRY, trypanosomes (*Crithidia mellificae/Lotmaria passim*).

[12]. The observed shift in Vespula DWV diversity in response to Varroa arrival and the variant-sharing between Vespula and Apis suggest that Vespula may acquire DWV directly or indirectly from Apis. This acquisition could occur via flowers [56], as both Vespula and Apis collect nectar from a variety of blooming plants at our field site. These predators also hunt and scavenge Apis adults and brood [40]. We detected DWV in Apis prey items being carried back to the nest by Vespula foragers; such predation may expose adults and the larvae they feed to Apis-derived DWV. DWV and other viruses can be transmitted orally through food and feeding [18]. These wasps are frequent honey-raiders inside hives [40,41]; this carbohydrate feeding could be another route of DWV transmission. Our samples were whole Vespula foragers, raising the possibility of contamination from exposure to infected Apis tissue prior to capture, rather than actual infection in the wasps. However, we think this is unlikely for two reasons. First, Vespula foragers do not ingest protein prey but instead carry prey back to the nest, where it is fed to larvae, limiting the possibilities for contamination. Second, we found two pathogens, Nosema and Ascosphaera, that were common in Apis but rare in Vespula, which is inconsistent with forager contamination from Apis tissue (figure 3).

We also found significant differences in the structure of *Vespula* pathogen communities following *Varroa* arrival, and

the associations of pathogen abundance within hosts also changed. DWV variants compete with one another, and the outcome of that competition can have important consequences for host survival [20,26], and therefore could also influence the susceptibility of hosts to other pathogens. One important way pathogens alter the success of other pathogens is via the host immune system [57]. In honeybees, DWV may alter host immunity [22,58,59], with one study suggesting that viral immune suppression promotes parasitism by Varroa [59]. Such immunosuppression by virulent DWV strains could similarly facilitate infection from other pathogens, though whether such effects exist likely depend on the details of the host species immune response and the variety of interacting pathogens. It is unknown if DWV similarly affects Vespula immune systems, but KBV infection increases expression of honeybee immune gene orthologues in Vespula [44], suggesting some conserved aspects of immunity between bees and wasps.

While our results do not prove that the *Varroa* mite, and associated changes in DWV diversity, caused the observed changes in *Vespula* pathogen webs, several pathogen association changes are suggestive of a DWV/*Varroa* influence. DWV became positively associated with KBV in *Vespula* following *Varroa* arrival (figure 3). KBV is transmissible between honeybees via *Varroa* [60], though no association

between Varroa and KBV was previously detected in other populations of honeybees in Hawaii [19]. The nascent association with DWV in our Vespula population could result from DWV variant shifts affecting pathogen interactions within isolated Vespula pathogen communities, or it could also be influenced by changes in pathogen communities in Apis (though we saw no such positive association between DWV and KBV in Apis). Similarly, DWV became positively associated with Aspergillus, which may have benefited from host immunosuppression [61]. Finally, BQCV was only detected in post-Varroa Vespula samples; the increase in BQCV prevalence in Vespula could thus be an effect of the change in DWV variant composition, though we saw no correlation between DWV and BQCV load in post-Varroa samples within sampling periods. More generally, we found several non-DWV pathogen associations, mostly positive correlations, that also differed between species and between time periods. This indicates that pathogen competition and facilitation can be dynamic and host specific and sets the stage for further experimental investigation of these novel associations of the pathogens in both Vespula and Apis.

The apparently wide overlap in pathogen communities means that *Vespula* populations could be adversely affected by spillover from managed *Apis*. While this may not be viewed as detrimental in invasive populations like the one studied here, in their native range, *Vespula* can be effective pollinators [62] and are important generalist predators that likely play a large role in food webs. *Vespula* abundance varies tremendously between years [63–65], with strong density dependence effects that could be driven by pathogens [65]. How pathogen spillover from *Apis* populations contributes to these dynamics is completely unexplored. Although pathogens have been considered as a control agent against

invasive *Vespula* [38], pathogen sharing between *Vespula* and *Apis* means that using such pathogens as control agents for *Vespula* may be difficult, given the need to avoid exacerbating threats to native and commercial pollinators.

# 5. Conclusion

*Varroa* has been introduced around the globe, nearly everywhere there are honeybees (except Australia). The direct mortality effects on honeybees have been abundantly clear. Our work suggests that alongside these direct effects there has been a hidden, yet remarkable, change in the genetic diversity of a key virus, perhaps influencing larger pathogen webs, in both honeybees and in an associated predatory insect. We confirm that the effects of *Varroa* introduction have cascaded through entire communities [27].

Data accessibility. Data are available from the Dryad Digital Repository at: http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.17371h3 [66].

Authors' contributions. E.E.W.R. and P.J.L. conceived the study, and all authors contributed to design of data collection. J.W.B., E.E.W.R., and K.J.L. collected data. K.J.L. and E.E.W.R. analysed data and drafted the manuscript. All authors contributed substantially to editing the manuscript and approved the final version.

Competing interests. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by a USDA NIFA Postdoctoral Fellowship (2016-67012-24681) to K.J.L. and a United States NSF-DEB grant (#1557163) and Hellman Fellows Fund to E.E.W.R., P.J.L. and J.W.B. were funded by the Royal Society Te Apārangi grant 13-VUW-037.

Acknowledgements. We thank Julie Lee and Jessica Russell for help with labwork and Sarah Barney for help with sample collection, and John Mackay for sharing unpublished primers. Work in Hawaii Volcanoes National Park was conducted under permit no. HAVO-2015-SCI-0044.

# References

- Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. 2000 Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife – threats to biodiversity and human health. *Science* 287, 443–449. (doi:10.1126/science.287.5452.443)
- Cox-Foster DL *et al.* 2007 A metagenomic survey of microbes in honey bee colony collapse disorder. *Science* **318**, 283–287. (doi:10.1126/science. 1146498)
- Ratnieks FLW, Carreck NL. 2010 Clarity on honey bee collapse? *Science* 327, 152–153. (doi:10.1126/ science.1185563)
- Wilfert L, Long G, Leggett HC, Schmid-Hempel P, Butlin R, Martin SJM, Boots M. 2016 Deformed wing virus is a recent global epidemic in honeybees driven by *Varroa* mites. *Science* **351**, 594–597. (doi:10.1126/science.aac9976)
- Klee J *et al.* 2007 Widespread dispersal of the microsporidian *Nosema ceranae*, an emergent pathogen of the western honey bee, *Apis mellifera*. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **96**, 1–10. (doi:10.1016/j.jip. 2007.02.014)
- Schroeder DC, Martin SJ. 2012 Deformed wing virus: the main suspect in unexplained honeybee deaths worldwide. *Virulence* 3, 589–591. (doi:10.4161/ viru.22219)

- Singh R *et al.* 2010 RNA viruses in Hymenopteran pollinators: evidence of inter-taxa virus transmission via pollen and potential impact on non-*Apis* Hymenopteran species. *PLoS One* 5, e14357. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0014357)
- Levitt AL, Singh R, Cox-Foster DL, Rajotte E, Hoover K, Ostiguy N, Holmes EC. 2013 Cross-species transmission of honey bee viruses in associated arthropods. *Virus Res.* **176**, 232 – 240. (doi:10.1016/ j.virusres.2013.06.013)
- Sébastien A, Lester PJ, Hall RJ, Wang J, Moore NE, Gruber MAM. 2015 Invasive ants carry novel viruses in their new range and form reservoirs for a honeybee pathogen. *Biol. Lett.* **11**, 20150610. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2015.0610)
- Graystock P, Yates K, Darvill B, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. 2013 Emerging dangers: deadly effects of an emergent parasite in a new pollinator host. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **114**, 114–119. (doi:10.1016/j. jip.2013.06.005)
- Graystock P, Blane EJ, McFrederick QS, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. 2016 Do managed bees drive parasite spread and emergence in wild bees? *Int. J. Parasitol. Parasites Wildl.* 5, 64–75. (doi:10. 1016/j.ijppaw.2015.10.001)

- Fürst MA, McMahon DP, Osborne JL, Paxton RJ, Brown MJF. 2014 Disease associations between honeybees and bumblebees as a threat to wild pollinators. *Nature* 506, 364–366. (doi:10.1038/ nature12977)
- McMahon DP, Fürst MA, Caspar J, Theodorou P, Brown MJF, Paxton RJ. 2015 A sting in the spit: widespread cross-infection of multiple RNA viruses across wild and managed bees. J. Anim. Ecol. 84, 615–624. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12345)
- de Miranda JR, Genersch E. 2010 Deformed wing virus. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 103, S48-S61. (doi:10. 1016/j.jip.2009.06.012)
- Li J, Peng W, Wu J, Strange JP, Boncristiani H, Chen Y. 2011 Cross-species infection of deformed wing virus poses a new threat to pollinator conservation. *J. Econ. Entomol.* **104**, 732–739. (doi:10.1603/ EC10355)
- Gisder S, Genersch E. 2017 Viruses of commercialized insect pollinators. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* 147, 51–59. (doi:10.1016/j.jip.2016.07.010)
- de Miranda JR, Fries I. 2008 Venereal and vertical transmission of deformed wing virus in honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **98**, 184–189. (doi:10.1016/j.jip.2008.02.004)

- Chen Y, Evans J, Feldlaufer M. 2006 Horizontal and vertical transmission of viruses in the honey bee, *Apis mellifera. J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **92**, 152–159. (doi:10.1016/j.jip.2006.03.010)
- Martin SJ, Highfield AC, Brettell L, Villalobos EM, Budge GE, Powell M, Nikaido S, Schroeder DC. 2012 Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic mite. *Science* **336**, 1304–1306. (doi:10. 1126/science.1220941)
- Mordecai GJ, Brettell LE, Martin SJ, Dixon D, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. 2016 Superinfection exclusion and the long-term survival of honey bees in *Varroa*infested colonies. *ISME J.* **10**, 1182–1191. (doi:10. 1038/ismej.2015.186)
- Mordecai GJ, Wilfert L, Martin SJ, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. 2015 Diversity in a honey bee pathogen: first report of a third master variant of the deformed wing virus quasispecies. *ISME J.* 10, 1264–1273. (doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.178)
- Ryabov EV, Wood GR, Fannon JM, Moore JD, Bull JC, Chandler D, Mead A, Burroughs N, Evans DJ. 2014 A virulent strain of deformed wing virus (DWV) of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) prevails after *Varroa destructor*-mediated, or *in vitro*, transmission. *PLoS Pathog.* **10**, e1004230. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat. 1004230)
- Mondet F, de Miranda JR, Kretzschmar A, Le Conte Y, Mercer AR. 2014 On the front line: quantitative virus dynamics in Honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies along a new expansion front of the parasite *Varroa destructor. PLoS Pathog.* **10**, e1004323. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004323)
- Highfield AC, El Nagar A, Mackinder LCM, Noël LMLJ, Hall MJ, Martin SJ, Schroeder DC. 2009 Deformed wing virus implicated in overwintering honeybee colony losses. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75, 7212–7220. (doi:10.1128/AEM.02227-09)
- Perkins RCL. 1899 Hymenoptera Aculeata. In Fauna hawaiiensis or the zoology of the sandwich (Hawaiian) isles, vol. 1 part 1 (ed. D Sharp), pp. 1–115. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- McMahon DP, Natsopoulou ME, Doublet V, Fürst M, Weging S, Brown MJF, Gogol-Döring A, Paxton RJ. 2016 Elevated virulence of an emerging viral genotype as a driver of honeybee loss. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, 20160811–20160818. (doi:10.1098/rspb. 2016.0811)
- Santamaria J, Villalobos EM, Brettell LE, Nikaido S, Graham JR, Martin S. 2018 Evidence of *Varroa*mediated deformed wing virus spillover in Hawaii. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **151**, 126–130. (doi:10.1016/j. jip.2017.11.008)
- Evans JD, Schwarz RS. 2011 Bees brought to their knees: microbes affecting honey bee health. *Trends Microbiol.* **19**, 614–620. (doi:10.1016/j.tim.2011.09. 003)
- Rigaud T, Perrot-Minnot MJ, Brown MJF. 2010 Parasite and host assemblages: embracing the reality will improve our knowledge of parasite transmission and virulence. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 277, 3693–3702. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2010.1163)
- 30. Cornman RS, Tarpy DR, Chen Y, Jeffreys L, Lopez D, Pettis JS, van Engelsdorp D, Evans JD. 2012

Pathogen webs in collapsing honey bee colonies. *PLoS One* **7**, e0043562. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0043562)

- Bailey L, Ball BV, Perry JN. 1983 Association of viruses with two protozoal pathogens of the honey bee. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* **103**, 13–20. (doi:10.1111/j. 1744-7348.1983.tb02735.x)
- Doublet V, Natsopoulou ME, Zschiesche L, Paxton RJ. 2015 Within-host competition among the honey bees pathogens *Nosema ceranae* and deformed wing virus is asymmetric and to the disadvantage of the virus. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **124**, 31–34. (doi:10.1016/j.jip.2014.10.007)
- Martin SJ, Hardy J, Villalobos E, Martín-Hernández R, Nikaido S, Higes M. 2013 Do the honeybee pathogens *Nosema ceranae* and deformed wing virus act synergistically? *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* 5, 506–510. (doi:10.1111/1758-2229.12052)
- Cameron SA, Lim HC, Lozier JD, Duennes MA, Thorp R. 2016 Test of the invasive pathogen hypothesis of bumble bee decline in North America. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 4386–4391. (doi:10.1073/pnas. 1525266113)
- Hanna C, Foote D, Kremen C. 2012 Invasive species management restores a plant-pollinator mutualism in Hawaii. J. Appl. Ecol. 50, 147 – 155. (doi:10.1111/ 1365-2664.12027)
- Lester PJ, Gruber MAM, Brenton-Rule EC, Archer ME, Corley JC, Dvorak L, Masciocchi M, Van Oystaeyen A. 2014 Determining the origin of invasions and demonstrating a lack of enemy release from microsporidian pathogens in common wasps (*Vespula vulgaris*). *Divers. Distrib.* **20**, 964–974. (doi:10.1111/ddi.12223)
- Beggs JR, Brockerhoff EG, Corley JC, Kenis M, Masciocchi M, Muller F, Rome Q, Villemant C. 2011 Ecological effects and management of invasive alien Vespidae. *BioControl* 56, 505 – 526. (doi:10.1007/ s10526-011-9389-z)
- Lester PJ, Beggs JR. 2019 Invasion success and management strategies for social *Vespula* wasps. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 64, 1–21. (doi:10.1146/ annurev-ento-011118)
- Gambino P, Medeiros AC, Loope LL. 1990 Invasion and colonization of upper elevations on East Maui (Hawaii) by *Vespula pensylvanica* (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). *Ann. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 83, 1088–1095. (doi:10.1093/aesa/83.6.1088)
- Wilson EE, Holway DA. 2010 Multiple mechanisms underlie displacement of solitary Hawaiian Hymenoptera by an invasive social wasp. *Ecology* 91, 3294–3302. (doi:10.1890/09-1187.1)
- De Jong D. 1990 Insects: Hymenoptera (Ants, Wasps, and Bees). In *Honey bee pests, predators, and diseases* (eds RA Morse, R Nowogrodski), pp. 135–155. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- Mordecai GJ, Brettell LE, Pachori P, Villalobos EM, Martin SJ, Jones IM, Schroeder DC. 2016 Moku virus; a new Iflavirus found in wasps, honey bees and Varroa. *Sci. Rep.* 6, srep34983. (doi:10.1038/ srep34983)
- 43. Lester PJ *et al.* 2015 No evidence of enemy release in pathogen and microbial communities of common

wasps (*Vespula vulgaris*) in their native and introduced range. *PLoS One* **10**, e0121358. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pone.0121358)

- Dobelmann J, Loope KJ, Wilson-Rankin E, Quinn O, Baty JW, Gruber MAM, Lester PJ. 2017 Fitness in invasive social wasps: the role of variation in viral load, immune response and paternity in predicting nest size and reproductive output. *Oikos* **126**, 1208–1218. (doi:10.1111/oik.04117)
- Wilson EE, Mullen LM, Holway DA. 2009 Life history plasticity magnifies the ecological effects of a social wasp invasion. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 12 809–12 813. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0902979106)
- Colwell RK, Chao A, Gotelli NJ, Lin S-Y, Mao CX, Chazdon RL, Longino JT. 2012 Models and estimators linking individual-based and samplebased rarefaction, extrapolation and comparison of assemblages. *J. Plant Ecol.* 5, 3–21. (doi:10.1093/ jpe/rtr044)
- MacGregor-Fors I, Payton ME. 2013 Contrasting diversity values: statistical inferences based on overlapping confidence intervals. *PLoS One* 8, 8–11. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056794)
- Chao A. 1987 Estimating the population size for capture-recapture data with unequal catchability. *Biometrics* 43, 783-791. (doi:10.2307/2531532)
- Dixon P. 2003 VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J. Veg. Sci. 14, 927. (doi:10. 1658/1100-9233(2003)014[0927:VAPORF]2.0.C0;2)
- Yue C, Genersch E. 2005 RT-PCR analysis of deformed wing virus in honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) and mites (*Varroa destructor*). J. Gen. Virol. 86, 3419–3424. (doi:10.1099/vir.0.81401-0)
- de Miranda JR *et al.* 2013 Standard methods for virus research in *Apis mellifera*. J. Apic. Res. 52, 1–56. (doi:10.3896/IBRA.1.52.4.22)
- 52. Pinheiro J, Bates D, Maintainer R. 2014 Package 'nlme'.
- 53. Revelle W. 2017 Psych: procedures for personality and psychological research.
- Gruber MAM, Cooling M, Baty JW, Buckley K, Friedlander A, Quinn O, Russell JFEJ, Sébastien A, Lester PJ. 2017 Single-stranded RNA viruses infecting the invasive Argentine ant, *Linepithema humile. Sci. Rep.* 7, 3304. (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-03508-z)
- Graystock P, Meeus I, Smagghe G, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. 2016 The effects of single and mixed infections of *Apicystis bombi* and deformed wing virus in *Bombus terrestris*. *Parasitology* 143, 358–365. (doi:10.1017/S0031182015001614)
- Graystock P, Goulson D, Hughes WOH. 2015 Parasites in bloom: flowers aid dispersal and transmission of pollinator parasites within and between bee species. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282, 20151371. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2015.1371)
- Cox FE. 2001 Concomitant infections, parasites and immune responses. *Parasitology* **122**, S23–S38. (doi:10.1017/S003118200001698X)
- Nazzi F *et al.* 2012 Synergistic parasite pathogen interactions mediated by host immunity can drive the collapse of honeybee colonies. *PLoS Pathog.* 8, e1002735. (doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002735)

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rspb Proc. R. Soc. B 286: 20182499

- Di Prisco G, Annoscia D, Margiotta M, Ferrara R, Varricchio P, Zanni V, Caprio E, Nazzi F, Pennacchio F. 2016 A mutualistic symbiosis between a parasitic mite and a pathogenic virus undermines honey bee immunity and health. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 3203–3208. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1523515113)
- Chen Y, Pettis JS, Evans JD, Kramer M, Feldlaufer MF. 2004 Transmission of Kashmir bee virus by the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor*. *Apidologie* 35, 441–448. (doi:10.1051/apido:2004031)
- 61. Hughes WOH, Boomsma JJ. 2004 Let your enemy do the work: within-host interactions between two

fungal parasites of leaf-cutting ants. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **271**, 104–106. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2003.0115)

- Jacobs JH, Clark SJ, Denholm I, Goulson D, Stoate C, Osborne JL. 2010 Pollinator effectiveness and fruit set in common ivy, *Hedera helix* (Araliaceae). *Arthropod. Plant. Interact.* 4, 19–28. (doi:10.1007/s11829-009-9080-9)
- Akre RD, Reed HC. 1981 Population cycles of yellowjackets (Hymenoptera: Vespinae) in the Pacific Northwest. *Environ. Entomol.* **10**, 267–274. (doi:10. 1603/0046-225X-32.3.523)
- 64. Archer ME. 1985 Population dynamics of the social wasps *Vespula vulgaris* and *Vespula germanica* in

England. J. Anim. Ecol. 54, 473-485. (doi:10.2307/4492)

- Lester PJ, Haywood J, Archer ME, Shortall CR. 2017 The long-term population dynamics of common wasps in their native and invaded range. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 86, 337–347. (doi:10.1111/1365-2656. 12622)
- Loope KJ, Baty JW, Lester PJ, Wilson Rankin EE.
   2018 Data from: Pathogen shifts in a honeybee predator following the arrival of the *Varroa* mite. Dryad Digital Repository. http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/ dryad.17371h3