

# UC San Diego

## UC San Diego Previously Published Works

### Title

The Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR)

### Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4wx2p5c7>

### Journal

Nucleic Acids Research, 51(D1)

### ISSN

0305-1048

### Authors

Koşaloglu-Yalçın, Zeynep

Blazeska, Nina

Vita, Randi

et al.

### Publication Date

2023-01-06

### DOI

10.1093/nar/gkac902

### Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

## NAR Breakthrough Article

# The Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR)

Zeynep Koşaloğlu-Yalçın<sup>1,\*</sup>, Nina Blazeska<sup>1</sup>, Randi Vita<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Carter<sup>2</sup>, Morten Nielsen<sup>3,4</sup>, Stephen Schoenberger<sup>5</sup>, Alessandro Sette<sup>1,2</sup> and Bjoern Peters<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, CA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Department of Bio and Health Informatics, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark, <sup>4</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Biotecnológicas, Universidad Nacional de San Martín, San Martín, Argentina and <sup>5</sup>Laboratory of Cellular Immunology, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, La Jolla, CA, USA

Received August 15, 2022; Revised September 26, 2022; Editorial Decision September 29, 2022; Accepted October 07, 2022

### ABSTRACT

**We established The Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR) to catalog all epitope data in the context of cancer. The specific molecular targets of adaptive T cell and B cell immune responses are referred to as epitopes. Epitopes derived from cancer antigens are of high relevance as they are recognized by anti-cancer immune cells. Detailed knowledge of the molecular characteristic of cancer epitopes and associated metadata is relevant to understanding and planning prophylactic and therapeutic applications and accurately characterizing naturally occurring immune responses and cancer immunopathology. CEDAR provides a freely accessible, comprehensive collection of cancer epitope and receptor data curated from the literature and serves as a companion site to the Immune Epitope Database (IEDB), which is focused on infectious, autoimmune, and allergic diseases. CEDAR is freely accessible at <https://cedar.iedb.org/>.**

### INTRODUCTION

Adaptive immune responses recognizing cancer cells can play an essential role in clinical outcomes of disease, contributing to partial or complete inhibition of tumor growth. These responses can be naturally occurring or induced/amplified by deliberate therapeutic immunization (1,2). Prophylactic vaccines against hepatitis B virus (HBV) and human papillomavirus (HPV) have successfully been

applied to prevent liver and cervical cancer, respectively (3,4). Conversely, adaptive immune responses can also contribute to immunopathology, as illustrated by the relatively common incidence of Immune-Related Adverse Events (irAEs) associated with Immune Checkpoints Blockade (ICB) therapy (5). It is therefore of paramount interest to be able to define and study cancer-specific and cancer-related adaptive immune responses at the molecular level.

Adaptive immunity relies on two main types of responses; one is mediated by B cells, while the other is mediated by T cells. In the context of cancer responses, B cells engage cancer antigens expressed on the surface of cancer cells. Furthermore, in certain cases, B cells also respond to secreted antigens or cytoplasmic antigens derived from destroyed cancer cells by secreting antibodies that are capable of interfering with cancer growth or killing cancer cells by various mechanisms (6–10). T cells recognize peptides derived from proteins that are degraded intracellularly and loaded onto a Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) on the cell surface (11,12). Two primary types of T cells are involved in cancer-specific responses; CD8 and CD4 T cells. CD8 ‘killer’ T cells recognize epitopes in the context of class I MHC molecules. They can recognize and kill cancer cells and secrete various inflammatory cytokines causing bystander damage to tumor cells. CD4 ‘helper’ T cells recognize epitopes in the context of class II MHC molecules. They orchestrate cancer-specific antibody responses, secrete various inflammatory cytokines, and are also capable of direct cytotoxicity (13,14).

Receptors on the surface of B cells (BCRs) and T cells (TCRs) bind to specific parts of these antigens, called epi-

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 858 752 6647; Fax: +1 858 752 6987; Email: zeynep@lji.org  
Present address: Center for Infectious Disease and Vaccine Research, La Jolla Institute for Immunology, 9420 Athena Circle, La Jolla, CA, USA.

topes, which is a necessary step for B cell and T cell activation and proliferation. Cancer antigens include products of mutated genes, overexpressed proteins, cancer germline antigens, cell type-specific differentiation antigens, antigens produced by oncogenic viruses, and altered glycolipids and glycoproteins (15). Each antigen category has generated interest in developing antigen-targeting immunotherapies, which only yielded limited success in past clinical trials (reviewed in (1)). It is known that tumor-specific T cells are often dysfunctional due to inhibitory signals at the tumor site (15). Immune-checkpoint blockade (ICB) therapies, such as inhibiting CTLA-4 or PD-1, can remove these inhibitory signals and reinvigorate T cells (16). With the advent of ICB therapies, interest in antigen-based immunotherapies has resurrected. When combined with ICB, antigen-based vaccines, for example, can elicit strong and durable immune responses (2). Neoantigens, i.e. antigens encoded by somatic mutations, are of specific interest as they are highly tumor-specific and not expressed on normal cells.

The resurgence of interest emphasizes the need to catalog all cancer epitope-related data linked to the biological, immunological and clinical contexts and, most importantly, make this information freely available to the scientific community in a user-friendly format. There is also a need to develop resources for computational epitope prediction and analysis tools that provide researchers access to predictive strategies and objective evaluations of their performance. There have been several recent efforts to address these needs. The TANTIGEN 2.0 database (17) contains curated epitope and ligand elution data for many different cancer antigens, such as neoantigens and differentiation antigens, but does not include peptides that were shown to be ineffective and also lacks any association with clinical data. Similarly, the Cancer Antigenic Peptide Database (<https://caped.icp.ucl.ac.be>) also only includes curated epitope data for several different cancer antigens. NEPdb (18) contains curated neoantigens but lacks any other types of cancer antigens. For cataloged neoepitopes, associated receptor information and clinical data are also provided if available. It is possible to query NEPdb for an epitope sequence of interest, but there is no option to search for receptors. dbPepNeo (19) only contains curated HLA class I restricted neoantigens and ligand elution data. Notably, while all resources provide some essential tools to query the databases for cancer types and peptide sequences, it is not possible to perform specific and granular queries. The Immune Epitope Database (IEDB) has been in existence since 2003 and comprehensively catalogs epitope data for allergy, infectious disease, transplantation, and autoimmunity but does not include cancer (20).

We have established the Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR) as a companion site to the IEDB. Analogous to the IEDB, CEDAR includes all cancer-specific epitope data from various T cell and B cell experiments, MHC binding assays, and MHC ligandomics by mass-spectrometry. CEDAR provides a freely accessible, comprehensive collection of cancer epitope and receptor data curated from the literature and is accessible under <https://cedar.iedb.org/>.


## Curation process

CEDAR is populated by manual curation of the cancer literature, following detailed curation guidelines, and is assisted by automated validation (21). Following a workflow developed and extensively validated in the case of the IEDB over the course of 19 years and analysis of >23 000 records, the curation process begins with an automated PubMed query that retrieves potentially relevant publications. The retrieved abstracts are categorized as being one of several cancer categories by a document classifier, as previously described (22). Journal articles are read by a PhD-level curator who identifies all experimental assays where an adaptive immune receptor was tested for recognition of an epitope, including both negative and positive outcomes. All assay types that provide epitope-specific recognition data are captured, including those giving antibody and TCR sequences and generating 3D structures. All epitope-specific data and details of the assays used to describe the immune responses are captured (Figure 1A). Data is entered into a web form that ensures consistency by incorporating external ontologies, inter-field validation, and auto-complete functionalities (21,23). Data accuracy is maintained via adherence to the curation guidelines mentioned above and detailed extensively in a publicly accessible curation manual ([http://curationwiki.iedb.org/wiki/index.php/Curation\\_Manual2.0](http://curationwiki.iedb.org/wiki/index.php/Curation_Manual2.0)). Additionally, we employ a peer review process whereby a second curator will read the publication and review the data entered by the first curator. They will work together to ensure the data is accurately represented before making the record public. Once approved, curated records are made public by our weekly build process (21).

Curation is performed on a per publication basis, with all data, including positive and negative outcomes, from each publication curated fully as a stand-alone record. Thus, any single epitope may have been described in multiple papers and in various contexts (Figure 1B); for example, one paper may study the antibody response to a given epitope in the context of immunization with purified protein of a healthy mouse, while another paper may study the antibody response to the same epitope, spontaneously occurring in the context of in a human cancer patient. As part of this curation process, epitopes are assigned a source antigen—if they are directly derived from a naturally occurring protein—and an accompanying source organism. Cancer-associated antigens are identified as those that include epitopes recognized specifically in hosts that have cancer.

## Identification of antigens and antigen subtypes in CEDAR

All cancer-associated antigens are considered when curating epitopes for CEDAR, including mutated antigens (e.g. neoantigens), oncoviral antigens (e.g. HPV), cancer germline antigens (e.g. MAGE, PRAME, NY-ESO-1), differentiation or tissue-specific antigens (e.g. MART-1, gp100, CEA, prostate antigens), and overexpressed antigens (e.g. Her2/neu, Survivin, wildtype p53) (15). However, these categories are not mutually exclusive, as there are multiple ways in which the same set of tumor antigens may be classified (24). We defined three broader categories of cancer-



**Figure 1.** (A) Curation is performed on a per publication basis. All epitope-specific data and details of the assay used to describe the immune response are captured (33). (B) Any curated reference in CEDAR may describe multiple epitopes that may have been tested in multiple different assays. In turn, any single epitope captured in CEDAR may have been described in multiple references and in various contexts.

associated antigens that can be clearly distinguished and are mutually exclusive, namely ‘Neoantigen’, ‘Viral antigen’ and ‘Self-antigen’ (Figure 2). We also include antigens that are not cancer-associated, if they were reported together with cancer-associated antigens in a cancer-related study (‘Other antigens from same reference’). These antigens are clearly flagged and can be excluded when querying CEDAR (Figure 2).

We developed the following approach to classify each epitope into one of these four categories. From all the cancer-related references in CEDAR, we first establish a list of cancer-associated antigens, which consists of all antigens

that were reported in a cancer-associated assay and that generated a positive response. Antigens present in cancer-related papers but that are not in the cancer-related antigen list are grouped into the category ‘Other antigens from same study’. Epitopes for which the ‘related object type’ was curated as being ‘neo-epitope’, are grouped into the category ‘Neoantigen’. Every epitope, that is not a neoantigen, is derived from a virus, and is derived from a cancer-associated antigen is classified as ‘Viral antigen.’ If the antigen source organism is a vertebrate and is derived from a cancer-associated antigen, it is considered a ‘Germline/Self/Host antigen’.

**Welcome**

The Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR) is a freely available resource funded by NCI. It catalogs experimental data on antibody and T cell epitopes studied in humans, non-human primates, and other animal species in the context of cancer disease. CEDAR also hosts tools to assist in the prediction and analysis of cancer epitopes. CEDAR is a companion site to the [Immune Epitope Database \(IEDB\)](#), which is funded by NIAID, and houses epitope data on infectious

[Learn More](#)

**Upcoming Events & News**

AAI Exhibitor Booth	May 6-10
FOCIS Exhibitor Booth	June 21-24
Virtual User Workshop	Oct 26-28

\* register [here](#)

[IEDB SARS-CoV-2 Epitope Analysis Videos](#)

**Summary Metrics**

Peptidic Epitopes	180,422
Non-Peptidic Epitopes	67
T Cell Assays	34,957
B Cell Assays	3,786
MHC Ligand Assays	257,499
Epitope Source Organisms	99
Restricting MHC Alleles	256
References	884

**START YOUR SEARCH HERE**

**Epitope**

Any  
 Linear peptide  
 Exact M   
 Discontinuous  
 Non-peptidic

**Epitope Source**

Antigen    
 Cancer-associated antigens:  
 Neoantigen  
 Viral antigen  
 Germline/Self/Host antigen  
 Other antigens from same reference

**Host**

Any  
 Human  
 Mouse  
 Non-human primate

**Assay**

T Cell  
 B Cell  
 MHC Ligand  
 Ex: Cytotoxicity   
 Outcome:  Positive  Negative

**MHC Restriction**

Any  
 Class I  
 Class II  
 Non-classical  
 Ex: HLA-A\*02:01

**Immune Exposure**

Any  
 Naturally occurring disease  
 Animal model of cancer  
 Vaccination

**Epitope Analysis Resource**

**T Cell Epitope Prediction**

Scan peptide sequences for amino acid patterns indicative of:  
 MHC I Binding  
 MHC II Binding  
 Analyze T cell receptors (TCR) available:  
 TCRMatch

**B Cell Epitope Prediction**

Antigen Sequence Properties  
 Antigen Sequence Properties

**Epitope Analysis Tools**

Analyze epitope sets of:  
 Clusters with Similar Sequences  
 Peptide Synthesis Prediction Tool  
 Peptide Similarity using PEPMatch

**Benchmarks**

Assess tools using standardized metrics:  
 Benchmark I  
 Benchmark II  
 Benchmark III

Figure 2. CEDAR search interface.

## Search Interface

One of the challenges for biomedical databases is to develop query interfaces that are intuitive and still allow the user to perform granular queries. To ensure this, we conducted interviews with several experts in the cancer immunology research field. During several iterations, we developed a search interface that focuses on making the most requested pieces of information immediately accessible (Figure 2). We repurposed several fields that are also used in the IEDB search interface for epitope structure, host, assays used to characterize the response, and MHC restriction. These fields are well established and proven to be essential for many epitope queries. We also introduced cancer-specific fields in CEDAR, such as the option to select specific cancer-associated antigen subtypes as the epitope source, including ‘Neoantigen’, ‘Viral antigen’ and ‘Germline/Self/Host antigen’. Antigens that are not cancer-associated but were reported together with cancer-associated antigens in a cancer-related study can also be included in the query by selecting ‘Other antigens from same reference’. The default selection on the CEDAR homepage is to exclude those antigens and only query all cancer-associated antigens.

Users can also search for epitopes encoded by specific genes (e.g. Prostate Specific Antigen). Another set of fields allows the user to search for epitopes in the context of a certain immune response induction, such as ‘Naturally occurring disease’, ‘Animal model of cancer’ or ‘Vaccination’. In the example in Figure 2, we searched for MHC class I restricted T cell epitopes derived from prostate-specific anti-

gen in humans with naturally occurring disease. Executing this search queries the entire CEDAR content, i.e. all epitope, antigen, assay, and receptor records, and returns records meeting the current search criteria.

## Results display

Once a query has been executed, the search results are presented on a new page (Figure 3A). The results are grouped in four tabs: Epitopes, Antigens, Assays, Receptors, and References that match the current search criteria. The search criteria are displayed at the top of the results table and any filter can also be removed at this stage. Additional search panels added to the left side of the page allow the current results to be further refined by adding search parameters.

The ‘Epitopes’ tab, for example, displays one unique epitope per row together with its source antigen and organism. For each epitope, all available assays and references are summarized as numbers. If the user is interested in a specific epitope from this list, results can be filtered by clicking on the siphon symbol next to it. This will filter all records in the tabs Epitopes, Antigens, Assays, Receptors, and References to only show records related to the epitope of interest.

By clicking on the Epitope ID in the ‘Details’ column of an epitope a new browser tab containing the ‘Epitope Details’ page is opened (Figure 3B). The Epitope Details page provides information on all experimental contexts an epitope was tested in with a textual summary of the compiled

A

Current Filters: Antigen: Prostate-specific antigen [P07288] (Homo sapiens (human)) Immune Exposure: Naturally occurring disease Include Positive Assays Include Negative Assays  
No B cell assays No MHC assays MHC Restriction Type: Class I Host: Homo sapiens (human)

Epitopes (25)	Antigens (2)	Assays (134)	Receptors (0)	References (21)	
Go To Records Starting At 1200 <input type="text"/> <input type="button" value="GO"/>					
25 Records Found <input type="button" value="Page 1 of 1"/> <input type="button" value="25 Per Page"/>					
Details	Epitope	Antigen	Organism	# References	# Assays
	989936 KLQCVDLHV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	8	39
	111916 VISNDVCAQV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	7	13
	1637461 FLTPKQLQCV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	5	14
	1714010 HYRKWIKDTI	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	4	11
	1860817 CYASGWGSI	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	4	12
	1391978 VLVHPQWVL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	2	4
	1861147 DLHVISNDV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	2	4
	1972920 VLSNDVCAQV	neoantigen: Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	2	7
	39836 LTDAVKVM DL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	2
	1092852 DLPTQEPAL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1639804 MLLRLSEPA	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	2
	1855071 VLVASRGRAV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	3
	1860851 MWVPVFLTL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1860897 WVPVFLTL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1871948 GLLVHPQWV	neoantigen: Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1968687 MLLRLSEPAEL	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1972701 FLTSLVTWV	neoantigen: Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1
	1972702 FLTPKQLQCVDLHVISNDVCAQV	Prostate-specific antigen	Homo sapiens (human)	1	1

B

EPIOTOPE SUMMARY	
KLQCVDLHV is a linear peptidic epitope (epitope ID 989936) studied as part of Prostate-specific antigen from Homo sapiens (human). This epitope has been studied for immune reactivity in 13 publication(s), tested in 60 T cell assays, 2 B cell assays and 9 MHC ligand assays	

COMPILED DATA		
<b>MHC Ligand Assay(s) 9</b>		
MHC molecule		Positive / All
HLA-A2		5/5
HLA-A*02:01		4/4
<b>B Cell Assay(s) 2</b>		
Assay Type		Positive / All
qualitative binding		0/2
<b>T Cell Assay(s) 60</b>		
Assay Type		Positive / All
cytotoxicity		9/11
IFN $\gamma$ release		7/11
qualitative binding		10/10
IL-4 release		2/4
TNF $\alpha$ release		2/4
IL-5 release		3/3
proliferation		3/3
type IV hypersensitivity (DTH)		3/3
IL-10 release		1/3
IL-13 release		2/2
IL-2 release		2/2
IL-12 release		0/2
activation		1/1
decreased disease		1/1

**Figure 3.** CEDAR results presentation of epitope data. (A) The ‘Epitope’ tab displays one unique epitope per row together with its source antigen and organism. For each epitope, all available assays and references are summarized as numbers. (B) ‘Epitope Details’ page provides information on all experimental contexts an epitope was tested in with a textual summary of the compiled data and in data tables. For each assay type the epitope was tested in, it displays how often it was tested, the outcome (i.e. positive or negative), and links to these assays.

data at the top of the page and in the data tables below. Each assay type, i.e. MHC ligand, B cell and T cell assay, is presented in a separate section of the data table and provides a summary of assay subtypes the epitope was tested in, how often it was tested, the outcome (i.e. positive or negative), and links to these assays. Using the Epitope Details page, a user can quickly form opinions regarding an epitope

of interest. For example, the assays performed on the epitope in Figure 3B suggest that the epitope KLQCVDLHV binds to HLA-A\*02:01 and can activate T cells as shown by several cytotoxicity, cytokine release, and proliferation assays.

The ‘Receptor’ tab has two sub-tabs for T cell and B cell receptors that meet the current search criteria (Figure 4A).

A

Epitopes (39)		Antigens (5)		Assays (123)		Receptors (63)		References (37)	
T Cell Receptors (63)		B Cell Receptors (0)							
Go To Records Starting At 1200 <input type="button" value="GO"/> <a href="#">Export Results</a>									
63 Records Found <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> Page 1 of 3 <input type="button" value="Previous"/> <input type="button" value="Next"/> 25 Per Page									
Group ID	Species	Type	Chain 1 CDR3	Chain 2 CDR3					
1800	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAYRSARDSSYLKIF	CASSDHSVGTGISSPLHF					
1801	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CATVLRMDSSYLKIF	CASSLIGVSSYNEQFF					
1802	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAENMGYGNKLVF	CASSYSGQGSAAGETQYF					
1803	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAVNKGYGQNFVF	CASSPAGISYNSPLHF					
1804	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAVRDSSYGAGSYQLTF	CASSRLAGASTDTQYF					
1805	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAVSDYQGNFVF	CASSRLSSNTDTQYF					
1806	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CATAQVYSGGGADGLTF	CASSRLAGNTDTQYF					
1807	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CVGNSYQGNFVF	CASSRLAGGDTQYF					
1808	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAVNDYQGNFVF	CASSRLAASDTQYF					
1809	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAFMTLRGNTGTASKLTF	CASSLRVNTAEFF					
1810	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	PGSSYLKIF	CASSGEGAKTTNTEAFF					
1811	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAASSYQGNFVF	CASSRLAANTDTQYF					
8909	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	ASSFSLPYEQY					
17898	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	ASRPFVNTAE					
17899	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	ASSSEFGDTAYNEQ					
17900	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	ASSSVNEQ					
17901	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	ASTIVSGYT					
18085	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	not available	CASSSTQGVVEQYF					
26540	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CAMNGARLMFGD	CSARDVLAGGSDTQYFG					
26541	Homo sapiens (human)	$\alpha\beta$	CARNTGNQFYFGT	CASSSVTGTGNYGYTFG					

B

T cell receptor (receptor group ID 1800)				
Alpha beta TCR with alpha chain CDR3 of CAYRSARDSSYLKIF and beta chain CDR3 of CASSDHSVGTGISSPLHF was reported in Homo sapiens (human).				
Gene usage	alpha CDR sequences	Gene usage	beta CDR sequences	Epitopes (# assays)
V:TRAV38-2/DV8*01 D: J:TRAJ12*01	CDR1: CDR2: CDR3:CAYRSARDSSYLKIF	V:TRBV7-9*03 D:TRBD1*01 J:TRBJ1-6*02	CDR1: CDR2: CDR3:CASSDHSVGTGISSPLHF	TPRVTTGGGAM (1)
V Domain:		V Domain:		
Epitope summary				
This TCR was studied for the following epitope TPRVTTGGGAM studied as part of 65 kDa phosphoprotein from Human herpesvirus 5 (Human cytomegalovirus) (epitope ID 65748, 1 publication, 1 assay).				

**Figure 4.** CEDAR results presentation of receptor data. (A) The ‘Receptor’ tab has two sub-tabs for T cell and B cell receptors. In each row of the TCR or BCR tab, a unique receptor is displayed along with the species it was reported in, the type, and the Chain 1 and Chain 2 CDR3 sequences, if available. (B) The ‘Receptor Details’ page provides relevant information about the species that the receptor was reported in and links to the 3D structures in PDB. In the data table below, the gene usage and sequences for CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3, as well as the full-length receptor sequence are displayed if available for both alpha and beta chains. Sequences of the epitopes that the receptor was reported to recognize are also listed, together with links to the corresponding epitopes in CEDAR.

In each row of the TCR or BCR tab, a unique receptor is displayed along with the species it was reported in, the type (e.g.  $\alpha\beta$  TCRs), and the Chain 1 and Chain 2 CDR3 sequences, if available. Analogous to the ‘Epitope’ tab, details about a receptor can be retrieved by clicking on its ID in the ‘Group ID’ column. On the ‘Receptor Details’ page, relevant information about the species that the receptor was reported in is displayed, and links to the 3D structures in PDB are summarized at the top of the page (Figure 4B). In the data table below, the gene usage and sequences for CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3, as well as the full-length receptor sequence are displayed if available for both alpha and

beta chains. Sequences of the epitopes that the receptor was reported to recognize are also listed, together with links to the corresponding epitopes in CEDAR.

### Downloading data from CEDAR

After executing a query, the corresponding results from each of the tabs, Epitopes, Antigens, Assays, Receptors and References, can be downloaded by clicking on ‘Export Results’ in the upper right corner of the results display. The user has the option to include Internationalized Resource Identifiers (IRIs) or not. IRIs are identifiers that resolve

uniquely and unambiguously to records both within and outside of CEDAR.

All data stored in CEDAR can also be retrieved as a bulk download. The download page can be accessed from the homepage by clicking on 'More CEDAR' on the top right corner, and then selecting 'Database Export' from the pop-up. Complete database exports are available in XML and MySQL formats. Most users prefer to download data in a tabular format, which can be found under the section 'CSV Metric Exports'. Here, the user has the option to separately download T cell (tcell\_full.v3.zip), B cell (bcell\_full.v3.zip) and MHC ligand elution assays (mhc\_ligand\_full.zip). These tables contain all information on the assay level and an epitope will be listed multiple times if it was reported in the context of multiple different assays.

### Public databases utilized by CEDAR to ensure accuracy and interoperability

To accurately represent epitope information, CEDAR utilizes community-supported ontologies for most of its specific fields. NCBITaxonomy (25) is used to describe the host and epitope source organisms, UniProt (26) to describe proteins and ChEBI (27) for non-peptidic structures. The Ontology for Biomedical Investigations (OBI) (28) describes assay types, and the MHC Restriction Ontology (MRO) (29) is used for MHC alleles, Cell Ontology (30) for annotating responder, stimulator, and effector cell types, Uberon (31) for tissue types and the Disease Ontology (32) for describing disease states.

### Metrics on data included in CEDAR

In the planning stages of the CEDAR resource, PubMed literature searches were conducted to broadly categorize possibly curatable cancer references. The references identified and their corresponding experimentally-derived epitopes can be broadly classified into the following areas (15); mutated (e.g. neoantigens), oncoviral (e.g. HPV), cancer germline antigens (e.g. MAGE, PRAME, NY-ESO-1), differentiation or tissue-specific antigens (e.g. MART-1, gp100, CEA, prostate antigens), and overexpressed antigens (e.g. Her2/neu, Survivin, wildtype p53). The curation of cancer-related data for CEDAR commenced in 2021 with the neoepitope category. This category was selected due to the importance and renewed interest in neoepitopes for cancer therapies, such as checkpoint blockade and immunotherapy. As of 31 July, we have curated over 16 100 neoepitopes from 200 references. Currently, 94 references are outstanding for curation in this category as we have added new references identified in literature searches, by our collaborators and the broader user community.

In parallel, we chose to curate epitopes from prostate-associated differentiation and tissue-specific antigens. We chose this antigen category because it encompasses a limited number of well-studied and well-characterized antigens such as prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP), prostate specific antigen (PSA) and prostate specific membrane antigen (PSM), and the data can be used to visualize the CEDAR capabilities as it relates to a large data volume associated with specific antigens. As of 31 July, we have curated

over 540 prostate-associated epitopes from 159 references. Currently, eight references are outstanding for curation in this category, reflective of a steady state where new references appearing in the literature are continuously introduced in the curation pipeline. Next, we plan to start curating epitopes from selected cancer germline antigens such as the melanoma-associated antigen gene family (MAGE). As mentioned above, with the success of checkpoint blockade therapies, there is renewed interest in these antigens as potential targets for immunotherapy.

### Conclusion and future plans

We have established The Cancer Epitope Database and Analysis Resource (CEDAR). CEDAR provides a freely accessible, comprehensive collection of cancer epitope and receptor data curated from the literature. Overall, as of 31 July 2022, we have curated a total of 224 355 cancer-associated epitopes from 875 references. These epitopes have been tested in almost 317 000 assays (34 889 T cell assays, 3780 B cell assays and 278 068 MHC ligand elution assays). Further to this, over 3600 receptors have been curated; 3526 T cell receptors and 108 antibodies. We currently have ~3100 possibly curatable cancer references still outstanding in our curation pipeline.

While CEDAR's first alpha site is fully functional, we have several plans to improve CEDAR's search interface. We envision including the option to search for neoantigens encoded by a specific mutation. We also anticipate adding a characterization of the neoplasm/tumor, which will allow the user to search for epitopes reported in a specific cancer type. Furthermore, we plan to provide the ability to select specific methods that were used to induce immune responses. Currently, it is not possible to search for receptor sequences directly from the main CEDAR search interface, but it is only possible to filter for specific sequences once a query has been executed. We plan to provide this option directly on the main CEDAR search interface in the future. Finally, we also plan to provide an Application Programming Interface (API) to allow users to perform custom and more complex queries.

### Data Availability Statement

All data is deposited in [cedar.iedb.org](https://cedar.iedb.org).

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to acknowledge the entire CEDAR development and curation team.

### FUNDING

National Institutes of Health [U24CA248138, 75N93019C0001]. Funding for open access charge: National Institutes of Health [U24CA248138].

*Conflict of interest statement.* None declared.

### REFERENCES

- Melero, I., Gaudernack, G., Gerritsen, W., Huber, C., Parmiani, G., Scholl, S., Thatcher, N., Wagstaff, J., Zielinski, C., Faulkner, I. *et al.*



- (2014) Therapeutic vaccines for cancer: an overview of clinical trials. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, **11**, 509–524.
2. Hollingsworth, R.E. and Jansen, K. (2019) Turning the corner on therapeutic cancer vaccines. *NPJ Vaccines*, **4**, 7.
  3. Kim, B.K., Han, K.H. and Ahn, S.H. (2011) Prevention of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with chronic hepatitis b virus infection. *Oncology*, **81**, 41–49.
  4. Roden, R.B.S. and Stern, P.L. (2018) Opportunities and challenges for human papillomavirus vaccination in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **18**, 240–254.
  5. Ramos-Casals, M., Brahmer, J.R., Callahan, M.K., Flores-Chavez, A., Keegan, N., Khamashta, M.A., Lambotte, O., Mariette, X., Prat, A. and Suarez-Almazor, M.E. (2020) Immune-related adverse events of checkpoint inhibitors. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers*, **6**, 38.
  6. Schwartz, M., Zhang, Y. and Rosenblatt, J.D. (2016) B cell regulation of the anti-tumor response and role in carcinogenesis. *J. Immunother. Cancer*, **4**, 40.
  7. Engelhard, V., Conejo-Garcia, J.R., Ahmed, R., Nelson, B.H., Willard-Gallo, K., Bruno, T.C. and Fridman, W.H. (2021) B cells and cancer. *Cancer Cell*, **39**, 1293–1296.
  8. Laumont, C.M., Banville, A.C., Gilardi, M., Hollern, D.P. and Nelson, B.H. (2022) Tumour-infiltrating b cells: immunological mechanisms, clinical impact and therapeutic opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **22**, 414–430.
  9. Roumenina, L.T., Daugan, M.V., Petitprez, F., Sautes-Fridman, C. and Fridman, W.H. (2019) Context-dependent roles of complement in cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **19**, 698–715.
  10. Kroeger, D.R., Milne, K. and Nelson, B.H. (2016) Tumor-Infiltrating plasma cells are associated with tertiary lymphoid structures, cytolytic T-Cell responses, and superior prognosis in ovarian cancer. *Clin. Cancer Res.*, **22**, 3005–3015.
  11. LeBien, T.W. and Tedder, T.F. (2008) B lymphocytes: how they develop and function. *Blood*, **112**, 1570–1580.
  12. Kumar, B.V., Connors, T.J. and Farber, D.L. (2018) Human t cell development, localization, and function throughout life. *Immunity*, **48**, 202–213.
  13. Borst, J., Ahrends, T., Babala, N., Melief, C.J.M. and Kastanmuller, W. (2018) CD4(+) t cell help in cancer immunology and immunotherapy. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, **18**, 635–647.
  14. Oh, D.Y. and Fong, L. (2021) Cytotoxic CD4(+) t cells in cancer: expanding the immune effector toolbox. *Immunity*, **54**, 2701–2711.
  15. Jhunjhunwala, S., Hammer, C. and Delamarre, L. (2021) Antigen presentation in cancer: insights into tumour immunogenicity and immune evasion. *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, **21**, 298–312.
  16. Chen, D.S. and Mellman, I. (2013) Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. *Immunity*, **39**, 1–10.
  17. Zhang, G., Chitkushev, L., Olsen, L.R., Keskin, D.B. and Bruscia, V. (2021) TANTIGEN 2.0: a knowledge base of tumor t cell antigens and epitopes. *BMC Bioinf.*, **22** (Suppl 8), 40.
  18. Xia, J., Bai, P., Fan, W., Li, Q., Li, Y., Wang, D., Yin, L. and Zhou, Y. (2021) NEPdb: a database of T-cell experimentally-validated neoantigens and pan-cancer predicted neoepitopes for cancer immunotherapy. *Front. Immunol.*, **12**, 644637.
  19. Tan, X., Li, D., Huang, P., Jian, X., Wan, H., Wang, G., Li, Y., Ouyang, J., Lin, Y. and Xie, L. (2020) dbPepNeo: a manually curated database for human tumor neoantigen peptides. *Database (Oxford)*, **2020**, 1–10.
  20. Vita, R., Mahajan, S., Overton, J.A., Dhanda, S.K., Martini, S., Cantrell, J.R., Wheeler, D.K., Sette, A. and Peters, B. (2019) The immune epitope database (IEDB): 2018 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **47**, D339–D343.
  21. Salimi, N., Edwards, L., Foos, G., Greenbaum, J.A., Martini, S., Reardon, B., Shackelford, D., Vita, R., Zalman, L., Peters, B. *et al.* (2020) A behind-the-scenes tour of the IEDB curation process: an optimized process empirically integrating automation and human curation efforts. *Immunology*, **161**, 139–147.
  22. Seymour, E., Damle, R., Sette, A. and Peters, B. (2011) Cost sensitive hierarchical document classification to triage pubmed abstracts for manual curation. *BMC Bioinf.*, **12**, 482.
  23. Vita, R., Overton, J.A., Sette, A. and Peters, B. (2017) Better living through ontologies at the immune epitope database. *Database (Oxford)*, **2017**, 1–7.
  24. Kufe, D.W., Holland, J.F., Frei, E. and Society, A.C. (2003) *Holland-Frei Cancer Medicine*. BC Decker, Vol. 6.
  25. Sayers, E.W., Bolton, E.E., Brister, J.R., Canese, K., Chan, J., Comeau, D.C., Connor, R., Funk, K., Kelly, C., Kim, S. *et al.* (2022) Database resources of the national center for biotechnology information. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **50**, D20–D26.
  26. UniProt, C. (2019) UniProt: a worldwide hub of protein knowledge. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **47**, D506–D515.
  27. Hastings, J., de Matos, P., Dekker, A., Ennis, M., Harsha, B., Kale, N., Muthukrishnan, V., Owen, G., Turner, S., Williams, M. *et al.* (2013) The ChEBI reference database and ontology for biologically relevant chemistry: enhancements for. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **41**, D456–D463.
  28. Brinkman, R.R., Courtot, M., Derom, D., Fostel, J.M., He, Y., Lord, P., Malone, J., Parkinson, H., Peters, B., Rocca-Serra, P. *et al.* (2010) Modeling biomedical experimental processes with OBI. *J. Biomed. Semantics*, **1**(Suppl. 1), S7.
  29. Vita, R., Overton, J.A., Seymour, E., Sidney, J., Kaufman, J., Tallmadge, R.L., Ellis, S., Hammond, J., Butcher, G.W., Sette, A. *et al.* (2016) An ontology for major histocompatibility restriction. *J. Biomed. Semantics*, **7**, 1.
  30. Diehl, A.D., Meehan, T.F., Bradford, Y.M., Brush, M.H., Dahdul, W.M., Dougall, D.S., He, Y., Osumi-Sutherland, D., Ruttenberg, A., Sarntivijai, S. *et al.* (2016) The cell ontology 2016: enhanced content, modularization, and ontology interoperability. *J. Biomed. Semantics*, **7**, 44.
  31. Mungall, C.J., Torniai, C., Gkoutos, G.V., Lewis, S.E. and Haendel, M.A. (2012) Uberon, an integrative multi-species anatomy ontology. *Genome Biol.*, **13**, R5.
  32. Schriml, L.M., Munro, J.B., Schor, M., Olley, D., McCracken, C., Felix, V., Baron, J.A., Jackson, R., Bello, S.M., Bearer, C. *et al.* (2022) The human disease ontology 2022 update. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **50**, D1255–D1261.
  33. Murata, K., Munehide, N., Muhammed, A.R., Linh, T.N., Douglas, G.M., David, T.M., Kenji, S. *et al.* (2020) Landscape mapping of shared antigenic epitopes and their cognate TCRs of tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes in melanoma. *Elife*, **9**, e53244.