

# Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

LBL Publications

## Title

Inferring the anthropogenic contribution to local temperature extremes

## Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4ww2t2zb>

## Journal

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 110(17)

## ISSN

0027-8424

## Authors

Stone, Dáithí A

Paciorek, Christopher J

Prabhat

et al.

## Publication Date

2013-04-23

## DOI

10.1073/pnas.1221461110

Peer reviewed

# Inferring the anthropogenic contribution to local temperature extremes

Dáithí A. Stone<sup>\*</sup>, Christopher J. Paciorek<sup>†</sup>, Prabhat<sup>\*</sup>, Pardeep Pall<sup>\*</sup>, and Michael F. Wehner<sup>\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, U.S.A., and <sup>†</sup>Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

term | term | term

[1] document an observed planet-wide increase in the frequency of extremely hot months and a decrease in the frequency of extremely cold months, consistent with earlier studies [2]. This analysis is achieved through aggregation of gridded monthly temperature measurements from all over the planet. Such aggregation is advantageous in achieving statistical sampling power; however, it sacrifices regional specificity. In that light, we find the conclusion of [1] that “the extreme climate anomalies in Texas in 2011, in Moscow in 2010, and in France in 2003 almost certainly would not have occurred in the absence of global warming” to be unsubstantiated by their analysis.

Observations show different areas of the planet warming at different rates, in line with projections from computer models [3]. This effect is noticeable in the frequency distributions shown by [1], in which the spread of the aggregated values increases in recent decades. [1] also include maps that show the local data feeding their global analysis. Noticeably, Moscow and Texas stand out as areas exhibiting little anomalously warm activity during the recent years, bar the single summers of 2010 and 2011 respectively. In contrast, France does appear to be experiencing anomalously warm summers recently.

To quantify local changes in high temperature extremes, we apply extreme value theory methods to the same GIS-TEMP data used by [1] for the summer months of 1950–2009 (JJA in the north and DJF in the south) by fitting a time-varying extreme value distribution to the tails of the local frequency distributions [4]. A field significance test of our analyses confirms the [1] assertion that changes in extreme summer

temperatures are *globally* significant at the  $p < 0.002$  level. Figure shows z-scores (estimated changes divided by their standard errors) for the differences between the expected 60-year maximum summer mean temperature in the 2009 and 1950 climate states. Results are consistent with [1]. There is no indication of increasingly hot summers near Moscow, while Texas exhibits larger but spatially incoherent changes. On the other hand, France does show large positive changes. Changes near Texas and Moscow are increased if the 2010 and 2011 years are included, but that uses the observation of an event’s occurrence as evidence of its cause; the results for France are insensitive to the inclusion of 2003.

This analysis is far from definitive, lacking for instance a physically-based counterfactual world. We feel that more defensible analyses than presented by either [1] or us in this comment are necessary to claim causal evidence between individual regional extreme events and anthropogenic changes to the climate system [5].




Fig. 1.

1. Hansen J, Sato M, Ruedy R (2012) Perception of climate change. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 109:E2415–2423.
2. Seneviratne, S I, et al. (2012) Changes in climate extremes and their impacts on the natural physical environment, *Managing the Risks of Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance Climate Change Adaptation*, Field, C B, et al., eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 109–230.
3. Hegerl G C, et al. (2007) Understanding and attributing climate change, *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to*

- the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Solomon, S, et al., eds., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 663–745.
4. Coles S. (2001) *An introduction to statistical modeling of extreme values*. Springer.
5. Stott, P A, et al. (2013) Attribution of weather and climate-related events, *Climate Science for Serving Society: Research, Modelling and Prediction Priorities*, Asrar, G R, Hurrell, J W, eds., Springer, in press.

Reserved for Publication Footnotes