UC Davis

UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

Breed Distribution and Allele Frequencies of Base Coat Color, Dilution, and White Patterning Variants across 28 Horse Breeds.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4tv6j5qn

Journal

Genes, 13(9)

ISSN

2073-4425

Authors

Avila, Felipe Hughes, Shayne S Magdesian, K Gary et al.

Publication Date

2022-09-01

DOI

10.3390/genes13091641

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed



MDPI

Article

Breed Distribution and Allele Frequencies of Base Coat Color, Dilution, and White Patterning Variants across 28 Horse Breeds

Felipe Avila ¹, Shayne S. Hughes ¹, K. Gary Magdesian ², Maria Cecilia Torres Penedo ¹ and Rebecca R. Bellone ^{1,3,*}

- Veterinary Genetics Laboratory, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California—Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- Department of Medicine and Epidemiology, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California—Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- Department of Population Health and Reproduction, School of Veterinary Medicine, University of California—Davis, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- * Correspondence: rbellone@ucdavis.edu; Tel.: +1-530-752-9299

Abstract: Since domestication, horses have been selectively bred for various coat colors and white spotting patterns. To investigate breed distribution, allele frequencies, and potential lethal variants for recommendations on genetic testing, 29 variants within 14 genes were investigated in 11,281 horses from 28 breeds. The recessive chestnut e^a allele in melanocortin 1 receptor (MC1R) (p.D84N) was identified in four breeds: Knabstrupper, Paint Horse, Percheron, and Quarter Horse. After filtering for relatedness, e^a allele frequency in Knabstruppers was estimated at 0.035, thus illustrating the importance of testing for mate selection for base coat color. The Rocky Mountain Horse breed had the highest allele frequency for two of the dilution variants under investigation ($Z_{a.f.} = 0.32$ and Ch_{a.f.} = 0.026); marker-assisted selection in this breed could aid in the production of horses with desirable dilute coats with less severe ocular anomalies caused by the silver (Z) allele. With regard to white patterning, nine horses homozygous for the paired box 3 (PAX3) splashed white 2 (SW2) allele (p.C70Y) and six horses homozygous for the KIT proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase (KIT) sabino 1 (SB1) allele (ECA3g.79544206A>T) were identified, thus determining they are rare and confirming that homozygosity for SW2 is not embryonic lethal. The KIT dominant white 20 (W20) allele (p.R682H) was identified in all but three breeds: Arabian (n = 151), Icelandic Horse (n = 66), and Norwegian Fjord Horse (n = 90). The role of W20 in pigmentation across breeds is not well understood; given the different selection regimes of the breeds investigated, these data provide justification for further evaluating the functional role of this allele in pigmentation. Here, we present the largest dataset reported for coat color variants in horses to date, and these data highlight the importance of breed-specific studies to inform on the proper use of marker-assisted selection and to develop hypotheses related to pigmentation for further testing in horses.

Keywords: pigmentation; white spotting; genetic testing; equine



Citation: Avila, F.; Hughes, S.S.; Magdesian, K.G.; Penedo, M.C.T.; Bellone, R.R. Breed Distribution and Allele Frequencies of Base Coat Color, Dilution, and White Patterning Variants across 28 Horse Breeds. *Genes* 2022, 13, 1641. https:// doi.org/10.3390/genes13091641

Academic Editors: Tomasz Szwaczkowski and Martino Cassandro

Received: 6 August 2022 Accepted: 5 September 2022 Published: 13 September 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Since domestication, horses have been selectively bred for a variety of pigmentation phenotypes [1]. Coat color is an important economic trait, and in some cases, it is a breed-defining phenotype. Coat color is also relevant from a health perspective, as some equine pigmentation variants have been connected to genetic disorders. One of the first variants discovered in the horse was a recessive mutation in the melanocortin 1 receptor (*MC1R*), which causes the chestnut phenotype, characterized by red-pigmented hair in the body and points (mane, tail, lower legs, and ear rims) [2]. Since then, over 60 variants contributing to equine pigmentation have been identified [3].

Coat color phenotypes can be divided into three categories: base coat color, pigmentation dilution, and white patterning. The base coat color for horses is typically characterized

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 2 of 16

as one of three colors: black, bay, or chestnut. Variants in MC1R (also called the Extension or Red Factor locus) and its antagonist, agouti signaling protein (ASIP), are associated with the type and location (body and points) of melanin produced—eumelanin (black/brown pigment) or pheomelanin (red/yellow pigment). In the absence of other modifiers, horses that are homozygous for the recessive ASIP allele (denoted as a)—an 11bp deletion in exon 2 (ENSECAT00000004772.2:c.191_201del)—have an all-black phenotype (black body and black points). Signaling through MC1R allows for pigment switching; the dominant ASIP allele (A) causes eumelanin to be restricted to the points, and when in combination with the dominant MCIR (E) allele, pheomelanin is produced in the body and results in a bay phenotype (red body with black points) [4]. Individuals homozygous for the loss of function recessive MC1R variant (denoted as e, p.S83F) will only produce pheomelanin and will be a shade of chestnut (red body and red points), regardless of the ASIP genotype [5]. A second loss-of-function MC1R mutation, also associated with chestnut base color, was discovered in 2000 and termed e^a (p.D84N) [6]. To date, this allele has only been detected in Black Forest, Hungarian Coldblood, and Haflinger breeds [6,7].

Variants in six genes—major facilitator superfamily domain containing 12 (*MFSD12*), myosin VA (*MYO5A*), premelanosome protein (*PMEL*), solute carrier family 36 member 1 (*SLC36A1*), solute carrier family 45 member 2 (*SLC45A2*), and T-box transcription factor 3 (*TBX3*)—have been shown to contribute to a reduction in the amount of melanin produced, resulting in a diluted coat color [8–15]. Some of these variants only affect eumelanin or pheomelanin, while others are known to reduce the amount of both pigments. The variant in *MFSD12* (p.Asp201fs), causing the mushroom phenotype in Shetland Ponies, for example, reduces pheomelanin to create a dilute sepia coat [14]. The silver phenotype, on the other hand, is caused by a missense mutation in the *PMEL* gene (p.R625C) and is hypothesized to affect the deposition of eumelanin only, thus diluting the amount of pigment in black horses and diluting the black mane of bay horses [8,9]. The breed distribution for the aforementioned dilution alleles has not previously been reported.

White patterning variants are the most numerous among those identified to impact pigmentation in horses, with 49 mutations in seven genes—endothelin receptor type B (EDNRB), KIT proto-oncogene, receptor tyrosine kinase (KIT), melanocyte inducing transcription factor (MITF), paired box 3 (PAX3), ring finger and WD repeat domain 3 (RFWD3), syntaxin 17 (STX17), and transient receptor potential cation channel subfamily M member 1 (TRPM1)—identified to date [3,16–22]. Of those, 35 were identified in or thought to regulate the KIT gene (dominant white 1–16, 17a–b, 18–28, 30–33, sabino 1, and tobiano) [3]. White patterns occur on any base color and/or dilution background. The phenotypic expression of white patterning variants is extremely variable, ranging from minimal white patches on the body to an all-white horse [3,20]. Genetic tests are available and routinely performed for 16 of these variants (lethal white overo, sabino 1, tobiano, W4, W5, W10, W20, W22, SW1-SW6, gray, leopard complex spotting, and Appaloosa Pattern-1) to assist breeders in producing horses with desired white patterns while avoiding potential health concerns [3]. Studies suggest that homozygosity for some white patterning variants is embryonic lethal, and some white patterning mutations are thought to be restricted to a specific breed, lineage, or a single individual, although more work is needed in both areas. For example, the KIT dominant white 5 (W5) variant (p.Thr732GlnfsX9) is thought to be restricted to one Thoroughbred family [21] and proposed to be homozygous lethal. Similarly, the recently discovered de novo splashed white 6 (SW6) variant in an American Paint Horse stallion, a 8.7 kb deletion in MITF (NC_009159.3:g.21551060-21559770del) that causes extensive white markings, is also hypothesized to be homozygous embryonic lethal [20]. Therefore, further investigation into potential homozygous lethal variants and a more comprehensive characterization of their breed distribution are needed.

Genetic testing for coat color variants is increasingly being utilized by horse breeders; thus, proper use of test results is important to ensure appropriate mate selection to produce the desired phenotypes. Furthermore, several studies have demonstrated the pleiotropic effects of pigmentation variants that lead to congenital disorders in horses, ranging from

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 3 of 16

deafness [23] to ocular issues [24] to lethality [25]. In some cases, only homozygous individuals are affected, as in the case of lethal white overo [25], congenital stationary night blindness [26], and potentially other embryonic lethal white variants mentioned above. In other cases, homozygotes are more severely affected than heterozygotes; for example, multiple congenital ocular anomalies (MCOA) are associated with the same mutation in the *PMEL* gene that causes silver coat dilution [8,9]. Homozygotes have a more severe ocular disease that includes cataracts, iris stromal hypoplasia, abnormal pectinate ligaments, megaloglobus, and iridociliary cysts, whereas heterozygotes typically present only with iridociliary cysts [8,9]. Therefore, genetic testing can be a powerful selection tool for producing desirable traits while limiting pleiotropic anomalies in breeds in which such alleles are found. An understanding of breed-specific allelic and genotypic distribution can inform the proper utilization and interpretation of the results for this purpose. Here, we aim to further evaluate potential homozygous lethal variants and investigate the breed distribution and allele frequencies across 28 breeds of variants in 14 genes known to contribute to equine pigmentation phenotypes.

2. Materials and Methods

Genotypes for 29 equine coat color variants routinely tested at the University of California–Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory (VGL) were retrieved for 11,281 horse samples from 28 different breeds, for which data from at least 30 individuals were available. Data were restricted to breeds with at least 30 individuals for the accurate estimation of allele frequencies [27]. The number of individuals per breed ranged from 36 (Hanoverian) to 5519 (Quarter Horse) (mean = 403 horses/breed; median = 96 horses/breed; Supplementary Table S1). Genotyping data included five alleles contributing to base coat color: agouti (A or a) and extension (E, e^a , e); eight alleles that cause dilution of pigment or primitive marks: champagne (Ch), cream (Cr), dun (D), non-dun1 (nd1), non-dun2 (nd2), mushroom (Mu), pearl (Prl), and silver (Z); and 16 white patterning alleles: appaloosa pattern-1 (PATN1), dominant white 5, 10, 20, 22 (W5, W10, W20, W22), gray (G), leopard Complex (LP), lethal white overo (O), splashed white 1–6 (SW1–SW6), sabino 1 (SB1), and tobiano (TO) [3,28,29]. The details of the genetic variants tested and their respective nomenclatures can be found in Supplementary Table S2.

To determine allelic and genotypic distributions across breeds, all variants were first evaluated without considering relatedness among individuals within breeds. However, to estimate breed-specific allele frequencies (a.f.) of variants in this study, the initial dataset was filtered for within-breed relatedness by removing first-degree relatives based on the date of sample submission; i.e., genotypes for the oldest banked sample were included in the study, and all of its first-degree relatives subsequently tested were removed from the dataset. The filtered cohort comprised 6,878 individuals representing 28 breeds, with the number of horses per breed ranging from 31 (Hanoverian) to 3,193 (Quarter Horse) (mean = 246 horses/breed; median = 78 horses/breed; Supplementary Table S1). Allele frequencies were calculated using the GenAlex 6.502 add-in [30,31] for Microsoft Excel 2016 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, United States).

3. Results

3.1. Base Color Loci

The recessive alleles contributing to the base coat colors chestnut (e, in MC1R) and black (a, in ASIP) were identified in all 28 breeds included in this study, with average estimated frequencies of 0.44 and 0.58 across breeds, respectively (Supplementary Tables S3 and S4). The frequency of the e allele ranged from 0.76 in Pony of the Americas to 0.12 in Percheron. Estimated frequencies for the e allele were highest in Percheron (a.f. = 0.99), Gypsy Vanner (a.f. = 0.92), Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.87), Tennessee Walking Horse (a.f. = 0.86), and Gypsy Cob (a.f. = 0.82), and lowest in the Thoroughbred (a.f. = 0.24) and Norwegian Fjord Horse (a.f. = 0.24). The e^{e} allele in e0 allele in e0 and e0 an

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 4 of 16

 $1 e/e^a$) (Table 1). After filtering for relatedness, the e^a allele frequency in the Knabstrupper was estimated at 0.035 (Supplementary Table S4).

Table 1. Observed genotype counts for the MC1R locus in the four breeds in which the chestnut e^a allele (MC1R:p.D84N) was detected.

Breed Genotype	E/E	E/e	E/e ^a	ele	ele ^a	e ^a /e ^a	Individuals Tested
Knabstrupper	29	41	5	15	1	0	91
Paint Horse	191	720	0	1164	1	0	2076
Percheron	38	13	1	0	0	0	52
Quarter Horse	1100	2484	1	1926	1	0	5512

3.2. Dilution Loci

The champagne allele (Ch) was identified in 8 breeds: Gypsy Cob (a.f. = 0.0080), Miniature Horse (a.f. = 0.017), Missouri Fox Trotter (a.f. = 0.054), Paint Horse (a.f. = 0.0070), Quarter Horse (a.f. = 0.0080), Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.026), Shetland Pony (a.f. = 0.0020), and Tennessee Walking Horse (a.f. = 0.0080). The cream allele (Cr) was observed in 25 of the 28 breeds in this study and was not found in the Hanoverian, Knabstrupper, and Percheron breeds. Allele frequencies for this variant ranged from 0.42 in Lusitano to 0.013 in Standard-breds. Conversely, another dilution variant in the SLC45A2 gene, pearl (Prl; p.A329T) [12], was only identified in 7 breeds: Andalusian (a.f. = 0.12), Gypsy Cob (a.f. = 0.017), Gypsy Vanner (a.f. = 0.015), Lusitano (a.f. = 0.064), Paint Horse (a.f. = 0.0070), Pura Raza Española (a.f. = 0.11), and Quarter Horse (a.f. = 0.00031).

The dominant D allele causing the dun dilution phenotype with primitive marks was not detected in 9 breeds analyzed: Arabian, Dutch Warmblood, Gypsy Vanner, Hanoverian, Knabstrupper, Oldenburg, Percheron, Standardbred, and Thoroughbred. In breeds in which this allele was detected, it was estimated to be fixed (a.f. = 1.0) in the Norwegian Fjord Horse, whereas the lowest allele frequency was estimated for the Tennessee Walking Horse (a.f. = 0.0060). The nd1 (non-dun 1) allele, which causes primitive marks without diluting the coat, was identified in all breeds except for Gypsy Vanner and Norwegian Fjord Horse, and its frequency ranged from 0.79 in Pura Raza Española to 0.017 in Gypsy Cob. Similarly, the nd2 (non-dun 2) allele (no dun dilution and no primitive marks) was found in all breeds, except for the Norwegian Fjord Horse. Its allele frequencies were relatively high across all breeds investigated, ranging from 1.0 in Gypsy Vanner to 0.20 in Pura Raza Española.

The mushroom (Mu) allele, while only tested in Icelandic Horse, Miniature Horse, Quarter Horse, and Shetland Pony, was detected only in Shetland Ponies, with an allele frequency after filtering for relatedness of 0.23. Finally, the silver (Z) allele was found in 15 breeds, with the highest allele frequency estimated for Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.32) and the lowest in Quarter Horse (a.f. = 0.0011). Unfiltered genotype counts and allele frequencies for the filtered dataset can be found in Supplementary Tables S3 and S4, respectively.

3.3. White Patterning Loci

Homozygotes for three of the four KIT dominant white variants investigated (W5, W10, W22) were not detected in our dataset (Table 2). Compound heterozygotes were detected only for W20 (one W10/W20 and three W20/W22). The dominant white 20 (W20) variant was found to have a widespread distribution, detected in 25/28 breeds included in this study, with a total of 340 W20 homozygotes from 21 breeds (Table 2). The allele frequency for this variant was lowest in the Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.0090) and highest in the Gyspy Cob (a.f. = 0.33) and Thoroughbred (a.f. = 0.34). The W20 allele was not detected in Arabians (n = 180), Icelandic Horses (n = 73), and Norwegian Fjord Horses (n = 121). The dominant white 5 (W5) in W20 in W20 in the frequency of 0.0070

Genes **2022**, 13, 1641 5 of 16

W5

(Supplementary Tables S2 and S3). Conversely, the W10 variant was found in two Paint Horses (N/W10) and two Quarter Horses (one N/W10 and one W10/W20), whereas the W22 mutation was identified in one Oldenburg (a.f. = 0.011), 4 Paint Horses (a.f. = 0.00096), 6 Quarter Horses (a.f. = 0.00054), and 2 Thoroughbreds (a.f. = 0.014). All horses with W22 can be traced back to the ancestor, originally described as the founder [22].

Table 2. Number of individuals tested (n), number of heterozygous and homozygous, and estimated allele frequencies (a.f.) after filtering for relatedness for dominant white variants *W*5, *W*10, *W*20, and *W*22.

Breed n(Unfiltered) N/W5 W5/W2 W5/W2 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Thoroughbred Total 69 2 0 0 67 0.0075 Total 69 2 0 0 - - Breed n (Unfiltered) N/W10 W10/W10 w10/W20 a.f. (Filtered) Quarter Horse Paint Horse Paint Horse Total 5517 1 0 1 3187 0.00031 Paint Horse Paint P										
Total Form Form	Breed	n (Unfiltered)		otypes-Unfil W5/W5	tered W5/W20	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)			
Breed n (Unfiltered) N/W10 W10/W10 W10/W20 n (Filtered) A.f. (Filtered) W10/W20 N (W10/W20 N (W10/W20) N (W10/W20 N (W10/W20) N (W10/W20 N (W10/W20) N (W10/W20 N (W10/	Thoroughbred Total		2 2			67 -				
Breed n (Unfiltered) N/W10 W10/W10 W10/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Quarter Horse Paint Horse Total 5517 1 0 1 3187 0.00031 Total 7594 3 0 1 - - Genotypes-Unfiltered More Warmblood n (Unfiltered) N/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Connemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Knabstrupper 90<	W10									
Breed n (Unfiltered) N/W10 W10/W10 W10/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Quarter Horse Paint Horse Total 5517 1 0 1 3187 0.00031 Total 7594 3 0 1 - - Genotypes-Unfiltered More Warmblood n (Unfiltered) N/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Connemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Knabstrupper 90<			Gen	otypes-Unfil	tered					
Paint Horse Total 2077 (7594) 2 0 0 1			N/W10	Ŵ10/W10						
Total 7594 3 0 1 - - W20 Breed n (Unfiltered) W20 w20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Connemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Warmblood 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 0 0 6 0	Quarter Horse						0.00031			
Breed n (Unfiltered) Genotypes-Unfiltered N/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Connemar Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstruper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Missouri Fox 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73			2			1018	0			
Breed n (Unfiltered) Cenotypes-Unfiltered N/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Comemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 1 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox	Total	7594	3		1	-	-			
Breed n (Unfiltered) N/W20 W20/W20 n (Filtered) a.f. (Filtered) Andalusian 195 5 0 132 0.011 Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Commerar Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Vob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 1 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox<										
Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 0 151 0 0 Connemara Pony 89 19 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch Warmblood 37 13 3 3 4 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 77 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 3 11 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 0 66 0 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 4 3 0.21 Rocky Mountain Horse 59 123 1 24 0 0.025 Sheland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.037 Standardbred 39 2 0 0 39 0.026 Walking Horse 59 121 1 20 1 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Breed	n (Unfiltered)	Gen N/W20	otypes-Unfil	tered W20/W20	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)			
Appaloosa 221 57 11 198 0.18 Arabian 180 0 0 0 151 0 0 Connemara Pony 89 19 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch Warmblood 37 13 3 3 4 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 77 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 3 11 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 0 66 0 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 4 3 0.21 Rocky Mountain Horse 59 123 1 24 0 0.025 Sheland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.037 Standardbred 39 2 0 0 39 0.026 Walking Horse 59 121 1 20 1 15 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Andalusian	195	5		0	132	0.011			
Arabian 180 0 0 151 0 Connemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Warmblood 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12										
Connemara Pony 89 19 1 76 0.12 Dutch 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Ministruper 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18	Arabian									
Dutch Warmblood 37 13 3 34 0.26 Gypsy Cob 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Totter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 <			19							
Gypsy Cobb 84 43 7 60 0.33 Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2	Dutch	37	13		3	34	0.26			
Gypsy Vanner 109 40 9 67 0.27 Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58		84	43		7	60	0.33			
Hanoverian 36 11 3 31 0.24 Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58					9					
Icelandic Horse 73 0 0 66 0 Knabstrupper 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Horse 0 121 0 0 90 0 Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Espanola 10	Hanoverian									
Knabstrupper Lusitano 90 25 4 70 0.18 Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg Horse 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180	Icelandic Horse	73	0			66	0			
Lusitano 129 13 3 102 0.049 Miniature Horse 415 31 1 295 0.046 Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 </td <td></td> <td>90</td> <td>25</td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>70</td> <td></td>		90	25		4	70				
Missouri Fox Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse Mustang 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg Horse 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.021 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas Pura Raza Espanola 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072	Lusitano	129	13		3	102	0.049			
Trotter 64 9 2 46 0.12 Morgan Horse 464 73 9 265 0.092 Mustang 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340	Miniature Horse	415	31		1	295	0.046			
Mustang Horse 44 12 2 40 0.20 Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred		64	9		2	46	0.12			
Norwegian Fjord Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg Paint Horse 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Morgan Horse	464				265	0.092			
Horse 121 0 0 90 0 Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Mustang	44	12		2	40	0.20			
Oldenburg 46 18 3 34 0.26 Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Norwegian Fjord Horse	121	0		0	90	0			
Paint Horse 2077 661 128 1018 0.21 Percheron 52 2 0 37 0.027 Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Oldenburg	46	18		3	34	0.26			
Pony of the Americas 58 17 4 43 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Paint Horse		661		128	1018	0.21			
Americas 36 17 4 45 0.21 Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee Walking Horse 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred Welsh Pony 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Percheron	52	2		0	37	0.027			
Pura Raza Espanola 100 4 0 80 0.025 Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee Walking Horse 340 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Pony of the Americas	58	17		4	43	0.21			
Quarter Horse 5517 1234 127 3187 0.14 Rocky Mountain Horse 180 3 0 115 0.0087 Shetland Pony Standardbred 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred Standardbred Tennessee 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee Walking Horse Thoroughbred G9 40 1 181 0.072 Thoroughbred G9 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Pura Raza	100	4		0	80	0.025			
Horse 160 3 0 113 0.0067 Shetland Pony 352 7 1 260 0.013 Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Quarter Horse	5517	1234		127	3187	0.14			
Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26		180	3		0	115	0.0087			
Standardbred 39 2 0 39 0.026 Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26										
Tennessee 340 40 1 181 0.072 Walking Horse 69 22 13 67 0.34 Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26		39	2		0	39	0.026			
Thoroughbred 69 22 13 67 0.34 Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26			40			181				
Welsh Pony 86 33 6 79 0.26	Thoroughbred	69	22		13	67	0.34			
	Welsh Pony		33		6					
Total 11,267 2394 338	Total	11,267	2394		338	-				

Genes **2022**, 13, 1641 6 of 16

Table 2. Cont.

	W22							
Genotypes-Unfiltered								
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	N/W22	Ŵ22/W22	W20/W22	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)		
Oldenburg	46	1	0	0	34	0.015		
Paint Horse	2077	4	0	0	1018	0.0015		
Quarter Horse	5517	3	0	3	3187	0.00047		
Thoroughbred	69	2	0	0	67	0.015		
Total	7709	10	0	3	-	-		

Allele frequencies were estimated across breeds for two additional KIT variants: sabino 1 (SB1) and tobiano (TO). SB1 was identified in 171 horses from 10 breeds, namely Gypsy Cob, Gypsy Vanner, Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Mustang, Paint Horse, Pony of the Americas, Quarter Horse, Shetland Pony, and Tennessee Walking Horse (Supplementary Table S3). Allele frequencies for SB1 were the highest in Tennessee Walking Horse (a.f. = 0.083) and the lowest in Quarter Horse (a.f. = 0.0010) (Supplementary Table S4). SB1 is reported to follow an incompletely dominant inheritance pattern, with homozygotes displaying a more pronounced phenotype [32]. In this large dataset, only six individuals were homozygous for this variant (4 Paint Horses, 1 Shetland Pony, and 1 Tennessee Walking Horse), and photographic records were available for four of these (Figure 1), all of which displayed an all-white phenotype without any other known white spotting variants (Table 3).



Figure 1. Four horses homozygous for the *SB1* white patterning allele (*SB1/SB1*). (a) Shetland Pony with chestnut base coat and silver dilution (*e/e a/a Z/N*) with no other tested dilution or white patterning alleles detected; (b) Paint Horse with chestnut base coat (*e/e A/A*); (c) Paint Horse with bay base coat (*E/e A/A*); (d) Paint Horse with bay base coat (*E/e A/A*); (b–d) No other tested dilution or white patterning alleles were detected in these horses.

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 7 of 16

Table 3. Individuals homozygous for *KIT* variant sabino 1 (*SB1*) with their respective breeds and genotypes for other coat color variants analyzed in this study. A dash indicates that genotyping for that locus was not performed for that individual. PH = Paint Horse; TWH = Tennessee Walking Horse; SP = Shetland Pony.

Individuals								
Coat Color Variants	PH 1	PH 2	PH 3	PH 4	TWH	SP		
Sabino 1 (SB1)	SB1/SB1	SB1/SB1	SB1/SB1	SB1/SB1	SB1/SB1	SB1/SB1		
Red Factor/Extension (MC1R)	E/e	e/e	e/e	E/e	E/E	e/e		
Agouti (ASIP)	A/A	A/A	A/A	A/A	a/a	a/a		
Champagne (SLC36A1)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Cream/Pearl (SLC45A2)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Dun (TBX3)	nd1/nd2	-	nd2/nd2	nd1/nd2	nd1/nd2	nd2/nd2		
Mushroom (MFDS12)	-	-	-	-	-	N/N		
Silver (PMEL)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	Z/Z	Z/N		
Appaloosa Pattern-1 (RFWD3)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
W5 (KIT)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
W10 (KIT)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
W20 (KIT)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
W22 (KIT)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Gray (STX17)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Leopard Complex (TRPM1)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Lethal White Overo (EDNRB)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW1 (MITF)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW2 (PAX3)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW3 (MITF)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW4 (PAX3)	N/N	<i>-</i>	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW5 (MITF)	N/N	-	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
SW6 (MITF)	N/N	-	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		
Tobiano (KIT)	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N	N/N		

Tobiano (TO) [33] was more widely distributed and was identified in 787 horses from 15 breeds, with allele frequencies ranging from 0.22 in Shetland Ponies to 0.0033 in Arabian Horses (Supplementary Table S4). A total of 179 TO/TO homozygotes were identified in 9 breeds: Appaloosa, Gypsy Cob, Gypsy Vanner, Icelandic Horse, Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Paint Horse, Shetland Pony, and Tennessee Walking Horse (Supplementary Table S3). Investigating the distribution of horses with multiple variants at the KIT locus identified 140 horses with a combination of W20, SB1, and/or TO (Table 4). The majority of individuals with both TO and W20 alleles were found in Paint Horse (n = 59) and Gypsy Cob (n = 12). There were 12 additional Paint Horses found to have both SB1 and W20 (Table 4).

The gray (G) variant had a wide distribution, as it was detected in all breeds studied, except for Knabstrupper (n = 91) and Norwegian Fjord Horse (n = 121). The highest frequency for this allele was observed in Connemara Pony (a.f. = 0.31), whereas the lowest was found in Icelandic and Morgan Horses (a.f. = 0.0080). The lethal white overo (O) variant was identified in 422 samples across 11 breeds: Andalusian, Appaloosa, Arabian, Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Mustang, Paint Horse, Quarter Horse, Shetland Pony, Tennessee Walking Horse, and Thoroughbred. Estimated allele frequencies after relatedness filtering ranged from 0.0020 in the Quarter Horse and 0.0025 in Appaloosa to 0.030 in the Thoroughbred and 0.10 in the Paint Horse.

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 8 of 16

Table 4. Breed, number of individuals tested (n), and respective number of samples identified with multiple variants at the *KIT* locus.

Genotypes-Unfiltered									
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	TO/W20	TO/SB1	W20/SB1					
Andalusian	195	0	0	0					
Appaloosa	221	1	0	0					
Gypsy Cob	84	12	2	4					
Gypsy Vanner	109	6	0	2					
Miniature Horse	415	5	2	1					
Missouri Fox Trotter	64	2	0	2					
Mustang	44	0	0	1					
Paint Horse	2077	61	4	15					
Quarter Horse	5517	0	0	3					
Shetland Pony	352	1	0	1					
Tennessee Walking Horse	340	4	6	4					
Welsh Pony	85	1	0	0					
Total	-	93	14	33					

The leopard complex spotting (LP) allele of TRPM1 was identified in 460 horses from 13 breeds: 1 Andalusian, 192 Appaloosas, 8 Gypsy Cobs, 1 Gypsy Vanner, 75 Knabstruppers, 120 Miniature Horses, 1 Mustang, 1 Paint Horse, 48 Pony of the Americas, 2 Quarter Horses, 7 Shetland Ponies, 2 Tennessee Walking Horses, and 1 Welsh Pony. The highest LP allele frequencies, unsurprisingly, were detected in those breeds where the pattern is a breed-defining phenotype: Appaloosa (a.f. = 0.60), Pony of the Americas (a.f. = 0.64), and Knabstrupper (a.f. = 0.58) (Supplementary Table S4). The appaloosa pattern-1 (PATN1) variant, a modifier of LP that controls for a high amount of white patterning when inherited with LP [34], was identified in all 13 breeds where LP was found, as well as Connemara Pony (a.f. = 0.066), Missouri Fox Trotter (a.f. = 0.011), and Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.0040) (Supplementary Table S4). Moreover, the estimated allele frequency of PATN1 was higher than that of LP in three breeds: Gypsy Vanner, Shetland Pony, and Welsh Pony (Table 5).

Table 5. Number of individuals (n) genotyped for *LP* and *PATN1* before and after filtering for relatedness, genotype counts per breed, and estimated allele frequencies (a.f.) for the *LP* and *PATN1* variants across 28 breeds. Bolded a.f. represents breeds in which the presence of the allele and a.f. are reported for the first time.

Unfiltered						Filtered		
Breed	n	LP/N	LP/LP	PATN1/N	PATN1/PATN1	n	LP a.f.	PATN1 a.f.
Andalusian	198	1	0	1	0	135	0.0037	0.0037
Appaloosa	221	124	68	73	0	198	0.60	0.24
Arabian	180	0	0	0	0	151	0	0
Connemara Pony	89	0	0	6	0	76	0	0.066
Dutch Warmblood	37	0	0	0	0	34	0	0
Gypsy Cob	84	7	1	10	0	60	0.067	0.067
Gypsy Vanner	109	1	0	16	0	67	0.0075	0.10
Hanoverian	36	0	0	0	0	31	0	0
Icelandic Horse	73	0	0	0	0	66	0	0
Knabstrupper	91	47	28	49	0	71	0.58	0.50
Lusitano	129	0	0	0	0	102	0	0
Miniature Horse	416	79	41	76	11	295	0.19	0.15
Missouri Fox Trotter	64	0	0	1	0	46	0	0.011
Morgan Horse	465	0	0	0	0	266	0	0
Mustang	44	1	0	1	0	40	0.012	0.012
Norwegian Fjord Horse	121	0	0	0	0	90	0	0
Oldenburg	46	0	0	0	0	34	0	0

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 9 of 16

Table 5. Cont.

Total

Unfiltered						Filtered		
Breed	n	LP/N	LP/LP	PATN1/N	PATN1/PATN1	n	LP a.f.	PATN1 a.f.
Paint Horse	2079	1	0	9	0	1019	0.00049	0.0024
Percheron	52	0	0	0	0	37	0	0
Pony of the Americas	58	28	20	16	3	43	0.64	0.20
Pura Raza Espanola	100	0	0	0	0	80	0	0
Quarter Horse	5515	2	0	6	0	3191	0.00031	0.00094
Rocky Mountain Horse	183	0	0	1	0	117	0	0.0043
Shetland Pony	352	5	2	17	4	260	0.0077	0.027
Standardbred	39	0	0	0	0	39	0	0
Tennessee Walking Horse	340	2	0	0	0	181	0.0028	0
Thoroughbred	69	0	0	0	0	67	0	0
Welsh Pony	86	0	1	2	1	79	0.013	0.025

The splashed white phenotype is controlled by at least six different mutations in two genes. Consistent with previous studies [35], the *MITF SW1* allele was the most widespread of these variants, identified in 599 horses from 13 breeds with homozygotes (SW1/SW1, n = 49) identified in six of these: Appaloosa (n = 1), Icelandic Horse (n = 5), Miniature Horse (n = 5), Morgan Horse (n = 4), Paint Horse (n = 11), and Quarter Horse (n = 23). Allele frequencies were highest in the Miniature Horse (n = 0.10) and lowest in the Shetland Pony (n = 1.0000) (Table 6).

Table 6. Number of individuals tested (n), genotypes, and estimated allele frequencies (a.f.) for splashed white variants *SW1–SW6* after filtering for relatedness.

SW1									
Genotypes-Unfiltered									
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW1/N	SW1/SW1	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)				
Appaloosa	204	11	1	186	0.035				
Dutch Warmblood	30	1	0	28	0.018				
Gypsy Cob	82	3	0	58	0.026				
Icelandic Horse	65	5	5	59	0.076				
Knabstrupper	58	3	0	42	0.036				
Miniature Horse	357	56	5	252	0.10				
Morgan Horse	381	11	4	200	0.018				
Oldenburg	44	2	0	32	0.016				
Paint Horse	1872	190	11	887	0.053				
Pony of the Americas	45	1	0	35	0.014				
Quarter Horse	4906	257	23	2793	0.017				
Shetland Pony	297	3	0	215	0.0070				
Welsh Pony	67	7	0	61	0.057				
Total		550	49						
SW2									
Genotypes-Unfiltered									
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW2/N	SW2/SW2	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)				
Paint Horse	2080	63	3	1020	0.0078				
Quarter Horse	5518	202	6	3193	0.0083				

265

9

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 10 of 16

Table 6. Cont.

		SW3			
		Genotypes	-Unfiltered		
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW3/N	SW3/SW3	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)
Paint Horse	1872	3	0	887	0.00056
Quarter Horse	4906	4	0	2793	0.00036
Total		7	0		
		SW4			
			-Unfiltered		
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW4/N	SW4/SW4	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)
None	None	None	None	N/A	N/A
		SW5			
		Genotypes	-Unfiltered		
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW5/N	SW5/SW5	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)
Paint Horse	1872	8	0	887	0.0022
		SW6			
		Genotypes	-Unfiltered		
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW6/N	SW6/SW6	n (Filtered)	a.f. (Filtered)
Paint Horse	1872	3	0	887	0.00056
Quarter Horse	4906	1	0	2793	0
Total		4	0		
		Combina	tions		
			Genotyp	es-Unfiltered	
Breed	n (Unfiltered)	SW1/SW6	SW1/N + SW2/N	SW1/SW1 + SW2/N	SW1/N + SW2/SW2
Paint Horse	1872	1	14	1	0
Quarter Horse	4906	0	61	8	3
Total		1	75	9	3

Only the combinations of splashed white variants detected in the dataset are presented herein.

The PAX3 splashed white 2 (SW2) variant was found in 274 horses from only two breeds: Paint Horse (n = 66) and Quarter Horse (n = 208) (Table 6). A total of three Paint Horses and six Quarter Horses were identified as homozygous for the SW2 variant. Photographic records were available for six Quarter Horses, with each displaying an all-white phenotype, although five of these also had other known white spotting alleles (Figure 2).

After removing related individuals, the frequency was low in both breeds (a.f. = 0.0078 for Paint Horse and a.f. = 0.0083 for Quarter Horse, Table 6). No homozygotes for SW3, SW4, SW5, or SW6 were identified in this dataset. The PAX3 splashed white 4 (SW4) allele was not identified in any horses in this study. The MITF SW3 allele was identified in five Paint Horses and seven Quarter Horses, with a very low frequency after filtering for relatedness in each breed (a.f. ≤ 0.0010). Finally, SW5 and SW6 were restricted to Paint Horses (with one exception for SW6, a double registered AQHA/APHA Quarter Horse), with allele frequencies of 0.0020 and 0.00056, respectively. One Paint Horse SW1/SW6 compound heterozygote was identified. The only other combinations of splashed white variants identified in the dataset involved SW1 and SW2 in Paint Horses and Quarter Horses (Table 6, Figure 2).

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 11 of 16



Figure 2. Six Quarter Horses homozygous for the SW2 white patterning allele (SW2/SW2). (a) Bay base coat with cream dilution, known as buckskin, (E/e A/A Cr/N) and having other white pattern alleles (SW1/N W20/N); (b) Bay base coat (E/e A/A) and other white patterning alleles (SW1/N W20/N); (c) Bay base coat (E/e A/A) and SW1/N W20/N; (d) Bay base coat (E/e A/a) and SW1/N W20/N; (e) chestnut base coat with cream allele, known as Palomino (E/e E/e E

4. Discussion

The base coat color variants for chestnut (e, in the MC1R gene) and black (a, located in ASIP) were identified in all 28 breeds analyzed in this study (Supplementary Table S3). The a allele was found to be nearly fixed in the Percheron (a.f. = 0.99), a breed highly selected for black and gray coat phenotypes. The MC1R allele e^a [6], previously reported in chestnut horses in Black Forest, Hungarian Coldblood, and Haflinger breeds [6,7], was identified for the first time here in Paint Horse, Percheron, and Quarter Horse. Moreover, since several Knabstruppers with the e^a allele were detected in this study, we were able to report, for the first time, an estimated allele frequency for e^a in this breed (a.f. = 0.035). Knabstruppers are selectively bred for leopard complex spotting patterns, and a particular base color is often desired. Therefore, genotyping that allows for the accurate detection of horses with the e^a allele is important for marker-assisted selection. No homozygous e^a/e^a individuals were identified in this study, although they have been reported in the Black Forest breed [6].

Dilution alleles, on the other hand, were found to be restricted in their breed distribution and show relatively low allele frequencies, with the exception of Cr. Nonetheless, some interesting observations can be made. Concerning the Ch allele, it was found at a low frequency in eight breeds, but interestingly, the breed in which the variant was first discovered, Tennessee Walking Horse [13], is among those breeds with the lowest estimated frequency (a.f. = 0.0080). Conversely, the breed with the highest frequency, Rocky Mountain Horse (a.f. = 0.026), has not previously been reported to have this variant. No known adverse health effects have been reported to be associated with champagne dilution. Additionally, given the high allele frequency of the silver variant in Rocky Mountain Horses (a.f. = 0.32) and its association with MCOA, the use of marker-assisted selection for

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 12 of 16

silver heterozygosity and champagne may yield breed-desirable coat color dilutions with fewer potential ocular issues. Horses homozygous for the PMEL silver mutation (Z/Z) are reported to have a more severe form of disease that can impair vison or cause blindness, whereas heterozygotes (Z/N) are often reported to have a cyst-only phenotype. The Z allele was identified in 15 other breeds, and in addition to the Rocky Mountain Horse, it was previously reported in Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Icelandic Horse, Shetland Pony, and Morgan Horse. Here, we also identified the Z allele in Mustang, Tennessee Walking Horse, Gypsy Vanner, Welsh Pony, Dutch Warmblood, Gypsy Cob, Pony of the Americas, Lusitano, Quarter Horse, and Paint Horse for the first time (Supplementary Table S3). Given the known pleiotropic effects of this variant, breeds in which it occurs are advised to utilize genetic testing for mate selection, as well as a tool to identify horses who should be examined by a veterinary ophthalmologist for MCOA.

In the case of Prl, while identified at a relatively low frequency in seven breeds, the highest frequencies were found in Iberian horses. Similar allele frequencies were estimated for the Andalusian and closely related Pura Raza Española breed (a.f. = 0.12 and 0.11, respectively), as well as the Lusitano (a.f. = 0.064) (Supplementary Table S3). To our knowledge, this is the first time that this variant has been reported in Lusitano and Pura Raza Española. Given that the highest frequency of Prl is in Iberian breeds, it is possible that it is undergoing positive selection because of the recent use of genetic testing and/or changes in studbook rules in the Pura Raza Española starting in 2002, which allowed the registration of additional color phenotypes other than black, bay, and gray. The Prl allele is recessive and is known to dilute only the base color when homozygous or when combined with the cream (Cr) allele. Iberian breeds were also among those with the highest allele frequencies for Cr, with the highest being in Lusitano (a.f. = 0.42), which again indicates selection for coat color dilution in these breeds. Given the relatively high frequency of Cr and Prl in these breeds, genetic testing for these variants can easily assist in the consistent production of these desirable phenotypes.

The Mu allele was originally reported in Shetland Ponies with an allele frequency of 0.12 (n = 177), and in that study, it was also identified in Miniature Horses at a low frequency (a.f. = 0.020; n = 129) [14]. In this study, the Mu allele was only identified in Shetland Pony, as genotyping data were only available for two Miniature Horses. Here, the Mu allele frequency in Shetland Pony after filtering for relatedness was estimated at 0.23 (n = 173). This was nearly double that previously reported by us [14]; however, this is unlikely to reflect a true increase in population frequency despite being a favorable trait in the breed. Since the discovery was reported in 2019, the Mu allele frequency estimated herein more likely represents a sampling bias for horses owned and tested by breeders who breed for this trait. Testing a larger randomized cohort is needed to investigate this further.

Concerning the dun dilution characterized by lightening of body hair and the presence of primitive markings, including a darker dorsal stripe, leg and/or shoulder stripes, and dark marks known as cobwebbing on the forehead, the Norwegian Fjord Horse was found to be fixed for the wild-type D allele (a.f. = 1.0, n = 121). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first documented molecular investigation of the frequency of this variant in the breed. However, it has been previously suggested that this allele was fixed, or nearly so, given the breed-defining dun phenotype characteristic of Norwegian Fjords [5]. The *nd1* allele, which leads to the expression of primitive markings without dilution of the coat, was estimated to be at high frequency in Iberian horse breeds as well as in the Arabian: Pura Raza Española (a.f. = 0.79), Andalusian (a.f. = 0.78), Lusitano (a.f. = 0.57), and Arabian (a.f. = 0.68). These findings likely reflect the common ancestry of Iberian horse breeds, which were developed using Arabians brought to the Iberian Peninsula during the Muslim invasions of the 8th century. Given the frequency of nd1 in Iberian and Arabian breeds, investigating whether this variant contributes to the darker shade or countershading is worthy of further exploration and may help to better understand why the *nd1* allele appears to be under positive selection.

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 13 of 16

Dominant white phenotypes and their associated alleles were originally named as such because they were believed to be lethal when homozygous. Consistent with this, no homozygotes for W5, W10, or W22 were identified in this study. However, due to their low allele frequencies and limited breed distribution (Supplementary Table S4), the probability of identifying homozygotes in the population is extremely low, regardless of lethality. Consistent with previous work [22,36], homozygotes were identified for the W20 allele in the 21 breeds included in this study. These breeds represent a variety of coat color selection preferences, ranging from horses with breed-defining phenotypes that include white patterning, such as the Paint Horse (a.f. = 0.21) and the Appaloosa (a.f. = 0.18), to breeds that prohibit excessive white markings, such as the Hanoverian (a.f. = 0.24). The role of W20 in pigmentation across breeds is not well understood. Previous research supports that W20 (p.Arg682His) leads only to a minor reduction in KIT function [36]. However, it has been documented that W20, in combination with other dominant white alleles, causes higher amounts of white patterning [22,36]. Based on our findings, compound heterozygotes for W10/W20 (one Quarter Horse) and W20/W22 (three Quarter Horses) are rare (Table 2). It is important to note here that the W22 variant occurs on the sequence background of W20 [22]. A detailed investigation of the phenotypic effects of W20 in combination with other whitepatterning alleles has not yet been performed. Here, we identified 93 horses from nine breeds (Appaloosa, Gypsy Cob, Gypsy Vanner, Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Paint Horse, Shetland Pony, Tennessee Walking Horse, and Welsh Pony) with both the TO allele and W20 (Table 4). Thirty-three horses across nine breeds (Gypsy Cob, Gypsy Vanner, Miniature Horse, Missouri Fox Trotter, Mustang, Paint Horse, Quarter Horse, Shetland Pony, and Tennessee Walking Horse) had SB1 and W20 (Table 4, Supplementary Table S3). Photographic records were not available for most of the horses in this study but given the occurrence of these combinations of KIT mutations across several breeds, a formal investigation of their potential additive effects on white pattering is warranted. A recent study of American Paint Horses showed that, in the absence of any other known white patterning variants, W20 was associated with white spotting phenotypes defined by the American Paint Horse Association (APHA) [37]. However, the possibility that undiscovered variants contribute to the phenotypes, to our knowledge, has not yet been explored. The widespread across-breed distribution of W20, combined with high estimated allele frequencies in breeds not typically selected for white patterning in this study, provides further justification for evaluating the functional role of this allele in pigmentation across breeds. This knowledge will be essential in order to develop breed-specific recommendations for utilizing W20 genotypes to maximize breeding potential for desired coat color phenotypes.

Some of the splashed white variants were predicted to be homozygous lethal, and in this study, we only identified homozygotes for SW1 (n = 49) and SW2 (n = 9) (Table 6). Previous studies have shown that SW1 homozygotes are viable and have an all-white or nearly all-white phenotype [35]. Two homozygous SW2/SW2 horses have been reported in the literature, with only one having a documented phenotype [37]. Having confirmed genotypes of nine SW2 homozygotes across two breeds (Paint Horse and Quarter Horse)—the most reported to date—and in evaluating photographic records of six of these horses, we confirm that this genotype is not lethal. All six SW2/SW2 horses had an all-white phenotype, but five of the six also had other white spotting variants (Figure 2). Thus, further evaluation of SW2 homozygotes without other known white pattern alleles will substantiate the hypothesis that this genotype alone produces an all-white phenotype. One owner reported that their SW2/SW2 horse was deaf, but this remains to be clinically evaluated in this individual, as well as in other SW2 homozygotes.

Another rare non-lethal white patterning variant is *SB1*. The sabino 1 phenotype is described as extensive face and leg markings, along with white in the belly and roaning in the flanks [32]. The causal *KIT* variant was first reported in the Tennessee Walking Horse, American Miniature Horse, Paint Horse, Azteca, Missouri Foxtrotter, Shetland Pony, and Spanish Mustang [32]. A subsequent study investigated this variant in 899 horses across eight breeds and identified *SB1* in three additional breeds (Haflinger, Noriker, and

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 14 of 16

Lippizan), but no homozygotes were observed [38]. Here, we report the occurrence of the *SB1* allele in Gypsy Cob, Gypsy Vanner, Pony of the Americas, and Quarter Horse for the first time. Furthermore, we identified the largest number of *SB1* homozygotes to date, with six *SB1/SB1* individuals across three breeds (Paint Horse, Shetland Pony, and Tennessee Walking Horse). Photographic records were available for four of these horses, and consistent with a previous report [37], they have an all-white phenotype (Figure 1). Given that *SB1* and *SW2* homozygotes are viable but rare, genetic testing can aid in the identification of these rare mates so that 100% of offspring have a white patterning allele.

Concerning leopard complex spotting, we report the first molecular detection and allele frequency of LP and PATN1 in breeds outside of those used to discover mutations [26,34] (Table 5). Furthermore, in those breeds that are selected for LP, this is the first time that the frequency of the modifying locus PATN1 has been concurrently evaluated. Consistent with photographic records and breeding schemes, the allele frequency for *PATN1* is higher in the Knabstrupper than in Appaloosa, Pony of the Americas, or Miniature Horse. Knowing the frequency in these breeds can help guide selection strategies away from homozygosity for LP, which causes congenital stationary night blindness (CSNB) and an increased risk of insidious uveitis (ERU) [26,39,40]. Horses homozygous for LP are night blind due to premature polyadenylation of TRPM1, which in turn is predicted to lead to no functional TRPM1 protein in the bipolar cells of the retina. In the case of ERU, horses homozygous for LP are at a higher risk of this disease, but the biological mechanism for this increased risk remains unknown [39,40]. Genotyping for LP in breeds where the allele is present can help breeders select for LP heterozygotes, which, when in combination with the PATN1 variant, display the desirable leopard pattern without being afflicted with night-blindness [26]. Interestingly, PATN1 was identified in three breeds where no LP horses were detected (Connemara Pony, Missouri Fox Trotter, and Rocky Mountain Horse); moreover, PATN1 allele frequency was estimated to be higher than that of *LP* in three breeds: Gypsy Vanner, Shetland Pony, and Welsh Pony (Table 5). It is currently unknown whether *PATN1* acts as a modifier for other white patterning loci; therefore, investigating white pattern levels in these breeds where PATN1 but not LP was identified, specifically in horses with other white patterning alleles, is warranted.

In conclusion, these data represent the largest study to date investigating pigmentation variants in horses across a large number of breeds. We reported estimated allele frequencies for base coat color, dilution, and white patterning genes in 28 breeds. While we restricted our dataset to breeds with 30 or more samples, a limitation of the study is that these samples were originally submitted for coat color testing. Therefore, it is possible that in those breeds with fewer individuals evaluated, estimates may be biased upwards, as noted above, for the mushroom variant. We identified the presence of several variants in breeds not previously reported. Additionally, we identified and reported nine SW2/SW2 homozygotes, the most to date, and confirmed that this genotype is not lethal. Here, we also report the largest number of SB1 homozygotes to date (n = 6), with photographic records for most to corroborate its effect on phenotype. Understanding breed distribution and allele frequencies can help guide recommendations on and utilization of genetic testing for marker-assisted selection. Furthermore, these findings will help guide future hypothesis-driven studies toward a better functional understanding of these pigmentation variants in and across breeds.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/genes13091641/s1, Table S1: Number of individuals tested per breed before and after filtering for relatedness. Table S2: Genes, reported alleles, and variant descriptions for the alleles investigated in this study. Table S3: Genotype counts for eact coat color locus analyzed in this study across breeds. Table S4: Allele frequencies for each coat color locus analyzed in this study after filtering for relatedness across breeds. References [41,42] are cited in the supplementary materials.

Genes 2022, 13, 1641 15 of 16

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, K.G.M. and R.R.B.; Data curation, F.A., S.S.H. and M.C.T.P.; Formal analysis, F.A., M.C.T.P. and R.R.B.; Investigation, F.A. and R.R.B.; Methodology, F.A. and R.R.B.; Project administration, R.R.B.; Software, S.S.H.; Supervision, R.R.B.; Visualization, F.A.; Writing—original draft, F.A. and R.R.B.; Writing—review & editing, F.A., S.S.H., K.G.M., M.C.T.P. and R.R.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by internal funding from the UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory.

Data Availability Statement: These data will be made available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: We gratefully acknowledge the horse owners who made this study possible and especially Caroline Channing, Tammy Canida, Jay Sanderson, and Jessica Hein for providing images for use in this publication.

Conflicts of Interest: F.A., S.S.H., M.C.P., and R.R.B are affiliated with the Veterinary Genetics Laboratory, a laboratory offering parentage and diagnostic DNA tests in horses and other species.

References

- 1. Ludwig, A.; Pruvost, M.; Reissmann, M.; Benecke, N.; Brockmann, G.A.; Castaños, P.; Cieslak, M.; Lippold, S.; Llorente, L.; Malaspinas, A.-S.; et al. Coat color variation at the beginning of horse domestication. *Science* **2009**, 324, 485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Marklund, L.; Moller, M.J.; Sandberg, K.; Andersson, L. A missense mutation in the gene for melanocyte-stimulating hormone receptor (MC1R) is associated with the chestnut coat color in horses. *Mamm. Genome* **1996**, *7*, 895–899. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bellone, R.R.; Avila, F. Genetic testing in the horse. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Equine Pract. 2020, 36, 211–234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 4. Rieder, S.; Taourit, S.; Mariat, D.; Langlois, B.; Guérin, G. Mutations in the agouti (*ASIP*), the extension (*MC1R*), and the brown (*TYRP1*) loci and their association to coat color phenotypes in horses (*Equus caballus*). *Mamm. Genome* **2001**, 12, 450–455. [CrossRef]
- 5. Sponenberg, D.P.B.; Bellone, R.R. Equine Color Genetics, 4th ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2017.
- 6. Wagner, H.J.; Reissmann, M. New polymorphism detected in the horse MC1R gene. Anim. Genet. 2000, 31, 289–290. [CrossRef]
- 7. Reissmann, M.; Musa, L.; Zakizadeh, S.; Ludwig, A. Distribution of coat-color-associated alleles in the domestic horse population and Przewalski's horse. *J. Appl. Genet.* **2016**, *57*, 519–525. [CrossRef]
- 8. Andersson, L.S.; Wilbe, M.; Viluma, A.; Cothran, G.; Ekesten, B.; Ewart, S.; Lindgren, G. Equine multiple congenital ocular anomalies and silver coat colour result from the pleiotropic effects of mutant PMEL. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e75639. [CrossRef]
- 9. Andersson, L.S.; Axelsson, J.; Dubielzig, R.R.; Lindgren, G.; Ekesten, B. Multiple congenital ocular anomalies in Icelandic horses. BMC Vet. Res. 2011, 7, 21. [CrossRef]
- 10. Imsland, F.; McGowan, K.; Rubin, C.-J.; Henegar, C.; Sundström, E.; Berglund, J.; Schwochow, D.; Gustafson, U.; Imsland, P.; Lindblad-Toh, K.; et al. Regulatory mutations in TBX3 disrupt asymmetric hair pigmentation that under-lies Dun camouflage color in horses. *Nat. Genet.* **2016**, *48*, 152–158. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mariat, D.; Taourit, S.; Guérin, G. A mutation in the *MATP* gene causes the cream coat colour in the horse. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* **2003**, 35, 119. [CrossRef]
- 12. Holl, H.M.; Pflug, K.M.; Yates, K.M.; Hoefs-Martin, K.; Shepard, C.; Cook, D.G.; Lafayette, C.; Brooks, S.A. A candidate gene approach identifies variants in SLC45A2 that explain dilute phenotypes, pearl and sunshine, in compound heterozygote horses. *Anim. Genet.* **2019**, *50*, 271–274. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Cook, D.; Brooks, S.; Bellone, R.; Bailey, E. Missense mutation in exon 2 of SLC36A1 responsible for champagne dilution in horses. *PLoS Genet.* **2008**, *4*, e1000195. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Tanaka, J.; Leeb, T.; Rushton, J.; Famula, T.R.; Mack, M.; Jagannathan, V.; Flury, C.; Bachmann, I.; Eberth, J.; McDonnell, S.M.; et al. Frameshift Variant in MFSD12 Explains the Mushroom Coat Color Dilution in Shetland Ponies. *Genes* **2019**, *10*, 826. [CrossRef]
- 15. Brooks, S.A.; Gabreski, N.; Miller, D.; Brisbin, A.; Brown, H.E.; Streeter, C.; Mezey, J.; Cook, D.; Antczak, D.F. Whole-genome SNP association in the horse: Identification of a deletion in myosin Va responsible for Lavender Foal Syndrome. *PLoS Genet.* **2010**, *6*, e1000909. [CrossRef]
- 16. Martin, K.; Rosa, L.P.; Vierra, M.; Foster, G.; Brooks, S.A.; Lafayette, C. De novo mutation of *KIT* causes extensive coat white patterning in a family of Berber horses. *Anim. Genet.* **2020**, *52*, 135–137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Rosa, L.P.; Martin, K.; Vierra, M.; Foster, G.; Lundquist, E.; Brooks, S.A.; Lafayette, C. Two variants of *KIT* causing white patterning in stock-type horses. *J. Hered.* **2021**, *112*, 447–451. [CrossRef]
- 18. Esdaile, E.; Till, B.; Kallenberg, A.; Fremeux, M.; Bickel, L.; Bellone, R.R. A de novo missense mutation in *KIT* is responsible for dominant white spotting phenotype in a Standardbred horse. *Anim. Genet.* **2022**, *53*, 534–537. [CrossRef]
- 19. Rosa, L.P.; Martin, K.; Vierra, M.; Foster, G.; Brooks, S.A.; Lafayette, C. Non-frameshift deletion on *MITF* is associated with a novel splashed white spotting pattern in horses (*Equus caballus*). *Anim. Genet.* **2022**, *53*, 538–540. [CrossRef]
- 20. Magdesian, K.G.; Tanaka, J.; Bellone, R.R. A De Novo MITF Deletion Explains a Novel Splashed White Phenotype in an American Paint Horse. *J. Hered.* **2020**, *111*, 287–293. [CrossRef]

Genes **2022**, 13, 1641 16 of 16

21. Haase, B.; Brooks, S.A.; Tozaki, T.; Burger, D.; Poncet, P.-A.; Rieder, S.; Hasegawa, T.; Penedo, C.; Leeb, T. Seven novel *KIT* mutations in horses with white coat colour phenotypes. *Anim. Genet.* **2009**, *40*, 623–629. [CrossRef]

- 22. Dürig, N.; Jude, R.; Holl, H.; Brooks, S.A.; Lafayette, C.; Jagannathan, V.; Leeb, T. Whole genome sequencing reveals a novel deletion variant in the *KIT* gene in horses with white spotted coat colour phenotypes. *Anim. Genet.* **2017**, *48*, 483–485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Magdesian, K.G.; Williams, D.C.; Aleman, M.; Lecouteur, R.A.; Madigan, J.E. Evaluation of deafness in American Paint Horses by phenotype, brainstem auditory-evoked responses, and endothelin receptor B genotype. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* **2009**, 235, 1204–1211. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Bellone, R.R. Genetics of equine ocular disease. Vet. Clin. N. Am. Equine Pract. 2020, 36, 303–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Rine, J.; Metallinos, D.I.; Bowling, A.T. A missense mutation in the endothelin-B receptor gene is associated with Lethal White Foal Syndrome: An equine version of Hirschsprung Disease. *Mamm. Genome* **1998**, *9*, 426–431. [CrossRef]
- 26. Bellone, R.R.; Holl, H.; Setaluri, V.; Devi, S.; Maddodi, N.; Archer, S.; Sandmeyer, L.; Ludwig, A.; Foerster, D.; Pruvost, M.; et al. Evidence for a Retroviral Insertion in TRPM1 as the Cause of Congenital Stationary Night Blindness and Leopard Complex Spotting in the Horse. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e78280. [CrossRef]
- 27. Fung, T.; Keenan, K. Confidence Intervals for Population Allele Frequencies: The General Case of Sampling from a Finite Diploid Population of Any Size. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e85925. [CrossRef]
- 28. UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory–Full Color/Pattern Panel. Available online: https://vgl.ucdavis.edu/panel/full-coat-color-pattern-panel (accessed on 20 November 2021).
- UC Davis Veterinary Genetics Laboratory–Mushroom. Available online: https://vgl.ucdavis.edu/test/mushroom (accessed on 20 November 2021).
- 30. Peakall, R.; Smouse, P.E. GENALEX 6: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **2006**, *6*, 288–295. [CrossRef]
- 31. Peakall, R.; Smouse, P.E. GenAlEx 6.5: Genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research-an update. *Bioinformatics* **2012**, *28*, 2537–2539. [CrossRef]
- 32. Brooks, S.A.; Bailey, E. Exon skipping in the *KIT* gene causes a Sabino spotting pattern in horses. *Mamm. Genome* **2005**, *16*, 893–902. [CrossRef]
- 33. Brooks, S.A.; Lear, T.L.; Adelson, D.L.; Bailey, E. A chromosome inversion near the *KIT* gene and the Tobiano spotting pattern in horses. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **2007**, *119*, 225–230. [CrossRef]
- 34. Holl, H.M.; Brooks, S.A.; Archer, S.; Brown, K.; Malvick, J.; Penedo, M.C.T.; Bellone, R.R. Variant in the RFWD3 gene associated with PATN1, a modifier of leopard complex spotting. *Anim. Genet.* **2016**, *47*, 91–101. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Hauswirth, R.; Haase, B.; Blatter, M.; Brooks, S.; Burger, D.; Drögemüller, C.; Gerber, V.; Henke, D.; Janda, J.; Jude, R.; et al. Mutations in MITF and PAX3 cause "splashed white" and other white spotting phenotypes in horses. *PLoS Genet.* **2012**, 8, e1002653. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Hauswirth, R.; Jude, R.; Haase, B.; Bellone, R.R.; Archer, S.; Holl, H.; Brooks, S.A.; Tozaki, T.; Penedo, M.C.T.; Rieder, S.; et al. Novel variants in the *KIT* and *PAX3* genes in horses with white-spotted coat colour phenotypes. *Anim. Genet.* **2013**, *44*, 763–765. [CrossRef]
- 37. Brooks, S.A.; Palermo, K.M.; Kahn, A.; Hein, J. Impact of white-spotting alleles, including W20, on phenotype in the American Paint Horse. *Anim. Genet.* **2020**, *51*, 707–715. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 38. Druml, T.; Grilz-Seger, G.; Neuditschko, M.; Horna, M.; Ricard, A.; Pausch, H.; Brem, G. Novel insights into Sabino1 and splashed white coat color patterns in horses. *Anim. Genet.* **2018**, *49*, 249–253. [CrossRef]
- 39. Rockwell, H.; Mack, M.; Famula, T.; Sandmeyer, L.; Bauer, B.; Dwyer, A.; Lassaline, M.; Beeson, S.; Archer, S.; McCue, M.; et al. Genetic investigation of equine recurrent uveitis in Appaloosa horses. *Anim. Genet.* 2020, *51*, 111–116. [CrossRef]
- 40. Sandmeyer, L.S.; Kingsley, N.B.; Walder, C.; Archer, S.; Leis, M.L.; Bellone, R.R.; Bauer, B.S. Risk factors for equine recurrent uveitis in a population of Appaloosa horses in western Canada. *Vet. Ophthalmol.* **2020**, *23*, 515–525. [CrossRef]
- 41. Pielberg, G.R.; Golovko, A.; Sundström, E.; Curik, I.; Lennartsson, J.; Seltenhammer, M.H.; Druml, T.; Binns, M.; Fitzsimmons, C.; Lindgren, G.; et al. A cis-acting regulatory mutation causes premature hair graying and susceptibility to melanoma in the horse. *Nat. Genet.* **2008**, *40*, 1004–1009. [CrossRef]
- 42. Henkel, J.; Lafayette, C.; Brooks, S.A.; Martin, K.; Patterson-Rosa, L.; Cook, D.; Jagannathan, V.; Leeb, T. Whole-genome sequencing reveals a large deletion in the MITF gene in horses with white spotted coat colour and increased risk of deafness. *Anim. Genet.* **2019**, *50*, 172–174. [CrossRef]