

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Title

Symmetry breaking in the formation of magnetic vortex states in a permalloy nanodisk

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4qr1x08q>

Author

Im, Mi-Young

Publication Date

2012-08-31

Symmetry breaking in the formation of magnetic vortex states in a permalloy nanodisk

Mi-Young Im¹, Peter Fischer¹, Keisuke Yamada², Tomonori Sato³,
Shinya Kasai⁴, Yoshinobu Nakatani³ & Teruo Ono²

¹*Center for X-ray Optics, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley CA94720, USA*

²*Institute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Uji 611-0011, Japan*

³*Graduate School of Informatics and Engineering,
University of Electro-Communications, Chofu 182-8585, Japan*

⁴*Spintronics Group, Magnetic Material Center, National Institute for
Materials Science (NIMS), Tsukuba 305-0047, Japan*

The magnetic vortex in nanopatterned elements is currently attracting enormous interest. *A priori*, one would assume that the formation of magnetic vortex states should exhibit a perfect symmetry, because the magnetic vortex has four degenerate states. Here we show the first direct observation of an asymmetric phenomenon in the formation process of vortex states in a permalloy (Py, Ni₈₀Fe₂₀) nanodisk using high resolution full-field magnetic transmission soft X-ray microscopy (MTXM). Micromagnetic simulations confirm that the intrinsic Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI), which arises from the spin-orbit coupling due to the lack of inversion symmetry near the disk surface, as well as surface-related extrinsic factors are decisive for the asymmetric formation of vortex states.

Introduction

Vortices and antivortices are topological excitations with a half-integer skyrmion charge of $q = |np/2| = 1/2$, where n is the winding number and p is the polarity. Vortices have a winding number of $n=+1$ and a polarity of $p = \pm 1$, whereas antivortices have $n = -1$ ¹⁻³. Magnetic vortices are characterized by an in-plane curling magnetization (chirality) and a nanometer-sized central region with an out-of-plane magnetization (polarity)⁴⁻⁶. The latter is defined by a clockwise ($c = 1$) or counter-clockwise ($c = -1$) rotation of the in-plane magnetization. The polarity is the orientation of the core magnetization, either pointing up ($p = 1$) or down ($p = -1$). With two possible orientations of c and p , four different ground states of a magnetic vortex can occur^{7,8}. The magnetic vortex structure constitutes a fascinating topological structure for fundamental studies of nanoscale spin behavior and offers great potential as a novel concept in data storage technologies⁹⁻¹⁵. *A priori*, one would expect the energy of the four states to be degenerate. A non-degeneracy would not only constitute an unconventional physical phenomenon at the nanoscale but could also lead to potentially interesting applications of magnetic vortices with regard to magnetic sensor or logic elements^{16,17}. Owing to the lack of experimental techniques enabling simultaneous imaging of in-plane (c) and out-of-plane (p) magnetic components in nanopatterned structures, most of the experiments on magnetic vortices reported so far have focused on either the chirality or polarity^{9, 15}. Therefore, the degeneracy of a magnetic vortex has not been experimentally verified to date.

In this article, we report the first experimental result for symmetry breaking in the formation process of vortex states in a single circular permalloy (Py, Ni₈₀Fe₂₀) nanodisk. The origin of the experimentally observed asymmetric phenomenon is interpreted as the combination of an “intrinsic” Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) arising from the spin-orbit coupling^{17,18} and surface-related “extrinsic” factors, such as edge defects, surface roughness, etc. We utilize full-field magnetic transmission soft X-ray microscopy (MTXM) with high-spatial resolution down to 20 nm¹⁹ because the use of X-ray magnetic circular dichroism for magnetic contrast allow us to observe chirality and polarity simultaneously in a large array of permalloy (Py, Ni₈₀Fe₂₀) disks and therefore to address the formation process of magnetic vortex states in applied external magnetic fields.

Results

Imaging of magnetic vortex structures. Figure 1 shows MTXM images of the in-plane (Fig 1a) and out-of-plane (Fig 1b) magnetic configurations in an identical area of 100-nm thick Py nanodisks with a radius $r = 500$ nm. The dark/bright contrast indicates the projection of the local Fe magnetization along the photon propagation direction of circularly polarized photons. Consequently, the curling in-plane domain structure (chirality), which rotates either clockwise or counter-clockwise, and the small dark or bright spot in the center of the nanodisks, which represents the upward or downward pointing vortex core (polarity), are clearly visible in Figs. 1a, b. The images were taken at the remanent state (0 mT) after sweeping the external magnetic field from 100 to 0 mT in field steps of 5 mT. The complete vortex configuration of each nanodisk is illustrated in Fig. 1c, where the sequence of the colors indicates the rotation direction of the magnetization in the plane of the nanodisks and the black and white spots in the center represent the direction of the vortex core. Obviously, four different vortex states (VSs), which are defined as $c = 1 : p = 1$ (S1), $c = -1 : p = -1$ (S2), $c = -1 : p = 1$ (S3), and $c = 1 : p = -1$ (S4), are generated in the nanodisks. Since the nanodisks are in close proximity to each other with a center-to-center distance of $d = 1150$ nm, one can expect that the dipolar interactions with nearby nanodisks affect the VS formation of each nanodisk within an array. To clarify that assumption, we have studied experimentally the magnetization process of nanodisk arrays with different center-to-center distances of $d = 1200, 1500, 1800$ nm. We observed that with decreasing center-to-center distance, the external field for the nucleation of vortices also slightly decreases and the magnetization of nanodisks seems to proceed collectively. One might argue that this results from the dipolar coupling of the nanodisks, but, interestingly, we do not observe the expected correlation in vortex structures of neighboring nanodisks with regard to the rotation of c and the orientation of p as a function of the center-to-center distance. We conclude that dipolar interactions do not have a strong impact on determining the types of VS in individual nanodisks within an array.

The complete magnetization-reversal process of Py nanodisks was observed with varying magnetic fields, and a sequence of typical domain structures at magnetic fields from 100, 40, 20, 0, -20, -40, and -100 mT are shown in Fig. 2a. At an external magnetic

field of 100 mT pointing in the positive x -direction (indicated by the arrow), the nanodisks are fully saturated. By sweeping the applied magnetic field from the saturation field back to 0 mT, magnetic vortices are nucleated, with the vortex cores moving from the edge of the disk towards the center at 0 mT. With the external field progressing from 0 to -100 mT, the vortex cores shift from the center to the opposite edges, where they finally annihilate.

Symmetry breaking in the formation of vortex states. To investigate the symmetric character of the formation process of VSs in individual disks with high statistical significance, we repeatedly recorded MTXM images throughout the process of magnetization reversal in the nanodisk arrays. Figure 2b displays a representative ensemble of eight repeated experiments for c and p in two neighboring nanodisks, corresponding to 16 independent measurements. Most interestingly, we found that certain types of VSs are dominantly generated, in particular, the formation probability of VS groups with $cp = 1$ (S1, S2: left-handed) and $cp = -1$ (S3, S4: right-handed) is asymmetric in each nanodisk.

To enhance the statistical significance, a total of 50 repeated observations in an array of 30 nanodisks, which yields a total of 1500 independent measurements, was analyzed. Although we found that the dominantly generated VS group for an individual nanodisk can be either $cp = 1$ or $cp = -1$, we always found an asymmetric generation ratio for the for the two VS groups. In Table 1, we list the averaged generation probabilities of VSs, where dominantly (recessively) formed VS groups are denoted as majority (minority). The generation probabilities of majority and minority are found to be 0.71 ± 0.08 and 0.30 ± 0.06 , obviously indicating an asymmetry. On the other hand, as also shown in table 1, the two VSs belonging to the same VS cp -group are almost symmetrically generated. This result clearly confirms that an experimentally observed symmetry-breaking phenomenon occurs in the formation of two VS groups with different handedness. This is completely unexpected, assuming that there is equal probability, regardless of handedness, in a single circular nanodisk to generate the four different VSs.

3D micromagnetic modeling. To interpret and explain the asymmetric process of VS formation, we took the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) into consideration, since it inevitably arises from the spin-orbital coupling due to the broken inversion

symmetry at surfaces/interfaces in magnetic thin layers^{17,18}. The DMI has been discussed as the origin for spin spiral textures and has been theoretically predicted to affect the shape and size of magnetic vortices^{20,21}. To explore the effect of DMI on the asymmetric formation process of VS, we calculated the generation probabilities of each VS by performing a micromagnetic simulation of the magnetization process of a nanodisk from saturated state to zero-field state. The simulation was carried out with our original code, which was expanded to include the DMI term in the following form:

$$E = A_{\text{ex}} \frac{1}{M_s^2} \left[\left(\frac{\partial M_x}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial M_y}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial M_z}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mu_0 \mathbf{M} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{ms}} + e_D. \quad (1)$$

The first and second terms correspond, respectively, to the exchange energy and the magnetostatic energy with the stray field $\mathbf{H}_{\text{ms}}$. The last term is DM energy given as

$$e_D = D \frac{1}{M_s^2} \left[M_z \left(\frac{\partial M_x}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial M_y}{\partial x} \right) - M_x \frac{\partial M_z}{\partial y} + M_y \frac{\partial M_z}{\partial x} \right], \quad (2)$$

where D is the Dzyaloshinskii constant, which determines the magnitude of the DMI and its sign specifies the orientation of spin spiral state due to the DMI^{18,20}. For this simulation, we adapted a 3D model where the DMI exists only on the disk surfaces (top, bottom, and lateral) since the DMI is considered to be localized at the surface^{18,22-24}. A schematic diagram of the 3D modelling is shown in Fig. 3. The model system is a Py circular disk with $r = 500$ nm and $h = 100$ nm (Fig. 3 (a)), which resembles the physical dimensions of the actual disks used in the experiment of Figs. 1 and 2. The mesh size is $4 \times 4 \times 6.25$ nm³ and the DMI is assumed to exist only in the outer meshes, which are shaded in yellow in the side (b) and top view (c) diagrams of Fig. 3. The coordinates of the DMI components on the lateral and top/bottom surfaces are indicated. In our 3D model, the DMI term on the lateral surface is given in the radial direction, while the DMI term on the top/bottom surfaces is given in the z- and angular coordinates in the local cylindrical system. Each coordinate of the DMI on the lateral surface and the DMI on the top/bottom surfaces corresponds to the out-of-plane and in-plane coordinate, respectively. As material parameters for Py, we used $M_s = 8.6 \times 10^5$ A/m, exchange stiffness $A_{\text{ex}} = 1.3 \times 10^{-11}$ J/m, zero magnetocrystalline anisotropy, and damping constant $\alpha = 1.00$.

Simulation for the generation probability of each VS. To investigate the generation probability of each VS under the influence of the DMI, we chose the initial magnetization structure to be a single-domain structure pointing into the same direction (saturated state) by an applied external magnetic field of 150 mT. The external field was decreased from 150 mT with a sweep rate of 1 mT/ns until the final state was completed. In the experiment, the change of the magnetization structure was gradual because of the very slow magnetic field sweep rate. On the other hand, a very fast sweep rate had to be used in the simulation because of the limitation of the calculation time. We used a Gilbert damping constant α of 1 to make the change of the magnetization structure gradual with a fast sweep rate, thereby mimicking the experiment. Fig. 4 illustrates representative image sequences of magnetic structures for the final VS of $c = -1 : p = -1$ (S2) simulated at $D=1.03 \times 10^{-3} \text{ J/m}^2$. The in-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) magnetization structures observed on the top (a) and bottom (b) layers at each step are displayed, respectively. Interestingly, this simulation provides great detail about the magnetization process of nanodisks, which so far has been completely unexplored. The nucleation of magnetic vortices on the top and bottom surfaces occur asynchronous and unevenly. The cores nucleate from the left side of the bottom layer first ($t = 87.6 \text{ ns}$), followed by multiple cores appearing on both layers ($t = 103.8 \text{ ns}$). As the magnetization proceeds, a single core with opposite polarity remains on the top and bottom layers ($t = 108 \text{ ns}$) and the magnetic structures on both layers become identical. Finally, one of the cores of either the top layer or the bottom layer switches ($t = 142.2 \text{ ns}$) and the final vortex state is reached. By varying the DM constant in the simulation, including the case of $D=0$, we have systematically investigated the nucleation mechanism and magnetization process of VS. At $D=0$, the generation position of cores are quite random such as left side, right-upper, and left-down of disk, etc. The overall magnetization behavior of VS sensitively depends on the initial nucleation stage of cores. When the cores appear from one side of disk, the magnetization of VS mostly accompanies with appearing of multiple cores in random positions of disk, while the magnetization proceeds with the moving of created cores when the cores generate on both sides of disk. In the absence of DMI, the same final VS can be defined by different nucleation and magnetization behaviors. At $D \neq 0$, the nucleation mechanism is rather simple (Supplementary Movies 1), which might be due to

the DMI causing selectivity. The details of the nucleation stage, configuration of multiple cores, motions of cores, annihilating process, and the mechanism of polarity determination can be different, depending on the DM constant. To examine the generation probabilities of each VS, the simulation for the nanodisk magnetization process was repeatedly carried out. We also included thermal noise at $T=300\text{ K}$ ²⁵ to introduce stochasticity into our model. The simulation was repeated 200 times for each DM constant and the generation probabilities of $cp = 1$ or -1 were calculated to match the experimental approach. In addition to the simulation with the DMI included on all surfaces, we have also performed the simulation for the case of the DMI existing only on the lateral surface or only on the top and bottom surfaces, respectively. We found that the nucleation mechanism, formation process, and the generation probability of VS are considerably different in each case (Supplementary Fig. S1, Supplementary Table S1). The results obtained by the simulation with the DMI on all surfaces show comprehensive nucleation and formation processes driven by contributions of all DMI on the lateral surface and top/bottom surfaces.

Discussion

The simulation results are summarized in Table 2. The generation probabilities of the VS groups of $cp = 1$ and $cp = -1$ are asymmetric and the value of the asymmetry scales with the DM constant. This clearly demonstrates that the DMI has a strong impact on the asymmetric generation of $cp = 1$ or -1 . Remarkably, we observe in the simulation that the in-plane magnetization always proceeds in such a way that the chirality with counter-clockwise rotation sense ($c = -1$) is generated irrespective of the size of the DM constant and the final state, thereby establishing an unprecedented phenomenon that is due to the DMI. This simulation finding is inconsistent with the experimental result, which observes both chiralities of $c = -1$ and $c = 1$ (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2). This difference strongly suggests that surface-related “external” factors such as edge defects, roughness, and geometric variations of individual disks etc. might also have an impact on the experimentally observed results in real nanodisks²⁵. Thus, the experimental observation that the preferred VS group is different in some nanodisks can be attributed to surface-related external factors to the formation process of VS. Such surface-related defects, which happen to

occur inevitably and randomly in individual nanodisks, will affect the magnetization process in particular the nucleation mechanism, which is crucial for the determination of the final state. Therefore, we conclude that both the “intrinsic” DMI and the surface-related “extrinsic” factors are important aspects to explain the experimentally observed asymmetric generation behaviour of VS.

To summarize, we have experimentally shown that vortex states in a single magnetic nanodisk experience a symmetry breaking in the formation process, which is contrary to the *a priori* assumption that a magnetic vortex has four different but degenerate ground states. This asymmetric formation process of VS is essential to precisely control the magnetic/spin process in magnetic vortices, which is needed to realize vortex-based data-storage devices. We interpret our experimentally observed asymmetric phenomenon as a consequence of the “intrinsic” DMI, but we also take surface-related “extrinsic” factors such as edge defects and/or roughness, etc. into account. Our results might impact both the fundamental understanding of nanoscale spin behavior and its potential for technological applications.

Methods

Sample preparation and MTXM measurement. Permalloy (Py) nanodisks with a radius of 500 nm and a thickness of 100 nm were patterned by electron-beam lithography and lift-off process. 30×30 nanodisk arrays with a center-to-center distance of $d=1150, 1200, 1500, \text{ and } 1800$ nm were deposited on 100-nm-thick silicon-nitride membranes to allow for sufficient transmission of soft X-rays. Permalloy films were prepared by means of evaporation in an ultrahigh vacuum using an electron-beam gun at a pressure lower than 1×10^{-7} Torr. The disks exhibit a polycrystalline structure. Direct observation of vortex structures in Py nanodisks was carried out using full-field magnetic transmission X-ray microscopy (MTXM) at the Advanced Light Source (beamline 6.1.2.)¹⁹, enabling real-space magnetic images with high-spatial resolution down to 20 nm. Within an exposure time of a few seconds, a full array of 30×30 disks can be imaged. Magnetic imaging of Py nanodisks was performed at a photon energy corresponding to the Fe L_3 (707 eV) X-ray absorption edge. In MTXM, magnetic contrast is given by X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) arising from the dependence of the X-ray

absorption coefficients on the orientation between magnetization and photon helicity. To record images of in-plane and out-of-plane magnetizations, specifically the chirality and polarity in the Py nanodisk, the array was mounted at 60° and 90° angles with respect to the X-ray propagation direction, respectively. To reduce non-magnetic background for the in-plane images, images taken at certain fields were normalized to an image recorded in the fully saturated state. The magnetic contrast of the vortex core (out-of-plane configuration) was enhanced by taking images with opposite photon helicities and dividing the two, providing a clear core image with smooth background.

References

1. Tretiakov, O. A. & Tchernyshyov, O. Vortices in thin ferromagnetic films and the skyrmion number. *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 012408-1-012408-2 (2007).
2. Ezawa, M. Compact merons and skyrmions in thin chiral magnetic films. *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 100408-1-100408-4 (2011).
3. Hertel, R. & Schneider, C. M. Exchange explosions: magnetization dynamics during vortex-antivortex annihilation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 177202-1-177202-4 (2006).
4. Shinjo, T., Okuno, T., Hassdorf, R., Shigeto, K. & Ono, T. Magnetic vortex core observation in circular dots of Permalloy. *Science* **289**, 930-932 (2000).
5. Wachowiak, A., Wiebe, J., Bode, M., Pietzsch, O., Morgenstern, M. & Wiesendanger, R. Direct observation of internal spin structure of magnetic vortex cores. *Science* **298**, 577-579 (2002).
6. Fischer, P., Im, M.-Y., Kasai, S., Yamada, K., Ono, T. & Thiaville, A. X-ray imaging of magnetic vortices in confined magnetic structures, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 212402-1-212402-4 (2011).
7. Guslienko, K. Y. et al. Field evolution of magnetic vortex state in ferromagnetic disks. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **78**, 3848-3850 (2001).
8. Guslienko, K. Y. et al. Eigenfrequencies of vortex state excitations in magnetic submicron-size disks. *J. Appl. Phys.* **91**, 8037-8039 (2002).
9. Choe, S.-B., Acremann, Y., Scholl, A., Bauer, A., Doran, A., Stöhr, J. & Padmore, H. A. Vortex core-driven magnetization dynamics. *Science* **304**, 420-422 (2004).
10. S. Novosad, V., Fradin, F. Y., Roy, P. E., Buchanan, K.S., Guslienko, K. Y. & Bader, D. Magnetic vortex resonance in patterned ferromagnetic dots. *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 024455-1-024455-5 (2005).
11. Van Waeyenberge, B. et al. Magnetic vortex core reversal by excitation with short bursts of an alternating field. *Nature* **444**, 461-464 (2006).
12. Yamada, K., Kasai, S., Nakatani, Y., Kobayashi, K., Kohno, H., Thiaville, A. & Ono, T. Electrical switching of the vortex core in a magnetic disk. *Nature Mater.* **6**, 269-273 (2007).
13. Kasai, S., Fischer, P., Im, M.-Y., Yamada, K., Nakatani, Y. & Kobayashi, K. Probing the spin polarization of current by soft X-ray imaging of current-induced magnetic

- vortex dynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 237203-1-237203-4 (2008).
14. Lee, K.-S., Kim, S.-K., Yu, Y.-S., Choi, Y.-S., Guslienko, K. Y., Jung, H. & Fischer, P. Universal criterion and phase diagram for switching a magnetic vortex core in soft magnetic nanodots. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 267206-1-267206-4 (2008).
 15. Vansteenkiste, A. *et al.* X-ray imaging of the dynamic magnetic vortex core deformation. *Nature Phys.* **5**, 332-334 (2009).
 16. Curcic, M. *et al.* Polarization selective vortex dynamics and core reversal in rotating magnetic fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 197204-1-197204-4 (2008).
 17. Bogdanov, A. N. & Rößler, U. K. Chiral symmetry breaking in magnetic thin films and multilayers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 037203-1-037203-4 (2001).
 18. Bode, M., Heide, M., Bergmann, K. von, Ferriani, P., Heinze, S., Bihlmayer, G., Kubetzka, A., Pietzsch, O., Blügel, S. & Wiesendanger, R. Chiral magnetic order at surfaces driven by inversion asymmetry. *Nature* **447**, 190-193 (2007).
 19. Fischer, P. Soft X-ray microscopy - a powerful analytical tool to image magnetism down to fundamental length and time scales. *AAPPS bulletin* **18**, 12-17 (2008).
 20. Butenko, A. B., Leonov, A. A., Bogdanov, A. N. & Rößler, U. K. Theory of vortex state in magnetic nanodisks with induced Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 134410-1-134410-8 (2009).
 21. Butenko, A. B., Leonov, A. A., Bogdanov, A. N. & Rößler, U. K. Influence of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction on vortex states in magnetic nanodisks. *J. Phys.: conference series* **200**, 042012-1-042012-4 (2010).
 22. Heinze, S., Bergmann, K., Menzel, M., Brede, J., Kubetzka, A., Wiesendanger, R., Bihlmayer, G., & Blügel, S. Spontaneous atomic-scale magnetic skyrmion lattice in two dimensions. *Nature Phys.* **7**, 713-718 (2011).
 23. Ferriani, P. *et al.* Atomic-scale spin spiral with a unique rotational sense: Mn monolayer on W(001). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 027201-1-027201-4 (2008).
 24. Meckler, S. *et al.* Real-space observation of right-rotating inhomogeneous cycloidal spin spiral by spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy in a triple axes vector magnet. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 157201-1-157201-4 (2009).
 25. Nakatani, Y., Uesaka, Y., Hayashi, N. & Fukushima, H. Computer simulation of thermal fluctuation of fine particle magnetization based on Langevin equation. *J.*

Magn. Magn. Mater. **168**, 347-351 (1997).

26. Vansteenkiste, A., Weigand, M., Curcic, M., Stoll, H., Schütz, G. & Waeyenberge, B. V. Chiral symmetry breaking of magnetic vortices by sample roughness. *New J. Phys.* **11**, 063006-1-8 (2009).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.

Figure Legends

Figure1: **Experimental images of vortex structures in Py nanodisk array.** MTXM images of in-plane (a) and out-of-plane (b) magnetic components taken at the remanent state in a 100-nm-thick nanodisks. All images were observed in the identical array area. The sense of rotation of the in-plane magnetization is indicated by a white arrow (a). The black and white spots of the central regions in nanodisks are upward and downward vortex cores, respectively (b). The complete vortex configuration of each nanodisk is also illustrated (c).

Figure 2: **Formation process of vortex states in repeated measurements.** (a) Image sequence of vortex-creation and -annihilation processes driven by an external magnetic field. (b) Chirality and polarity images taken from eight representative images showing two individual nanodisks with a radius of 500 nm and a thickness of 100 nm. The generation rate of each VS group, which is defined as the number of times that each VS group is generated relative to the total number of repetitions, is obviously asymmetric. VSs with a signature of $cp = -1$ are predominantly generated compared to VSs with $cp = 1$ with a quantitative generation ratio of 8:0 and 7:1 in the first and second nanodisk, respectively.

Figure 3: **Schematic diagram of 3D modeling.** (a) The model system is a Permalloy nanodisk with the radius of 500 nm and the thickness of 100 nm divided into a mesh with an element size of $4 \times 4 \times 6.25 \text{ nm}^3$. In this model, the DMI is considered to exist only in the outer elements shaded in yellow as shown in side (b) and top (c) view diagrams. The coordinates of the DMI terms on the lateral and top/bottom surfaces given in our 3D model are indicated.

Figure 4: **Simulated magnetic structures during the magnetization process of a nanodisk.** Typical image series of magnetic structures obtained by the simulation for the magnetization process of a nanodisk. In-plane (left) and out-of-plane (right) magnetic structures at each field (time) step are respectively displayed, which are simulated on the

top **(a)** and the bottom layer **(b)**. In the out-of-plane images, the black and white spots represent the down and up polarizations, respectively.

Table 1: **The averaged experimental generation probabilities of vortex state (VS).** The generation probabilities of the majority and the minority derived from a total of 1500 measurements. The probabilities of S1 and S2 in the VS group with $cp = 1$ and S3 and S4 in the VS group with $cp = -1$ are displayed, respectively. The errors represent the standard deviation in generation probabilities of VSs for 30 nanodisks.

VS group	Majority	Minority	cp = 1		cp = -1	
			S1	S2	S3	S4
Generation Prob.	0.71 ± 0.08	0.30 ± 0.06	0.51 ± 0.08	0.48 ± 0.09	0.47 ± 0.10	0.52 ± 0.08

Table 2: **Simulated generation probabilities of vortex states (VS).** The generation probabilities of VS with different handedness as function of variable DM constant taken from a total of 800 3D simulations.

DM const. ($\times 10^{-3}$ J/m ²)	Generation Prob.		
		cp = 1	cp = -1
0.9		0.45	0.55
1.03		0.36	0.64
1.22		0.3	0.7
1.84		0	1




Figure-1Im




Figure-2Im




Figure-3Im




Figure-4Im

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof or The Regents of the University of California.

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231.