UC Davis UC Davis Previously Published Works

Title

A de novo missense mutation in PPP2R5D alters dopamine pathways and morphology of iPSC-derived midbrain neurons.

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4qh25607

Journal Stem Cells, 43(1)

Authors

Carter, Jasmine Halmai, Julian Waldo, Jennifer <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date 2025-01-17

DOI

10.1093/stmcls/sxae068

Peer reviewed

A de novo missense mutation in *PPP2R5D* alters dopamine pathways and morphology of iPSC-derived midbrain neurons

Jasmine L. Carter^{1,2,3,4,5}, Julian A.N.M. Halmai^{1,2,3,4,5,}, Jennifer J. Waldo^{1,2,3,4,5}, Paula A. Vij^{1,2,3,4,5}, Maribel Anguiano⁶, Isaac J. Villegas^{1,2,3,4,5}, YuXin Du^{1,2,3,4,5}, Jan Nolta^{3,4}, Kyle D. Fink^{*,1,2,3,4,5},

¹Center for Interventional Genetics, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, United States,

²MIND Institute, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, United States,

³Stem Cell Program and Gene Therapy Center, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, United States,

⁴Institute for Regenerative Cures, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, United States,

⁵Department of Neurology, University of California Davis Health Systems, Sacramento, CA, United States,

⁶Center for Neuroscience, University of California Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, United States

*Corresponding author: Kyle D. Fink, Neurology, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, CA 95817, USA (kdfink@ucdavis.edu).

Abstract

Induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC) models of neurodevelopmental disorders (NDDs) have promoted an understanding of commonalities and differences within or across patient populations by revealing the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms contributing to disease pathology. Here, we focus on developing a human model for PPP2R5D-related NDD, called Jordan syndrome, which has been linked to Early-Onset Parkinson's Disease (EOPD). Here we sought to understand the underlying molecular and cellular phenotypes across multiple cell states and neuronal subtypes in order to gain insight into Jordan syndrome pathology. Our work revealed that iPSC-derived midbrain neurons from Jordan syndrome patients display significant differences in dopamine-associated pathways and neuronal architecture. We then evaluated a CRISPRbased approach for editing heterozygous dominant G-to-A mutations at the transcript level in patient-derived neural stem cells. Our findings show that site-directed RNA editing is influenced by sgRNA length and cell type. These studies support the potential for a CRISPR RNA editor system to selectively edit mutant transcripts harboring G-to-A mutations in neural stem cells while providing an alternative editing technology for those suffering from NDDs.

Key words: NDD; iPSC-derived neuron; midbrain neuron; stem cell; disease modeling; Jordans Syndrome; EOPD; RNA editing and CRISPR/Cas13.

NSC Media Midbrain **Midbrain Maturation Differentiation Media** Media Day 1 - 4 Day 0 Day 4 -13 **Neural Stem Cells Midbrain Neural Stem Cells** Midbrain Neuronal Cells Mutant PPP2R5D Mutant PPP2R5D Transcript **Corrected PPP2R5D Protein** Gene sgRNA PPP2R5D PPP2R5D sgRNA Pathogenic adenosine A-to-I conversion

Graphical Abstract

Received: 18 September 2023; Accepted: 27 August 2024.

© The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Significance statement

This is the first report of iPSC-derived neurons from Jordan's Syndrome, providing an in-depth characterization of the most common mutation. Our findings also link the cell model to reports of EOPD. We also provide evidence and guidelines for performing CRISPR-mediated RNA editing.

Introduction

Neurodevelopmental disorders (NDD) are a heterogenous class of disorders that impact cognition, adaptive learning, movement, and language development or progression.¹⁻⁴ De novo heterozygous dominant missense mutations in the protein phosphatase 2 regulatory subunit B'delta (PPP2R5D) gene are causative for Jordan syndrome, a rare genetically linked NDD.5,6 SFARI gene scoring categorizes PPP2R5D as a high-confidence syndromic disorder associated with intellectual disability and autism spectrum disorder.⁷ Clinical data shows PPP2R5D mutations specifically contribute to neurological dysfunction such as intellectual disability, seizures, macrocephaly, speech, and motor impairments.^{5,6,8,9} Like many NDD risk genes, variants in PPP2R5D can contribute to unique patient-specific clinical phenotypes. A subset of individuals with PPP2R5D variants display limited motor coordination and post-mortem brain tissue revealed apparent neuronal loss in the substantia nigra pars compacta. These early-onset Parkinson's disease (EOPD) phenotypes were responsive to levodopa treatments.¹⁰⁻¹² Apart from the known role of PPP2R5D in dopamine synthesis and neurotransmission, how PPP2R5D variants contribute to disease at a molecular and cellular level is unclear.13-15

PPP2R5D encodes B568, a protein that regulates protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A) substrate specificity and catalytic activity at serine/threonine sites.¹⁶ PP2A is a heterotrimeric complex containing an A scaffolding subunit, B regulatory subunit, and C catalytic subunit. The most frequent pathogenic PPP2R5D variants are located in domains of the B568 subunit that interact with the catalytic subunit to form the substrate recognition and dephosphorylation site. Thus changes in the amino acid charge could result in altered heterotrimeric assembly, substrate binding, and dephosphorylation.⁵ Given the link between PPP2R5D variants and NDDs, a strategy for targeting PPP2R5D could advance the understanding of the molecular and cellular basis of dysregulation in neurodevelopment. Whether Jordan syndrome results from PPP2R5D gain or loss of function is unknown, which supports the developmental of a corrective approach.^{5,6,8,17}

The most common PPP2R5D variant arises from pathogenic guanosine to adenosine (G-to-A) mutation at the 198 amino acid residue which changes glutamic acid (E) to lysine (K) (E198K). Adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR) proteins post-transcriptionally modify double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) to catalyze adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing and recognition of inosine as guanosine by translational machinery.^{18,19} ADAR activity has been employed to achieve site-directed RNA editing (SDRE) and catalyze protein recoding, thus creating a unique opportunity to target G-to-A mutations and rescue protein function.²⁰⁻²² With the versatility of CRISPR/Cas orthologs, a CRISPR-based strategy for SDRE can be achieved by fusing the RNA targeting Prevotella sp. P5-125 Cas13 (PspdCas13b) to the ADAR2 deaminase domain (ADAR2DD).²³ CRISPR-based SDRE is a novel tool for single nucleotide editing at the transcript level; however, to date, this technology has not been employed to edit endogenous pathogenic G-to-A mutations in patient-specific disease models.

Here, we created an induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)derived neuronal model from E198K patient fibroblasts to understand the underlying molecular and cellular phenotypes of PPP2R5D pathology across multiple cell states. The largest set of differentially expressed genes between the E198K and the isogenic line was observed in an early neural stem cell (NSC) state. A midbrain neuronal model revealed expression of canonical midbrain markers, upregulation of genes associated with dopamine secretion in E198K neurons, and morphological differences in neuronal complexity. Finally, we used iPSC-derived NSCs that harbor the endogenous E198K G-to-A mutation to achieve SDRE with PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD. PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD RNA editing of the E198K variant is dependent on sgRNA length and A-C mismatch position. This work confirms that RNA editing in a patient-derived disease model of Jordan syndrome is possible at more than 10% editing frequency based on a transient delivery method.

STAR methods

Generation of human iPSC (hiPSCs) and isogenic control from *PPP2R5D* E198K patient

iPSCs were generated from passage 2 patient-derived fibroblasts using the Cytotune 2.0 OKSM Sendai virus (Life Technologies #A34546) on mouse embryonic feeders in Knockout Serum Replacer medium (Life Technologies #10828-028). iPSCs were manually passaged or passaged with collagenase IV for feeder culture. A subset of iPSCs was converted to a feeder-free culture system using StemFlex medium on matrigel, passaged, and frozen using dispase. Undifferentiated human iPSCs were cultured in Corning Matrigel hESC Qualified Matrix (Corning #354277) coated 6-well plates with StemFlex medium kit (GIBCO ThermoFisher #21103049). Cells were routinely cultured at 37 °C in a standard 5% CO₂ incubator with every other day medium change. hiPSC colonies were monitored and selected for unwanted differentiation. Once the confluency reached 90%, hiPSCs were manually lifted using a cell scraper. Cell aggregates were replated at a ratio of 1:6 wells. An iPSC isogenic line was created with a sgRNA targeting the patient-specific E198K variant in PPP2R5D using CRISPR-mediated homology-directed repair, courtesy of Synthego. Sanger sequencing of the E198K and isogenic iPSC lines was conducted to verify the patient variant and correction of the mutation. Additional iPSC lines, the PGP1 series, were generated from a healthy patient-derived parental cell line, PGP1, using CRISPR-mediated homology-directed repair, courtesy of Synthego. E197K, E198K, E200K, and E420K were introduced to the parental line to generate the individual mutant cell line respectively.24

hiPSC differentiation to NSCs

Feeder-free E198K and isogenic hiPSCs were subjected to NSC differentiation over a 12-day period. On day 1, hiPSCs were

plated into Aggrewell 800 plates (STEMCELL Technologies #34815) in embryoid body (EB) medium containing Knockout DMEM/F12 (Life Tech # 12660012), 15% Gibco KnockOut Serum Replacement (Life Tech # 10828028), 100X MEM non-essential amino acids (Life Tech #11140050), 100X Glutamax Supplement (Life Tech #35050061), 0.55 mM 2-mercaptoethanol (Life Tech #21985023) and Y-27632 RHO/ROCK pathway inhibitor (STEMCELL Technologies #72304). On day 2, EBs were plated in suspension in lowattachment plates in EB medium supplemented with Noggin (R&D Systems #6057-NG-025) and SB43152 (R&D Systems 1614) over 4 days with media change on day 4. On day 5, EBs were plated onto Corning Matrigel Growth Factor Reduced (GFR) Basement Membrane Matrix (Corning #354230) and subsequently transitioned to neural stem cell medium containing Neurobasal Medium (Life Tech #21103049), GlutaMAX Supplement (100x) (Life Tech #35050061), MEM non-essential amino acids solution (100x) (Life Tech #11140050), penicillin-streptomycin Solution (Corning #30-002-CI), N2 supplement (Life Tech # 17502048) and 10 ug/mL Recombinant human FGF basic/FGF2/bFGF (R&D Systems #233-FB-025), over days 6-12. Rosettes were enzymatically lifted using Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies #7920) and plated on poly-L-ornithine solution (PLO) (Sigma-Aldrich #P4957-50ML) and laminin from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm murine sarcoma basement membrane (Sigma-Aldrich #L2020-1MG) coated plates supplemented with neural stem cell medium.

NSC cell culture

NSC lines were maintained on poly-L-ornithine (PLO) and laminin-coated plates in Neurobasal Media (Life Tech #21103049) supplemented with GlutaMAX Supplement (100x) (Life Tech #35050061), MEM non-essential amino acids solution (100x) (Life Tech #11140050), Pen/Strep, Gibco N-2 Supplement (100x) (Life Tech # 17502048), 20 ng/mL Recombinant Human FGF basic/FGF2/bFGF (R&D Systems #233-FB-025), 20 ng/mL Human EGF Recombinant (R&D Systems #236EG200), Serum-free B-27 Supplement (50X) (Life Tech #17504044), 10 mg/mL Insulin Solution Human Recombinant (Sigma-Aldrich #SIAL-I9278-5ML), and D-glucose (Life Tech #A2494001). NSC lines were supplemented with Y-27632 RHO/ROCK pathway inhibitor (STEMCELL Technologies #72304) for 24 hours when passaged. Full media changes were performed every other day and Accutase (STEMCELL Technologies #7920) was used once 95% confluency was reached to lift NSCs for passaging. NSCs were maintained in 75 cm² flasks (Corning #430641U) at 50 000 cells/cm² and plated in 6 well-plates (Corning 3516) at 50 000 cells/cm² for molecular and cellular characterization.

MTT assay

Across 3 passages, isogenic and E198K NSCs were seeded at 32 000 cells per well in 96 well plates (Corning #3598). Following the manufacturer's protocol, 24 hours post-seeding NSCs were incubated in 100 µL fresh media supplemented with 10 µL MTT (12 mM) for 2 hours at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ (Thermofisher #V13154). Following incubation, 85 µL of media with MTT was removed and 50 µL of DMSO was added to each well. NSCs were incubated for 10 minutes at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ and absorption of the MTT reagent was evaluated on a microplate reader at 540 nm.

NSC differentiation to neurons

Isogenic and E198K NSCs were plated at a density of 2000 cells/cm² on poly-L-ornithine (PLO) and laminin-coated plates in NeuroCult NS-A Differentiation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies #05752). Full NeuroCult media changes were performed every other day over the course of 23 days. For assessments in midbrain iPSC-derived neurons, Isogenic and E198K NSCs were plated at a density of 50 000 cells/cm² on poly-L-ornithine (PLO) and laminin coated plates in NSC media. Twenty-four-hours post-seeding media was changed to STEMdiff Midbrain Neuron Differentiation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies #100-0038) supplemented with Human Recombinant Sonic hedgehog (Shh) C2411 (STEMCELL Technologies #78 065.2) to differentiate NSCs into midbrain NSCs. Once midbrain NSCs reached 80% confluency, cells were plated at 15 000 cells/cm² on poly-L-ornithine (PLO) and laminin-coated plates to allow for midbrain NSCs to mature into midbrain neurons in STEMdiff Midbrain Neuron Maturation Kit (STEMCELL Technologies #100-0041) over 9 days. All differentiation experiments were performed across 3 independent passages with biological groups of 3-6 (NeuroCult) or groups of 12 (STEMdiff Midbrain).

Immunocytochemistry

iPSCs, NSCs, midbrain NSCs, and midbrain neurons were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde diluted in PBS (BioWorld #30450002-1) for 15 minutes at room temperature. The fixative was removed and cells were stored at 4 °C overnight in a wash buffer (ThermoFisher # 00-4954-56). A 0.1% Triton X 100 (Millipore Sigma, #T8787) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution was used to permeabilize cells for 15 minutes prior to a 1-hour blocking incubation with BSA (BioWorld #22070004) at room temperature. A 3-hour incubation at room temperature in primary antibody (Supplementary Table S1) solution was followed by 3 washes with wash buffer for 5 minutes each. Cells were then incubated for 1 hour in secondary antibody (Supplementary Table S2) and washed 3 times with wash buffer for 5 minutes each. A final nuclear staining with Hoechst was completed for 5 minutes, cells were then stored in a wash buffer for imagining and analysis.

High-content analysis of neuronal morphology and complexity

iPSC-derived neurons from the NeuroCult and midbrain differentiation experiments were imaged with a CX7 CellInsight HCS Platform. Images were processed using the high-content screening (HSC) neurite outgrowth assay to identify cell bodies, neurites, and branchpoints based on neuronal marker detection and morphology as previously described.²⁵

Quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR)

MIQE guidelines were followed for qPCR experiments.²⁶ Cells were washed with PBS and lysed using TriZol Reagent. RNA extractions were performed on Isogenic and E198K iPSCs and NSCs with the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) and subsequently used for reverse transcription with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermofisher, #K1621) with random hexamer primers according to the manufacturer's guidelines. Twenty nano grams of cDNA per reaction, 5 µM of primers (Supplementary Table S3), and PowerUp SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were used for quantitative PCR in biological triplicates and conducted with the StepOne Plus Real-Time PCR system (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Relative expression analysis was calculated as the delta CT between housekeeping (GAPDH) primers and primers amplifying the target gene transcript levels.

Western blot

Protein was extracted from iPSCs, NSCs, and midbrain NSCs after 4 days in differentiation media using Pierce RIPA Buffer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog #89901) with Halt Protease and Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog #78442) and then quantitated using a Bicinchoninic acid assay protein kit (EMD Millipore, Kit 71285-3). Protein lysate (7.5 µg) was loaded into wells of 4%-20% Stacking TGX Gels (BioRad, Hercules, Catalog #4561096EDU) were electrophoresed for 3 hours at 90 V, then transferred onto prepared Invitrolon PVDF membrane (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog LC2005) and left overnight at 30 V. Membranes were blocked with 10% SEABLOCK (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Catalog #37527) and subsequently incubated for 2 hours with primary antibodies (Supplementary Table S4) in 5% SEA BLOCK in 0.1% TBST. Membranes were then washed with TBST 3 times and incubated with secondary antibodies (Supplementary Table S5) in 5% SEABLOCK in 0.1% TBST for 1 hour. Gels were washed twice in 0.1% TBST and stored in TBS at 4 °C. The Odyssey CLx (LI-COR) was used to image membranes and bands were quantified with Empiria Studio.

RNA-sequencing

RNA-sequencing was performed on isogenic and E198K iPSCs (n = 3), NSCs (n = 3), midbrain NSCs (n = 4), and midbrain neurons (n = 2). Following a PBS rinse, cells were lysed using TriZol Reagent. RNA extractions were performed with the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research). Samples were prepped according to Novogene sample submission guidelines and subsequently used to create strand-specific RNA libraries and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (PE150). Sequencing reads were de-multiplexed and aligned to the Hg38 reference genome with STAR Universal Aligner version 2.5.3a using the following settings: Indexed Reference Genome: Ensembl reference genome and annotation files for Hg38 release 77 were downloaded and compiled into a single file, Genome was indexed using the following arguments 'STAR -runMode genomeGenerate -runThreadN 12 -genomeDir /STAR INDEX HG38 -genomeFastaFiles GRCh38 r77.all.fa -sjdbGTFfile Homo sapiens.GRCh38.77. gtf -sjdbOverhang 149'; Sample Read Alignment: alignment of each sample's reads was performed with the following arguments: "STAR -runThreadN 24 -genomeDir /STAR INDEX HG38 - outFileNamePrefix /STAR/SampleName -outSAMtype BAM SortedByCoordinate -outWigType bedGraph -quantMode TranscriptomeSAM GeneCounts - readFilesCommand zcat -readFilesIn Sample-R1.fastq.gz Sample-R2.fastq.gz." Differential expression (DE) analysis was performed with edgeR software in R Studio. Gene count files were combined into a single file and compared to the respective isogenic cell state. DE gene lists from pairwise comparisons were exported into.csv files and utilized for GO term analysis using DAVID. Volcano plots were generated using ggplot2 software in R studio. Heatmaps were generated using pheatmap software in R studio. DEG interaction STRING maps were generated using the STRING-database (STRING-db).

Cloning of sgRNA

For the cloning of PPP2R5D sgRNA, 50 base pair sequences were selected to target the E198K (AAA) variant in exon 5. Six sgRNA in total were designed, with the A-C mismatch falling in position 30, 34, 35, 36, 38, or 40. sgRNA were cloned into the PspdCas13b crRNA expression vector (Addgene plasmid #103854) following the previously described protocol.²⁷ PPP2R5D sgRNA of varying length (50-250 base pairs) and fixed A-C mismatch positions as well as PPP2R5D sgRNA 190 version 2 with variable A-C mismatch positions were cloned as described above. sgRNA targeting PPIB²⁷ were cloned as described and used as controls for RNA editing. Sanger sequencing (Genewiz, Inc.) and SnapGene software (from GSL Biotech; available at snapgene.com) were used to confirm sgRNA cloning. Four available PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs were screened: PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q) (Addgene plasmid #103849), PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q)-delta-984-1090 (Addgene plasmid #103 869), PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/ T375G) (Addgene plasmid #103 870), and PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 (Addgene plasmid #103 871).

Transfections experiments

E198K NSCs were plated at a density of 100 000 cells/cm² and grown on poly-L-ornithine (PLO) and laminin-coated plates in Neurobasal Media (Life Tech #21103049) supplemented with GlutaMAX Supplement (100X) (Life Tech #35050061), MEM non-essential amino acids solution (100X) (Life Tech #11140050), Pen/Strep, Gibco N-2 Supplement (100X) (Life Tech # 17502048), 20 ng/mL recombinant human FGF basic/ FGF2/bFGF (R&D Systems #233-FB-025), 20 ng/mL human EGF recombinant (R&D Systems #236EG200), serum-free B-27 supplement (50X) (Life Tech #17504044), 10 mg/ mL insulin solution human recombinant (Sigma Aldrich #SIAL-I9278-5ML) and D-glucose (Life Tech #A2494001). NSC lines were supplemented with Y-27632 RHO/ROCK pathway inhibitor (STEMCELL Technologies #72304) for 24 hours before a complete media change. 24 hours postseeding, NSCs were transfected with 1 µg plasmid DNA and lipofectamine stem (Life Technologies) following the manufacturer's guidelines. HEK 293T cells were grown to 80% confluency in DMEM high glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), and 1% L-glutamine. Medium was replaced 24 hours post-transfections and 48 hours post-transfection cells were washed with PBS and lysed using TriZol Reagent. Experiments were performed in biological triplicate.

Amplicon sequencing

To determine the relative read number of healthy and mutant transcripts or percent adenosine editing, RNA extractions were performed on not-treated and treated samples with the Direct-zol RNA Miniprep kit (Zymo Research) and subsequently used for reverse transcription with RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification was performed on cDNA with amplicon primers containing a 5' barcode sequence of 5 base pairs. Following the PCR reaction, a PCR cleanup was performed and equal concentrations of samples were pooled for Illumina sequencing by the CCIB MGH DNA Core. FASTQ files were analyzed for the number of reads reflecting the wild-type exon 5 (GAA) and mutant exon 5 (AAA) sequences. Percent

editing of treated groups was calculated as the number of mutant transcripts over wild-type transcripts and normalized to not-treated.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed in Prism 8 (GraphPad Software) and represented as the mean ± SD. Molecular assessments were performed in biological triplicates for qPCR experiments. Cellular assessments on morphology were performed across 3 independent passages with biological groups of 3-6 (NeuroCult) or groups of 12 (STEMdiff Midbrain) and microscopy images are depicted as a representative of the cell culture. Genome-wide assessments were performed in at least duplicates as noted. Between-group differences were analyzed using a One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistical differences between the means of the 2 groups were determined using an independent samples *t*-test. The *P* value cutoff for all targeted analyses was set at .05 for all analyses. The null hypothesis was rejected for tests with FDR < 5%. Statistical analyses of differentially expressed genes were performed using DESeq2 in R Studio 3.6.0. The null hypothesis was rejected for tests with FDR < 1%.

Results

Generation of patient-derived E198K iPSC and isogenic control iPSC lines

Fibroblasts from a patient harboring a de novo heterozygous missense mutation in PPP2R5D (E198K) were reprogrammed to iPSCs using Sendai virus and genomic stability was confirmed with karvotyping analysis. The E198K variant was corrected with CRISPR/Cas9 to create an isogenic control iPSC line (Figure 1A). Sanger sequencing confirmed the heterozygous mutation at the E198K site in the patient-derived line and the correction of the heterozygous mutation in the isogenic line (Figure 1B). The isogenic and E198K iPSC lines were positive for pluripotent markers such as NANOG, SSEA4, and PODXL and developed uniform pluripotent colonies with defined borders (Figure 1C). We did not observe differences in gene expression for pluripotency genes OCT4, KLF4, and SOX2 but identified a higher level of cMYC expression (P = .0005) in the E198K iPSC line relative to the isogenic control (Figure 1D). Elevated *cMYC* expression was also observed in E198K and E420K iPSCs where the PPP2R5D mutation was introduced into a healthy iPSC parental line. suggesting cMYC dysregulation may be distinct to 2 clinically severe genotypes of Jordan syndrome (Figure 1E). An allele-specific quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) for wild-type and mutant PPP2R5D confirmed expression of the mutant allele in the E198K iPSC line (P = .0021) but not the isogenic iPSC line (P = .0007) (Figure 1F). In order to determine if the E198K variant affects PPP2R5D at the protein level, we confirmed the presence of B568 in the E198K and isogenic lines (Figure 1G).

Neural stem cell marker expression and proliferation is reduced in Jordan syndrome E198K iPSCs induced into a neural fate

iPSC lines were differentiated into a neural lineage over a 12-day-period by generating embryoid bodies and subsequently neural rosettes (Figure 2A). Isogenic and E198K NSCs were positive for NESTIN, VIMENTIN, and PAX6,

confirming a neural stem cell fate (Figure 2B). We then assessed the expression of early neural fate genes such as SOX2, NESTIN, PAX6, and ASCL1 with qPCR. Here, we observed higher expression of stem and progenitor markers such as SOX2 (P = .0456) and NESTIN (P = .0049) in the isogenic line. ASCL1, a master regulator for neurogenesis was significantly higher in the E198K NSCs (P = .0003) (Figure 2C). Allele-specific qPCRs in the NSCs confirmed no difference in wild-type PPP2R5D expression between the isogenic and E198K NSC lines. Mutant PPP2R5D expression was higher in the E198K NSC line (P = .0064) compared to the isogenic line (Figure 2D). Western blot with NSC lysate confirmed B568 presence in E198K and isogenic lines (Figure 2E). Interestingly, E198K NSCs displayed a reduction in proliferation compared to isogenic NSCs (P = .0352) (Figure 2F). A reduction in proliferative abilities paired with reduced neural stem cell marker expression and higher pro-neuronal expression suggests the E198K mutation may disrupt stem cell differentiation and proliferation, thus contributing to dysregulation in neurogenesis.

E198K midbrain neurons are more abundant and complex than isogenic midbrain neurons

To investigate the underlying mechanisms contributing to EOPD phenotypes and to determine if neurogenesis is altered in specific neuronal subtypes, we differentiated NSCs into midbrain NSCs and midbrain neurons (Figure 3A). B568 was detected in midbrain NSCs as well as tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), the rate-limiting enzyme involved in dopamine synthesis. Neither B568 nor TH protein levels were different between isogenic and E198K midbrain NSCs (Supplementary Figure S1). Midbrain neurons were positive for TUJ1 and MAP2 and expressed midbrain markers, DARPP32 and TH (Figure 3B-D). Morphological analysis revealed a higher number of E198K neurons based on neuronal marker expression and morphology (P < .0001). The E198K midbrain neurons also displayed significantly more neurites and branchpoints as well as an increase neurite length (P < .0001) (Figure 3E). B568 was detected in midbrain neurons; however, no differences in protein levels were observed between E198K and isogenic neurons (Figure 3F). NSCs were also differentiated into neurons of a cortical fate over 23 days to understand if the E198K variant impacts neurogenesis across different neuronal subtypes and to represent a brain region associated with ID (Supplementary Figure S2). Isogenic and E198K neurons formed interconnected networks that were positive for TUJ1 and MAP2. A higher number of E198K neurons (P < .0001) but no difference in the number of neurites, neurite length, or number of branchpoints was observed. These findings may suggest a dysregulated neuronal differentiation phenotype that can impact neuronal morphology in specific neuronal subtypes.

Transcriptome-wide changes observed across disease context and cell state

To develop a better understanding of the molecular consequence of mutant *PPP2R5D* pathology, RNA sequencing was performed across the 4 cell states of our disease model (iPSC, NSC, midbrain NSC, and midbrain neuron). A total of 693 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were observed between isogenic and E198K iPSCs (456 downregulated and 237 upregulated). Pairwise comparisons between the isogenic and E198K cell state revealed 5836 DEGs in the NSCs (3584



Figure 1. Generation of patient-derived E198K and isogenic iPSC lines. (A) Graphic of E198K fibroblast line reprogrammed to iPSCs to create E198K iPSC line and CRISPR/Cas9 editing of E198K variant to create isogenic line. (B) Sanger sequencing of *PPP2R5D* exon 5 confirms heterozygous guanosine and adenosine peaks in E198K line (left) and correction in the isogenic line (right). (C) Representative 10× images of isogenic and E198K iPSCs positive for pluripotent markers. Scale bar represents 100 μ M. (D) Relative expression of canonical pluripotent genes in isogenic and E198K iPSCs determined by RT-qPCR. *** Significantly different from isogenic iPSCs, 2-way ANOVA *P* < .05. (E) Relative expression of *cMYC* in *PPP2R5D* allele iPSC series determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from healthy PGP iPSCs, *n* = 3 biological replicates (dot) per line, one-way ANOVA *P* = .0048. (F) Wild-type and mutant PPP2R5D in isogenic and E198K iPSCs determined by RT-qPCR. ***Significantly different from isogenic PPP2R5D, *t* test *P* = .0021. (G) Western blot of PPP2R5D (B56 δ) in isogenic and E198K iPSCs with Histone 3 (H3) housekeeping.

downregulated and 2252 upregulated), 4450 DEGs in the midbrain NSCs (1989 downregulated and 2461 upregulated), and 3583 DEGs in midbrain neurons (1706 downregulated and 1877 upregulated) (Figure 4A). A fold-change cutoff of 0.5 was applied for subsequent analysis with the RNA sequencing data. The midbrain NSC cell state had the greatest number of DEGs (1220 downregulated and 1100 upregulated) based on

a fold-change cutoff 0.5, suggesting that mutant *PPP2R5D* has a broad effect on the transcriptome during early midbrain development in vitro.

An overlay of genes up or downregulated in the isogenic vs E198K line revealed 31 upregulated and 7 downregulated DEGs shared across the 4 cell states. In addition, there are DEGs between the variant and isogenic line that are unique



Figure 2. Neural stem cell marker expression and proliferation is reduced in Jordan syndrome E198K iPSCs induced into a neural fate. (A) Graphic of iPSC induction to a neural stem cell fate. (B) Representative 20× images of isogenic and E198K NSCs positive for neural stem cell markers. Scale bar represents 100 μ M. (C) Relative expression of neural cell fate genes in isogenic and E198K iPSCs determined by RT-qPCR. *Significantly different from isogenic NSCs, 2-way ANOVA *P* < .05. (D) Wild-type and mutant PPP2R5D in isogenic and E198K NSCs determined by RT-qPCR with allele-specific primers. **Significantly different from isogenic PPP2R5D, *t* test *P* = .0064. (E) Western blot of PPP2R5D (B56 δ) in isogenic and E198K NSCs with Histone 3 (H3) housekeeping. (F) Proliferation analysis on isogenic and E198K NSCs (*n* = 22 biological replicates (dots) isogenic and *n* = 20 biological replicates (dots) per line. *Significantly different from isogenic neurons, *n* = 3 independent experiments, *t*-test *P* = .0352.

to a given cell state in this disease model (Figure 4B and C). GO term analysis showed pathways associated with SMADprotein phosphorylation and ion transport were enriched in NSCs and midbrain NSCs. As E198K cells progress through NSCs, midbrain NSCs, and midbrain neuronal stages, downregulation of genes associated with anterior/posterior pattern specification is observed compared to isogenic cells (Figure 4D). These findings and downregulated Wnt and BMP signaling pathways in E198K midbrain NSCs suggest molecular networks associated with neurogenesis, proliferation, and cell-fate commitment are impacted by mutations in PPP2R5D. GO term analysis also revealed an upregulation of genes associated with neuronal pathways important for neuronal firing such as postsynaptic membrane potential, regulation of AMPA receptor activity, potassium and sodium transport, calcium-dependent exocytosis, and membrane repolarization

in E198K midbrain neurons. In addition, 14 genes associated with the regulation of dopamine secretion were significantly upregulated in E198K midbrain neurons. Among these genes were the synaptotagmin family (SYT1, SYT17, SYT5, SYT11, SYT4, SYT6, and SYT9), receptors involved in neurotransmission (CHRNA4, HTR2A, HTR1B, DRD2, CHRNB2, GRM2) and potassium transport (KCNA2). We confirmed the association of these genes in the midbrain neurons by using the STRING database and demonstrated that 11/14 of these genes upregulated in midbrain neurons are known to interact in the regulation of dopamine secretion (Figure 4E). GO term analysis for genes downregulated in the iPSC cell state was not statistically significant as a total of 92 genes were differentially expressed following a fold-change cutoff of 0.5, suggesting an inefficient number of genes to categorize by biological process.



Figure 3. E198K midbrain neurons are more abundant and complex than isogenic midbrain neurons. (A) Graphic of timeline to create midbrain NSCs and midbrain neurons. (B-D) Representative $20 \times$ images of isogenic and E198K neurons positive for neuronal markers and midbrain markers. Scale bar represents 100 μ M. (E) Neuronal morphology analysis on isogenic and E198K neurons at day 13 (n = 36 biological replicates (dots) per line over 3 independent experiments. ****Significantly different from isogenic midbrain neurons, n = 3 independent experiments, *t*-test P < .05. (F) Western blot of PPP2R5D in midbrain neurons.

Hierarchical clustering based on the expression of canonical cell state genes showed that both isogenic and E198K cells were able to differentiate into appropriate cell states, suggesting that the E198K variant did not impair differentiation ability (Figure 5A). While no difference in TH protein was detected in isogenic and E198K midbrain NSCs (Supplementary Figure S2), E198K midbrain neurons display higher expression of TH compared to isogenic midbrain neurons (P < .0001) (Figure 5C). To understand the impact of the E198K variant on the PP2A holoenzyme components,



Figure 4. Transcriptome-wide changes across disease context and cell state. (A) Volcano plot of FDR adjusted *P* value vs fold change for differential DESeq2 expression analysis between the isogenic and E198K in iPSC, NSC, midbrain NSCs (MNSC), and midbrain neurons (MN). Differentially expressed genes are highlighted in blue (downregulated) or red (upregulated) based on FDR < 1%, log fold change > 0.5. (B-C) Venn diagram showing the overlap of differentially expressed genes between the iPSC, NSC, MNSC, and MN cell states. (D) Top biological processes upregulated or downregulated in isogenic or E198K iPSCs, NSCs, mNSC, or MN based on FDR adjusted *P* values are shown (E) STRING analysis of genes associated with the regulation of dopamine secretion in MN.



Figure 5. Markers of cell state expression and PP2A Components. (A-B) Heatmap of genes associated with cell state and the PP2A heterotrimeric complex. (C-H) Relative expression based on the number of reads per gene normalized to actin of (C) TH **** significantly different from isogenic midbrain neurons, one-way ANOVA P < .0001. (D) PPP2R1A **** Significantly different from isogenic NSCs, one-way ANOVA P < .0001 and different from isogenic midbrain neuron P = .0035. (E) PPP2R1B **significantly different from isogenic iPSC, one-way ANOVA P < .0001 and different from isogenic midbrain neuron P < .001. (F) PPP2CA *significantly different from isogenic NSCs, one-way ANOVA P < .0038 and different from isogenic NSCs, one-way ANOVA P < .001. (H) PPP2CB *significantly different from isogenic nidbrain neuron P < .001. (H) PPP2CB *significantly different from isogenic midbrain NSC P = .0023.

hierarchical clustering across the various cell states was conducted (Figure 5B). The PP2A holoenzyme contains 3 subunits that display tissue-specific expression patterns. The expression pattern of genes associated with the A subunit (*PP2R1A* and *PPP2R1B*) was higher in midbrain neurons (P = .0035 and P < .001) (Figure 5D-E). Of the catalytic C subunit genes (*PPP2CA* and *PPP2CB*), *PPP2CA* expression was reduced in E198K NSCs (P = .0110) (Figure 5F-G). While 9 of the B subunits are known to display expression in the brain, we observed a reduction in *PPP2R5D* expression specifically in E198K NSCs (P < .0001) and midbrain NSCs (P = .0023) (Figure 5H). In the midbrain neurons, the expression of *PPP2R5D* does not change suggesting dysregulation could be due to a change in *PPP2R5D* or PP2A-B568 function and not changes in *PPP2R5D* expression (Figure 5H).

Length adjustments of dsRNA substrate and A-C mismatch position improves A > G editing of the E198K site

To validate the dCas13b-ADAR2DD as a site-directed RNA editor (SDRE) of endogenous nucleotides in a patient-derived model, we designed PspdCas13b sgRNA of 50nt in length to correct the E198K pathogenic adenosine nucleotide with a cytosine nucleotide opposing the target adenosine nucleotide (A-C mismatch).²⁷ E198K sgRNA was screened via transfection in the patient-derived E198K NSC line. Amplicon sequencing revealed no change in the relative number of mutant or wild-type PPP2R5D transcripts compared to nottreated NSCs, suggesting a 50nt sgRNA with variable A-C mismatch positions is not sufficient to edit the E198K variant (Figure 6A). Since ADAR2 requires a double-stranded RNA substrate (dsRNA) for A-to-I conversion, a 50nt sgRNA could be an inefficient length to create a dsRNA substrate for ADAR2 editing. We observed 6.48% (P = .0009) editing of the PPIB site in the E198K NSCs with a published control sgRNA (Figure 6B). To better understand the transcriptspecific constraints and to increase editing efficiency with a CRISPR RNA editor, we screened 4 published PspdCas13-ADAR2DD plasmid constructs at the PPIB site in HEK 293T cells (Supplementary Figure S3).²⁷ The originally developed PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q) construct achieved 15.79% editing (P < .0001), while the *Pspd*Cas13b truncated version (PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q)-delta-984-1090) achieved 8.28% editing (P < .0001) and the redesigned higher specificity PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G) achieved 1.24% editing (P < .0046) when compared to not-treated E198K NSCs. Interestingly, the AAV-optimized PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 did not significantly edit the endogenous PPIB site in HEK293T cells (Supplementary Figure S3). The same screen in the E198K NSCs revealed a 0.73% (*P* < .0001) and 1.37% editing (P < .0001) at the PPIB site by the PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q) and PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q)delta-984-1090, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3). Together, these findings show that endogenous editing is influenced by cell type and percent editing is variable across published *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs.

Next, we performed a *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD construct screen with longer sgRNA targeting the *PPP2R5D* transcript. Rather than design sgRNA with a fixed length and variable A-C mismatches, we sought to determine the optimal dsRNA substrate length for *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD editing of the target transcript. Here, the A-C mismatch was positioned directly in the center of the sgRNA with the sgRNA varying from 50nt to 250nt in length. To better understand the editing efficiency of longer sgRNA with each PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD construct we assessed the percent editing compared to not-treated E198K NSCs, sgRNA of 190nt in length paired with the PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q) or PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 edited the E198K site at 4.73% (P < .0001) or 4.75% (P < .0011), respectively (Figure 6C and D). sgRNA of 230nt in length and PstdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488O) edited at 5.30% (P < .0001), while the same sgRNA with PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 edited at 3.77% (P < .0332) (Figure 6C and 6F). E198K NSCs transfected with any sgRNA length PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q)delta-984-1090 or dPspCa13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G) did not achieve significant editing of the pathogenic adenosine nucleotide (Figure 6E and F). These findings suggest longer sgRNA creates an optimal dsRNA substrate for ADAR2DD to facilitate adenosine-to-inosine editing of the E198K site.

To understand how editing efficiency is influenced by the A-C mismatch position we redesigned sgRNA of 190nt in length by placing the A-C mismatch 5nt or 10nt in the 3' or 5' direction of the original position. The original sgRNA of 190nt with an A-C mismatch at position 95 across all constructs achieved the greatest percent editing of mutant transcripts at a frequency of at least 4.9% A-to-I editing (Figure 6G-I). These findings confirm that an A-C mismatch in the center of a sgRNA of 190nt in length as the required design in targeting the endogenous E198K variant in patient-derived NSCs with CRISPR RNA editors. To evaluate the CRISPR RNA editing approach for the molecular rescue of the top 3 DEGs (RWDD28, ZNF717, and CTSF) between the isogenic and E198K NSCs, we analyzed the E198K NSCs transfected with the PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs and sgRNA 190 (Figure 7A-C). These constructs were unable to reduce RWDD28, ZNF717, or CTSF expression comparable to the isogenic NSCs, suggesting that 10.8% editing of the E198K site does not presently lead to transcriptomic rescue.

Discussion

The occurrence of NDD or EOPD in individuals with PPP2R5D variants suggests a critical role in brain development and health. Indeed, PPP2R5D is a brain-enriched regulatory subunit of PP2A and many of the PP2A-B568 substrates are important in neurons.¹³⁻¹⁵ Previously, there were no human neuronal disease models to understand PPP2R5D as an NDD risk gene. Here, we develop and characterize a patient-derived neuronal model to advance knowledge of the underlying molecular and cellular mechanisms. We used a cellular reprogramming approach to create iPSCs from patient-derived fibroblasts harboring the most common PPP2R5D variant. We used these iPSC to further develop our in vitro model by subsequently completing differentiations to NSCs and then neurons. Our approach included iPSCs, NSCs and neurons which provided the opportunity to identify disease phenotypes in multiple cell states reflected during neurodevelopment.

The screening of pluripotent markers revealed higher cMYC expression in the E198K iPSCs which was further supported in additional *PPP2R5D* iPSC lines. cMYC regulation is important for normal cell growth and proliferation. Thus, the



Figure 6. 50nt PspdCas13b sgRNA with Tiled A-C Mismatch Do Not Edit the Endogenous E198K site in patient-derived neural stem cells. (A) Amplicon sequencing results of the relative read number of wild-type (GAA) and E198K (AAA) reads in E198K NSCs transfected with sgRNA and dPspCas13b--ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 plasmid construct. (B) Amplicon sequencing results of E198K NSCs transfected with PPIB sgRNA and dPspCas13b--ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 plasmid construct. Percent editing was determined as the number of edited transcript reads over not edited transcripts in the treated group and normalized to not-treated E198K NSCs. *significantly different from not treated E198K NSCs, t-test *P* < .05. (C-F) Amplicon sequencing results of percent editing of the E198K site in E198K NSCs following screen with sgRNA of increasing length and PspCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs. Percent editing was determined as the number of edited transcripts in treated



Figure 7. Top DEGs are not rescued by PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs and sgRNA 190. Relative expression of the 3 top DEGs between the isogenic and E198K NSC cell states in isogenic, not-treated E198K NSCs, E198K NSCs treated with *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q), *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q)-delta-984-1090, *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G), or *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090. (A) *RWDD28* relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (C) CTSF relative expression determined by RT-qPCR. * Significantly different from total isogenic, one-way ANOVA P < .05.

observation of reduced proliferation in E198K NSCs is interesting. Previous groups have described the importance of PP2A-B568 regulation of cMYC via GSK3B.28-30 cMYC binds and drives transcription of PPP2R5D which enables PP2A-B568 to dephosphorylate GSK3ß ser-9 allowing for negative regulation of cMYC by ubiquitination and degradation.²⁸ Dysregulation in the metabolic AKT-mTOR signaling pathways has been shown to impact cell growth in a HEK 293T PPP2R5D model.¹⁷ To date, there are no known PP2A-B568 substrates involved in neurogenesis; however, altered neurogenesis and neuronal maturation are linked to many NDD.³⁰ The BMP signaling pathway facilitates stem cell differentiation and proliferation. From a transcriptomic standpoint, the downregulation of genes associated with BMP signaling pathways in our disease model could provide a potential mechanism contributing to reduced proliferation despite increased neurons in a disease state. Future work will need to account for how changes in gene expression influence pathways at large in the E198K disease model by incorporating global proteomic and phosphoproteomic analysis. These

studies will also be useful to capture phosphatase and kinase dysregulation across cell states in neurodevelopment.

The occurrence of EOPD phenotypes in individuals with PPP2R5D variants is interesting as it is unclear if dysregulation in the dopamine circuit arises in neurodevelopment or early adulthood. We created an in vitro neurodevelopmental model with midbrain NSCs and differentiated to midbrain neurons to better understand PPP2R5D-related dopamine dysregulation at a molecular and cellular level. Our work shows successful differentiation to a population of midbrain neurons that express key markers of the midbrain cell-fate and display differences in neuronal complexity between isogenic controls and the E198K cell states. Other groups have reported increased neurites and dendritic branching in alphasynuclein models of Parkinson's Disease (PD).^{31,32} A hallmark of PD pathology is a reduction in dopamine release into the striatum following a loss of neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta.³³ Our findings suggest EOPD phenotypes associated with PPP2R5D dysregulation do not result from neuronal loss since a higher number of E198K midbrain

group and normalized to not-treated E198K. * ** and **** significantly different from respective construct with no gRNA control, one-way ANOVA P < .05. (G—J) Amplicon sequencing results of percent editing of the E198K site in E198K NSCS following screen with sgRNA 190 and variable A-C mismatch position and PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD constructs. Percent editing was determined as the number of edited transcript reads over not edited transcripts in treated group and normalized to not-treated E198K. * ** and **** significantly different from respective construct with no gRNA control, one-way ANOVA P < .05.

neurons was observed following a 9-day maturation. Future work will need to model disease progression in vitro by extending the maturation time in vitro. Vesicular-mediated transport along the axon to the synapse is also implicated in PD models⁶⁹. Here, we observe differentially expressed genes in the midbrain neurons which are involved in ion transport and calcium-dependent vesicular release. While we have yet to determine if these midbrain neurons are functionally active, secrete, or uptake dopamine, no change in TH protein suggests that the dopamine synthesis pathway is not impacted by the E198K variant. Thus, our in vitro model can be used as a tool to decipher *PPP2R5D*-related molecular and morphological dysregulation during midbrain development.

The consequence of PPP2R5D variants across neurodevelopment was previously unknown which led us to apply a corrective approach rather than reducing mutant PPP2R5D or upregulating healthy PPP2R5D. Here, we evaluate a novel strategy for editing endogenous pathogenic nucleotides at the transcript level. In this system, an sgRNA complementary to the mutant E198K transcript sequence forms a dsRNA substrate to enable PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD binding and deaminase activity. While the 4 PspdCas13-ADAR2DD plasmid constructs were developed to allow for on-target editing with minimal off-target edits and future AAV platforms, these findings support optimization of sgRNA design and RNA editing constructs to edit endogenous sites. We show the importance of *PspdCas13b* sgRNA design through a combination of length and A-C mismatch position adjustments in targeting the mutant PPP2R5D transcript. The initial sgRNA of 50nt in length with variable A-C mismatch positions did not edit the endogenous E198K site in E198K NSCs, despite this sgRNA length and an A-C mismatch in positions 32-36 promoting endogenous PPIB editing in HEK293T cells and E198K NSCs. However, we also found 50nt sgRNA with the A-C mismatch in the 25nt position unable to edit the E198K site. These findings confirm the presence of transcript-specific constraints that dictate sgRNA and PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD editing efficiency. While we observe as high as 10.8% editing with sgRNA 190 or 5.3% with sgRNA 230, it is likely that a transient transfection approach hinders us from capturing maximum editing of the E198K site. NDDs arising from heterozygous dominant negative mutations as described here, will likely require a high amount of correction to rescue molecular and cellular dysregulation. Future work will need to account for sustained editing with stable cell lines, selection tags, or a lentivirus-based platform to maximize editing efficiency and enable the selection of cells treated with CRISPR RNA editors.

The adjustments to gRNA length were supported by previous findings that ADAR-recruiting RNA (arRNAs) of 111nt recruited endogenous ADAR2 to edit pathogenic COL3A1, BMPR2, HI1, FANCC, MYBPC3, and IL2RG in HEK 293T cells, PPIB in primary T cells and IDUA in patient-derived fibroblasts.³⁴ Here, we demonstrate that editing the E198K site with the CRISPR RNA editing approach requires PspdCas13b sgRNA of 190nt, suggesting each transcript and editing approach may have varying sgRNA design requirements. Importantly, we find that placing the A-C mismatch in the center of sgRNA of 190nt resulted in the highest A-to-I conversion and increase in healthy transcripts. In this study, we also evaluated four PspdCas13-ADAR2DD plasmid constructs. The AAV-optimized PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD(E488Q/T375G)-delta-984-1090 construct

contains truncated *Psp*dCas13b and an ADAR2DD mutant to enable higher on-target specificity with a reduction in overall on-target and off-target editing. Interestingly, we find that sgRNA of 190nt with an A-C mismatch in position 95 has comparable editing between the original and AAV-optimized ADAR2DD constructs which will be advantageous in the future when translating CRISPR RNA editors in diseaserelevant mouse models.

While the longer sgRNA permitted editing, it is important to note that this creates a longer dsRNA substrate which may increase the likelihood of off-target editing due to the frequency of adenosine nucleotides within the sgRNA binding window or in transcripts with partial complementary to the sgRNA.³⁴⁻³⁶ In addition, the propensity for mutations in PPP2R5D would suggest that off-target edits would be a significant therapeutic hurdle. The balance between identifying a system that promotes high on-target editing and moderate-low off-target editing is crucial to prevent additional mutations that alter protein function and signal cascades. An advantage to the CRISPR RNA approach is the flexibility with which sgRNA can be modified to minimize off-target editing. Including an A-G mismatch opposing highly edited off-target sites within the sgRNA binding window has been successfully employed with ADAR recruiting RNAs (arRNAs) in RTT primary hippocampal neurons to edit the R106Q variant.²⁰ However, how one or multiple A-G mismatches impact sgRNA binding for endogenous editing with PspdCas13b-ADAR2DD has not been explored.

Here, we developed a stem-cell-based disease model showing a molecular and morphological basis for *PPP2R5D*related EOPD in cells of a midbrain fate. This work identified pathways that are critical for healthy brain development and can be used as biomarkers in subsequent studies. The present work also demonstrated that the novel CRISPR/*Psp*dCas13b fused to the ADAR2DD can be employed for RNA editing in a patient-derived stem cell model and thus allowed for sgRNA screening in a line that harbors the endogenous pathogenic nucleotide. Through sgRNA and *Psp*dCas13b-ADAR2DD screening, we identified *PPP2R5D* transcript parameters that were important for RNA editing at the E198K site.

Acknowledgments

This project was supported by the UC Davis Stem Cell Core for generation of the E198K iPSC line and maintaining the isogenic iPSC line. The CRISPR sequencing service was provided by the Center for Computational and Integrative Biology (CCIB) at Massachusetts General Hospital for the use of the CCIB DNA Core Facility. Graphics in this manuscript were created with Biorender.com

Author contributions

Jasmine L. Carter and Kyle D. Fink conceived the project and designed the research. JLC and KDF prepared the manuscript with contributions from Julian A.N.M. Halmai, Jennifer J. Waldo, Paula A. Vij, Maribel Anguiano, Isaac J. Villegas, YD and Jan Nolta. Julian A.N.M. Halmai analyzed RNA sequencing data and assisted Jasmine L. Carter in data visualization. Jennifer J. Waldo and Isaac J. Villegas conducted western blot experiments and analysis. Jasmine L. Carter , Paula A. Vij, and Maribel Anguiano performed cell culture and differentiation experiments. Jasmine L. Carter and Maribel Anguiano cloned sgRNA for transfection experiments. Jasmine L. Carter conducted transfection experiments and amplicon sequencing analysis. YuXin Du performed cell culture, qPCR, and ICC experiments. Kyle D. Fink F supervised the project.

Funding

Support for this project was provided by Jordan's Guardian Angels, State of California 2018-2019 Budget; SB 840, State of California 2021-2022 Budget; SB 129 #44, NIMH T32 in Learning Memory and Plasticity (5T32MH112507-04).

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no competing interests.

Data availability

To review GEO accession GSE238213: Go to https://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE238213.

Enter token qnozakmifhevdsj into the box.

Supplementary material

Supplementary material is available at Stem Cells online.

References

- Marrus N, Hall L. Intellectual disability and language disorder. *Child Adolesc Psychiatr Clin N Am.* 2017;26:539-554. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2017.03.001
- Jamra R. Genetics of autosomal recessive intellectual disability. Medizinische Genetik : Mitteilungsblatt des Berufsverbandes Medizinische Genetik e.V. 2018;30:323-327. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11825-018-0209-z
- Järvelä I, Määttä T, Acharya A, et al. Exome sequencing reveals predominantly de novo variants in disorders with intellectual disability (ID) in the founder population of Finland. *Hum Genet*. 2021;140:1011-1029. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00439-021-02268-1
- Chen S, Fragoza R, Klei L, et al. An interactome perturbation framework prioritizes damaging missense mutations for developmental disorders. *Nat Genet*. 2018;50:1032-1040. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41588-018-0130-z
- Shang L, Henderson LB, Cho MT, et al. De novo missense variants in PPP2R5D are associated with intellectual disability, macrocephaly, hypotonia, and autism. *Neurogenetics*. 2016;17:43-49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10048-015-0466-9
- Houge G, Haesen D, Vissers LELM, et al. B56δ-related protein phosphatase 2A dysfunction identified in patients with intellectual disability. J Clin Invest. 2015;125:3051-3062. https://doi. org/10.1172/jci79860
- 7. Module HG, Gene E. 11 / 27 59 / 0. 2023. 2023.
- Loveday C, Tatton-Brown K, Clarke M, et al. Mutations in the PP2A regulatory subunit B family genes PPP2R5B, PPP2R5C and PPP2R5D cause human overgrowth. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2015;24:4775-4779. https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddv182
- Yan L, Shen R, Cao Z, et al. A novel missense variant in the gene PPP2R5D causes a rare neurodevelopmental disorder with increased phenotype. *Biomed Res Int*. 2021;2021:1-7. https://doi. org/10.1155/2021/6661860
- Kim CY, Wirth T, Hubsch C, et al. Early-onset parkinsonism is a manifestation of the PPP2R5D p.E200K mutation. *Ann Neurol.* 2020;88:1028-1033. https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25863

- Ning P, Li K, Ren H, et al. Rare missense variants in the PPP2R5D gene associated with Parkinson's disease in the Han Chinese population. *Neurosci Lett.* 2022;776:136564. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.neulet.2022.136564
- 12. Hetzelt KLML, et al. Early-onset parkinsonism in PPP2R5Drelated neurodevelopmental disorder. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2021;64:2020-2022.
- Ahn JH, Kim Y, Kim HS, Greengard P, Nairn AC. Protein kinase C-dependent dephosphorylation of tyrosine hydroxylase requires the B56δ heterotrimeric form of protein phosphatase 2A. PLoS One. 2011;6:e26292. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0026292
- 14. Yu UY, Yoo BC, Ahn JH. Regulatory B subunits of protein phosphatase 2A are involved in site-specific regulation of tau protein phosphorylation. *Korean J Physiol Pharmacol.* 2014;18:155-161. https://doi.org/10.4196/kjpp.2014.18.2.155
- Ahn JH, McAvoy T, Rakhilin SV, et al. Protein kinase A activates protein phosphatase 2A by phosphorylation of the B56ô subunit. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2007;104:2979-2984. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.0611532104
- Sandal P, Jong CJ, Merrill RA, Song J, Strack S. Protein phosphatase 2A—structure, function and role in neurodevelopmental disorders. *J Cell Sci*. 2021;134:jcs248187. https://doi.org/10.1242/ jcs.248187
- Papke CM, Smolen KA, Swingle MR, et al. A disorder-related variant (E420K) of a PP2A-regulatory subunit (PPP2R5D) causes constitutively active AKT-mTOR signaling and uncoordinated cell growth. *J Biol Chem.* 2021;296:100313. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jbc.2021.100313
- Fisher AJ, Beal PA. Structural basis for eukaryotic mRNA modification. *Curr Opin Struct Biol.* 2018;53:59-68. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.sbi.2018.05.003
- Wang Y, Zheng Y, Beal PA. Adenosine deaminases that act on RNA (ADARs). *Enzymes*. 2017;41:215. https://doi.org/10.1016/ bs.enz.2017.03.006
- Sinnamon JR, Kim SY, Corson GM, et al. Site-directed RNA repair of endogenous Mecp2 RNA in neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114:E9395-E9402. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1715320114
- Kuttan A, Bass BL. Mechanistic insights into editing-site specificity of ADARs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2012;109:E3295-E3304. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1212548109
- Fry LE, Peddle CF, Barnard AR, McClements ME, MacLaren RE. RNA editing as a therapeutic approach for retinal gene therapy requiring long coding sequences. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2020;21:777. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21030777
- Cox DBT, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, et al. RNA editing with CRISPR-Cas13. Science (80-.). 2017;358:1019-1027. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aaq0180
- Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. *Clin Chem.* 2009;55:611-622. https://doi. org/10.1373/clinchem.2008.112797
- Picardi E, Horner DS, Pesole G. Single-cell transcriptomics reveals specific RNA editing signatures in the human brain. RNA. 2017;23:860-865. https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.058271.116
- Inak G, Rybak-Wolf A, Lisowski P, et al. Defective metabolic programming impairs early neuronal morphogenesis in neural cultures and an organoid model of Leigh syndrome. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12:1929. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22117-z
- Cox DBT, Gootenberg JS, Abudayyeh OO, et al. RNA editing with CRISPR-Cas13. *Science (80-.)*. 2017;358:1019-1027. https://doi. org/10.1126/science.aaq0180
- Liu L, Eisenman RN. Regulation of c-Myc protein abundance by a protein phosphatase 2A--glycogen synthase kinase 3β-negative feedback pathway. *Genes and Cancer* 2012;3:23. https://doi. org/10.1177/1947601912448067
- 29. Pippa R, Odero MD. The role of MYC and PP2A in the initiation and progression of myeloid leukemias. *Cells* 2020;9:544. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cells9030544

- Lambrecht C, Libbrecht L, Sagaert X, et al. Loss of protein phosphatase 2A regulatory subunit B56δ promotes spontaneous tumorigenesis in vivo. Oncogene. 2018;37:544-552. https://doi. org/10.1038/onc.2017.350
- Shohat S, Ben-David E, Shifman S. Varying intolerance of gene pathways to mutational classes explain genetic convergence across neuropsychiatric disorders. *Cell Rep.* 2017;18:2217-2227. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017. 02.007
- 32. Koch JC, Bitow F, Haack J, et al. Alpha-Synuclein affects neurite morphology, autophagy, vesicle transport and axonal degeneration in CNS neurons. *Cell Death Dis.* 2015;6:e1811-e1811. https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2015.169
- Liu G, Wang P, Li X, et al. Alpha-synuclein promotes early neurite outgrowth in cultured primary neurons. J Neural Transm. 2013;120:1331-1343. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00702-013-0999-8
- Damier P, Hirsch EC, Agid Y, Graybiel AM. The substantia nigra of the human brain: II. Patterns of loss of dopamine-containing neurons in Parkinson's disease. *Brain*. 1999;122 (Pt 8):1437-1448. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/122.8.1437
- Qu L, Yi Z, Zhu S, et al. Programmable RNA editing by recruiting endogenous ADAR using engineered RNAs. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2019;37:1059-1069. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0178-z
- Walkley CR, Li JB. Rewriting the transcriptome: adenosine-toinosine RNA editing by ADARs. *Genome Biol.* 2017;18:1. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1347-3