UC Irvine UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

Satellite Observations of Atmospheric Ammonia Inequalities Associated with Industrialized Swine Facilities in Eastern North Carolina.

Permalink https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4pk647hv

Journal Environmental Science & Technology, 59(5)

Authors

Epps, Akirah Dressel, Isabella Guo, Xuehui <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2025-02-11

DOI

10.1021/acs.est.4c11922

Peer reviewed



Article

Satellite Observations of Atmospheric Ammonia Inequalities Associated with Industrialized Swine Facilities in Eastern North Carolina

Akirah Epps,[#] Isabella M. Dressel,[#] Xuehui Guo, Maghogho Odanibe, Kimberly P. Fields, Ann Marie G. Carlton, Kang Sun, and Sally E. Pusede^{*}



ABSTRACT: Industrialized swine facilities adversely affect the health and well-being of Eastern North Carolina residents in the U.S. and are an issue of environmental racism. Concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) emit various harmful and noxious air pollutants, including ammonia (NH₃). There are limited measurements of CAFO-related air quality, contributing to disputes around its severity. We use NH₃ vertical column densities from the space-based Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) to report systematic, distributive inequalities in NH₃ column enhancements (Δ NH₃ columns), equal to NH₃ columns less an observationally determined tropospheric background. Population-weighted block group-scale Δ NH₃ columns are higher by 27 ± 3% for Black and African Americans, 35 ± 3% for Hispanics and Latinos, and 49 ± 3% for American Indians compared to non-Hispanic/Latino whites in Eastern North Carolina (April–August 2016–2021). Surface winds and air temperature influence block group-scale NH₃ distributions, with higher absolute NH₃ inequalities for all groups on calm days and for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos on hot days, consistent with effects from NH₃ volatization downfield of facilities from, e.g., manure-covered fields, particles, and other surfaces. Δ NH₃ columns correspond spatially with permitted swine facilities, with residents living multiple kilometers from swine CAFOs chronically exposed to elevated NH₃. Trends in NH₃ columns over 2008–2023 are driven by regional-scale atmospheric processes rather than localized NH₃ changes in CAFO emissions. Results are discussed in local decision-making contexts that have broad relevance for air quality issues without protective federal regulatory standards.

KEYWORDS: ammonia, environmental racism, concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs), satellite observations, infrared atmospheric sounding interferometer (IASI)

■ INTRODUCTION

Concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) are sources of air and water pollution and other nuisances in Eastern North Carolina in the U.S.^{1–3} Residents report noxious and nauseating odors, health and mood issues, and the violence of being misted with swine feces and urine by manure irrigation practices.^{2,4–8} Analyses of proximity to permitted facilities and atmospheric model simulations have demonstrated that CAFOs producing swine, other animals, and the related air pollutants are disproportionately located near the homes and schools of Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians.^{1,9–12} However, systematic distributive air pollution inequalities associated with CAFOs have not yet been shown observationally. Satellite measurements are spatially comprehensive and collected independently of state and local governments and influential industries,

Received:November 1, 2024Revised:January 10, 2025Accepted:January 14, 2025Published:January 29, 2025





providing empirical evidence of air quality impacts in locations where there are no air monitors and where residents' claims are contested, supporting accountability around exposure to CAFO-related air emissions.

CAFOs are industrial livestock facilities that confine over 1000 animal units (based on pounds of live weight), typically swine, dairy cows, beef cattle, or chickens. CAFOs are sources of numerous air pollutants, including ammonia (NH_3) , hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) , methane (CH_4) , volatile and semivolatile organic compounds, bioaerosols, and biological contaminants and allergens.^{3,13–18} These pollutants affect ecosystems, climate, and human health, and their complex mixtures potentially have additional interactive exposure impacts.^{19–22} CAFOs are characterized by strong odors that are life-altering for nearby residents.² In Eastern North Carolina, residents have described odors that are overpowering, causing gagging, nausea, and vomiting, permeating homes and clothing, forcing windows to remain closed, and limiting outdoor activity.^{3,8} People living close to CAFOs with swine have reported lowered immune function, higher levels of stress, anxiety, depression, and fatigue, and more frequent headaches, sore throats, runny noses, coughing, and irritated eyes, symptoms consistent with occupational exposures in swine confinement buildings.^{2,4–7,9,13} CAFOs can also affect residents financially. Across the U.S. and in North Carolina, CAFOs are associated with decreases in residential property values and regional economic growth rates because of reduced purchases in local stores and disruptions to community social and economic systems compared to farms and/or smaller operations.^{23–29}

CAFO-related air quality is largely unregulated in the U.S. The location of the subset of CAFOs that discharge into navigable waters is public record because they are permitted under the U.S. Clean Water Act through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES).³⁰ North Carolina is the third largest pork producing state in the U.S.³¹ In North Carolina, the Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ) issues permits to facilities with at least 250 pigs under the Swine General Permit,³² including those not covered by NPDES. Air and water quality issues are coupled, as a major source of pollution from CAFOs with swine is the standard use of open waste cesspits, called lagoons, and spray-based irrigation of this waste onto nearby fields referred to as sprayfields. Industrial practices of manure irrigation use sprinklers to deliver thousands of gallons of fluidized waste per hour to fields and adjacent lands. Although not allowed under the Swine General Permit, residents report that overspray from sprayfields deposits contaminants and waste particles onto their property and persons.⁸ Manure irrigation occurs more frequently in North Carolina than other U.S. states, as cesspits should be maintained near their minimum levels because of the potential for rainstorms.^{33,34} Volatile and semivolatile gases, including those with strong odors such as NH₃, are emitted to the atmosphere from the cesspits and manure sprayed fields by evaporation. NH₃ is produced when nitrogen compounds in swine wastes are microbially metabolized or abiotically hydrolyzed. Cesspits have a regularized shape and distinctive pink to brown color, which originates from the high organic matter content rather than any material covering, and have been identified using satellite images and machine-learning techniques.^{35,36}

While there are limited routine surface measurements of CAFO-related air quality,³⁷ NH₃ is observed from space by

various instruments,^{38–41} including the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI).^{42–45} Satellite-based total NH₃ vertical column densities combined with oversampling and other superresolution techniques have produced evidence of elevated NH₃ air pollution over individual CAFOs and quantitative estimates of NH3 emission rates, typically after rotating images to a common wind direction.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ Satellite NH₃ columns were evaluated using spatiotemporally coincident in situ aircraft profiles over agricultural regions in Central California⁴⁹ and Northeastern Colorado.⁵⁰ Guo et al.⁵⁰ reported that IASI columns and vertically integrated aircraft profiles within the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) exhibit a linear regression slope of 1.0 \pm 0.19 and correlation coefficient of 0.57 using the artificial neural network for IASI (ANNI) retrieval version 3 with meteorological inputs from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) Re-Analysis (ERA)-Interim. Such comparisons are made difficult by sampling issues that affect in situ NH₃ techniques, as NH₃ deposits and is later revolatized from the internal surfaces of instrumentation as a function of gas-phase and surface-adsorbed NH₃ concentrations and thermodynamic variables.^{49,50} These are the same physical processes of deposition and volatization that affect atmospheric NH_3 distributions.^{51–53} IASI NH_3 columns have also been evaluated against ground-based columns using Fourier-transform spectroscopy (FTS)⁵⁴⁻⁵⁶ and time-integrated surface measurements from the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Ammonia Monitoring Network,^{50,57} with satellite instruments, including IASI, capturing similar spatiotemporal trends as measured from the surface.

Quantitative analyses of distributive air pollution inequalities associated with CAFOs have relied on indirect approaches of aggregated residential proximities to permitted facilities^{1,10,58} and modeled air pollution concentrations.^{9,12} Satellite NH₃ measurements reflect spatiotemporal variability in CAFOrelated air quality impacts, and here we use IASI NH₃ columns to report the first observationally based NH₃ inequalities for Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians across Eastern North Carolina. We investigate variability in NH3 distributions with wind speed and air temperature, conditions that affect NH₃ emissions, mixing, and bidirectional surface exchange and over the full IASI record (2008–2023). We explore variations in distancedependent relationships between NH₃ columns and permitted swine facilities, producing empirical constraints on environmental controls over the spatial extent of CAFO-related air pollution exposures. IASI NH₃ columns are described in policy-relevant contexts, which for pollutants without federal National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), consist of state and local regulations and practices and court judgments and settlements. In particular, we focus on outcomes relevant to the IASI observations from the 2018 Settlement Agreement between NCDEQ and the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network, Rural Empowerment Association for Community Help, and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., who filed a complaint with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Civil Rights (now the External Civil Rights Compliance Office) alleging NCDEQ violated Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964⁵⁹ by discriminating against residents in the permitting swine CAFOs on the basis of their "race, color, or national origin".

MEASUREMENTS

IASI. IASI is an infrared sounder providing NH₃ observations from onboard various polar-orbiting MetOp satellites at 9:30 am and 9:30 pm local solar time (LT).⁴ NH₃ is retrieved by fitting absorption features over 812-1126 cm⁻¹ and using meteorological inputs from the ECMWF ERA5⁴⁴ and an artificial neural network to transform hyperspectral range indices into total NH₃ vertical column densities, separately over land and sea scenes.^{45,60,61} Pixels with erroneous spectra and/or heavy cloud coverage are removed prior to the retrieval. We use Level 2 IASI NH₃ columns based on the current version of the retrieval (IASI NH3R-ERA5 version 4.0.0R, where "R" indicates this is the reanalyzed product as opposed to near real-time retrieval) and available from MetOp-A and B satellites over 1 October 2007-15 October 2021 and 8 March 2013-31 March 2023, respectively, which include improved temporal consistency and a low bias correction of 15-20% over polluted scenes compared to the previous version.⁶² We applied the recommended postfilter to remove columns with clouds and/ or limited sensitivity from low thermal contrast.⁴⁴ IASI pixels are circular and 12 km in diameter at nadir and elliptical otherwise.

We average multiple years of morning (9:30 am LT) April-August columns to $0.01^{\circ} \times 0.01^{\circ}$ (~1 km × 1 km) using an oversampling algorithm well-tested for IASI NH₃ columns in Northeastern Colorado, a location that also has CAFOs.⁶³ Following Sun et al.,⁶³ IASI pixels are represented using a smooth spatial sensitivity distribution of a two-dimensional standard Gaussian function (exponent of 2) rather than the true super-Gaussian IASI pixel spatial response (exponent of \sim 18). IASI pixels are weighted by their uncertainties, including sensitivities to thermal contrast during oversampling. Oversampled NH₃ columns generally subsample block groups in rural Eastern North Carolina, which are on average 20 km² across the region. Block groups are subdivisions of census tracts containing 600-3000 people or 240-1200 housing units and are the smallest area unit for which the U.S. Census Bureau reports all demographic information. We focus on oversampled morning NH₃ columns from MetOp-A over April-August 2016-2021. We also separately oversample morning NH_3 columns on days with mean morning (8 am-12 pm local time, LT) surface wind speeds or air temperatures below (calm or cool conditions, respectively) and above (windy or hot conditions) median morning wind speeds/air temperatures using measurements from the Automated Surface Observing System/Automated Weather Observing System over April-August 2016-2021 (Figure S1). To describe trends in NH₃ columns over the IASI record, we oversample morning NH₃ columns from MetOp-A in April-August in 2008-2010, 2011-2013, 2014-2017, and 2018-2021 and from MetOp-B in April-August in 2014-2017, 2018-2021, and 2022-2023 (Table S1).

Block Group-Scale ΔNH_3 **Inequalities.** NH₃ column enhancements (ΔNH_3 columns) are calculated as oversampled NH₃ columns above, and then less, the tenth percentile of the column distribution across North Carolina for a given period (Table S1). The tenth percentile was determined empirically and selected because it was found to be the highest decile yielding statistically equivalent absolute inequalities in NH₃ and ΔNH_3 columns (Table S2). This constraint is based on the physically realistic assumption that block group-scale

variability is not driven by variations in the tropospheric NH₂ background on average. We focus on block groups with ΔNH_3 columns. Differences in inequalities in NH_3 and ΔNH_3 columns at higher deciles are a sampling effect caused by changes in the underlying population distribution. Areaweighted mean ΔNH_3 columns were computed within block group polygons. The process workflow is presented in SI Appendix 1. For the different time periods of study, we computed corresponding tropospheric NH₃ tenth-percentile backgrounds using oversampled columns from each period. For the ΔNH_3 columns sorted by wind speed and air temperature in April-August 2016-2021, we used the same tropospheric NH₃ background as for the Δ NH₃ columns on all days in that period (Table S1). As an example, the tropospheric NH₃ background in April-August 2016-2021 is 3.8×10^{15} molecules cm⁻², with ΔNH_3 columns in almost all (99%) Eastern North Carolina block groups.

We report NH₃ inequalities as equal to the absolute and relative (percent) differences between population-weighted ΔNH_3 columns (eq S1) for non-Hispanic/Latino Black and African Americans, referred to as Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos of all races, referred to as Hispanics and Latinos, and non-Hispanic/Latino American Indians/Alaska Natives, referred to as American Indians, compared to non-Hispanic/Latino whites. Inequalities are based on the subset of block groups with populations for a given group equal to or greater than the mean across all Eastern North Carolina block groups (Figure S2). Mean block group-scale populations in Eastern North Carolina counties are Black and African Americans, 25%; Hispanics and Latinos, 10%; American Indians, 2%; and non-Hispanic/Latino whites, 56%. Uncertainties in block group-scale inequalities are reported as standard mean errors. Race and ethnicity data are from the U.S. Census 2020 decennial census. Because there are concerns that multiple marginalized population groups were significantly undercounted in the 2020 decennial census, which is currently unresolved in the dataset, we compared results between the 2020 decennial census and 5-year 2016-2020 American Community Survey (ACS). We calculate slightly higher relative and absolute NH₃ inequalities using the 2020 decennial census; however, differences are not statistically significant (Table S3). Inequalities in 2008-2010 and 2011-2013 are computed using both the 2010 and 2020 decennial census as described below.

Surface Winds and Air Temperatures. Hourly meteorological measurements are available from the Automated Surface Observing System and Automated Weather Observing System and accessible through the Iowa State University Iowa Environmental Mesonet download service.⁶⁴ Corresponding to the 9:30 am IASI overpass, we calculate mean morning (8 am– 12 pm LT) surface wind speeds and air temperatures and mean daily (24 h) total precipitation from 38 monitors in Eastern North Carolina counties (Figure S3). Not all monitors have data in all years.

NCDEQ Permitted Animal Facilities. The NCDEQ permits Animal Feeding Operations (AFOs), defined as facilities with more than 250 swine, 100 confined cattle, 75 horses, 10^3 sheep, or 3×10^4 poultry with liquid waste management.⁶⁵ AFO location, allowable animal count and type, and number of waste cesspits (for swine) are made publicly available. Most swine facilities are also permitted under the 5-year North Carolina Swine Waste Management System General Permit, referred to as the Swine General

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

 NH_3 Inequalities. NH_3 columns are elevated in Eastern North Carolina, especially where there are numerous permitted swine facilities (Figure 1), including in Sampson



Figure 1. Block group-scale NH₃ columns (molecules cm⁻²) in April–August 2016–2021 (a), 2023 NCDEQ permitted animal (gray) and swine (brown) facilities (b), and population of the majority race-ethnicity group in each block group (%): Black and African American (blue), Hispanic and Latino (green), American Indian (purple), and non-Hispanic/Latino white (gray) (c).

and Duplin Counties, parts of Wayne, Lenoir, Bladen, Greene, and Jones Counties, and counties home to the Coharie, Lumbee, and Waccamaw Siouan Indian Tribes. We report block group-scale NH₃ differences over 2016–2021 (Table 1), focusing on April–August because this is when NH₃ columns are highest (Figure S4), as NH₃ emissions are temperature dependent,^{67–69} and surface-air thermal contrasts in the lower troposphere are maximized, producing NH₃ column observations that are more accurate.⁴⁴ Because the lifetime of gasphase NH₃ is hours to days,⁷⁰ the highest NH₃ concentrations are colocated with emission sources in the ABL, and, potentially, near-surface nocturnal residual layers that are reincorporated into the ABL the following morning.^{71–73} NH₃ can be present at pptv-to-low ppbv levels in the free troposphere and background ABL, where it is more evenly distributed spatiotemporally.^{45,74–76} This has the effect of reducing observed relative, but not absolute, differences in surface-level NH₃ in total NH₃ columns, motivating our use of Δ NH₃ columns.

Block group-scale ΔNH_3 columns are 27 ± 3% higher for Black and African Americans, $35 \pm 3\%$ higher for Hispanics and Latinos, and $49 \pm 3\%$ higher for American Indians compared to non-Hispanic/Latino whites in April-August 2016-2021, demonstrating systematic inequalities in atmospheric NH₃ concentrations in Eastern North Carolina (Table 1). This comparison is based on population-weighted ΔNH_3 columns in block groups where the population of that group is equal to or above the mean population across Eastern North Carolina counties. Population-weighted NH₃ column inequalities across all block groups are also statistically significant: Black and African Americans, $15 \pm 2\%$ (3.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10¹⁴ molecules cm⁻²); Hispanics and Latinos, $19 \pm 2\%$ (4.6 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{14} molecules cm⁻²); and American Indians, $34 \pm 2\%$ (8.8 \pm 0.4×10^{14} molecules cm⁻²). Uncertainties are based on standard mean errors, with precision improving with spatial averaging using population weighting over many block groups.

NH₃ is a documented atmospheric emission from CAFOs, and elevated NH₃ mixing ratios have been measured and modeled in the vicinity of regional swine facilities.^{16,77,78} Researchers and the NCDEQ have already shown there are more permitted animal facilities near the residences and schools of Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians in Eastern North Carolina counties and statewide.^{1,9,10,58,79} That said, CAFOs are not the only source of atmospheric NH₃. NH₃ also emitted following application of anhydrous ammonia or ammonium salts or biogeochemical processes in fertilized fields. Fertilizer includes both fixed nitrogen and animal wastes. Where used, fertilizer application is recommended in the spring (mid-February-March) and/or fall (mid-August–September).⁸⁰ To minimize the contribution of crop agriculture on ΔNH_3 columns, we also compute NH_3 inequalities in May–July (Table 1). Absolute NH_3 inequalities in May-July are statistically equivalent to those in April-August, but relative inequalities are $6-18 \pm 4$ points lower. While ΔNH_3 columns are higher regionally in May–July, NH₃ spatial heterogeneities are similar, suggesting the same emissions sources drive the inequalities in both periods. Because the NH₃ distribution and abundance are also affected by surface winds, temperature, and precipitation, we test whether these conditions differ on average between May-July and April-August in 2016-2021. Mean surface wind speeds are equal in May–July and April–August (3 \pm 0.1 m s⁻¹, errors as standard mean errors) and air temperatures are slightly higher in May–July (24.9 \pm 0.2 °C) than in April– August $(23.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ °C})$ in 2016–2021. NH₃ is water-soluble and removed from the atmosphere by wet deposition, but mean daily precipitation totals are 0.14 ± 0.01 mm in both May-July and April-August 2016-2021.

Wind and air temperature are physical controls on NH_3 concentrations and, therefore, NH_3 inequalities and exposures. Dispersion is a major factor influencing the distribution of primary pollutants, e.g., NH_3 and coemitted species, near sources. Dispersion gradients are exponential, with dilution by background air being less efficient when winds are slow. On days with calm (below median) morning surface wind speeds, absolute NH_3 inequalities for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos are ~50% higher than when winds are fast (above the median). For American Indians, absolute NH_3

pubs.acs.org/est

Article

Table 1. Mean Block Group-Scale Relative and Absolute Inequalities in ΔNH_3 Columns in Eastern North Carolina for Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians Compared to Non-Hispanic/Latino Whites: All Days (April–August 2016–2021), All Days (May–July 2016–2021), Days with Morning (8–12 am LT) Surface Wind Speeds Below (Calm) and Above (Windy) the Median in April–August 2016–2021, and Days with Morning Surface Air Temperatures Below (Cool) and Above (Hot) the Median over the Same Period^a

	relative ΔNH_3 inequality (%)			absolute ΔNH_3 inequality (×10 ¹⁴ molecules cm ⁻²)		
	Black and African Americans	Hispanics and Latinos	American Indians	Black and African Americans	Hispanics and Latinos	American Indians
April-August 2016-2021	27 ± 3	35 ± 3	49 ± 3	6.3 ± 0.6	8.4 ± 0.8	12.7 ± 0.8
May–July	21 ± 2	25 ± 3	31 ± 3	6.5 ± 0.7	8.2 ± 1.0	10.5 ± 0.9
calm days	27 ± 3	36 ± 4	64 ± 4	7.3 ± 0.8	10.0 ± 1.0	21.3 ± 1.1
windy days	21 ± 3	28 ± 3	24 ± 3	4.4 ± 0.6	6.0 ± 0.7	5.2 ± 0.7
cool days	18 ± 3	28 ± 3	55 ± 3	3.6 ± 0.5	5.9 ± 0.7	13.9 ± 0.8
hot days	31 ± 3	36 ± 4	31 ± 4	8.7 ± 0.9	10.7 ± 1.2	8.9 ± 1.1
April–August (physics-based oversampling)	22 ± 3	31 ± 3	45 ± 3	5.4 ± 0.7	7.7 ± 0.9	12.5 ± 0.9

"Also shown: mean block group-scale relative and absolute inequalities in ΔNH_3 columns April-August 2016-2021, oversampled using a physically-realistic IASI spatial response function. Uncertainties are standard mean errors.



Figure 2. Block group-scale ΔNH_3 columns as a function of their distance to the nearest NCDEQ permitted swine facility relative to all days over April–August 2016–2021, both with columns oversampled assuming a smooth two-dimensional standard Gaussian function (black circles) and the IASI super-Gaussian sensitivity distribution as the spatial response (gray diamonds) (a), separately on calm (brown) and windy (orange) days (b), and on cool (blue) and hot (red) days (c). Data from panel (a) are shown in panels (b, c) for reference. Error bars are standard mean errors. Vertical lines have a signal-to-noise ratio of 2, with noise defined by the 2σ standard deviation at distances of 15–20 km over all days with observations in April–August 2016–2021.

inequalities are more than twice as large on calm versus windy days (Table 1). Higher NH_3 inequalities on calm days are observational evidence of air quality consequences for Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians because they live closer to swine CAFOs on average. Population-weighted distances between block group center points and the nearest permitted swine CAFO in Eastern North Carolina are 8.3 km for Black and African Americans, 7.7 km for Hispanics and Latinos, and 5.7 km for American Indians compared to 10.8 km for non-Hispanic/Latino whites in block groups equal to and above the mean population across Eastern North Carolina.

 $\rm NH_3$ emissions are also temperature dependent,^{67,68} with temperature affecting the distribution of $\rm NH_3$ through multiple processes that broaden the spatial extent of CAFO-related air quality impacts. Briefly, $\rm NH_3$ is lost from the atmosphere through deposition and can be subsequently revolatized to the atmosphere as a function of temperature. This process is commonly referred to as the $\rm NH_3$ bidirectional flux, and it increases atmospheric $\rm NH_3$ concentrations downfield of sources.^{51,53,81–84} The $\rm NH_3$ bidirectional flux varies with the relevant concentration difference between the atmosphere and surface, with surface saturation from deposited $\rm NH_3$ causing surfaces to potentially be only emissive. Second, when waste management includes applying swine wastes to fields through manure irrigation, a practice of most swine CAFOs,³⁴ nitrogen in sprayed fields can become a temperature-dependent NH₃ source, where sprayfields are typically located within ~ 1 km of the cesspits.³³ Third, NH₃ is also in thermal equilibrium with particle-phase ammonium, with higher temperatures and lower humidity driving the release of NH₃. Particles transported downfield during cooler and more humid nights, which also contribute to the air quality impacts of CAFOs, release gasphase NH₃ when temperatures warm in the morning.⁸ Absolute NH₃ inequalities for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos are 83% and 58% larger, respectively, on hot than cool days. By contrast, absolute NH₃ inequalities for American Indians are 50% higher on cool days. Because American Indians live closer on average to NH₃ sources, the largest inequalities are under conditions that localize NH₃ in relation to the source. Higher absolute NH₃ inequalities on hot days for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos imply these groups are additionally affected by processes and practices in which temperaturedependent NH₃ emissions and concentrations are spatially distributed away from swine CAFOs.

 ΔNH_3 columns correspond spatially with the locations of permitted swine facilities, based on block group center points

within 1 km of a facility address, with ΔNH_3 columns decreasing exponentially with increasing distance from the nearest swine CAFO (Figure 2). Spatial gradients are a combined function of real variability in the NH₃ distribution and the convolution of IASI pixels that are larger than the oversampling grid and their spatial response function.⁶³ This has the effect of biasing oversampled columns directly over the source, and their derived inequalities,⁸⁸ low, with a portion of the observed downfield impacts being an artifact of the averaging. The spatial resolution of oversampled NH₃ columns affects the sampling density but is limited by the IASI pixel size. Still, oversampling produces NH₃ columns that better distinguish concentration hotspots than other techniques.⁶³ ΔNH_3 columns oversampled with a smooth two-dimensional standard Gaussian function and a physics-based approach using the IASI super-Gaussian sensitivity distribution as the spatial response yield similar relative and absolute inequalities and downfield decay-gradients (Table 1 and Figure 2). While there is a tendency for lower inequalities with the physicsbased IASI pixel spatial response, as NH₃ inequalities are driven in large part by proximity to NH₃ sources, these inequalities are equal to within associated uncertainties. Use of the smooth two-dimensional standard Gaussian function is common practice for IASI⁸⁹ and other satellites observations⁹⁰ that are noisy and sparse that can yield noisy and unphysical results and obscure localized concentration hotspots.⁶

The NH₃ spatial distribution influences residents' exposures to NH₃ and other CAFO emissions. Because a portion of the observed gradient is derived from the pixel spatial convolution, we are cautious not to make claims about the exact value of the NH₃ length scale with respect to the nearest permitted swine facility on all days over April-August 2016-2021 (which we compute to be 5 km). However, pixel spatial convolution is independent of environmental conditions that affect real variability in the NH₃ spatial distribution. We quantify the downfield spatial extent based on a signal-to-noise ratio of 2, with the signal as mean ΔNH_3 columns with uncertainties as standard mean errors and noise defined by the 2σ standard deviation in ΔNH_3 columns at distances of 15–20 km over all days with observations in April-August 2016-2021 (Figure S5). This is a conservative estimate, with higher mean ΔNH_3 columns apparent even further away. On days with calm (below median) morning surface wind speeds, we observe higher ΔNH_3 columns over permitted swine facilities and ΔNH_3 columns that remain elevated over the regional background for 2 km further downwind than on all days (April-August). On windy days, ΔNH_3 columns are comparatively lower and decay to the regional background on shorter length scales. We observe the highest ΔNH_3 columns over permitted swine facilities on hot days, defined as days above median morning temperatures in April-August. ΔNH_3 columns remain elevated 5 km further downfield of permitted swine facilities than on all days, varying more linearly than exponentially (Figures 2 and S5). This reflects a source term in addition to direct emissions and dispersion, consistent with NH₃ volatization downfield of swine CAFOs. Observed gradient variability demonstrates residents living multiple kilometers from swine facilities are chronically, i.e., on average on calm and/or hot days, constituting more than half of all days in April-August, exposed to NH₃ concentrations elevated over the regional mean.

Pinder et al.⁷⁷ reported consonant average spatial correlations between satellite NH₃ columns from the Tropo-

spheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) and total CAFO number within 10 km of a satellite pixel in Eastern North Carolina, observing even steeper NH₃ gradients in surface measurements from two-week integrated samplers along the TES flightpath. TES has a higher spectral and spatial (5 km \times 8 km) resolution, although lower spatial coverage than IASI.³⁹ Likewise, Wilson and Serre¹⁶ measured NH₃ mixing ratios using two-week integrated passive diffusion tube samplers in Duplin and Greene counties to be almost twice as high within 0.5 km than 0.5-1 km of a swine facility. Because of their pixel size and the application of oversampling, multiyear mean IASI ΔNH_3 columns underestimate NH₃ over and in the very nearfield of facilities, leading to IASI-based inequality estimates that are biased low. Techniques combining superresolution algorithms with plume rotation have been shown to enhance the spatial detail in IASI columns and used to quantify NH_3 emissions from individual CAFOs.^{46–48} This is an aspatial approach not suitable for describing NH₃ distributive inequalities. In Eastern North Carolina, such techniques are further challenged by the density of CAFOs, as NH₃ plumes from adjacent facilities overlap. High-time resolution columns measured by FTS found multifold higher NH₃ near dairy and cattle CAFOs in Northeastern Colorado, with 50% of NH₂ variability observed within 2 km and 90% within 6 km of facilities.⁵⁶ These length scales reinforce conclusions of a low bias in IASI-based inequalities. Finally, morning IASI sampling times likely further bias NH3 columns and absolute inequalities low. NH₃ columns from the satellite-based Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS), which has an early afternoon overpass and improved surface sensitivity over IASI, are consistently higher than IASI NH₃ columns.^{91,92} However, CrIS NH₃ columns are not ready for wide public use. At the same time, IASI provides smaller pixels, a longer time record, and sensors on more satellites.

NH₃ and Δ NH₃ Columns over Time. IASI NH₃ columns inform multiyear trends in NH₃ spatial distributions over 2008-2023. NH₃ columns collected by IASI instruments are available with the most recent retrieval from the MetOp-A and B satellites. To interpret NH₃ columns over the full IASI record, we first compare IASI MetOp-A and B observations in their coinciding windows of 2014-2017 and 2018-2021 (Table S4). Even though population-weighted ΔNH_3 and NH_3 columns from MetOp-B are slightly systematically higher than those from MetOp-A, trends in MetOp-A and B-based columns are consistent (Figure 3). Additionally, populationweighted IASI ΔNH_3 columns from both satellites produce relative NH3 inequalities equal to within associated uncertainties. Absolute NH₃ inequalities for MetOp-A and B are also statistically equivalent for all groups in 2014-2017 and for Black and African Americans in 2018–2021. Absolute NH₃ inequalities from MetOp-B are similar but slightly larger than observed from MetOp-A for Hispanics and Latinos and American Indians in 2018-2021.

Population-weighted NH₃ columns increased substantially (\sim 50%) over 2008–2023; however, smaller changes are observed in Δ NH₃ columns (Figure 3). This implies that multiyear trends in NH₃ columns in Eastern North Carolina are largely driven by controls affecting the regional distribution of NH₃ rather than localized changes in NH₃ emissions. Briefly, in the 1980s and 1990s, industrial swine facilities concentrated in Eastern North Carolina through processes of farm consolidation, industrial land grabbing, and state legislation providing incentives and preventing localities from



Figure 3. Population-weighted, block group-scale NH₃ (pastel) and Δ NH₃ columns (bright) in Eastern North Carolina for Black and African Americans (blue), Hispanics and Latinos (green), American Indians (purple), and non-Hispanic/Latino whites (gray). IASI observations from MetOp-A (circles, solid line) in April–August in 2008–2010, 2011–2013, 2014–2017, and 2018–2021 and MetOp-B (diamonds, dashed line) in April–August in 2014–2017, 2018–2021, and 2022–2023. Standard mean errors are similarly sized as the markers and omitted for clarity.

addressing offensive odors with zoning.93,94 A temporary moratorium was placed on new swine CAFOs in 1997, with a ban on new lagoons and mandates around infrastructure in new and/or expanding CAFOs becoming permanent in 2007.95 While these events predate the IASI NH₃ record, observed trends in the number of waste cesspits detected from space³⁶ and measurements of rainfall dissolved ammonium,⁹⁶ which can be scavenged as NH₃ or particle-phase ammonium, are generally consistent with this timeline. ΔNH_3 columns have been similar over the IASI record, indicating persistent, unresolved, and unequal CAFO-related NH₃ air quality impacts since 2008. Relative and absolute NH₃ inequalities for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos have been steady within associated uncertainties over 2008-2023. We observe more variability in inequalities for American Indians, with statistically significant increases and decreases in relative and absolute NH3 inequalities in 2014-2017 and 2022–2023. Multiyear trends in ΔNH_3 columns have a much larger effect on inequalities than changes in population composition (Figure S6). Lastly, trends in NH₃ columns are caused by a shift in the full distribution of observations, with increases in both mean NH₃ columns across population groups and empirically determined tropospheric NH₃ background column densities. Multiyear trends in NH₃ columns have therefore been influenced by climatological and/or secondary processes⁹⁷ that do not substantially affect ΔNH_3 columns and block group-scale inequalities. We test for corresponding trends in mean morning surface air temperatures but find they varied by less than 0.3 °C in April-August over 2008-2023. In addition, IASI columns use a consistent retrieval process across all years. This then supports explanations in the literature for other locations^{97–101} that increases in NH₃ columns in Eastern North Carolina are driven by ongoing emissions reductions in sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides as opposed to localized changes in NH₃ emissions, affecting NH₃ concentrations more evenly spatially. This is because of the time required for chemistry, that the lifetime of ammonium sulfate and nitrate against deposition is much longer (\sim 7–10 days) than NH₃ (\sim 1 day), and the potential for ammonium nitrate to rerelease gas-phase NH₃. That said, increases in NH₃ lifetime from surface saturation by deposited NH₃ could also partly explain both increased NH₃ columns and reduced NH₃ spatial heterogeneities.

Applications and Policy Relevance. NH₃ and other CAFO coemissions do not have corresponding EPA NAAQS. This leaves air quality control to a complex web of state and local regulations and legal cases and settlements, if it is done at all. Even though our focus is on North Carolina, some version of this localized decision-making is influential across the U.S. We discuss the IASI NH₃ columns in this context, which is often overlooked in research on air pollution by scientists. The NCDEQ is the state governmental agency responsible for environmental protection, including swine CAFO permitting. NCDEQ's recent activities around the unequal air quality impacts of swine CAFOs have largely been required by a negotiated settlement with community organizations seeking environmental justice for environmental racism in Eastern North Carolina. Here, we describe the outcomes of this settlement, as well as related regulatory guidance, activities, and judgements, focusing our discussion on the aspects to which IASI NH₃ columns are relevant.

In 2014, the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network (NCEJN), Rural Empowerment Association for Community Help (REACH), and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc. submitted a complaint to the now U.S. EPA External Civil Rights Compliance Office (ECRCO) alleging that industrial swine permitting and pollution disproportionately affected Black, Latino, and American Indian residents, violating Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act.¹⁰² In 2016, these organizations filed a second complaint claiming NCDEQ engaged in and failed to protect residents involved in the 2014 complaint from intimidation and threats of violence.¹⁰³ In 2017, the EPA sent a Letter of Concern to the NCDEQ, providing preliminary information on ECRCO's investigation describing evidence supporting residents' claims.⁸ The NCDEQ, NCEJN, REACH, and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc. entered into mediation, reaching their Settlement Agreement in 2018. As part of this Settlement Agreement, the NCDEQ was obligated to complete the so-named Duplin County Air Monitoring Study, revise the Swine General Permit with community input, change its Title VI compliance programs, and develop an environmental justice mapping tool.¹⁰⁴ IASI NH₃ columns provide insight around these activities and their impacts, and we discuss them here in turn.

Briefly, the NCDEQ Division of Air Quality (DAQ) conducted the Duplin County Air Monitoring Study largely by measuring NH_3 , H_2S , and fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) at two locations in Duplin County over October 2018–October 2019.¹⁰⁵ DAQ focused on $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS exceedances; however, because NH_3 and H_2S do not have NAAQS, compliance standards were based on the North Carolina Acceptable Ambient Levels (NC AALs).¹⁰⁶ The NH_3 NC AAL for a 1 h Acute Irritant is 2.7 mg m⁻³ or 3.868 ppm, which is the only NC AAL for NH_3 .¹⁰⁵ NC AALs are concentration-based emissions limits on industrial stationary sources such that ambient levels cannot exceed the AAL. NC AALs apply to individual facilities and are not comparable to measured atmospheric mixing ratios.¹⁰⁶ DAQ located NH_3 instrumentation at a minimum of 0.8 km from the nearest permitted swine

facility following EPA community-oriented monitoring requirements.¹⁰⁷ EPA siting requirements are intended to reduce the influence of any one facility, producing measurements representing air quality for communities broadly. Because NC AALs must be met at the property boundary, dispersion models are used for observations collected beyond the fenceline;¹⁰⁶ although, this modeling was never published. During the Duplin County Air Monitoring Study, DAQ reported ambient NH₃ mixing ratios of 0 ppb during almost all hours of the year and no exceedances of the NC AALs.^{105,108} Consequentially, NCDEQ concluded there were no significant air quality issues and no further monitoring was recommended.

How then do we reconcile existing research on swine CAFO emissions, their attendant health impacts, and the embodied sensing of residents, which includes nausea and vomiting, illness and disease, stress, and feelings of being a prisoner in one's own home, with the year-long Duplin County Air Monitoring Study that reported zero NH₃ pollution at almost all hours? Criticisms of the DAQ study have focused on monitor siting, specifically concerns that NH₃ instrumentation was located too far away from any given facility to record elevated NH₃ concentrations.¹⁰⁹ While EPA communityoriented monitoring requirements are designed to describe air quality on average, swine facilities are ubiquitous in many Eastern North Carolina counties such that measurements at CAFO boundaries are in fact representative of exposures for much of the population. DAQ acknowledged the challenges of meeting EPA guidelines because of the density of swine facilities.¹⁰⁹ Therefore, DAQ siting decisions were not responsive to the distinct local emissions source distribution characteristics, application of NC AALs, or residents' expressed priorities and needs. That said, DAQ monitors were located within, although near the edge, of the largest region of enhanced NH₃ in Eastern North Carolina according to IASI NH₃ columns (Figures 1 and S7), with comparable daily surface median wind directions and mean wind speeds in April-August in 2019 and 2016-2021 of south-southwest winds at 3.0 \pm 0.1 m s⁻¹. Elevated ΔNH_3 columns are also observed farther than 1 km from swine CAFOs, at least average on days with calm winds and/or hot air temperatures (Figure 2). Based on these patterns in the IASI measurements, we conclude that DAQ should have detected at least some level of nonzero atmospheric NH₃, if not fenceline concentrations, in April-August on average-why did they not?

DAQ quantified NH₃ using an in situ technique based on electrochemical cell detection developed for industrial monitoring (AreaRAE). The instrument has a detection limit and resolution of 0.1 ppm,¹⁰⁵ meaning NCDEQ reported 0 ppb NH₃ while the NH₃ mixing ratio was some value <100 ppb. Based on previously collected NH₃ measurements in the region and elsewhere, one would expect NH₃ mixing ratios over cesspits at low ppm levels¹¹⁰ and downfield of facilities commonly at tens of ppb.^{16,77} Combined with the application EPA community-oriented monitoring siting requirements, the technique selected by DAQ was not adequate to resolve known NH₃ variability. DAQ described multiple nighttime NH₃ events, including one on 14-16 February at Williamsdale Farm that exceeded 2 ppm, offering a potential glimpse into NH₃ levels at CAFO boundaries. However, the study's focus on the NC AAL, applied without the required dispersion modeling, means these events were not considered exceedances. Standards other than the NC AAL were available to the NCDEQ (Table S5). The U.S. Department of Health and

Human Services Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) identifies the acute inhalation standard for NH₃ respiratory effects to be 1.7 ppm,¹¹¹ which was exceeded during the February event. The ATSDR NH3 chronic inhalation standard for respiratory effects is 0.1 ppm,¹ equal to the AreaRAE detection limit.¹⁰⁵ Additionally, odor is an air quality and quality of life concern of residents,⁸ with NH₃ described as pungent and smelling of rotten fish and cat urine. Odor thresholds are variable in part because of the wide range of experimental conditions used to quantify thresholds empirically.¹¹²⁻¹¹⁴ The American Industrial Hygiene Association catalogs published odor thresholds and includes published evidence of NH₃ odor thresholds as low as 43 ppb,¹¹⁵ far below the 5 ppm threshold applied by DAQ without caveat.¹⁰⁵ DAQ measured H₂S, an NH₃ coemission, described as smelling like rotten eggs, above odor thresholds frequently during spring and summer months, consistent with the NH₃ seasonality observed by IASI. CAFOs emit various other gases as well, and NH₃ observations lower than NH₃ health or odor thresholds do not prove that CAFO-related air pollution is not a nuisance and/or harmful to residents. However, in the context of IASI NH₃ columns, measurements of 0 ppb NH₃ at almost times may indicate the DAQ instruments were not functioning adequately. DAQ has not made public analytical evidence on AreaRAE field performance, e.g., linearity in sensitivity over 0-50 ppm of NH_3 (the instrument was calibrated with a 50 ppm of NH₃ standard) or demonstration of the absence of sampling inlet interferences and/or the kinds of cross-sensitivities anticipated for electrochemical sensors.

Second, NCDEQ revised the Swine General Permit with more restrictions on the locations of manure irrigation fields and facility expansions and providing more communication between NCDEQ and concerned residents.¹¹⁶ In place since 2019, these modifications do not correspond to decreases in IASI ΔNH_3 columns (Figure 3), which would reveal an effective process responsive to voiced community preferences for pollution mitigation and elimination of cesspit/sprayfield waste management practices. Here, the data collected by DAQ in the Duplin County Air Quality Study have material consequences, as they evidence the absence of air pollution impacts from swine facilities. The revised Swine General Permit includes minimal changes to enforcement methods and no new management or infrastructure requirements around air emissions. NCDEQ adopted new procedures for receiving and investigating residents' complaints. However, while NCDEQ received hundreds of complaints annually about CAFO-related odors in the 1990s, fewer than 30 complaints were received in the last five years.¹¹⁶ NCDEQ claimed this decline was due to pollution control through the Swine General Permit,¹¹⁶ but multiyear trends in IASI ΔNH_3 columns indicate no reduction in CAFO-related air quality impacts since 2008.

Finally, the NCDEQ adopted EPA guidelines to designate Potentially Underserved block groups across North Carolina¹¹⁷ and created a community mapping tool to inform some decision-making e.g., outreach plans.¹¹⁸ Potentially Underserved block groups (Figure S8) are defined as the approximately 25% of North Carolina block groups where (a) at least 50% of residents did not identify in the U.S. Census as non-Hispanic/Latino white or the population of Black and African American, Hispanic and Latino, American Indian, Asian, and mixed-race residents is >10% higher than the county and/or state mean and (b) at least 20% of residents are below the federal poverty line or the portion of households below the poverty line is >5% higher than the county and/or state mean.¹¹⁹ ΔNH_3 columns are 20 ± 3% higher in Potentially Underserved than other Eastern North Carolina block groups. Compared to NH3 inequalities based on population-weighted ΔNH_3 columns as defined here (Table 1), decision-making based on Potentially Underserved block groups will inadequately respond to environmental racism in CAFO-related air quality impacts, as NH₃ inequalities are, by comparison, higher for Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, and American Indians in Eastern North Carolina on average. The NCDEQ Community Mapping System visualizes the spatial correspondence between Potentially Underserved block groups, Tribal Community boundaries, NCDEQ AFO and NPDES permits, and other point sources (although only the Potentially Underserved block groups and Tribal Community boundaries were viewable at the time of writing).¹¹⁸ As air quality impacts are contested, IASI NH₃ columns, even without resolving individual facilities, provide observational evidence of systematic NH₃ inequalities relevant to the issue that are left open to dispute in the current mapping tool.

Implications and Considerations. IASI ΔNH_3 columns identify distributive NH₃ inequalities across Eastern North Carolina, with space-based measurements collected routinely in the absence of surface monitoring. IASI ΔNH_3 columns provide observational constraints on the environmental variability and spatial extent of CAFO-related air pollution impacts: residents living multiple kilometers from the nearest swine CAFO are exposed to elevated NH₃ in April-August; relationships with wind speed imply exponentially higher NH₃ at facility boundaries and more disproportionate impacts when winds are calm; and NH3 distributions are temperaturedependent, with NH₃ volatization away from facilities, e.g., from manure-sprayed fields and particles, worsening inequalities for Black and African Americans and Hispanics and Latinos. IASI is thus well positioned to monitor CAFO-related NH₃ inequalities, including in areas without the CAFO location information that is unavailable in most states. We note that inequalities in ΔNH_3 columns are likely a lower bound, as dispersion-decay gradients are steeper than IASI pixels even with oversampling,^{12,16,77} IASI measurements are collected in the morning (and night), and considerable time averaging is required to reduce associated noise. Finally, the IASI NH₃ columns are unlikely to resolve whether some management practices cause lower atmospheric NH₃ than others within Eastern North Carolina. This is a combined function of IASI's analytical limitations, the dense clustering of swine facilities in the region, and the limited public records with which to subset permitted swine facilities; therefore, we do not draw related conclusions. What does emerge in this analysis, is evidence that decision-making relevant to swine CAFOs and NH₃ inequalities has failed to materially address residents' claims and experiences of harm. For environmental justice defined as remedy for environmental racism, discussion and advocacy of specific agricultural practices and their benefits should foreground the preferences of affected residents.

NH₃ concentrations are spatially and temporally heterogeneous, horizontally and vertically, making it challenging to derive surface mixing ratios from NH₃ columns for the application of health and odor thresholds. An analysis based on NH₃ columns as opposed to surface mixing ratios is justified for three reasons: variability affecting aggregate block groupscale NH₃ inequalities is driven by surface-level processes;

there are no NH₃ NAAQS or other health-based concentration standards the exceedance of which trigger specific regulatory intervention, enforcement, or particularized benefits for affected residents; and NH3 columns are consistent with testimonies from Eastern North Carolina residents that CAFOrelated air quality impacts corresponding to these column densities causes them harm.⁸ Estimates of NH₃ surface levels are highly uncertain because of the lack of temporally coincidental measurements in the region, which, relatedly, has been used to deny residents' claims around atmospheric exposure and odor. Relevant to analyses of distributive inequalities to inform decision-making is whether spatial patterns in NH₃ columns reflect those at the surface, not the inequalities in the mixing ratios themselves. This correspondence is expected based on the length scales of NH₃ gradients and past research on satellite nitrogen dioxide inequalities. 88,120,121 Finally, estimates of NH_3 mixing ratios may not advance knowledge around CAFO-related air quality impacts or residents demands for environmental justice more than NH₃ columns. For example, DAQ's Duplin County Air Monitoring Study produced limited insight into community concerns around illness, odor, and well-being, especially for people living very near swine facilities and manure-sprayed fields.¹⁰⁵ Additionally, ongoing measurements by the National Atmospheric Deposition Program Ammonia Monitoring Network of NH₃ and particle-phase ammonium in Sampson County are two week-integrated observations, using passive diffusion samplers returned to the laboratory for quantification by flow injection analysis, which have a documented 40% low bias compared to annular denuders.¹²² As a consequence, high NH_3 events can go undetected: if NH3 exceeds the ATSDR acute standard for 8 h in 2 weeks, assuming 10 ppb NH₃ at all other times, the reported NH₃ would be only 30 ppb.

Eastern North Carolina residents are largely unprotected from CAFO-related air pollution by environmental regulation at all levels. In 2018, the North Carolina Legislature passed legislation¹²³ to prevent further judicial action in favor of residents with nuisance claims.¹²⁴⁻¹²⁶ Now lawsuits are restricted to those living within 0.8 km of a facility, with residents who are experiencing ongoing issues of longer than one year having no recourse in the courts.¹²⁵ At the same time, IASI ΔNH_3 columns demonstrate that NH_3 is enhanced further downfield than 0.8 km, particularly under calm and/or hot conditions, with NH₃ exposures and inequalities driven in part by temperature-dependent NH₃ volatization away from the source, and that CAFO-related air quality impacts are ongoing and unaddressed, at least since 2008. While the NCDEQ has developed some environmental justice-relevant initiatives because of the Settlement Agreement, including creating a fulltime Title VI Coordinator position, the design and implementation of the Duplin County Air Monitoring Study reflect an agency focused on compliance rather than residents' concerns. A small step would be for NCDEQ to include oversampled, block group-scale IASI NH₃ columns on the Community Mapping Tool to incorporate region-wide evidence of CAFO-related air pollution impacts into decision making. While the tool has the functionality to map NCDEQ AFO and NPDES permits, information on the locations of cesspits and manure irrigation fields that are not currently publicly available are relevant for understanding the distribution of CAFO emissions. There are no federal air quality policies for industrialized animal industries that would protect residents in absence of local and state-level action, both in

North Carolina and other states. Over two decades ago, the EPA concluded there were insufficient data to determine which CAFOs required air permits. In 2005, the EPA made a deal with operators, who paid a small fine to fund an EPA study of their emissions, including NH₃, in exchange for immunity from past and future enforcement actions until the EPA developed an emissions model and permit system that remain unfinished today. Emissions controls to address systematic NH₃ inequalities are needed that, at a minimum, respond to embodied, longstanding, and community-scale concerns around swine CAFOs, with IASI poised to monitor the success of such policies should they develop.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.est.4c11922.

Histograms of morning surface wind speeds and air temperatures, time windows of analysis, sample relative and absolute inequalities for determining background column density and ΔNH_3 columns (April-August 2016-2021), calculation workflows, population weighting equation, block groups with populations of Black and African Americans, Hispanics and Latinos, American Indians, and non-Hispanic/Latinos whites equal to or greater than the mean across Eastern North Carolina, relative and absolute inequalities based on the 2020 decennial census and 2016-2020 ACS, ASOS station locations, monthly ΔNH_3 columns, signal-to-noise ratio determination, comparison of MetOp-A and B population-weighted ΔNH_3 columns, trends in populationweighted ΔNH_3 and NH_3 columns using constant demographic information, DAQ NH₃ monitor locations, available ambient NH₃ standards, description of computing inequalities in Potentially Underserved block groups, and NCDEQ Potentially Underserved block groups map (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Sally E. Pusede – Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States; Orcid.org/0000-0002-3041-0209; Email: sepusede@virginia.edu

Authors

Akirah Epps – Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States

Isabella M. Dressel – Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States; Ocid.org/0000-0002-3796-0641

Xuehui Guo – Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States; • orcid.org/0000-0002-6983-6856

Maghogho Odanibe – Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States

Kimberly P. Fields – Carter G. Woodson Institute for African American and African Studies, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, United States

- Ann Marie G. Carlton Department of Chemistry, University of California Irvine, Irvine, California 92697, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8574-1507
- Kang Sun Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States; Research and Education in eNergy, Environment and Water (RENEW) Institute, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, New York 14260, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-9930-7509

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.est.4c11922

Author Contributions

[#]A.E. and I.M.D. contributed equally to this work.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Karsh Institute of Democracy at the University of Virginia (UVA) through the UVA Repair Lab. S.E.P. acknowledges additional support from an NSF CAREER (AGS 2047150) award and the NASA New Investigator Program in Earth Science (80NSSC21K0935). UVA Research Computing (rc.virginia.edu) provided computing resources. IASI Level 2 NH₃ (NH3R-ERA5) columns are made publicly available for download by AERIS: MetOp-A (iasi.aeris-data.fr/nh3r-era5_iasi_a_arch) and MetOp-B (iasi.aeris-data.fr/nh3r-era5_iasi_b_arch). U.S. Census block group race and ethnicity data were accessed through the IPUMS National Historical Geographic Information System (nhgis.org)¹²⁷ and TIGER/Line shapefiles of North Carolina and North Carolina counties and block group polygons were downloaded from the Data.gov library (census.gov/cgi-bin/ geo/shapefiles/index.php). Current (5 April 2023) NCDEQ Permitted Animal Facilities were downloaded at: www.deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/water-resources/permitting/animal-feeding-operations/animal-facility-map. Surface meteorology measurements are available from Iowa State University, Iowa Environmental Mesonet: mesonet.agron.iastate.edu.

REFERENCES

(1) Wing, S.; Cole, D.; Grant, G. Environmental Injustice in North Carolina's Hog Industry. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2000**, *108* (3), 225–231.

(2) Wing, S.; Wolf, S. Intensive livestock operations, health, and quality of life among eastern North Carolina residents. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2000**, *108* (3), 233–238.

(3) Wing, S.; Horton, R. A.; Marshall, S. W.; Thu, K.; Tajik, M.; Schinasi, L.; Schiffman, S. S. Air pollution and odor in communities near industrial swine operations. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2008**, *116* (10), 1362–1368.

(4) Avery, R. C.; Wing, S.; Marshall, S. W.; Schiffman, S. S. Odor from industrial hog farming operations and mucosal immune function in neighbors. *Arch. Environ. Health* **2004**, *59* (2), 101–108.

(5) Wing, S.; Horton, R. A.; Rose, K. M. Air Pollution from Industrial Swine Operations and Blood Pressure of Neighboring Residents. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2013**, *121* (1), 92–96.

(6) Horton, R. A.; Wing, S.; Marshall, S. W.; Brownley, K. A. Malodor as a trigger of stress and negative mood in neighbors of industrial hog operations. *Am. J. Public Health* **2009**, *99* (S3), S610–S615.

(7) Schiffman, S. S.; Miller, E. A.; Suggs, M. S.; Graham, B. G. The effect of environmental odors emanating from commercial swine

operations on the mood of nearby residents. *Brain Res. Bull.* 1995, 37 (4), 369–375.

(8) Dorka, L. S. Letter of Concern to William G. Ross, Jr., Acting Secretary, North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality; United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2017, EPA File No. 1 1R-14-R4 External Civil Right Compliance Office, Office of General Council.

(9) Mirabelli, M. C.; Wing, S.; Marshall, S. W.; Wilcosky, T. C. Race, poverty, and potential exposure of middle-school students to air emissions from confined swine feeding operations. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2006, 114 (4), 591–596.

(10) Son, J. Y.; Muenich, R. L.; Schaffer-Smith, D.; Miranda, M. L.; Bell, M. L. Distribution of environmental justice metrics for exposure to CAFOs in North Carolina, USA. *Environ. Res.* **2021**, *195*, No. 110862.

(11) Title VI: Increasing Equity, Transparency, and Environmental Protection in the Permitting of Swine Operations in North Carolina, Attachment M: Community Analysis Document; North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, 2020.

(12) Lewis, B. M.; Battye, W. H.; Aneja, V. P.; Kim, H.; Bell, M. L. Modeling and Analysis of Air Pollution and Environmental Justice: The Case for North Carolina's Hog Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2023**, *131* (8), No. 087018.

(13) Casey, J. A.; Kim, B. F.; Larsen, J.; Price, L. B.; Nachman, K. E. Industrial Food Animal Production and Community Health. *Curr. Environ. Health Rep.* **2015**, *2* (3), 259–271.

(14) Friese, A.; Schulz, J.; Zimmermann, K.; Tenhagen, B. A.; Fetsch, A.; Hartung, J.; Rösler, U. Occurrence of livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus in Turkey and broiler barns and contamination of air and soil surfaces in their vicinity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2013**, *79* (8), 2759–2766.

(15) Schulz, J.; Friese, A.; Klees, S.; Tenhagen, B. A.; Fetsch, A.; Rösler, U.; Hartung, J. Longitudinal study of the contamination of air and of soil surfaces in the vicinity of pig barns by livestock-associated methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2012**, 78 (16), 5666–5671.

(16) Wilson, S. M.; Serre, M. L. Examination of atmospheric ammonia levels near hog CAFOs, homes, and schools in Eastern North Carolina. *Atmos. Environ.* **2007**, *41* (23), 4977–4987.

(17) Donham, K. J.; Lee, J. A.; Thu, K.; Reynolds, S. J. Assessment of Air Quality at Neighbor Residences in the Vicinity of Swine Production Facilities. *J. Agromed.* **2006**, *11* (3–4), 15–24.

(18) Rumsey, I. C.; Aneja, V. P.; Lonneman, W. A. Characterizing non-methane volatile organic compounds emissions from a swine concentrated animal feeding operation. *Atmos. Environ.* **2012**, *47*, 348–357.

(19) Burkholder, J.; Libra, B.; Weyer, P.; Heathcote, S.; Kolpin, D.; Thorne, P. S.; Wichman, M. Impacts of Waste from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations on Water Quality. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2007**, *115* (2), 308–312.

(20) Cahoon, L. B.; Mikucki, J. A.; Mallin, M. A. Nitrogen and Phosphorus Imports to the Cape Fear and Neuse River Basins To Support Intensive Livestock Production. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1999**, 33 (3), 410–415.

(21) Mallin, M. A.; McIver, M. R.; Robuck, A. R.; Dickens, A. K. Industrial Swine and Poultry Production Causes Chronic Nutrient and Fecal Microbial Stream Pollution. *Water Air Soil Pollut.* **2015**, 226 (12), No. 407.

(22) Heederik, D.; Sigsgaard, T.; Thorne, P. S.; Kline, J. N.; Avery, R.; Bønløkke, J. H.; Chrischilles, E. A.; Dosman, J. A.; Duchaine, C.; Kirkhorn, S. R.; Kulhankova, K.; Merchant, J. A. Health effects of airborne exposures from concentrated animal feeding operations. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2007**, *115* (2), 298–302.

(23) Kilpatrick, J. Animal Operations and Residential Property Values. *Appraisal J.* **2015**, 41–50.

(24) Chism, J. W.; Levins, R. A. Farm spending and local selling: how do they match up? *Minn. Agric. Econ.* **1994**, *676*, 1–4.

(25) Gomez, M. I.; Zhang, L. Impacts Of Concentration In Hog Production On Economic Growth In Rural Illinois: An Econometric Analysis; American Agricultural Economics Association: Tampa, FL, 2000. (New Name 2008: Agricultural and Applied Economics Association).

(26) Abeles-Allison, M.; Connor, L. J. An Analysis of Local Benefits and Costs of Michigan Hog Operations Experiencing Environmental Conflicts; Michigan State University, 1990. Department of Agricultural, Food, and Resource Economics.

(27) Kim, J.; Goldsmith, P. A Spatial Hedonic Approach to Assess the Impact of Swine Production on Residential Property Values. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **2009**, *42*, 509–534.

(28) Milla, K.; Thomas, M.; Ansine, W. Evaluating the Effect of Proximity to Hog Farms on Residential Property Values: A GIS-Based Hedonic Price Model Approach; Urban and Regional Information Systems Association, 2005; pp 27–32.

(29) Kim, J.; Goldsmith, P.; Thomas, M. H. Economic impact and public costs of confined animal feeding operations at the parcel level of Craven County, North Carolina. *Agric. Human Values* **2010**, *27* (1), 29–42.

(30) Administered Permit Programs: The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System. 40 CFR Part 122; U. S. Environmental Protection Agency.

(31) National Agricultural Statistics Service. 2022 Census of Agriculture Highlights: Hogs and Pigs; U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2024.

(32) North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Swine Waste Management System General Permit; General Assembly of North Carolina, 2019.

(33) Shea, K.; Schaffer-Smith, D.; Muenich, R. L. Using remote sensing to identify liquid manure applications in eastern North Carolina. *J. Environ. Manage.* **2022**, *317*, No. 115334.

(34) Christenson, E. C.; Serre, M. L. Integrating remote sensing with nutrient management plans to calculate nitrogen parameters for swine CAFOs at the sprayfield and sub-watershed scales. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *580*, 865–872.

(35) Handan-Nader, C.; Ho, D. E. Deep learning to map concentrated animal feeding operations. *Nat. Sustain.* 2019, 2 (4), 298–306.

(36) Montefiore, L. R.; Nelson, N. G.; Dean, A.; Sharara, M. Reconstructing the historical expansion of industrial swine production from Landsat imagery. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12* (1), No. 1736.

(37) Burns, A. M.; Chandler, G.; Dunham, K. J.; Carlton, A. G. Data Gap: Air Quality Networks Miss Air Pollution from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2023**, *57* (49), 20718–20725.

(38) Shephard, M. W.; Cady-Pereira, K. E. Cross-track Infrared Sounder (CrIS) satellite observations of tropospheric ammonia. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2015**, *8* (3), 1323–1336.

(39) Shephard, M. W.; Cady-Pereira, K. E.; Luo, M.; Henze, D. K.; Pinder, R. W.; Walker, J. T.; Rinsland, C. P.; Bash, J. O.; Zhu, L.; Payne, V. H.; Clarisse, L. TES ammonia retrieval strategy and global observations of the spatial and seasonal variability of ammonia. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11* (20), 10743–10763.

(40) Warner, J. X.; Wei, Z.; Strow, L. L.; Dickerson, R. R.; Nowak, J. B. The global tropospheric ammonia distribution as seen in the 13-year AIRS measurement record. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (8), 5467–5479.

(41) Someya, Y.; Imasu, R.; Shiomi, K.; Saitoh, N. Atmospheric ammonia retrieval from the TANSO-FTS/GOSAT thermal infrared sounder. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2020**, *13* (1), 309–321.

(42) Clerbaux, C.; Boynard, A.; Clarisse, L.; George, M.; Hadji-Lazaro, J.; Herbin, H.; Hurtmans, D.; Pommier, M.; Razavi, A.; Turquety, S.; Wespes, C.; Coheur, P. F. Monitoring of atmospheric composition using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (16), 6041–6054.

(43) Clarisse, L.; Clerbaux, C.; Dentener, F.; Hurtmans, D.; Coheur, P.-F. Global ammonia distribution derived from infrared satellite observations. *Nat. Geosci.* **2009**, *2* (7), 479–483.

(44) Van Damme, M.; Whitburn, S.; Clarisse, L.; Clerbaux, C.; Hurtmans, D.; Coheur, P. F. Version 2 of the IASI NH_3 neural network retrieval algorithm: near-real-time and reanalysed datasets. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2017**, *10* (12), 4905–4914. (45) Whitburn, S.; Van Damme, M.; Clarisse, L.; Bauduin, S.; Heald, C. L.; Hadji-Lazaro, J.; Hurtmans, D.; Zondlo, M. A.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P.-F. A flexible and robust neural network IASI-NH3 retrieval algorithm. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2016**, *121* (11), 6581–6599.

(46) Clarisse, L.; Van Damme, M.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P. F. Tracking down global NH_3 point sources with wind-adjusted superresolution. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2019**, *12* (10), 5457–5473.

(47) Luo, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, W.; Van Damme, M.; Coheur, P. F.; Clarisse, L. Estimating global ammonia (NH₃) emissions based on IASI observations from 2008 to 2018. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2022**, 22 (15), 10375–10388.

(48) Van Damme, M.; Clarisse, L.; Whitburn, S.; Hadji-Lazaro, J.; Hurtmans, D.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P.-F. Industrial and agricultural ammonia point sources exposed. Nature 2018, 564 (7734), 99-103. (49) Sun, K.; Cady-Pereira, K.; Miller, D. J.; Tao, L.; Zondlo, M. A.; Nowak, J. B.; Neuman, J. A.; Mikoviny, T.; Müller, M.; Wisthaler, A.; Scarino, A. J.; Hostetler, C. A. Validation of TES ammonia observations at the single pixel scale in the San Joaquin Valley during DISCOVER-AQ. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2015, 120 (10), 5140-5154. (50) Guo, X.; Wang, R.; Pan, D.; Zondlo, M. A.; Clarisse, L.; Van Damme, M.; Whitburn, S.; Coheur, P.-F.; Clerbaux, C.; Franco, B.; Golston, L. M.; Wendt, L.; Sun, K.; Tao, L.; Miller, D.; Mikoviny, T.; Müller, M.; Wisthaler, A.; Tevlin, A. G.; Murphy, J. G.; Nowak, J. B.; Roscioli, J. R.; Volkamer, R.; Kille, N.; Neuman, J. A.; Eilerman, S. J.; Crawford, J. H.; Yacovitch, T. I.; Barrick, J. D.; Scarino, A. J. Validation of IASI Satellite Ammonia Observations at the Pixel Scale Using In Situ Vertical Profiles. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2021, 126 (9),

No. e2020JD033475.
(51) Pleim, J. E.; Ran, L.; Appel, W.; Shephard, M. W.; Cady-Pereira,
K. New Bidirectional Ammonia Flux Model in an Air Quality Model
Coupled With an Agricultural Model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* 2019, 11 (9), 2934–2957.

(52) Nemitz, E.; Sutton, M. A.; Gut, A.; San José, R.; Husted, S.; Schjoerring, J. K. Sources and sinks of ammonia within an oilseed rape canopy. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2000**, *105* (4), 385–404.

(53) Pleim, J.; Ran, L. Surface Flux Modeling for Air Quality Applications. *Atmosphere* **2011**, *2* (3), 271–302.

(54) Dammers, E.; Palm, M.; Van Damme, M.; Vigouroux, C.; Smale, D.; Conway, S.; Toon, G. C.; Jones, N.; Nussbaumer, E.; Warneke, T.; Petri, C.; Clarisse, L.; Clerbaux, C.; Hermans, C.; Lutsch, E.; Strong, K.; Hannigan, J. W.; Nakajima, H.; Morino, I.; Herrera, B.; Stremme, W.; Grutter, M.; Schaap, M.; Wichink Kruit, R. J.; Notholt, J.; Coheur, P. F.; Erisman, J. W. An evaluation of IASI-NH3 with ground-based Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (16), 10351–10368.

(55) Dammers, E.; Shephard, M. W.; Palm, M.; Cady-Pereira, K.; Capps, S.; Lutsch, E.; Strong, K.; Hannigan, J. W.; Ortega, I.; Toon, G. C.; Stremme, W.; Grutter, M.; Jones, N.; Smale, D.; Siemons, J.; Hrpcek, K.; Tremblay, D.; Schaap, M.; Notholt, J.; Erisman, J. W. Validation of the CrIS fast physical NH₃ retrieval with ground-based FTIR. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2017**, *10* (7), 2645–2667.

(56) Kille, N.; Baidar, S.; Handley, P.; Ortega, I.; Sinreich, R.; Cooper, O. R.; Hase, F.; Hannigan, J. W.; Pfister, G.; Volkamer, R. The CU mobile Solar Occultation Flux instrument: structure functions and emission rates of NH_3 , NO_2 and C_2H_6 . *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2017**, *10* (1), 373–392.

(57) Wang, R.; Pan, D.; Guo, X.; Sun, K.; Clarisse, L.; Van Damme, M.; Coheur, P. F.; Clerbaux, C.; Puchalski, M.; Zondlo, M. A. Bridging the spatial gaps of the Ammonia Monitoring Network using satellite ammonia measurements. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2023**, 23, 13217–13234.

(58) Son, J. Y.; Miranda, M. L.; Bell, M. L. Exposure to concentrated animal feeding operations (CAFOs) and risk of mortality in North Carolina, USA. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2021**, *799*, No. 149407.

(59) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. 1964. 42 U.S.C. § 2000d.

(60) Coheur, P. F.; Clarisse, L.; Turquety, S.; Hurtmans, D.; Clerbaux, C. IASI measurements of reactive trace species in biomass burning plumes. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *9* (15), 5655–5667.

(61) Clarisse, L.; Shephard, M. W.; Dentener, F.; Hurtmans, D.; Cady-Pereira, K.; Karagulian, F.; Van Damme, M.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P.-F. Satellite monitoring of ammonia: A case study of the San Joaquin Valley. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2010**, *115* (D13), No. D13302.

(62) Clarisse, L.; Franco, B.; Van Damme, M.; Di Gioacchino, T.; Hadji-Lazaro, J.; Whitburn, S.; Noppen, L.; Hurtmans, D.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P. The IASI NH3 version 4 product: averaging kernels and improved consistency. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2023**, *16* (21), 5009– 5028.

(63) Sun, K.; Zhu, L.; Cady-Pereira, K.; Chan Miller, C.; Chance, K.; Clarisse, L.; Coheur, P. F.; González Abad, G.; Huang, G.; Liu, X.; Van Damme, M.; Yang, K.; Zondlo, M. A physics-based approach to oversample multi-satellite, multispecies observations to a common grid. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2018**, *11* (12), 6679–6701.

(64) ASOS Network. Iowa State University, Iowa Environmental Mesonet, 2024 https://mesonet.agron.iastate.edu/ASOS/. (accessed June 20, 2024).

(65) North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Animal Feeding Operations Program Summary, 2024. https://www.deq.nc.gov/about/divisions/water-resources/water-quality-permitting/animal-feeding-operations/program-summary. (accessed May 12, 2024).

(66) North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, List of Permitted Animal Facilities - 4-5-2023.

(67) Sutton, M. A.; Reis, S.; Riddick, S. N.; Dragosits, U.; Nemitz, E.; Theobald, M. R.; Tang, Y. S.; Braban, C. F.; Vieno, M.; Dore, A. J.; Mitchell, R. F.; Wanless, S.; Daunt, F.; Fowler, D.; Blackall, T. D.; Milford, C.; Flechard, C. R.; Loubet, B.; Massad, R.; Cellier, P.; Personne, E.; Coheur, P. F.; Clarisse, L.; Van Damme, M.; Ngadi, Y.; Clerbaux, C.; Skjøth, C. A.; Geels, C.; Hertel, O.; Wichink Kruit, R. J.; Pinder, R. W.; Bash, J. O.; Walker, J. T.; Simpson, D.; Horváth, L.; Misselbrook, T. H.; Bleeker, A.; Dentener, F.; de Vries, W. Towards a climate-dependent paradigm of ammonia emission and deposition. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B* **2013**, 368 (1621), No. 20130166.

(68) Eilerman, S. J.; Peischl, J.; Neuman, J. A.; Ryerson, T. B.; Aikin, K. C.; Holloway, M. W.; Zondlo, M. A.; Golston, L. M.; Pan, D.; Floerchinger, C.; Herndon, S. Characterization of Ammonia, Methane, and Nitrous Oxide Emissions from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations in Northeastern Colorado. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50* (20), 10885–10893.

(69) Aneja, V. P.; Chauhan, J. P.; Walker, J. T. Characterization of atmospheric ammonia emissions from swine waste storage and treatment lagoons. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2000**, *105* (D9), 11535–11545.

(70) Dentener, F. J.; Crutzen, P. J. A three-dimensional model of the global ammonia cycle. J. Atmos. Chem. **1994**, 19 (4), 331–369.

(71) Lonsdale, C. R.; Hegarty, J. D.; Cady-Pereira, K. E.; Alvarado, M. J.; Henze, D. K.; Turner, M. D.; Capps, S. L.; Nowak, J. B.; Neuman, J. A.; Middlebrook, A. M.; Bahreini, R.; Murphy, J. G.; Markovic, M. Z.; VandenBoer, T. C.; Russell, L. M.; Scarino, A. J. Modeling the diurnal variability of agricultural ammonia in Bakersfield, California, during the CalNex campaign. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (4), 2721–2739.

(72) Pusede, S. E.; Duffey, K. C.; Shusterman, A. A.; Saleh, A.; Laughner, J. L.; Wooldridge, P. J.; Zhang, Q.; Parworth, C. L.; Kim, H.; Capps, S. L.; Valin, L. C.; Cappa, C. D.; Fried, A.; Walega, J.; Nowak, J. B.; Weinheimer, A. J.; Hoff, R. M.; Berkoff, T. A.; Beyersdorf, A. J.; Olson, J.; Crawford, J. H.; Cohen, R. C. On the effectiveness of nitrogen oxide reductions as a control over ammonium nitrate aerosol. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (4), 2575–2596.

(73) Tevlin, A. G.; Li, Y.; Collett, J. L.; McDuffie, E. E.; Fischer, E. V.; Murphy, J. G. Tall Tower Vertical Profiles and Diurnal Trends of Ammonia in the Colorado Front Range. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2017**, *122* (22), 12,468–12,487.

(74) Nowak, J. B.; Neuman, J. A.; Bahreini, R.; Brock, C. A.; Middlebrook, A. M.; Wollny, A. G.; Holloway, J. S.; Peischl, J.; Ryerson, T. B.; Fehsenfeld, F. C. Airborne observations of ammonia (75) Hoell, J. M.; Harward, C. N.; Williams, B. S. Remote infrared heterodyne radiometer measurements of atmospheric ammonia profiles. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **1980**, 7 (5), 313–316.

(76) Ziereis, H.; Arnold, F. Gaseous ammonia and ammonium ions in the free troposphere. *Nature* **1986**, *321* (6069), 503–505.

(77) Pinder, R. W.; Walker, J. T.; Bash, J. O.; Cady-Pereira, K. E.; Henze, D. K.; Luo, M.; Osterman, G. B.; Shephard, M. W. Quantifying spatial and seasonal variability in atmospheric ammonia with in situ and space-based observations. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2011**, 38 (4), No. L04802.

(78) Ogneva-Himmelberger, Y.; Huang, L.; Xin, H. CALPUFF and CAFOs: Air Pollution Modeling and Environmental Justice Analysis in the North Carolina Hog Industry. *ISPRS Int. J. Geo-Inf.* **2015**, *4* (1), 150–171.

(79) Animal Feeding Operations General Permit Renewal, Draft Environmental Justice Report; North Carolina Department fo Environmental Quality, 2023.

(80) Forage Fertilization in North Carolina: Concepts and Guidelines, 2024 https://content.ces.ncsu.edu/forage-fertilization-in-north-carolina-concepts-and-guidelines. (accessed June 7, 2024).

(81) Walker, J. T.; Robarge, W. P.; Wu, Y.; Meyers, T. P. Measurement of bi-directional ammonia fluxes over soybean using the modified Bowen-ratio technique. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2006**, *138* (1), 54–68.

(82) Massad, R. S.; Nemitz, E.; Sutton, M. A. Review and parameterisation of bi-directional ammonia exchange between vegetation and the atmosphere. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, *10* (21), 10359–10386.

(83) Guo, X.; Pan, D.; Daly, R. W.; Chen, X.; Walker, J. T.; Tao, L.; McSpiritt, J.; Zondlo, M. A. Spatial heterogeneity of ammonia fluxes in a deciduous forest and adjacent grassland. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2022**, *326*, No. 109128.

(84) Asman, W. A. H.; Sutton, M. A.; Schjørring, J. K. Ammonia: emission, atmospheric transport and deposition. *New Phytol.* **1998**, 139 (1), 27–48.

(85) Ellis, R. A.; Murphy, J. G.; Markovic, M. Z.; VandenBoer, T. C.; Makar, P. A.; Brook, J.; Mihele, C. The influence of gas-particle partitioning and surface-atmosphere exchange on ammonia during BAQS-Met. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *11* (1), 133–145.

(86) Kutzner, R. D.; Cuesta, J.; Chelin, P.; Petit, J. E.; Ray, M.; Landsheere, X.; Tournadre, B.; Dupont, J. C.; Rosso, A.; Hase, F.; Orphal, J.; Beekmann, M. Diurnal evolution of total column and surface atmospheric ammonia in the megacity of Paris, France, during an intense springtime pollution episode. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2021**, 21 (15), 12091–12111.

(87) Stelson, A. W.; Seinfeld, J. H. Relative humidity and temperature dependence of the ammonium nitrate dissociation constant. *Atmos. Environ.* **1982**, *16* (5), 983–992.

(88) Dressel, I. M.; Zhang, S.; Demetillo, M. A. G.; Yu, S.; Fields, K.; Judd, L. M.; Nowlan, C. R.; Sun, K.; Kotsakis, A.; Turner, A. J.; Pusede, S. E. Neighborhood-Level Nitrogen Dioxide Inequalities Contribute to Surface Ozone Variability in Houston, Texas. ACS ES&T Air 2024, 1 (9), 973–988.

(89) Wang, R.; Guo, X.; Pan, D.; Kelly, J. T.; Bash, J. O.; Sun, K.; Paulot, F.; Clarisse, L.; Van Damme, M.; Whitburn, S.; Coheur, P.-F.; Clerbaux, C.; Zondlo, M. A. Monthly Patterns of Ammonia Over the Contiguous United States at 2-km Resolution. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2021**, 48 (5), No. e2020GL090579.

(90) Barkley, M. P.; Abad, G. G.; Kurosu, T. P.; Spurr, R.; Torbatian, S.; Lerot, C. OMI air-quality monitoring over the Middle East. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2017**, *17* (7), 4687–4709.

(91) Marais, E. A.; Pandey, A. K.; Van Damme, M.; Clarisse, L.; Coheur, P.-F.; Shephard, M. W.; Cady-Pereira, K. E.; Misselbrook, T.; Zhu, L.; Luo, G.; Yu, F. UK Ammonia Emissions Estimated With Satellite Observations and GEOS-Chem. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2021, 126 (18), No. e2021JD035237. (92) Dammers, E.; McLinden, C. A.; Griffin, D.; Shephard, M. W.; Van Der Graaf, S.; Lutsch, E.; Schaap, M.; Gainairu-Matz, Y.; Fioletov, V.; Van Damme, M.; Whitburn, S.; Clarisse, L.; Cady-Pereira, K.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P. F.; Erisman, J. W. NH₃ emissions from large point sources derived from CrIS and IASI satellite observations. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *19* (19), 12261–12293.

(93) Nicole, W. CAFOs and Environmental Justice: The Case of North Carolina. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2013, 121 (6), a182-a189.
(94) Oglesby, C. Rebuilding the Homestead *Margin* 2022.

(95) Swine Farm Environmental Performance Standards. SESSION LAW 2007-523, Senate Bill 1465; General Assembly of North Carolina: Carolina, 2007.

(96) Battye, W. H.; Bray, C. D.; Aneja, V. P.; Tong, D.; Lee, P.; Tang, Y. Evaluating Ammonia (NH_3) Predictions in the NOAA NAQFC for Eastern North Carolina Using Ground Level and Satellite Measurements. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* **2019**, *124* (14), 8242–8259.

(97) Yu, F.; Nair, A. A.; Luo, G. Long-Term Trend of Gaseous Ammonia Over the United States: Modeling and Comparison With Observations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos. 2018, 123 (15), 8315–8325.

(98) Pan, D.; Mauzerall, D. L.; Wang, R.; Guo, X.; Puchalski, M.; Guo, Y.; Song, S.; Tong, D.; Sullivan, A. P.; Schichtel, B. A.; Collett, J. L.; Zondlo, M. A. Regime shift in secondary inorganic aerosol formation and nitrogen deposition in the rural United States. *Nat. Geosci.* 2024, *17* (7), 617–623.

(99) Schiferl, L. D.; Heald, C. L.; Van Damme, M.; Clarisse, L.; Clerbaux, C.; Coheur, P. F.; Nowak, J. B.; Neuman, J. A.; Herndon, S. C.; Roscioli, J. R.; Eilerman, S. J. Interannual variability of ammonia concentrations over the United States: sources and implications. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (18), 12305–12328.

(100) Warner, J. X.; Dickerson, R. R.; Wei, Z.; Strow, L. L.; Wang, Y.; Liang, Q. Increased atmospheric ammonia over the world's major agricultural areas detected from space. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2017**, *44* (6), 2875–2884.

(101) Yao, X.; Zhang, L. Trends in atmospheric ammonia at urban, rural, and remote sites across North America. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *16* (17), 11465–11475.

(102) Earthjustice on behalf of the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network, R. E. A. f. C. H., and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc. to the North Carolina Department of Environment and Natural Resources (DENR), Complaint Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. ss 2000d, 40 C.F.R. Part 7. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, September 3. 2014.

(103) Earthjustice on behalf of the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network, R. E. A. f. C. H., and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc. to the North Carolina Department of Environment Quality (NCDEQ), Title VI Civil Rights Complaint and Petition for Relief or Sanction by North Carolina Environmental Justice Network, REACH, and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., Against North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (EPA OCR File No. 11R-14-R4): Intimidation. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency, July 11. 2016.

(104) Settlement Agreement between the North Carolina Environmental Justice Network, Rural Empowerment Association for Community Help, and Waterkeeper Alliance, Inc., (collectively, Complainants) and the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ or Department). 2018.

(105) Bowyer, J. R. Title VI: Increasing Equity, Transparency, and Environmental Protection in the Permitting of Swine Operations in North Carolina; North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, 2020. Attachment F: Final Duplin County Air Monitoring Study Report, NC Department of Environmental Quality Division of Air Quality Ambient Monitoring Section Raleigh, North Carolina Duplin County Air Monitoring Study (DCAMS): Final Report.

(106) North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Acceptable Ambient Levels (AALs), 2024. https://files.nc.gov/ ncdeq/Air%20Quality/toxics/risk/sab/aaldisc.pdf. (accessed June 20, 2024).

(107) Title 40 – Protection of Environment, Chapter I – EPA SubChapter C – Air Programs, Part 58 – Ambient Air Quality Surveillance, Appendix E to Part 58 – Probe and Monitoring Path Siting *Criteria for Ambient Air Quality Monitoring*; Environmental Protection Agency, 2006.

(108) Division of Air Quality, North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Duplin County Air Monitoring Study Data Releases: Ammonia (NH3), 2019. https://www.deq.nc.gov/about/ divisions/air-quality/air-quality-monitoring/special-studies/duplincounty-air-monitoring-study-data-releases. (accessed May 2024).

(109) Sorg, L. Environmental groups, scientists say DEQ's air monitoring program fails the sniff test NC NEWSLINE 2020.

(110) Grant, R. H.; Boehm, M. T. National Air Emissions Monitoring Study: Data from the Southeastern US Pork Production Facility NC3A. Final Report to the Agricultural Air Research Council; Purdue University: West Lafayette, IN, 2010.

(111) Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, U. S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Toxicological Profile for Ammonia. 2004.

(112) Amoore, J. E.Properties of Olfactory System. Institute of Gas Technology; Institute of Gas Technology Symposium on Odorization: Chicago, IL, 1980.

(113) Williams, J.; Ringsdorf, A. Human odour thresholds are tuned to atmospheric chemical lifetimes. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., B* **2020**, 375 (1800), No. 20190274.

(114) Stevens, J. C.; Cain, W. S.; Burke, R. J. Variability of olfactory thresholds. *Chem. Senses* 1988, 13 (4), 643-653.

(115) Odor Threshold for Chemicals with Established Occupational Health Standards; AIHA Publishing, 2013.

(116) Title VI: Increasing Equity, Transparency, and Environmental Protection in the Permitting of Swine Operations in North Carolina; North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, 2020.

(117) Biogas Digester General Permit Development; North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, 2022.

(118) North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, Community Mapping System 2024. https://www.deq.nc.gov/ outreach-education/environmental-justice/deq-north-carolinacommunity-mapping-system. (accessed May 2024).

(119) Community Mapping System, Glossery of Terms and Definitions; North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality, 2022.

(120) Demetillo, M. A. G.; Harkins, C.; McDonald, B. C.; Chodrow, P. S.; Sun, K.; Pusede, S. E. Space-Based Observational Constraints on NO₂ Air Pollution Inequality From Diesel Traffic in Major US Cities. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2021**, 48 (17), No. e2021GL094333.

(121) Demetillo, M. A. G.; Navarro, A.; Knowles, K. K.; Fields, K. P.; Geddes, J. A.; Nowlan, C. R.; Janz, S. J.; Judd, L. M.; Al-Saadi, J.; Sun, K.; McDonald, B. C.; Diskin, G. S.; Pusede, S. E. Observing Nitrogen Dioxide Air Pollution Inequality Using High-Spatial-Resolution Remote Sensing Measurements in Houston, Texas. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *54* (16), 9882–9895.

(122) Puchalski, M. A.; Sather, M. E.; Walker, J. T.; Lehmann, C. M. B.; Gay, D. A.; Mathew, J.; Robarge, W. P. Passive ammonia monitoring in the United States: Comparing three different sampling devices. *J. Environ. Monit.* **2011**, *13* (11), 3156–3167.

(123) An Act to Make Various Changes to the Agricultural Laws. In S.L. 2018- 113, Section 106-701(a)(2) (S.B. 711) (2018) (codified as N.C. GEN. STAT. § 106-701(a)(2)), North Carolina. 2018.

(124) McKiver v. Murphy-Brown LLC. In 980 F.3d 937, 4th Circuit. 2020.

(125) D'Angelo, A. Taking the Whole Hog: How North Carolina's Right-to-Farm Act Strips Access to Nuisance Suits for Vulnerable Communities. *Georgetown Environ. Law Rev.* **2021**, 34 (141), 141–165.

(126) Smart, C. M. The "Right to Commit Nuisance" in North Carolina: A Historical Analysis of the Right-to-Farm Act. Univ. N. C. Law Rev. 2016, 94 (6), 2096–2154.

(127) Manson, J. S. S.; Van Riper, D.; Knowles, K.; Kugler, T.; Roberts, F.; Ruggles, S. *IPUMS National Historical Geographic Information System*; IPUMS: Minneapolis, MN, 2023. Version 18.0 [dataset].