UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Short term cardiovascular symptoms improvement after deep brain stimulation in patients with Parkinsons disease: a systematic review.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4ph1t4qv>

Journal Journal of Neurology, 271(7)

Authors

Cucinotta, Francescopaolo Swinnen, Bart Makovac, Elena [et al.](https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4ph1t4qv#author)

Publication Date

2024-07-01

DOI

10.1007/s00415-024-12459-1

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

REVIEW

Short term cardiovascular symptoms improvement after deep brain stimulation in patients with Parkinson's disease: a systematic review

Francescopaolo Cucinotta^{1,2} · Bart Swinnen^{3,4,5} · Elena Makovac^{2,6,7} · Stephanie Hirschbichler^{8,9} · Erlick Pereira² · **Simon Little3,4 · Francesca Morgante2 · Lucia Ricciardi2,[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9401-3301)**

Received: 12 March 2024 / Revised: 19 April 2024 / Accepted: 17 May 2024 / Published online: 29 May 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Background Autonomic dysfunction is common and disabling in Parkinson's disease (PD). The efects of deep brain stimulation (DBS) on the cardiovascular system in PD remain poorly understood. We aimed to assess the efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms and objective measures in PD patients.

Methods We conducted a systematic literature search in PubMed/MEDLINE.

Results 36 out of 472 studies were included, mostly involving DBS of the subthalamic nucleus, and to a lesser extent the globus pallidus pars interna and pedunculopontine nucleus. Seventeen studies evaluated the efect of DBS on patient-reported or clinician-rated cardiovascular symptoms, showing an improvement in the frst year after surgery but not with longerterm follow-up. DBS has no clear direct efects on blood pressure during an orthostatic challenge (n=10 studies). DBS has inconsistent effects on heart rate variability ($n=10$ studies).

Conclusion Current evidence on the impact of DBS on cardiovascular functions in PD is inconclusive. DBS may offer short-term improvement of cardiovascular symptoms in PD, particularly orthostatic hypotension, which may be attributed to dopaminergic medication reduction after surgery. There is insufficient evidence to draw conclusions on the direct effect of DBS on blood pressure and heart rate variability.

keywords Deep brain stimulation · Parkinson's disease · Cardiovascular functions · Heart rate variability

Introduction

Dysfunction of the autonomic nervous system is common in people with Parkinson's disease (PD) and includes symptoms of altered cardiovascular, gastrointestinal, urinary,

contributed equally.

 \boxtimes Lucia Ricciardi lricciar@sgul.ac.uk

- ¹ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Messina, Messina, Italy
- ² Neurosciences and Cell Biology Institute, Neuromodulation and Motor Control Section, St George's University of London, London, UK
- ³ UCSF, Department of Neurology, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA
- UCSF, Weill Institute for Neurosciences, Movement Disorders and Neuromodulation Centre, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

thermoregulatory, and sexual functions. Autonomic dysfunction in PD is an important determinant of disability, disease-related quality of life, and mortality [[1\]](#page-12-0). The exact mechanisms underlying autonomic dysfunction in PD remain unclear, with varying roles attributed to degeneration of the central (e.g. preganglionic neurons within brainstem nuclei like the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve) Francescopaolo Cucinotta and Bart Swinnen these authors

- ⁵ Department of Neurology and Clinical Neurophysiology, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- Centre for Neuroimaging Science, King's College, London, UK
- ⁷ Brunel University London, Uxbridge, UK
- Karl Landsteiner University of Health Sciences, Dr. Karl-Dorrek-Straße 30, 3500 Krems, Austria
- ⁹ Department of Neurology, University Hospital St. Pölten, Dunant-Platz 1, 3100 St. Pölten, Austria

and peripheral (e.g. postganglionic neurons and the enteric nervous system) autonomic nervous system [[2\]](#page-12-1), and more recently with changes in the brain regions regulating cardio-vagal outflow (known as central autonomic network) [\[3](#page-12-2), [4](#page-12-3)].

Autonomic disturbances in PD can occur at any disease stage, even preceding the onset of motor symptoms—coined 'prodromal' symptoms [[5\]](#page-12-4). Overall, cardiovascular symptoms occur in approximately 70% of PD patients [[6\]](#page-12-5). They can be present at any stage of the disease—including early in the disease course [[7\]](#page-12-6), and symptoms include orthostatic hypotension, postprandial hypotension, supine hypertension,

and nocturnal non-dipping blood pressure (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)A) [[2](#page-12-1)]. Although these symptoms may often go unnoticed by the patient, they are associated with important and considerable risks. Orthostatic hypotension can be the cause of unexplained falls, and supine or nocturnal hypertension can lead to potentially fatal renal and cerebral vasculopathies [[8,](#page-12-7) [9](#page-12-8)]. Both parasympathetic [\[10\]](#page-12-9) and sympathetic [\[11](#page-12-10)] nervous system dysfunction have been suggested to contribute to these cardiovascular symptoms. Since sympathetic denervation of the heart occurs early in the disease, objective measurement hereof with 123I-metaiodobenzylguanidine **Fig. 1** Phenomenology and assessment of cardiovascular symptoms ◂in Parkinson's disease. Panel (**A**) depicts cardiovascular symptoms in people with Parkinson's disease (ii) compared to healthy individuals (i) as illustrated by a fctional 24-h blood pressure measurement. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) is indicated by the orange line, and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) by the green line. Wakefulness (i.e. awake versus asleep) and bodily positions/activities (i.e. supine versus sitting/standing/walking) are indicated by color-coded bars above each grach. Meal timepoints (i.e. breakfast, lunch, and dinner) are indicated by vertical dashed lines. The oval inserts depict a magnifed curve of the blood pressure at the moment of transition from sitting to standing (i.e. an orthostatic challenge). The occurrence of cardiovascular symptoms in Parkinson's disease are indicated by numbers within a circle. These are (1) orthostatic hypotension, (2) postprandial hypotension, (3) supine hypertension, and (4) nocturnal non-dipping blood pressure. Panel (**B**) depicts the three most frequently used questionnaires/scales to subjectively measure cardiovascular symptoms in people with Parkinson's disease. These are (i) Non-Motor Symptoms Scale (NMSS), (ii) Non-Motor Symptoms Questionnaire (NMSQ), and (iii) Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease—Autonomic (SCOPA-Aut). Panel (**C**) depicts objective measurements used to assess cardiovascular function in Parkinsons's disease. These include: (i) single measurements of blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) in the lying or sitting position, (ii) semi-continuous measurements of BP and HR at home, (iii) BP and HR measurements during an orthostatic challenge—either out-patient/bedside or with formal tilt table testing, and (iv) measures of heart rate variability (HRV) based on electrocardiography (ECG). HRV measures are derivations from the interbeat intervals (RR-interval), both in the time domain (e.g. Standard Deviation of the N–N intervals (SDNN) and Root Mean Square of Successive Diferences (RMSSD)) and frequency domain (e.g. low frequency (LF) and high frequency (HF) resulting from a fast Fourier transformation (FFT))

(MIBG) myocardial scintigraphy is considered a supportive criterion in the diagnosis of Parkinson's disease [\[12](#page-12-11)]. MIBG scintigraphy allows the discrimination between PD and multiple system atrophy, since the peripheral autonomic nervous system is preserved in the latter [[13](#page-12-12), [14](#page-12-13)].

There is an increasing clinical and research interest in measuring, monitoring, and unravelling cardiovascular dysfunctions in PD patients. Subjective measures of cardiovascular symptoms (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B) encompass patient-reported clinical scales such as the Non-Motor Symptoms Questionnaire (NMSQ) [[15](#page-12-14)] and the Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease-autonomic (SCOPA-aut) [[16\]](#page-12-15), which have sub-scores for cardiovascular symptoms. There are also clinician-rated assessment scales such as the Non-Motor Symptoms Scale (NMSS) [\[17](#page-12-16)], which includes a cardiovascular domain. The main objective tools (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)C) employed currently for measuring cardiovascular function include ambulatory or at-home monitoring of blood pressure and measures of heart rate and its variability (HRV). Orthostatic hypotension (OH) is classically defned as a drop in systolic blood pressure of at least 20 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure of at least 10 mm Hg within three minutes of standing or head-up tilt [[18](#page-12-17)]. Notably, varying cut-ofs are used in clinical and investigational practice—including the diagnostic criteria for PD [[12,](#page-12-11) [19](#page-12-18)]. In keeping with the neurogenic nature (i.e. failure of the baroreceptor refex) of OH in PD, heart rate generally does not increase signifcantly during an orthostatic challenge [[20\]](#page-12-19). This is diferent from non-neurogenic causes (e.g. dehydration, deconditioning, or heart failure) of OH, which are typically accompanied by a heart rate increase—effectively demonstrating an intact baroreceptor reflex $[21]$ $[21]$. A recent consensus panel of experts has proposed an increase in HR of<15 beats per minute to support the diagnosis of neurogenic OH [[22](#page-12-21)]. Moreover, recently an index has been validated which defnes neurogenic OH as a heart rate to systolic blood pressure (HR/SBP) ratio of < 0.492 during the active standing test [\[23](#page-12-22)].

Heart rate variability (HRV) can be measured, to varying degrees of precision, with a standard electrocardiogram and more recently using wearables that have photoplethysmography sensors for detecting the interbeat interval. HRV measures the variation of time between successive heartbeats; it can be assessed with various analytical approaches, and the most commonly used are frequency domain (e.g. low frequency (LF; 0.04–0.15 Hz) and high frequency (HF; 0.15–0.4 Hz)) and time domain analysis $[24]$ $[24]$ $[24]$ such as the Root Mean Square of Successive Diferences (RMSSD) and the Standard Deviation of the N–N intervals (SDNN). Studies indicate that HF and RMSSD exhibit a degree of specificity for parasympathetic activity $[25]$ $[25]$. Conversely, the interpretation of LF is less straightforward; while initially viewed as a marker of sympathetic nervous system activity, recent research connects it to barorefex function. The LF/ HF power ratio serves as an indicator of sympatho-vagal balance. Finally, SDNN is defned as a measure of general cardiovascular health and when assessed over a 24 h period, SDNN is the clinical "gold standard" assessment for cardiovascular functions $[25]$ $[25]$ $[25]$. Heart rate variability (HRV) has been consistently used as a measure of autonomic functions in PD [\[26\]](#page-12-25). There is meta-analytic evidence that HRV is lower in patients with PD as compared to healthy controls even at an early stage of the disease [\[26](#page-12-25), [27](#page-12-26)].

Deep brain stimulation (DBS) is a well-established treatment for motor symptoms and motor fuctuations in advanced PD [\[28\]](#page-12-27). The most commonly used targets of DBS for PD are the subthalamic nucleus (STN) and the globus pallidus pars interna (GPi). The ventral intermediate nucleus of the thalamus and the posterior subthalamic area have signifcant long-term beneft for tremor control but insufficient for other motor features of PD [\[29\]](#page-12-28). Finally, the pedunculopontine nucleus (PPN) is another target for DBS, but remains an investigational target. More recently, several studies have suggested a beneficial effect of DBS on non-motor PD symptoms overall and in some symptoms specifically (e.g. sleep and pain) $[30]$ $[30]$. The effect of DBS on cardiovascular PD symptoms, however, is unclear, with studies drawing conficting conclusions [\[31](#page-12-30), [32\]](#page-12-31) especially with regard to the effect of DBS on HRV.

In this article, we aimed to systematically review the literature regarding the efect of DBS on cardiovascular indices in PD. We assessed (1) the effect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms as subjectively reported by patients (i.e. via self-report questionnaires and clinician administered scales), and (2) the efect of DBS on cardiovascular functions evaluated with objective measures (i.e. measurements of blood pressure and heart rate variability).

Methods

Literature search

The present systematic review adhered to the recommendations of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [[33\]](#page-12-32). Each stage of this review was conducted according to the guidelines of the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

The following inclusion criteria were used: studies involving PD patients treated with DBS (without prerequisites regarding DBS target); studies in which the efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms and/or on cardiovascular functions was reported (e.g. using clinical scales, measuring blood pressure (BP), heart rate or HRV; observational studies, randomised and non-randomised controlled trials and interventions; studies reported in English. Two investigators (FC, SH) assessed the eligibility of all full-text articles. When the 2 raters had disagreement in the inclusion of a study, a third rater (BS) was used to resolve the confict.

A comprehensive search was performed on June 1st 2023, across two databases—PubMed [\(http://www.ncbi.](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) [nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/\)](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) and Medline [\(http://www.ovidsp.](http://www.ovidsp.dc1.ovid.com) [dc1.ovid.com\)](http://www.ovidsp.dc1.ovid.com)—to identify all articles published from inception until May 31th 2023. The following Medical Subject Headings (MESH) terms were used: "((Deep brain stimulation OR DBS) AND (cardiovascular symptoms OR nonmotor symptoms) AND (Parkinson disease OR PD) AND ((Non-Motor Symptoms Scale OR NMSS) OR (Non-Motor Symptoms Questionnaire OR NMSQ) OR (Scales for Outcomes in Parkinson's Disease—Autonomic Dysfunction OR SCOPA-Aut))) OR ((Deep brain stimulation OR DBS) AND (hypotension OR hypertension OR blood pressure) AND (Parkinson disease OR PD)) OR ((Heart Rate Variability OR HRV) AND (deep brain stimulation OR DBS) AND (Parkinson disease OR PD))". After performing the systematic search, we also manually checked the reference list of relevant articles and previous reviews to increase the sensitivity of our search strategy.

Data extraction and analysis

The following data were extracted: study design, sample size, demographics (i.e. age, gender, disease duration, time since DBS surgery), DBS target (e.g., STN, GPi, PPN), method(s) used for clinical assessment of cardiovascular symptoms (if applicable), and method(s) and setting (i.e. in-hospital versus ambulatory, off-stimulation versus onstimulation, off-medication versus on-medication) used for objective evaluation of cardiovascular functions (if applicable). If HRV measures were reported, HRV parameters both in the frequency and time domains were extracted. In case of incomplete data, additional information was requested from the corresponding authors.

To compare the efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms and BP/HRV measures across studies accounting for the varying methods of assessment (Fig. [1B](#page-3-0) and C), for each study, Cohen's d efect sizes (postoperative versus preoperative, or on-stimulation versus off-stimulation) were calculated if mean and standard deviation were reported. Cohen's d efect sizes were interpreted in the following ranges: unclear effect < 0.2 , small effect 0.2–0.5, medium effect $0.5-0.8$, and large effect > 0.8 [\[34](#page-12-33)]. For various settings, weighted Cohen's d effect sizes were calculated factoring in the number of participants per study. To assess the relation between time since DBS surgery and pre to postoperative change in cardiovascular symptoms, linear regression was used with p-level defned at 0.05. Regarding the efect of DBS on blood pressure during orthostatic challenge, per setting, the weighted change in orthostatic blood pressure decrease was calculated. Analyses were performed with customised scripts using functions implemented in Matlab R2023a (Mathworks, Natick, MA).

Results

Our search strategy identifed 472 articles (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)). After removing duplicates, the abstracts of 467 articles were screened and 55 of those were selected for full-text assessment. No additional studies were identifed by reviewing the reference lists of these 55 studies. After full-text screening, 36 studies were included in the review. Preoperative and postoperative levodopa-equivalent daily dose (LEDD) was reported in 16 out of 36 studies. On average, after surgery, LEDD was reduced by 518 mg or 46.9%. Overall, studies comparing preoperative and postoperative evaluations mostly concerned prospective cohort studies, and studies comparing stimulation OFF with stimulation ON concerned within-subject studies—mostly without blinding.

Efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms assessed by questionnaires/clinical scales

Seventeen studies evaluated the effect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms, as assessed pre and postoperatively by selfreported or clinician-rated scales. The STN was the main target in all studies, except for one study on GPi. The total sample of these 17 studies was 885 PD patients with a mean age of 60.5 (SD 3.8) years and a mean disease duration of 10.8 (SD 1.4) years. The time between DBS surgery and postoperative assessment ranged from 1 to 36 months.

Estimation of Cohen's d efect size was possible in 14 out of 17 studies (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The NMSS scale was the most frequent methodology, as it was employed in 12 out of 14 studies. In eleven of these 12 studies, NMSS was administered preoperatively and no later than one year after surgery. While all but one study reported a numeric decrease (i.e. improvement) in NMSS postoperatively, the improvement was reported as statistically significant in only five studies. The weighted Cohen's d effect size across these 12 studies indicated a small improvement (Cohen's d=− 0.26) of cardiovascular symptoms in the frst year after surgery. Of note, the one study assessing both GPi-DBS and STN-DBS reported a moderate improvement (Cohen's d=− 0.70) with GPi-DBS, which was larger than for STN-DBS [\[35](#page-12-34)]. Postoperative follow-up duration was longer than one year in three studies using NMSS. Two of these three studies reported a statistically signifcant worsening of cardiovascular symptoms compared to preoperatively, with weighted Cohen's d across the three studies indicating a small worsening (Cohen's $d = 0.28$). NMSQ was used in two out of 14 studies, and Scopa-Aut in one out of 14. These studies reported a numeric but not statistically signifcant improvement of cardiovascular symptoms, with a weighted Cohen's d efect size suggesting a small improvement (Cohen's d=− 0.20 for Scopa-Aut).

2 Springer

Considering all 14 studies employing either NMSS, NMSQ, or Scopa-Aut, there was a significant $(p=0.0002)$ relation between follow-up duration (i.e. time since DBS surgery) and Cohen's d effect size of the pre to postoperative change in cardiovascular symptoms (Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0): the longer the follow-up duration, the smaller the postoperative improvement in cardiovascular symptoms.

Three studies were not included in Table [1](#page-6-0) since data required for Cohen's d estimation were not available. Although one study $(n=36)$ reported an improvement in cardiovascular symptoms measured via NMSS in the frst year after surgery [[36](#page-12-35)], no statistically signifcant change was observed in the other two studies with a sample of $n=190$ (the largest sample size of all studies) $\left[37\right]$ $\left[37\right]$ $\left[37\right]$ and $n=57$ $\left[32\right]$.

One study also included a non-operated control group $(n=38$ in both the DBS and the control group), and found no between-group diference in the change from baseline to follow-up assessment at 3 years NMSS cardiovascular subdomain [\[38](#page-13-1)].

Efect of DBS on blood pressure during orthostatic challenge

Ten studies evaluated the effect of DBS on BP during an orthostatic challenge (Table [2](#page-8-0)). STN-DBS was employed in nine, and PPN-DBS in two studies. The ten studies encompassed 149 PD patients with a mean age of 61.3 (SD 3.8) years and a mean disease duration of 14.9 (SD 2.7) years.

Fig. 3 Efect of DBS on cardiovascular aspects of Parkinson's disease over time. 17 studies assessed the efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms by comparing preoperative and postoperative questionnaires or scales. Cohen's d efect sizes could be calculated for 14 out of 17 studies, with negative Cohen's d values indicating an improvement compared to preoperatively, and positive Cohen's d values indicating a worsening. In this graph, for each of these 14 studies, the respective Cohen's d value is plotted against the time between surgery and postoperative assessment. Note that some studies included multiple assessments over time. Relation between Cohen's d efect size and time since surgery was assessed by linear regression (dashed line), demonstrating a significant $(p=0.0002)$ correlation

The time between DBS surgery and postoperative assessment ranged from 1 to 70 months. Tilt Table Testing (or Head Up Test) was the most frequently employed method, and the majority of studies were conducted in the on-medication state.

Three studies evaluated changes in BP during an orthostatic challenge before and after DBS (Table [2\)](#page-8-0). One study, mostly including patients without orthostatic hypotension, reported no change [[39\]](#page-13-2). Two studies included patients with OH and showed 62% [\[40](#page-13-3)] and 72% [[41\]](#page-13-4) improvement in the number of people reporting OH after DBS as compared to preoperatively.

Six studies evaluated the acute efect of STN-DBS on blood pressure during an orthostatic challenge (Table [2](#page-8-0)). Despite heterogeneity in the time since surgery (between 3 and 70 months) and the dopaminergic medication state, no obvious diferences in orthostatic systolic blood pressure dip were noted with stimulation ON compared to stimulation OFF. Across these studies, the weighted change in orthostatic systolic blood pressure dip was 5.3 mmHg (i.e. worse). In one study, however, systolic blood pressure dip upon standing was smaller (i.e. improved) when stimulation was delivered in the dorsal STN selectively [\[42](#page-13-5)].

The acute effect of PPN-DBS on orthostatic systolic blood pressure dip was assessed in two studies. Whereas no diference was observed in one patient [[42\]](#page-13-5), a case series of 6 patients reported a signifcant improvement of 8.9 mmHg [[43\]](#page-13-6).

Two studies included a non-operated control group [[39,](#page-13-2) [44](#page-13-7)]. Despite limited data, orthostatic systolic blood pressure dips were more pronounced in patients on optimal pharmacotherapy than in those who underwent STN-DBS.

Two studies performing supine blood pressure measurements $[45]$ $[45]$ and during a cold pressor test $[46]$ $[46]$ were not included in Table [2](#page-8-0) because no orthostatic challenge has been performed. These studies reported no diference between on-stimulation and off-stimulation assessments.

Efect of DBS on HRV

Twelve studies assessed the effect of DBS on HRV in PD patients (Table [3\)](#page-9-0). The STN was the DBS target in 11 studies, and the PPN in one study [\[43\]](#page-13-6). Altogether, these 12 studies encompassed 196 PD patients with a mean age of 61.3 (SD 3.6) years and a mean disease duration of 14.8 (SD 3.9) years. Measurements were mostly performed while the patients were resting, and had in general a short (approximately 5 min) duration—only one study had a 24-h duration [[47\]](#page-13-10). Around half of the studies were performed in the off-medication state, and half in the on-medication state. Frequency domain HRV measures (mostly LF and HF) were most frequently employed. Incomplete reporting precluded

Cohen's d effect size calculation in many studies, hence study interpretation in this section is largely qualitative.

Five out of 12 studies made a comparison between preoperative and postoperative HRV values, and reported varying outcomes. Two studies showed no signifcant change in HRV after STN-DBS [[47](#page-13-10), [48](#page-13-11)]. One study reported an increase in LF but not HF power, however only in patients who had a good motor outcome after surgery (i.e. decrease in the UPDRS total score $>$ 50%) [\[49\]](#page-13-12). These data are, however, conficted by one study reporting decreased LF [[50](#page-13-13)], and another study reporting increased HF [\[41](#page-13-4)].

Eight studies evaluated the acute efect of DBS on HRV measures in PD patients. Whereas three studies showed no significant change [\[43](#page-13-6), [44](#page-13-7), [50](#page-13-13), [51](#page-13-14)], differences between offstimulation and on-stimulation were reported in the other studies. Although the directionality and magnitude of these diferences varied considerably across studies, there are some commonalities. Two intraoperative studies assessed the contribution of stimulation voltage and stimulation location to the efect of DBS on HRV. One study observed an increase in LF with stimulation, but only upon higher stimulation voltages when stimulation was delivered in a blinded ('unaware') way in the most ventral contacts in the motor STN [\[52](#page-13-15)]. In another study from the same group, the increase of LF upon stimulation of the most ventral contact in the motor STN was infuenced by the awareness of the patient to the stimulation—suggesting possible limbic infuences when stimulating this portion of the STN possibly because of the spread of current to the medial STN [\[53](#page-13-16)]. This notion is further corroborated by another study conducted during sleep—demonstrating a relation between the distance of clinically efective stimulation from the structures implicated in sympathetic regulation (like limbic pathways and zona incerta) and the increase of LF/HF ratio [\[54\]](#page-13-17). Opposed to this DBS-induced LF/HF ratio increase, another study reported LF/HF ratio to decrease with stimulation [[55](#page-13-18)].

Four studies evaluated the acute efect of DBS on HRV during active conditions. Tilt Table Testing was employed in two studies, both of which demonstrated no efect of DBS on HRV parameters [[51,](#page-13-14) [55\]](#page-13-18). In line herewith, DBS was reported not to afect HRV when assessed during a Valsava manoeuvre [[43\]](#page-13-6) or controlled deep breathing [\[44,](#page-13-7) [55\]](#page-13-18).

Discussion

The role of DBS on motor symptoms in people with PD is well defned and its efect on non-motor symptoms has recently gained interest [\[28,](#page-12-27) [56\]](#page-13-19). In the present study we systematically reviewed the evidence regarding the effect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms and objective measures of cardiovascular functioning in PD patients.

Most of the studies included patients with STN-DBS, a small number of studies have also looked at the effect of GPi-DBS or PPN-DBS on cardiovascular measures in PD.

Overall, these studies suggest that, when exploring the efect of DBS on cardiovascular symptoms using questionnaires or clinical scales, there is a short-term improvement in symptom severity in the frst year after DBS. The magnitude of the improvement is, however, small, so clinical relevance remains unclear. Interestingly, the postoperative improvement in cardiovascular symptoms seems to disappear with longer DBS duration, with even worsening of symptoms compared to preoperatively.

This temporal response of symptoms to DBS can be related to a number of factors including the change in medications after surgery and the underlying disease progression. Indeed, levodopa and dopaminergic medications in general are known to have an efect on cardiovascular functions in PD [[57\]](#page-13-20), with higher doses having a more detrimental effect than lower doses [\[58\]](#page-13-21). The reported short-term improvement in cardiovascular symptoms after DBS may refect the decrease in total levodopa equivalent daily dose (46.9% reduction in the included studies), however only scanty data are available and there is no control for this variable in the vast majority of the studies.

Moreover, the loss of beneft and even the worsening of cardiovascular symptoms in the studies with longer followup is likely a refection of disease progression. Indeed, although autonomic dysfunction can occur at any stage of the disease, a correlation between disease duration and worsening of autonomic functions has been reported in PD [\[59](#page-13-22)].

One study suggested a signifcant improvement in cardiovascular symptoms, using a self-report questionnaire, in PD patients after 6 months of GPi-DBS and not STN-DBS [\[35](#page-12-34)]. Of note, GPi-DBS patients had more severe baseline cardiovascular symptoms, in line with real-world practice, where GPi-DBS is proposed for frailer elderly patients, with poorer cognition and more comorbid conditions, including orthostatic hypertension $[60]$. Although a moderate effect size is reported by the authors, validation of this single report is needed. No study has evaluated this efect of GPi using objective measures of blood pressure or HRV.

The effect of DBS on blood pressure and HRV is more complex. We evaluated both longitudinal studies comparing preoperative with postoperative assessment, and crosssectional studies performing postoperative assessments comparing off-stimulation versus on-stimulation measurement.

The longitudinal studies assessing PD patients before and after DBS suggest that STN-DBS can reduce objectively measured orthostatic hypotension. This again might be in relation to the reduction of dopaminergic medications that is usually achieved with STN-DBS, as a detrimental efect of levodopa and other dopaminergic medication on blood pressure regulation has been demonstrated [\[61](#page-13-24), [62](#page-13-25)].

Another more speculative explanation could be that the reduction in orthostatic hypotension might result from the spread of the electrical stimulation to neighbouring structures of the STN that are implicated in the regulation of blood pressure, such as, for example the posterior subthalamic area and fbres involved in the sympathetic control. Whereas stimulation of the STN itself does not seem to reduce orthostatic hypotension, the role of PPN in regulating cardiovascular functions has been suggested in one of the studies included in this review. This small study suggested a signifcant improvement in orthostatic hypotension and in the cardiovascular response during the Valsalva manoeuvre compared to without PPN stimulation [[43\]](#page-13-6). The mechanisms through which the PPN regulates cardiovascular functions remain elusive. A direct efect on the peripheral vascular tone and on myocardial contractility as well as a role in the neural control of the barorefex activation have been suggested [\[43\]](#page-13-6).

Cross-sectional studies evaluating the efect of STN-DBS on blood pressure and HRV, suggest an efect of the intensity of the electrical stimulation (amplitude) and the exact location of the stimulation within the STN. Specifcally, high amplitude and stimulation in the inferomedial part of the STN were associated with a stronger efect on blood pressure and HRV. This could be mediated by the spread of current to areas involved in the central regulation of the autonomic nervous system, and supports a role of the medial STN in sympathetic control, through its limbic connections [[63\]](#page-13-26). An important limitation of the studies included in the present review, however, is a lack of information on the precise location of the DBS electrodes and the volume of tissue activated.

In summary, the available longitudinal studies show an overall improvement of cardiovascular symptoms, mainly orthostatic hypotension, in the short-term after STN-DBS. This effect dissipates over time, possibly reflecting a benefcial efect of dopaminergic medication reduction after surgery and a detrimental effect of ongoing disease progression on autonomic functions. This is also supported by the results of cross-sectional studies showing little or no efect of STN-DBS (stimulation ON versus stimulation OFF) on more objective measures such as blood pressure and HRV during a challenge. Interestingly, some preliminary reports suggest that when stimulation is targeted towards or spreads to structures in the vicinity of the STN (e.g. hypothalamus, zona incerta), a direct benefcial modulation of cardiovascular functions could be achieved.

To better understand and optimize the efect of DBS on cardiovascular functions in PD, future studies will need to evaluate stimulation at conventional targets (i.e. STN and GPi—the latter currently underrepresented and showing promise) and more investigational ones (e.g. PPN). Since the questionnaires used in the available studies evaluate almost

exclusively orthostatic hypotension, effort needs to be made to include other cardiovascular symptoms (e.g. postprandial hypotension and supine hypertension) in the assessments. Objective measures, incorporated in a well-designed protocol possibly including stressors (e.g. orthostatic challenge, Valsalva etc.), should supplement subjective assessments. A number of confounding factors should be taken into account in the future, including the role of medications (both those for PD and those prescribed for other conditions), the efect of motor and non-motor symptoms, and the efect of disease duration. Also, more objective, experimental ON/OFF stimulation studies are needed, with rigorous methods including blinded design, testing multiple stimulation amplitudes and looking at the exact spatial spread of direct neural activation in response to electrical stimulation [[64\]](#page-13-27).

A better understanding of the anatomical and functional interplay between DBS and cardiovascular systems will be required for the development of targeted modulation of cardiovascular functions in PD via DBS.

Conclusions

In conclusion, although the effect of DBS on motor symptoms is well-established, its efect on autonomic nervous system and specifcally on cardiovascular functions remains unclear. Here, we fnd evidence supportive of an acute beneft on cardiovascular symptoms in the frst year post-operatively, but these benefts do not appear sustained as disease progresses. Future studies are encouraged to investigate this relevant topic that can have a strong clinical impact.

Funding The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

Declarations

Conflicts of interests The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Jain S, Goldstein DS (2011) Cardiovascular dysautonomia in Parkinson disease: from pathophysiology to pathogenesis. Neurobiol Dis 46:572–580.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2011.10.025>
- 2. Chen Z, Li G, Liu J (2020) Autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: Implications for pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Neurobiol Dis.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbd.2019.104700>
- 3. Tessa C, Toschi N, Orsolini S et al (2019) Central modulation of parasympathetic outfow is impaired in de novo Parkinson's disease patients. PLoS ONE. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210324) [0210324](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210324)
- 4. Iniguez M, Jimenez-Marin A, Erramuzpe A et al (2022) Heartbrain synchronization breakdown in Parkinson's disease. NPJ Parkinsons Dis 8:64. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-022-00323-w>
- 5. Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P (2008) Quantitation of nonmotor symptoms in Parkinson's disease. Eur J Neurol 15:2–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2008.02212.x>
- 6. Goldstein DS, Holmes C, Li ST et al (2000) Cardiac sympathetic denervation in Parkinson disease. Ann Intern Med 133:338–347. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-133-5-200009050-00009>
- 7. Asahina M, Vichayanrat E, Low DA et al (2012) Autonomic dysfunction in parkinsonian disorders: assessment and pathophysiology. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 84:674
- 8. Le Witt PA, Kymes S, Hauser RA (2020) Parkinson disease and orthostatic hypotension in the elderly: recognition and management of risk factors for falls. Aging Dis 11:679–691
- 9. Katsi V, Papakonstantinou I, Solomou E et al (2021) Management of hypertension and blood pressure dysregulation in patients with Parkinson's disease-a systematic review. Curr Hypertens Rep 23:26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-021-01146-5>
- 10. Shibata M, Morita Y, Shimizu T et al (2008) Cardiac parasympathetic dysfunction concurrent with cardiac sympathetic denervation in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Sci 276:79–83. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2008.09.005) [org/10.1016/j.jns.2008.09.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jns.2008.09.005)
- 11. Nakamura T, Hirayama M, Hara T et al (2014) Role of cardiac sympathetic nerves in preventing orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 20:409–414. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.01.003) doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.01.003
- 12. Postuma RB, Berg D, Stern M et al (2015) MDS clinical diagnostic criteria for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord 30:1591–1601. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26424>
- 13. Pitton Rissardo J, Fornari Caprara AL (2023) Cardiac 123I-metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) scintigraphy in Parkinson's disease: a comprehensive review. Brain Sci. [https://doi.org/10.3390/brain](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13101471) [sci13101471](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13101471)
- 14. Ba F, Martin WRW (2015) Dopamine transporter imaging as a diagnostic tool for parkinsonism and related disorders in clinical practice. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 21:87–94. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.11.007) [1016/j.parkreldis.2014.11.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2014.11.007)
- 15. Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P, Schapira AHV et al (2006) International multicenter pilot study of the frst comprehensive self-completed nonmotor symptoms questionnaire for Parkinson's disease: the NMSQuest study. Mov Disord 21:916–923. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20844) doi.org/10.1002/mds.20844
- 16. Visser M, Marinus J, Stiggelbout AM, Van Hilten JJ (2004) Assessment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson's disease: the SCOPA-AUT. Mov Disord 19:1306–1312. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20153) [1002/mds.20153](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20153)
- 17. Chaudhuri KR, Martinez-Martin P, Brown RG et al (2007) The metric properties of a novel non-motor symptoms scale for Parkinson's disease: results from an international pilot study. Mov Disord 22:1901–1911.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21596>
- 18. Freeman R, Wieling W, Axelrod FB et al (2011) Consensus statement on the defnition of orthostatic hypotension, neurally

mediated syncope and the postural tachycardia syndrome. Auton Neurosci 161:46–48.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2011.02.004>

- 19. Tzur I, Izhakian S, Gorelik O (2019) Orthostatic hypotension: defnition, classifcation and evaluation. Blood Press 28:146–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08037051.2019.1604067>
- 20. Fanciulli A, Leys F, Falup-Pecurariu C et al (2020) Management of orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis 10:S57–S64.<https://doi.org/10.3233/JPD-202036>
- 21. Wieling W, Kaufmann H, Claydon VE et al (2022) Diagnosis and treatment of orthostatic hypotension. Lancet Neurol 21:735–746. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(22\)00169-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(22)00169-7)
- 22. Gibbons CH, Schmidt P, Biaggioni I et al (2017) The recommendations of a consensus panel for the screening, diagnosis, and treatment of neurogenic orthostatic hypotension and associated supine hypertension. J Neurol 264:1567-1582. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8375-x) [1007/s00415-016-8375-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-016-8375-x)
- 23. Fanciulli A, Kerer K, Leys F et al (2020) Validation of the neurogenic orthostatic hypotension ratio with active standing. Ann Neurol 88:643–645.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.25834>
- 24. Shafer F, Ginsberg JP (2017) An overview of heart rate variability metrics and norms. Front Public Health 5:258. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258) [3389/fpubh.2017.00258](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2017.00258)
- 25. Pham T, Lau ZJ, Chen SHA, Makowski D (2021) Heart rate variability in psychology: a review of HRV indices and an analysis tutorial. Sensors.<https://doi.org/10.3390/s21123998>
- 26. Li Y, Wang J, Li X et al (2021) Association between heart rate variability and Parkinson's disease: a meta-analysis. Curr Pharm Des 27:2056–2067. [https://doi.org/10.2174/187152731966620](https://doi.org/10.2174/1871527319666200905122222) [0905122222](https://doi.org/10.2174/1871527319666200905122222)
- 27. Heimrich KG, Lehmann T, Schlattmann P, Prell T (2021) Heart rate variability analyses in Parkinson's disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Brain Sci. [https://doi.org/10.3390/brain](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11080959) [sci11080959](https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11080959)
- 28. Limousin P, Foltynie T (2019) Long-term outcomes of deep brain stimulation in Parkinson disease. Nat Rev Neurol 15:234–242. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41582-019-0145-9>
- 29. DeLong MR, Wichmann T (2015) Basal ganglia circuits as targets for neuromodulation in Parkinson disease. JAMA Neurol 72:1354–1360.<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.2397>
- 30. Kurcova S, Bardon J, Vastik M et al (2018) Bilateral subthalamic deep brain stimulation initial impact on nonmotor and motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease: an open prospective single institution study. Medicine. [https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000009750) [00000009750](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000009750)
- 31. Dafsari HS, Reddy P, Herchenbach C et al (2015) Benefcial efects of bilateral subthalamic stimulation on non-motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease. Brain Stimul 9:78–85. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2015.08.005) [10.1016/j.brs.2015.08.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2015.08.005)
- 32. Zhang F, Wang F, Li C-H, et al (-03–31 2022) Subthalamic nucleus-deep brain stimulation improves autonomic dysfunctions in Parkinson's disease. BMC Neurol 22
- 33. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaf J et al (2009) The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>
- 34. Cohen J (2013) Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences, 2nd edn. Routledge, London, England
- 35. Dafsari HS, Dos Santos Ghilardi MG, Visser-Vandewalle V et al (2020) Benefcial nonmotor efects of subthalamic and pallidal neurostimulation in Parkinson's disease. Brain Stimul 13:1697– 1705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brs.2020.09.019>
- 36. Dulski J, Schinwelski M, Konkel A et al (2019) The impact of subthalamic deep brain stimulation on sleep and other non-motor symptoms in Parkinson's disease. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 64:138–144.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2019.04.001>
- 37. Jost ST, Strobel L, Rizos A et al (2022) Gender gap in deep brain stimulation for Parkinson's disease. NPJ Parkinsons Dis 8:47. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-022-00305-y>
- 38. Jost ST, Sauerbier A, Visser-Vandewalle V et al (2020) A prospective, controlled study of non-motor efects of subthalamic stimulation in Parkinson's disease: results at the 36-month followup. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 91:687–694. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2019-322614) [1136/jnnp-2019-322614](https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2019-322614)
- 39. Holmberg B, Corneliusson O, Elam M (2005) Bilateral stimulation of nucleus subthalamicus in advanced Parkinson's disease: no efects on, and of, autonomic dysfunction. Mov Disord 20:976– 981.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.20492>
- 40. Tateno F, Sakakibara R, Nagao T et al (2015) Deep brain stimulation ameliorates postural hypotension in Parkinson's disease. J Am Geriatr Soc 63:2186–2189.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jgs.13674>
- 41. Trachani E, Constantoyannis C, Sakellaropoulos GC et al (2012) Heart rate variability in Parkinson's disease unafected by deep brain stimulation. Acta Neurol Scand 126:56–61. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.1605.x) [10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.1605.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0404.2011.1605.x)
- 42. Sverrisdottir YB, Green AL, Aziz TZ et al (2014) Diferentiated barorefex modulation of sympathetic nerve activity during deep brain stimulation in humans. Hypertension 63:1000–1010. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02970) doi.org/10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.113.02970
- 43. Hyam JA, Roy HA, Huang Y et al (2019) Cardiovascular autonomic responses in patients with Parkinson disease to pedunculopontine deep brain stimulation. Clin Auton Res 29:615–624. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10286-019-00634-8>
- 44. Ludwig J, Remien P, Guballa C et al (2007) Efects of subthalamic nucleus stimulation and levodopa on the autonomic nervous system in Parkinson's disease. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 78:742–745.<https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.2006.103739>
- 45. Vigneri S, Guaraldi P, Calandra-Buonaura G et al (2012) Switching on the deep brain stimulation: effects on cardiovascular regulation and respiration. Auton Neurosci 166:81–84. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2011.09.002) [10.1016/j.autneu.2011.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autneu.2011.09.002)
- 46. Rätsep T, Asser T (2012) Subthalamic stimulation improves the cerebral hemodynamic response to the cold pressure test in patients with Parkinson's disease. J Clin Ultrasound 40:547–553. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcu.21984>
- 47. Erola T, Haapaniemi T, Heikkinen E et al (2006) Subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation does not alter long-term heart rate variability in Parkinson's disease. Clin Auton Res 16:286–288. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10286-006-0354-3>
- 48. Azevedo E, Santos R, Freitas J et al (2010) Deep brain stimulation does not change neurovascular coupling in non-motor visual cortex: an autonomic and visual evoked blood fow velocity response study. Parkinsonism Relat Disord 16:600–603. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.08.016) [1016/j.parkreldis.2010.08.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2010.08.016)
- 49. Chen S-Y, Yang CCH, Kuo TBJ, Harnod T (2011) Association of heart rate variability with clinical outcome in Parkinsonian patients after subthalamic deep brain stimulation: a retrospective cohort study. J Formos Med Assoc 110:593–599. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2011.07.007) [10.1016/j.jfma.2011.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2011.07.007)
- 50. Sumi K, Katayama Y, Otaka T et al (2012) Efect of subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation on the autonomic nervous system in Parkinson's disease patients assessed by spectral analyses of R-R interval variability and blood pressure variability. Stereotact Funct Neurosurg 90:248–254.<https://doi.org/10.1159/000338090>
- 51. Stemper B, Beric A, Welsch G et al (2006) Deep brain stimulation improves orthostatic regulation of patients with Parkinson disease. Neurology 67:1781–1785. [https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.00002](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000244416.30605.f1) [44416.30605.f1](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000244416.30605.f1)
- 52. Lanotte M, Lopiano L, Torre E et al (2005) Expectation enhances autonomic responses to stimulation of the human subthalamic limbic region. Brain Behav Immun 19:500–509. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2005.06.004) [10.1016/j.bbi.2005.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbi.2005.06.004)
- 53. Benedetti F, Colloca L, Lanotte M et al (2004) Autonomic and emotional responses to open and hidden stimulations of the human subthalamic region. Brain Res Bull 63:203–211. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2004.01.010) [10.1016/j.brainresbull.2004.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brainresbull.2004.01.010)
- 54. Liu K-D, Shan D-E, Kuo TBJ, Yang CCH (2013) The efects of bilateral stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus on heart rate variability in patients with Parkinson's disease. J Neurol 260:1714– 1723. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-013-6849-7>
- 55. Li K, Haase R, Rüdiger H, et al (-08–01 2017) Subthalamic nucleus stimulation and levodopa modulate cardiovascular autonomic function in Parkinson's disease. Scie Rep 7
- 56. Fasano A, Daniele A, Albanese A (2012) Treatment of motor and non-motor features of Parkinson's disease with deep brain stimulation. Lancet Neurol 11:429–442. [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70049-2) [S1474-4422\(12\)70049-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(12)70049-2)
- 57. Grosu L, Grosu AI, Crisan D et al (2023) Parkinson's disease and cardiovascular involvement: edifying insights (Review). Biomed Rep 18:25. <https://doi.org/10.3892/br.2023.1607>
- 58. Hiorth YH, Pedersen KF, Dalen I et al (2019) Orthostatic hypotension in Parkinson disease: a 7-year prospective population-based study. Neurology 93:e1526–e1534. [https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000008314) [0000000000008314](https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000008314)
- 59. Palma J-A, Kaufmann H (2018) Treatment of autonomic dysfunction in Parkinson disease and other synucleinopathies. Mov Disord 33:372–390.<https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27344>
- 60. Boogers A, Fasano A (2023) A transatlantic viewpoint on the role of pallidal stimulation for Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.29656>
- 61. Mehagnoul-Schipper DJ, Boerman RH, Hoefnagels WHL, Jansen RWMM (2001) Efect of levodopa on orthostatic and postprandial hypotension in elderly Parkinsonian patients. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 56:M749–M755. [https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.12.M749) [12.M749](https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/56.12.M749)
- 62. Irwin RP, Nutt JG, Woodward WR, Gancher ST (1992) Pharmacodynamics of the hypotensive effect of levodopa in parkinsonian patients. Clin Neuropharmacol 15:365–374. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1097/00002826-199210000-00003) [1097/00002826-199210000-00003](https://doi.org/10.1097/00002826-199210000-00003)
- 63. Lipp A, Tank J, Trottenberg T et al (2005) Sympathetic activation due to deep brain stimulation in the region of the STN. Neurology 65:774–775. [https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000174436.36399.](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000174436.36399.ca) [ca](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000174436.36399.ca)
- 64. Butson CR, Cooper SE, Henderson JM, McIntyre CC (2007) Patient-specifc analysis of the volume of tissue activated during deep brain stimulation. Neuroimage 34:661–670. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.034) [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.09.034)