UC Merced

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society

Title

Experiential Explanations in Iterated Learning

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4nf8w8zf

Journal

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

Authors

Aronowitz, Sara
Lewry, Casey
Lombrozo, Tania

Publication Date

2020

Copyright Information

Peer reviewed

Experiential Explanations in Iterated Learning

Sara Aronowitz

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, United States

Casey Lewry

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, United States

Tania Lombrozo

Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, United States

Abstract

Explanations can be divided into two categories: those that appeal to general principles (abstractive) and those that tell a concrete story (experiential) (Aronowitz & Lombrozo, 2019). Most psychological research has focused on abstractive explanations, identifying the benefits of abstraction for transfer (Ratterman, Genter & DeLoache, 1987;1989), prediction (Pacer & Lombrozo, 2017), and even cooperation (Burgoon, Henders & Markman, 2013). So why do we sometimes explain in a less abstract, more narrative mode? Study 1 (N = 195) and Study 2 (N = 843) explore scientific explanations and find that abstractive and experiential explanations (matched for quality) are transmitted along a chain of people with comparable fidelity. However, over repeated transmission, experiential explanations become significantly more abstract - whereas abstractive explanations do not drift. Study 3 turns from science to human behavior to test the hypothesis that experiential explanations have mnemonic and other cognitive advantages in more narrative domains.