#### **UC** Irvine

## Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health

#### **Title**

Telemedicine to Decrease Personal Protective Equipment Use and Protect Healthcare Workers

#### **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4n5210k5

#### Journal

Western Journal of Emergency Medicine: Integrating Emergency Care with Population Health, 21(6)

#### **ISSN**

1936-900X

#### **Authors**

Ribeira, Ryan Shen, Sam Callagy, Patrice et al.

#### **Publication Date**

2020

#### DOI

10.5811/westjem.2020.8.47802

#### **Copyright Information**

Copyright 2020 by the author(s). This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Peer reviewed

#### COMMENTARY

# Telemedicine to Decrease Personal Protective Equipment Use and Protect Healthcare Workers

Ryan Ribeira, MD, MPH Sam Shen, MD, MBA Patrice Callagy, RN, MPA, MSN, CEN Jennifer Newberry, MD, JD, MSc Matthew Strehlow, MD James Quinn, MD, MS Stanford University School of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, Palo Alto. California

Section Editor: Nikhil Goyal, MD

Submission history: Submitted April 20, 2020; Revision received July 22, 2020; Accepted August 11, 2020

Electronically published September 24, 2020

Full text available through open access at http://escholarship.org/uc/uciem\_westjem

DOI: 10.5811/westjem.2020.8.47802 [West J Emerg Med. 2020;21(6)61-62.]

#### TO THE EDITOR:

Infectious disease outbreaks, such as coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), place tremendous strain on availability of personal protective equipment (PPE) and frontline healthcare providers. Readily available PPE can substantially reduce the rate of infection in healthcare workers and the spread of the illness.<sup>1,2</sup> The lack of adequate PPE places providers at increased risk of infection, increases healthcare worker stress, and decreases staffing as providers fall ill. We know that inadequate PPE and risk of becoming infected are primary concerns of healthcare providers during pandemics, serving as key drivers in their willingness to work.<sup>3,4</sup> Therefore, it is imperative that efforts are undertaken to minimize the threat facing them and their families.<sup>5</sup> Here, we describe an emergency department (ED) effort to safely limit PPE use and decrease the risk of illness to providers by implementing telemedicine to care for patients already within our department walls.

### LEVERAGING IN-ROOM TELEMEDICINE FOR INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS PATIENTS

Patients approaching our ED are screened outside by a nurse in full PPE for influenza-like illness symptoms. For those who screen positive, a tele-registration protocol is initiated. Using a secure device, a patient's photo identification and phone number are forwarded to registration staff, who then complete the registration process remotely by phone. Those with mild symptoms are directed to a drive-through, where a telemedicine cart facilitates an encounter with a physician who determines the need for a swab. A nurse in PPE moves from vehicle to vehicle performing swabs and providing standardized discharge instructions.

Patients with severe symptoms are redirected to an alternate ED entrance, which leads into an anteroom that immediately separates potentially positive patients from the general ED population. ED rooms are outfitted with a wall-mounted

television and wide-angle camera with directional speaker system. After trialing this system, we found that it was more efficient and effective to use iPads (Apple Inc, Cupertino, CA) on rolling stands because they worked more reliably, were easier for physicians to use, and required fewer room entries for configuration. Following a successful pilot, each ED room and clinician work area was outfitted with an iPad and stand for a total of 100 units across both our adult and pediatric EDs.

This system has the additional benefit of being relatively cost efficient, with each iPad and stand costing \$1099.40 per unit. This means for an average ED with approximately 30 beds and four physician/nurse work areas it would cost \$37,379.60 for a similar telemedicine system. Optimal utilization of this system requires synchronized team communication. For most encounters, the number of providers required to enter the patient room can be reduced to one. The rest of the care team (including trainees, nurses, consultants, and interpreters) can observe and engage via telemedicine. In addition, critical care physicians can provide input remotely during high exposure-risk resuscitations.

#### **SUMMARY**

Telemedicine saves at least one to two interactions per patient that would otherwise require PPE. While this strategy minimizes unnecessary exposures for our healthcare workers, they are not restricted from physically assessing patients when deemed necessary. The risks and benefits of physical interaction requiring PPE are left to provider discretion, although we found that most COVID-19 patients under investigation at our ED can be managed through telemedicine.

Research has shown that telemedicine is safe and effective, and that the degree of illness severity can be assessed without direct interaction. While direct auscultation of the chest cannot be performed remotely, the value of this exam for these patients is debatable. Auscultation alone has poor interobserver agreement and

can miss 50% of pneumonias, which are better predicted by oxygen saturation less than 95%, fever, and tachycardia, with the gold standard being chest radiograph (CXR).<sup>7–10</sup> Respiratory status can be assessed reliably by talking with the patient, evaluating his or her history, and observing for objective signs of respiratory compromise, with the addition of a CXR when indicated.

Our ED had a sophisticated telemedicine system built into every ED room prior to COVID, yet we found that a low-cost iPad-based system was more effective and could potentially be quickly deployed in other settings to conserve valuable PPE and prioritize healthcare worker safety. During the COVID-19 pandemic, healthcare systems and providers must rapidly innovate and disseminate practices that strengthen our crisis management capabilities.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Stefanie Sebok-Syer for feedback on this manuscript.

Address for Correspondence: Ryan Ribeira, MD, MPH, Stanford University School of Medicine, Department of Emergency Medicine, 900 Welch Road, Suite 350, Palo Alto, CA 94304. Email: RRibeira@stanford.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: By the WestJEM article submission agreement, all authors are required to disclose all affiliations, funding sources and financial or management relationships that could be perceived as potential sources of bias. No author has professional or financial relationships with any companies that are relevant to this study. There are no conflicts of interest or sources of funding to declare.

Copyright: © 2020 Ribeira et al. This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution ( $\underline{\text{CC BY 4.0}}$ ) License. See: <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>

#### **REFERENCES**

- COVID-19: protecting health-care workers. *Lancet*. 2020;21;395(10228):P922.
- Ran L, Chen X, Wang Y, et al. Risk factors of healthcare workers with corona virus disease 2019: a retrospective cohort study in a designated hospital of Wuhan in China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020. In Press.
- Taylor HA, Rutkow L, Barnett DJ. Willingness of the local health department workforce to respond to infectious disease events: empirical, ethical, and legal considerations. *Biosecur Bioterror*. 2014;12(4):178–85.
- Stergachis A, Garberson L, Lien O, et al. Health care workers' ability and willingness to report to work during public health emergencies. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.* 2011;5(4):300–8.
- Coleman CH and Reis A. Potential penalties for health care professionals who refuse to work during a pandemic. *JAMA*. 2008;299(12):1471–3.
- Hsu H, Greenwald PW, Clark S, et al. Telemedicine evaluations for low-acuity patients presenting to the emergency department: implications for safety and patient satisfaction. *Telemed J E Health*. 2020. In Print.
- Wipf JE, Lipsky BA, Hirschmann JV, et al. Diagnosing pneumonia by physical examination: relevant or relic? *Arch Intern Med*. 1999;159(10):1082–7.
- Allam Z, Jones DS, Jung S, et al. On the coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak and the smart city network: universal data sharing standards coupled with artificial intelligence (AI) to benefit urban health monitoring and management epidemiological identification of a novel pathogen in real time: analysis of the atypical pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China, 2019—2020. Healthcare. 2020;8(1).
- Moore M, Stuart B, Little P, et al. Predictors of pneumonia in lower respiratory tract infections: 3C prospective cough complication cohort study. *Eur Respir J.* 2017;50(5):1700434.
- Metlay JP, Kapoor WN, Fine MJ. Does this patient have community-acquired pneumonia? Diagnosing pneumonia by history and physical examination. *JAMA*. 1997;278(17):1440–5.