

## **UC Merced**

### **Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society**

#### **Title**

What Gives a Diagnostic Label Value? Common Use Over Informativeness

#### **Permalink**

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4mp3h9z0>

#### **Journal**

Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, 42(0)

#### **Authors**

Hemmatian, Babak

Chan, Sze-Yu

Sloman, Steven

#### **Publication Date**

2020

#### **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Peer reviewed

# What Gives a Diagnostic Label Value? Common Use Over Informativeness

**Babak Hemmatian**

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, United States

**Sze-Yu Chan**

Peking University, Beijing, China

**Steven Sloman**

Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island, United States

## Abstract

A labels entrenchment, its degree of use by members of a community, affects its perceived explanatory value even if the label provides no substantive information (Hemmatian & Sloman, 2018). Here we show that entrenched psychiatric and non-psychiatric diagnostic labels are seen by laypersons and mental health professionals as better explanations even if circular. This preference is not attributable to conversational norms, reflectiveness or attentiveness, and the recipients unfamiliarity with the label. In Experiment 1, whether a label provided novel symptom information had no impact on laypersons' responses, while its entrenchment enhanced ratings of explanation quality. The effect persisted in Experiment 2 for incoherent random categories and regardless of provided mechanistic information. The entrenchment manipulation induced causal beliefs about the category even when respondents were informed that no causal relation exists. We replicate the effect in Experiment 3 with mental health professionals despite a marked tendency to find all uninformative explanations unsatisfactory.