

UC Irvine

UC Irvine Previously Published Works

Title

High rates of exposure to tuberculosis patients among HIV-infected health care workers in Botswana

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4m16m1pc>

Journal

The International Journal of Tuberculosis and Lung Disease, 22(4)

ISSN

1027-3719

Authors

Shin, SS
Modongo, C
Zetola, NM
[et al.](#)

Publication Date

2018-04-01

DOI

10.5588/ijtld.17.0376

Peer reviewed

1

1 **High rates of exposure to tuberculosis patients among HIV-infected healthcare**
2 **workers in Botswana**

3

4 **Sanghyuk S. Shin¹, Chawangwa Modongo^{2,3}, Nicola M. Zetola^{2,4}, Qiao Wang¹,**

5 **Thabo Phologolo⁵, Mary Kestler^{6**}, Ari Ho-Foster^{2,3**}**

6¹ Department of Epidemiology, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, Los Angeles, CA,

7 USA

8² Botswana-UPenn Partnership, Gaborone, Botswana

9³ Department of Medicine, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,

10 Philadelphia, PA, USA

11⁴ Department of Radiation Oncology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of

12 Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

13⁵ Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine, University of

14 Botswana, Gaborone, Botswana

15⁶ Department of Medicine, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada

16^{**} Co-senior authors

17

18 **Running Title: TB exposure among HIV-infected HCWs**

19

20 **Abstract words: 200 (Limit: 200) Text words: 1954 (Limit: 2500)**

21 **Number of references: 26 (Limit: 35)**

22

23 **Tables: 2**

Figures: 0

3

24

25**Key words:** Nosocomial transmission; Workplace; Infection control; Infectious disease

26transmission; Social stigma.

27

28**Corresponding author:** Ari Ho-Foster, Botswana-UPenn Partnership, P O Box AC 157

29ACH, Gaborone, Botswana. Telephone: (+267) 3554855, Fax: (+267) 3170957, email:

30ahofoster@fastmail.fm

31Abstract

32

33**Setting and Objective:** We compared daily exposure to tuberculosis (TB) patients
34between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected health care workers (HCWs), and examined
35uptake of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and isoniazid preventive therapy (IPT) among
36HIV-infected HCWs in Botswana.

37**Design:** We conducted a cross-sectional study among HCWs in 30 hospitals and
38clinics. We determined self-reported exposure frequency to TB patients and HIV status
39through in-person interviews. HCWs with unknown or negative HIV status were offered
40rapid HIV tests. Multivariable Poisson regression modeling with robust variance was
41used to estimate the association between HIV status and daily exposure to TB patients.

42**Results:** Of 1877 participants enrolled, 1388 (73.9%) with complete data were included
43in this study. Among 277 (20.0%) HIV-infected participants, 14.3% were newly
44diagnosed, 57.8% were on ART, and 34.3% reported previously receiving IPT. Daily
45exposure to TB patients was reported by 48.4% and 52.9% of HIV-infected and HIV-
46uninfected participants, respectively. After adjusting for sex, age, occupation, and
47department, rates of daily TB exposure remained similar between HIV-infected and HIV-
48uninfected participants (prevalence ratio=0.96; 95% confidence interval=0.85-1.08).

49**Conclusions:** We found similar rates of exposure to TB patients between HIV-infected
50and HIV-uninfected HCWs. Improved efforts are needed to reduce nosocomial TB
51exposure among HIV-infected HCWs.

52

53

54INTRODUCTION

55 In countries with high tuberculosis (TB) burden, healthcare workers (HCWs) are
56at high risk of acquiring TB from nosocomial transmission, and TB is considered an
57occupational illness.^{1,2} The risk for nosocomial TB transmission is particularly high in
58resource-limited settings where infection control measures are inadequately
59implemented. Studies in Africa have shown that, compared to the general population,
60HCWs are up to 24 times more likely to develop TB due to exposure to infectious
61patients in the workplace.³⁻⁵ Nosocomial transmission of TB among HCWs could lead to
62increased TB risk for other HCWs and patients, and contribute to TB transmission in the
63community.

64 HIV-infected HCWs are at increased risk for nosocomial TB compared to HIV un-
65infected HCWs. HIV-related immunodeficiency is the strongest risk factor for
66progression from *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* infection to TB disease, and TB is the
67leading causes of death among HIV-infected persons in resource-limited settings.^{6,7}
68Nosocomial transmission among HIV-infected patients and HCWs was an important
69driver of the extensively drug-resistant (XDR)-TB outbreak in KwaZulu-Natal Province of
70South Africa, with case fatality rate as high as 98%.⁸

71 The World Health Organization's TB infection control policy recommends
72assignment of HIV-infected HCWs to job tasks that involve limited exposure to patients
73with confirmed or presumptive TB.^{1,9} A mathematical modeling study found that an
74integrated infection control intervention including reassignment of HIV-infected HCWs to
75low risk areas could significantly reduce XDR-TB transmission in South Africa.¹⁰
76Reassignment of HIV-infected HCWs may also contribute to combating TB and drug-

77resistant TB epidemics in other high TB burden countries. The Botswana Ministry of
78Health (MOH) recommends that health facilities implement administrative measures to
79allow HIV-infected HCWs to avoid TB exposure.¹³ Despite this, little is known regarding
80the practice of reducing exposure to TB patients for HIV-infected HCWs at health
81facilities in Botswana.

82 The aim of our study was to compare exposure to TB patients between HIV-
83infected and HIV-uninfected HCWs in health facilities in Botswana, a country that is
84hyperendemic for TB and HIV. Botswana ranks among the highest countries in TB
85incidence and HIV prevalence globally¹¹ – HIV prevalence is greater than 60% among
86TB patients, and 40% of deaths among HIV-infected persons are attributable to TB.¹²
87We also examined administration of antiretroviral therapy (ART) and isoniazid
88preventive therapy (IPT) among HIV-infected HCWs, which are recommended
89measures to reduce TB risk in this population.¹

90

91 **STUDY POPULATION AND METHODS**

92

93 *Study population and setting*

94 We conducted the present study in six districts with high TB burden in southern
95Botswana: Gaborone, Francistown, South East, Southern, Kgatleng, and Kweneng
96East. Between March 2009 and April 2010, all HCWs from the selected health facilities
97were invited to a TB infection control training. HCWs consisted of medical doctors,
98nurses, health care auxiliaries, and support staff. Health care auxiliary staff work in the
99clinical setting and support patient care, carrying out responsibilities such as movement
100of patients and equipment, delivery of specimens to the lab. HCWs who attended the TB

101infection control training were also invited to participate in a Workplace Wellness
102Program that involved an in-person interview and screening for TB and HIV.

103

104*Procedures*

105 In-person interviews were conducted as part of a clinical 'wellness' consultation
106using a standardized data collection form, which included measures of HIV status,
107CD4+ T cell count, history of TB, position of employment, current department, years
108working in healthcare, and demographic information. The frequency of exposure to TB
109patients was determined by asking participants to select one of the following categories:
110daily, weekly, less frequently, and don't know.

111 HIV testing was offered to all HCWs with a negative or unknown HIV status. A
112rapid HIV test was given to those who accepted in accordance with Botswana's national
113guidelines.¹² HCWs who tested positive for HIV were referred to appropriate healthcare
114services and management. Participants were categorized as HIV positive if they
115reported having been diagnosed with HIV or if they tested positive on the rapid HIV test
116administered at the time of 'wellness' consultation. Participants who were eligible for an
117HIV test but did not receive a rapid HIV test were coded as missing and were excluded
118from the analysis.

119

120*Statistical analysis*

121 The primary outcome in our study was self-reported daily contact with TB
122patients in the workplace. We estimated the probability of daily contact with TB patients
123for HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected participants by calculating the proportion of

124participants reporting daily contact with TB patients in each category. Prevalence ratios
125(PRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated using normal approximation.¹⁴
126Next, we constructed a multivariable Poisson regression model with robust variance to
127estimate PRs adjusted for potentially confounding variables.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ This method has been
128shown to generate unbiased PR estimates with good statistical coverage for binary
129outcome variables.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ We specified daily contact with TB patients as the dependent
130variable. The covariates for inclusion in the final model were selected *a priori* based on
131a conceptual model of factors associated with HIV status and exposure to TB patients.
132The final model included the following covariates: HIV status, sex, age (x 10 years),
133occupation, and department. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.3.0
134(The R Project for Statistical Computing; <http://www.r-project.org>). As recommended by
135recent statistical guidelines, we did not specify an alpha cutoff for statistical
136significance.¹⁸

137

138*Ethical considerations*

139 This study was approved by the Botswana MOH Human Research Development
140Committee and the University of Pennsylvania IRB. Written informed consent was
141obtained from all participants.

142

143RESULTS

144 We enrolled 1,877 HCWs during March 2009 to April 2010. Participants with
145 unknown HIV status (n = 464) and missing data for exposure to TB patients (n = 25)
146 were excluded from the analysis. Participants who were excluded from the study were
147 more likely to be nurses (50.2%), male (28.7%), and report daily exposure to TB
148 patients (59.7%) compared to included participants. Other characteristics were similar
149 between included and excluded participants.

150 Of the remaining 1,388 (73.9%) participants, 277 (20.0%) were HIV-infected
151 (Table 1). Compared to HIV-uninfected participants, HIV-infected participants were more
152 likely to be female, older, occupied as porter/cleaner/driver, and report history of prior
153 TB treatment (Table 1). Among HIV-infected participants, 38 (13.7%) were newly tested
154 positive for HIV by our study, 152 (54.9%) were taking ART, and 95 (34.3%) reported
155 prior IPT use (Table 1).

156 Table 2 shows bivariate analysis of factors associated with daily exposure to TB
157 patients. Due to small number of participants in the medical officers/interns/students
158 occupation group, we combined that category with nurses for this analysis. HIV-infected
159 participants were slightly less likely to report daily exposure to TB patients compared to
160 HIV-uninfected participants (Table 2; 48.4% vs. 52.9%; PR = 0.91; 95% CI = 0.80 –
161 1.04). Excluding the newly diagnosed HIV participants, daily exposure to TB patients
162 remained slightly lower among those who already knew their HIV status compared to
163 HIV-uninfected participants (Table 2; 47.3% vs. 52.9%; PR = 0.89; 95% CI = 0.77 –
164 1.03). In multivariable analysis, we found similar levels of daily exposure to TB patients
165 between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected participants (adjusted PR = 0.96; 95% CI =

1660.85 – 1.08). Working in HIV/TB clinic or other clinics was associated with higher levels
167of reported daily exposure to TB patients compared to working in inpatient facilities
168(Table 2).

169

170DISCUSSION

171 We report findings from a large cross-sectional study of HIV-infected and HIV-
172uninfected HCWs in Botswana. Our finding that nearly 20% of HIV-infected participants
173had a prior history of TB treatment suggests that HIV-infected HCWs in our study are at
174high risk for TB. Despite existing policy recommendations for reassigning HIV-infected
175HCWs to low TB risk areas, we found only a small difference in daily exposure to TB
176patients between HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected HCW participants.

177 Our findings are consistent with studies of TB infection control practices in
178southern Africa, which show that few health facilities redeploy HIV-infected HCWs to
179lower TB risk assignments.^{19,20} For example, one study found that only 5 out of 10 HIV
180clinics in Botswana reported following this recommendation prior to a TB infection
181control intervention.²⁰ Our findings suggest that policy recommendation for
182administrative reassignment of HIV-infected HCWs may have led to only a small
183decrease in exposure to infectious TB patients among HIV-infected HCWs.

184 Our findings underscore the difficulty of reassigning HIV-infected HCWs. Studies
185have shown that stigmatization of HIV and TB among HCWs is a strong barrier against
186HIV testing, which impairs the ability to reassign HIV-infected HCWs.^{21–24} HCWs
187experience particularly high levels of stigma due to internal and external expectations
188for health professionals to be negative for HIV.²³ Even among HIV-infected HCWs who

189are aware of their status, stigma poses a barrier to disclosure of HIV status to
190administrators and refusal of reassignment.²² HIV-infected HCWs may be concerned
191that reassignment to lower-risk areas would raise suspicion about their HIV status
192among their colleagues.²² Workplace interventions, such as the project upon which this
193study is based, may reduce stigma, improve HIV testing and disclosure, and facilitate
194reassignment of HIV-infected HCWs.^{24,25}

195 Reassignment is also difficult in many health facilities because of the limited
196options available for reassignment within the facility. For example, in HIV and TB clinics,
197the entire facility may be considered high risk for exposure to TB patients.²² In addition,
198the true risk of TB exposure is difficult to assess. Patients in departments and wards
199where TB is not suspected may have undiagnosed and untreated TB – posing a higher
200risk of TB exposure than expected.

201 In addition to reassignment, HIV-infected HCWs should be provided with free
202access to ART and IPT to reduce TB risk.¹ We found that over 40% of the HIV-infected
203participants in our study were not on ART and nearly two out of three never received
204IPT. At the time of this study, the CD4+ T cell threshold for ART eligibility in Botswana
205was 250 cells/mm³. The Botswana MOH recently instituted a policy of extending ART
206eligibility for all HIV-infected persons regardless of their CD4+ T cell count.
207Implementation of this policy may decrease TB risk among HCWs receiving HIV care.

208 Strengths of this study include enrollment of a large sample size of HCWs,
209including 277 HIV-infected HCWs. Study participants were enrolled from diverse
210departments and occupations, allowing for comparisons between various occupational
211categories. Confirmatory HIV testing was offered to all participants with unknown or

212previously negative HIV status, and a conservative definition was used to categorize
213HIV-uninfected participants as only those with confirmed negative test result.²⁶

214 Limitations include the exclusion of nearly 25% of the enrolled population due to
215unknown HIV status, which could have led to an incorrect HIV prevalence estimate.
216Excluded participants were more likely to report daily exposure to TB patients. If HIV-
217infected HCWs were less likely to disclose their HIV status for this study due to HIV-
218related stigma, excluding these participants may have led to underestimation of daily
219exposure to TB patients among HIV-infected HCWs. Therefore, this limitation is not
220likely to have affected our overall finding of high rates of daily exposure to TB patients
221among HIV-infected HCWs. Finally, frequency of exposure to TB patients was
222ascertained through self-report, and we did not collect data on the duration of exposure
223to TB patients. Both could have led to misclassification of the risk of TB acquisition
224among participants. Future studies should utilize more objective methods of
225ascertaining this outcome, including comparison of medical records with dates of work
226assignment to measure exposure time.

227

228**CONCLUSIONS**

229 We report findings from a large cross-sectional study of HIV-infected and HIV-
230uninfected HCWs who are at high risk for nosocomial TB. We found little evidence that
231HIV-infected HCWs are reassigned to low TB risk areas. Furthermore, ART and IPT use
232among HIV-infected HCWs was suboptimal. Efforts to improve the implementation of TB
233infection control recommendations are urgently needed. Future research should

234investigate methods to overcome barriers in implementation of TB infection control
235interventions.

236

237**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

238This study draws from data collected by the TB/HIV Education and Care Program for
239Health Care Workers, a program funded by the African Comprehensive HIV/AIDS
240Partnerships (ACHAP). Partners critical to the program's success include: the Botswana
241National TB Program, the Workplace Wellness Program of the Department of HIV/AIDS
242Prevention and Care, and Botswana Ministry of Health workers from 30 participating
243health facilities in southern Botswana.

244

245Author contributions: S.S.S. formulated the research question and performed statistical
246analysis. Q.W. and S.S.S. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. M.K. and T.P. designed
247the data collection instruments and supervised the data collection. A.H.F. led data
248management. All authors reviewed, provided critical comments, and approved the final
249version of the manuscript.

250

251Conflicts of interest: All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests.

252

253Source of funding: This work was supported in part by funding from the African
254Comprehensive HIV/AIDS Partnerships (ACHAP), National Institutes of Health grants
255R01AI097045, K01AI118559, MH58107 (UCLA Center for HIV Identification, Prevention,
256and Treatment), 5P30AI028697 (UCLA Center for AIDS Research), P30AI045008

25

257(University of Pennsylvania Center for AIDS Research), and UL1TR000124 (National
258Center for Advancing Translational Sciences). The funders had no role in study design,
259data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The
260content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the
261official views of the NIH.

262

263

264**REFERENCES**

2651. Scano F. WHO policy on TB infection control in health-care facilities, congregate
266settings, and households. ISBN 9789241598323. Cadman H. World Health
267Organization, Geneva, Switzerland; 2009; 1–100.
2682. Evans T G, Bekker L-G. Tuberculosis and Healthcare Workers in Underresourced
269Settings. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016 May 15;62(suppl 3):S229–30.
2703. Kayanja H K, Debanne S, King C, Whalen C C. Tuberculosis infection among health
271care workers in Kampala, Uganda. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis Off J Int Union Tuberc Lung
272Dis*. 2005 Jun;9(6):686–8.
2734. Harries A D, Nyirenda T E, Banerjee A, Boeree M J, Salaniponi F M. Tuberculosis in
274health care workers in Malawi. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1999 Feb;93(1):32–5.
2755. Kanyerere H S, Salaniponi F M. Tuberculosis in health care workers in a central
276hospital in Malawi. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2003;7(5):489–492.
2776. Godfrey-Faussett P, Ayles H. Why are people living with HIV still dying of
278tuberculosis? *The Lancet*. 2016 Mar 25;387(10024):1141–3.
2797. Kwan C K, Ernst J D. HIV and Tuberculosis: a Deadly Human Syndemic. *Clin
280Microbiol Rev*. 2011 Apr 11;24(2):351–76.
2818. Gandhi N R, Moll A, Sturm A W, et al. Extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis as a
282cause of death in patients co-infected with tuberculosis and HIV in a rural area of South
283Africa. *The Lancet*. 2006 Nov 10;368(9547):1575–80.
2849. Verkuijl S, Middelkoop K. Protecting Our Front-liners: Occupational Tuberculosis
285Prevention Through Infection Control Strategies. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2016 May 15;62(suppl
2863):S231–7.
28710. Basu S, Andrews JR, Poolman EM, et al. Prevention of nosocomial transmission of
288extensively drug-resistant tuberculosis in rural South African district hospitals: an

- 289epidemiological modelling study. *The Lancet*. 2007 Nov 2;370(9597):1500–7.
29011. World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2016. Available at:
291http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/en/ (Accessed March 2017)
29212. Botswana National AIDS Coordinating Agency. Progress Report of the National
293Response to the 2011 Declaration of Commitments on HIV and AIDS. Gaborone,
294Botswana; 2015. Available at:
295http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/country/documents/BWA_narrative_report_2015
296.pdf (Accessed March 2017)
29713. Republic of Botswana Ministry of Health. National Tuberculosis Programme
298Manual; 2007. Available at: http://www.who.int/hiv/pub/guidelines/botswana_tb.pdf
299(Accessed March 2017)
30014. Agresti A. *Categorical Data Analysis*, 3rd edition. ISBN: 978-0-470-46363-5
301Hoboken, NJ: Wiley; 2012. 1–744.
30215. Zou G. A Modified Poisson Regression Approach to Prospective Studies with
303Binary Data. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2004 Apr 1;159(7):702–6.
30416. Barros A J, Hirakata V N. Alternatives for logistic regression in cross-sectional
305studies: an empirical comparison of models that directly estimate the prevalence ratio.
306*BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2003;3(1):21.
30717. Petersen M R, Deddens J A. A comparison of two methods for estimating
308prevalence ratios. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2008;8:9.
30918. Greenland S, Senn S J, Rothman K J, et al. Statistical tests, P values, confidence
310intervals, and power: a guide to misinterpretations. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2016 Apr
311;31(4):337–50.
31219. Reid M J, Saito S, Nash D, Scardigli A, Casalini C, Howard A A. Implementation of
313tuberculosis infection control measures at HIV care and treatment sites in sub-Saharan

- 314Africa. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis*. 2012 Dec 1;16(12):1605–12.
31520. Emerson C, Lipke V, Kapata N, et al. Evaluation of a TB infection control
316implementation initiative in out-patient HIV clinics in Zambia and Botswana. *Int J Tuberc
317Lung Dis*. 2016 Jul 1;20(7):941–7.
31821. Zelnick J R, Gibbs A, Loveday M, Padayatchi N, O'Donnell M R. Health-care
319workers' perspectives on workplace safety, infection control, and drug-resistant
320tuberculosis in a high-burden HIV setting. *J Public Health Policy*. 2013 Aug;34(3):388–
321402.
32222. Tudor C, Van der Walt M, Hill M N, Farley J E. Occupational health policies and
323practices related to tuberculosis in health care workers in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa.
324*Public Health Action*. 2013 Jun 21;3(2):141–5.
32523. de Vries D H, Galvin S, Mhlanga M, Cindzi B, Dlamini T. “Othering” the health
326worker: self-stigmatization of HIV/AIDS care among health workers in Swaziland. *J Int
327AIDS Soc*. 2011;14:60.
32824. Siegel J, Yassi A, Rau A, et al. Workplace interventions to reduce HIV and TB
329stigma among health care workers – Where do we go from here? *Glob Public Health*.
3302015 Sep 14;10(8):995–1007.
33125. Kestler M, Ho-Foster A R, Hove J, et al. A comprehensive approach to HIV testing
332in health care workers in Botswana. In: 6th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis,
333Treatment & Prevention. Rome, Italy; 2011.
33426. Republic of Botswana Ministry of Health. The Botswana National HIV/AIDS
335Treatment Guidelines: 2008 Version. November 2008. Available at:
336<https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/population/aids/botswana.aids.08.pdf> (Accessed March
3372017)

**339Table 1. Characteristics of health care workers attending Workplace Wellness
340Program, March 2009 - April 2010, Botswana.**

Characteristic		HIV-uninfected n = 1111	HIV-infected n = 277
Sex	Female	263 (24.5%)	35 (12.8%)
	Male	810 (75.5%)	238 (87.2%)
Age in years, median (IQR)		36 (29-48)	38 (33-45)
Occupation	Medical officers/interns/students	33 (3.0%)	0 (0%)
	Nurses	366 (32.9%)	35 (12.6%)
	Auxiliary/physiotherapists/dentists / counselors	158 (14.2%)	34 (12.3%)
	Clerks/technicians/orderlies	187 (16.8%)	46 (16.6%)
	Porters/cleaners/drivers	188 (16.9%)	110 (39.7%)
	Cooks/administrative	179 (16.1%)	52 (18.8%)
	Years as HCW	< 1	89 (8%)
	1-5	310 (28%)	73 (26.4%)
	6-15	369 (33.3%)	117 (42.4%)
	16-25	201 (18.2%)	59 (21.4%)
	> 25	138 (12.5%)	13 (4.7%)
Department	Inpatient	351 (31.6%)	82 (29.6%)
	HIV/TB Clinic	49 (4.4%)	11 (4.0%)
	Other Clinic	325 (29.3%)	85 (30.7%)
	Non-Clinical	135 (12.2%)	40 (14.4%)
	Other ¹	251 (22.6%)	59 (21.3%)
Prior TB at any time	No	1030 (92.7%)	225 (81.2%)
	Yes	81 (7.3%)	52 (18.8%)
Diagnosed with TB during past year	No	1105 (99.5%)	272 (98.2%)
	Yes	6 (0.5%)	5 (1.8%)
Frequency of exposure to TB patients	Daily	588 (52.9%)	134 (48.4%)
	Weekly	53 (4.8%)	12 (4.3%)
	Less frequently	320 (28.8%)	91 (32.9%)
	Unknown	150 (13.5%)	40 (14.4%)
Newly detected HIV	No		239 (86.3%)
	Yes		38 (13.7%)
On antiretroviral therapy	No		111 (40.1%)
	Yes		152 (54.9%)
	Unknown		14 (5.1%)
History of isoniazid preventive therapy	No		182 (65.7%)
	Yes		95 (34.3%)

341 Abbreviations: IQR=Interquartile range; HCW=Healthcare workers; TB=Tuberculosis. ¹ The Other
342Department category includes: laboratory, radiology, kitchen, inpatient Pharmacy, outpatient Pharmacy,
343and laundry.

35

344

345 **Table 2. Factors associated with daily exposure to TB patients among health care**
 346 **workers attending Workplace Wellness Program, March 2009 – April 2010,**
 347 **Botswana.**

348

349

Category		% (n/N)	Crude PR (95% CI)	Adjusted PR (95% CI)
Sex	Male	51.3% (153/298)	1.00	1.00
	Female	53.0% (555/1048)	1.03 (0.91-1.17)	0.96 (0.88-1.04)
Age, years	20-29	54.8% (188/343)	1.00	1.00
	30-39	50.7% (238/469)	0.93 (0.81-1.06)	0.91 (0.8-1.03)
	40-49	47.9% (136/284)	0.87 (0.75-1.02)	0.88 (0.75-1.02)
	50+	55.9% (156/279)	1.02 (0.89-1.18)	0.98 (0.85-1.13)
Occupation	Medical/nurses	55.1% (239/434)	1.00	1.00
	Auxiliary/physiotherapists/ dentists/counselors	66.1% (127/192)	1.20 (1.05-1.37)	1.06 (0.93-1.2)
	Clerks/technicians/orderlies	51.9% (121/233)	0.94 (0.81-1.1)	1.01 (0.86-1.18)
	Porters/cleaners/drivers	55.0% (164/298)	1.00 (0.87-1.14)	1.04 (0.92-1.18)
	Cooks/administrative	30.7% (71/231)	0.56 (0.45-0.69)	0.64 (0.51-0.8)
Department	Inpatient	42.3% (183/433)	1.00	1.00
	HIV/TB Clinic	83.3% (50/60)	1.97 (1.68-2.31)	2.00 (1.7-2.35)
	Other Clinic	77.3% (317/410)	1.83 (1.62-2.07)	1.91 (1.68-2.17)
	Non-Clinical	35.4% (62/175)	0.84 (0.67-1.05)	1.02 (0.8-1.31)
	Other ¹	35.5% (110/310)	0.84 (0.7-1.01)	0.95 (0.79-1.16)
HIV status	Negative	52.9% (588/1111)	1.00	1.00
	Positive	48.4% (134/277)	0.91 (0.8-1.04)	0.96 (0.85-1.08)
Newly diagnosed HIV	No	47.3% (113/239)	1.00	Not included in model
	Yes	55.3% (21/38)	1.17 (0.85-1.60)	Not included in model
On antiretroviral therapy	No	52.3% (58/111)	1.00	Not included in model
	Yes	46.7% (71/152)	0.89 (0.70-1.14)	Not included in model
	Unknown	35.7% (5/14)	0.68 (0.33-1.41)	Not included in model
History of isoniazid preventive therapy	No	47.3% (86/182)	1.00	Not included in model
	Yes	50.5% (48/95)	1.07 (0.83-1.37)	Not included in model

350 Abbreviations: PR=Prevalence ratio; CI=Confidence interval.

351¹ The Other Department category includes: laboratory, radiology, kitchen, inpatient Pharmacy, outpatient
 352 Pharmacy, and laundry.

353

39

354