UCSF UC San Francisco Previously Published Works

Title

Lmo Mutants Reveal a Novel Role for Circadian Pacemaker Neurons in Cocaine-Induced Behaviors

Permalink

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4jv3v548

Journal PLOS Biology, 2(12)

ISSN

1544-9173

Authors

Tsai, Linus T-Y Bainton, Roland J Blau, Justin <u>et al.</u>

Publication Date

2004-12-01

DOI

10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408

Copyright Information

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution License, available at <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</u>

Peer reviewed

Lmo Mutants Reveal a Novel Role for Circadian Pacemaker Neurons in Cocaine-Induced Behaviors

Linus T.-Y. Tsai¹, Roland J. Bainton², Justin Blau³, Ulrike Heberlein^{4*}

Department of Anatomy, Program in Neuroscience, and Medical Science Training Program, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America,
 Department of Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America,
 Department of Anesthesia, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America,
 Department of America,
 Department of Anesthesia, University, New York, New York, New York, United States of America,
 Department of America,
 Department of Anatomy, Programs in Neuroscience and Developmental Biology, University of California, San Francisco, California, United States of America

Drosophila has been developed recently as a model system to investigate the molecular and neural mechanisms underlying responses to drugs of abuse. Genetic screens for mutants with altered drug-induced behaviors thus provide an unbiased approach to define novel molecules involved in the process. We identified mutations in the Drosophila LIM-only (LMO) gene, encoding a regulator of LIM-homeodomain proteins, in a genetic screen for mutants with altered cocaine sensitivity. Reduced *Lmo* function increases behavioral responses to cocaine, while *Lmo* overexpression causes the opposite effect, reduced cocaine responsiveness. Expression of *Lmo* in the principal *Drosophila* circadian pacemaker cells, the PDF-expressing ventral lateral neurons (LN_vs), is sufficient to confer normal cocaine sensitivity. Consistent with a role for *Lmo* in LN_v function, *Lmo* mutants also show defects in circadian rhythms of behavior. However, the role for LN_vs in modulating cocaine responses is separable from their role as pacemaker neurons: ablation or functional silencing of the LN_vs reduces cocaine sensitivity, while loss of the principal circadian neurotransmitter PDF has no effect. Together, these results reveal a novel role for *Lmo* in modulating acute cocaine sensitivity and circadian locomotor rhythmicity, and add to growing evidence that these behaviors are regulated by shared molecular mechanisms. The finding that the degree of cocaine responsiveness is controlled by the *Drosophila* pacemaker neurons provides a neuroanatomical basis for this overlap. We propose that *Lmo* controls the responsiveness of LN_vs to cocaine, which in turn regulate the flies' behavioral sensitivity to the drug.

Citation: Tsai LTY, Bainton RJ, Blau J, Heberlein U (2004) Lmo mutants reveal a novel role for circadian pacemaker neurons in cocaine-induced behaviors. PLoS Biol 2(12): e408.

Introduction

Cocaine, a naturally occurring plant alkaloid, is the prototype addictive psychomotor stimulant. It elicits a variety of acute behavioral changes ranging from mood elevation, disinhibition, and motor activation at low doses to compulsive stereotypies and psychosis at higher doses (Gawin 1991). Long-term cocaine use generally results in tolerance to many of its subjective effects, an increased craving towards the drug, and, eventually, drug abuse and addiction.

Cocaine's primary mechanism of action is to bind and inhibit plasma membrane monoamine transporters, thereby increasing synaptic monoamine neurotransmitter levels and potentiating their actions. Cocaine's direct role in increasing dopamine (DA) in the nucleus accumbens via inhibition of the DA transporter (DAT) has contributed to the prevalent DA hypothesis of drug addiction, which posits that the shared ability of drugs of abuse to increase DA in the nucleus accumbens underlies their reinforcing properties (Wise and Bozarth 1985; Kuhar et al. 1991). More recent animal studies, however, have shown that cocaine still elicits a robust conditioned place preference in mice with genetic deletions of DAT, suggesting that the rewarding properties of cocaine are not solely mediated by its action on DAT (Sora et al. 1998). Additional studies on mice in which the serotonin transporter or norepinephrine transporter has been deleted, together with studies using selective serotonin transporter or norepinephrine transporter inhibitors, have suggested roles for both serotonin and norepinephrine systems in mediating cocaine's rewarding properties (Uhl et al. 2002). These data show that the molecular bases for cocaine's psychostimulant and reinforcing properties are more complicated than once thought, and that a combination of actions at multiple sites may mediate its effects. Indeed, multiple genes and signaling pathways have been implicated in the stimulant and rewarding properties of cocaine in mice (Laakso et al. 2002).

The fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* has been advanced as a useful model system for identifying novel genes that regulate behavioral responses to drugs of abuse including cocaine (Wolf and Heberlein 2003). Several of cocaine's most

Received May 7, 2004; Accepted September 24, 2004; Published November 23, 2004

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408

Copyright: © 2004 Tsai et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abbreviations: AL, antennal lobe; *Bx, Beadex;* cDNA, complementary DNA; DA, dopamine; DAT, dopamine transporter; GFP, green fluorescent protein; *hdp, heldup; hid, head involution defective;* I-LN_v, large ventral lateral neuron; LD, light-dark; LIM-HD, LIM-homeodomain; LMO, LIM-only; LN_v, ventral lateral neuron; MB, mushroom body; *pdrm, pipedream;* s-LN_v, small ventral lateral neuron; SCN, suprachiasmatic nucleus; *TeTx*, tetanus toxin light chain; *TeTx^{In}*, inactive tetanus toxin light chain; VNC, ventral nerve cord

Academic Editor: Eric Nestler, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ulrike@itsa.ucsf.edu

characteristic properties have been recapitulated in flies. First, cocaine induces motor behaviors in flies (see below) that are remarkably similar to those observed in mammals (McClung and Hirsh 1998; Bainton et al. 2000). Second, repeated cocaine administration induces behavioral sensitization (McClung and Hirsh 1998), a form of behavioral plasticity believed to underlie certain aspects of addiction (Robinson and Berridge 1993; Schenk and Partridge 1997). Finally, a key role for dopaminergic systems in mediating cocaine's effects has been demonstrated through both pharmacologic and genetic methods (Bainton et al. 2000; Li et al. 2000). More importantly, Drosophila studies have identified genes and pathways whose role in cocaine responsiveness had not been anticipated (Hirsh 2001; Rothenfluh and Heberlein 2002). For instance, the Drosophila circadian gene *period* was identified as a necessary mediator of cocaine sensitization (Andretic et al. 1999). Subsequently, mice carrying various period mutations were found to have altered cocaine sensitization and conditioned place preference (Abarca et al. 2002).

In order to identify novel molecules and pathways involved in behavioral responses to cocaine, we carried out a genetic screen for Drosophila mutants with altered acute responses to cocaine. Here, we report the phenotypic and molecular characterization of mutations in the Drosophila LIM-only gene, Lmo (also called Beadex [Bx]), isolated due to their increased sensitivity to cocaine-induced loss of negative geotaxis. The products of Drosophila and mammalian Lmo genes modulate the function of LIM-homeodomain (LIM-HD) proteins (Milan et al. 1998; Retaux and Bachy 2002), which in turn regulate various aspects of nervous system development, including the specification of neural identity (Thor and Thomas 1997; Hobert and Westphal 2000; Shirasaki and Pfaff 2002; Tsalik et al. 2003). We find that cocaine sensitivity is inversely related to the levels of Lmo function: reduced function causes increased sensitivity, while increased function causes resistance. This bidirectional regulation of cocaine responsiveness is mediated by Lmo function in a small set of neurons, the ventral lateral neurons (LN_vs), which are the primary circadian pacemaker cells in Drosophila (Helfrich-Förster 1997; Renn et al. 1999). Like other mutants that affect LN_v function, *Lmo* mutants show altered circadian locomotor behaviors. However, the roles of the LNvs in regulating cocaine sensitivity and circadian behavioral rhythms are genetically separable, as mutants lacking the neuropeptide PDF-the only known functional output of these neurons-show normal cocaine sensitivity. Thus, Lmo defines a novel role for the circadian pacemaker cells in regulating behavioral responses to cocaine.

Results

Loss- and Gain-of-Function Mutations in the *Lmo* Locus Show Altered Cocaine Sensitivity

To identify novel molecules involved in cocaine-related behaviors, we carried out a genetic screen for mutants with altered acute responses to volatilized freebase cocaine using the crackometer, a simple assay that measures cocaineinduced loss of negative geotaxis (Bainton et al. 2000). Screening of 400 first chromosome P-element insertions from the EP collection (Rørth 1996) led to the identification of five mutants with reduced cocaine sensitivity and seven with increased sensitivity. Two of the mutants conferring increased cocaine sensitivity, *EP1306* and *EP1383* (Figure 1A), carry a P-element insertion in the promoter region of the *Drosophila Lmo* locus (Milan et al. 1998; Zeng et al. 1998). *Drosophila* LMO protein has been shown to inhibit the activity of the LIM-HD transcription factor apterous through its interactions with the LIM-HD activator Chip (Milan and Cohen 1999; Weihe et al. 2001).

Independently, we isolated a P[GAL4] insertion in the Lmo locus, dubbed *pipedream (pdrm)*, that also showed increased cocaine sensitivity (Figure 1A). Finally, heldup (hdp) mutant flies, which carry well-characterized loss-of-function mutations in Lmo (Milan et al. 1998), displayed increased sensitivity to cocaine that is similar in magnitude to that of the EP1306 line (Figure 1A). This increased sensitivity was observed at all cocaine doses tested (Figure 1B and 1C; data not shown). The EP1306 and hdp alleles consistently demonstrated stronger phenotypes than EP1383 and pdrm. All mutants tested performed within the normal range in task-specific baseline behaviors (see Materials and Methods). Finally, we were able to revert the cocaine phenotype of EP1306 by excision of the P element (data not shown). Taken together, these data demonstrate that loss-of-function mutations in Lmo result in increased sensitivity to cocaine.

The dominant *Beadex* (*Bx*) mutations were first described in 1925 by Morgan, Bridges, and Sturtevant, and named for their characteristic beaded wing margin (Morgan et al. 1925). More recently, *Bx* mutants were shown to be overexpression alleles of *Lmo* (Milan et al. 1998; Shoresh et al. 1998; Zeng et al. 1998). Overexpression results from the insertion of naturally occurring transposable elements into the 3' UTR of *Lmo*, which leads to transcript stabilization (Shoresh et al. 1998). The resultant overexpression of *Lmo* causes decreased apterous activity in the dorsal compartment of the wing and, consequently, disorganization of the wing margin (Milan and Cohen 1999, 2000).

We tested multiple available Bx alleles, and found that they all caused resistance to the acute effects of cocaine at every dose tested (Figure 2A and 2B). The strength of the cocaine resistance phenotype correlated well with the severity of the beaded wing-margin phenotype, $Bx^J > Bx^I = Bx^2 = Bx^3$ (Figure 2A and 2B; data not shown). Because in Bx mutants Lmo transcripts are stabilized in their normal spatiotemporal pattern (Shoresh et al. 1998), the observed cocaine phenotypes likely result from overexpression, rather than from misexpression of Lmo. Taken together with the loss-offunction effects described above (see Figure 1A and 1B), these data reveal a graded behavioral response to cocaine that is inversely related to Lmo levels.

In order to study *Lmo* mutant behavior in more detail, we recorded the cocaine-induced patterns of locomotor activity of control and *Lmo* flies using a movement tracking system (Bainton et al. 2000; Wolf et al. 2002). Upon mock exposure, control flies showed robust locomotor activity, generally walking in straight lines (Figure 1C, top left panel). At relatively low doses of cocaine (75 and 100 μ g), flies engaged in stereotyped circling patterns of activity (Figure 1C, top right panels). At higher doses (125–200 μ g), flies began to show spasmodic movements (seen as zigzag patterns in movement) and severe hypokinesis (data not shown; Bainton et al. 2000). *EP1306* flies, while showing a reduced baseline speed, showed normal patterns of activity upon mock



exposure (Figure 1C, bottom left panel). Upon exposure to cocaine, these mutant flies showed a shift in the doseresponse relationship. At low doses (75 μ g), *EP1306* flies showed a marked increase in stereotyped circling behavior compared to controls (Figure 1C, bottom middle panel), while at higher doses (100 μ g), the mutant flies were more likely to be spasmodic or akinetic (Figure 1C, bottom right panel). Thus, despite the reduced speed observed in the mock exposures, increases in specific cocaine-induced locomotor behaviors demonstrate that *EP1306* flies have a shifted dose-response to cocaine: mutant flies exposed to 75 μ g of cocaine behaved similarly to control flies exposed to 100 μ g of the drug.

 Bx^J flies also showed changes in walking patterns that are consistent with a shift in the cocaine dose-response relationship. After exposure to 100 µg of drug, most control flies showed slow circling behavior and some were akinetic (Figure 2C, top middle panel). Bx^J flies were much less affected, showing increased locomotion (mostly in straight lines), decreased slow circling, and almost no akinesia (Figure 2C, bottom middle panel). At 125 µg, control flies showed very little movement (Figure 2C, top right panel), while most Bx^J flies continued to show circling behaviors seen in control flies at lower doses (Figure 2C, bottom right panel). Bx^J flies, like *EP1306* flies, showed reduced activity upon mock exposure (Figure 2C, bottom left panel). Despite this reduced activity, these two mutants showed very different sensitivities to cocaine: *EP1306* flies were more affected and Bx^J flies were

Figure 1. *Lmo* Loss-of-Function Mutants Show Increased Sensitivity to Cocaine

(A) Cocaine phenotypes of various Lmo mutants. Male flies hemizygous for the indicated Lmo alleles (and their appropriate genetic controls) were exposed to 150 µg of cocaine and tested in the crackometer as described in Materials and Methods. Compared to their control (Ctl-1), *EP1383* (p < 0.02) and *EP1306* (p< 0.001) flies show significantly increased sensitivity to cocaine. Similarly, compared to their respective controls, *pdrm* and *hdp* flies are significantly more sensitive to cocaine (p < 0.001). Asterisks denote significant differences from controls (Student's paired t-test assuming equal variance); n = 20 experiments. (B) Cocaine dose-response. EP1306 flies (filled squares) and pdrm flies (filled circles) and their respective controls were exposed to the indicated doses of cocaine. At each dose, the responses of EP1306 and pdrm flies are significantly higher than their controls (p < 0.001, n

= 16-20 experiments). (C) *EP1306* flies show alterations in cocaine-induced locomotor patterns of activity. Flies were exposed to 0, 75, or 100 µg of cocaine, as indicated, for 1 min. Representative traces shown correspond to 30 s of recorded activity of about ten flies starting 1 min after the end of cocaine exposure $(n \ge 4)$. Top panels show response of control flies to indicated amounts of cocaine; bottom panels show activity of *EP1306* flies after cocaine administration. Ctl-1 is *EP1631*, Ctl-2 is P[GAL4] line *8.142*, and Ctl-3 is w^{1118} .

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g001

less affected, suggesting that the reduced activity upon mock exposure is unrelated to the cocaine response. Long-term activity recordings revealed no differences between Bx^J , *EP1306*, and control lines (data not shown; see below).

In summary, using two different behavioral assays we show that flies carrying loss-of-function mutations in *Lmo* display increased sensitivity to the effects of cocaine on locomotor behaviors, while gain-of-function mutations show the converse effect, a reduced response to the drug. This inverse relationship between *Lmo* gene activity and drug responsiveness suggests that *Lmo* may regulate the expression of genes that might play a direct role in controlling cocaine responses (see Discussion).

Molecular Characterization of Lmo Mutants

The *Lmo* locus produces at least three transcripts by differential promoter use (Figure 3A). The RA and RC transcripts differ only in their 5' UTRs and are predicted to encode identical proteins of 313 amino acids; the RB transcript is predicted to utilize an alternative translational start site in its first exon, which would result in an addition of 71 N-terminal amino acids. The insertions that produce increased cocaine sensitivity all lie within the putative promoter region for the RA transcript, 25–90 bp upstream of its transcriptional start site (Figure 3A). In order to identify molecular changes caused by these insertions, we assessed *Lmo* transcript levels by quantitative RT-PCR in wild-type flies and *Lmo* mutants. In wild-type flies the RA transcript was about 4-



Figure 2. *Lmo* Gain-of-Function *Bx* Alleles Show Reduced Sensitivity to Cocaine

(A) Cocaine phenotypes of Bx mutants. Male flies hemizygous for Bx alleles Bx^{1} or Bx^{-1} show significant reductions in sensitivity to cocaine compared to control (Ctl) flies (p < 0.001, n =12 experiments). Asterisks denote significant differences from control (Student's paired *t*test assuming equal variance).

(B) Dose-response. Bx^J flies (filled circles) show reduced sensitivity compared to Ctl flies (open circles) at all doses tested (p < 0.001, n = 16-20 for all doses except for 250 µg, where p = 0.0015, n = 8). Two additional Bx alleles (Bx^2 and Bx^3) had similar phenotypes to Bx^I (not shown).

(C) Bx^J flies show alterations in cocaineinduced locomotor patterns of activity. Flies were exposed to 0, 100, or 125 µg of cocaine, as indicated, for 1 min. Representative traces shown are 30 s of recorded activity of about ten flies starting 30 or 60 s after the end of cocaine exposure ($n \ge 3$). Top panels show response of control flies to indicated amounts of cocaine; bottom panels show activity of Bx^J flies after cocaine administration. Ctl flies are w^{I118} .

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g002

fold more abundant in heads as compared to bodies, suggesting that this transcript is enriched in the nervous system (Figure 3B; see also Figure 4). Importantly, the RA transcript was reduced by greater than 50% in the heads, but not the bodies, of EP1306 flies (Figure 3B); a 40% increase in the RA transcript was observed in the heads of Bx^{J} flies (data not shown). Thus, as predicted by the location of the Pelement insertion, the EP1306 mutation causes a reduction in Lmo transcript levels, a finding that is consistent with the observation that the cocaine sensitivity of EP1306 flies is similar to that seen with known loss-of-function alleles of Lmo (the hdp alleles) and opposite to that seen with gain-offunction Bx alleles. In addition, the finding that transcript levels are specifically reduced in the heads of EP1306 flies, and not their bodies, suggests that this mutation affects a nervous-system-enriched (or -specific) Lmo transcript (see below). Finally, none of the P-element insertions in the promoter of the RA transcript leads to a held-up wing phenotype, which is characteristic of loss-of-function hdp alleles (Shoresh et al. 1998; Milan and Cohen 1999). This suggests that the P-element insertions isolated in our genetic screen cause either less severe or more spatially restricted changes in *Lmo* gene expression.

Restricted Expression of *Lmo* in the Nervous System Is Sufficient for Wild-Type Cocaine Sensitivity

The *pdrm* P[GAL4] insertion, which acts as a promoter/ enhancer detector, is expected to reproduce, at least in part, the expression pattern of the *Lmo* gene. In adult flies, the *pdrm* line showed extensive GAL4 expression in the brain as visualized with the UAS-green fluorescent protein (GFP) transgene (Figure 4A). Prominent expression was observed in the antennal lobes (ALs) and the Kenyon cells of the mushroom bodies (MBs), which are major brain centers involved in olfaction and olfactory conditioning, respectively (Stocker 1994; Zars 2000). In addition, GAL4 was expressed in the LN_vs , which are the major pacemaker cells regulating circadian locomotor rhythmicity in flies (Renn et al. 1999). Finally, GAL4 expression was seen in neurosecretory cells located in the pars intercerebralis, in glial cells surrounding the optic lobes, and in scattered cells throughout the ventral nerve cord (VNC) (Figure 4A; data not shown). In pdrm larvae and adult flies, GAL4 expression was restricted to the nervous system. GAL4 expression was not detected in larval imaginal discs, such as the wing disc (data not shown), where Lmo expression has been shown to play an important patterning role (Milan and Cohen 1999). Thus, pdrm traps a specific subset of Lmo regulatory elements, possibly those controlling nervous-system-restricted expression of the RA transcript.

We hypothesized that the expression pattern of the *pdrm* enhancer trap may identify the cells in which *Lmo* expression is disrupted in the P-element insertion lines, causing increased cocaine sensitivity. If this is the case, *pdrm*-driven expression of *UAS-Lmo* transgenes is expected to restore normal cocaine sensitivity to *pdrm* flies. Indeed, we found that two *UAS-Lmo* transgenes rescued the cocaine-sensitivity defect of *pdrm* mutant flies (Figure 4B). This effect was specific to *Lmo*, as *pdrm*-driven expression of *UAS-tauGFP* or *UAS-lacZ* failed to restore normal behavior. Finally, *Lmo* mutants that did not contain a GAL4 enhancer trap, such as



Figure 3. Molecular Structure of the *Lmo* Locus

(A) A genomic map of the *Lmo* locus. Three different first exons can be utilized, forming the basis for three alternative transcripts. Exon RA-1 is separated from the alternative start sites RB-1 and RC-1 by a large (~30 kb) intron. *EP1306*, *EP1383*, and *pdrm* carry insertions 25, 73, and 91 bp, respectively,

upstream of the exon RA-1 transcriptional start site. Arrows within the EP elements refer to the orientation of the insertion and the expected direction of inducible expression via UAS sites contained within the EP element. Bx alleles are insertions of natural transposons into the 3' UTR of the *Lmo* gene that have been shown to stabilize *Lmo* transcript (Shoresh et al. 1998). Protein-coding exons are shaded. (B) Expression of the *Lmo* RA transcript is enriched in *Drosophila* heads, and is reduced in the *EP1306* mutant. RNA was isolated from heads and bodies; after cDNA synthesis, quantitative RT-PCR was performed using primers specific to the RA transcript of *Lmo* in addition to primers to a reference transcript, the ribosomal protein rp49. Relative abundance is expressed as fold increase over control (*EP1631*) body mRNA. No detectable amplification was seen in RNase-treated controls (data not shown). Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Asterisk denotes significant difference from control (Student's paired *t*-test assuming equal variance; p < 0.001, n = 3). DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g003

EP1306, failed to be rescued by the presence of *UAS-Lmo* transgenes (data not shown). These data demonstrate that the cocaine-sensitivity defect of *pdrm* flies is due to the loss of *Lmo* function, and that nervous-system-specific expression of *Lmo* in cells dictated by the *pdrm* GAL4 line is sufficient to confer normal cocaine responses.

Lmo Expression in PDF Neurons Is Sufficient to Confer Normal Cocaine Responses

In order to refine further the spatial requirements for Lmo function, we attempted to rescue the EP1306 phenotype by expression of Lmo in specific brain regions. EP lines carry a P-element insertion containing multiple UAS sites to which GAL4 can bind to drive expression of adjacent genomic sequences (Rørth 1996). Therefore, mutagenic EP insertions, if oriented appropriately, can be used to drive expression of the disrupted gene. It was demonstrated previously that GAL4-driven Lmo expression can be mediated by the EP1306 and EP1383 insertions (Milan et al. 1998; Zeng et al. 1998). Consistent with this, we were able to rescue the EP1306 phenotype in the presence of the heat-inducible hs-GAL4 transgene, which drives low levels of ubiquitous GAL4 expression even in the absence of heat shock (data not shown).

We then used GAL4 enhancer-trap lines with expression in specific brain regions to drive *Lmo* expression from the EP1306 insertion. We focused specifically on GAL4 lines that drive expression in the MBs, ALs, pars intercerebralis neurons, LN_vs, and glia, sites of expression revealed by the pdrm line (Figure 4A). GAL4 lines that drive expression specifically in the MBs and the ALs failed to rescue the cocaine-sensitivity phenotype of EP1306 (data not shown). Similar negative results were obtained with the glial-specific repo-GAL4 driver (Xiong et al. 1994). However, expression of Lmo in the LN_vs using the *pdf-GAL4* driver, which drives expression in cells that contain the neuropeptide PDF (Renn et al. 1999), restored nearly wild-type cocaine responsiveness to EP1306 flies (Figure 5A and 5C). pdf-GAL4 did not rescue the cocaine phenotype of *hdp* and *pdrm* flies, demonstrating dependence on induced Lmo expression provided by the EP1306 insertion. These results show that Lmo expression in the PDF-expressing neurons alone is sufficient to rescue wildtype cocaine responses, implicating these cells in the increased cocaine responses observed in Lmo loss-of-function mutants.

In order to test whether LN_vs are also the locus for the resistance to cocaine observed in Bx mutants, we overexpressed Lmo in wild-type flies using the pdf-GAL4 driver and UAS-Lmo transgenes. We found that these flies showed a significant decrease in cocaine sensitivity (Figure 5B). The magnitude of the induced resistance was lower than that observed in the Bx^J strain, but similar to that of weaker Bx alleles. It is possible that overexpression of Lmo with the pdf-GAL4 driver may not be as high as that caused by the Bx^J mutation, or, alternatively, that overexpression of Lmo in cells other than the LN_vs mediates the remaining resistance to cocaine observed in Bx^J . Nonetheless, this experiment supports a role for LN_vs as a site where Lmo regulates sensitivity to cocaine.

In the adult fly, *pdf-GAL4* drives expression in small and large LN_vs (s-LN_vs and l-LN_vs) and in a group of neuroendocrine cells located at the very tip of the VNC (J. H. Park et al. 2000; Figure 5C). The LN_vs express many central clock genes and have been demonstrated, through genetic ablations and electrical silencing studies, to play a central role in maintaining circadian locomotor rhythmicity (Renn et al. 1999; Nitabach et al. 2002; Peng et al. 2003); the function of the pdf-GAL4-expressing cells in the VNC is unknown. The *pdrm* line drives expression in both the s-LN_vs and l-LN_vs, as demonstrated by immunohistochemical analysis of pdrm/UAS-GFP flies with antibodies directed against the PDF precursor PAP (Figure 5C). pdrm does not, however, drive expression in the PDF-expressing cells located in the VNC (data not shown). This overlap in expression of pdrm and pdf-GAL4 in the LNvs implicates these few neurons as mediators of the altered cocaine sensitivity observed in Lmo mutants.

Because of the known role of LMOs as regulators of developmentally important transcription factors (Hobert and Westphal 2000), we asked whether the development of PDF-expressing LN_vs is disrupted in *Lmo* mutants. Projections of the s-LN_vs and l-LN_vs can be visualized with an antibody that recognizes the PDF precursor PAP (Renn et al. 1999). L-LN_vs make an elaborate network of varicosities on the surface of the optic medulla, and project across the midline to the contralateral LN_vs, while s-LN_vs make a very specific projection to the dorsal central brain (Figure 5C). Both sets of LN_v neurons also make extensive arborizations in the accessory medulla (reviewed in Hellfrich-Förster 2003). In the *EP1306* and *pdrm* mutants, anti-PAP staining revealed that the number and detailed morphology of LN_v neurons were



Figure 4. pdrm's GAL4 Expression Is Sufficient to Drive Lmo-Transgene-Mediated Rescue of Cocaine Sensitivity

(A) *pdrm*'s GAL4 expression pattern. *UAS-GFP* reveals GAL4 expression pattern of the *pdrm* enhancer-trap insertion in MB lobes and calyces, ALs, the large cell bodies of the peptidergic LN_vs , and neurons of the pars intercerebralis (PI).

(B) Lmo expression restores wild-type cocaine responses. In the absence of a UAS transgene ("no UAS" columns), hemizygous male pdrm flies (hatched bar) are more sensitive than controls (Ctl GAL4 is line 8.142, solid bar), as shown before in Figure 1A. Male flies hemizygous for pdrm and heterozygous for either of two UAS-Lmo transgenes ($UAS-Lmo^1$ and $UAS-Lmo^2$; hatched black bars), show normal cocaine sensitivity when compared to either UAS-Lmo transgene alone (white bars) or UAS-Lmo transgenes in the presence of a control GAL4 line (Ctl GAL4, line 8.142; black bars). To control for non-specific effects of transgene overexpression, UAS-GFP and UAS-lacZ transgenes were also driven by pdrm GAL4. Male flies were hemizygous for *pdrm* (or heterozygous for the control GAL4 insertion) and heterozygous for the specific UAS transgene. One-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of genotype in the UAS-lacZ (F = 17.4, p <0.001), UAS-GFP (F = 19.47, p < 0.001), or no UAS transgene (F = 4.1, p < 0.001) groups, but not in either of the UAS-Lmo transgene groups $(F = 1.58, p = 0.22 \text{ and } F = 1.21, p = 0.31 \text{ for } UAS-Lmo^{1} \text{ and } UAS$ -LMO², respectively); thus, UAS-Lmo expression specifically restores normal cocaine sensitivity to *pdrm* flies. Post hoc pairwise planned comparisons, with the critical *p*-value adjusted to 0.025, revealed significant differences between the "non-rescued" pdrm/UAS-GFP flies and the appropriate controls (UAS-GFP/+ or 8.142/UAS-GFP, $p < 10^{-1}$ 0.002); similarly, pdrm/UAS-lacZ flies are significantly different from their controls (UAS-lacZ/+ and 8.142/UAS-lacZ, $p \in 0.002$). Pairwise comparisons revealed no significant differences between "rescued" pdrm/UAS-Lmo¹ flies and their "normal" controls (8.142/UAS-Lmo¹ and $UAS-Lmo^{1}$, p = 0.99 and p = 0.14, respectively) or pdrm/UAS-Lmo^{2} flies and their controls (8.142/UAS-Lmo²/+ and UAS-Lmo²/+, p = 0.09and p = 0.99, respectively), indicating full rescue of *pdrm* cocaine sensitivity. For all genotypes, n = 16-20 experiments. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g004





Figure 5. Lmo Expression in PDF Neurons Regulates Cocaine Responses

(A) pdf-GAL4-driven expression of Lmo using the EP1306 element rescues the *EP1306* insertional phenotype. *Lmo* mutants *EP1306*, *hdp*, and *pdrm*, as well as a control EP line (Ctl-1 = *EP1413*), were tested in the absence (-pdf-GAL4; white bars) and presence (+pdf-GAL4; black bars) of pdf-GAL4. All male flies are hemizygous for the Lmo mutation (or Ctl-1) and heterozygous for pdf-GAL4 (when carrying the transgene). One-way ANOVAs with post hoc planned comparisons (critical p-value adjusted to 0.0125) confirmed that EP1306, pdrm, and hdp flies (in the absence of *pdf-GAL4*) had significantly increased sensitivity to cocaine compared to control flies (Ctl-1 = EP1413) ($p \le 0.003$, n = 15-26 experiments). One-way ANOVA with post hoc planned comparisons (critical p-value adjusted to 0.01) revealed a significant difference between pdrm/pdf-GAL4 and hdp/pdf-GAL4 flies and their controls (EP1413/pdf-GAL4, pdf-GAL4/+, or EP1413/+, p < 0.003, n =24-27 experiments), showing that the presence of pdf-GAL4 does not rescue the cocaine sensitivity of *pdrm* or *hdp* flies. In contrast, similar comparisons for "rescued" *EP1306/pdf-GAL4* flies revealed no significant differences from their "normal" controls ($p \ge 0.026$, n = 27-36). Furthermore, within-group comparisons (+- pdf-GAL4) using t-tests indicate a significant difference only in the EP1306 group (p = 0.002). Asterisk denotes significant difference between *pdf*-GAL4 and *+pdf*-GAL4 phenotype.

(b) Flies overexpressing *Lmo* in PDF cells show decreased sensitivity to cocaine. Flies heterozygous for both *pdf-GAL4* and either one of two *UAS-Lmo* transgenes (black bars) were compared to flies carrying *UAS-Lmo* (white bars) or *pdf-GAL4* (gray bar) alone. One-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of genotype for both *UAS-Lmo* transgene groups. Post-test planned comparisons, with the critical *p*-value adjusted to 0.025, showed significant differences between the *pdf-GAL4/UAS-Lmo* flies and either *pdf-GAL4/+* (p < 0.02) or *UAS-Lmo/+* controls (p < 0.005). Asterisks denote significant differences, n = 16 experiments.

(C) Confocal images demonstrate overlap between *pdrm* and PDF expression in the LN_vs. In the left panel, *UAS-mCD8GFP* reveals the *pdrm*-driven GAL4 expression pattern (green) in the adult brain, and α -PAP staining (magenta) reveals PDF-expressing LN_vs. Right panels are close-ups of the cell bodies of the LN_vs; white areas correspond to regions of overlap between GFP (green) and PAP (magenta) expression.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g005

completely normal (data not shown). Thus, *Lmo* does not appear to play a role in the development of the $LN_{v}s$, although subtle developmental defects could have been missed.

Lmo Is Required for Robust Circadian Locomotor Rhythms

The increased sensitivity to cocaine of Lmo mutants suggested that these mutations alter LN_v function without grossly affecting LN_v development. In order to determine whether Lmo mutants have a more general dysfunction in the LN_vs, we tested *Lmo* mutants in locomotor rhythm assays. The LN_vs are required for the maintenance of circadian locomotor rhythms in constant darkness, and are thus the pacemaker neurons of the fly (Stanewsky 2003). Lmo mutants EP1306, EP1383, hdp^{R26} , and hdp^{rev83} had less robust locomotor rhythms in constant darkness than their control lines (Figure 6A; data not shown), and all alleles had a higher tendency for arrhythmicity (Figure 6B). We used the power of the rhythm as an estimate of the degree of rhythmicity and found that EP1306 flies had weaker behavioral rhythms than EP1383 flies, which, in turn, were less robustly rhythmic than their control line (Ctl-2; Figure 6C); similarly, hdp^{R26} and hdp^{rev83} flies had significantly weaker rhythms than their control line (Ctl-1; Figure 6C). However, there were rhythmic flies in almost all genotypes assayed (Figure 6B), and the period lengths of the rhythms were very similar across the genotypes (see legend to Figure 6 for details). Together, these data demonstrate that disruption of Lmo results in weak circadian rhythms of behavior and suggest a generalized dysfunction of the LN_vs in *Lmo* mutants.

LN_vs Regulate Circadian Rhythmicity and Cocaine Sensitivity Independently

The observation that *Lmo* functions in the PDF neurons to regulate cocaine sensitivity, together with the finding that *Lmo* mutants show weak circadian locomotor rhythms, suggested that the pathways regulating cocaine sensitivity interact with the circadian clock. Alternatively, *Lmo* could regulate these two behaviors independently. We addressed these possibilities in two sets of experiments. First, we determined whether cocaine sensitivity was regulated by the circadian clock. For this purpose we entrained flies to light-dark (LD) cycles and then tested them for cocaine sensitivity during the light and dark phases at 3-h intervals over 24 h (see Materials and Methods). We found that cocaine sensitivity was essentially the same at all times tested (Figure 7A), demonstrating that cocaine responsiveness is not a behavioral output of the circadian clock.

Second, we asked whether PDF, the only known functional output of the LN_vs in the context of circadian rhythms, is involved in regulating cocaine sensitivity. *pdf* mutant flies show a circadian phenotype that has been localized to the LN_vs . We found that the *pdf*⁰¹ mutant flies, which completely lack PDF (Renn et al. 1999), showed normal cocaine responses compared to the control strain (Figure 7B). To eliminate the possibility that the absence of a phenotype was caused by genetic modifiers in the background of the *pdf*⁰¹ strain, we also tested flies carrying the *pdf*⁰¹ chromosome over a deficiency for the locus (see Materials and Methods). These *pdf*⁰¹ hemizygous flies also displayed normal cocaine sensitivity (Figure 7B). Taken together, these results show that the altered cocaine sensitivity of *Lmo* flies is not secondary to

their abnormal circadian rhythms. Moreover, our data show that cocaine sensitivity and circadian behaviors, although both localized to the LN_vs , are genetically separable.

$\mathsf{LN}_{\mathsf{v}}\mathsf{s}$ and Their Synaptic Activity Regulate Acute Cocaine Responsivity

The hypersensitivity of Lmo mutants to cocaine could result from either the disruption of an LN_v output that acts normally to dampen cocaine sensitivity or from an increase in an output of LNvs that normally enhances cocaine sensitivity. In order to differentiate between these two possibilities, we tested flies that lacked LN_vs, generated by targeted expression of the cell death gene head involution defective (hid) using pdf-GAL4. This approach was previously used to demonstrate that LN_vs are the Drosophila pacemaker neurons responsible for rhythmic locomotor activity (Renn et al. 1999). When tested in the crackometer, flies with LN_{v} ablations showed reduced sensitivity to cocaine when compared to controls (Figure 8). These results show that LN_vs normally act to increase cocaine responsiveness and that this effect is antagonized by Lmo function in these cells (see Discussion).

To confirm that the behavioral resistance observed in LN_vablated animals results from loss of neuronal signaling from these cells, rather than from developmental compensations induced by their ablation, we functionally silenced the LNvs either electrically, by targeted expression of the mammalian inward rectifying K⁺ channel (Kir2.1), or synaptically, by expression of tetanus toxin light chain (TeTx) (Sweeney et al. 1995; Baines et al. 2001). These manipulations do not affect LN_v survival or the normal projection patterns of LN_vs (Kaneko et al. 2000; Nitabach et al. 2002). Expression of either Kir2.1 or TeTx resulted in reduced cocaine sensitivity similar to that seen with LN_v ablations (Figure 8). Importantly, expression of an inactive TeTx (TeTxⁱⁿ) did not significantly alter cocaine responses, confirming that the actions of these transgenes were specific to their ability to silence or ablate the LN_vs (Figure 8). These data further demonstrate that circadian phenotype does not predict cocaine phenotype, as targeted expression of either hid or Kir2.1 in LNvs causes arrhythmia, while expression of TetTx does not (Renn et al. 1999; Kaneko et al. 2000; Nitabach et al. 2002). In summary, these results confirm a novel role for LN_v activity and synaptic output in increasing behavioral responses to cocaine in a manner independent from its role in regulating circadian locomotor rhythmicity.

Discussion

In a genetic screen for *Drosophila* mutants with altered acute responses to cocaine, we isolated multiple mutations in the *Lmo* locus. Behavioral characterization of gain- and lossof-function alleles of *Lmo* demonstrates an inverse correlation between *Lmo* expression levels and cocaine sensitivity. Through targeted expression of *Lmo*, we show that these altered cocaine responses are caused by differential *Lmo* expression in the circadian pacemaker neurons, the LN_vs . Consistent with a dysfunction of these pacemaker neurons in *Lmo* mutants is our finding that the mutant flies also show altered circadian locomotor rhythms. However, using a variety of genetic methods to ablate or functionally silence these neurons, we reveal a novel role for the LN_vs in





Figure 6. Wild-Type *Lmo* Is Required for Robust Circadian Rhythms of Locomotor Activity

Locomotor activity of control (Ctl-1 and Ctl-2) and *Lmo* mutant (*EP1383, EP1306, hdp*^{*R26*}, and *hdp*^{*rev83*}) flies was recorded in constant darkness as previously described (Nitabach et al. 2002).

(A) Representative actograms of control and *Lmo* mutants. Control flies show robust circadian rhythms with clear distinctions between activity during the subjective day and inactivity during the subjective night. The pattern of the *EP1306* and hdp^{rev83} mutants was more stochastic.

(B) Graph showing the proportion of strongly rhythmic (white), weakly rhythmic (gray), and arrhythmic (black) flies for each genotype. Most control flies had strong rhythms (28/30 for Ctl-1 and 27/29 for Ctl-2) while *Lmo* mutants formed a series with an increasing fraction of the

flies arrhythmic. For example, 13/26 hdp^{rev83} flies were arrhythmic, with the other 13 all having weak rhythms. The power of the rhythm was used to estimate the strength of the activity rhythm, with a power of 300 or more classed as a strong rhythm and a power between 300 and 170 classed as a weak rhythm; arrhythmics were given a power of 170 (for analysis below). Between 24 and 30 flies were assayed for each genotype. There were no major differences in the period length of the rhythmic flies in each genotype (Ctl-1, 23.6 ± 0.3; Ctl-2, 23.5 ± 0.5; *EP1383*, 23.2 ± 0.4; *EP1306*, 23.4 ± 0.3; hdp^{R26} , 24.2 ± 0.5; and hdp^{rev83} , 23.4 ± 0.3).

(C) Quantitation of the average power of the rhythm with error bars showing standard error of the mean. One-way ANOVA revealed significant differences between genotypes (p < 0.0001). Post-hoc *t*-tests using a Bonferroni correction revealed that the power of the rhythm was significantly different between control flies and the *Lmo* mutants hdp^{R26} , hdp^{rev83} , and *EP1306* (p < 0.01). *EP1383* flies had a significantly weaker rhythm than Ctl-2 flies (p < 0.05). Ctl-1, w^{1118} , is the appropriate genetic control for the *hdp* alleles (black columns); Ctl-2, *EP1631*, is the appropriate control for *EP1306* and *EP1383* (gray columns). All flies tested are in the same genetic background, that of the w^{1118} flies.

(D) Quantitation of average activity (beam crossings per minute) with error bars showing standard error of the mean. ANOVA did not reveal significant differences between genotypes at the 0.01 level. Ctl-1, w^{1118} , is the appropriate genetic control for the *hdp* alleles (black columns); Ctl-2, *EP1631*, is the appropriate control for *EP1306* and *EP1383* (gray columns). All flies tested are in the same genetic background, that of the w^{1118} flies.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g006

modulating cocaine's acute locomotor responses that is independent of their pacemaker function. These findings add to mounting data in *Drosophila* and mice supporting a role for circadian genes in cocaine-related behaviors (Andretic et al. 1999; S. K. Park et al. 2000; Abarca et al. 2002; Rothenfluh and Heberlein 2002). Our discovery that cocaine



Figure 7. Cocaine Responses Are Not a Circadian Output and *pdf* Mutants Show Wild-Type Cocaine Sensitivity

(A) Cocaine responses do not vary with the circadian clock. Control (*EP1631*) flies were raised under LD conditions and assayed for cocaine phenotypes in the crackometer at the indicated Zeitgeber (ZT) times. One-way ANOVA revealed no significant effect of time of day (F = 0.53, p = 0.82, n = 32).

(B) Flies lacking the neuropeptide PDF (pdf^{01}) display normal cocaine sensitivity. pdf^{01} homozygotes (pdf^{01}/pdf^{01}) and pdf^{01} hemizygotes (pdf^{01}/Df) showed wild-type responses to cocaine in the crackometer. Individual pairwise comparisons using Student's *t*-tests revealed no significant differences between control (+/+ and +/Df) and *pdf* mutant genotypes (p = 0.69, p = 0.97, n = 6-8 experiments) DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g007 actions are modulated by neurons critical for normal circadian locomotor rhythmicity suggests a basis for this overlap.

Lmo Functions in PDF Neurons to Regulate Cocaine Sensitivity

We provide several lines of evidence that levels of Lmo expression in PDF-expressing LN_vs regulate acute sensitivity to volatilized cocaine in Drosophila. First, loss-of-function mutations in Lmo show increased cocaine sensitivity, a defect that can be reversed by induced expression of Lmo in the LN_vs . Second, Bx mutants, in which Lmo is overexpressed, show reduced cocaine sensitivity; this resistance can be mimicked by overexpression of *Lmo* in the LN_vs. The LN_vs are a group of 8-10 neurons in each brain hemisphere that express the neuropeptide PDF. These neurons have previously been identified as the circadian pacemaker neurons of adult Drosophila and are involved in modulating rhythmic locomotor behavior (Renn et al. 1999; Blanchardon et al. 2001). Interestingly, expression of a mouse homolog of Lmo, *Lmo4*, is highly enriched in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) (A. Lasek, D. Kapfhamer, and U. H., unpublished data), the mammalian central pacemaker. Furthermore, microarray analysis revealed that in many tissues, *Lmo4* expression varies with circadian time (Panda et al. 2002). These data suggest an evolutionarily conserved role for Lmo in clock neuron function.

LMOs are known to act as regulators of LIM-HD protein activity and stability (Retaux and Bachy 2002). LIM-HD proteins are transcription factors involved in many stages

: 🐼



Figure 8. Silencing or Ablating PDF Cells Induces Resistance to Cocaine Ablation of PDF cells with pdf-GAL4 and UAS-hid reduced sensitivity to cocaine compared to parental lines (pdf-GAL4/+ and UAS-hid/+). Electrical (UAS-Kir2.1⁸ or UAS-Kir2.1⁷) or synaptic (UAS-TeTx) silencing of PDF cells with pdf-GAL4 phenocopied PDF cell ablations. One-way ANOVAs with post hoc planned comparisons revealed a significant effect of genotype for the UAS-hid (p < 0.003, n = 20), UAS-Kir2.1⁷ (p < 0.008, n = 32), UAS-Kir2.1⁸ (p < 0.002, n = 28), and UAS-TeTx (p < 0.001, n = 28) groups, but not for UAS-TeTxⁱⁿ (p >0.045, n = 28) (n corresponds to the number of experiments). Critical *p*-value was adjusted to p = 0.025. Asterisks denote significant differences in both planned comparisons (pdf-GAL4/+ and UAStransgene/+ versus pdf-GAL4/UAS-transgene). Variations in phenotype of *pdf-GAL4* flies for each set of experiments is caused by day-to-day variability

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g008

of nervous system development, from neuronal generation and axon guidance to determination of neuronal subtype identity (Hobert and Westphal 2000). In addition, expression of LIM-HD proteins in postmitotic neurons suggests a role in maintaining the differentiated state of these neurons (Hobert and Westphal 2000). The development and structure of the PDF-expressing LN_vs, the neurons to which we localize *Lmo* action, have been studied in detail (Helfrich-Förster 1997). The LN_vs can be divided into two groups of 4-5 neurons based on cell body size, projection pattern, and time of development. The s-LN_vs, which arise in early larval development, project to the dorsal central brain, terminating near two sets of dorsal neurons that express clock genes at high levels. The l-LN_vs arise during pupal stages and project onto the surface of the optic medulla, as well as to the contralateral LN_vs through fibers running in the posterior optic tract. Both s- and l-LN_vs have dense arborizations in the accessory medulla, a neuropil proposed to be a circadian pacemaker center in cockroaches and crickets (Helfrich-Förster 1998). An assessment of s-LN_v and l-LN_v numbers and detailed projection patterns revealed no differences between wildtype flies and Lmo mutants. Furthermore, by both quantitative RT-PCR and immunohistochemistry, we determined that PDF levels are normal in *Lmo* mutants (data not shown). Expression of PDF, the only known LN_v output, is restricted to the $LN_{\nu}s$ and a few tritocerebral neurons. As PDF expression is a specific marker for the differentiated state of the LN_vs, it is unlikely that in *Lmo* mutants these neurons are grossly abnormal in their terminal differentiation.

The absence of obvious structural abnormalities of LN_vs suggests that *Lmo* may play an active role in regulating cocaine responses. In fact, evidence is mounting that the expression and activity of LMOs are dynamically regulated within the nervous system. For instance, expression of the

murine Lmo homologs Lmo1, Lmo2, and Lmo3 is differentially regulated by seizure activity in specific regions of the hippocampus and forebrain of adult mice (Hinks et al. 1997). In addition, gene array experiments have revealed that expression of mammalian Lmo homologs is under circadian regulation in the SCN and is increased in the cerebral cortex during sleep deprivation (Cirelli and Tononi 2000; Panda et al. 2002). Furthermore, Lmo3 was isolated as a transcript upregulated by DA administration in cultured astrocytes (Shi et al. 2001). Lastly, Lmo2 and Lmo4 were recently isolated in a screen for calcium-regulated activators of transcription (Aizawa et al. 2004), suggesting a role for LMOs in regulating gene expression changes induced by neural activity. These data are intriguing in light of the many functional changes that occur in reward pathways in the addicted state. Whether LMO activity and/or expression are regulated by acute cocaine exposure remains to be studied.

Separable Roles of LN_vs in Regulating Cocaine and Circadian Behaviors

We provide evidence that the LN_vs regulate cocaineinduced behaviors, in addition to their well-known role in controlling circadian locomotor behaviors. Flies with LN_v ablations show reduced sensitivity to cocaine, establishing that these cells normally increase behavioral responses to cocaine. Our experiments also indicate that LN_vs drive circadian locomotor and cocaine behaviors in distinct ways. First, we found that sensitivity to cocaine is not modulated in a circadian manner, showing that the cocaine response is not simply an output of the central clock. Second, we showed that Lmo mutants, pdf mutants, and flies in which LN_vs have been electrically silenced or ablated-all known to display similar locomotor rhythm deficits-show completely uncorrelated cocaine sensitivities: increased, unchanged, and reduced sensitivity, respectively. Lastly, we provide evidence that the LN_v outputs that mediate cocaine and circadian behaviors are divergent. PDF, the only known LN_v neurotransmitter, is required for normal locomotor rhythms (Renn et al. 1999). pdf null mutants, however, show normal cocaine responses. Furthermore, while synaptic silencing of PDF neurons does not disrupt circadian locomotor activity (Kaneko et al. 2000), we show here that the same manipulation reduces cocaine responses to the same extent as neuronal ablation. Together, these results imply the existence of an alternate, TeTxsensitive functional output that mediates LN_v modulation of cocaine responses. Interestingly, Blau and colleagues have also hypothesized a PDF-independent LNv output that regulates another rapid behavioral response, larval photophobicity (E. Mazzoni, C. Desplan, and J. Blau, unpublished data).

How might LN_vs modulate circadian rhythmicity and cocaine sensitivity independently? It is possible that these cells use distinct output mechanisms, PDF to regulate circadian locomotor rhythms and another unknown signal to regulate cocaine sensitivity. Alternatively, these behaviors could be regulated by distinct subsets of LN_vs . For example, several recent findings suggest that l- LN_vs may play a lesser role in regulating circadian rhythmicity (Helfrich-Förster 2003). First, l- LN_vs do not project to the dorsal brain, an area implicated in locomotor rhythmicity (Helfrich-Förster 1997). Second, unlike in s- LN_vs , molecular clock cycling is not sustained for long in these cells during free-running conditions (Kaneko et al. 2000; Yang and Sehgal 2001; Shafer et al. 2002). Lastly, PDF expression and release is modulated by the molecular clock in s-LN_vs, but not in l-LN_vs (Blau and Young 1999; J. H. Park et al. 2000). However, another study found that normal rhythmicity can be obtained in flies lacking s-LN_vs (Helfrich-Förster 1998). Moreover, the projections of l-LN_vs connect the l- and s-LN_vs from both brain hemispheres through projections in the posterior optic tract, suggesting a functional link between the two groups of cells. Whether *Lmo* functions in the s- and/or l-LN_vs to regulate cocaine sensitivity and circadian rhythmicity cannot be established with currently available tools.

There is growing evidence for a functional link between circadian neurons and the modulation of cocaine-related behaviors. In mammals, the peptidergic neurons of the SCN have been shown by a variety of studies to be the central pacemakers controlling circadian rhythms (van Esseveldt et al. 2000). Interestingly, the fetal mammalian SCN contains DA D1 receptors through which cocaine can influence entrainment of fetal biological rhythms (Simonik et al. 1994; Viswanathan et al. 1994; Bender et al. 1997). In addition, disruption of another major component of the mammalian circadian system, the pineal gland, or its secretory product melatonin, results in altered cocaine responses (Uz et al. 2002, 2003; Zhdanova and Giorgetti 2002). Hirsh and colleagues showed that mutants in the Drosophila clock genes period, clock, and cycle, but not timeless fail to sensitize to repeated cocaine exposures (Andretic et al. 1999). These genes, which are expressed at high levels in LNvs, have been to shown to act in these cells to modulate circadian behavior (Kaneko et al. 1997). It is not known whether circadian gene function in LN_vs also regulates behavioral sensitization to cocaine.

How Might LN_vs Modulate Cocaine Responses

We have demonstrated that LN_v electrical activity and synaptic output contribute to cocaine-induced behavioral responses, raising a number of questions regarding the interaction of cocaine with these neurons and their output. We propose a simple model whereby the activity of some or all LN_vs directly increases upon cocaine administration, which in turn results in cocaine-induced changes in locomotion (Figure 9). Interestingly, a recent report showed that cultured LNv neurons can respond to either DA or acetylcholine, but not to glutamate, serotonin, octopamine, or histamine (Wegener et al. 2004). The inferred presence of DA receptors on a subset of LN_vs provides a potential mechanism by which LNv activity could be directly increased by cocaine administration, as cocaine's primary mechanism of action is to inhibit the reuptake of DA by DAT. In this model, when the LN_vs are ablated or silenced, one site of cocaine action would be eliminated, thus reducing cocaine's effect (Figure 9B).

How would *Lmo* fit into this model? We propose that in *Lmo* loss-of-function mutants, LN_v output is boosted, possibly because of increased expression of DA receptors (Figure 9C), leading to enhanced cocaine sensitivity. Conversely, increased *Lmo* expression (in *Bx* mutants or in flies specifically overexpressing *Lmo* in PDF cells) would result in reduced receptor content and, consequently, in dampened cocaine sensitivity (Figure 9D). Further studies are needed to identify the putative DA receptor (or other molecules) that functions in LN_vs to regulate cocaine-induced behaviors.

LMO-induced changes in receptor expression are not inconceivable given LMO's interaction with LIM-HD proteins. Changes in LIM-HD protein function have been shown to affect aspects of neuronal subtype identity, including neurotransmitter and receptor expression profiles. For instance, mutations in the Drosophila LIM-HD gene islet cause a loss of DA and serotonin synthesis, while ectopic expression leads to ectopic expression of tyrosine hydroxylase, an enzyme required for DA biosynthesis (Thor and Thomas 1997). In addition, expression of a Drosophila DA receptor in larval neurons requires the function of the LIM-HD gene apterous (Park et al. 2004). The observation that DA receptor expression is also altered in various Caenorhabditis elegans LIM-HD mutants (Tsalik et al. 2003) suggests an evolutionarily conserved role of LIM-HD proteins and possibly LMOs in the regulation of neurotransmitter identity and responsiveness. Consistent with this idea is the finding that *Lmo* homologs are highly expressed in the mesolimbic DA system of mice (A. Lasek, D. Kapfhamer, and U. H., unpublished observations)a neural pathway involved in the acute stimulant and rewarding properties of abused drugs. We posit that LMOs are ideally suited to modulate in a subtle manner the neurochemical identity and sensitivity of the nervous system to various stimuli, including drugs of abuse.



Figure 9. A Model for LN_v and LMO Regulation of Cocaine Sensitivity

(A) In wild type, LN_vs modulate locomotor responses via electrical activity and synaptic transmission. We propose a model in which cocaine acts to directly increase LN_v activity. Upon cocaine administration, synaptic DA concentrations are increased (via cocaine's inhibition of the plasma membrane DA transporter). Activation of presumed DA receptors on the LN_v (dark arrowheads) stimulates electrical activity and subsequent synaptic output. This activity contributes to the behavioral response of the fly to cocaine. (B) LN_v ablations eliminate LN_v contribution to the cocaine response, reducing cocaine sensitivity.

(C) In our model, *Lmo* loss-of-function mutants (*Lmo*^{LOF}), which have increased cocaine sensitivity, have increased activity/output during the cocaine response. This increased activity may be mediated by increases in receptor content on the LN_v or by recruitment of other LN_v s that normally do not participate in the cocaine response.

(D) Lmo gain-of-function mutants (Lmo^{GOF}) mutants have reduced LN_v output and reduced cocaine sensitivity. This could also result from a reduction in receptor density.

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pbio.0020408.g009

Materials and Methods

Drosophila culture and strains. All flies were maintained on standard cornmeal molasses agar at 25 °C and 70% humidity under constant weak light. The Rørth EP collection was obtained from G. M. Rubin (University of California, Berkeley, California, United States) (Rørth 1996). The pdrm allele was originally isolated in a genetic screen of P[GAL4] insertions (carrying the GawB element) for altered response to the locomotor-activating effects of ethanol (F. Wolf, unpublished data) and consequently tested for cocaine sensitivity. The location of the insertion was determined by inverse PCR (http:// www.fruitfly.org/about/methods/inverse.pcr.html). The hdp^{R590} and hdp^{R26} loss-of-function alleles were provided by S. Cohen (European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Heidelberg, Germany) (Milan et al. 1998). UAS-Lmo lines were provided by C. Zeng (University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin, United States) (Zeng et al. 1998). The hdp^{rev83} strain was generated by N. Justice by imprecise excision of the EP1306 line, screening for the hdp phenotype (unpublished data). Bx alleles and pdf deficiencies (Df(3R)TI-X and Df(3R)TI-P) were obtained from the *Drosophila* Stock Center (Bloomington, Indiana, United States). w33, pdf^{01} and pdf-GAL4 flies were obtained from P. Taghert (Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri, United States) (Renn et al. 1999). UAS-Kir2.1 lines were obtained from S. Sweeney (University of California, San Francisco, California, United States) (Baines et al. 2001). UAS-TeTx lines were generated as previously described (Sweeney et al. 1995; Scholz et al. 2000). UAS-hid flies were provided by R. S. Stowers (NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California, United States) (Zhou et al. 1997). UAS-tauGFP and UAS-lacZ lines were obtained from Y.-N. Jan (University of California, San Francisco). All lines used for behavioral experiments, unless noted below, were out-crossed for five generations to a w^{1118} stock isogenic for Chromosomes II and III. Because pdf^{01} and its background control strain (w33) are unmarked, only Chromosomes I and II were replaced via crosses to balancer stocks in the w^{1118} genetic background.

Genetic screen and selection of controls. The approximately 400 Xlinked EP lines were initially screened as hemizygotes crossed to a w, XX/Y tester strain in the crackometer as described below (n = 6-9). These lines distributed normally, and 30 lines with phenotypes greater than 1.5 standard deviation from the mean were out-crossed into our w^{1118} background and retested. An additional 70 lines were selected to be out-crossed in order to provide a population distribution from which to select control lines. These 100 out-crossed lines were rescreened for acute responses to cocaine. A group of five control EP lines were chosen based on having a normal acute response (near the mode of the distribution). In all behavioral experiments involving EP lines, at least three of these control lines were tested to ensure that the control shown was representative of the EP population. The specific control EP line shown for each experiment is noted in the figure legends. As a control for the pdrm line we used a P[GAL4] insertion (line 8.142) that shows a normal response to multiple drugs, including cocaine (A. Rothenfluh, D. Guarnieri, A. Rodan, and F. Wolf, unpublished data). For data shown in Figures 1 and 3, all lines were crossed to a w, XX/Y tester strain to reduce possible effects of recessive autosomal modifiers. In addition to the genotypes shown, we tested three P-element lines in the Lmo locus that did not produce cocaine phenotypes: MS1096, which lies 20 bp downstream of the first RA transcript exon, and two additional EP lines, EP0443 and EP1394, inserted 5' to EP1383; the EP lines have normal wings. MS1096 has been shown to have a very weak wing venation phenotype, but has otherwise not been phenotypically or molecularly characterized (Milan et al. 1998).

Behavioral assays and statistics. For cocaine-sensitivity assays, for all behavioral experiments, 15 male flies were collected under CO₂ anesthesia 0-2 d post eclosion (day 12), and tested 2-3 d later. Flies were equilibrated to room temperature for at least 1 h before being exposed to cocaine. Cocaine exposures were performed as described previously (McClung and Hirsh 1998; Bainton et al. 2000), and startleinduced negative geotaxis was assayed in a glass cylinder as described previously (Bainton et al. 2000). A drug effect score was determined as the average (measured every minute over 5 min) number of flies that remained on the bottom of the cylinder, expressed as percent of the total number of flies. Significance was established for each experiment as described in figure legends. Generally, either Student's paired t-tests assuming equal variance or one-way ANOVAs with post hoc Bonferroni planned comparisons or Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons were performed in GraphPad Prism 4 (GraphPad, San Diego, California, United States). Error bars in all experiments

represent the standard error of the mean. To maintain an experiment-wide error rate of $\alpha = 0.05$, the adjusted error rates were p =0.05/n for the *n* subsequent planned pairwise comparisons in each experiment. To observe cocaine locomotor activity patterns, ten flies were exposed to volatilized cocaine for 1 min, transferred to a 7.5 cm \times 10 cm \times 0.5 cm acrylic box, and images were captured on an Apple G4 computer (Apple, Cupertino, California, United States) using Adobe Premiere (Adobe Systems, San Jose, California, United States) at 10 frames/s for 5 min. Fly locomotion was tracked using the dynamic image analysis system software (Solltech, Oakdale, Iowa, United States). All genotypes were tested at each dose multiple times $(n \geq 3)$, and representative data were selected for the figures. Baseline negative geotaxis was measured by mock exposures of flies and subsequent assay in the crackometer as above; all genotypes displayed behavioral scores of less than 10%, with no significant differences between genotypes (n > 8).

For circadian experiments, locomotor activity of individual flies was measured using the TriKinetics (Waltham, Massachusetts, United States) infrared beam-crossing system recording total crosses in 10min bins. Raw activity histograms were analyzed for circadian rhythms using Actimetrics (Wilmette, Illinois, United States) Clocklab software. Chi-square periodograms were constructed according to Sokolove and Bushell (1978), and significant circadian rhythmicity was defined as presence of a peak in periodogram power that extends above the 0.01 Chi-prosquare significance line. Since this line is equal to a power of approximately 175 at a period of 24 h, flies with no periodogram peak crossing the significance line were assigned a circadian power of 170. This would tend to overestimate the circadian power of these flies, and thus is conservative with regard to assessing statistical differences in power between genotypes exhibiting frequent arrhythmicity and those that are predominately rhythmic. For the circadian cocaine-sensitivity experiment, flies were set up and raised in LD, collected under CO₂ anesthesia during the light phase 1-2 d after eclosion, and placed back in LD for 2-3 d. At the indicated Zeitgeber times, flies were tested in the crackometer, under lights, within 5 min of being removed from LD conditions.

Histology. Expression pattern of the *pdrm* P[GAL4] insertion was examined by crossing to *UAS-GFPT2* and *UAS-mCD8GFP*. All adult and larval preparations were dissected in PBS, fixed in 4% formaldehyde/PEM for 40 min, washed in PBS, and dehydardet in 50% glycerol/PBS for 1 h. Tissue was mounted in Vectashield mounting medium (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, California, United States) and analyzed with a Bio-Rad confocal microscope with Bio-Rad Lasersharp 2000 software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, California, United States). PAP antibody staining (provided by P. Taghert) was performed on CNS preparations that were dissected, fixed, and washed as above. Specimens were incubated in 1:2,000 dilution of anti-PAP in PBT, and with a Texas Red-coupled goat anti-guinea pig secondary antibody, diluted 1:200 (Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine, United States).

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Flies 2- to 4-d-old were collected and frozen immediately at -80 °C. Heads were removed from bodies by vortexing, and separated in a sieve. RNA was extracted from heads and bodies by homogenizing the flies in hot phenol and NTES. Complementary DNA (cDNA) was prepared using TaqMan Reverse Transcription Reagents (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, United States) according to the manufacturer's specifications, with the addition of random sequence hexamers to 2.5 µM. cDNA was analyzed by quantitative, real-time PCR using the ABI PRISM 7700 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The following probes and primers were designed using ABI PrimerExpress software: LmoRA-For, GAAGAGAAAČAACAAČGAGCAACA; LmoRA-Rev, ATTTGCATATTTCGCACTTGTTTAGCT; and LmoRA-Probe, CTGCTGCCGTTGCTG. rp49 probe and primers (CT 6405) were obtained from Applied Biosystems. TaqMan PCR reactions consisted of 50 ng of cDNA, 0.9 µM each diagnostic primer, 0.25 µM diagnostic probe, and 1x final of TaqMan Universal PCR Mastermix (Applied Biosystems) in a reaction volume of 25 µl. The TaqMan PCR conditions used were as described in TaqMan guidelines. Each sample was analyzed in triplicate. As negative controls, we used both no-template and DNase-treated non-reverse-transcribed mRNA samples; no significant amplification was observed in these samples. rp49 transcript levels were used as an endogenous normalization control for RNA samples, and relative mRNA abundance was calculated using the comparative delta-Ct method. Reference mRNA is noted in figure legends. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis was performed on at least two independent RNA preparations, with similar results.

Acknowledgments

We thank Cori Bargmann, Grae Davis, Lily Jan, William Cho, Douglas Guarnieri, Aylin Rodan, Adrian Rothenfluh, and Fred Wolf for interesting discussions and/or comments on the manuscript; Nick Justice, Fred Wolf, Douglas Guarnieri, Ammon Corl, and Adrian Rothenfluh for providing unpublished reagents; Melissa Andres and Rollie Mancilla for help with dissections and immunohistochemistry; and Julie Gesch for performing the qPCR. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health to UH (R21

References

- Abarca C, Albrecht U, Spanagel R (2002) Cocaine sensitization and reward are under the influence of circadian genes and rhythm. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 9026–9030.
- Aizawa H, Hu SC, Bobb K, Balakrishnan K, Ince G, et al. (2004) Dendrite development regulated by CREST, a calcium-regulated transcriptional activator. Science 303: 197–202.
- Andretic R, Chaney S, Hirsh J (1999) Requirement of circadian genes for cocaine sensitization in *Drosophila*. Science 285: 1066–1068.
- Baines RA, Uhler JP, Thompson A, Sweeney ST, Bate M (2001) Altered electrical properties in *Drosophila* neurons developing without synaptic transmission. J Neurosci 21: 1523–1531.
- Bainton RJ, Tsai LT, Singh CM, Moore MS, Neckameyer WS, et al. (2000) Dopamine modulates acute responses to cocaine, nicotine and ethanol in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 10: 187–194.
- Bender M, Drago J, Rivkees SA (1997) D1 receptors mediate dopamine action in the fetal suprachiasmatic nuclei: Studies of mice with targeted deletion of the D1 dopamine receptor gene. Brain Res Mol Brain Res 49: 271–277.
- Blanchardon E, Grima B, Klarsfeld A, Chelot E, Hardin PE, et al. (2001) Defining the role of *Drosophila* lateral neurons in the control of circadian rhythms in motor activity and eclosion by targeted genetic ablation and PERIOD protein overexpression. Eur J Neurosci 13: 871–888.
- Blau J, Young MW (1999) Cycling *vrille* expression is required for a functional *Drosophila* clock. Cell 99: 661–671.
- Cirelli C, Tononi G (2000) Gene expression in the brain across the sleep-waking cycle. Brain Res 885: 303–321.
- Gawin FH (1991) Cocaine addiction: Psychology and neurophysiology. Science 251: 1580–1586.
- Helfrich-Förster C (1997) Development of pigment-dispersing hormoneimmunoreactive neurons in the nervous system of *Drosophila melanogaster*. J Comp Neurol 380: 335–354.
- Helfrich-Förster C (1998) Robust circadian rhythmicity of Drosophila melanogaster requires the presence of lateral neurons: A brain-behavioral study of disconnected mutants. J Comp Physiol [A] 182: 435–453.
- Helfrich-Förster C (2003) The neuroarchitecture of the circadian clock in the brain of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Microsc Res Tech 62: 94–102.
- Hinks GL, Shah B, French SJ, Campos LS, Staley K, et al. (1997) Expression of LIM protein genes Lmol, Lmo2, and Lmo3 in adult mouse hippocampus and other forebrain regions: Differential regulation by seizure activity. J Neurosci 17: 5549–5559.
- Hirsh J (2001) Time flies like an arrow. Fruit flies like crack? Pharmacogenomics J 1: 97–100.
- Hobert O, Westphal H (2000) Functions of LIM-homeobox genes. Trends Genet 16: 75–83.
- Kaneko M, Helfrich-Förster C, Hall JC (1997) Spatial and temporal expression of the *period* and *timeless* genes in the developing nervous system of *Drosophila*: Newly identified pacemaker candidates and novel features of clock gene product cycling. J Neurosci 17: 6745–6760.
- Kaneko M, Park JH, Cheng Y, Hardin PE, Hall JC (2000) Disruption of synaptic transmission or clock-gene-product oscillations in circadian pacemaker cells of *Drosophila* cause abnormal behavioral rhythms. J Neurobiol 43: 207– 233.
- Kuhar MJ, Ritz MC, Boja JW (1991) The dopamine hypothesis of the reinforcing properties of cocaine. Trends Neurosci 14: 299–302.
- Laakso A, Mohn AR, Gainetdinov RR, Caron MG (2002) Experimental genetic approaches to addiction. Neuron 36: 213–228.
- Li H, Chaney S, Roberts IJ, Forte M, Hirsh J (2000) Ectopic G-protein expression in dopamine and serotonin neurons blocks cocaine sensitization in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Curr Biol 10: 211–214.
- McClung C, Hirsh J (1998) Stereotypic behavioral responses to free-base cocaine and the development of behavioral sensitization in *Drosophila*. Curr Biol 8: 109–112.
- Milan M, Cohen SM (1999) Regulation of LIM homeodomain activity in vivo: A tetramer of dLDB and apterous confers activity and capacity for regulation by dLMO. Mol Cell 4: 267–273.

Milan M, Cohen SM (2000) Temporal regulation of apterous activity during development of the Drosophila wing. Development 127: 3069–3078.

- Milan M, Diaz-Benjumea FJ, Cohen SM (1998) Beadex encodes an LMO protein that regulates Apterous LIM-homeodomain activity in *Drosophila* wing development: A model for LMO oncogene function. Genes Dev 12: 2912– 2920.
- Morgan TH, Bridges CB, Sturtevant AH (1925) The genetics of Drosophila.

DA14809, R01 AA 10035, and RO1 AA 13105), RB (KO8 DA 444906–33821), and LT (Medical Scientist Training Program).

Conflicts of interest. The authors have declared that no conflicts of interest exist.

Author contributions. LTYT and UH conceived and designed the experiments. LTYT and JB performed the experiments. LTYT and JB analyzed the data. RJB participated in the genetic screen that led to the isolation of EP1306 and EP1383. LTYT and UH wrote the paper.

Bibliographica Genetica II. 's-Gravenhage (The Netherlands): Martinus Nijhoff. 262 p.

- Nitabach MN, Blau J, Holmes TC (2002) Electrical silencing of Drosophila pacemaker neurons stops the free-running circadian clock. Cell 109: 485– 495.
- Panda S, Antoch MP, Miller BH, Su AI, Schook AB, et al. (2002) Coordinated transcription of key pathways in the mouse by the circadian clock. Cell 109: 307–320.
- Park D, Han M, Kim YC, Han KA, Taghert PH (2004) Ap-let neurons—A peptidergic circuit potentially controlling ecdysial behavior in *Drosophila*. Dev Biol 269: 95–108.
- Park JH, Helfrich-Förster C, Lee G, Liu L, Rosbash M, et al. (2000) Differential regulation of circadian pacemaker output by separate clock genes in *Drosophila*. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 97: 3608–3613.
- Park SK, Sedore SA, Cronmiller C, Hirsh J (2000) Type II cAMP-dependent protein kinase-deficient *Drosophila* are viable but show developmental, circadian, and drug response phenotypes. J Biol Chem 275: 20588–20596.
- Peng Y, Stoleru D, Levine JD, Hall JC, Rosbash M (2003) Drosophila free-running rhythms require intercellular communication. PLoS Biol 1: e13.
- Renn SC, Park JH, Rosbash M, Hall JC, Taghert PH (1999) A pdf neuropeptide gene mutation and ablation of PDF neurons each cause severe abnormalities of behavioral circadian rhythms in *Drosophila*. Cell 99: 791–802.
- Retaux S, Bachy I (2002) A short history of LIM domains (1993–2002): From protein interaction to degradation. Mol Neurobiol 26: 269–281.
 Robinson TE, Berridge KC (1993) The neural basis of drug craving: An
- Robinson TE, Berridge KC (1993) The neural basis of drug craving: An incentive-sensitization theory of addiction. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 18: 247– 291.
- Rørth P (1996) A modular misexpression screen in *Drosophila* detecting tissuespecific phenotypes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 12418–12422.
- Rothenfluh A, Heberlein U (2002) Drugs, flies, and videotape: The effects of ethanol and cocaine on *Drosophila* locomotion. Curr Opin Neurobiol 12: 639-645.
- Schenk S, Partridge B (1997) Sensitization and tolerance in psychostimulant self-administration. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 57: 543–550.
- Scholz H, Ramond J, Singh CM, Heberlein U (2000) Functional ethanol tolerance in *Drosophila*. Neuron 28: 261–271.
- Shafer OT, Rosbash M, Truman JW (2002) Sequential nuclear accumulation of the clock proteins period and timeless in the pacemaker neurons of Drosophila melanogaster. J Neurosci 22: 5946–5954.
- Shi J, Cai W, Chen X, Ying K, Zhang K, et al. (2001) Identification of dopamine responsive mRNAs in glial cells by suppression subtractive hybridization. Brain Res 910: 29–37.
- Shirasaki R, Pfaff SL (2002) Transcriptional codes and the control of neuronal identity. Annu Rev Neurosci 25: 251–281.
- Shoresh M, Orgad S, Shmueli O, Werczberger R, Gelbaum D, et al. (1998) Overexpression *Beadex* mutations and loss-of-function *heldup* mutations in *Drosophila* affect the 3 regulatory and coding components, respectively, of the *Dlmo* gene. Genetics 150: 283–299.
- Simonik DK, Robinson SR, Smotherman WP (1994) Cocaine alters cyclic motor activity in the fetal rat. Dev Psychobiol 27: 489–501.
- Sokolove PG, Bushell WN (1978) The chi square periodogram: Its utility for analysis of circadian rhythms. J Theor Biol 72: 131-160.
- Sora I, Wichems C, Takahashi N, Li XF, Zeng Z, et al. (1998) Cocaine reward models: Conditioned place preference can be established in dopamine- and in serotonin-transporter knockout mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 7699– 7704.
- Stanewsky R (2003) Genetic analysis of the circadian system in Drosophila melanogaster and mammals. J Neurobiol 54: 111-147.
- Stocker RF (1994) The organization of the chemosensory system in Drosophila melanogaster: A review. Cell Tissue Res 275: 3–26.
- Sweeney ST, Broadie K, Keane J, Niemann H, O'Kane CJ (1995) Targeted expression of tetanus toxin light chain in *Drosophila* specifically eliminates synaptic transmission and causes behavioral defects. Neuron 14: 341–351.
- Thor S, Thomas JB (1997) The Drosophila islet gene governs axon pathfinding and neurotransmitter identity. Neuron 18: 397-409.
- Tsalik EL, Niacaris T, Wenick AS, Pau K, Avery L, et al. (2003) LIM homeobox gene-dependent expression of biogenic amine receptors in restricted regions of the *C. elegans* nervous system. Dev Biol 263: 81–102.
- Uhl GR, Hall FS, Sora I (2002) Cocaine, reward, movement and monoamine transporters. Mol Psychiatry 7: 21–26.
- Uz T, Javaid JI, Manev H (2002) Circadian differences in behavioral

c G-protein sensitization sens sensitization to cocaine: Putative role of arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase. Life Sci 70: 3069–3075.

- Uz T, Akhisaroglu M, Ahmed R, Manev H (2003) The pineal gland is critical for circadian *Period1* expression in the striatum and for circadian cocaine sensitization in mice. Neuropsychopharmacology 28: 2117–2123.
- van Esseveldt KE, Lehman MN, Boer GJ (2000) The suprachiasmatic nucleus and the circadian time-keeping system revisited. Brain Res Brain Res Rev 33: 34–77.
- Viswanathan N, Weaver DR, Reppert SM, Davis FC (1994) Entrainment of the fetal hamster circadian pacemaker by prenatal injections of the dopamine agonist SKF 38393. J Neurosci 14: 5393–5398.
- Wegener C, Hamasaka Y, Nassel DR (2004) Acetylcholine increases intracellular Ca2+ via nicotinic receptors in cultured PDF-containing clock neurons of *Drosophila*. J Neurophysiol 91: 912–923.
- Weihe U, Milan M, Cohen SM (2001) Regulation of Apterous activity in Drosophila wing development. Development 128: 4615–4622.
- Wise RA, Bozarth MA (1985) Brain mechanisms of drug reward and euphoria. Psychiatr Med 3: 445–460.
- Wolf FW, Heberlein U (2003) Invertebrate models of drug abuse. J Neurobiol 54: 161–178.

- Wolf FW, Rodan AR, Tsai LT, Heberlein U (2002) High-resolution analysis of ethanol-induced locomotor stimulation in *Drosophila*. J Neurosci 22: 11035– 11044.
- Xiong WC, Okano H, Patel NH, Blendy JA, Montell C (1994) *repo* encodes a glial-specific homeo domain protein required in the *Drosophila* nervous system. Genes Dev 8: 981–994.
- Yang Z, Sehgal A (2001) Role of molecular oscillations in generating behavioral rhythms in *Drosophila*. Neuron 29: 453–467.
- Zars T (2000) Behavioral functions of the insect mushroom bodies. Curr Opin Neurobiol 10: 790–795.
- Zeng C, Justice NJ, Abdelilah S, Chan YM, Jan LY, et al. (1998) The Drosophila LIM-only gene, dLMO, is mutated in Beadex alleles and might represent an evolutionarily conserved function in appendage development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95: 10637–10642.
- Zhdanova IV, Giorgetti M (2002) Melatonin alters behavior and cAMP levels in nucleus accumbens induced by cocaine treatment. Brain Res 956: 323–331.
- Zhou L, Schnitzler A, Agapite J, Schwartz LM, Steller H, et al. (1997) Cooperative functions of the *reaper* and *head involution* defective genes in the programmed cell death of *Drosophila* central nervous system midline cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 5131-5136.