

UC Berkeley

UC Berkeley Previously Published Works

Title

Thorium amidates function as single-source molecular precursors for thorium dioxide.

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4hj845xn>

Journal

Chemical communications (Cambridge, England), 57(40)

ISSN

1359-7345

Authors

Straub, Mark D
Ouellette, Erik T
Boreen, Michael A
et al.

Publication Date

2021-05-01

DOI

10.1039/d1cc00867f

Peer reviewed



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d1cc00867f

 Received 15th February 2021,
Accepted 7th April 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1cc00867f

rsc.li/chemcomm

Thorium amidates function as single-source molecular precursors for thorium dioxide†

 Mark D. Straub,^{id ab} Erik T. Ouellette,^{id ab} Michael A. Boreen,^{id ab}
 Jacob A. Branson,^{id ab} Alex Ditter,^b A. L. David Kilcoyne,^{id b} Trevor D. Lohrey,^{id ab}
 Matthew A. Marcus,^b Maria Paley,^a José Ramirez,^a David K. Shuh,^{id b}
 Stefan G. Minasian^{id *b} and John Arnold^{id *ab}

We report the synthesis of four homoleptic thorium(IV) amidate complexes as single-source molecular precursors for thorium dioxide. Each can be sublimed at atmospheric pressure, with the substituents on the amidate ligands significantly impacting their volatility and thermal stability. These complexes decompose *via* alkene elimination to give ThO₂ without need for a secondary oxygen source. ThO₂ samples formed from pyrolysis of C-alkyl amidates were found to have higher purity and crystallinity than ThO₂ samples formed from C-aryl amidates.

The chemistry of the early actinides is currently in a resurgence, with tremendous contributions towards new ligand systems^{1–5} and advances in actinide materials.^{1,6–13} Research in thorium chemistry is often motivated by the global push for energy production, and thorium-fueled reactors are predicted to offer multiple advantages over conventional uranium dioxide-fueled reactors.^{14–17} These include significantly lower production of radiotoxic transuranic elements in the thorium fuel cycle¹⁸ and greater earth-abundance of thorium *versus* uranium.¹⁹ Despite these advantages, some concerns have been expressed about the possibility of component failure in thorium reactors due to poorly-understood chemical behavior under long-term operating conditions.^{14,20} Given that solid-state reactions such as corrosion and deposition typically occur at material interfaces, high surface area actinide nanomaterials, such as thin films and nanoparticles, serve as excellent models for studying these processes in bulk systems such as conventional oxide and mixed oxide (MOX) nuclear fuels.^{21–27}

Synthesizing well-defined thorium materials from molecular precursors has proven challenging due to the small pool of known thorium precursors and a limited mechanistic understanding of

the conversion processes from actinide molecules to materials.^{27–29} To address this gap in knowledge, bespoke precursors can be rationally designed with a readily-accessible decomposition pathway, enabling clean formation of the desired materials through careful control of the chemical behavior of the precursor.³⁰ In addition to a well-defined decomposition mechanism, ideal precursors for actinide materials should possess adequate thermal stability and volatility, enabling the use of gas-phase methods such as chemical vapor deposition (CVD), atomic layer deposition (ALD), and framework-templated nanoparticle synthesis.^{27,30–37} Single-source precursors, which contain all necessary elements for the target material in suitable ratios, are particularly desirable because they avoid the need for reactive secondary gases that can introduce harsh conditions and greater complexity to the decomposition process.^{38,39}

Due to their significant thermal stability and volatility, metal amidate complexes have been used as molecular precursors for metal oxide film deposition, yielding phase-pure films through a well-defined decomposition pathway.^{39–41} While there is some precedent for uranium amidates,^{39,42–44} no homoleptic thorium amidates have been reported. Here we describe the synthesis of homoleptic thorium amidate complexes as single-source molecular precursors to ThO₂ and describe the mechanism of their thermal decomposition to ThO₂.

Deprotonation of the amides *N*-*tert*-butylisobutyramide (H(ITA)), *N*-*tert*-butyl-(4-*tert*-butyl)benzamide (H(TPTA)), *N*-(3-pentyl)pivalamide (H(TEPA)), and *N*-*tert*-butyl-(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl))benzamide (H(Ar^FTA)) with KN(SiMe₃)₂ in THF yielded the corresponding potassium amidate salts as colorless powders. The homoleptic thorium amidate complexes Th(ITA)₄ (1), Th(TEPA)₄ (2), Th(Ar^FTA)₄ (3), and Th(TPTA)₄ (4) were synthesized *via* salt metathesis reactions between ThCl₄(DME)₂ and four equivalents of potassium amidate in THF (Scheme 1) and isolated as colorless, air-sensitive crystals. Single crystal X-ray crystallographic data for 1, 3, and 4 revealed these complexes to be 8-coordinate with all four amidate ligands binding in a κ²-O,N geometry; this ligand coordination mode has also been observed in transition metal^{40,41,45} and uranium^{39,42,43} complexes (Fig. 1). Complexes 1 and 3 adopt similar


^a University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

E-mail: arnold@berkeley.edu

^b Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

E-mail: sgminasian@lbl.gov

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 1991580–1991582. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/d1cc00867f



Scheme 1 Synthesis of homoleptic thorium amidate complexes (1–4).

pseudo- D_{2d} structural geometries, with two sets of amidate ligands related by approximate mirror symmetry, whereas **4** displays a lower-symmetry pseudo- S_4 dodecahedral geometry. The four N atoms in **3** lie in a square plane relative to the Th center, while there is a distortion of the N atoms from this plane in **1** and **4**. Solid-state structures of **1**, **3**, and **4** show Th–O and Th–N bond ranges of 2.331(3)–2.444(2) and 2.522(7)–2.565(2) Å, respectively (Table S2, ESI[†]). These metrical parameters are comparable to reported values for structurally similar uranium amidate complexes.^{39,42}

All four complexes (**1–4**) could be vaporized by heating under atmospheric pressure. Sublimation of the bis(alkyl) amidates **1** and **2** was observed at 261 °C and 190 °C, respectively, with no sign of decomposition. Compound **4** sublimed at 220 °C, although a small amount of amide was also identified in the sublimate, indicating simultaneous sublimation and gradual decomposition at this temperature. The fluorinated amidate **3** melted at 148 °C and vaporized readily at higher temperatures. Compound **3** was thus the most volatile, despite having the highest molecular weight of the four complexes.

To study the mechanism of decomposition of these precursors to ThO₂, solid samples of **1–4** were heated to 300 °C in sealed NMR tubes under nitrogen. This procedure was sufficient to decompose **1**, **3**, and **4**; however, **2** did not decompose in the solid-state at this temperature even after heating for one week. The higher decomposition temperature of **2** is likely due to the increased kinetic barrier of alkene elimination from a secondary alkyl vs. a tertiary alkyl substituent.⁴⁶ However, slight decomposition of **2** was observed by heating a d₁₈-decalin solution of **2** to 240 °C for 2 weeks. Based on our previous results with related uranium amidate complexes,³⁹ we

anticipated that **1–4** would decompose through an alkene elimination mechanism (Scheme 2). Indeed, NMR studies of the decomposition products provided strong evidence for this mechanism: clean formation of the expected alkene, amide, and nitrile products were observed for all four species (see ESI[†]).

Preliminary tests of the viability of complexes **1–4** as single-source precursors to ThO₂ were conducted by pyrolyzing the samples in quartz tubes sealed under a nitrogen atmosphere. Analysis using powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) confirmed that pyrolysis of all four precursors produced ThO₂ (Fig. 2). Qualitatively, the presence of sharper diffraction peaks for the ThO₂ products prepared from **1** and **2** relative to **3** and **4** suggested greater crystallinity of the ThO₂ prepared from *C*-alkyl amidates relative to *C*-aryl amidates.

To probe chemical purity, oxygen K-edge X-ray absorption spectroscopy was performed with a scanning transmission X-ray microscope (STXM-XAS). Fig. 3 shows a selection of elemental maps obtained from representative particles, demonstrating homogenous distributions of O and Th on the micron scale. Averaged O K-edge XAS data obtained from multiple micron-scale particles are compared with a reference spectrum of pure ThO₂ in Fig. 4.^{27,47} The overall spectral profiles for the ThO₂ prepared from precursors **1** and **2** agreed well with the ThO₂ reference data, with similar intense features found in all three spectra at low energies (532.4, 535.5, 539.0 eV) and high energies (542.3, 544.7 eV). The O K-edge spectrum obtained for ThO₂ prepared from the *C*-aryl amidate precursor **3** also exhibited features that were characteristic of ThO₂, however, the weaker relative intensities and disappearance of high-energy





Fig. 1 X-ray crystal structures of **1**, **3**, and **4** with 50% probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms are omitted, and amidate substituents are represented as capped sticks for clarity. Bond metrics are listed in Table S2 (ESI[†]).



Scheme 2 Proposed decomposition mechanism for complexes **1–4**. Alkene, amide, and nitrile byproducts were observed directly by ^1H NMR spectroscopy. Intermediate decomposition products **Int-1** and **Int-2** are postulated.




Fig. 2 Powder X-ray diffraction patterns of the products formed by pyrolysis of **1–4** compared with a ThO_2 simulation.




Fig. 4 Plot comparing the averaged O K-edge XAS obtained for multiple micron-scale particles of the ThO_2 products obtained by pyrolysis of thorium amidates **1–4** and a ThO_2 reference.




Fig. 3 Representative elemental difference maps showing a homogeneous distribution of O and Th in micron-scale ThO_2 particles formed by pyrolysis of **1–4** that were used to obtain X-ray absorption spectra. Lighter regions correspond to greater concentration of the absorbing atom and were obtained by subtraction of two images (see SI). The gradient bar below the maps correlates region lightness to optical density.

features suggested that other oxygen-containing impurities were present in the sample. An even more significant deviation from the ThO_2 reference was observed in the O K-edge XAS for

ThO_2 from **4**, which showed signatures of ThO_2 that were barely discernible from other absorptions.

Elemental analysis (EA) of the ThO_2 formed *via* pyrolysis of the *C*-aryl amidates **3** and **4** indicated the presence of carbon to be 12.89% and 19.23% in these materials, respectively, while the ThO_2 formed *via* pyrolysis of the *C*-alkyl amidates **1** and **2** showed significantly lower carbon contents of 6.43% and 6.56%, respectively. Taken together, the PXRD, O K-edge XAS, and EA data suggest that ThO_2 samples prepared from the *C*-alkyl amidates **1** and **2** had both higher crystallinity and greater compositional purity than the ThO_2 samples prepared from the *C*-aryl amidates **3** and **4**.

In conclusion, we have synthesized the first homoleptic thorium amidate complexes and demonstrated their viability as single-source molecular precursors to ThO_2 materials. Preliminary thermal decomposition studies showed that the complexes can all undergo an alkene elimination mechanism to yield ThO_2 without the need for an external oxygen source; however, differences in the PXRD and STXM-XAS suggest that

ligand substitution can be modified to improve crystallinity and compositional purity. Along these lines, metal-organic chemical vapor decomposition of the most promising C-alkyl amidates **1** and **2** and in-depth characterization of the as-formed ThO₂ materials is the subject of ongoing work.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Director, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Heavy Element Chemistry Program at LBNL under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. MDS was supported by a fellowship from U.S. Department of Energy/National Nuclear Security Administration (DOE/NNSA) Nuclear Science and Security Consortium (NSSC) Graduate Student Program under Award Number(s) DE-NA0003180 and/or DE-NA0000979. This research used resources of the Advanced Light Source, a U.S. DOE Office of Science User Facility under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231 which also supported ALDK and MM. We acknowledge the NIH (Grant S10-RR027172) for financial support of our X-ray crystallographic facility. We thank the University of California, Berkeley, College of Chemistry NMR facility for resources provided and the staff for their assistance. Instruments in CoC-NMR are supported in part by NIH grant number S10OD024998.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- J. K. Gibson and W. A. de Jong, *Experimental and Theoretical Approaches to Actinide Chemistry*, Wiley, 1st edn, 2018.
- M. A. Boreen and J. Arnold, *Multiple Bonding in Actinide Chemistry, in the Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*, Wiley, 2018.
- C. D. Tutson and A. E. V. Gorden, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **333**, 27–43.
- S. T. Liddle, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8604–8641.
- C. E. Hayes and D. B. Leznoff, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **266–267**, 155–170.
- J. Leduc, J. I. Pacold, D. K. Shuh, C. L. Dong and S. Mathur, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2017, **644**, 12–18.
- C. Falaise, H. A. Neal and M. Nyman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, **56**, 6591–6598.
- S. N. Kalmykov and M. A. Denecke, *Actinide Nanoparticle Research*, Springer, 1st edn, 2011.
- D. Hudry, C. Apostolidis, O. Walter, T. Gouder, E. Courtois, C. Kübel and D. Meyer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 8283–8287.
- C. Schöttle, S. Rudel, R. Popescu, D. Gerthsen, F. Kraus and C. Feldmann, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 9144–9149.
- E. L. Bright, S. Rennie, M. Cattelan, N. A. Fox, D. T. Goddard and R. Springell, *Thin Solid Films*, 2018, **661**, 71–77.
- T. Gouder, L. Havela, L. Black, F. Wastin, J. Rebizant, P. Boulet, D. Bouexière, S. Heathman and M. Idiri, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2002, **336**, 73–76.
- K. O. Kvashnina, A. Y. Romanchuk, I. Pidchenko, L. Amidani, E. Gerber, A. Trigub, A. Rossberg, S. Weiss, K. Popa, O. Walter, R. Caciuffo, A. C. Scheinost, S. M. Butorin and S. N. Kalmykov, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 17558–17562.
- Thorium fuel cycle-potential benefits and challenges*, IAEA, 2005.
- A. J. Juhasz, R. A. Rarick and R. Rangarajan, *High Efficiency Nuclear Power Plants Using Liquid Fluoride Thorium Reactor Technology*, NASA, 2009.
- The Use of Thorium in Nuclear Power Reactors*, US Government Printing Office, US Atomic Energy Commission, 1969.
- B. M. Elsheikh, *J. Radiat. Res. Appl. Sci.*, 2019, **6**, 63–70.
- N. Cooper, D. Minakata, M. Begovic and J. Crittenden, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **45**, 6237–6238.
- K. Maher, J. R. Bargar and G. E. Brown, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 3510–3532.
- A. T. Nelson, *Bull. At. Sci.*, 2012, **68**, 33–44.
- A. K. Burrell, T. M. McCleskey, P. Shukla, H. Wang, T. Durakiewicz, D. P. Moore, C. G. Olson, J. J. Joyce and Q. Jia, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 3559–3563.
- B. L. Scott, J. J. Joyce, T. D. Durakiewicz, R. L. Martin, T. M. McCleskey, E. Bauer, H. Luo and Q. Jia, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **266–267**, 137–154.
- M. M. Strehle, B. J. Heuser, M. S. Elbakhshwan, X. Han, D. J. Gennardo, H. K. Pappas and H. Ju, *Thin Solid Films*, 2012, **520**, 5616–5626.
- Y. A. Teterin, A. J. Popel, K. I. Maslakov, A. Y. Teterin, K. E. Ivanov, S. N. Kalmykov, R. Springell, T. B. Scott and I. Farnan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 8059–8070.
- K. Liu, R. Bin, H. Xiao, Z. Long, Z. Hong, H. Yang and S. Wu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2013, **265**, 389–392.
- N.-T. H. Kim-Ngan, A. G. Balogh, L. Havela and T. Gouder, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B*, 2010, **268**, 1875–1879.
- L. M. Moreau, A. Herve, M. D. Straub, D. R. Russo, R. J. Abergel, S. Alayoglu, J. Arnold, A. Braun, G. J. P. Deblonde, Y. Liu, T. D. Lohrey, D. T. Olive, Y. Qiao, J. A. Rees, D. K. Shuh, S. J. Teat, H. Booth and S. G. Minasian, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4648–4668.
- Y. Shiokawa, R. Amano, A. Nomura and M. Yagi, *J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem.*, 1991, **152**, 373–380.
- L. Amidani, G. B. M. Vaughan, T. V. Plakhova, A. Y. Romanchuk, E. Gerber, R. Svetogorov, S. Weiss, Y. Joly, S. N. Kalmykov and K. O. Kvashnina, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 252–263.
- S. E. Koponen, P. G. Gordon and S. T. Barry, *Polyhedron*, 2016, **108**, 59–66.
- H. Pierson, *Handbook of Chemical Vapor Deposition (CVD)*, Noyes Publications, 1999.
- B. Vlaisavljevich, P. Miro, D. Koballa, T. K. Todorova, S. R. Daly, G. S. Girolami, C. J. Cramer and L. Gagliardi, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 23194–23200.
- S. R. Daly, D. Y. Kim and G. S. Girolami, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 7050–7065.
- S. R. Daly, P. M. B. Piccoli, A. J. Schultz, T. K. Todorova, L. Gagliardi and G. S. Girolami, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 3379–3381.
- A. Devi, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 3332–3384.
- A. P. Milanov, T. B. Thiede, A. Devi and R. A. Fischer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 17062–17063.
- L. Appel, J. Leduc, C. L. Webster, J. W. Ziller, W. J. Evans and S. Mathur, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 2209–2213.
- P. Marchand and C. J. Carmalt, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **257**, 3202–3221.
- M. D. Straub, J. Leduc, M. Frank, A. Raauf, T. D. Lohrey, S. G. Minasian, S. Mathur and J. Arnold, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5749–5753.
- A. L. Catherall, M. S. Hill, A. L. Johnson, G. Kociok-Köhn and M. F. Mahon, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 10731–10739.
- T. Perera, PhD Dissertation, Wayne State University, 2012.
- M. D. Straub, S. Hohloch, S. G. Minasian and J. Arnold, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 1772–1776.
- W. J. Evans, J. R. Walensky and J. W. Ziller, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 945–950.
- W. Henderson, A. G. Oliver and C. E. F. Rickard, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **307**, 144–148.
- C. Li, R. K. Thomson, B. Gillon, B. O. Patrick and L. L. Schafer, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 2462–2463.
- J. Hartwig, *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis*, University Science Books, 2010, vol. 2.
- S. M. Butorin, K. O. Kvashnina, J. R. Vegelius, D. Meyer and D. K. Shuh, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 8093–8097.