# **UCSF**

# **UC San Francisco Previously Published Works**

# **Title**

Associations among romantic and sexual partner history and muscle dysmorphia symptoms, disordered eating, and appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs and supplement use among cisgender gay men

# **Permalink**

https://escholarship.org/uc/item/4hf7s2vh

# **Authors**

Nagata, Jason M DeBenedetto, Anthony M Brown, Tiffany A et al.

# **Publication Date**

2022-06-01

# DOI

10.1016/j.bodyim.2022.02.004

# **Copyright Information**

This work is made available under the terms of a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License, available at https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Peer reviewed

Published in final edited form as:

Body Image. 2022 June; 41: 67–73. doi:10.1016/j.bodyim.2022.02.004.

# Associations among romantic and sexual partner history and muscle dysmorphia symptoms, disordered eating, and appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs and supplement use among cisgender gay men

Jason M. Nagata<sup>a</sup>, Anthony M. DeBenedetto<sup>b</sup>, Tiffany A. Brown<sup>c,d,e</sup>, Jason M. Lavender<sup>f,g</sup>, Stuart B. Murray<sup>h</sup>, Matthew R. Capriotti<sup>i,j</sup>, Annesa Flentje<sup>j,k,l</sup>, Micah E. Lubensky<sup>j,k</sup>, Chloe J. Cattle<sup>a</sup>, Juno Obedin-Maliver<sup>j,m,n</sup>, Mitchell R. Lunn<sup>j,n,o</sup>

- <sup>a</sup>-Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA
- <sup>b</sup>-Department of Pediatrics, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
- <sup>c</sup>-Department of Psychology, Auburn University, Auburn, AL, USA
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, CA, USA
- e-San Diego State University Research Foundation, San Diego, CA, USA
- <sup>f</sup>-Military Cardiovascular Outcomes Research Program (MiCOR), Department of Medicine, Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, USA
- <sup>g</sup>-The Metis Foundation, San Antonio, TX, USA
- <sup>h</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- <sup>1</sup>-Department of Psychology, San José State University, San Jose, CA, USA
- j-The PRIDE Study/PRIDEnet, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA
- k-Department of Community Health Systems, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA
- <sup>1-</sup>Alliance Health Project, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA
- $^{\rm m}$  -Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA
- <sup>n -</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

Corresponding author and person to whom reprint requests should be addressed: Jason M. Nagata, M.D., M.Sc., 550 16<sup>th</sup> Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Box 0110, San Francisco, CA 94158, jason.nagata@ucsf.edu, Phone: +1 (415) 476-3610.

**Declaration of Competing Interest**: Dr. Juno Obedin-Maliver has consulted for Sage Therapeutics (5/2017) in a one-day advisory board, Ibis Reproductive Health (a non-for-profit research group 3/2017-5/2018), Hims, Inc. (2019 - present) and Folx, Inc (2020 - present). Dr. Mitchell R. Lunn has consulted for Hims, Inc. (2019 - present) and Folx, Inc (2020). None of these roles present a conflict of interest with this work as described here. The other authors have no conflicts of interest to report.

o Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA, USA

# **Abstract**

This study examined relationship status (e.g., single versus not single) and number of sexual partners in relation to muscularity- and disordered eating-related attitudes and behaviors among 1090 cisgender gay men enrolled in The PRIDE Study in 2018. Participants completed measures assessing muscle dysmorphia (MD) symptoms, disordered eating attitudes and behaviors, and appearance- and performance-enhancing drug or supplement (APEDS) use. In linear regression models adjusting for theoretically relevant covariates, neither relationship status nor number of past-month sexual partners was associated with disordered eating attitudes. In terms of MD symptoms, single (versus not single) relationship status was associated with greater appearance intolerance, and a greater number of sexual partners was associated with greater drive for size and functional impairment. In adjusted logistic regression models, a greater number of past-month sexual partners was associated with use of anabolic-androgenic steroids, synthetic performanceenhancing substances, protein supplements, and creatine supplements, as well as greater likelihood of engaging in compelled/driven exercise. Across all associations, effect sizes were generally small. Overall, results support that inquiring about sexual partners may have utility in evaluating risk for muscularity-oriented attitudes and behaviors among cisgender gay men. Future work will need to replicate these findings, particularly in more diverse samples.

# **Keywords**

eating disorder; muscularity; steroid; gay; homosexuality; sexual minority; body image; disordered eating; sexual partners; relationships

# 1. Introduction

Evidence from studies of gay (presumably cisgender) men suggests elevated body image concerns and eating pathology relative to heterosexual men (Calzo et al., 2017; Feldman & Meyer, 2007; Frederick & Essayli, 2016; Nagata, Compte, et al., 2021a), and the prototypical body ideal among gay men is characterized by lean muscularity (Brewster et al., 2017; Calzo et al., 2013; Halkitis et al., 2004; Marmara et al., 2018). Specifically, community-based studies indicate that gay men report an elevated drive for thinness (Brown & Keel, 2012; Martins et al., 2007) and drive for muscularity (Tiggemann et al., 2007), as well as elevated rates of muscle-enhancing behaviors (Brewster et al., 2017), anabolic steroid use (Blashill & Safren, 2014), disordered eating behaviors (Calzo et al., 2015), and muscle dysmorphia (MD) symptoms (i.e., preoccupation with perceived deficits in muscularity, Calzo et al., 2015, 2017) compared to heterosexual men.

Several theories address why cisgender gay men may be at an increased risk for concerns related to body image, muscularity, and disordered eating, including the expanded tripartite influence model (Tylka & Andorka, 2012), minority stress theory (Meyer, 2003), and intraminority stress theory (Pachankis et al., 2020), which have recently been integrated into a single model for sexual minority men (Convertino, Helm, et al., 2021). This

integrated model suggests that pressures to pursue the ideal body for sexual minority men, including from peers and potential significant others, promote greater thin- and muscularideal internalization, which in turn promotes dual pathways of thinness and muscularity dissatisfaction, and restraint and muscle-building behaviors, respectively (Convertino, Brady, et al., 2021). Empirical results supporting this model were consistent with other findings in sexual minority men that dating partner pressures were directly associated with muscularity-enhancing behaviors and indirectly associated with disordered eating and muscularity-enhancing behaviors (Tylka & Andorka, 2012).

Convertino and colleagues (2021) also found that sexual minority community involvement was directly related to greater dietary restraint and muscle-building behavior for sexual minority men. These results are consistent with intraminority stress theory, which suggests that, in addition to pressure as part of being a minoritized group, sexual minority men may experience stress driven by status-based competitive pressures including appearance-based pressures within the sexual minority male community (Pachankis et al., 2020). This may be because gay men engage in social and sexual relationships with other men, and men are known to compete for social and sexual opportunities (Pachankis et al., 2020). One study found that gay men were more concerned with body shape and weight and advertised their own weight to potential partners in personal ads more often than heterosexual men (Epel et al., 1996), and body weight and shape-based discrimination is commonly experienced on dating apps for sexual minority men (Tran et al., 2020). Thus, heightened emphasis on physical appearance may lead gay men to overvalue a lean, muscular appearance when trying to attract a partner, which may increase risk for disordered eating and muscularity-enhancing behaviors.

Based on these theories, single gay men seeking a romantic partner may experience these pressures to a greater degree than partnered men, and thus may also be at greater risk for disordered eating and muscle-enhancing behaviors. However, studies examining relationship status as a predictor of body image and disordered eating in gay men have produced mixed results. In a series of studies within the same small sample of gay and bisexual men, being in a relationship (i.e., endorsing "yes" to being currently involved in a steady relationship) served as a protective factor against restrictive eating disorder symptoms, both cross-sectionally (Brown & Keel, 2012, 2013) and longitudinally (Brown & Keel, 2015). However, a larger cross-sectional study of gay men did not find a significant association between relationship status (i.e., single versus in a relationship) and body image, although disordered eating was not examined as an outcome (Marmara et al., 2018). Number of sexual partners, regardless of relationship status, may represent another important variable; few studies have examined associations between number of sexual partners and disordered eating. Gay men with low body satisfaction are more likely to report avoiding sex (Frederick & Essayli, 2016). Among men who have sex with men, self-perception of a higher weight is associated with lower sexual sensation seeking (Goedel et al., 2017). In contrast, other research has reported no significant association between number of sexual partners and body satisfaction (e.g., Wilton, 2009). Further, higher body image disturbance was associated with lower condom use self-efficacy (Blashill, Goshe, et al., 2014) and greater appearance investment (e.g., excessive grooming, mirror checking, and time thinking about one's appearance) was associated with higher number of condomless anal sex partners

(Brady et al., 2019). Recent research supports that among sexual minority men living with (Gholizadeh et al., 2018) and without HIV (Brady et al., 2019), higher body dissatisfaction was associated with greater frequency of condomless anal sex, only when appearance investment was also high. Conversely, when appearance investment was low, higher body dissatisfaction was associated with lower frequency of condomless anal sex, consistent with potential avoidance of sexual activity (Gholizadeh et al., 2018). Thus, research supports an association between body image concerns and sexual activity; however, more research on the relationships between sexual activity and disordered eating is needed.

Relationship status and number of sexual partners may be associated with appearance and performance-enhancing drug (APEDS) use, which are used by men to enhance physical appearance and level of muscularity (Ip et al., 2011), possibly to increase attractiveness to potential romantic and/or sexual partners. Associations between APEDS use and higher number of sexual partners and condomless sex have been documented among presumed heterosexual (Blashill, Gordon, et al., 2014; Desai et al., 2021) and sexual minority boys and men (Blashill et al., 2015; Ip et al., 2019). In a review of sexual behavior among APEDS users by Ip, Yadao, Shah, & Lau (2016), 36.4% were found to report having multiple sexual partners in the last year. In a sample of presumably cisgender men who endorsed APEDS use, 20% reported 5 female sexual partners in the last year, and 3.3% reported 1 male sexual partner during the preceding year (Hope et al., 2013). Therefore, compared to male general population rates of multiple sexual partners in the past year reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (3.9%; Chandra et al., 2012), male APEDS users reporting female sexual partners were five times more likely to have had multiple sexual partners, while those reporting male sexual partners were about as likely to endorse having multiple sexual partners (Hope et al., 2013; Ip et al., 2016). Further, anabolic-androgenic steroid use has been associated with higher rates of condomless anal sex in sexual minority adult men (Ip et al., 2019) and, and higher rates of condomless anal sex and/or use of alcohol or drugs during sex for adolescent boys (Blashill et al., 2015).

Taken together, evidence suggests variables related to sexual and romantic partnering may be associated with certain muscularity- and disordered eating-related attitudes and behaviors among cisgender gay men. However, findings have been mixed, and prior studies have been limited in conceptual scope (i.e., constructs examined) and the nature of the samples (i.e., smaller sample size, convenience samples). The aim of the present study was to examine the associations among two sexual partner/relationship-related variables (i.e., relationship status and number of sexual partners) and attitudinal and behavioral symptoms of both MD and disordered eating among cisgender gay men. We focused this study on cisgender gay men specifically given differential experiences of relationships, as well as physical and mental health outcomes, in gay men compared to other sexual minority populations (Kuyper & Vanwesenbeeck, 2010; Nagata, Ganson, et al., 2021). We hypothesized that being single (versus not single) and having a higher number of sexual partners would be associated with greater MD symptoms, disordered eating attitudes and behaviors, and APEDS use.

# 2. Methods

# 2.1. Study population

The Population Research in Identity and Disparities for Equality (PRIDE) Study is a large-scale national longitudinal cohort study of sexual and gender minority (SGM) adults which include, but are not limited to, people who identify as lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and/or queer (LGBTQ) in the U.S. Specific inclusion criteria included age

18 years, living in the U.S. or its territories, and the ability to read and respond to questionnaires written in English. Data were collected on a secure, cloud-based, webresponsive platform. PRIDEnet, a national network of organizations and individuals, actively engages SGM communities in all stages of research for The PRIDE Study. Participants in The PRIDE Study were recruited through PRIDEnet constituents, digital communications (blog posts, newsletters), distribution of The PRIDE Study-branded promotional items, in-person outreach at conferences and events, social media advertising, and word-of-mouth. Additional details about The PRIDE Study research platform, recruitment, and design have been previously described (Lunn, Capriotti, et al., 2019; Lunn, Lubensky, et al., 2019). All participants in The PRIDE Study were invited to complete the 'Eating and Body Image' survey between April 2018 and August 2018.

For this analysis, we included only participants who reported a male sex assigned to them at birth, exclusively indicated 'man' as their gender identity, and exclusively indicated 'gay' as their sexual orientation. Of the 10,665 participants in The PRIDE Study at that time, 4285 completed the 'Eating and Body Image' survey, and 1090 identified as a cisgender gay man. No compensation was given for survey completion. This study was approved by the University of California, San Francisco and Stanford University Institutional Review Boards, as well as The PRIDE Study's Research Advisory Committee and Participant Advisory Committee.

## 2.2. Measures.

See Table 1 for a description of all study measures.

# 2.3. Data analysis

Stata 15.1 (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used to conduct analyses. Multiple linear regression analyses were used to examine associations between the romantic/sexual partnering variables (i.e., relationship status and number of sexual partners, both in same model) and MDDI and EDE-Q scales (disordered eating attitudes), adjusting for body mass index (BMI), race/ethnicity, age, and educational attainment. Multiple logistic regression analyses were used to examine associations between the romantic/sexual partnering variables (both in same model) and presence/absence of EDE-Q disordered eating behaviors and lifetime APEDS use, adjusting for BMI, race/ethnicity, age, and educational attainment. Statistical assumptions of linear (e.g., linearity, homoscedasticity, normality, absence of multicollinearity) and logistic regression (e.g., binary outcome, independent observations, no extreme outliers, absence of multicollinearity) were evaluated in Stata and were supported; in logistic regression models, BMI was log transformed to meet the linearity assumption. A two-sided alpha of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. No correction for multiple

testing was applied for the primary analyes; however, results applying the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure to adjust for false discovery rate are presented in the Supplemental Appendix.

# 3. Results

Table 2 reports descriptive data for the 1090 cisgender gay men included in the sample. Nearly half (42.4%) reported their current relationship status as single, and the median number of sexual partners in the past month was 1 (interquartile range 0–2).

Table 3 reports results of the linear regression and logistic regression analyses. Neither relationship status nor number of sexual partners was associated with disordered eating attitudes (EDE-Q scales). In contrast, having a greater number of sexual partners was associated with higher scores on MDDI Drive for Size and MDDI Functional Impairment. Being single (versus not single) was associated with higher scores on MDDI Appearance Intolerance. In logistic regression models, having a greater number of sexual partners was associated with greater likelihood of compelled/driven exercise and use of all four types of APEDS: anabolic-androgenic steroids, synthetic performance-enhancing substances, creatine supplements, and protein supplements. Relationship status was not associated with any disordered eating behaviors or use of any APEDS. When applying the Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment procedure, associations were found only between number of sexual partners and use of anabolic-androgenic steroids and synthetic performance-enhancing substances (see Supplemental Appendix).

# 4. Discussion

The present study examined relationship status (being single versus not single) and number of sexual partners in relation to MD symptoms, disordered eating attitudes and behaviors, and APEDS use among cisgender gay men. Findings for MD symptoms were partially consistent with hypotheses. Specifically, adjusted models demonstrated that a greater number of past-month sexual partners was associated with greater MD symptoms including drive for size and functional impairment. Being single (versus no single) was associated with higher scores for only one MD symptom (i.e., appearance intolerance). Hypotheses were generally not supported for disordered eating symptoms. Specifically, adjusted models showed that a greater number of sexual partners was positively associated with the likelihood of compelled/driven exercise; there were no other associations with disordered eating attitudes or behaviors. Relationship status also was not associated with any disordered eating attitudes or behaviors. Finally, hypotheses regarding associations with APEDS use were partially supported. A greater number of sexual partners was associated with greater likelihood of use for all four types of APEDS assessed in this study. However, there were no associations found between relationship status and APEDS use. Importanly, most of the effect sizes for the associations found here were small or very small.

There are several potential explanations for the associations found between number of sexual partners and muscularity-oriented attitudes and behaviors. Consistent with minority stress theory, internalized heterosexism, which refers to the adoption of societal heterosexist

attitudes and beliefs (Meyer, 2003; Szymanski et al., 2008), may manifest in cisgender gay men as a preference for a larger and more muscular body (Halkitis et al., 2004) or engagement in behaviors often seen as masculine, such as muscle building (Brewster et al., 2017; Convertino, Helm, et al., 2021; Kazi et al., 2017; Kimmel & Mahalik, 2005), to challenge the cultural stereotype that gay men are effeminate (Kurtz, 2008). While these cross-sectional results do not address causality, APEDS may promote a physical appearance consistent with predominant cultural ideals for cisgender gay men (i.e., lean and muscular body), which, in turn, may increase confidence in the ability to attract and engage with sexual partners.

The positive results for sexual partner history and APEDS use specifically are consistent with findings in predominately cisgender heterosexual men (Blashill, Gordon, et al., 2014; Desai et al., 2021; Hope et al., 2013; Ip et al., 2016) and sexual minority boys and men (Blashill et al., 2015; Ip et al., 2019). Other explanations for the positive associations between APEDS use and sexual partner history involve potentially shared underlying psychological or physiologic mechanisms. For example, a tendency for greater impulsivity, novelty-seeking, or reward responsivity may contribute to APEDS use and engaging with more sexual partners (Garcia-Argibay, 2019). Further, some types of APEDS, such as anabolic androgenic steroids, lead to increased testosterone levels and heightened sexual arousal and libido (Boloña et al., 2007; Traish et al., 2007).

The absence of associations between relationship status and number of sexual partners and most of the disordered eating variables in this study are consistent with some, but not all, previous findings. Although investigating a slightly different construct, Marmara et al. (2018) found that neither relationship status nor sexual agreement type (monogamous versus non-monogamous) moderated the detrimental impacts of body image disturbance on mental health in gay men. In contrast, our findings differ from those reported by Brown and Keel (2012, 2013, 2015), who found that single bisexual and gay men had greater eating pathology (e.g., drive for thinness, restrictive eating). However, these studies were based on a relatively small sample of gay and bisexual male students from a single university in the U.S.; the findings may not be consistent with the large U.S. national sample used in this investigation, which also has a wider age range. While a number of previous studies have found associations between body image concerns and risky sexual behavior in sexual minority men (Blashill, Goshe, et al., 2014; Brady et al., 2019; Gholizadeh et al., 2018) variables in the present study assessed broader disordered eating symptoms and number of sexual partners across a wider age range of gay men, which may account for observed differences. Taken together, the mixed consistency of findings from the present and prior studies may be due to the somewhat different nature of the constructs under investigation and the corresponding measures used. More research is needed to better understand the relationship between romantic and sexual partner history with disordered eating symptoms, drive for muscularity, and body image in gay men.

# 4.1. Strengths and limitations

Strengths of this study include the large, national, community-based sample reflecting a broad age range, the use of MD and disordered eating symptom measures that were

validated for use with gay men, and an analytic approach that adjusted for numerous conceptually relevant covariates. However, limitations should be noted. First, the cross-sectional, survey-based design precludes prospective and causal determinations. Second, there may have been other confounding variables that were not accounted for in the analyses. Third, our U.S.-based sample was recruited via an online platform and was predominantly White and highly educated; thus, findings may not be generalizable to cisgender gay men from more diverse sociodemographic backgrounds. Fourth, we combined non-single relationship statuses into one group to facilitate interpretation and maintain adequate sample sizes for comparisons, but this may be an oversimplification. The "single" category may be also heterogeneous as "single" can be defined differently for different people. Fifth, APEDS items assessed lifetime use, and data on current use were not available. Data on APEDS dosage, frequency, or duration of use were not collected. Finally, primary results were reported as uncorrected for multiple testing; corrected results are presented in the supplement for transparency.

# 5. Conclusions

The current findings indicate that number of past-month sexual partners is associated with APEDS use, certain core MD symptoms, and compelled/driven exercise among cisgender gay men. In contrast, relationship status was significantly associated only with the MD symptom of appearance intolerance, but not with any disordered eating symptoms or APEDS use. These findings have potential clinical implications. Inquiring about sexual partners is already recommended in assessments of risk for sexually-transmitted infections (Workowski & Bolan, 2015), but it also may be important for evaluating risk for certain MD and disordered eating symptoms as well as APEDS use. Further research is needed to examine theoretically relevant moderators and mediators of the associations investigated in this study. For instance, appearance investment has been found to moderate associations between body dissatisfaction and risky sexual behavior among sexual minority men living with (Gholizadeh et al., 2018) and without HIV (Brady et al., 2019). Additional research will also be needed to explore these relationships in other sexual minority groups. Finally, future studies would benefit from examining how different types and characteristics of romantic and sexual relationships (e.g., relationship length, security and satisfaction; monogamy versus polyamory) impact body image, disordered eating, and MD symptomatology in gay men.

# **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

# **Acknowledgments**

We thank Samuel E. Benabou for editorial assistance. The PRIDE Study is a community-engaged research project that serves and is made possible by LGBTQ+ community involvement at multiple points in the research process, including the dissemination of findings. We acknowledge the courage and dedication of The PRIDE Study participants for sharing their stories, the careful attention of the PRIDEnet Participant Advisory Committee (PAC) members for reviewing and improving every study application, and the enthusiastic engagement of the PRIDEnet Ambassadors and Community Partners for bringing thoughtful perspectives as well as promoting enrollment and disseminating findings. For more information, please visit <a href="https://pridestudy.org/pridenet">https://pridestudy.org/pridenet</a>.

## Funding

JN is supported by the National Institutes of Health (K08HL159350) and the American Heart Association (CDA34760281). SBM was supported by the National Institutes of Health K23 MH115184). MC was supported by a Clinical Research Training Fellowship from the American Academy of Neurology and the Tourette Association of America. JOM was partially supported by K12DK111028 from the National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive, and Kidney Disorders. AF was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (K23DA039800). Research reported in this article was partially funded through a Patient–Centered Outcomes Research Institute (www.pcori.org; PPRN–1501-26848) to MRL. The statements in this article are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of Patient–Centered Outcomes Research Institute, its Board of Governors or Methodology Committee, the Uniformed Services University, the Department of Defense, or the National Institutes of Health.

# References

- Blashill AJ, Gordon JR, & Safren SA (2014). Depression longitudinally mediates the association of appearance concerns to ART non-adherence in HIV-infected individuals with a history of injection drug use. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 37(1), 166–172. 10.1007/S10865-012-9476-3 [PubMed: 23180286]
- Blashill AJ, Goshe BM, Robbins GK, Mayer KH, & Safren SA (2014). Body image disturbance and health behaviors among sexual minority men living with HIV. Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association, 33(7), 677–680. 10.1037/HEA0000081 [PubMed: 24977311]
- Blashill AJ, & Safren SA (2014). Sexual orientation and anabolic-androgenic steroids in U.S. adolescent boys. Pediatrics, 133(3), 469–475. 10.1542/peds.2013-2768 [PubMed: 24488735]
- Blashill AJ, Safren SA, & Jampel JD (2015). Sexual risk behaviors and steroid use among sexual minority adolescent boys. Drug and Alcohol Dependence, 154, 287–290. 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2015.06.025 [PubMed: 26144592]
- Boloña ER, Uraga MV, Haddad RM, Tracz MJ, Sideras K, Kennedy CC, Caples SM, Erwin PJ, & Montori VM (2007). Testosterone use in men with sexual dysfunction: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized placebo-controlled trials. Mayo Clinic Proceedings, 82(1), 20–28. 10.4065/82.1.20 [PubMed: 17285782]
- Brady JP, Nogg KA, Rozzell KN, Rodriguez-Diaz CE, Horvath KJ, Safren SA, & Blashill AJ (2019). Body image and condomless anal sex among Young Latino sexual minority men. Behaviour Research and Therapy, 115, 129–134. 10.1016/J.BRAT.2018.10.017 [PubMed: 30420133]
- Brewster ME, Sandil R, DeBlaere C, Breslow A, & Eklund A. (2017). "Do you even lift, bro" objectification, minority stress, and body image concerns for sexual minority me. Psychology of Men and Masculinity, 18(2), 87–98. 10.1037/men0000043
- Brown TA, & Keel PK (2012). The impact of relationships on the association between sexual orientation and disordered eating in men. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 45(6), 792–799. 10.1002/eat.22013 [PubMed: 22407531]
- Brown TA, & Keel PK (2013). The impact of relationships, friendships, and work on the association between sexual orientation and disordered eating in men. Eating Disorders, 21(4), 342–359. doi:10.1080/10640266.2013.797825. [PubMed: 23767674]
- Brown TA, & Keel PK (2015). Relationship status predicts lower restrictive eating pathology for bisexual and gay men across 10-year follow-up. International Journal of Eating Disorders, 48(6), 700–707. 10.1002/eat.22433 [PubMed: 26172055]
- Calzo JP, Blashill AJ, Brown TA, & Argenal RL (2017). Eating disorders and disordered weight and shape control behaviors in sexual minority populations. Current Psychiatry Reports, 19(8), 49. doi:10.1007/s11920-017-0801-y. [PubMed: 28660475]
- Calzo JP, Corliss HL, Blood EA, Field AE, & Austin SB (2013). Development of muscularity and weight concerns in heterosexual and sexual minority males. Health Psychology: Official Journal of the Division of Health Psychology, American Psychological Association, 32(1), 42–51. 10.1037/a0028964 [doi] [PubMed: 23316852]
- Calzo JP, Masyn KE, Corliss HL, Scherer EA, Field AE, & Austin SB (2015). Patterns of body image concerns and disordered weight- and shape-related behaviors in heterosexual and sexual

- minority adolescent males. Developmental Psychology, 51(9), 1216–1225. 10.1037/dev0000027 [doi] [PubMed: 26098578]
- Chandra A, Billioux VG, Copen CE, & Sionean C. (2012). HIV risk-related behaviors in the United States household population aged 15–44 years: data from the National Survey of Family Growth, 2002 and 2006–2010. Natl Health Stat Report, 19(46), 1–19.
- Compte EJ, Cattle CJ, Lavender JM, Murray SB, Brown TA, Capriotti MR, Flentje A, Lubensky ME, Obedin-Maliver J, Lunn MR, & Nagata JM (2021). Psychometric evaluation of the Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory (MDDI) among cisgender gay men and cisgender lesbian women. Body Image, 38, 241–250. 10.1016/j.bodyim.2021.04.008 [PubMed: 33962223]
- Convertino AD, Brady JP, Albright CA, Gonzales M, & Blashill AJ (2021). The role of sexual minority stress and community involvement on disordered eating, dysmorphic concerns and appearance- and performance-enhancing drug misuse. Body Image, 36, 53–63. 10.1016/j.bodyim.2020.10.006 [PubMed: 33232935]
- Convertino AD, Helm JL, Pennesi JL, Gonzales M, & Blashill AJ (2021). Integrating minority stress theory and the tripartite influence model: A model of eating disordered behavior in sexual minority young adults. Appetite, 163, 105204. 10.1016/J.APPET.2021.105204
- Desai S, Kulkarni N, & Rehmatullah S. (2021). The type of substance use mediates the difference in the odds of sexual risk behaviors among adolescents of the United States. Cureus, 13(8). doi:10.7759/CUREUS.17264.
- Eisenberg ME, Wall M, & Neumark-Sztainer D. (2012). Muscle-enhancing behaviors among adolescent girls and boys. Pediatrics, 130(6), 1019–1026. 10.1542/peds.2012-0095 [PubMed: 23166333]
- Epel ES, Span A, Kasl-Godley J, & Brownell KD (1996). Body shape ideals across gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, race, and age in personal advertisements. International Journal of Eating, 19(3), 265–273. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1098-108X(199604)19:3.
- Fairburn CG, & Beglin S. (2008). Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire. (Fairburn CG (ed.). Guilford Press,309–313.
- Feldman MB, & Meyer IH (2007). Eating disorders in diverse lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations. The International Journal of Eating Disorders, 40(3), 218–226. 10.1002/eat.20360 [doi] [PubMed: 17262818]
- Frederick DA, & Essayli JH (2016). Male body image: The roles of sexual orientation and body mass index across five national U.S. studies. Psychology of Men and Masculinity. 10.1037/men0000031
- Friborg O, Reas DL, Rosenvinge JH, & Rø Ø. (2013). Core pathology of eating disorders as measured by the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q): The predictive role of a nested general (g) and primary factors. International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research, 22(3), 195. 10.1002/MPR.1389 [PubMed: 24038315]
- Garcia-Argibay M. (2019). The relationship between the big five personality traits, impulsivity, and anabolic steroid use. Substance Use Misuse, 54(2), 236–246. 10.1080/10826084.2018.1512630 [PubMed: 30375916]
- Gholizadeh S, Rooney BM, Merz EL, Malcarne VL, Safren SA, & Blashill AJ (2018). Body image and condomless anal sex among sexual minority men living with HIV. AIDS Behavior, 22(2), 658–662. 10.1007/s10461-017-1763-0 [PubMed: 28417253]
- Goedel WC, Krebs P, Greene RE, & Duncan DT (2017). Associations between perceived weight status, body dissatisfaction, and self-objectification on sexual sensation seeking and sexual risk behaviors among men who have sex with men using grindr. Behavioral Medicine, 43(2), 142–150. 10.1080/08964289.2015.1121130 [PubMed: 26808206]
- Halkitis PN, Green KA, & Wilton L. (2004). Masculinity, body image, and sexual behavior in HIV-seropositive gay men: A two-phase formative behavioral investigation using the internet. International Journal of Men's Health, 3(1).
- Hildebrandt T, Langenbucher J, & Schlundt DG (2004). Muscularity concerns among men: Development of attitudinal and perceptual measures. Body Image, 1(2), 169–181. [PubMed: 18089149]
- Hope VD, McVeigh J, Marongiu A, Evans-Brown M, Smith J, Kimergård A, Croxford S, Beynon CM, Parry JV, Bellis MA, & Ncube F. (2013). Prevalence of, and risk factors for, HIV, hepatitis B and C

- infections among men who inject image and performance enhancing drugs: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 3(9), e003207. 10.1136/BMJOPEN-2013-003207
- Ip EJ, Barnett MJ, Tenerowicz MJ, & Perry PJ (2011). The Anabolic 500 survey: characteristics of male users versus nonusers of anabolic-androgenic steroids for strength training. Pharmacotherapy, 31(8), 757–766. 10.1592/PHCO.31.8.757 [PubMed: 21923602]
- Ip EJ, Doroudgar S, Shah-Manek B, Barnett MJ, Tenerowicz MJ, Ortanez M, & Pope HG (2019). The CASTRO study: Unsafe sexual behaviors and illicit drug use among gay and bisexual men who use anabolic steroids. American Journal on Addictions, 28(2), 101–110. 10.1111/ajad.12865 [PubMed: 30724428]
- Ip EJ, Yadao MA, Shah BM, & Lau B. (2016). Infectious disease, injection practices, and risky sexual behavior among anabolic steroid users. AIDS Care, 28(3), 294–299. 10.1080/09540121.2015.1090539 [PubMed: 26422090]
- Kazi DS, Penko J, Coxson PG, Moran AE, Ollendorf DA, Tice JA, & Bibbins-Domingo K. (2017). Updated cost-effectiveness analysis of PCSK9 inhibitors based on the results of the FOURIER Trial. JAMA, 318(8), 748–750. 10.1001/jama.2017.9924 [PubMed: 28829863]
- Kimmel SB, & Mahalik JR (2005). Body image concerns of gay men: The roles of minority stress and conformity to masculine norms. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 73(6), 1185–1190. 10.1037/0022-006X.73.6.1185 [PubMed: 16392992]
- Klimek P, Convertino AD, Gonzales M, Roesch SC, & Blashill AJ (2021). Confirmatory factor and measurement invariance analyses of the drive for muscularity scale in sexual minority men and women. Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity. 10.1037/sgd0000472
- Kurtz SP (2008). Butterflies under cover: Cuban and Puerto Rican gay masculinities in Miami. The Journal of Men's Studies, 7(3), 371–390. 10.3149/jms.0703.371
- Kuyper L, & Vanwesenbeeck I. (2010). Examining sexual health differences between lesbian, gay, bisexual, and heterosexual adults: The role of sociodemographics, sexual behavior characteristics, and minority stress. 48(2–3), 263–274. 10.1080/00224491003654473 (10.1080/00224491003654473).
- Lavender JM, Young KP, De, & Anderson DA (2010). Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q): Norms for undergraduate men. Eating Behaviors, 11(2), 119–121. 10.1016/j.eatbeh.2009.09.005 [PubMed: 20188296]
- Luce KH, Crowther JH, & Pole M. (2008). Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire (EDE-Q): Norms for undergraduate women. The International Journal of Eating Disorders, 41(3), 273–276. 10.1002/eat.20504 [PubMed: 18213686]
- Lunn MR, Capriotti MR, Flentje A, Bibbins-Domingo K, Pletcher MJ, Triano AJ, ... Obedin-Maliver J. (2019). Using mobile technology to engage sexual and gender minorities in clinical research. PLoS One, 14(5), Article e0216282. 10.1371/journal.pone.0216282
- Lunn MR, Lubensky M, Hunt C, Flentje A, Capriotti MR, Sooksaman C, ... Obedin-Maliver J. (2019). A digital health research platform for community engagement, recruitment, and retention of sexual and gender minority adults in a national longitudinal cohort study—The PRIDE study. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association: JAMIA. 10.1093/jamia/ocz082
- Marmara J, Hosking W, & Lyons A. (2018). Body image disturbances as predictors of reduced mental health among Australian gay men: Being in a relationship does not serve as a protective factor. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 47(8), 2467–2479. 10.1007/s10508-018-1208-5 [PubMed: 29926264]
- Martins Y, Tiggemann M, & Kirkbride A. (2007). Those speedos become them: The role of self-objectification in gay and heterosexual men's body image. Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 33(5), 634–647. 10.1177/0146167206297403 [PubMed: 17440202]
- Meyer IH (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychological Bulletin, 129(5), 674–697. 10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674 [PubMed: 12956539]
- Nagata JM, Capriotti MR, Murray SB, Compte EJ, Griffiths S, Bibbins-Domingo K, ... Lunn MR (2020). Community norms for the Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire among cisgender gay men. European Eating Disorders Review, 28(1), 92–101. 10.1002/erv.2708 [PubMed: 31793119]

Nagata JM, Compte EJ, Cattle CJ, Lavender JM, Brown TA, Murray SB, ... Lunn MR (2021a). Community norms of the Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory (MDDI) among cisgender sexual minority men and women. BMC Psychiatry, 21(1), 297. 10.1186/s12888-021-03302-2 [PubMed: 34103034]

- Nagata JM, Compte EJ, Cattle CJ, Lavender JM, Brown TA, Murray SB, ... Lunn MR (2021b). Community norms of the Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory (MDDI) among cisgender sexual minority men and women. BMC Psychiatry. 10.1186/S12888-021-03302-2
- Nagata JM, Ganson KT, Griffiths S, Mitchison D, Garber AK, Vittinghoff E, & Murray SB (2020). Prevalence and correlates of muscle-enhancing behaviors among adolescents and young adults in the United States. International Journal of Adolescent Medicine and Health. 10.1515/ijamh-2020-0001
- Nagata JM, Ganson KT, Sajjad OM, Chu J, Tabler J, & Blashill AJ (2021). Disparities across sexual orientation in obstructive airway disease among US adults. Annals of the American Thoracic Society. 10.1513/ANNALSATS.202104-513RL
- Nagata JM, Peebles R, Hill KB, Gorrell S, & Carlson JL (2021). Associations between ergogenic supplement use and eating behaviors among university students. Eating Disorders, 29(6), 599–615. 10.1080/10640266.2020.1712637 [PubMed: 32129729]
- Pachankis JE, Clark KA, Burton CL, Hughto JMW, Bränström R, & Keene DE (2020). Sex, status, competition, and exclusion: Intraminority stress from within the gay community and gay and bisexual men's mental health. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 119(3), 713–740. 10.1037/pspp0000282 [PubMed: 31928026]
- Szymanski DM, Kashubeck-West S, & Meyer J. (2008). Internalized heterosexism: A historical and theoretical overview. The Counseling Psychologist, 36(4), 510–524. 10.1177/0011000007309488
- Tiggemann M, Martins Y, & Kirkbride A. (2007). Oh to be lean and muscular: Body image ideals in gay and heterosexual men. Psychology of Men and Masculinity, 8(1), 15–24. 10.1037/1524-9220.8.1.15
- Traish AM, Goldstein I, & Kim NN (2007). Testosterone and erectile function: From basic research to a new clinical paradigm for managing men with androgen insufficiency and erectile dysfunction. European Urology, 52(1), 54–70. 10.1016/J.EURURO.2007.02.034 [PubMed: 17329016]
- Tran A, Kaplan JA, Austin SB, Davison K, Lopez G, & Agénor M. (2020). "It's all outward appearance-based attractions": A qualitative study of body image among a sample of young gay and bisexual men. https://Doi.Org/10.1080/19359705.2019.1706683,24(3),281-307. https://doi.org/10.1080/19359705.2019.1706683
- Tylka TL, & Andorka MJ (2012). Support for an expanded tripartite influence model with gay men. Body Image, 9(1), 57–67. 10.1016/j.bodyim.2011.09.006 [PubMed: 22036192]
- Udo T, & Grilo CM (2018). Prevalence and correlates of DSM-5-defined eating disorders in a nationally representative sample of U.S. adults. Biological Psychiatry, 84(5), 345–354. 10.1016/j.biopsych.2018.03.014 [PubMed: 29859631]
- Workowski KA, & Bolan GA (2015). Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015. MMWR. Recommendations and Reports: Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Recommendations and Reports, 64(RR-03), 1–137.

# Highlights

- We analyzed data from cisgender gay men from The PRIDE Study
- Sexual/romantic partner history was generally not associated with disordered eating
- Sexual partner history was associated with certain muscle dysmorphia symptoms
- Relationship status was associated with only one muscle dysmorphia symptom
- Sexual partner history, but not relationship status, was associated with APEDS use

**Author Manuscript** 

# Table 1.

**Author Manuscript** 

**Author Manuscript** 

Description of measures

Measure	Description
Number of sexual partners	Participants were asked, "How many sexual partners (including oral, anal, vaginal sex) have you had in the past month?" Participants could select a numerical response ranging from "0" to "29" in single integer values (e.g., "0", "1", "2") or the option "30 or more."
Relationship status	Participants were asked "How would you describe your current relationship status?" Response options included "single," "dating (not living together)," "cohabitation (living together)," "civil union/domestic partnership," "married," or "other (please specify)." For the purposes of these analyses, responses were dichotomized to "single" or "not single" (i.e., all other response choices).
Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory (MDDI)	The MDDI is a 13-item self-report measure of muscle dysmorphia symptoms (Hildebrandt et al., 2004) and has been validated in cisgender gay men (Compte et al., 2021). Participants rate items on a 5-point Likert-type scale from 1 ( <i>never</i> ) to 5 ( <i>always</i> ) scale. The MDDI includes a total score and three subscales: Drive for Size, Appearance Intolerance, and Functional Impairment. Items are summed, and higher scores reflect greater muscle dysmorphia symptomatology. Internal consistency was acceptable for the total scale and subscales in this study (Cronbach αs: 0.74–0.87).
Eating Disorder Examination- Questionnaire (EDE- Q)	The EDE-Q is a self-report questionnaire that assesses disordered eating attitudes and behaviors over the previous 28 days (Fairburn & Beglin, 2008). The measure has been validated in sexual minority men (Klimek et al., 2021), revealing an alternate factor structure from the original validation study (Friborg et al., 2013) that is comprised of four subscales: Weight and Shape Concern, Preoccupation and Restriction, Dietary Restraint, and Eating Shame. Participants respond to items on a 7-point scale, and items are averaged such that higher scores reflect greater disordered eating severity. Internal consistency was acceptable for the four subscales in this study (Cronbach as: 0.78-0.93). The EDE-Q also assesses frequency of disordered eating severity. Internal consistency was acceptable for the four subscales in this study (Cronbach as: 0.78-0.93). This EDE-Q also assesses frequency of disordered eating behaviors in the prest al., 2008; Nagata et al., 2020), frequencies were dichotomized to reflect presence/absence of each behavior, with presence defined as 1 episode of a given behavior in the past 28 days. The majority of participants had 0 for each of the behaviors as expected given the non-clinical sample; therefore, disordered eating behavior data were not normally distributed. Further, our research focused on understanding the association of relationship status and sexual partners with presence of any disordered eating behavior, as opposed to behavior severity or frequency.
Appearance- and Performance- Enhancing Drugs and Supplement (APEDS) Use	Participants were asked if they had ever used the following drugs/supplements for the purpose of enhancing appearance or performance: anabolic androgenic steroids, creatine supplements (such as creatine monohydrate, creatine ethyl ester, or others), synthetic muscle enhancers (such as clenbuterol or human growth hormones), or protein supplements (such as whey protein, protein shakes, or protein bars). This question was adapted from the EAT 2010 survey (Eisenberg et al., 2012).
Covariates	Sociodemographic information (age, race/ethnicity, education), weight, and height were based on self-report. Body mass index was calculated using the standard formula (weight(kg)/height(m)²). These covariates were selected because they could be possible confounders in the associations examined in this study (Nagata et al., 2020; Nagata, Compte, et al., 2021b; Nagata, Peebles, et al., 2021; Udo & Grilo, 2018).

Page 14

Nagata et al.

Page 15

**Table 2.** Sociodemographic characteristics of cisgender gay men (N= 1090) from The PRIDE Study.

Age, years (median, IQR)	39 (29, 54)
Race/ethnicity (%)	
White	83.7%
Hispanic/Latino	6.4%
Multiracial/Other	4.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	3.2%
Black/African American	1.9%
Native American	0.6%
Educational attainment (%)	
College degree or higher	78.6%
Body mass index (BMI), $kg/m^2$ (mean $\pm$ SD)	$27.2 \pm 6.3$
Relationship status	
Single	42.4%
Not single <sup>a</sup>	57.6%
Number of sexual partners (median, IQR)	1 (0, 2)
Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory (mean ± SD)	
Total Score	$27.4 \pm 7.7$
Drive for Size	$9.9 \pm 4.7$
Appearance Intolerance	$11.5 \pm 4.3$
Functional Impairment	$6.1\pm3.0$
Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire attitudes $^b$ (mean $\pm$ SD)	
Weight & Shape Concern	$2.5\pm1.7$
Preoccupation & Restriction	$.6 \pm 1.0$
Dietary Restraint	$2.2\pm2.0$
Eating Shame	$.6 \pm 1.0$
Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire behaviors (%)	
Binge eating	10.7%
Compelled/driven exercise	9.4%
Laxative use	1.1%
Vomiting	0.6%
Appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs and supplements use (%)	
Protein supplements	42.5%
Creatine supplements	16.2%
Anabolic androgenic steroids	2.9%
Synthetic performance enhancing substances	2.0%
-	

IQR = interquartile range

 $a_{\rm ``Not\ single''}$  includes dating, cohabitation, civil union/domestic partnership, married, and other

 $b_{\rm ...}$  Friborg et al.'s (2013) four-factor structure, validated in sexual minority men, was used

Table 3.

Associations of number of relationship status (single versus not single) and sexual partners with Muscle dysmorphia symptoms, eating disorder attitudes and behaviors, and appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs and supplements use among cisgender gay men in The PRIDE Study (N=1,090).

	Single versus not single		Number of sexual partners				
Muscle Dysmorphia symptoms							
Muscle Dysmorphic Disorder Inventory	B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	p			
Total Score	0.68 (-0.30 - 1.65)	0.175	0.17 (-0.03 - 0.36)	0.090			
Drive for Size	0.10 (-0.43 - 0.63)	0.714	0.14 (0.04 – 0.25)	0.009			
Appearance Intolerance	$0.49 \ (0.01 - 0.97)$	0.046	-0.05 (-0.15 - 0.05)	0.30			
Functional Impairment	-0.01 (-0.39 - 0.37)	0.972	0.08 (0.01 – 0.16)	0.030			
Disordered eating attitudes and behaviors							
Eating Disorder Examination-Questionnaire							
Attitudes <sup>b</sup>	B (95% CI)	p	B (95% CI)	p			
EDE-Q Weight & Shape Concern	0.18 (-0.01 - 0.38)	0.068	0.01 (-0.03 - 0.05)	0.54			
EDE-Q Preoccupation & Restriction	0.05 (-0.07 - 0.16)	0.458	0.00 (-0.02 - 0.02)	0.82			
EDE-Q Dietary Restraint	-0.16 (-0.41 - 0.10)	0.237	0.03 (-0.02 - 0.08)	0.29			
EDE-Q Eating Shame	-0.01 (-0.13 - 0.11)	0.860	0.01 (-0.01 - 0.04)	0.29			
Behaviors	aOR (95% CI)	p	aOR (95% CI)	p			
Binge eating	1.10 (0.72 – 1.67)	0.660	1.03 (0.96 – 1.12)	0.39			
Compelled/driven exercise	1.00 (0.64 – 1.53)	0.970	1.08 (1.01 – 1.16)	0.02			
Laxative use	2.07 (0.58 – 7.36)	0.259	1.05 (0.84 – 1.30)	0.67			
Vomiting	0.25 (0.03 – 2.20)	0.211	1.08 (0.88 – 1.35)	0.43			
Appearance- and performance-enhancing drugs and supplements (APEDS) use	aOR (95% CI)		aOR (95% CI)	p			
Protein supplements	1.10 (0.85 – 1.43)	0.469	1.06 (1.01 – 1.12)	0.020			
Creatine supplements	1.20 (0.85 – 1.68)	0.300	1.07 (1.01 – 1.14)	0.02			
Anabolic androgenic steroids	1.68 (0.79 – 3.60)	0.180	1.22 (1.11 – 1.34)	< 0.00			
Synthetic performance enhancing substances	1.39 (0.55 – 3.51)	0.491	1.18 (1.07 – 1.31)	0.002			

Analyses are adjusted for BMI (log transformed in logistic regression analyses to meet linearity assumption), race/ethnicity, age, and education. Both number of sexual partners and relationship status were included in the same model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>"Not single" includes dating, cohabitation, civil union/domestic partnership, married, and other. In sensitivity analyses, there were no significant differences using the dichotomous versus categorical relationship status variable.

B = Estimated coefficient from linear regression; aOR = adjusted odds ratio from logistic regression; CI = confidence interval